

Citation: Ferreira JAG, Penner JC, Moss RB, Haagensen JAJ, Clemons KV, Spormann AM, et al. (2015) Inhibition of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and Its Biofilm by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Is Dependent on the Source, Phenotype and Growth Conditions of the Bacterium. PLoS ONE 10(8): e0134692. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692

Editor: Robert A. Cramer, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, UNITED STATES

Received: December 16, 2014

Accepted: July 13, 2015

Published: August 7, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Ferreira et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and Supplementary Tables. For additional data not required to replicate the results of this study, interested researchers may contact (jangtferr@hotmail.com).

Funding: These studies were partially supported by a grant from the Child Health Research Institute, Stanford Transdisciplinary Initiatives Program (to DAS and RBM) and a gift from Mr. John Flatley (to DAS). JAGF and HN were partially supported by grants from the Brazilian National Council for **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Inhibition of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and Its Biofilm by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Is Dependent on the Source, Phenotype and Growth Conditions of the Bacterium

Jose A. G. Ferreira^{1,2¤a}, John C. Penner^{1¤b}, Richard B. Moss³, Janus A. J. Haagensen⁴, Karl V. Clemons^{1,2}, Alfred M. Spormann⁴, Hasan Nazik^{1,2,5}, Kevin Cohen¹, Niaz Banaei⁶, Elisabete Carolino⁷, David A. Stevens^{1,2}*

1 California Institute for Medical Research, San Jose, California, United States of America, 2 Division of Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine, Department of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America, 3 Division of Pulmonology, Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America, 4 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America, 5 Department of Medical Microbiology, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey, 6 Department of Pathology, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America, 7 Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa (Lisbon School of Health Technology), Lisbon, Portugal

¤a Current address: School of Medicine, Faculdade da Saude e Ecologia, Vespasiano, Brazil
 ¤b Current address: Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC, United States of America
 <u>stevens@stanford.edu</u>

Abstract

Aspergillus fumigatus (Af) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa) are leading fungal and bacterial pathogens, respectively, in many clinical situations. Relevant to this, their interface and co-existence has been studied. In some experiments *in vitro*, Pa products have been defined that are inhibitory to Af. In some clinical situations, both can be biofilm producers, and biofilm could alter their physiology and affect their interaction. That may be most relevant to airways in cystic fibrosis (CF), where both are often prominent residents.

We have studied clinical *Pa* isolates from several sources for their effects on *Af*, including testing involving their biofilms. We show that the described inhibition of *Af* is related to the source and phenotype of the *Pa* isolate. *Pa* cells inhibited the growth and formation of *Af* biofilm from conidia, with CF isolates more inhibitory than non-CF isolates, and non-mucoid CF isolates most inhibitory. Inhibition did not require live *Pa* contact, as culture filtrates were also inhibitory, and again non-mucoid>mucoid CF>non-CF. Preformed *Af* biofilm was more resistant to *Pa*, and inhibition that occurred could be reproduced with filtrates. Inhibition of *Af* biofilm were more inhibitory than from *Pa* grown planktonically. The differences in *Pa* shown from these different sources are consistent with the extensive evolutionary *Pa* changes that have been described in association with chronic residence in CF airways, and may reflect adaptive changes to life in a polymicrobial environment.



Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), and by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK), respectively. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is the result of mutations in the CF transmembrane conductance regulator affecting epithelial chloride and bicarbonate transport. One result is development of thick respiratory secretions, which results in airway obstruction and recurrent episodes of lung inflammation and infection, leading to acute and chronic deterioration of lung function and a shortened lifespan [1]. The affected persons have defective mucociliary clearance and production of thick sticky mucus in which various pathogens can become entrapped. This is a suitable environment for microbial growth and colonization, and these organisms or their soluble metabolites contribute to airway inflammation and subsequent damage.

The most common bacterium and fungus infecting these airways are Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa) [2] and Aspergillus fumigatus (Af) [3-7], respectively, particularly in the chronically infected older patients. Pa evolves in CF airways, producing variants, such as those resulting in mucoid colony types, which are adapted to chronic residence there [2,8,9]. Af is ubiquitous in ambient air and the environment, and thus can be inhaled and subsequently establish residency. Both organisms are proficient adapters to environmental stress and relatively resistant to current antimicrobials. They are suspected as important agents in promoting mucus plug formation in the airways, and both are known to form biofilms in vitro and in vivo [2,9-20]. Microbes in biofilms have altered metabolism compared to the same organisms growing planktonically, and biofilms provide microbes with protection from host defenses as well as tolerance to some antimicrobial drugs [21]. The attribution of a role for these microbes in mucus plugging and biofilms stems from the known extracellular production of glycan polymers by Af [22] and alginate by Pa [2,9,17]. In addition to infection, Af can cause allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in up to 15% of CF patients, a complication that causes repeated acute exacerbations, institution of immunosuppressive therapy, and accelerated decline in lung function [23]. As is the case with Pa, Af also produces secondary metabolites, in the environment as well as in vivo, which are known tissue toxins and also have immunosuppressive actions on the host response [24]. Infection with Pa or Af has been associated with a more rapid decline in CF pulmonary function [17,25-33], with the co-infected patients having the worst prognosis [32,34]. Both pathogens are also important because either can be an opportunist, causing invasive disease [35-39] or other complications [40,41], in lung transplantation, a therapeutic modality offered in debilitating CF.

It is therefore important to study the interactions between these two pathogens. *Pa*-secreted molecules have been well studied for their antifungal activities, a property that has been demonstrated in vitro [42-47]. These inhibitory molecules include homoserine lactones, pyocyanin and other phenazine derivates, pyrrolnitrin and fluorescent green pigments. However, these studies were performed with one or few *Pa* isolates, none representatives of variants that establish chronic residency in CF airways. Moreover, *Pa*-associated factors involved in this interkingdom inhibition continue to be elucidated [48]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different clinical *Pa* phenotypic variants obtained from CF and non-CF patients on *Af* biofilm formation and preformed *Af* biofilm.

Materials and Methods

Isolates

Any CF isolates from patient respiratory cultures were obtained after written informed consent, for biobanking of the patients' specimens and subsequent use, approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board. Other isolates were obtained following clinically indicated cultures. Twenty-six clinical isolates of *Pa* recovered from non-CF patients (n = 16 isolates), or CF patient sputum (n = 10), from Stanford University Hospital and clinics were evaluated. Among the CF isolates, five were mucoid colony phenotype variants [2,8,9] and five were non-mucoid colony phenotype variants. A list of all isolates studied, and their classification, is given in Tables 1 and 2. We were able to include a mucoid and a non-mucoid *Pa* isolate obtained from the same CF patient the same day, 2 non-mucoid *Pa* isolates from another CF patient 6 mos. apart, and 2 Pa isolates with different colonial morphologies from each of 2 non-CF patients obtained the same day, plus another *Pa* isolate from one of these patients one month later. *Af* isolate 10AF, a virulent non-CF patient isolate [49,50], was used as the reference *Af* isolate throughout this study. Nine sputum *Af* isolates, also identified by molecular methods to be *Af sensu stricto* [51], were obtained from non-CF patients in a previous study [51] and additionally studied.

Af conidia were obtained as follows: *Af* was taken from stock suspensions stored at -80°C and then grown for 4 days on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Becton Dickinson and Co., Sparks, MD) at 37°C. Conidia were harvested by gently washing with 0.05% Tween-80 (J.T. Baker Chemical Co., Phillipsburg, NJ) in 0.9% saline (Baxter Healthcare Corp., Deerfield, IL).

Pa stocks were maintained at -80°C in Microbank microbial storage vials (Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada). Each frozen *Pa* stock culture was initially inoculated onto Trypticase Soy + 5% sheep blood agar plates (TSA; BBL, Becton Dickinson; subsequent studies indicated the absence of blood in the agar plates did not affect the activity of the *Pa* harvested) and incubated overnight at 37°C. *Pa* colonies were then picked, 1–2 loopfuls of the bacteria diluted in RPMI-1640 medium, and the suspension adjusted in the spectrophotometer at A610 to an absorbance of 0.35–0.40 with fresh RPMI-1640 medium. This corresponded to 10^{9} / ml, with variation over a 3-fold range. A 1:30 dilution was then made in studies of direct *Pa* action on *Af* biofilm, or production of *Pa* culture supernatants, to be described. We found, in the studies to be described, that neither direct *Pa* action, nor *Pa* supernatant action, on *Af* biofilm was particularly sensitive to the size of the initial *Pa* inoculum in those studies, as variations of at least 10-fold in live *Pa* cells, and at least 33-fold in the inoculum used to make planktonic *Pa* supernatants, resulted in no significant differences in activity.

Inhibition of planktonic Af growth

Inhibition of growth was assessed by using a conidial inoculum, following guidelines for susceptibility testing of filamentous organisms [52].

In vitro model of biofilm development

Biofilms were formed by using a modified *in vitro* model described previously [53]. To form *Af* biofilm, sterile polystyrene disks (Biosurface Technologies, Bozeman, MT) were placed in 12-well tissue culture plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY). Each well contained 3 ml of fresh RPMI-1640 medium (Lonza, Walkersville, MD) with $10^5 Af$ conidia/ml.

Disks were incubated at 37°C for 16 h with shaking at 70 rpm, to allow the fungal cells to attach. Following the attachment phase, disks were gently rinsed in sterile saline (Baxter Healthcare Corp.), transferred to new plates containing fresh RPMI-1640 medium, and incubated for an additional 24 h at 37°C with shaking at 100 rpm (Fig 11). Biofilm formation here, and in all experimental conditions to be described, was verified by inspection or with a light microscope [16].

