Inherited Mutations in Cancer Susceptibility Genes Are Common Among Survivors of Breast Cancer Who Develop Therapy-Related Leukemia

Jane E. Churpek, MD^{1,2,3}; Rafael Marquez, BA²; Barbara Neistadt, MHS²; Kimberly Claussen, BA²; Ming K. Lee, PhD⁴;
Matthew M. Churpek, MD, MPH, PhD^{2,5}; Dezheng Huo, PhD⁵; Howard Weiner²; Mekhala Bannerjee, MS²;
Lucy A. Godley, MD, PhD^{1,2,3}; Michelle M. Le Beau, PhD^{2,3}; Colin C. Pritchard, MD, PhD⁶; Tom Walsh, PhD⁴;
Mary-Claire King, PhD⁴; Olufunmilayo I. Olopade, MD^{1,2,3}; and Richard A. Larson, MD^{2,3}

BACKGROUND: Risk factors for the development of therapy-related leukemia (TRL), an often lethal late complication of cytotoxic therapy, remain poorly understood and may differ for survivors of different malignancies. Survivors of breast cancer (BC) now account for the majority of TRL cases, making the study of TRL risk factors in this population a priority. **METHODS:** Subjects with TRL after cytotoxic therapy for a primary BC were identified from the TRL registry at The University of Chicago. Those with an available germline DNA sample were screened with a comprehensive gene panel covering known inherited BC susceptibility genes. Clinical and TRL characteristics of all subjects and those with identified germline mutations were described. **RESULTS:** Nineteen of 88 survivors of BC with TRL (22%) had an additional primary cancer and 40 of the 70 survivors with an available family history (57%) had a close relative with breast, ovarian, or pancreatic cancer. Of the 47 subjects with available DNA, 10 (21%) were found to carry a deleterious inherited mutation in *BRCA1* (3 subjects; 6%), *BRCA2* (2 subjects; 4%), *TP53* (tumor protein p53) (3 subjects; 6%), *CHEK2* (checkpoint kinase 2) (1 subject; 2%), and *PALB2* (partner and localizer of BRCA2) (1 subject; 2%). **CONCLUSIONS:** Survivors of BC with TRL have personal and family histories suggestive of inherited cancer susceptibility and frequently carry germline mutations in BC susceptibility genes. The data from the current study support the role of these genes in TRL risk and suggest that long-term follow-up studies of women with germline mutations who are treated for BC and functional studies of the effects of heterozygous mutations in these genes on bone marrow function after cytotoxic exposures are warranted. **Cancer 2016;122:304-11**. © *2015 American Cancer Society*.

KEYWORDS: breast cancer, inherited, leukemia, therapy-related.

INTRODUCTION

Therapy-related leukemias (TRLs), including therapy-related myeloid neoplasms (t-MNs) and therapy-related acute lymphoblastic leukemia (t-ALL), are an often lethal, late complication of prior cytotoxic therapy for survivors of a first cancer.¹⁻⁴ With increases in cancer survivorship,⁵ the number of cases of TRL is expected to rise. Thus, efforts to understand and prevent this complication are essential.

TRLs currently are believed to be the direct consequence of mutational events induced by prior cytotoxic exposures, but to our knowledge, the exact mechanisms and risk factors remain unclear. Associations between specific exposures and the phenotype of the TRL that develops support a key role for the exposures in the genesis of TRL. For example, exposure to topoisomerase II inhibitors is associated with TRL characterized by clonal cytogenetic abnormalities involving *KMT2A/MLL* on chromosome band 11q23 with a short latency of 2 to 3 years after exposure. In contrast, exposure to al-kylating agents or radiation is associated with TRL with abnormalities of chromosomes 5 and/or 7, which more often occur with a latency of 5 to 7 years.⁶ Furthermore, the incidence of TRL is reported to be increased in breast cancer (BC)

We gratefully acknowledge the patients who participated in this study.

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Corresponding author: Jane E. Churpek, MD, Center for Clinical Cancer Genetics, The University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Ave, MC2115, Chicago, IL 60635; Fax: (773) 702-9268; jchurpek@bsd.uchicago.edu

¹Center for Clinical Cancer Genetics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois; ²Department of Medicine, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois; ³Comprehensive Cancer Center, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois; ⁴Division of Medical Genetics, Department of Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington; ⁵Department of Health Studies, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois; ⁶Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

See editorial on pages 178-180, this issue.

