

7-22-2017

Inferring Foliar Water Uptake Using Stable Isotopes of Water

Gregory R. Goldsmith
Chapman University, goldsmit@chapman.edu

Marco M. Lehmann
Paul Scherrer Institute

Lucas A. Cernusak
James Cook University

Matthias Arend
Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research

Rolf T.W. Siegwolf
Paul Scherrer Institute

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/sees_articles

 Part of the [Botany Commons](#), [Other Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Plant Biology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Goldsmith, G.R., M.M. Lehmann, L.A. Cernusak, M. Arend & R.T.W. Siegwolf. 2017. Inferring foliar water uptake using stable isotopes of water. *Oecologia* 184: 763-766.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Science and Technology Faculty Articles and Research at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology, Chemistry, and Environmental Sciences Faculty Articles and Research by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.

Inferring Foliar Water Uptake Using Stable Isotopes of Water

Comments

This is a pre-copy-editing, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in *Oecologia*, volume 184, issue 4, in 2017 following peer review. The final publication is available at Springer via DOI: [10.1007/s00442-017-3917-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-017-3917-1)

Copyright

Springer

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 **Inferring foliar water uptake using stable isotopes of water**

2

3 Gregory R. Goldsmith^{1,2}, Marco M. Lehmann^{1,3}, Lucas A. Cernusak⁴, Matthias Arend^{3,5} & Rolf

4

T.W. Siegwolf¹

5

6 1) Ecosystem Fluxes Group, Laboratory for Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute,

7 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

8

9 2) Schmid College of Science and Technology, Chapman University, Orange, CA 92866 USA

10

11 3) Forest Dynamics, Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape

12 Research (WSL), 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

13

14 4) College of Marine and Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Cairns,

15 Queensland 4878, Australia

16

17 5) Department of Environmental Sciences-Botany, University of Basel, 4051 Basel,

18 Switzerland

19

20 Correspondence: Gregory R. Goldsmith, Schmid College of Science and Technology,

21 Chapman University, Orange, CA 92866 USA, Email: goldsmit@chapman.edu

22

23 **Author Contributions**

24

25 GRG and RS conceived of the project. GRG, MML, and LC performed the research with

26 assistance from MA. GRG analyzed the data and wrote the paper with contributions from all

27 the authors.

28 **Abstract**

29

30 A growing number of studies have described the direct absorption of water into leaves, a
31 phenomenon known as foliar water uptake. The resultant increase in the amount of water
32 in the leaf can be important for plant function. Exposing leaves to isotopically enriched or
33 depleted water sources has become a common method for establishing whether or not a
34 plant is capable of carrying out foliar water uptake. However, a careful inspection of our
35 understanding of the fluxes of water isotopes between leaves and the atmosphere under
36 high humidity conditions shows that there can clearly be isotopic exchange between the
37 two pools even in the absence of a change in the mass of water in the leaf. We provide
38 experimental evidence that while leaf water isotope ratios may change following exposure
39 to a fog event using water with a depleted oxygen isotope ratio, leaf mass only changes
40 when leaves are experiencing a water deficit that creates a driving gradient for the uptake
41 of water by the leaf. Studies that rely on stable isotopes of water as a means of studying
42 plant water use, particularly with respect to foliar water uptake, must consider the effects
43 of these isotopic exchange processes.

44

45

46

47 **Key words:** fog, isotope dendrochronology, leaf wetting, plant-water relations, stomata

48

49

50

51 **Body of Text**

52

53 Foliar water uptake describes the process by which plants absorb water into their leaves,
54 resulting in a net increase in the mass of water in the leaf. This occurs when saturating
55 atmospheric water vapor conditions result in a driving gradient for water to enter into a
56 leaf that is at a more negative water potential (Simonin et al. 2009; Goldsmith 2013; Vesala
57 et al. 2017). The conditions necessary for this phenomenon are often observed in dew- and
58 fog-affected ecosystems such as coastal Mediterranean ecosystems (Burgess and Dawson
59 2004; Baguskas et al. 2016) and tropical montane cloud forests (Eller et al. 2013; Gotsch et
60 al. 2014; Malhi et al. 2017), where fog (often leading to leaf wetting) serves as an
61 alternative plant water source during the dry season. However, the effects of precipitation
62 events are similar and foliar water uptake has now been described as affecting plant water
63 and carbon relations in > 70 species from a number of different ecosystems (Goldsmith et
64 al. 2013).