Direct interaction of live Pa on Af biofilm formation

Suspensions of fungal conidia (3 ml) and bacterial cells (0.1 ml), prepared as described above, were combined in 12-well tissue culture plates in fresh RPMI-1640 medium and incubated at



Table 1. Clinical isolates.

Sample identification ID #	CIMR #	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (Pa) phenotype	Clinical Laboratory ID # Valley Medical Center (VMC) or Stanford University (SU)	Specimen	Date of the positive Pa culture
1	14–75	Pa Non CF	VMC	Respiratory	1/28/2013
2	14–76	Pa Non CF	VMC	Respiratory	2/19/2013
3	14–77	Pa Non CF	VMC	Respiratory	2/19/2013
4	14–78	Pa Non CF	SU 60370871	Respiratory	2/17/2013
9	14–83	Pa Non CF	VMC	Respiratory	3/17/2013
12	14–86	Pa Non CF	SU 42266353	Respiratory	4/22/2013
13	14–87	Pa Non CF (Strain #1)	SU 21548242	Respiratory	8/10/2013
14	14–88	Pa Non CF (Strain #2)	SU 21548292	Respiratory	8/10/2013
16	14–90	Pa Non CF (Strain #1)	SU 27917939	Respiratory	9/24/2013
17	14–91	Pa Non CF (Strain #2)	SU 27917939	Respiratory	9/24/2013
19	14–93	Pa Non CF	SU 21548292	Respiratory	9/26/2013
20	14–94	Pa Non CF	SU 10790053	Non respiratory	8/14/2013
24	14–98	Pa Non CF	SU 41082579	Non Respiratory	10/02/2013
25	14–99	Pa Non CF (strain #2)	SU 22765499	Non respiratory	9/25/2013
26	14–100	Pa Non CF	SU 26308684	Respiratory	9/26/2013
27	14–101	Pa Non CF	SU 28674323	Non respiratory	9/23/2013
5	14–79	Pa CF Mucoid	SU 20060455	Respiratory	3/1/2013
11	14–85	Pa CF Mucoid	SU 60821238	Respiratory	2/21/2013
18	14–92	Pa CF Mucoid	SU 09710807	Respiratory	7/19/2013
21	14–95	Pa CF Mucoid	SU 7841943	Respiratory	9/17/2013
22	14–96	Pa CF Mucoid	SU 41053570	Respiratory	8/10/2013
7	14–81	Pa CF Non Muc	SU 09710807	Respiratory	1/19/2013
8	14–82	Pa CF Non Muc	SU 16242976	Respiratory	3/13/2013
10	14–84	Pa CF Non Muc	SU 7841943	Respiratory	2/01/2013
15	14–89	Pa CF Non Muc	SU 41053570	Respiratory	8/10/2013
23	14–97	Pa CF Non Muc	SU 16242976	Respiratory	9/6/2013

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.t001

Table 2. Aspergillus fumigatus clinical isolates.

Sample identification ID #	CIMR #	A. fumigatus phenotype
1	13–55	CF
2	13–56	CF
3	13–57	CF
4	13–58	CF
5	13–59	CF
6	13–60	CF
7	13–61	CF
8	13–62	CF
9	13–63	CF
10	12–26	Non CF
11	12–30	Non CF
12	12–48	Non CF

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.t002



Fig 1. Experimental design (full details are provided in Methods). To form *Af* biofilm, polystyrene disks were placed in tissue culture plates with conidia and media. Disks were incubated to allow the conidia to attach. Following the attachment phase, disks were transferred to new plates containing and incubated for an additional 24 h (line I). Direct interaction of live *Pa* on *Af* biofilm formation: Suspensions of conidia and bacteria were combined in tissue culture plates for 16 h. Disks were then rinsed gently, transferred to new plates, and incubated for an additional 24 h (line II). Direct interaction of live *Pa* on *Af* biofilm formation: Suspensions of conidia and bacteria were combined in tissue culture plates for 16 h. Disks were then rinsed gently, transferred to new plates, and incubated for an additional 24 h (line II). Direct interaction of live *Pa* on preformed *Af* biofilm: Fungal biofilms were formed as described. After 16 h the disks were rinsed, transferred to new plates containing *Pa* suspension and incubated an additional 24 h (lines II and IV). *Pa* planktonic supernatant assay: To obtain planktonic culture filtrates, *Pa* suspension was incubated in conical tubes for 24 h. The spent medium was centrifuged to remove suspended cells or debris. The supernatant was filter sterilized and added to wells of a tissue culture plate previously inoculated with *Af* suspension. Fungal biofilms attached and formed. Disks containing biofilms were washed, transferred to a new plate, and incubated for an additional 24 h (line III). For the preformed biofilm assay, filtered supernatant was added to wells. Disks containing *Af* preformed biofilms were washed, transferred to the plate containing the bacterial filtrates, and incubated an additional 24 h. *Af* wells without bacterial supernatant were included as controls (line V). To obtain *Pa* biofilm filtrates, a suspension of *Pa* adhered to tissue culture flasks for 2 h (attachment phase). The flasks were rinsed, fresh RPMI-1640 added to the flask

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g001

PLOS | ONE

37°C for 16 h with shaking at 70 rpm. Thus, for the 3 x $10^5 Af$ /well always involved in biofilm formation, the ratio of *Pa* to *Af* was 3.3 x 10^7 :3 x 10^5 /well. Disks were then rinsed gently with sterile saline, transferred to new plates containing fresh RPMI-1640 medium, and incubated for an additional 24 h at 37°C with shaking at 70 rpm (Fig 1II).

Direct interaction of live Pa on preformed (i.e., established) Af biofilm

Fungal biofilms were formed as described above. After 16 h the disks containing the fungal preformed biofilms were rinsed gently in sterile saline, transferred to new plates containing Pa suspension (3 ml containing 3.3 x 10⁷ cells) prepared as described above, and incubated for an additional 24 h at 37°C with shaking at 70 rpm (Fig 1II and 1IV).

Pa planktonic supernatant assay

A *Pa* suspension was prepared as described above in fresh RPMI-1640 medium. To obtain the planktonic culture filtrates, the bacterial suspension in fresh RPMI described above was incubated in 50 ml conical tubes (Falcon, Brookings, So. Dakota) for 24 h at 37°C with shaking at 70 rpm. The spent medium was removed, transferred to a new 50 ml conical tube, and centrifuged for 30 min at 2,000 x *g* to remove any suspended cells or debris. The planktonic supernatant was gently removed, filter sterilized (0.22 μ m) (Fisherbrand, Pittsburgh, PA) and used. Filtered supernatant (1.5 ml) was added to selected wells of a 12-well tissue culture plate previously inoculated with 1.5 ml of the standardized *Af* suspension in fresh RPMI-1640. Fungal biofilms attached and formed as described above. Disks containing biofilms were washed 3 times with sterile saline and transferred to a new plate containing fresh RPMI-1640, and incubated for an additional 24 h at 37°C with shaking at 70 rpm (Fig 11II). Although "supernatants" and "filtrates" are used interchangeably in this paper, when any supernatants were tested they were always first filtered as described above; unfiltered supernatants were never used.

For the preformed biofilm assay, 1.5 ml of the filtered bacterial supernatant was added to selected wells of a 12-well tissue culture plate with 1.5 ml of fresh RPMI-1640. *Af* biofilms were prepared as described above. Disks containing these fungal preformed biofilms were washed 3 times with sterile saline, transferred to the plate containing the fresh media + the bacterial filtrates, and incubated for an additional 24 h at 37°C with shaking at 70 rpm. *Af* wells without bacterial supernatant were also included to serve as controls (Fig 1V).

To assay growth during Pa planktonic culture, the Pa planktonic suspension was prepared as described above, adjusted to 10^6 /ml, incubated as described above, and at the end of the 24 h, serial dilutions of the supernatant were inoculated onto TSA plates, incubated for 24 h at 37°C, and CFU enumerated.

Pa biofilm filtrate assay

To obtain the Pa biofilm filtrates, a 25 ml suspension of Pa prepared as described above, adhered to 50 ml canted neck tissue culture flasks (BD Biosciences, San Diego) for 2 h at 37°C on a 100 rpm shaker incubator (attachment phase). The liquid was then removed and the flasks were rinsed gently 3 times with sterile saline. Twenty ml of fresh RPMI-1640 was added to the flask and adhered cells formed Pa biofilms for 22 h (total of 24 h), observed by the presence of a layer of bacterial growth on the inner surface of the flask. The spent medium was then removed and processed as described above. Af conidia forming biofilms or preformed Af biofilms were challenged with the Pa biofilm culture filtrate, as described above. Bacterial supernatant-free wells were also included as controls (Fig 1111 and 1V). Either Pa planktonic or biofilm supernatants were used when prepared, although studies indicated no change in activity if stored refrigerated for at least one week.

Studies with serum

For sets of experiments with *Af* and *Pa* cultures, to be described, fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) was added to the RPMI-1640 medium at each step to produce a 10% concentration.

XTT assay

Either when conidia formed biofilm, or when preformed Af biofilm was studied, at the end of the challenge period with either live Pa cells or filtered Pa cultured supernatants (or control media), the Af biofilm was studied with the XTT assay. The tetrazolium salt, XTT (2,3-bis [2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl]-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide inner salt) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was used to measure the metabolic activity of Af. XTT is reduced by a mito-chondrial dehydrogenase to a water-soluble formazan product. Af biofilm discs were rinsed 3 times in sterile saline and transferred to fresh wells containing 3 ml sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.3–7.5, Lonza). Menadione (Sigma) 0.85 gm was added to 5 ml acetone, and mixed in a 1:11 ratio of menadione to XTT (1 mg/ml). The XTT-menadione solution (180 µl) was added to each well and the plates were incubated in the dark for 2 h at 37°C. Following incubation, the contents of the wells were collected and centrifuged for 10 min at 13,300 x g. The pellet was then discarded and the absorbance at 490 nm of the supernatant was determined with a spectrophotometer (Genesys 20, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Although XTT is a measure of metabolic activity of cells, previous studies of Af have indicated XTT results are linear with mass, and equated XTT result with dry weight [54–56].

