Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1007/BF01049391

Inertial manifolds and inertial sets for the phase-field equations — Source link

Peter W. Bates, Peter W. Bates, Songmu Zheng

Institutions: Brigham Young University, University of Utah, Fudan University

Published on: 01 Apr 1992 - Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations (Kluwer Academic Publishers-Plenum Publishers)

Topics: Attractor, Flow (mathematics), Manifold, Space (mathematics) and Inertial frame of reference

INERTIAL MANIFOLDS AND INERTIAL SETS FOR THE PHASE-FIELD EQUATIONS

By

Peter W. Bates

and

Songmu Zheng

IMA Preprint Series # 809

May 1991

INERTIAL MANIFOLDS AND INERTIAL SETS FOR THE PHASE-FIELD EQUATIONS

by

Peter W. Bates [1]
Department of Mathematics
Brigham Young University

and

Songmu Zheng
Department of Mathematics
Fudan University

November, 1990

[1]Currently visiting the Department of Mathematics, University of Utah

[2]This work was completed while the authors were visiting the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications at the University of Minnesota.

INTRODUCTION

Starting from a Landau-Ginzburg free energy functional of the form

$$J(\phi) \equiv \int_\Omega \left[\xi^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2/2 + F(\phi)\right] dx$$

with double well potential F, where the field ϕ is an order parameter representing local degree of solidification, one seeks an evolution equation for ϕ which will decrease $J(\phi)$. This view of phase transition was proposed by Halperin, Hohenberg and Ma [HHM], Langer [L1,2] and later by Collins and Levine [CL]. The potential F is temperature dependent so that the relative depth of the two wells, representing pure solid and pure liquid phases, changes with temperature. If the reduced temperature is denoted by u then the usual choice for F, which ensures solid is the preferred state for low temperatures and liquid for high temperatures, is given by

$$F(\phi) = \frac{1}{4}(\phi^2 - 1)^2 - 2u\phi.$$

Here we have taken $u = 0$ to be the critical temperature for planar interfaces. At $u = 0$, $\phi = -1$ and $\phi = +1$ give the pure solid and liquid phases, respectively. (See Fig. 1)



Figure 1

For the model to account for the latent heat released by freezing and subsequent conduction, an evolution equation for ϕ which decreases J for fixed temperature must be coupled with an evolution equation for u. The system devised by those mentioned previously is known as the *phase-field equations*:

$$(PF)\begin{cases} \tau\phi_t &= \xi^2 \Delta \phi + \phi - \phi^3 + 2u \\ \left(u + \frac{l}{2}\phi\right)_t &= K \Delta u \end{cases}$$

where τ is a relaxation time, ξ is a length scale, l is latent heat and k is thermal diffusivity. A good description of the derivation of (PF) together with more sophisticated models which allow temperature dependent latent heat, etc., can be found in Penrose and Fife [PF] (see also [F]). They also show that these systems are themodynamically consistent in the sense that entropy increases along trajectories of (ϕ, u).

Apart from the theoretical foundations being sound, computer simulations with the phase-field equations (see [K] for example) showing instability of moving planar interfaces and dendrite formation closely resemble physical experiments. Furthermore, recent analytical and formal asymptotic studies (see [AB], [BF], [C1-4], [CF], [F] and [FC] for example) have predicted observed phenomena such as the Gibbs-Thompson relation, the spontaneous generation of phase interfaces and subsequent coarsening. A rigorous analysis is far from complete, however. It is our intent to demonstrate that in one and two space dimensions, after a short time, the dynamics of (PF) are essentially governed by a finite system of ODEs. Granted, extremely complex behavior can be generated by finite dimensional dynamical systems but we like to think that this nevertheless represents a significant simplification for a system of PDEs. When we say that the dynamics of (PF), together with appropriate boundary conditions, are essentially governed by a finite dimensional dynamical system, we are referring to the existence of an inertial manifold (or set). This is a finite dimensional manifold (or set) within the infinite dimensional state space which attracts all solutions to (PF) at an exponential rate (see [FST], [T]).

We wish to point out that a fundamental difficulty in dealing with the system (PF) is that it does not possess a maximum principle and only crude comparison results can be obtained. Furthermore, in its present form regardless of which boundary conditions are imposed, the linearized operator is not self-adjoint and so does not fit the framework needed to produce inertial manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 1 we consider the Dirichlet problem for (PF) in a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$ for $n \leq 3$. We show that positive semi-orbits of (ϕ, u) are compact in $H^1 \times L^2$ and that the flow is smoothing. Furthermore, there exists a compact global attractor in $H^1 \times L^2$

In section 2, motivated by the results in [BF],we change variables in (PF) transforming it into a system with self-adjoint linear part. We use a different change of variables from that given in [BF] allowing more flexibility in our choice of boundary conditions. We proceed then to demonstrate the existence of an inertial manifold in the case $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ with $\Omega = [0, L] \times [0, L]$, imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions on u and either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on ϕ

In section 3 we show that for a smoothly bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n, n \leq 3$, (PF) has an inertial set, that is, a positively invariant set of finite fractal dimension which attracts all solutions at an exponential rate. The latter result relies on recent work by Eden, Foias, Nicolaenko and Temam (see [EFNT 1,2]).

Finally, we show that the previous results hold when u and ϕ satisfy Neumann boundary conditions provided one restricts attention to fixed energy surfaces $\int_\Omega (u + \frac{l}{2}\phi)dx = constant.$

These energy surfaces are invariant under (PF) when zero flux boundary con ditions are imposed. This of course means that there is not a global attractor in the usual sense but the state space is foliated with invariant affine hyperplanes, each of which contains a compact attractor and an inertial manifold (or set).

1 Absorbing Set and Global Attractor

In this section we are going to prove that for the following problem of the phase field equations

$$\tau\phi_t = \xi^2 \triangle \phi + \phi - \phi^3 + 2u \tag{1.1}$$

$$u_t + \frac{l}{2}\phi_t = K \triangle u \tag{1.2}$$

$$u|_\Gamma = u_\Gamma(x), \quad \phi|_\Gamma = \phi_\Gamma(x) \tag{1.3}$$

$$u/_{t=0} = u_0(x), \quad \phi/_{t=0} = \phi_0(x) \tag{1.4}$$

for given functions $u_\Gamma(x)$ and $\phi_\Gamma(x)$ and for all $u_0(x), \phi_0(x)$ in certain Sobolev spaces there exists an absorbing set and a global attractor. We first prove the following global existence and uniqueness results.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n (n \leq 3)$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ and let $u_\Gamma(x)$ and $\phi_\Gamma(x)$ be given smooth functions of x on Γ. Suppose $u_0(x) \in L^2(\Omega), \phi_0(x) \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\gamma_0(\phi_0) = \phi_\Gamma$. Then problem (1.1) -(1.4) admits a unique global solution, $\phi \in C(\mathbf{R}^+;\ H^1), u \in C(\mathbf{R}^+, L^2)$ for any $T > 0$, $\phi_t \in L^2([0,T], L^2)$, $\phi \in L^2([0,T],\ H^2)$. Moreover, u and $\phi \in C^\infty((0,\infty),\ C^\infty(\Omega))$ and the orbit $t \in [\epsilon, +\infty) \to (\phi(\cdot,t), u(\cdot,t))$ is compact in $H^1 \times L^2$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.*

Remark The restriction $n \leq 3$ is not necessary and for general n the solution $(\phi(\cdot,t), u(\cdot,t)) \in (H^1 \cap L^4) \times L^2$. For existence and uniqueness of solutions, we only need the boundary data u_Γ and ϕ_Γ to be in the trace class $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$. The corresponding regularity of the solution is as expected.