DOI: 10.1002/cncr.29615, Received: February 20, 2015; Accepted: April 9, 2015, Published online December 7, 2015 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com)

adjuvant trials using higher chemotherapy dose intensity, concomitant use of radiation, and/or the use of hematopoietic growth factors.^{7,8}

However, the observation of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and ALL cases occurring in patients after they have undergone surgery only for a primary malignancy¹⁻ ^{3,9} raises the possibility that some TRLs may be independent second primary cancers unrelated to prior cytotoxic exposures. Individuals with inherited cancer syndromes such as Li-Fraumeni syndrome or dyskeratosis congenita, which predispose affected individuals to both leukemias and solid tumors, could explain some of these cases and present clinically in a manner similar to TRLs. Another possibility is that individuals who carry an inherited mutation in a cancer susceptibility gene could be at a higher risk of developing TRL after DNA-damaging exposures compared with other patients.

Because survivors of BC now account for the largest number of TRL cases,^{2,10} and the genes responsible for inherited susceptibility to BC are well characterized, patients who develop TRL after BC represent an ideal population in which to examine the role of inherited cancer susceptibility in the etiology of TRL. However, to the best of our knowledge, a comprehensive assessment of all currently known moderate-penetrance to high-penetrance BC susceptibility genes in patients with TRL after BC has not been performed to date. Herein we present the clinical and TRL characteristics of 88 well-annotated survivors of BC with TRL and the results of a comprehensive screen for inherited mutations in known BC susceptibility genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

Cases were drawn from the TRL registry at The University of Chicago, which contains data regarding all consented patients with a history of cytotoxic exposures for a prior malignant or nonmalignant condition who subsequently developed myelodysplastic syndrome or an acute leukemia and were evaluated at The University of Chicago between 1972 and 2012. Additional clinical data were abstracted by individual chart review. Family histories consisted of physician documentation at the time of initial consultation. Formal pedigrees were available for 8 subjects who had a prior cancer risk evaluation. The current study was approved by The University of Chicago Institutional Review Board in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Definitions

Latency was defined as the time from the first cytotoxic exposure to the first bone marrow examination diagnostic of a TRL. The mechanism of action of chemotherapeutic agents was categorized as previously defined.⁴ Cytogenetic abnormalities were detailed according to the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature.¹¹

Tissue Sources

Constitutional DNA sources included Epstein-Barr virustransformed lymphoblastoid cell lines generated at the time of complete remission; buccal swabs, peripheral blood, or bone marrow obtained at the time of complete remission; and cultured skin fibroblasts. A leukemia sample was used if it was the only sample available with sufficient DNA.

BC Susceptibility Gene Sequencing

BROCA targeted genomic capture (an openly available, targeted capture and genomic sequencing approach) and next-generation sequencing (NGS) were performed as previously described (see Supporting Information Table 1).¹² Single-nucleotide variants, small insertions and deletions (indels), and large genomic rearrangements were identified as previously described.^{12,13} Deleterious mutations (defined as nonsense and frameshift mutations, large genomic rearrangements, and missense mutations with experimental evidence supporting their deleterious nature) were validated by independent polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and Sanger sequencing or by real-time PCR using TaqMan probes (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, Calif). Variants were only considered germline if they were confirmed in a constitutional DNA source.

Acquired Mutation Sequencing

OncoPlex targeted genomic capture and NGS was performed as previously described (see Supporting Information Table 2).¹⁴ All variants with data supporting a role in leukemia were validated by independent PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing. Constitutional DNAs were used to confirm the somatic nature of identified variants when available.

Statistical Analysis

Kaplan-Meier curves were used to calculate overall survival (OS). Stata statistical software was used for all analyses (version 12.1; StataCorp; College Station, Tex).

RESULTS

Clinical Characteristics of Survivors of BC Who Developed TRL

In total, 88 female survivors of BC were identified (Table 1). The median age at the time of diagnosis of primary BC was 52 years (range, 23-83 years). Nineteen subjects (22%) had an additional primary cancer diagnosis. A family cancer history was available for 70 subjects (80%), of whom 40 (57%) reported at least 1 first-degree or seconddegree relative with breast, ovarian, or pancreatic cancer. Of those subjects for whom prior cytotoxic exposure data were available (86 patients; 98%), chemotherapy was a component of the exposures for 67 subjects (78%). All but 1 patient received a multiagent regimen. Regimens incorporating both doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide were the most common (37 subjects; 56%). Radiation exposure was reported for 68 subjects (79%). Four subjects (5%) had undergone a prior autologous stem cell transplantation and 11 (13%) had received myeloid growth factors.