For the assay of live *Pa* cells co-incubated with *Af*, we determined that the XTT readings only reflected the *Af* by studying *Pa* similarly cultured without *Af*, showing there was no increase of XTT reduction compared to background without any microbes present.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)

Af biofilms were formed on disks as described above. The biofilms were challenged with *Pa* supernatants obtained from a representative of each of the 3 *Pa* groups. After incubation at 37°C, the disks were washed three times in sterile PBS and stained using a fluorescent stain (FUN-1; Invitrogen Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. FUN-1 (1 μ l) from a 10 mM stock was mixed in 1 ml of PBS. Staining was performed as previously described [11,13]. Three drops of the mixture were added on the top of the biofilm, which was then mounted on a glass slide and covered with a glass coverslip (22 × 22 mm). The disks were incubated for 45 min at 37°C in the dark. The FUN1 visualized the morphology of *Af* biofilm, a bright green cytoplasmic stain produced after passive diffusion. An additional analysis was made to visualize the effect of bacterial supernatant on the fungal biofilm metabolic activity. A red fluorescence, visualized from intravacuolar staining, was noted, which requires both plasma membrane integrity and metabolic capability (data not shown). Dead cells would have fluoresced bright yellow-green, with no red structures, and were not apparent in our images.

Sections on the xy plane were taken at 1 µm intervals along the z-axis to determine the depth of the biofilms. Microscopic visualization and image acquisition of biofilms were conducted at the Stanford Biofilm Research Center using an upright Leica TCDSP2 scanning confocal laser microscope (Leica Lasertechnik GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) equipped with an argon/krypton laser and detectors, and filter sets for monitoring of green (excitation 480nm, emission 517nm) and red (excitation 633nm, emission 676). Images were obtained using a 63 x 1.4 Plan-APOChromat DIC (Leica, Heidelberg, Germany) objectives. Multichannel simulated fluorescence projection (SFP, a shadow projection) images and vertical cross sections through the biofilm were generated using the IMARIS software package (Bitplane AG, Zürich, Switzerland). Images were processed for display by using Photoshop software (Adobe, Mt. View, CA). Representative images were taken.

Dose-response assay of filtrates

A dose response study was performed using one isolate from each of the 3 Pa groups. Pa planktonic and biofilm culture filtrates were obtained as described above. The culture filtrates or sterile distilled water were diluted in fresh RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS, so that the percent of fresh medium in each test situation was decreased as the spent medium or sterile distilled water increased. Af biofilms were formed in this mixed media, as described above, or planktonic Af growth was studied [52].

Effect of temperature on filtrates

The *Pa* planktonic and biofilm culture filtrates were prepared as described above. In brief, the final cell-free supernatants were (a) heat treated at 56°C for 30 min and immediately used or (b) frozen at -80°C, stored for 6 days, and thawed. These filtrates were then tested compared to fresh filtrates against either *Af* conidia forming biofilm, or preformed biofilm, as described above.

Effect of DNase I and Proteinase K on Pa supernatant activity

To determine whether *Pa* or phage DNA or a *Pa* protein(s) were involved in the inhibition of *Af*, we studied the effect of pretreatment of *Pa* culture filtrates with DNase I (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) or Proteinase K (Sigma) and measured the filtrate inhibitory activity on *Af* biofilm formation (Fig 11). *Pa* biofilm culture filtrates were prepared as described using a CF non-mucoid *Pa* isolate, *Af* biofilm metabolic activity was measured using the XTT assay.

Pa culture filtrates were treated with DNase I or Proteinase K for 2 hours at 37°C. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dehydrate (EDTA) (Sigma) was used to inactivate the DNase I activity. Experiments with DNase I treatment involved growing the *Af* for 16 hours in the presence of (a) *Pa* filtrate, (b) DNase I (final concentration 2 U/ml) + *Pa* filtrate, (c) DNase I + EDTA (final concentration 20mM) + *Pa* filtrate, (d) EDTA + *Pa* filtrate, or (e) EDTA alone or (f) DNase + EDTA (in fresh RPMI medium). These were followed by 24 h growth in fresh RPMI-1640 alone.

For Proteinase K pretreatment, conidia were incubated with (a) Pa filtrate, (b) Proteinase K (final concentration 50 µg/ml) + Pa filtrate, or (c) medium treated with Proteinase K.

Materials for other characterization studies

A 30,000 MW cutoff filter was obtained from Millipore Ltd., Tullagreen, Ireland. Elastolytic production of *Pa* isolates was assessed as previously described [57]. FeCl₃ was obtained from Sigma.

Statistical analyses

Where *n* is not stated, each experiment was performed at least two times, with triplicate wells each time. Data from experiments using XTT as the assay parameter were collected in blocks. Each block was comprised of n = 6 values derived from of two sets of triplicate values collected on different days. For the data presented in Figs 2 and 3 statistical analyses were performed as follows. Each condition had five blocks of data, which were comprised of controls, nonCF *Pa*, mucoid *Pa* and nonmucoid *Pa* (*Pa* was either live cells or spent culture filtrates as indicated). Each block of four conditions was analyzed separately using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey's post-hoc test to adjust for the multiple comparisons being performed. Prior to pooling, the data in all blocks were verified to be normally distributed and to have homogenous variances. An initial ANOVA comparison of the five blocks for each





Fig 2. *Pa* **inhibition of** *Af* **during biofilm formation.** The data presented show the activity of each live *Pa* isolate or planktonic- or biofilm-generated *Pa* culture filtrate on *Af* during formation of biofilm by *Af*. Individual isolate results are shown in comparison with their respective *Af* controls (n = 6 for each). There were five non-CF, five mucoid CF and five non-mucoid CF isolates tested; isolate designations are shown on the x-axis. The bars on the far right of each panel represent the pooled data for each type of isolate (n = 30). Bars represent the mean \pm SD of the XTT reduction read at 490 nm. (A) *Af* conidia were exposed to live *Pa* cells for 16 h. (B) *Af* conidia were exposed to *Pa* planktonic supernatant for 16 h. (C) *Af* conidia were exposed to *Pa* biofilm supernatant for 16 h. The resulting readings were determined. "XTT metabolic activity" refers to the spectrophotometric absorbance of the formazan reduction product of XTT at 490 nm. Assays were performed in blocks with two runs of triplicates done on different days, for each *Pa*-Af combination. Panel



(A) All individual *Pa* isolates, noted on the x-axis, were significantly inhibitory compared to controls (P<0.001). The four bars on the right side show the pooled data. CF mucoid and CF non-mucoid cells were more inhibitory than non-CF *Pa* cells (P<0.001, both comparisons), with CF non-mucoid cells more inhibitory than CF mucoid cells (P<0.001). Panel (B) Planktonic culture filtrate from all individual *Pa* isolates, were significantly inhibitory compared to controls (P<0.001). Pooled data analysis showed both CF mucoid or CF non-mucoid isolate culture filtrate was more inhibitory than that from non-CF isolates (P<0.001, both comparisons) and non-mucoid was more inhibitory than mucoid (P<0.001). Panel (C) Culture filtrates from all *Pa* isolates grown as biofilm were significantly inhibitory (P<0.001). Pooled data showed culture filtrate from CF non-mucoid isolates under biofilm conditions was more inhibitory than that from CF mucoid or non-CF isolates under the same conditions (P<0.001, both comparisons), and that non-mucoid CF filtrates were more inhibitory than mucoid isolate filtrates (P<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g002

condition (e.g., controls) showed the blocks for that condition (e.g., controls) not be significantly different. These results allowed us to pool the data for each condition with a result of n = 30 each. The pooled data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with a Tukey's post-test. For comparisons across live cell versus planktonic or biolfilm supernatants, control values were first compared and determined to be no different, which was followed by ANOVA and Tukey's comparisons of the experimental sets. All statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc. La Jolla, CA). Statistical significance was considered P < 0.05.

Results

A summary of all the studies to now be described is in <u>S1 Table</u>. Our primary aim in these studies was that of a population survey to determine whether *Pa* from CF or non-CF patients showed differences in their interactions with *Af*. Thus we designed studies to assess *Pa* from non-CF patients compared with mucoid and nonmucoid *Pa* from CF patients. Two experimental designs were used. The first was that of the effects of *Pa* on the development of biofilm by *Af* conidia and the second was that of the effects of *Pa* on preformed *Af* biofilm. In all of these studies we assessed the effects of live *Pa* cells and the effects of spent culture filtrates from *Pa* planktonic or biofilm growth and initially relied on the parameter of metabolic activity of Af, based on XTT reduction. For studies in Figs <u>2</u> and <u>3</u>, five non-CF isolates were selected randomly from the 16 available, and compared to the CF mucoid and non-mucoid isolates.

Inhibition by Pa cells or Pa culture filtrates during Af biofilm formation

The experimental design is shown in Figs $\underline{1}$ and $\underline{2}$, exposing the *Af* conidia to live Pa cells or filtrates for 16 h followed by an additional 24 h of biofilm growth before metabolic assay of XTT reduction.