Proof The global existence and uniqueness of a smooth solution has been proved in $[EZ]$ for $(\phi_0, u_0) \in H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$. Moreover,

$$\int_\Omega (\frac{\xi^2}{2}|\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\phi^4 - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{4}{l}u^2)dx + \tau\int_0^t \|\phi_t\|^2 dt + \int_0^t \frac{4K}{l}\|\nabla u\|^2 dt \tag{1.5}$$

$$= \int_\Omega (\frac{\xi^2}{2}|\nabla\phi_0|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\phi_0^4 - \frac{1}{2}\phi_0^2 + \frac{4}{l}u_0^2)dx, \forall t > 0$$

Then, the usual compactness argument yields the global existence and uniqueness for $(\phi_0, u_0) \in H^1 \times L^2$. Moreover, the identity (1.5) still holds. To prove the compactness of the orbit $t \in [\epsilon, +\infty) \to (\phi(\cdot,t), u(\cdot,t))$ we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2 *Suppose $f \in L^2([0,T];L^2), u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then the following problem*

$$u_t - \Delta u = f \quad in \quad \Omega \times (0,T) \qquad (1.6)$$

$$u|_\Gamma = 0 \quad on \quad \Gamma \times (0,T) \qquad (1.7)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0(x) \quad in \quad \Omega \qquad (1.8)$$

admits a unique solution $u \in C([0,T];L^2) \cap L^2([0,T];H_0^1)$. Moreover, $u \in C((0,T];H_0^1) \cap L^2([\epsilon,T];H^2), u_t \in L^2([\epsilon,T];L^2)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \le \frac{2}{t}\|u_0\|_{L_2}^2 + 2\int_0^t \|f\|^2 dt \quad \forall t > 0 \qquad (1.9)$$

Furthermore, if $f_t \in L^2([0,T],L^2)$, then $u_t \in C([0,T];H_0^1)$, and $u \in C([0,T];H^2)$

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \le \frac{16}{t^3}\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4}{t}\|f(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\int_0^t \|f_t\|^2 dt, \forall t > 0 \qquad (1.10)$$

We postpone the proof of Lemma 1.2.
Once we have Lemma 1.2, it follows from equation (1.2) that $u \in C((0,T);H^1)$ and

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1} \le C_\epsilon \qquad \forall\, t \in [\epsilon, T] \qquad (1.11)$$

It turns out from (1.2) and the regularity results (see Theorem II. 3.3 in [T]) that we have

$$\phi_t \in L^2([\epsilon,T];L^2) \qquad for \quad \epsilon > 0 \qquad (1.12)$$

Thus, equation (1.1) can be viewed as

$$\tau\phi_t = \xi^2 \triangle \phi + f \tag{1.13}$$

with

$$f \in L^2([\epsilon, T]; L^2), \quad f_t \in L^2([\epsilon, T]; L^2)$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 again, we conclude

$$\phi_t \in C([\epsilon, T], H^1), \quad \phi \in C([\epsilon, T]; H^2) \tag{1.14}$$

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{H^2} \le C_\epsilon \quad \forall t \in [2\epsilon, T]. \tag{1.15}$$

By the usual bootstrap argument, we get the $C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, +\infty))$ regularity results. The compactness of the orbit $t \in (\epsilon, +\infty) \to (\phi(\cdot, t), u(\cdot, t))$ in $H^1 \times L^2$ follows from (1.11), (1.15) and the uniform a priori estimates given in the paper [EZ]. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. We now give the proof of Lemma 1.2.

Proof of Lemma 1.2 The existence and uniqueness of solution in the space $u \in C([0, T], L^2) \cap L^2([0, T]; H_0^1)$ is well known (for instance, see Theorem II. 3.1 in [T]. See also [H] and [P]). Therefore, we only need to prove (1.9) and (1.10). Similar estimates can be found in [H] but for later use we include the details of the proof.

Let u_1 be the solution to the problem

$$u_t - \Delta u = f \tag{1.16}$$

$$u|_\Gamma = 0 \tag{1.17}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{1.18}$$

and u_2 be the solution to the problem

$$u_t - \Delta u = 0 \tag{1.19}$$

$$u|\Gamma = 0 \tag{1.20}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0(x) \tag{1.21}$$

By uniqueness we have

$$u = u_1 + u_2 \tag{1.22}$$

Applying the regularity result to u_1 (see Theorem II. 3.3 in [T]) we have $u_1 \in C([0,T]; H_0^1) \cap L^2([0,T], H^2)$, $u_{1_t} \in L^2([0,T]; L^2)$. Moreover,

$$\|u_1(t)\|^2 \leq \int_0^t \|f\|^2 dt, \qquad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.23}$$

Since $-\Delta$ is a symmetric operator with the domain $D(A) = H^2 \cap H_0^1$ dense in $L^2(\Omega)$ by a well known result in the semigroup theory [P] we have

$$u_2 \in C^j((0,\infty); D(A^k)), \qquad \forall j,k \geq 0 \tag{1.24}$$

Multiplying equation (1.4) by u and u_t respectively and integrating yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 = 0 \qquad \forall t > 0 \tag{1.25}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|u_t\|^2 = 0 \qquad \forall t > 0 \tag{1.26}$$

Multiplying (1.26) by t and then adding to (1.25) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(t\|\nabla u\|^2) + t\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^2 = 0 \tag{1.27}$$

Integrating with respect to t gives

$$t\|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|^2 \leq \|u_0\|^2 \tag{1.28}$$

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|^2 \equiv \|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{t}\|u_0\|^2 \tag{1.29}$$

Adding (1.29) with (1.23) results in (1.9). Similarly, since $(u_1)_t$ satifies

$$u_t - \Delta u = f_t \tag{1.30}$$

$$u|_\Gamma = 0 \tag{1.31}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = f(x,0) \tag{1.32}$$

we have

$$\|(u_1)_t(t)\|^2_{H^1} \le \frac{2}{t}\|f(0)\|^2 + 2\int_0^t \|f_t\|^2 dt \tag{1.33}$$

For u_2 we have

$$\|(u_2)_t(t)\|^2_{H^1} \le \frac{1}{\frac{t}{2}}\|(u_2)_t(\frac{t}{2})\|^2 \tag{1.34}$$

Noticing that $\|(u_2)(t)\|^2$ is decreasing with respect to t, we have by integrating (1.27) with respect to t

$$\frac{t^2}{2}\ \|u_t(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\ \|u(t)\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\|^2 \tag{1.35}$$

$$\|u_t(t)\|^2 \le \frac{1}{t^2}\ \|u_0\|^2 \tag{1.36}$$

Using (1.34), (1.36), we find

$$\|(u_2)_t(t)\|^2_{H^1} \le \frac{1}{\frac{t}{2}}\|(u_2)_t(\frac{t}{2})\|^2 \le \frac{8}{t^3}\|u_0\|^2 \tag{1.37}$$

Thus, (1.10) follows from (1.34), (1.36).

In what follows, we prove the existence of an absorbing set. We first use translation of u and ϕ to make the boundary condition homogeneous. Let $\bar{u}, \bar{\phi}$ be harmonic functions satisfying on the boundary Γ

$$\bar{u}|_\Gamma = u_\Gamma(x), \qquad \bar{\phi}|_\Gamma = \phi_\Gamma(x) \tag{1.38}$$

We introduce new unknown functions

$$v = u - \bar{u}, \qquad \psi = \phi - \bar{\phi} \tag{1.39}$$

Then ψ and v satisfy

$$\tau\psi_t = \xi^2 \triangle \psi + (\psi + \bar{\phi}) - (\psi + \bar{\phi}^3 + 2(v + \bar{u}) \tag{1.40}$$

$$v_t + \frac{l}{2}\, \psi_t = K \triangle v \tag{1.41}$$

$$\psi|\Gamma = v|_\Gamma = 0 \tag{1.42}$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0(x) \equiv \phi_0(x) - \bar{\phi}(x), \quad v|_{t=0} = u_0(x) - \bar{u}(x) \equiv v_0(x) \tag{1.43}$$

To prove the existence of an absorbing set for ϕ and u, we only need to prove the existence of absorbing set for ψ and v.