TRL Characteristics in Survivors of BC

The majority of survivors of BC developed t-MN (81 patients; 92%), but 7 cases of t-ALL (8%) were also observed (Table 1). The median latency from the time of first cytotoxic exposure to TRL diagnosis among the 86 patients for whom latency was available was 58 months (interquartile range, 28-105 months). Clonal cytogenetic abnormalities were observed in 77 of the 84 subjects with an available karyotype (92%). Among these, abnormalities of chromosomes 5 and/or 7 and recurring balanced translocations were both common, occurring in 51% (43 patients) and 35% (29 patients) of subjects, respectively. Rearrangements involving KMT2A/MLL on chromosome band 11q23 were the most common (11 of 84 subjects; 13%), followed by t(15;17) (6 of 84 subjects; 7%) and those involving 21q22 (5 of 84 subjects; 6%) (see Supporting Information Table 3). Greater than 25% of the observed recurring balanced translocations were t(9;11)(p22;q23) (8 of 29 subjects; 28%). OS after a diagnosis of TRL was poor (median, 13 months; interquartile range, 5-22 months).

Inherited Mutation Detection and Distribution

BROCA targeted capture and NGS of the 47 subjects for whom DNAs were available resulted in >500-fold median coverage with 97% and 99.5% of bases covered at least 50-fold and 10-fold, respectively. The clinical characteristics of sequenced subjects did not differ from the 41 subjects without available DNA

Characteristic	No. (%)
Age at diagnosis of BC, y	
<35	9 (10)
36–45	14 (16)
46–55	29 (33)
>56	34 (39)
Unknown	2 (2)
Race/ethnicity	
White (non-AJ)	65 (74)
White (AJ)	3 (3)
African American	5 (6)
Other/unknown	15 (17)
Additional cancer diagnoses $(n = 19)^{a}$	
Second primary BC	7 (8)
Ovarian cancer	3 (3)
Other	12 (14)
Family history of cancer in a first-degree or	.= ()
second-degree relative $(n = 70)$	
BC	33 (47)
Breast, ovarian, or pancreatic cancer	40 (57)
Prior therapy	()
Chemotherapy plus BT	49 (56) ^b
Chemotherapy only	18 (20) ^b
BT only	19 (22)
Linknown	2 (2)
Chemotherapy class exposures	L (L)
Topoisomerase II inhibitor	40 (45)
	58 (66)
	8 (9)
Type of TBI	0 (0)
t-MN	81 (92)
+ ^11	7 (9)
Median latency (IOR) mo ^c	7 (0) 58 (28–105)
Cytogenetics ^d	50 (20-105)
Normal karvetype	7 (9)
	7 (0)
Abnormalities of chromosome 5 and/or 7	17 (00)
Recurring balanced translocations	
Ather clopal abnormality	23 (33) 7 (9)
	7 (O) A (E)
	4 (3)
From BC diagnosis ^e	102 (60 172)
From TDL diagnosis	102 (00-173)
FIOITI THE DIAGNOSIS	13 (5–22)

Abbreviations: AJ, Ashkenazi Jewish; BC, breast cancer; IQR, interquartile range; OS, overall survival; RT, radiotherapy; t-ALL, therapy-related acute lymphoblastic leukemia; t-MN, therapy-related myeloid neoplasm; TRL, therapy-related leukemia.

^a Included 3 subjects with multiple primary tumors; other cancers included uterine (2 subjects), melanoma (2 subjects), lung (2 subjects), non-Hodgkin lymphoma (2 subjects), osteosarcoma (1 subject), bladder (1 subject), cervical (1 subject), and multiple myeloma (1 subject).

^b Specific agents were unknown for 4 subjects in the chemotherapy plus RT group and 2 subjects in the chemotherapy-only group.

^cLatency was unknown for 3 subjects.

 $^{\rm d}$ Two subjects had abnormalities of both chromosomes 5 and/or 7 and a recurring balanced translocation (t(15;17) and t(9;22)).