Fig 2A summarizes the activity of the *Pa* cells during *Af* biofilm formation, as assessed by metabolic activity. Comparisons of effect of individual isolates of *Pa* on *Af* with their respective *Af* control showed that each isolate significantly inhibited Af during the formation of Af biofilm (P<0.001 all comparisons). Pooling of the 5 blocks of data showed that all three groups of *Pa* isolates significantly inhibited fungal biofilm formation (each group, P<0.001). Of interest, these data indicate that the inhibitory effect of CF mucoid *Pa* or CF non-mucoid isolates was higher compared to the effect of the non-CF isolates (P<0.001, both comparisons), and CF non-mucoid cells were more inhibitory than CF mucoid cells (P<0.001).

Fig 2B shows the *in vitro* activity of culture filtrates from *Pa* grown under planktonic conditions on the fungal biofilm formation, as assessed by metabolic activity. Comparisons showed that the planktonic culture filtrates of each individual isolate of *Pa* significantly inhibited *Af* during biofilm formation in comparison with controls (P<0.001). Similarly, the pooled data showed that the planktonic culture filtrates of each of the three groups of *Pa* isolates significantly inhibited *Af* (each, *P*<0.001). The inhibitory effect on *Af* biofilm formation by the culture filtrates from CF mucoid or CF non-mucoid *Pa* isolates was higher compared to the effect



Fig 3. *Pa* **inhibition of** *Af* **biofilm.** The data presented show the activity of each live *Pa* isolate or planktonic or biofilm generated culture filtrate on preformed biofilm of *Af*. Individual isolate results are shown in comparison with their respective *Af* controls (n = 6 for each). There were five non-CF, five mucoid CF and five non-mucoid CF isolates tested; isolate designations are shown on the x-axis. The bars on the far right of each panel represent the pooled data for each isolate (n = 30). Bars represent the mean ± SD of the XTT reduction at read at 490 nm. Panel (A) *Af* preformed biofilms exposed to live *Pa* cells for 24 h. (B) *Af* preformed biofilms were exposed to *Pa* planktonic spent supernatant for 24 h. (C) *Af* preformed biofilms were exposed to *Pa* biofilm spent supernatant for 24 h.



h. Each data point represents the XTT metabolic activity obtained spectrophotometrically at 490 nm. Assays were performed in triplicate and the results are pooled from two experiments for each *Pa-Af* combination. The asterisk indicates a significant *P* value (< 0.001) for the XTT metabolic activity compared to the positive control, using the same analytic methodology as in Fig 2. Panel (A) Individual *Pa* isolate comparisons showed that only a one non-CF isolate, Pa19, inhibited (P<0.01), whereas all muccid or non-muccid CF isolates were inhibitory (P<0.001, all comparisons). Pooled data analysis showed that both muccid and non-muccid CF isolates were significantly inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons) and that non-muccid CF isolates were more inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Panel (B) Planktonic spent medium from a single non-CF isolate, Pa19, was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Panel (B) Planktonic spent medium from a single non-CF isolate, Pa19, was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Pooled data analysis showed that planktonic culture filtrate from CF non-muccid or muccid isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Non-muccid CF isolates were more inhibitory than muccid or muccid isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Non-muccid CF isolates were more inhibitory than muccid CF isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Non-muccid CF isolates were more inhibitory than muccid CF isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Non-muccid CF isolates were more inhibitory than muccid CF isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Non-muccid CF isolates were more inhibitory than muccid CF isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001, both comparisons). Non-muccid CF isolates were more inhibitory than muccid CF isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001). Panel (C) Biofilm culture filtrate from non-CF isolates of *Pa* was not inhibitory, whereas the culture filtrate from each muccid or non-muccid CF *Pa* isolates was inhibitory (P<0.001). N

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g003

of the non-CF filtrates (P<0.001, both comparisons), and culture filtrates from CF non-mucoid Pa cells were more inhibitory than those from CF mucoid cells (P< 0.001).

The results of incubation of the conidia with culture filtrates obtained from *Pa* isolates grown under biofilm conditions are shown in Fig 2C. The culture filtrates of all isolates significantly inhibited Af attempting to form biofilm as compared to their respective controls (*P*<0.001, all comparisons). Examination of the pooled dated showed that biofim culture filtrates of *Pa* isolates from CF or non-CF patients significantly inhibited the metabolic activity of *Af* in biofilm development compared to the controls (all three groups, *P*<0.001). In addition, culture filtrates from CF mucoid or non-mucoid *Pa* isolates were significantly more inhibitory than those from non-CF isolates (*P*<0.001, both comparisons); CF non-mucoid *Pa* isolates biofilm culture filtrates were more inhibitory than those from mucoid isolates (*P*<0.001).

Whereas biofilm formation appears inhibited in the preceding studies, and in CLSM studies and studies of preformed *Af* biofilm to be described, we cannot completely rule out that differences in *Af* biofilm *formation* are a result of growth inhibition of the fungus. Studies (described below) of *Pa* inhibition of, by contrast, purely planktonic growth of Af, where there were not significant differences between the three *Pa* groupings, suggest the inhibitory differences of *Pa* groups relates more to the effect on *Af* biofilm formation.

Effect of live Pa cells or culture filtrates on preformed Af biofilm

The experimental design for these studies is shown in Figs 1 and 3, that of allowing Af to form biofilm for 16 h and then exposing this biofilm to live Pa or culture filtrates for an additional 24 h before XTT assessment of Af metabolic activity. Assessment of the inhibitory effects of the live Pa cells showed that only a single non-CF isolate, Pa19, significantly inhibited the preformed Af biofilm (P<0.01), whereas the other four non-CF isolates had no significant effect (P>0.05). Compared to preformed Af biofilm controls, all isolates of non-mucoid or mucoid live Pa from CF patients significantly inhibited the metabolic activity of preformed Af biofilm (P<0.001). Pooling of the five blocks of data showed that non-CF isolates were not inhibitory, whereas mucoid Pa isolates and non-mucoid CF isolates significantly inhibited the metabolic activity of the preformed Af biofilm in comparisons to controls or non-CF isolates of Pa (P<0.001, both comparisons). Non-mucoid CF isolates were more inhibitory than mucoid CF isolates (P<0.001) (Fig 3A).

Fig 3B shows the activity of planktonic *Pa* culture filtrates on preformed *Af* biofilm. Comparisons of individual culture filtrate activity from individual isolates showed that a single non-CF isolate, *Pa*19, filtrate was inhibitory (*P*<0.05), whereas all those from individual filtrates from non-mucoid or mucoid *Pa* from CF patients, grown under planktonic conditions, significantly inhibited the oxidative metabolism of preformed *Af* biofilm (*P*<0.001, all comparisons). The results of analysis of the pooled data showed non-CF planktonic culture filtrates had no inhibitory activity, whereas planktonic filtrates from mucoid or non-mucoid *Pa* isolates were more inhibitory than controls or filtrates from non-CF isolates (P < 0.001, both comparisons). Non-mucoid CF isolate filtrates were more inhibitory than mucoid CF filtrates (P < 0.001).

Fig 3C shows the activity that filtrates from cultures of biofilm-grown *Pa* isolates had on preformed *Af* biofilm. No inhibitory activity was exhibited by the non-CF biofilm filtrates (*P*>0.05), whereas all biofilm filtrates from mucoid or non-mucoid CF *Pa* from CF patients significantly inhibited preformed *Af* biofilm, compared to preformed *Af* biofilm controls (*P*<0.001). Similarly, the comparisons of the pooled data showed that non-CF isolate biofilm filtrates were more inhibitory than controls or filtrates of non-CF isolates (*P*<0.001, both comparisons). Again, non-mucoid CF isolate biofilm filtrates were more inhibitory than those of mucoid CF isolates (*P*<0.001).

Effect of Pa growth on inhibition by Pa supernatants

We felt that the effects of the Pa culture filtrates might plausibly be due to differences in growth of the Pa isolates, that isolates with better growth could result in more inhibitory compounds secreted to the culture filtrates. For these and subsequent studies, we chose one representative isolate from each *Pa* group (non-CF (*Pa*19), non-mucoid CF (*Pa*10) and mucoid CF (*Pa*11)) (Figs 2 and 3). Each was grown planktonically and the 24 h growth quantitated as described (Methods). A 10⁶ inoculum of each grew to 3.2 x 10⁸ in the case of the two CF isolates, and 3.3 x 10⁸ for the non-CF isolate. Thus differences in the inhibitory power of the supernatants appear not explained by differences in growth among these isolates.

Minimal effect of serum on inhibition

Because components of serum can also be present where there is local inflammation, such as in the airways, to more closely mimic in vivo conditions it is of interest to know whether the interactions described above might be different in the presence of serum. For these studies, serum was added to the medium in all steps (Fig 1), as indicated in Methods, and all 16 non-CF *Pa* isolates were used, as well as the mucoid and non-mucoid CF isolates.

Overall, the results were virtually the same as those from the studies done in the absence of serum. However, there were exceptions. The *Pa* live mucoid cells, or the filtrates of such cultures grown planktonically or as *Pa* biofilm, were, with serum present, not statistically significantly inhibitory, as assessed metabolically, to *preformed Af* biofilm. Specifically, absent that one exception, the significance and conclusions with (a) mucoid *Pa* and *Af* biofilm *formation*, or (b) non-CF *Pa* or non-mucoid CF *Pa*, as live cells or culture supernatants (the supernatants from cultures grown planktonically or as *Pa* biofilm), were the same as the results in the absence of serum, when tested against either (c) *Af* biofilm formation or (d) preformed *Af* biofilm, Again, non-mucoid *Pa* isolates were most inhibitory in all conditions, and preformed *Af* biofilm the most resistant. None of the 16 non-CF *Pa* isolates behaved differently than the rest of that group (data not shown).