Multiplying (1.40) by ψ_t and (1.41) by $\frac{4}{l}v$, adding and integrating with respect to x yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega (\frac{\xi^2}{2}|\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\psi + \bar{\phi})^4 - \frac{1}{2}(\psi + \bar{\phi})^2 + \frac{2}{l}v^2 - 2\psi\bar{u})dx$$

$$+\tau\|\psi_t\|^2 + \frac{4K}{l}\|\nabla v\|^2 = 0 \tag{1.44}$$

Let

$$V(t) = \int_\Omega (\frac{\xi^2}{2}|\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\psi + \bar{\phi})^4 - \frac{1}{2}(\psi + \bar{\phi})^2 + \frac{2}{l}v^2 - 2\psi\bar{u})dx \tag{1.45}$$

It is easy to see from the expression for $V(t)$ that the boundedness of $V(t)$ from above implies the boundedness of $\|\psi\|^2_{H^1} + \|v\|^2$. Therefore, we only need to prove that $\underset{t\to\infty}{limsup}\ V(t) \leq C$

Multiplying (1.40) by ψ and integrating with respect to x yields

$$\int_\Omega [\, \xi^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + (\psi + \bar{\phi})^4 - \bar{\phi}(\psi + \bar{\phi})^3 - (\psi + \bar{\phi})^2 + \bar{\phi}(\psi + \bar{\phi})$$

$$-2(\psi + \bar{\phi})v + 2\bar{\phi}v - 2(\psi + \bar{\phi})\bar{u} + 2\bar{\phi}\bar{u} + \tau\psi_t(\psi + \bar{\phi}) - \tau\psi_t\bar{\phi}\,]\, dx = 0 \tag{1.46}$$

By the Young inequality $ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$, we easily get from (1.46)

$$\int_\Omega [\xi^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\psi+\bar{\phi})^4 - (\psi+\bar{\phi})^2 - 4\psi\bar{u}]dx \leq 2\tau\|\psi_t\|^2 + \epsilon\|v\|^2 + C_\epsilon \quad (1.47)$$

With ϵ being an arbitrary constant and $C_\epsilon > 0$ a constant depending only on $\epsilon, \bar{\phi}, \bar{u}$.
By the Poincare inequality, we have

$$\|v\|^2 \leq C\|\nabla v\|^2 \quad (1.48)$$

with $C > 0$ depending only on the domain Ω. Dividing (1.47) by 2 and choosing $\epsilon = \frac{8K}{Cl}$, then adding with (1.44) yields

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + V(t) \leq C' \quad (1.49)$$

with $C' > 0$ depending only on $\bar{\phi}, \bar{u}$. It follows from (1.49) that

$$V(t) \leq e^{-t}V(0) + C' \quad (1.50)$$

Notice that

$$V(0) = \int_\Omega (\frac{\xi^2}{2}|\nabla\psi_0|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\phi_0^4 - \frac{1}{2}\phi_0^2 + \frac{2}{l}v_0^2 - 2\psi_0\bar{u})dx \quad (1.51)$$

is bounded if $\|\psi_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2$ is bounded. The inequality (1.50) implies the existence of an absorbing set.
We now have

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose $\Omega \subset R^n$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ. Suppose $u_\Gamma(x), \phi_\Gamma(x)$ are given smooth functions. Then the semigroup $S(t)$ associated with the system (1.1) - (1.4) possesses a maximal attractor $\mathcal{A}$ which is bounded in $H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$, compact and connected in $H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, and attracts the bounded sets of $H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$*

Proof The semigroup $S(t)$ associated with the system (1.1) - (1.4) is defined as follows

$$S(T): \quad (\phi_0, u_0) \in H^1 \times L^2 \to (\phi(\cdot,t), v(\cdot,t)) \quad (1.52)$$

Since $\phi_t \in L^2(R^+, L^2(\Omega)), u \in L^2([0,T]; H^1(\Omega))$ for any $T > 0$ as proved in Theorem 1.1, then $f \equiv \phi - \phi^3 + 2u \in L^2([0,T]; L^2), g \equiv \frac{l}{2}\phi_t \in L^2([0,T]; L^2)$ immediately imply that $S(t)$ is continuous in $H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ for $t \geq 0$. Theorem 1.1 also claims that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any bounded set $B \subset H^1 \times L^2, \cup \{S(t)B : t \geq \epsilon\}$ is relatively compact in $H^1 \times L^2$. The existence of an absorbing set has been proved in the above. Thus the conclusion of this theorem follows from Theorem I.1.1 in [T].

Remark If ϕ satisfies homogeneous Neumann instead of Dirichlet boundary conditions, the previous proofs are easily modified to again deduce the existence of a compact attractor.

2 Inertial Manifolds

In this section we will discuss the inertial manifold of semigroup $S(t)$ associated with the system (1.40) - (1.43) instead of (1.1) - (1.4) in one and two space dimension and in the next section we will also discuss the existence of an inertial set, a notion recently introduced and studies by Eden, Foias, Nicolaenko and Temam (see [EFNT 1,2] and [EMN]).

We first discuss the system (1.40) - (1.43) in one space dimension. Since the phase field equations (1.40) - (1.41) is not a diagonal parabolic system, if we put it into the abstract framework of first order evolution equations

$$\frac{du}{dt} + Au + F(u) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

by subtracting (1.41) from (1.40) times $-\frac{l}{2t}$, then the operator is not selfadjoint. But the existing theory for inertial manifolds (see [T]) requires that A be a selfadjoint operator. In what follows we use the technique similar to that in [BF] to reduce the problem into one with A being selfadjoint. Dividing (1.40) by τ we obtain

$$\psi_t = \frac{\xi^2}{\tau} \triangle \psi + \frac{1}{\tau}[(\psi + \bar{\phi}) - (\psi + \bar{\phi})^3 + 2v + 2\bar{u}] \tag{2.2}$$

Multiplying (2.2) by $-\frac{l}{2}$ and then adding to (1.41) yields

$$v_t = K \triangle v - \frac{l\xi^2}{2\tau} \triangle \psi - \frac{l}{2\tau}[(\psi + \bar{\phi}) - (\psi + \bar{\phi})^3 + 2v + 2\bar{u}] \tag{2.3}$$

since $-\triangle$ defined on $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \subset L^2(\Omega)$ is a positive definite operator, we can write $-\triangle$ as

$$-\triangle = A^2 \tag{2.4}$$

where A is selfadjoint positive definite operator. It can be given explicity by

$$Au = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(u, u_n)u_n \qquad \forall u \in D(A) = H_0^1 \tag{2.5}$$

with u_n being normalized eigenfunctions of $-\triangle$ associated with eigenvalues λ_n and (u, u_n) being the inner product in L^2. Also,

$$A^{-1}u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(u, u_n)u_n \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$a = \frac{2}{\sqrt{l}\xi}, \qquad e = aA^{-1}v \tag{2.7}$$

Then (2.2) becomes

$$\psi_t = \frac{\xi^2}{\tau} \triangle \psi + \frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau} Ae + f_1(\psi) \tag{2.8}$$

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{\tau}[(\psi + \bar{\phi}) - (\psi + \bar{\phi})^3 + 2\bar{u}] \tag{2.9}$$

Acting on equation (2.3) with aA^{-1} yields

$$e_t = K \triangle e + \frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau} A\psi + f_2(\psi) \tag{2.10}$$

with

$$f_2(\psi) = \frac{-\sqrt{l}}{\tau\xi} A^{-1}[(\psi + \bar{\phi}) - (\psi + \bar{\phi})^3 + 2\bar{u}] \tag{2.11}$$

Then the system (2.8), (2.10) can be written as

$$\frac{dU}{dt} + AU = R(U) \tag{2.12}$$

with

$$U = \binom{\psi}{e}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\xi^2}{\tau}\Delta & -\frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau}A \\ -\frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau}A & -K\,\Delta + \frac{l}{\tau}I \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.13}$$

and initial condition

$$U(0) = U_0 \equiv \binom{\psi_0}{aA^{-1}v_0} \tag{2.14}$$

Here $dom\ (\mathcal{A}) = H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$

It is easy to see from the expression for R that the system (1.40) - (1.43) is equivalent to the system (2.12) - (2.14) in the sense that if (ψ, u) is a solution to the system (1.40) - (1.43), then (ψ, e) is a solution to (2.12) - (2.14) and vice versa.