65 The capacity for species to do foliar water uptake has frequently been established
66 by means of water isotope labeling experiments (Burgess and Dawson 2004; Breshears et
67 al. 2008; Limm et al. 2009; Eller et al. 2013; Berry and Smith 2014; Berry et al. 2014;
68 Gotsch et al. 2014; Cassana et al. 2015; Eller et al. 2016; Emery 2016; Schwerbrock and
69 Leuschner 2017). This method relies on exposing leaves to a water source that is highly
70 enriched or depleted in heavy isotopes of oxygen or hydrogen compared to that of the
71 xylem source water and measuring for the presence of this label in the leaf. The labeled
72 water is delivered through a simulated fog or leaf wetting event using an ultrasonic fog

73 machine or a simple spray bottle. A shift in the bulk leaf water isotope ratio towards that of
74 the labeled water source is interpreted as foliar water uptake.

75 Water molecules can also exchange back and forth between the leaf and the
76 atmosphere without a net increase in the mass of water in the leaf (Kim and Lee 2011).
77 This occurs when atmospheric vapor pressure (e_a) increases relative to leaf vapor pressure
78 (e_i). As a result, the air to leaf vapor pressure deficit decreases and reduces the driving
79 gradient for water loss from the leaf. Stomata generally open in response to decreasing
80 VPD (Lange et al. 1971), leading to an increase in leaf stomatal conductance (g_s) in the light,
81 even though net transpiration (E) is decreasing to zero. Thus, with the stomata open, but
82 transpiration suppressed due to e_a/e_i reaching unity (i.e. 100% relative humidity), water
83 molecules simply move from the leaf to the atmosphere and vice versa with no net flux.
84 This is akin to isotopic exchange between two pools of water in a closed system (Clark and
85 Fritz 1997). Notably, this changes the isotope ratio of the leaf water, but does not lead to a
86 net increase in the amount of water in the leaf. Thus, it is not possible to distinguish
87 between a change in the leaf water isotope ratio due to foliar water uptake (net gain H₂O)
88 versus a change caused solely by water isotopes simply exchanging back and forth (no net
89 change H₂O) between the leaf and the atmosphere (Figure 1).

90 The effect of the isotope exchange of water across the leaf surface on leaf water
91 isotope ratios at steady state is described by the Craig-Gordon Model (Craig and Gordon
92 1965; Dongmann et al. 1974):

93

$$94 \quad e = e_a + k \left(\frac{e_i}{e_a} - 1 \right) \quad (1)$$

95

96 where the enrichment in leaf water isotopes relative to the source (Δ_e) is a function of
97 equilibrium (ϵ^+) and kinetic fractionation factors (ϵ_k), the enrichment in atmospheric water
98 vapor isotopes relative to source water isotopes (Δ_v), and the ratio of ambient air vapor
99 pressure to leaf intracellular vapor pressure (e_a/e_i). Equilibrium fractionation occurs with
100 the phase change of water from liquid to vapor within the stomata, whereas kinetic
101 fractionation occurs with the diffusion of that vapor through the stomata and boundary
102 layer into the atmosphere. Dongmann et al. (1974) notes that when e_a/e_i is at unity, the
103 model simplifies to:

104

$$105 \quad \epsilon_e = \epsilon^+ + \epsilon_k \left(\frac{e_a}{e_i} - 1 \right) + \Delta_v \left(\frac{e_a}{e_i} - 1 \right) \quad (2)$$

106

107 In Eq. 2, the stable isotope ratio of water in leaves is not subject to kinetic fractionation and
108 can be explained solely by a temperature-dependent equilibrium fractionation factor and
109 the difference in atmospheric water vapor isotopes relative to source water isotopes. It is
110 important to note that this theory cannot distinguish between the effects of foliar water
111 uptake versus bi-directional exchange.

112 The exchange of water isotopes between leaves and the atmosphere in the absence
113 of foliar water uptake can be demonstrated experimentally. We exposed leaves from well-
114 watered poplar (*Populus x canescens*) plants growing in a high humidity (~80%) growth
115 chamber to a fog event using water with a depleted oxygen isotope ratio. We excised leaves
116 at full water content, measured the leaf mass, and sealed the petioles from water entry.