In additional experiments (using only the 3 representative *Pa* isolates, as described in the preceding section, from each *Pa* group), we omitted serum from *Pa* while generating supernatants, but added serum during Af biofilm formation or preformed *Af* biofilm development. We found the same results, as described, as when serum was present or absent in *all* phases.

Overall, we conclude that serum is not an important enhancing or decremental factor in *Pa* generation of inhibition in supernatants, nor a factor in the effect on *Af*.

Comparison of Pa filtrates grown planktonically or as biofilm

All of the studies done with or without serum appeared to indicate that there were differences in inhibitory activity between Pa planktonic and biofilm supernatants. Because there were few experiments, at this point, where these two types of Pa filtrates were compared directly to each other, we approached the comparison, for the studies in Figs 2 and 3, in the statistical fashion described in the Methods section. For the non-CF and the mucoid CF Pa's, planktonic and biofilm supernatants inhibited Af biofilm formation. However, there was no difference between the planktonic and biofilm culture filtrates from the non-CF Pa, whereas Pa biofilm supernatants from mucoid and non-mucoid CF Pa were more inhibitory than planktonic supernatants (P<0.001, both comparisons). The supernatants of non-mucoid CF Pa were inhibitory to both Af biofilm formation and preformed Af biofilm, and Pa biofilm supernatants were more inhibitory than planktonic supernatants in both cases (P < 0.001 for both comparisons). For comparison, a second analysis examined only the studies done in the presence of serum, and with all 16 non-CF isolates. For this second analysis we first compared the controls from each experiment where Pa isolates had been studied (in which only one type of Pa supernatant was used per experiment) by one-way ANOVA to assure the Af control results were not different in those experiments. These analyses showed that there were no significant differences in the Af controls. With this assurance we then compared the inhibition results of the three types of Pa for the two types of Pa supernatants. This allowed 20 experiments with the non-CF Pa's and 10 experiments each with mucoid and non-mucoid CF Pa to be studied. The conclusions were the same as the prior statistical analysis, that of the studies done in the absence of serum.

These statistical differences in planktonic and biofilm Pa filtrates can also be suggested by visual comparison of Figs 2 and 3, although these differences were more marked when serum was present. In some subsequent experiments, under special conditions to be described subsequently (e.g., comparisons with *E. coli*), there *were* direct comparisons of the two kinds of *Pa* supernatants, and supernatants from planktonically grown *Pa* were never more inhibitory than supernatants from biofilm-grown *Pa*, regardless of the source of the *Pa*.

Confocal microscopy analysis

All the preceding studies assessed metabolic effects on biofilm. We now assessed effects on the physical biofilm itself.

Effects on biofilm thickness

The effect of the *Pa* spent culture filtrates on *Af* biofilm thickness and morphology were assessed using CLSM. The thickness results for the *Af* biofilm after conidia exposure for 16h to the *Pa* spent supernatants from the representatives of all three bacterial phenotypes are shown (Fig.4). The spent supernatant obtained from all three *Pa* phenotypes, after *Pa* growth planktonically or as biofilm, resulted in a significant reduction of the fungal biofilm thickness compared to the untreated control (Fig.4A and 4B). Treatment with the spent supernatants from the non-CF and the CF mucoid isolates had no significant effect on the thickness measurements of preformed *Af* biofilm, whereas the supernatant of the non-mucoid *Pa*, grown either planktonically or as biofilm, was inhibitory (Fig.4C and 4D).

Effects on Af biofilm formation

Untreated biofilms showed an architecture formed by a dense filamentous multicellular structure with acute-angle dichotomous branching (Figs 5A and 6A). The *Pa* supernatants' effect on *Af* biofilm was also observed in the morphology studies using CLSM. Fig 5B, 5C and 5D show

Af biofilm+ CF Af biofilm+ CF

Nmuc

Muc





Fig 4. Pa supernatant affects Af biofilm thickness, Af biofilms were formed and stained as described. Sections of the xy plane were taken at 1 um intervals along the z-axis to determine the depth of the biofilms. (A) Af conidia were exposed to planktonic Pa spent supernatant or (B) biofilm Pa spent supernatant, for 16 h. (C) Af preformed biofilms were exposed to planktonic Pa spent supernatant or (D) biofilm Pa spent supernatant, for 24 h. Assays were performed in triplicate and images were taken from three different fields from each sample. The results are representatives of two different experiments for each Pa-Af combination. One asterisk indicates a P value (<0.01), and two asterisks indicates a P value (<0.001) for the biofilm thickness compared to the untreated control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g004

the effect of *Pa* culture filtrates on *Af* biofilm formation. Non-CF *Pa* spent supernatant, from Pa grown as biofilm, resulted in reduction in filamentation and presence of some "glued" hyphae, without a clear separation of the filamentous elements. A similar morphology pattern was observed when the conidia were treated with planktonic culture filtrates from the same strain (data not shown). Treatment with culture filtrates from the CF mucoid strain culture, grown under biofilm condition, resulted in decreased number of hyphae and presence of some "bulging" structures (possibly conidial remnants) distributed throughout the disperse filaments, and "glued" hyphae (Fig 5C). The CF non-mucoid Pa biofilm filtrate resulted in severe effects on hyphal structure, with loss of filamentation, and hyphal tips or branch points appeared to be thin. Several bulges and deposition of amorphous material were also observed. Treatment with planktonic spent supernatant from the CF non-mucoid isolate was associated with a

Untreated control

Af biofilm formation and CF mucoid Pa biofilm filtrate

Af biofilm formation and non-CF Pa biofilm filtrate



Af biofilm formation and CF non-mucoid Pa biofilm filtrate



Fig 5. CLSM images of *Af* **biofilm after challenging conidia for 16h with biofilm** *Pa* **spent supernatant.** Horizontal (*xy*) view of reconstructed 3-dimensional images of FUN1-stained biofilms, with filter set to capture green fluorescence. Thickness of the biofilm can be observed in the side view of the reconstruction (extreme right and lower panels in each picture). (A) Untreated control. (B) *Af* conidia exposed to spent supernatant of a non-CF *Pa* grown as biofilm for 16 h, or (C) exposed to mucoid CF *Pa* biofilm spent supernatant or (D) exposed to non-mucoid CF *Pa* biofilm spent supernatant. Arrows show "bulge-like" structures and deposition of amorphous material in treated cultures. Magnification, x63. Bar, 50 µm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g005

disruption of the hyphae structure and presence of surface collapse and glued filaments (data not shown).

Effects on preformed biofilm

As was suggested by the biofilm thickness data, treatment of *preformed Af* biofilm with the spent supernatants from the non-CF and the CF mucoid isolates were not associated with important morphology changes (Fig 6B and 6C). There was a prominent morphology change observed comparing the untreated *Af* control and treatment with the CF non-mucoid *Pa* strain grown under biofilm conditions (Fig 6D). We observed severe effects on hyphal structure, with loss of filamentation, and hyphal tips and branch points appeared to be thin after the treatment. Moreover, we observed amorphous structures distributed throughout the intertwined defective filamentous networks.

Untreated control Preformed Af biofilm and non-CF Pa biofilm filtrate



Preformed Af biofilm and CF non-mucoid Pa biofilm filtrate



Fig 6. CLSM images of *Af* **biofilm after challenging preformed** *Af* **biofilm for 24 h with** *Pa* **biofilm spent supernatant.** Horizontal (*xy*) view of reconstructed 3-dimensional images of FUN1-stained biofilms, with filter set to capture green fluorescence. Thickness of the biofilm can be observed in the side view of the reconstruction (extreme right and lower panels in each picture). (A) Untreated control. (B) *Af* preformed biofilm exposed to spent supernatant of a non-CF *Pa* biofilm spent supernatant for 16 h or (C) exposed to mucoid CF *Pa* biofilm spent supernatant. Arrows show the amorphous structures distributed throughout the intertwined altered filamentous networks in treated cultures. Magnification, ×63. Bar, 50 µm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g006

Preformed Af biofilm and CF

mucoid Pa biofilm filtrate

MW characterization of the inhibitors in filtrates

To better understand the nature of the inhibitor(s) present in the *Pa* filtrates, we performed a series of studies to assess their physical and chemical nature.

To determine whether the inhibitors in filtrates were related to small molecular species, a sample of inhibitory filtrate from the non-mucoid *Pa* biofilm culture was passed through an ultrafilter that now excluded all materials >30,000 MW, and tested against *Af* conidia forming biofilm, in comparison to the same filtrate not further manipulated (as in Fig 1111). These two samples inhibited *Af* biofilm formation (*P*<0.001), and were not different from each other. We conclude that the inhibitory component(s) in *Pa* filtrates appear to be <30,000 MW species.

Specificity

As a brief inquiry into the specificity of the culture filtrates of Pa inhibiting Af, we grew E. *coli* ATCC 43888 under identical conditions as we had the Pa isolates, and collected these filtrates in the same manner. Supernatant from the non-mucoid Pa was included in these experiments as a positive control. The filtrates of the *E. coli*, either grown planktonically or as biofilm, did not significantly inhibit Af conidia forming biofilm (conditions under which, in contrast, all Pa filtrates tested could inhibit; see Fig 2), and the positive Pa control filtrate did significantly inhibit. The filtrates of the *E. coli*, either grown planktonically or as biofilm, also did not inhibit preformed Af biofilm (data not shown).

To ascertain whether the reference Af isolate, 10AF, was representative, three and nine other non-CF Af isolates were tested, by challenging formation of Af biofilm from their conidia, or preformed Af biofilm, respectively (Fig 1II and 1IV, respectively), by live Pa cells of the non-mucoid CF Pa. Isolate 10AF was assayed concurrently. The XTT activity of all untreated Af biofilms formed were not significantly different, and all were markedly inhibited (P<0.001) by the addition of the Pa cells (data not shown).