In what follows, we will study the dynamical system (2.12) - (2.14) instead of the system (1.40) - (1.43). Theorem 1.3 shows, by the equivalence of two systems mentioned above, that the semigroup operator $S(t)$ associated with the system (2.12) - (2.14) possesses a global (maximal) attractor which is bounded in $H^2 \times H^3$, compact and connected in $H_0^1 \times H_0^1$, and attracts the bounded sets of $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. Consider it as an operator from $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$ into $L^2 \times L^2$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$ is selfadjoint. Also

$$(\mathcal{A}U, U) = (-\tfrac{\xi^2}{\tau} \Delta \psi, \psi) - 2\tfrac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau}(A\psi, e) + (-K \Delta e + \tfrac{l}{\tau}e, e)$$

$$= \tfrac{\xi^2}{\tau} \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |(\psi, u_n)|^2 - 2\tfrac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi, u_n)(e, u_n) \tag{2.15}$$

$$+\tfrac{l}{\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(e, u_n)|^2 + K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |(e, u_n)|^2$$

$$\geq \begin{cases} K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |(e, u_n)|^2 & if\ e \neq 0 \\ \frac{\xi^2}{t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |(\psi, u_n)|^2 & if\ e = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is a positive definite operator.

Theorem 2.1 *Let* $n = 1, (\Omega = (0, L))$. *Then system (2.12) - (2.14) possesses an inertial manifold of the form given by Theorem VIII. 3.2 in ([T] p. 436) in* $D(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H_0^1 \times H_0^1$. *This implies that the system (1.40) - (1.43) admits an inertial manifold in* $H_0^1 \times L^2$.

Proof It reamins to prove that R is a bounded mapping from $D(\mathcal{A}^\alpha)$ into $D(\mathcal{A}^\alpha)(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma = 0\ in[T])$ and R is locally Lipschitz, and also to prove that the spectral gap condition is satisfied.

For $\psi \in H_0^1, n = 1$, by Sobolev's imbedding theorem, f_1, f_2 are bounded mappings from $H_0^1 \to H_0^1$. It is easy to see that f_1 is locally Lipschitz from H_0^1 *to* H_0^1. To prove f_2 is also locally Lipschitz, since A^{-1} is a bounded operator from L^2 *to* H_0^1, we only need to consider the term $A^{-1}[(\psi + \bar{\phi})^3]$. By (2.6) we have

$$\|A^{-1}[(\psi_1 + \bar{\phi})^3] - A^{-1}[(\psi_2 + \bar{\phi})^3]\|_{H^1} = \|(\psi_1 + \bar{\phi})^3 - (\psi_2 + \bar{\phi})^3\|_{L^2} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\leq C_M \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{H^1} \quad if \ \ \|\psi_1\|_{H^1} \leq M, \ \|\psi_2\|_{H^1} \leq M$$

C_M being a constant depending on M.

The spectral gap condition is the condition that the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ lies outside a sufficiently large interval of the positive real axis. We shall show that there are arbitrarily large gaps in the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$

For $\Omega = (0, L)$, we look for the eigenvalue λ and the associated eigenfunction such that $(\psi, e) \in H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\xi^2}{\tau}\triangle & -\frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau}A \\ -\frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau}A & -K\triangle + \frac{l}{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ e \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ e \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

We rewrite the equations separately:

$$-\frac{\xi^2}{\tau} \triangle \psi - \frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau} Ae = \lambda\psi \quad (2.18)$$

$$-\frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau} A\psi - K \triangle e + \frac{l}{\tau} e = \lambda e \quad (2.19)$$

Acting with A on (2.18), using (2.4), replacing $A\psi$ by the one in (2.19) we get

$$\frac{k\xi}{\sqrt{l}}\,\Delta^2\,e + (\frac{\lambda\xi}{\sqrt{l}} + \frac{K\tau}{\sqrt{l}\xi}\lambda)\,\Delta\,e + (\frac{\lambda^2\tau}{\sqrt{l}\xi} - \frac{\sqrt{l}}{\xi}\lambda) = 0 \tag{2.20}$$

The normalized eigenfunctions, which are also the eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ on $H^2 \cap H_0^1$, are

$$e_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}\; sin\,\frac{n\pi x}{L}, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots, . \tag{2.21}$$

The corresponding eigenvlues $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ satisfy

$$(\frac{\lambda^2 t}{\sqrt{l}\xi} - \frac{\lambda\sqrt{l}}{\xi}) - \lambda(\frac{K\tau + \xi^2}{\sqrt{l}\xi})\lambda_n + \frac{K\xi}{\sqrt{l}}\lambda_n^2 = 0 \tag{2.22}$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}^\infty$ are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ on $H^2 \cap H_0^1$, which in this case are given by $\lambda_n = (\frac{n\pi}{L})^2, n = 1, 2, \cdots$.
Thus, the eigenvalues $\left\{\tilde{\lambda}_n\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are given by two forms:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_n^+ & = a\lambda_n + b \quad +\sqrt{(a\lambda_n + b)^2 - c^2\lambda_n^2} \\ and & \\ \lambda_n^- & = a\lambda_n + b \quad -\sqrt{(a\lambda_n + b)^2 - c^2\lambda_n^2} \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

where $a = \frac{K\tau + \xi^2}{2\tau}$, $b = \frac{l}{2\tau}$ *and* $c = \sqrt{\frac{K}{\tau}}\xi$. Note that $a^2 \geq c^2$.
We find

$$\begin{cases} d_n^+ & \equiv \lambda_{n+1}^+ - \lambda_n^+ & = (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)[a + \alpha_n] \\ and & & \\ d_n^- & \equiv \lambda_{n+1}^- - \lambda_n^- & = (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)[a - \alpha_n] \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

where $\alpha_n \to \sqrt{a^2 - c^2}$ as $n \to \infty$.
It follows that $d_n^+ \geq d_n^- \to \infty$ since $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n$ as $n \to \infty$
For fixed N, we define the *gap at* λ_N^- to be the maximum of $(\lambda_N^-\mu)$ and $(\nu - \lambda_N^-)$ where $\mu(\nu)$ is the largest (smallest) eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ less (greater) than λ_N^-. Let $K = K(N)$ be defined by

$$\lambda_K^+ \leq \lambda_N^- < \lambda_{K+1}^+$$

Then

$$either \quad \mu = \lambda_K^+ \quad or \quad \mu = \lambda_{N-1}^-$$

$$and\ either \quad \nu = \lambda_{K+1}^+ \quad or \quad \nu = \lambda_{N+1}^-$$

It follows that the gap at λ_N^- is at least

$$d_N \equiv \ min\left\{d_N^-, d_{N-1}^-, \frac{1}{2}d_K^+\right\}. \tag{2.25}$$

Clearly $K = K(N) \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $d_N \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the spectral gap conditions (3.7) and (3.51) in [T] p423 and p435 are satisfied and the proof of the Theorem is complete.