^eOS was unknown for 3 subjects.

samples (see Supporting Information Table 4). Overall, 10 survivors of BC (21%) who developed TRL carried a deleterious inherited mutation, distributed among *BRCA1* (3 subjects; 6%), *TP53* (tumor protein p53) (3

	No Mutation	BRCA1 or BRCA2	TP53	PALB2	CHEK2
	(n = 37) ^b	(n = 5)	(n = 3)	(n = 1)	(n = 1)
Age at primary diagnosis (range), y	53 (31–79)	50 (33–53)	23 (23–24)	51	42
Median latency (range), mo	53 (11–792)	133 (30–408)	48 (30-81)	90	21
Therapy-related leukemia type					
t-MN	35 (95)	5 (100)	1 (33)	1 (100)	1 (100)
t-ALL	2 (5)	0	2 (67)	0	0
Cytogenetics, no. (%)					
Normal karyotype	4 (11)	2 (40)	0	0	0
Clonal abnormality	30 (81)	3 (60)	3 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100) ^c
Balanced translocations ^d	14 (38)	1 (20)	1 (33)	0	1 (100) ^c
Chromosome 5 and/or 7 abnormalities ^{d,e}	15 (41)	2 (40)	1 (33)	1 (100)	0
Complex ^e	11 (30)	1 (20)	3 (100)	1 (100)	0
Unknown	3 (8)	0	0	0	0
Median survival from TRL diagnosis (IQR), mo	13 (7–27)	14	29	14	52

TABLE 2. Clinical and Cytogenetic Characteristics by Germline Mutation Status Among 47 Sequenced Subjects^a

Abbreviations: CHEK2 indicates checkpoint kinase 2; IQR, interquartile range; PALB2, partner and localizer of BRCA2; t-ALL, therapy-related acute lymphoblastic leukemia; t-MN, therapy-related myeloid neoplasm; TP53, tumor protein p53; TRL, therapy-related leukemia.

^a Tissue sources used for sequencing included lymphoblastoid cell lines (24 subjects), buccal swabs (8 subjects), peripheral blood or bone marrow in remission (6 subjects), skin fibroblasts (1 subject), and peripheral blood or bone marrow samples with leukemia (8 subjects).

^bOne subject's age at diagnosis and latency were unknown.

^c This subject had fluorescence in situ hybridization studies only.

^d Two subjects had both a balanced translocation (t(15;17) and t(9;22)) and an abnormality of chromosome 5 and/or 7.

^e Complex karyotype as defined in Dohner et al.³³ Eleven of 15 subjects with chromosome 5 and/or 7 abnormalities with no inherited mutation had a complex karyotype as well as 1 of 2 subjects with *BRCA1/BRCA2*, 1 subject with a *TP53*, and the 1 subject with a *PALB2* mutation.

subjects; 6%), *BRCA2* (2 subjects; 4%), *CHEK2* (checkpoint kinase 2) (1 subject; 2%), and *PALB2* (partner and localizer of BRCA2) (1 subject; 2%) (Fig. 1). By TRL subtype, 8 of 43 subjects (19%) with t-MN had an inherited mutation, which were distributed among all 5 of these genes. Of the cases of t-ALL, 2 of 4 subjects (50%) had a mutation, both in *TP53*.

Observed patterns among those subjects with specific inherited mutations included (Table 2)¹⁵: 1) those with germline TP53 mutations were the only subjects with an inherited mutation to develop t-ALL (2 of 3 subjects [67%] vs 0 of 7 subjects [0%] with inherited mutations in other genes), and all 3 developed TRL with complex karyotypes; and 2) those with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation had an especially long latency to the development of TRL (median of 133 months vs 53 months in those without an inherited mutation), and the majority developed TRL featuring a normal karyotype (2 of 5 subjects; 40%) or a single karyotypic abnormality (2 of 5 subjects; 40%). Six of the 10 subjects (60%) with an inherited mutation had a family history of cancer and 2 (20%) did not; for the remaining 2 subjects (20%), the family history was unknown (Table 3).

Additional Informative Cases

We identified 3 additional subjects who did not fit our original study population who had previously identified

germline *BRCA1* mutations. We included them in the current study for descriptive purposes (see Supporting Information Table 5): 1) 1 subject who developed chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) after BC who was treated with surgery only; 2) 1 subject who developed CML 33 months before a diagnosis of BC; and 3) 1 survivor of ovarian cancer who developed a t-MN with a t(9;11) after cytotoxic chemotherapy.