117 Leaves were either immediately exposed to fog, or allowed to lose 5 or 10% of their initial

118 mass prior to fog exposure to create a driving gradient for water to enter the leaf through
119 foliar water uptake (n = 1 leaf each from 5 individuals per treatment). Fog was generated
120 using an ultrasonic fog machine (Ultrasonic 3, CIS Products, France) and supplemented by
121 periodic physical spraying for 1 h (i.e. fog leading to leaf wetting). We then quickly and
122 carefully dried the leaf surfaces, re-measured the leaf mass, and sealed the leaf in a glass
123 vial to later measure the oxygen isotope ratios of bulk leaf water via isotope ratio mass
124 spectrometry. We compared the three treatments (0, 5, and 10% mass loss) with the
125 isotope ratio of the source water provided to the plants (for methods, see Online Resource).
126 All data are available in the KNB data repository (Goldsmith et al. 2017).

127 The leaf water isotope ratios of all three treatments shifted towards the depleted
128 isotopic label following fog exposure (Figure 2). However, the leaf mass of the 0%
129 treatment did not change, indicating the exchange of water isotopes even though there was
130 no foliar water uptake. The 5 and 10% treatments recovered some (but not all) of their
131 initial mass, as would be predicted by the establishment of a driving gradient for foliar
132 water uptake. Due to the short duration of the experiment, the leaf water isotope ratios did
133 not converge with the labeled water vapor, as would be predicted by theory and has been
134 observed in other experimental approaches (Kim and Lee 2011).

135 The observation that leaf water isotopes exchange even in the absence of a change
136 in leaf mass is of particular importance if the primary pathway for foliar water uptake is
137 stomata (Burkhardt et al. 2012). However, the pathways for foliar water uptake are not yet
138 fully resolved. There is evidence for water entry through hydathodes, trichomes, fungal
139 hyphae and the cuticle, depending on the species under study (Burgess and Dawson 2004;
140 Oliveira et al. 2014). However, even if the primary pathways were to be something other

141 than the stomata, inferences regarding foliar water uptake could still be confounded by bi-
142 directional exchange through open (or at night, partially open) stomata.

143 There are other analogous applications of water isotope tracers that should also be
144 considered. Branch water uptake directly through bark has been studied by submerging
145 branch segments into labeled water sources; even in the absence of stomata, it is likely that
146 isotopic exchange will occur given sufficient time (Mayr et al. 2014; Earles et al. 2015).

147 Several studies have also used differences between the stable isotope ratios of fog versus
148 soil water to infer the proportional use of these two sources through sampling of xylem
149 water isotope ratios (Berry et al. 2013; Fischer et al. 2016; Fu et al. 2016). Here, it must be
150 assumed that the water in the xylem could come from a combination of the 1) soil water
151 derived from precipitation, 2) drip of intercepted fog water from the plant canopy into the
152 soil, 3) foliar uptake of fog water, or 4) bi-directional exchange of fog water with leaf water.
153 The subsequent incorporation of these water isotopes into plant tissue (e.g. $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of tree
154 rings) has also been proposed as a means of tracing the contribution of fog water to plant
155 water use over time (Hu and Riveros-Iregui 2016); our results may help explain patterns
156 observed in fog-affected environments (Anchukaitis et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2012).

157 Foliar water uptake remains a real phenomenon. There are a number of different
158 methods to independently establish its existence, including sapflow (Burgess and Dawson
159 2004), dye tracers (Eller et al. 2013), gravimetric approaches (Limm et al. 2009), and plant
160 water potentials (Goldsmith et al. 2013). In fact, many of the research studies cited above
161 combined stable isotope labeling experiments with other methods and thus the results are
162 likely to stand. As no single method is perfect, we recommend that investigators try to use
163 multiple means to establish foliar water uptake wherever possible.

164

165 **Acknowledgements**

166

167 We thank L. Schmid for lab assistance and the reviewers for constructive comments. This

168 research was funded through SNF (31003A_153428/1) and the European Community's

169 Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007- 2013) under grant agreement number 290605

170 (COFUND: PSI-FELLOW).

171

172

173

174 **Literature Cited**

175

176 Anchukaitis KJ, Evans MN, Wheelwright NT, Schrag DP (2008) Stable isotope chronology
177 and climate signal calibration in neotropical montane cloud forest trees. *J Geophys Res*
178 113:1–17. doi: 10.1029/2007JG000613

179 Baguskas SA, Still CJ, Fischer DT, D'Antonio CM, King JY (2016) Coastal fog during summer
180 drought improves the water status of sapling trees more than adult trees in a California
181 pine forest. *Oecologia* 18:1–12. doi: 10.1007/s00442-016-3556-y

182 Berry ZC, Hughes NM, Smith WK (2013) Cloud immersion: an important water source for
183 spruce and fir saplings in the southern Appalachian Mountains. *Oecologia* 174:319–
184 326. doi: 10.1007/s00442-013-2770-0