For $n = 2$ and $\Omega = [0, L]^2$, using a result in the number theory (see [R]) we have

Theorem 2.2 *Let $n = 2, (\Omega = [0, L]^2)$. Then system (2.12) - (2.14) possesses an inertial manifold of the form given by Theorem VIII. 3.2 in [T] in $D(\mathcal{A}) = H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$. This implies that the system (1.40) - (1.43) admits an inertial manifold in $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$.*

Proof By Sobolev's imbedding theorem, $H^2(n = 2)$ is continuously imbedded in $C(\Omega)$. Therefore, R is a bounded mapping from $D(\mathcal{A})$ into $D(\mathcal{A})(\alpha = 1,\ \gamma = 0)$. The same argument as in Theorem 2.1 yields that R is also locally Lipschitz. The spectrum is still given by (2.23) but λ_n is now the n^{th} eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ with domain

$$H^2([0, L]^2) \cap H_0^1([0, L]^2).$$

These eigenvalues have the form

$$(\frac{\pi}{L})^2(i^2 + j^2)\ with\ i\ and\ j\ integers, \tag{2.26}$$

and a result in number theory (see [R]) then implies the existence of $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n > \beta \log n \quad as\ n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.27}$$

As before, the spectral gap condition is satisfied and so the proof is complete.
Remark It is clear that this approach will fail for Ω a cube in $\mathbf{R}^3$ since the set of integers expressible as the sum of three squares has uniformly boun ded gaps.

Remark If we have the Neumann boundary condition for ϕ and the Dirichlet boundary condition for u:

$$u|_\Gamma = u_\Gamma(x), \qquad \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial_n}|_\Gamma = 0 \tag{1.3'}$$

instead of both Dirichlet boundary conditions (1.3), then the theorems on the existence of absorbing set, the global attractor and the inertial manifold still hold with a slight modification of the proof: (2.4) is replaced by

$$-\Delta + I = A^2 \tag{2.28}$$

and $D(A) = H^1(\Omega)$; the operator $\mathcal{A}$ in (2.13) is replaced by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\xi^2}{\tau}\Delta + I & -\frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau}A \\ -\frac{\sqrt{l}\xi}{\tau}A & -K\Delta + \frac{l}{\tau}I \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.29}$$

3 Inertial Set

We can see from the above that the gap condition imposed severe restrictions on the domain in order to obtain the existence of an inertial manifold. Recently, Eden, Foias, Nicolaenko and Temam (see [EFNT1] and [EFNT2]) introduced the notion of inertial set which is defined to be a set of finite fractal dimension that attracts all solutions at an exponential rate. More precisely, let H be a separable Hilbert space and B be a compact subset of H. Let $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a nonlinear continuous semi-group that leaves the set B invariant. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the global attractor for $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ on B. Let us now recall the definition of inertial set (see [EMN], [EFNT1, 2]).

Definition 3.1 A set M is called an inertial set for $(\{S(t)_{t=0}, B\})$ if (i) $\mathcal{S} \subseteq M \subseteq B$ (ii) $S(t)M \subseteq M$ for every $t \geq 0$ (iii) for every $u_0 \in B, dist_H(S(t)u_0, M) \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 t}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where C_1 and C_2 are independent of u_0, and (iv) M has finite fractal dimension, $d_f(M)$.

Definition 3.2 A continuous semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is said to satisfy the squeezing property on B if there exists $t_\star > 0$ such that $S_\star = S(t_\star)$ satisfies: there exists an orthogonal projection P of rank N_0 such that if for every u and v in B

$$\|P(S_\star u - S_\star v)\|_H \leq \|(I-P)(S_\star u - S_\star v)\|_H \tag{3.1}$$

then

$$\|S_\star u - S_\star v\|_H \leq \frac{1}{8}\|u-v\|_H \tag{3.2}$$

In [EFNT1] the following result has been established.

Theorem 3.1 *([EFNT1]) If $(\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0} B)$ satisfies the squeezing property on B and if $S_\star = S(t_\star)$ is Lipschitz on B with Lipschitz constant L then there exists an inertial set M for $(\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0} B)$ such that*

$$d_f(M) \leq N_0 \max\{1,\ ln(16L+1)/ln2\} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$dist_H\ (S(t)u_0, M) \leq C_1 exp\{(-C_2/t_\star)t\} \tag{3.4}$$

In what follows, we are going to prove that for the system (1.1) - (1.4) ((1.1), (1.2), (1.3)′, (1.4), respectively) and for general smooth domain Ω ($n \leq 3$) there exists an inertial set.

As in section 2, instead of system (1.1) - (1.4), we will consider system (2.12) - (2.14). We notice that the squeezing property implies the Lipschitz condition on the map $(t, u_0) \in [0, t_\star] \times B \rightarrow S(t)u_0$ in the norm of H.

We take the product space $L^2 \times L^2$ as H. We also take the product space $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$ as E

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n (n \leq 3)$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ. Let $U_0 = (\psi_0, e_0) \in H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$. The system (2.12) - (2.14) admits a global solution $(\psi, e) \in C(\mathbf{R}^+, H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}^+, L^2 \times L^2)$. Moreover, there exists an absorbing set B in $E = H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$.*

Proof Since $n \leq 3$, by Sobolev's imbedding theorem R is Locally Lipschitz on E. Thus, the local existence follows from the standard result from semigroup theory. To prove the global existence it suffices to have uniform a priori E - norm estimates for (ψ, e), i.e. $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$ norm for (ψ, v) for the system (1.40) - (1.43) which have already been proved in [EZ]. Thus the global existence and uniqueness follows. To prove the existence of an absorbing set B it suffices to prove that there exists an absorbing set of (ψ, v) in $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$ for the system (1.40) - (1.43). Multiplying (1.41) by v_t and integrating with respect to x yields

$$\frac{K}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2 = -\frac{l}{2}\int_\Omega \psi_t v_t dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v_t\|^2 + \frac{l^2}{8}\|\psi_t\|^2 \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{K}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v_t\|^2 \leq \frac{l^2}{8}\|\psi_t\|^2 \tag{3.6}$$

Differentiating (1.40) with respect to t, then multiplying it by ψ_t and integrating with respect to x, we obtain

$$\frac{\tau}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\psi_t\|^2 + \xi^2\|\nabla\psi_t\|^2 + 3\int_\Omega(\psi+\bar{\phi})^2\psi_t^2 dx = \|\psi_t\|^2 + 2\int_\Omega v_t\psi_t dx \tag{3.7}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\|v_t\|^2 + 3\|\psi_t\|^2$$

Adding (3.7) and (3.6) yields

$$\frac{K}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{\tau}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\psi_t\|^2 + \xi^2\|\nabla\psi_t\|^2 \leq (3+\frac{l^2}{8})\|\psi_t\|^2 \tag{3.8}$$

Multiplying (3.8) by a small positive number $\delta > 0$ specified later, and adding it to (1.44) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}[V(t) + \frac{\delta K}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{\delta\tau}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2] + \tau\|\psi_t\|^2 + \frac{4K}{l}\|\nabla v\|^2$$

$$+\delta\xi^2\|\nabla\psi_t\|^2 \leq \delta(3+\frac{l^2}{8})\|\psi_t\|^2 \tag{3.9}$$

Applying Young's inequality and Poincare's inequality yields

$$V(t) \leq \frac{\tau}{4}\|\psi_t\|^2 + \frac{2K}{l}\|\nabla v\|^2 + C \tag{3.10}$$

We choose

$$\delta = \frac{\tau}{4(3+\frac{l^2}{8})} \tag{3.11}$$

and add (3.10) to (3.9) to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}[V(t) + \frac{\delta K}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{\delta\tau}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2] + \frac{\tau}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2 + \frac{2K}{l}\|\nabla v\|^2$$

$$+V(t) \leq C \tag{3.12}$$

Let

$$C_0 = min(1, \frac{4}{\delta l}, \frac{1}{\delta}) \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from (3.12) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}[V(t) + \frac{\delta K}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{\delta\tau}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2] + C_0\left[V(t) + \frac{\delta K}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2\right. \tag{3.14}$$

$$\left.+\frac{\delta\tau}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2\right] \leq C$$

which results in

$$V(t) + \frac{\delta K}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{\delta\tau}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2 \leq$$

$$(V(0) + \frac{\delta K}{2}\|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \frac{\delta\tau}{2}\|\psi_t(0)\|^2) \cdot e^{-C_0 t} + C. \tag{3.15}$$

Since

$$\|\psi_t(0)\|^2 \leq \tilde{C} \tag{3.16}$$

with $\tilde{C}$ being a positive constant depending on $\|\psi_0\|_{H^2},$ *and* $\|v_0\|_{L^2}$, (3.15) implies that $\|v(t)\|_{H^1}$ and $\|\psi(t)\|_{H^1}, \|\psi_t\|_{L^2}$ is absorbed in a bounded set.