Somatic Mutations in TRL After BC

To identify somatic mutations that contribute to TRL after BC, we sequenced leukemia samples available from 9 subjects using OncoPlex. Somatic mutations were identified in 8 of the 9 subjects (Table 4). These mutations were distributed among 17 genes (see Supporting Information Table 6). The median number of somatic mutations per sample was 2 (range, 0-9 somatic mutations). FLT3 and TET2 were the genes most commonly mutated, with each mutated in 3 of 9 subjects (33%). Mutations in ASXL1, NRAS, and WT1 were observed in 2 of 9 subjects (22%). Combinations observed in *de novo* AML, including a KIT exon 17 mutation in a t(8;21) t-MN and a FLT3 mutation in a t(15;17) t-MN, were identified. The leukemia sample from subject UPIN12, who developed a t-MN with a complex karyotype in the setting of a germline BRCA1 mutation, had somatic mutations in TET2, NRAS, and TP53.

צר											
Patient ID	Gene	Mutation	Age at BC Diagnosis, Years	Chemotherapy/ RT	Other Tumors	Family Cancer History	TRL Type	TRL Latency, Months	TRL OS, Months	Sample Used for Sequencing	Karyotype at TRL Diagnosis
UPIN12	BRCA1	Exon 13 duplication	33	Yes/yes	Ovary, second breast	Breast, prostate	t-MN	408	т	BM leukemia; confirmed in buccal DNA	45,XX,add(4)(q23), del(5)(q13.3q33), del(6)(p21.3p23), -7,+8,-16[20]
UPIN19	BRCA1	Exon 13–15 deletion	53	Yes/yes	Second breast, NHI	Breast, ovary, colon, melanoma, uterine	t-MN	133	59 ^a	Skin fibroblasts	46,XX[30]
UPIN81	BRCA1	187delAG	50	Yes/yes		Thyroid, lymphoma, head and neck	t-MN	30	6 ^a	PB in CR	46,XX[30]
UPIN49	BRCA2	5301insA	48	Yes/no		Unknown	t-MN	216	14	LBL	46,XX,t(3;21)(q26.2;q22.3) r301/46 XX111
UPIN52	BRCA2	8138del5	51	Yes/yes		Unknown	t-MN	63	7	LBL	45,XX,-7[27]/46,XX[3]
UPIN04	TP53	E339X	24	Yes/no		Leukemia, brain, lung	t-ALL	30	99	LBL; confirmed in BM in CR	96,XXXX,+X,+1,+2,-4,-5,+6, -9,+10,+12,+14,-15,-16,-17, +18,+21,+22[7]/97,idem, +mar[10]/46,X2[11]/Two related non-cloral abnormal cells
UPIN60	TP53	G245S	53	Yes/yes	Sarcoma in RT field	Sarcoma	t-MN	81	29	LBL	46.XX, der(15)def(15)def(15)def(17) t(15,17)(q24.1;q21.1),def(17) t(15,17)(q24.1;q21.11)[24]/48, XX,idem.+8,+81(21/46,XX(14)
60NIdN	TP53	1232T	23	Yes/yes		None	t-ALL	48	28	BM in CR	54,XX,+X,+4,+6,+14,+17,+18, +21,+21[3]/46.XX[23]
UPIN53	PALB2	Y1183X	51	No/yas		Breast	t-MN	06	1	LBL	45, XX, -2, der(3)t(2;?;3)(p11, 2;?;p11, 1), der(5)del(5)(q15q33, 3)t(3)(p11, 2;?;p11, 1), del(7)(q11, 2q36), + 8, add(9)(q34), der(12) t(5;12)(q33, 3;q22), der(12)t(3;12) (p11, 2;p13), -18[15]46, idem, + mari31,46, XX[P]
UPIN70	CHEK2	1100delC	42	Yes/yes		None	t-MN	21	52	Buccal	FISH only: +9, inv(16), +21
Abbreviations lymphoma; C <i>TP53</i> , tumor ^a Still in follow	s: BC, brea)S, overall protein p55 v-up.	ast cancer; BM, survival; <i>PALB</i> 2 3; TRL, therapy-	, bone marrow; 2, partner and le -related leukemi	<i>CHEK2</i> indicates che ocalizer of <i>BRCA2</i> ; PE a.	ckpoint kinas 3, peripheral t	e 2; CR, complete remi olood; RT, radiotherapy	ission; FIS ; t-ALL, th	iH, fluoresce ierapy-relate	nce in situ hy d acute lymp	bridization; LBL, lym hoblastic leukemia; t	phoblastoid cell line; NHL, non-Hodgkin -MN, therapy-related myeloid neoplasm;

TABLE 3. Detailed Clinical Characteristics of the 10 Survivors of BC Who Carried Inherited BC Susceptibility Gene Mutations and Developed



TABLE 4. Somatic Mutations in 9 TRL Cases After BC

Abbreviations: BC, breast cancer; black, frameshift, small insertions/deletions, or nonsense mutations; gray, missense mutation; striped, splice site mutation; TRL, therapy-related leukemia.