We conclude that not all bacteria produce compounds that are inhibitory to *Af* biofilm and that the inhibition by *Pa* is not unique to our reference strain of *Af*.

The effect of *Pa* culture filtrates on *Af* is a result of inhibitors in filtrates, not reduction of nutrients

We addressed the possibility that the inhibition of Af biofilm by the Pa filtrates would be due to a reduced nutritional value of the spent culture filtrates diluting out the value of newly added medium during the incubation phase in the Af biofilm assays. The effect of fresh RPMI diluted with Pa culture filtrates (from biofilm-grown Pa) or sterile distilled water (a milieu that offers no nutrient support) on Af biofilm formation was studied. Fungal biofilm metabolic activity was inhibited over a range of ratios of fresh RPMI diluted with culture filtrates obtained from the representative CF and non CF Pa isolates, with inhibition starting at as little as 20% filtrate (80% fresh RPMI) (P<0.05) (Fig 7A). In contrast, Af biofilms were not significantly affected after challenge with fresh RPMI diluted in distilled water until the mixture contained only a 20% fresh RPMI concentration (80% distilled water) (Fig 7A); this concentration of RPMI is much lower than that of the 50% fresh RPMI dilution with filtrates as used in the supernatant experiments previously described (Figs 2 and 3). These findings indicate that the presence of inhibitory products in Pa culture filtrates were responsible for the inhibition of the metabolic activity of the fungal biofilms, rather than the inhibition being due to dilution of the nutrients in media by addition of Pa culture filtrate, or removal of the nutrients from the growth media during the Pa growth; i.e., that the inhibition by culture filtrate of Pa is a result of substances (<30,000 MW) Pa secretes into the culture filtrate, rather than nutrients removed by Pa.

Although this paper is focused on Af biofilm, it was of interest, for comparison, to see the effect of Pa supernatants on Af growing planktonically, as would occur in tubes, as in classical susceptibility testing [52]. The representative isolates from each of the three Pa groups was studied. When Pa supernatant was diluted in medium 1:1, exactly as in the challenges of biofilm, applied to Af conidia inocula in tubes, and the tubes examined when hyphal growth in matched controls was 4+, visible Af growth in the presence of supernatants did not occur (i.e., 0 growth in the supernatants of the CF isolates, or trace growth in that of the non-CF Pa). To again assure the inhibition seen was a result of toxic factors from the Pa, rather than depletion of media by Pa growth, the dilution series experiment with distilled water was repeated as above (Fig 7B). The result was even more dramatic than seen with Af biofilm targets: any



Fig 7. A. *Pa* **biofilm supernatant inhibits** *Af* **biofilm formation.** *Af* conidia were exposed to serial dilutions of *Pa* spent medium or sterile distilled water, mixed with fresh RPMI supplemented with 10% serum during *Af* biofilm formation as described in Methods and Fig 1III. The percent of fresh medium in each test situation was decreased as the spent medium or sterile distilled water increased. Then the resulting XTT readings were quantified, and the results expressed as percent of control with medium alone (no supernatant or distilled water). Results are presented as the mean of three replicates from three strains of *Pa* performed on two separate occasions. Error bars represent the SD of the mean. **B.** *Pa* **biofilm supernatant inhibits planktonic** *Af*. *Af* conidia were exposed to serial dilutions of *Pa* spent medium or sterile distilled water, mixed with fresh RPMI, and grown planktonically in tubes, as described in Methods. The percent of fresh medium in each tube was decreased as the spent medium or sterile distilled water, mixed with fresh RPMI, and grown planktonically in tubes, as described in Methods. The percent of fresh medium in each tube was decreased as the spent medium or sterile distilled water, mixed with fresh RPMI, and grown planktonically in tubes, as described in Methods. The percent of fresh medium in each tube was decreased as the spent medium or sterile distilled water increased. Then the resulting XTT readings were quantified, and the results expressed as percent of control with medium alone (no supernatant or distilled water). The results shown are with the non-mucoid CF *Pa* isolate supernatant; the mucoid CF *Pa* isolate were studied concurrently, and the results were not different; only the non-mucoid *Pa* result is shown to avoid clutter.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g007

concentration of supernatant $\geq 10\%$ (i.e., $\leq 90\%$ fresh medium) reduced the planktonic growth metabolic activity by XTT virtually completely, whereas it was only when the concentration of distilled water reached 40% (only 60% fresh medium) that any effect at all was seen on that XTT result (Fig 7B). The effect was modestly larger with the non-mucoid CF *Pa*, but was not statistically significantly greater than the inhibition seen with the supernatants of the other two *Pa* isolates.

Effect of temperature on Pa supernatants

Two experiments were performed on heat treatment of the *Pa* filtrates (from planktonic or biofilm cultures), using the 3 representative isolates detailed, and (a) in the absence of serum from all steps or (b) just during the generation of supernatants. Comparison was made to untreated filtrates.

The heat treatment of the supernatants of all 3 Pa isolates, under both test conditions "(a)" and "(b)", removed all inhibitory activity against Af forming biofilm or preformed Af biofilm, i.e., heated supernatant results same as controls (data not shown). There was one small exception: the non-mucoid CF strain heated supernatant retained significant inhibitory activity against Af forming biofilm, only when the filtrate was from the Pa biofilm (not planktonic Pa) culture, and only in condition "(b)".

In another experiment, freeze-thawed supernatant of the non-mucoid CF isolate, either grown planktonically or as biofilm, retained inhibitory activity against Af forming biofilm (both P<0.001 compared to untreated Af control), but this was significantly diminished compared to untreated supernatants (both P<0.001). When either frozen-thawed supernatant was tested against preformed Af biofilm, all activity was lost.

We conclude that the heat treatment used removed essentially all *Af*-inhibitory activity from supernatants of all types of *Pa* isolates. Freeze-thawing significantly diminishes inhibitory activity.

Effect of DNase I and proteinase K on Pa biofilm culture filtrate activity

To determine whether the inhibitory substances were protein or DNA, we treated a nonmucoid *Pa* culture filtrate with DNAase or proteinase prior to assay for inhibitory activity. Compared to untreated *Pa* filtrate, filtrate treated with DNase I showed no significant differences in inhibitory capacity compared to *Af* control, nor did the DNase I alone have an inhibitory effect (data not shown).

EDTA (a chelator of metal anions, such as Fe; and a component of the DNase assay) alone reduced the metabolic activity of *Af* biofilm compared to *Af* biofilm untreated controls (P<0.001). The EDTA inhibitory effect was less than that of untreated *Pa* filtrate. EDTA + DNase I did not affect inhibition of *Af* biofilm by *Pa* filtrate. Finally, DNase I had no effect on EDTA inhibition of Af biofilm, as there were no significant differences between EDTA and DNase I + EDTA.

Proteinase K alone did not affect Af biofilm formation. The addition of Proteinase K to *Pa* filtrates did not affect filtrate inhibition of *Af* biofilm formation.

Other characterization of Pa isolates

Because of the differences we observed among the *Pa* types tested, we also tested for some phenotypes previously associated with *Pa* virulence. Elastase activity, a virulence factor in *Pa* [57], was assessed in the *Pa* isolates that were used in the *Af* biofilm studies. The 5 non-mucoid CF isolates more frequently showed a positive test compared to the 5 mucoid CF and 5 non-CF isolates (3 vs. 2 and 1, respectively, at 24 hrs.; 5 vs. 3 and 3 at 48 hrs. with additional incubation at 4° C).

Certain *Pa* colonial types have been associated with *lasR* gene (a "master" gene controlling Pa virulence genes) mutations, more severe lung disease and persistence despite eradication attempts, including a wrinkly colony surface, irregular colony edge, metallic sheen, and green colony color [58]. As with elastase, these characteristics were also not significantly associated with our three groups differing in *Af* inhibition; 2 or 3 (of 5) of the non-mucoid isolates had each of these characteristics, compared to 1 to 3 of the other groups of 5 in each instance.

Role of iron in inhibition by Pa filtrates

Iron is a co-factor required for growth and both *Af* and *Pa* produce siderophores to acquire iron for their needs. Three experiments were performed to confirm a possible role for iron sequestration by *Pa* filtrates in the inhibition of *Af*. A filtrate of the inhibitory non-mucoid *Pa*, grown under biofilm conditions, was tested (schema as in Fig 1V) alone or with added FeCl₃, at 3-fold increasing concentrations from 11 μ M through 297 μ M, then 10-fold increasing concentrations from 300 μ M through 30 mM, against Af forming biofilm, and compared to the usual control (RPMI without filtrate) (Fig 8). In combinations with filtrate, from 11 to 300 μ M FeCl₃, inhibition by the filtrate was retained, and without significant difference from the inhibition by filtrate in the absence of FeCl₃ (*P*<0.001 for inhibition by all, compared to no-filtrate control). At 3000 μ M FeCl₃, there was no significant inhibition compared to control *Af*, and the inhibition was significantly less (*P*<0.001) than that with the filtrate without FeCl₃. At 30 mM FeCl₃ the XTT result showed not only lack of inhibition, but was significantly *enhanced* compared to both the filtrate-free control and filtrate without added FeCl₃.