By equation (1.40) we obtain the existence of an absorbing set for (ψ, v) in $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$. This gives the existence of an absorbing set B for (ψ, e) in E.

To apply Theorem 3.1, we have to verify that $(\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}, B)$ satisfies the squeezing property.
Let U and $\bar{U}$ be two solutions of (2.12) - (2.14) and

$$\bar{V} = U - \bar{U} \tag{3.17}$$

Then $\bar{V}$ satisfies

$$\frac{d\bar{V}}{dt} + \mathcal{A}\bar{V} = R(U) - R(\bar{U}) \tag{3.18}$$

$$\bar{V}(0) = \bar{V}_0 \tag{3.19}$$

The selfadjoint positive definite operator $\mathcal{A}$ is given by (2.13) which has relabelled eigenvalues $\lambda^{(n)}$ $(n = 1, \cdots)$ satisfying

$$\lambda^{(n)} \to +\infty \qquad (n \to +\infty) \tag{3.20}$$

Let V_n be the corresponding eigenvector functions, i.e., $\mathcal{A}V_n = \lambda^{(n)}V_n$. Let $H_N = span\,\{V_1, \cdots, V_N\}$ and $P_N : H \to H_N$, the orthogonal projection onto H_N, and $Q_N = I - P_N$.
Let

$$W = Q_N\bar{V} \tag{3.21}$$

Then we have by (3.18) - (3.19)

$$\frac{dW}{dt} + \mathcal{A}W = Q_N(R(U) - R(\bar{U})) \tag{3.22}$$

$$W(0) = Q_N\bar{V}_0$$

Let $V = H_0^1 \times H_0^1$, then multiplying (3.22) by W^T, and integrating with respect to x yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W\|_H^2 + \|W\|_V^2 \leq \|W\|_H\|Q_N(R(U) - R(\bar{U}))\|_H \tag{3.23}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(\lambda^{(N+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|W\|_H\|R(U)-R(\bar{U})\|_V$$

When $U_0, \bar{U}_0 \in B$, we have by Theorem 3.2

$$\|U(t)\|_E \leq C,\ \|\bar{U}(t)\|_E \leq C,\ \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.24}$$

with $C > 0$ a constant depending on B. From the expression for R and Sobolev's imbedding theorem, we have

$$\|R(U)-R(\bar{U})\|_V \leq \tilde{C}\|U-\bar{U}\|_V \tag{3.25}$$

with $\tilde{C} > 0$ a constant depending only on B. From (3.23) we have that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W\|_H^2 + \lambda^{(N+1)}\|W\|_H^2 \leq \frac{\lambda^{(N+1)}}{2}\|W\|_H^2 + \frac{\tilde{C}^2}{2(\lambda^{N+1})^2}\|U-\bar{U}\|_V^2 \tag{3.26}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.26) yields

$$\|W(t)\|_H^2 \leq e^{-t\lambda^{(N+1)}}\|W(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{\tilde{C}^2}{(\lambda^{(N+1)})^2}\int_0^t \|U-\bar{U}\|_v^2 dt \tag{3.27}$$

On the other hand, from (3.18) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\bar{V}\|_H^2 + \|\bar{V}\|_V^2 \leq \|\bar{V}\|_H\|R(U)-R(\bar{U})\|_H \tag{3.28}$$

$$\leq C_1\|\bar{V}\|_H^2$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.28) yields

$$\int_0^t \|V\|_V^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{2C_1 t}\|V(0)\|_H^2 \tag{3.29}$$

Inserting (3.29) into (3.27), we obtain

$$\|W(t)\|_H^2 \leq e^{-\lambda^{(N+1)}t}\|W(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{C}^2}{(\lambda^{(N+1)})^2}e^{2C_1 t}\|\bar{V}(0)\|_H^2 \tag{3.30}$$

$$\leq (e^{-\lambda^{(N+1)}t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{C}^2}{(\lambda^{(N+1)})^2}e^{2C_1 t})\|\bar{V}(0)\|_H^2$$

from which the aqueezing property follows.
Indeed, we choose

$$t_\star = \frac{6ln2}{\lambda^{(1)}} \tag{3.31}$$

and we choose N_0 such that when $N \geq N_0$

$$\lambda^{(N+1)} \geq \frac{\tilde{C}}{8\sqrt{2}}e^{C_1 t_\star} \tag{3.32}$$

Thus if

$$\|P_{N_0}\bar{V}(t_\star)\|_H \leq \|Q_{N_0}\bar{V}(t_\star)\|_H \tag{3.33}$$

then

$$\|\bar{V}(t_\star)\|_H^2 \leq 2\|Q_{N_0}\bar{V}(t_\star)\|_H^2 \tag{3.34}$$

Also, from (3.30)

$$\|\bar{V}(t_\star)\|_H^2 \leq \frac{1}{64}\|\bar{V}(0)\|_H^2 \tag{3.35}$$

that is

$$\|\bar{V}(t_\star)\|_H \leq \frac{1}{8}\|\bar{V}(0)\|_H \tag{3.36}$$

which implies the squeezing property.

Applying Theorem 3.1, we have proved

Theorem 3.3 *Let* $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n (n \leq 3)$ *be a bounded domain with smooth boundary* Γ. *Then the system (2.12) - (2.14) (accordingly, the system (1.40) - (1.43)) has an inertial set* M *in* $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H^2 \cap H_0^1$ *(accordingly, an inertial set* M *in* $H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$*). Moreover, (3.3), (3.4) hold, where* $t_\star$ *and* N_0 *are given by (3.31), (3.32).*

Remark The same conclusion holds for the system with the Neumann boundary condition for ψ and the Dirichlet boundary condition for u.

4. The Energy Conserving System.

Here we consider the case where temperature and phase satisfy homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. There is an important difference between this situation and that discussed previously. In this case there is no bounded absorbing set for initial data varying throughout the whole space. This is because equation (1.2) and the boundary conditions imply

$$\int_\Omega (u + \frac{\ell}{2}\phi)dx = \int_\Omega (u_0 + \frac{\ell}{2}\phi_0)dx \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \tag{4.1}$$

This is not as problematic as it appears however. The energy conservation property (4.1) just means that all evolution takes place in an affine hyperplane and so to understand the dynamics we can consider each of these invariant hyperplanes separately.

We replace (1.3) by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, \ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \qquad (1.3)\text{''}$$

We change variables by writing

$$v = u + \frac{\ell}{2}\phi - c_0 \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega (u_0 + \frac{\ell}{2}\phi_0)dx \tag{4.3}$$

and work in $L^2 \times \overline{L^2}$ where

$$\overline{L^2} = \left\{ v \in L^2 : \int_\Omega v = 0 \right\}.$$

Spaces $\overline{H}^k = H^k \cap \overline{L^2}$ and $H^k_N \cap \overline{L_2}$ will also be used, where the subscript N refers to the weak homogeneous Neumann boundary condition being satisfied. Note that we have a Poincaré inequality for $v \in \overline{H}^1_N$.

Also, Equation (1.44) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \left(\xi^2|\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\phi^4 - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{2}{\ell}\left(v - \frac{\ell}{2}\phi + c_0\right)^2\right) dx$$

$$+\tau||\phi_t||^2 + \frac{4K}{\ell}||\nabla\left(v - \frac{\ell}{2}\phi\right)||^2 = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

In the same way as before, this yields an absorbing set in $H^1 \times \overline{L^2}$ for any fixed c_0. Similarly, the proof of Theorem 3.2 may be modified to obtain the existence of an absorbing set in $H^2_N \times \overline{H}^1$ for fixed c_0. The usual argument demonstrates the existence, for fixed c_0, of a global attractor which is compact in $H^1 \times \overline{L}^2$ (see Theorem 1.3).