^a Patient UPIN12 carried an inherited BRCA1 mutation.

DISCUSSION

Through a comprehensive screen of inherited BC susceptibility genes, we found that 1 in 5 of the survivors of BC with TRL in the current series carried a deleterious inherited mutation. These mutations were distributed among 5 genes, all with key roles in DNA repair and/or DNA damage-sensing pathways. In addition, many of the wellannotated survivors of BC with TRL in the current series had a personal history of additional malignancies and/or a family history of cancer in close relatives, suggesting a cancer-prone population. The data from the current study support a role for inherited cancer susceptibility in TRL after BC.

TRLs have typically been considered a direct and stochastic consequence of cytotoxic therapies. However, investigations have provided evidence in support of the role of underlying cancer susceptibility, particularly among survivors of BC. Using Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results data, Martin et al demonstrated that young women with BC had the highest risk of developing t-MN (relative risk of 4.14) and that the age-dependent risk of TRL among these young women mirrored the risk of developing a second BC or an ovarian cancer, suggesting a shared underlying genetic risk factor.¹⁶ Two other small series also added support. In the first, sequencing of *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *TP53*, and *CHEK2*1100delC identified deleterious germline mutations in 3 of 14 unselected





Figure 1. Inherited mutations in breast cancer susceptibility genes among 47 subjects with therapy-related leukemia. CHEK2 indicates checkpoint kinase 2; PALB2, partner and localizer of BRCA2; t-ALL, therapy-related acute lymphoblastic leukemia; t-MN, therapy-related myeloid neoplasms; TP53, tumor protein p53.

patients with BC with TRL (21%).¹⁷ In the second series, sequencing of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* in 13 women with TRL after early-onset BC identified germline *BRCA2* mutations among 2 women (15%).¹⁸ The current study data add to the spectrum of genes involved and confirm the high yield of genetic testing in this population. The findings of the current study support a recommendation

for genetic testing for all women who develop TRL after BC to allow primary prevention in at-risk close relatives and those who survive their TRL.

All of the BC susceptibility genes with mutations identified in the current series function to sense or repair DNA damage and the majority are closely tied to leukemia risk. PALB2 and BRCA2, key components of the Fanconi anemia (FA) DNA repair pathway, cause FA, which is an inherited bone marrow failure syndrome featuring an 800fold increased risk of myelodysplastic syndrome/AML, when mutations in both alleles are inherited.^{19,20} Reduced expression of BRCA1, a gene also involved in the FA pathway, has been demonstrated in t-MN cases,²¹ and an increased risk of leukemia has been reported in an epidemiologic study in relatives of BRCA1 mutation carriers.²² Inherited mutations in TP53 cause Li-Fraumeni syndrome, in which 3% to 5% of the tumors that develop are leukemias.^{23,24} TP53 is also somatically mutated in 2% of de novo AML cases²⁵ and 11% to 38% of t-MN cases.^{26,27} To the best of our knowledge, data for CHEK2 involvement in leukemia are limited, but leukemias have been reported in kindreds with inherited CHEK2 mutations.²⁸

Observations from the current study provide additional evidence that some cases of TRL are more likely independent secondary primary cancers, whereas others are more clearly linked to the cytotoxic exposures. For example, patient UPIN49 was found to carry an inherited BRCA2 mutation and developed a t-MN with a t(3;21)18 years after treatment of BC. This timeframe is well beyond the expected 2 to 3 years for t-MN with translocations involving 21q22,⁶ suggesting a possible independent event. Our previous report of 2 cases of acute promyelocytic leukemia in women with BC with BRCA2 mutations who were treated with surgery only²⁹ and the 2 cases of CML occurring either before BC or after BC that were treated with surgery alone in BRCA1 mutation carriers reported herein also support this idea and suggest that inherited heterozygous mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 may contribute to leukemia risk.

In contrast, TRL with t(9;11), a chromosomal translocation which was observed in 10% of the patients in the current study and in 3 other series of patients with BC, suggests that survivors of BC are uniquely predisposed to TRL with this specific cytogenetic abnormality. Chandra et al reported that 62% of the t-MN cases with t(9;11) at their institution occurred within the setting of a prior BC.³⁰ A t(9;11) was identified in 3 of 36 t-MN cases (8%) in a recent series of survivors of BC⁹ and was overrepresented among t-MN cases (20 of 182 cases; 11%) versus de novo AML (35 of 2381 cases; 1%) in a study in which survivors of BC accounted for 37% of t-MN cases.² Further study of the nonhomologous end-joining repair mechanism implicated in the t(9;11) translocation in survivors of BC with TRL is warranted.