185 Berry ZC, Smith WK (2014) Experimental cloud immersion and foliar water uptake in
186 saplings of *Abies fraseri* and *Picea rubens*. *Trees* doi: 10.1007/s00468-013-0934-5

187 Berry ZC, White JC, Smith WK (2014) Foliar uptake, carbon fluxes and water status are
188 affected by the timing of daily fog in saplings from a threatened cloud forest. *Tree*
189 *Physiol* 34:459–470. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpu032

190 Breshears D, McDowell N, Goddard K, Dayem K, Martens S, Meyer C, Brown K (2008) Foliar
191 absorption of intercepted rainfall improves woody plant water status most during
192 drought. *Ecology* 89:41–47. doi: 10.1890/07-0437.1

193 Burgess S, Dawson T (2004) The contribution of fog to the water relations of *Sequoia*
194 *sempervirens* (D. Don): foliar uptake and prevention of dehydration. *Plant Cell Environ*
195 27:1023–1034. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01207.x

196 Burkhardt J, Basi S, Pariyar S, Hunsche M (2012) Stomatal penetration by aqueous
197 solutions—an update involving leaf surface particles. *New Phytol* 196:774–787. doi:
198 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04307.x

199 Cassana FF, Eller CB, Oliveira RS, Dillenburg LR (2015) Effects of soil water availability on
200 foliar water uptake of *Araucaria angustifolia*. *Plant and Soil* 399:147–157. doi:
201 10.1007/s11104-015-2685-0

202 Clark ID, Fritz P (1997) *Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC
203 Press.

204 Craig H, Gordon LI (1965) Deuterium and oxygen 18 variations in the ocean and the marine
205 atmosphere. In: Tongiorgi E (ed) *Stable isotopes in oceanographic studies and*
206 *paleotemperatures*. Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche, Pisa, pp 9–130

207 Dongmann G, Nürnberg HW, Förstel H, Wagener K (1974) On the enrichment of $H_2^{18}O$ in
208 the leaves of transpiring plants. *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics* 11:41–52.

209 Earles JM, Sperling O, Silva LCR, McElrone AJ, Brodersen CR, North MP, Zwieniecki MA
210 (2016) Bark water uptake promotes localized hydraulic recovery in coastal redwood
211 crown. *Plant Cell Environ* 39:320–328. doi: 10.1111/pce.12612

212 Eller CB, Lima AL, Oliveira RS (2013) Foliar uptake of fog water and transport belowground

213 alleviates drought effects in the cloud forest tree species, *Drimys brasiliensis*
214 (Winteraceae). *New Phytol* 199:151–162. doi: 10.1111/nph.12248

215 Eller CB, Lima AL, Oliveira RS (2016) Cloud forest trees with higher foliar water uptake
216 capacity and anisohydric behavior are more vulnerable to drought and climate change.
217 *New Phytol* 211:489–501. doi: 10.1111/nph.13952

218 Emery NC (2016) Foliar uptake of fog in coastal California shrub species. *Oecologia*
219 182:731–742. doi: 10.1007/s00442-016-3712-4

220 Fischer DT, Still CJ, Ebert CM, Baguskas SA (2016) Fog drip maintains dry season ecological
221 function in a California coastal pine forest. *Ecosphere* 7:Article e01364. doi:
222 10.1002/ecs2.1364

223 Fu PL, Liu WJ, Fan ZX, Cao KF (2016) Is fog an important water source for woody plants in
224 an Asian tropical karst forest during the dry season? *Ecohydrology* 9:964–972. doi:
225 10.1002/eco.1694

226 Goldsmith GR (2013) Changing directions: the atmosphere-plant-soil continuum. *New*
227 *Phytol* 199:4–6. doi: 10.1111/nph.12332

228 Goldsmith GR, Lehmann MM, Cernusak LA, Arend M, Siegwolf RTW (2017) Data from:
229 Goldsmith et al. - Inferring foliar water uptake using stable isotopes of water.
230 Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity (KNB) doi: 10.5063/F1GH9FXV

231 Goldsmith GR, Matzke NJ, Dawson TE (2013) The incidence and implications of clouds for
232 cloud forest plant water relations. *Ecol Lett* 16:307–314. doi: 10.1111/ele.12039

233 Gotsch SG, Asbjornsen H, Holwerda F, Goldsmith GR, Weintraub AE, Dawson TE (2014)
234 Foggy days and dry nights determine crown-level water balance in a seasonal tropical
235 montane cloud forest. *Plant Cell Environ* 37:261–272. doi: 10.1111/pce.12151