To obtain inertial manifolds (or sets) for the system

$$\tau\phi_t = \xi^2\Delta\phi + \phi - \phi^3 + 2v - \ell\phi + 2c_0 \tag{4.5}$$

$$v_t = K\Delta v - \frac{K\ell}{2}\Delta\phi, \tag{4.6}$$

we again change variables to produce a self-adjoint linear part. Let

$$A^2 = -\Delta \text{ with domain } \overline{H}_N^2 \text{ in } \overline{L^2}. \tag{4.7}$$

Then A is positive definite on its domain, $\overline{H}^1$. Let

$$b = \frac{2}{\sqrt{K\ell}} \text{ and } e = bA^{-1}v. \tag{4.8}$$

then the system (4.5), (4.6) becomes

$$\tau\phi_t = \xi^2\Delta\phi + \sqrt{K\ell}Ae + f(\phi) \tag{4.9}$$

$$e_t = K\Delta e + \sqrt{K\ell}A\phi \tag{4.10}$$

where $f(\phi) = (1-\ell)\phi - \phi^3 + 2c_0$.

System (4.9)-(4.10) withinitial data $(\phi_0, bA^{-1}v_0)$ is equivalent to the original system in $H_N^2 \times \overline{H}_N^2$, as can be seen by existence and uniqueness of solutions. Furthermore, this modified system is in a form such that inertial manifolds and inertial sets can be shown to exist in the appropriate $H_N^k \times \overline{H}_N^k$ space, depending on $n \leq 3$.

Allowing c_0 to vary in $\mathbf{R}$ certainly changes the set of equilibria for (PF) with (1.3)" and hence the dynamics. However, state space should have a global, finite dimensional, attracting manifold for (u, ϕ) foliated with the invariant affine planes. Locally, this is the case. The question is how the inertial manifolds may change when c_0 passes through a critical value.

References

[AB] N. Alikakos and P. Bates, On the singular limit in a phase field model, Ann. I.H.P., Analyse nonlineaire, 6 (1988) 141-178.

[BF] P. Bates an d P. Fife, Spectral comparison principles for the Cahn-Hilliard and phase-field equations, and time scales for coarsening, Physician D. 43(1990), 335-348.

[C1] G. Caginalp, An analysis of a phase field model of a free boundary, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 92(1986), 205-245.

[C2] G. Caginalp, Solidification problems as systems of nonlinear differential equations, Lectures in Applied Math. 23. pp247-269., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986.

[C3]...., Phase field models: some conjectures and theorems for their sharp interface limits, Proc. Conf. on Free Boundary Problems, Irsee, 1987.

[C4] ...., mathematical models of phase boundaries, Material Instabilities in Continuium Mechanics and Related Mathematical Problems, pp 35-52, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988.

[CF] G. Caginalp and P.C. Fife, Dynamics of Layered interfaces arising from phase boundaries SIAM J. Appl. Math 48 (1988), 506-518.

[CH] R. Courant and D. hilbert, Methods of Mathematical Physics, Intersc iences Publishers, New York, 1953.

[EFNT1] A. Eden, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko and R. Temam, Ensembles inertiels pour des equations d'evolution dissipatives. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t 310, serie 1 (1990) 559-562.

[EFNT2] , Inertial sets for dissipative evolution equations, to appear in Appl. Math Letters.

[EMN] A. Eden, A.J. Milani and B. Nicolaenko, Finite dimensional exponential attractors for semilinear wave equations with damping. IM A preprint Series No. 693. 1990.

[EZ] Elliott and S. Zheng, Global existence and stability of solutions to the phase field equations, "Free Boundary Problems". K.H. Hoffmann, J. Sprekels, eds, International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Vol. 95, p. 46-58. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel. 1990.

[F] P.C. Fife, Pattern dynamics for parabolic PDEs, preprint, University of Utah, 1990.

[FG] P.C. Fife and G.S. Gill, The phase-field description of mushy zones, Physica D 35 (1989), 267-275.

[Fx] G.J.Fix, Phase field methods for free b oundary problems, Free Boundary Problems Theory and Applications, pp580-589, Pitman, London, 1983.

[FST] C. Foias, G. Sell. and R. Temam, Inertial Manifolds for nonlinear evolution equations, Journal of Diff. Eqs, 73 (1988), 309-353.

[H] D. Henry, Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations, Lecture Notes in Math. 840, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.

[HHM] B.I. Halperin, P.C. Hohenberg and S.-K. Ma, Renormalization group methods for critical dynamics I. Recursion relations and effects of energy conservation, Phys. Rev. B 10 (1974), 139-153.

[K] R. Kobayashi et al, videotape of solidification fronts and their instabilities for the phase field equation, University of Hiroshima, 1990.

[L1] J.S. Langer, Theory of the condensation point, Annals of Physics, 41 (1967) 108-157.

[L2]....,Models of pattern formation in first-order phase transitions, Directions in Condensed Matter Physics, pp 164-186, World Scientific, Singapore, 1986

[P] A. Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[PF] O. Penrose and P.C. Fife, Thermodynamically consistent models of phase-field type for the kinetics of phase transitions, Physica D 43(1990), 44-62.

[R] J. Richards, On the gap between numbers which are the sum of two squares, Adv. in Math. 46 (1982). 1-2.