Finally, we observed 7 cases of t-ALL among the 88 survivors of BC with TRL in the current study (8%). We identified deleterious mutations, both occurring in *TP53*, among 2 of 4 cases (50%) studied. Both of these mutation carriers developed BC before age 30 years, a clinical phenotype that in and of itself should prompt genetic testing. However, inherited mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* would be expected to account for the majority of mutations identified in patients with early-onset BC, with *TP53* mutations expected in approximately 4% of those with a diagnosis of BC at age 30 years or younger.³¹ The data from the current study suggest that when BC is followed by t-ALL, the likelihood of a *TP53* mutation is higher.

The current study has limitations. First, this was a small series, which limited our ability to assess for differences among different groups of mutation carriers. Second, to the best of our knowledge, it is unknown how the percentage of mutation carriers identified in the current study population compares with a similar population of patients with BC who did not develop TRL. It took several decades to obtain the number of cases presented in the current study, making it difficult to ascertain a control group of similarly treated patients with BC with a similar length of follow-up who did not develop TRL with which to compare our group. In addition, studies of BRCA1 and BRCA2 among unselected patients with BC suggest that approximately 5% carry a deleterious mutation,^{32,33} but to our knowledge, comprehensive panel-based genetic testing as used herein has not yet been applied to a large, population-based group of patients with BC. Thus, the true frequency of mutations in all of the genes studied herein among a general population of patients with BC is unknown and deserves further study.

The results of the current study demonstrated that 1 in 5 survivors of BC who develop TRL carry an inherited mutation in a BC susceptibility gene. The mutations involve 5 genes, which all function to maintain DNA integrity, thereby suggesting a role for these pathways in leukemia risk in the setting of cytotoxic exposures or, for some, regardless of exposures. The data from the current study suggest that a long-term prospective trial following similarly treated women with BC for whom germline mutation status is known for the development of TRL as well as functional testing of the role of these genes in bone marrow dysfunction after cytotoxic exposures are warranted.

FUNDING SUPPORT

Supported by National Institutes of Health grant P01CA40046 (to Drs. Le Beau and Larson), National Cancer Institute grant K12CA139160 (to Drs. J. Churpek and Olopade), the Cancer Research Foundation (to Drs. J. Churpek, Le Beau, Godley, and Larson), and The University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center Support grant P30CA14599.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

Dr. Le Beau was supported by grants from the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society (Specialized Center of Research Program) and grant NNX09AM08G from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and Specialized Centers of Research (NSCOR) for work performed as part of the current study. Dr. Olopade was supported by grants from the National Cancer Institute and the American Cancer Society for work performed as part of the current study.

REFERENCES

- Abdulwahab A, Sykes J, Kamel-Reid S, Chang H, Brandwein JM. Therapy-related acute lymphoblastic leukemia is more frequent than previously recognized and has a poor prognosis. *Cancer.* 2012;118: 3962–3967.
- 2. Kayser S, Dohner K, Krauter J, et al. The impact of therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia (AML) on outcome in 2853 adult patients with newly diagnosed AML. *Blood.* 2011;117:2137–2145.
- 3. Shivakumar R, Tan W, Wilding GE, Wang ES, Wetzler M. Biologic features and treatment outcome of secondary acute lymphoblastic leukemia-a review of 101 cases. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;19:1634–1638.
- Smith SM, Le Beau MM, Huo D, et al. Clinical-cytogenetic associations in 306 patients with therapy-related myelodysplasia and myeloid leukemia: the University of Chicago series. *Blood.* 2003;102:43– 52.
- American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2014. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society; 2014.
- Godley LA, Larson RA. Therapy-related myeloid leukemia. Semin Oncol. 2008;35:418–429.
- 7. Le Deley MC, Suzan F, Cutuli B, et al. Anthracyclines, mitoxantrone, radiotherapy, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor: risk factors for leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome after breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:292–300.
- Smith RE, Bryant J, DeCillis A, Anderson S; National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Experience. Acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome after doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide adjuvant therapy for operable breast cancer: the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Experience. J Clin Oncol. 2003; 21:1195–1204.
- Wolff AC, Blackford AL, Visvanathan K, et al. Risk of marrow neoplasms after adjuvant breast cancer therapy: the National Comprehensive Cancer Network experience. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33:340–348.
- Morton LM, Dores GM, Tucker MA, et al. Evolving risk of therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia following cancer chemotherapy among adults in the United States, 1975–2008. *Blood.* 2013; 121:2996–3004.
- Shaffer LG, McGowan-Jordan J, Schmid M, eds. ISCN: An International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature. 1st ed. Basel, Switzerland: S. Karger; 2013.
- Walsh T, Lee MK, Casadei S, et al. Detection of inherited mutations for breast and ovarian cancer using genomic capture and massively parallel sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107: 12629–12633.