Fig 8. The effect of FeCl₃ on the inhibition of *Af* biofilm formation, by filtrate from a CF non-mucoid *Pa* grown under biofilm conditions. Conidia formed biofilms on polystyrene disks (AF) during 16 h exposure to *Pa* filtrate (PA), filtrate + varying concentrations of FeCl₃ (shown as the concentration, uM = μ M), or varying concentrations of FeCl₃ only. After 16 h of challenge, disks were transferred to fresh RPMI-1640 for 24 h of further growth before biofilm formation was quantified via XTT assay, and the results expressed as percent of control with medium alone (no supernatant or FeCl₃). Asterisks denote *P* <0.001 for comparisons to the experimental control containing *Af* with RPMI-1640 (AF; no test materials). Daggers denote *P* <0.001 for comparisons with the experimental control containing *Af* + FIItrate (AF + PA). Results with AF + PA + 11, 33 or 99 μ M FeCl₃ were not different than AF + PA; AF + 11, 33, or 99 μ M FeCl₃ were not different than AF + 297 μ M FeCl₃; and these results are not shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134692.g008

In separate studies on FeCl₃ alone, reported preliminarily elsewhere [59], we have found, as in Fig.8, FeCl₃ alone at \geq 2500 µM to enhance *Af* biofilm XTT activity. The enhancement of growth in the present study, with 3000 µM FeCl₃ alone, compared to partial inhibition by the same concentration combined with filtrate, confirms the dose-responsive reversal of inhibition by filtrate shown in Fig.8.

We conclude, from the iron reversal of Pa supernatant inhibition, that iron sequestration, such as in the form of Pa siderophores or other Pa iron-binding molecules [60], is at least part of the mechanism of the inhibition of Af biofilm by Pa filtrates.

Discussion

Whereas planktonic Af growth appears affected by any Pa isolate, and the inhibition is more intense than on Af biofilm growth, we show that the described inhibition of Af biofilm by Pa[42–45] is related to the source and phenotype of the Pa isolate. Titration studies may have elucidated differences, among Pa types, in inhibition by supernatants on *planktonic* Af growth, but we chose not to pursue this. The differential effects of Pa types on Af biofilm is consistent with the extensive phenotypic and genomic changes in the mutable Pa organism that, associated with chronic residence in CF airways, have been described [2,8,9,61]. Specifically, we show live Pa cells inhibit the growth and formation of Af biofilm, with CF Pa isolates more inhibitory, and non-mucoid CF Pa isolates most inhibitory. Inhibition did not require the presence of Pa cells, as Pa culture filtrates were also inhibitory. The inhibition by filtrates showed the same hierarchy, with CF Pa isolates more inhibitory, and non-mucoid Pa isolates most inhibitory. Preformed Af biofilm was more resistant to Pa; non-mucoid CF Pa isolates were most inhibitory, and, again, that inhibition could be reproduced with culture filtrates. Reproducibility of the three Pa groupings was assured by the repeated experiments of various conditions, utilizing representative isolates of the groupings, as well as the number of isolates studied. Moreover, selection of Pa19, the most inhibitory of the non-CF isolates, as the representative of that group, would have minimized the differences in these studies between the CF and non-CF isolates.

Inhibition of Af biofilm appears dependent on the bacterial growth conditions, since filtrates from Pa grown as biofilm were more inhibitory than from Pa grown planktonically. However, the relationship of the number of Pa cells at the end of biofilm growth vs. the number after planktonic growth is unknown. Differences in biological effect on mammalian cells for molecules produced by planktonic Pa vs. biofilm Pa have previously been described, although planktonic Pa in that study produced more such active molecules [19]. We have assumed the production of substances with different Af-inhibitory power by the three Pa groupings is unrelated to the growth of the Pa, based on our growth studies by representative isolates of each group. However, each of the groups studied may be heterogenous, and more extensive studies, with more members of each group, might then show a relationship between growth and supernatant inhibition.

We chose to measure Af inhibition by the XTT assay of metabolism (which does not exclude the possibility of death of some Af cells), because of the controversies in interpreting CFU reduction in a multinucleate filamentous organism, commonly with incomplete septa between cells [62,63]. Such problems are magnified when attempting to quantitate a biofilm network [64]. However, others have indicated Af is, in fact, killed by Pa [64]. The XTT results would also not exclude a switch by the fungus to non-oxidative, fermentative, metabolism. However, our photomicrographs, and measurements of biofilm thickness, support the concept of actual growth inhibition occurring. The effects we describe on preformed Af biofilm show that Pa effects on Af are not solely on inhibition of the initial adhesion stage of Af biofilm development.

Previous work has indicated many candidate Pa molecules that can explain the various inhibitions we have described [42–47]. We confirm that small Pa-derived molecules, probably not nucleic acids or proteins per our studies, can explain at least most of the inhibition in supernatants. Whereas most previous work has focused on the toxic effects of Pa metabolites on fungi, our work suggests that denial of Fe to Af by Pa products is an important part of the inhibition. This is in contrast to a study of Pa inhibition of *Candida albicans*, which concluded that inhibition of that fungus was not a result of Fe limitation [46], although these apparent fungal differences could be affected by different experimental conditions. The bacterial-fungal interplay is more complex than just molecules produced by Pa, as recent elegant work has shown that Af can transform Pa metabolites, and thus radically alter the effect on the interaction, including the degree of inhibition [65]. Finally, Fe limitation by Pa could also in part be a result of modulation of Af siderophore production by Pa metabolites [66].

Pa quorum-sensing systems control expression of virulence factors, such as those previously implicated in Af inhibition [42–47]. A study that indicated no difference in quorum-sensing between CF and non-CF Pa isolates [67] might suggest that quorum sensing-induced molecules are at least not solely responsible for the increased inhibitory activity we have described for CF non-mucoid isolates. Some phenotypic factors previously associated with Pa virulence [57,58] that we studied did not appear associated with Af inhibition.

Our findings contrast with others, who reported Af biofilm was not inhibited by Pa [45], a difference likely attributable to their lack of study of CF Pa isolates. Our findings also contrast with the report of heat-resistance of Af-inhibitory factors produced in Pa cultures [45], since we show lability of essentially all the inhibition to heat, as well as significant lability to a freeze-thaw cycle. Such study differences could be a result of different Pa and Af culture and/or heat-ing conditions. These differences support the value of detailing the physical and chemical characterizations of the supernatants described here; these characterizations could lead to further identification of the molecules responsible for the inhibition we have described. Another pathway to this end would be to utilize the many Pa virulence factor mutants [68] that have been described (studies in progress).

A limitation of our studies is that we have only studied one time period, although one adequate for bacterial growth, for production of Pa factors, whereas different regulatory systems may have different kinetics [68]. It may be of interest to study earlier and later time periods of *Pa* culture, and assess whether inhibitory factors are produced to a greater or lesser extent. We also cannot state at this time whether the enhanced inhibitory activity by biofilm Pa compared to planktonic Pa culture filtrates, or that resulting from non-mucoid CF isolates and their filtrates, is owing to increased production of inhibitory factors, or possibly the production of different factors. Nor is it known whether the inhibition by live cells relies solely on the same inhibitory factors (factors which can be released by Pa cells in close proximity to Afwithout dilution in culture medium) as in supernatants or whether there are unique factors resulting from or triggered by microbial contact. In this regard, the apparent greater inhibitory activity of live cells compared to supernatants (e.g., Fig 2) could be related to the observation that Pa production of virulence factors can be increased by co-culture with some other microbes [69]. It would also be of interest to study prolonged mixed Pa-fungal biofilm development [64], since the mixed condition is only beginning in our studies of Af forming biofilm challenged with Pa cells. Another issue is that CF patients in proximity to each other can cross-infect *Pa* isolates [70]; moreover, one *Pa* clone can therefore come to dominate in patients in one clinic-hospital setting [71]. Thus, without detailed sequencing data, one cannot be sure isolates from multiple CF patients in the same setting are not all very closely related; our observations should be confirmed with isolates from other centers. Finally, a population study, similar to that which we have done for Pa, would be of interest with respect to CF Af isolates, and whether any differ from non-CF, or reference Af, isolates (studies in progress).

Individual patient samples in our cohort shows not only that *Pa* isolates of varying colonial phenotype can co-exist in the same patient, but isolates with varying *Af*-inhibitory properties can co-exist; such polymorphism could be a transition state in the evolution of *Pa* colonization. Overall, from our studies of different *Pa* phenotypes, we hypothesize the transition of *Pa* from non-mucoid to mucoid [72–75], a process that usually occurs with time in CF disease, with the latter moving to a deeper zone of the lung [75], ablates *Pa*'s inhibitory effect on *Af* biofilm, and thus, helps explain why establishment of *Af* in the airways usually occurs later in CF disease. Evolution of *Pa* may act in concert with other factors favoring *Af* colonization [76], including repeated antibacterial courses.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Compendium of studies reported. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

Presented in part to 6th Advances Against Aspergillosis meeting, Madrid, 2014, abstracts no. 49 and 51. We thank Marife Martinez and Vicky Chen for technical assistance.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JAGF JAJH KVC AMS DAS. Performed the experiments: JAGF JCP JAJH HN KC. Analyzed the data: JAGF JCP JAJH KVC KC EC DAS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RBM AMS NB DAS. Wrote the paper: JAGF JCP RBM JAJH KVC AMS EC DAS. Statistical analyses: JAGF KVC EC DAS.