[T] R. Temam, Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, Appl. Math. Sci. 68, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
721	**Ian M. Anderson, Niky Kamran and Peter J. Olver**, Internal, external and generalized symmetries	
722	**C. Foias and J.C. Saut**, Asymptotic integration of Navier–Stokes equations with potential forces. I	
723	**Ling Ma**, The convergence of semidiscrete methods for a system of reaction-diffusion equations	
724	**Adelina Georgescu**, Models of asymptotic approximation	
725	**A. Makagon and H. Salehi**, On bounded and harmonizable solutions on infinite order arma systems	
726	**San-Yih Lin and Yan-Shin Chin**, An upwind finite-volume scheme with a triangular mesh for conservation laws	
727	**J.M. Ball, P.J. Holmes, R.D. James, R.L. Pego & P.J. Swart**, On the dynamics of fine structure	
728	**KangPing Chen and Daniel D. Joseph**, Lubrication theory and long waves	
729	**J.L. Ericksen**, Local bifurcation theory for thermoelastic Bravais lattices	
730	**Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You**, Some stability results for perturbed semilinear parabolic equations	
731	**A.J. Lawrance**, Local and deletion influence	
732	**Bogdan Vernescu**, Convergence results for the homogenization of flow in fractured porous media	
733	**Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman**, Mathematical modeling of semiconductor lasers	
734	**Yongzhi Xu**, Scattering of acoustic wave by obstacle in stratified medium	
735	**Songmu Zheng**, Global existence for a thermodynamically consistent model of phase field type	
736	**Heinrich Freistühler and E. Bruce Pitman**, A numerical study of a rotationally degenerate hyperbolic system part I: the Riemann problem	
737	**Epifanio G. Virga**, New variational problems in the statics of liquid crystals	
738	**Yoshikazu Giga and Shun'ichi Goto**, Geometric evolution of phase-boundaries	
739	**Ling Ma**, Large time study of finite element methods for 2D Navier–Stokes equations	
740	**Mitchell Luskin and Ling Ma**, Analysis of the finite element approximation of microstructure in micromagnetics	
741	**M. Chipot**, Numerical analysis of oscillations in nonconvex problems	
742	**J. Carrillo and M. Chipot**, The dam problem with leaky boundary conditions	
743	**Eduard Harabetian and Robert Pego**, Efficient hybrid shock capturing schemes	
744	**B.L.J. Braaksma**, Multisummability and Stokes multipliers of linear meromorphic differential equations	
745	**Tae Il Jeon and Tze-Chien Sun**, A central limit theorem for non-linear vector functionals of vector Gaussian processes	
746	**Chris Grant**, Solutions to evolution equations with near-equilibrium initial values	
747	**Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You**, Invariant manifolds for retarded semilinear wave equations	
748	**Peter Rejto and Mario Taboada**, Unique solvability of nonlinear Volterra equations in weighted spaces	
749	**Hi Jun Choe**, Holder regularity for the gradient of solutions of certain singular parabolic equations	
750	**Jack D. Dockery**, Existence of standing pulse solutions for an excitable activator-inhibitory system	
751	**Jack D. Dockery and Roger Lui**, Existence of travelling wave solutions for a bistable evolutionary ecology model	
752	**Giovanni Alberti, Luigi Ambrosio and Giuseppe Buttazzo**, Singular perturbation problems with a compact support semilinear term	
753	**Emad A. Fatemi**, Numerical schemes for constrained minimization problems	
754	**Y. Kuang and H.L. Smith**, Slowly oscillating periodic solutions of autonomous state-dependent delay equations	
755	**Emad A. Fatemi**, A new splitting method for scaler conservation laws with stiff source terms	
756	**Hi Jun Choe**, A regularity theory for a more general class of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations and variational inequalities	
757	**Haitao Fan**, A vanishing viscosity approach on the dynamics of phase transitions in Van Der Waals fluids	
758	**T.A. Osborn and F.H. Molzahn**, The Wigner–Weyl transform on tori and connected graph propagator representations	
759	**Avner Friedman and Bei Hu**, A free boundary problem arising in superconductor modeling	
760	**Avner Friedman and Wenxiong Liu**, An augmented drift-diffusion model in semiconductor device	
761	**Avner Friedman and Miguel A. Herrero**, Extinction and positivity for a system of semilinear parabolic variational inequalities	
762	**David Dobson and Avner Friedman**, The time-harmonic Maxwell equations in a doubly periodic structure	
763	**Hi Jun Choe**, Interior behaviour of minimizers for certain functionals with nonstandard growth	
764	**Vincenzo M. Tortorelli and Epifanio G. Virga**, Axis-symmetric boundary-value problems for nematic liquid crystals with variable degree of orientation	
765	**Nikan B. Firoozye and Robert V. Kohn**, Geometric parameters and the relaxation of multiwell energies	
766	**Haitao Fan and Marshall Slemrod**, The Riemann problem for systems of conservation laws of mixed type	
767	**Joseph D. Fehribach**, Analysis and application of a continuation method for a self-similar coupled Stefan system	
768	**C. Foias, M.S. Jolly, I.G. Kevrekidis and E.S. Titi**, Dissipativity of numerical schemes	
769	**D.D. Joseph, T.Y.J. Liao and J.-C. Saut**, Kelvin-Helmholtz mechanism for side branching in the displacement of light with heavy fluid under gravity	

770 **Chris Grant**, Solutions to evolution equations with near-equilibrium initial values
771 **B. Cockburn, F. Coquel, Ph. LeFloch and C.W. Shu**, Convergence of finite volume methods
772 **N.G. Lloyd and J.M. Pearson**, Computing centre conditions for certain cubic systems
773 **João Palhoto Matos**, Young measures and the absence of fine microstructures in the $\alpha - \beta$ quartz phase transition
774 **L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy**, Self-similar solutions for infiltration of dopant into semiconductors
775 **H. Scott Dumas and James A. Ellison**, Nekhoroshev's theorem, ergodicity, and the motion of energetic charged particles in crystals
776 **Stathis Filippas and Robert V. Kohn**, Refined asymptotics for the blowup of $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$.
777 **Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips**, Maximum principles and a priori estimates for an incompressible material in nonlinear elasticity
778 **Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips**, Maximal smoothness of solutions to certain Euler–Lagrange equations from nonlinear elasticity
779 **Jack Carr and Robert Pego**, Self-similarity in a coarsening model in one dimension
780 **J.M. Greenberg**, The shock generation problem for a discrete gas with short range repulsive forces
781 **George R. Sell and Mario Taboada**, Local dissipativity and attractors for the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation in thin 2D domains
782 **T. Subba Rao**, Analysis of nonlinear time series (and chaos) by bispectral methods
783 **Nicholas Baumann, Daniel D. Joseph, Paul Mohr and Yuriko Renardy**, Vortex rings of one fluid in another free fall
784 **Oscar Bruno, Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich**, Asymptotic behavior for a coalescence problem
785 **Johannes C.C. Nitsche**, Periodic surfaces which are extremal for energy functionals containing curvature functions
786 **F. Abergel and J.L. Bona**, A mathematical theory for viscous, free-surface flows over a perturbed plane
787 **Gunduz Caginalp and Xinfu Chen**, Phase field equations in the singular limit of sharp interface problems
788 **Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu**, An inverse problem for harmonic acoustics in stratified oceans
789 **Roger Fosdick and Eric Volkmann**, Normality and convexity of the yield surface in nonlinear plasticity
790 **H.S. Brown, I.G. Kevrekidis and M.S. Jolly**, A minimal model for spatio–temporal patterns in thin film flow
791 **Chao–Nien Chen**, On the uniqueness of solutions of some second order differential equations
792 **Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman**, The thermistor problem for conductivity which vanishes at large temperature
793 **Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman**, The thermistor problem with one-zero conductivity
794 **E.G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr.**, Separation of variables for the Dirac equation in Kerr Newman space time
795 **E. Knobloch, M.R.E. Proctor and N.O. Weiss**, Finite-dimensional description of doubly diffusive convection
796 **V.V. Pukhnachov**, Mathematical model of natural convection under low gravity
797 **M.C. Knaap**, Existence and non-existence for quasi-linear elliptic equations with the p-laplacian involving critical Sobolev exponents
798 **Stathis Filippas and Wenxiong Liu**, On the blowup of multidimensional semilinear heat equations
799 **A.M. Meirmanov**, The Stefan problem with surface tension in the three dimensional case with spherical symmetry: non-existence of the classical solution
800 **Bo Guan and Joel Spruck**, Interior gradient estimates for solutions of prescribed curvature equations of of parabolic type
801 **Hi Jun Choe**, Regularity for solutions of nonlinear variational inequalities with gradient constraints
802 **Peter Shi and Yongzhi Xu**, Quasistatic linear thermoelasticity on the unit disk
803 **Satyanad Kichenassamy and Peter J. Olver**, Existence and non-existence of solitary wave solutions to higher order model evolution equations
804 **Dening Li**, Regularity of solutions for a two-phase degenerate Stefan Problem
805 **Marek Fila, Bernhard Kawohl and Howard A. Levine**, Quenching for quasilinear equations
806 **Yoshikazu Giga, Shun'ichi Goto and Hitoshi Ishii**, Global existence of weak solutions for interface equations coupled with diffusion equations
807 **Mark J. Friedman and Eusebius J. Doedel**, Computational methods for global analysis of homoclinic and hetero clinic orbits: a case study
808 **Mark J. Friedman**, Numerical analysis and accurate computation of heteroclinic orbits in the case of center manifolds
809 **Peter W. Bates and Songmu Zheng**, Inertial manifolds and inertial sets for the phase-field equations
810 **J. López Gómez, V. Márquez and N. Wolanski**, Global behavior of positive solutions to a semilinear equation with a nonlinear flux condition
811 **Xinfu Chen and Fahuai Yi**, Regularity of the free boundary of a continuous casting problem
812 **Eden, A., Foias, C., Nicolaenko, B. and Temam, R.**, Inertial sets for dissipative evolution equations Part I: Construction and applications
813 **Jose–Francisco Rodrigues and Boris Zaltzman**, On classical solutions of the two-phase steady-state Stefan problem in strips
814 **Viorel Barbu and Srdjan Stojanovic**, Controlling the free boundary of elliptic variational inequalities on a variable domain