- Nord AS, Lee M, King MC, Walsh T. Accurate and exact CNV identification from targeted high-throughput sequence data. *BMC Genomics.* 2011;12:184.
- Pritchard CC, Salipante SJ, Koehler K, et al. Validation and implementation of targeted capture and sequencing for the detection of actionable mutation, copy number variation, and gene rearrangement in clinical cancer specimens. *J Mol Diagn.* 2014;16:56–67.
- Dohner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, et al; European LeukemiaNet. Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. *Blood.* 2010;115:453–474.
- Martin MG, Welch JS, Luo J, Ellis MJ, Graubert TA, Walter MJ. Therapy related acute myeloid leukemia in breast cancer survivors, a population-based study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2009;118:593–598.
- Schulz E, Valentin A, Ulz P, et al. Germline mutations in the DNA damage response genes BRCA1, BRCA2, BARD1 and TP53 in patients with therapy related myeloid neoplasms. *J Med Genet.* 2012; 49:422–428.
- Martin MG, Jacoby M, Shao J, Deych E, Graubert T, Walter MJ. BRCA1 and BRCA2 nucleotide variants in young women with therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia [abstract]. *Blood.* Nov 2009; 114:1102. Abstract 1102.
- D'Andrea AD. Susceptibility pathways in Fanconi's anemia and breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2010;362:1909–1919.
- Rosenberg PS, Alter BP, Ebell W. Cancer risks in Fanconi anemia: findings from the German Fanconi Anemia Registry. *Haematologica*. 2008;93:511–517.
- Scardocci A, Guidi F, D'Alo F, et al. Reduced BRCA1 expression due to promoter hypermethylation in therapy-related acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Cancer. 2006;95:1108–1113.
- 22. Risch HA, McLaughlin JR, Cole DE, et al. Prevalence and penetrance of germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population series of 649 women with ovarian cancer. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2001; 68:700–710.
- Birch JM, Alston RD, McNally RJ, et al. Relative frequency and morphology of cancers in carriers of germline TP53 mutations. *Oncogene.* 2001;20:4621–4628.
- Gonzalez KD, Noltner KA, Buzin CH, et al. Beyond Li Fraumeni Syndrome: clinical characteristics of families with p53 germline mutations. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:1250–1256.
- Patel JP, Gonen M, Figueroa ME, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:1079–1089.
- Ben-Yehuda D, Krichevsky S, Caspi O, et al. Microsatellite instability and p53 mutations in therapy-related leukemia suggest mutator phenotype. *Blood.* 1996;88:4296–4303.
- Shih AH, Chung SS, Dolezal EK, et al. Mutational analysis of therapy-related myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myelogenous leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2013;98:908–912.
- Thompson D, Seal S, Schutte M, et al. A multicenter study of cancer incidence in CHEK2 1100delC mutation carriers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2006;15:2542–2545.
- Hall MJ, Li L, Wiernik PH, Olopade OI. BRCA2 mutation and the risk of hematologic malignancy. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2006;47:765–767.
- 30. Chandra P, Luthra R, Zuo Z, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia with t(9;11)(p21-22;q23): common properties of dysregulated ras pathway signaling and genomic progression characterize de novo and therapy-related cases. Am J Clin Pathol. 2010;133:686–693.
- Lalloo F, Varley J, Ellis D, et al. Prediction of pathogenic mutations in patients with early-onset breast cancer by family history. *Lancet.* 2003;361:1101–1102.
- John EM, Miron A, Gong G, et al. Prevalence of pathogenic BRCA1 mutation carriers in 5 US racial/ethnic groups. JAMA. 2007;298:2869–2876.
- 33. Malone KE, Daling JR, Doody DR, et al. Prevalence and predictors of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based study of breast cancer in white and black American women ages 35 to 64 years. *Cancer Res.* 2006;66:8297–8308.