236 Hu J, Riveros-Iregui DA (2016) Life in the clouds: are tropical montane cloud forests
237 responding to changes in climate? *Oecologia* 180:1061–1073. doi: 10.1007/s00442-
238 015-3533-x

239 Kim K, Lee X (2011) Transition of stable isotope ratios of leaf water under simulated dew
240 formation. *Plant Cell Environ* 34:1790–1801. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02375.x

241 Lange OL, Lösch R, Schulze ED, Kappen L (1971) Responses of stomata to changes in
242 humidity. *Planta* 100:76–86. doi: 10.1007/BF00386887

243 Limm EB, Simonin KA, Bothman AG, Dawson TE (2009) Foliar water uptake: a common
244 water acquisition strategy for plants of the redwood forest. *Oecologia* 161:449–459.
245 doi: 10.1007/s00442-009-1400-3

246 Malhi Y, Girardin CAJ, Goldsmith GR, Doughty CE, Salinas N, Metcalfe DB, Huaraca Huasco
247 W, Silva-Espejo JE, Aguilla Pasquell J, Farfan-Amezquita F, Aragão LEOC, Guerrieri R,
248 Yoko Ishida F, Bahar NHA, Farfan-Rios W, Phillips OL, Meir P, Silman M (2017) The
249 variation of productivity and its allocation along a tropical elevation gradient: a whole
250 carbon budget perspective. *New Phytol* 214:1019-1032 doi: 10.1111/nph.14189

251 Mayr S, Schmid P, Laur J, Rosner S, Charra-Vaskou K, Dämon B, Hacke UG (2014) Uptake of
252 water via branches helps timberline conifers refill embolized xylem in late winter. *Plant*

253 Physiol 164:1731-1740. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.236646

254 Oliveira RS, Eller CB, Bittencourt P (2014) The hydroclimatic and ecophysiological basis of
255 cloud forest distributions under current and projected climates. *Ann Bot* 119:909–920.
256 doi: 10.1093/aob/mcu060

257 Schwerbrock R, Leuschner C (2017) Foliar water uptake, a widespread phenomenon in
258 temperate woodland ferns? *Plant Ecol* doi: 10.1007/s11258-017-0711-4

259 Simonin KA, Santiago LS, Dawson TE (2009) Fog interception by *Sequoia sempervirens* (D.
260 Don) crowns decouples physiology from soil water deficit. *Plant Cell Environ* 32:882–
261 892. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01967.x

262 Vesala T, Sevanto S, Grönholm T, Salmon Y, Nikinmaa E, Hari P, Hölttä (2017) Effect of Leaf
263 Water Potential on Internal Humidity and CO₂ Dissolution: Reverse Transpiration and
264 Improved Water Use Efficiency under Negative Pressure. *Front Plant Sci* 8:1399–10.
265 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00054

266 Zhu M, Stott L, Buckley B, Yoshimura K (2012) 20th century seasonal moisture balance in
267 Southeast Asian montane forests from tree cellulose $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. *Clim Change* 115:505–517.
268 doi: 10.1007/s10584-012-0439-z

269


270 **Figure Legend**

271


272 **Figure 1.** Leaf water isotope ratios can vary due to (a) the effects of transpiration when the
273 ratio of ambient air vapor pressure to leaf intracellular vapor pressure (e_a/e_i) < 1, (b) the
274 effects of transpiration suppression when $e_a/e_i = 1$, which results in no change in the mass
275 of leaf water and (c) the effects of foliar water uptake when $e_a/e_i \geq 1$, which results in a net
276 increase in the mass of leaf water. The effects of bi-directional exchange of water isotopes
277 between the leaf and the atmosphere observed in (b) cannot be distinguished from the net
278 uptake of water isotopes from the atmosphere in (c).

279


280 **Figure 2.** Changes in (a) the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of water and (b) the percent change in fresh leaf mass of
281 poplar (*Populus x canescens*) leaves exposed to a fog source water depleted in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ after 0,
282 5, and 10% loss of fresh leaf mass achieved through bench drying (n = 1 leaf each from 5
283 individuals per treatment). Data are means \pm 1 SD.





Transpiration ($e_a/e_i < 1$)
Net loss H_2O
 H_2O isotopes enriched



No transpiration ($e_a/e_i = 1$)
No net change H_2O
 H_2O isotopes exchange



Foliar water uptake ($e_a/e_i \geq 1$)
Net gain H_2O
 H_2O isotopes taken up





Click here to access/download
Supplementary Material
Goldsmith_ESM_27Mar17.docx