References

- 1. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry Annual Data Report 2012, Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Bethesda, MD.
- Williams HD, Davies JC. Basic science for the chest physician: Pseudomonas aeruginosa and the cystic fibrosis airway. Thorax 2012; 67:465–467. doi: <u>10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-201498</u> PMID: <u>22382597</u>
- 3. Nagano Y, Millar BC, Johnson E, Goldsmith CE, Elborn JS, Rendall J, et al. Fungal infections in patients with cystic fibrosis. Rev Med Microbiol. 2007; 18: 11–16.
- Pihet M, Carrere J, Cimon B, Chabasse D, Delhaes L, Symoens F, et al. Occurrence and relevance of filamentous fungi in respiratory secretions of patients with cystic fibrosis-a review. Med Mycol. 2008; 47: 387–397. doi: 10.1080/13693780802609604 PMID: 19107638
- Horré R, Symoens F, Delhaes L, Bouchara JP. Fungal respiratory infections in cystic fibrosis: a growing problem. Med Mycol. 2010; 48: S1–S3.
- Masoud-Landgraf L, Badura A, Eber E, Feierl G, Marth E, Buzina W. Modified culture method detects a high diversity of fungal species in cystic fibrosis patients. Med Mycol. 2014; 52: 179–186. PMID: 23651180
- Sabino R, Ferreira JAG, Moss R, Valente J, Veríssimo C, Carolino E, et al. Molecular epidemiology of Aspergillus from cystic fibrosis patients. J Cystic Fibrosis. 2015; 14:474–481.
- Davies JC, Bilton D. Bugs, biofilms, and resistance in cystic fibrosis. Respir Care. 2009; 54: 628–640. PMID: <u>19393107</u>
- Folkesson A, Jelsbak L, Yang L, Johansen HK, Ciofu O, Høiby N, et al. Adaptation of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa to the cystic fibrosis airway: an evolutionary perspective. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2012; 10: 841– 851. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2907 PMID: 23147702
- Shih PC, Huang CT. Effects of quorum-sensing deficiency on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2002; 49: 309–314. PMID: <u>11815572</u>
- Mowat E, Butcher J, Lang S, Williams C, Ramage G. Development of a simple model for studying the effects of antifungal agents on multicellular communities of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. J Med Microbiol. 2007; 56: 1205–1212. PMID: <u>17761484</u>
- Yang L, Haagensen JA, Jelsbak L, Johansen HK, Sternberg C, Høiby N, et al. *In situ* growth rates and biofilm development of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations in chronic lung infections. J Bacteriol. 2008; 190: 2767–2776. PMID: <u>18156255</u>
- Seidler MJ, Salvenmoser S, Müller FM. Aspergillus fumigatus forms biofilms with reduced antifungal drug susceptibility on bronchial epithelial cells. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008; 52: 4130–4136. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00234-08 PMID: 18710910
- Mowat E, Lang S, Williams C, McCulloch E, Jones B, Ramage G. Phase-dependent antifungal activity against Aspergillus fumigatus developing multicellular filamentous biofilms. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008; 62: 1281–1284. doi: <u>10.1093/jac/dkn402</u> PMID: <u>18819968</u>
- Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PØ, Fiandaca MJ, Pedersen J, Hansen CR, Andersen CB, et al. *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa biofilms in the respiratory tract of cystic fibrosis patients. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2009; 44: 547– 558. doi: 10.1002/ppul.21011 PMID: 19418571
- Mowat E, Williams C, Jones B, McChlery S, Ramage G. The characteristics of Aspergillus fumigatus mycetoma development: is this a biofilm? Med Mycol. 2009; 47: S120–S126. doi: <u>10.1080/</u> <u>13693780802238834</u> PMID: <u>18654926</u>
- Smyth AR, Hurley MN. Targeting the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm to combat infections in patients with cystic fibrosis. Drugs Fut. 2010; 35: 1007–1014.

- Müller FM, Seidler M, Beauvais A. Aspergillus fumigatus biofilms in the clinical setting. Med Mycol. 2011; 49: S96–S100. doi: 10.3109/13693786.2010.502190 PMID: 21254964
- Beaudoin T, LaFayette S, Roussel L, Bérubé J, Desrosiers M, Nguyen D, et al. The level of p38α mitogen-activated protein kinase activation in airway epithelial cells determines the onset of innate immune responses to planktonic and biofilm *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Infect Dis. 2013; 207: 1544–1555. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jit059 PMID: 23402824
- Kaur S, Singh S. Biofilm formation by Aspergillus fumigatus. Med Mycol. 2014; 52: 2–9. doi: <u>10.3109/</u> <u>13693786.2013.819592</u> PMID: <u>23962172</u>
- Hall-Stoodley L, Stoodley P. Evolving concepts in biofilm infections. Cell Microbiol. 2009; 11: 1034– 1043. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1462-5822.2009.01323.x</u> PMID: <u>19374653</u>
- Loussert C, Schmitt C, Prevost MC, Balloy V, Fadel E, Philippe B, et al. *In vivo* biofilm composition of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Cell Microbiol. 2010; 12: 405–410. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1462-5822.2009.01409.x</u> PMID: <u>19889082</u>
- Stevens DA, Moss RB, Kurup VP, Knutsen AP, Greenberger P, Judson MA, et al. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in cystic fibrosis- state of the art: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Consensus Conference. Clin Infect Dis. 2003; 37: S225–S264. PMID: <u>12975753</u>
- Scharf DH, Heinekamp T, Remme N, Hortschansky P, Brakhage AA, Hertweck C. Biosynthesis and function of gliotoxin in Aspergillus fumigatus. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2012; 93: 467–472. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1007/s00253-011-3689-1</u> PMID: <u>22094977</u>
- Fillaux J, Brémont F, Murris M, Cassaing S, Rittié JL, Tétu L, et al. Assessment of Aspergillus sensitization or persistent carriage as a factor in lung function impairment in cystic fibrosis patients. Scand J Infect Dis. 2012; 44: 842–847. doi: 10.3109/00365548.2012.695454 PMID: 22831545
- Speirs JJ, van der Ent CK, Beekman JM. Effects of Aspergillus fumigatus colonization on lung function in cystic fibrosis. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2012; 18: 632–638. doi: <u>10.1097/MCP.0b013e328358d50b</u> PMID: 22965276
- Ramsey KA, Ranganathan S, Park J, Skoric B, Adams AM, Simpson SJ, et al. Early respiratory infection is associated with reduced spirometry in children with cystic fibrosis. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2014; 190: 1111–1116. doi: <u>10.1164/rccm.201407-1277OC</u> PMID: <u>25321321</u>
- 28. de Boer K, Vandemheen KL, Tullis E, Doucette S, Fergusson D, Freitag A, et al. Exacerbation frequency and clinical outcomes in adult patients with cystic fibrosis. Thorax. 2011; 66: 680–685. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1136/thx.2011.161117</u> PMID: <u>21680566</u>
- Nicolai T, Arleth S, Spaeth A, Bertele-Harms RM, Harms HK. Correlation of IgE antibody titer to Aspergillus fumigatus with decreased lung function in cystic fibrosis. Pediat Pulmonol. 1990; 8: 12–15.
- Forsyth KD, Hohmann AW, Martin AJ, Bradley J. IgG antibodies to Aspergillus fumigatus in cystic fibrosis: a laboratory correlate of disease activity. Arch Dis Childhood. 1988; 63: 953–957.
- Schonheyder H, Jensen T, Høiby N, Andersen P, Koch C. Frequency of Aspergillus fumigatus isolates and antibodies to Aspergillus antigens in cystic fibrosis. Acta Path Microbiol Immunol Scand. 1985; 93: 105–112.
- Shoseyov D, Brownlee KG, Conway SP, Kerem E. Aspergillus bronchitis in cystic fibrosis. Chest. 2006; 130: 222–226. PMID: <u>16840406</u>
- Coughlan CA, Chotirmall SH, Renwick J, Hassan T, Low TB, Bergsson G, et al. The effect of Aspergillus fumigatus infection on vitamin D receptor expression in cystic fibrosis. Am J Resp Crit Care Med. 2012; 186: 99–1007.
- Amin R, Dupuis A, Aaron SD, Ratjen F. The effect of chronic infection with Aspergillus fumigatus on lung function and hospitalization in patients with cystic fibrosis. Chest. 2010; 137: 171–176. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1378/chest.09-1103</u> PMID: <u>19567494</u>
- Yeldandi V, Laghi F, McCabe MA, Larson R, O'Keefe P, Husain A, et al. Aspergillus and lung transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant. 1995; 14: 883–890. PMID: 8800724
- Tomee JF, Mannes GP, van der Bij W, van der Werf TS, de Boer WJ, Koëter GH, et al. (1996) Serodiagnosis and monitoring of *Aspergillus* infections after lung transplantation. Ann Intern Med. 1996; 125: 197–201. PMID: <u>8686977</u>
- Cahill BC, Hibbs JR, Savik K, Juni BA, Dosland BM, Edin-Stibbe C, et al. Aspergillus airway colonization and invasive disease after lung transplantation. Chest. 1997; 112: 1160–1164. PMID: <u>9367451</u>
- Nunley DR, Grgurich W, Iacono AT, Yousem S, Ohori NP, Keenan RJ, et al. Allograft colonization and infections with *Pseudomonas* in cystic fibrosis lung transplant recipients. Chest. 1998; 113: 1235– 1243. PMID: 9596300
- Zeglen S, Wojarski J, Wozniak-Grygiel E, Siola M, Jastrzebski D, Kucewicz-Czech E, et al. Frequency of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonizations/infections in lung transplant recipients. Transplant Proc. 2009; 41: 3222–3224. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.07.063 PMID: 19857715

- 40. Garantziotis S, Palmer SM. An unwelcome guest: Aspergillus colonization in lung transplantation and its association with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. Am J Transplant. 2009; 9: 1705–1706. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02709.x</u> PMID: <u>19538485</u>
- Botha P, Archer L, Anderson RL, Lordan J, Dark JH, Corris PA, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization of the allograft after lung transplantation and the risk of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. Transplantation. 2008; 85: 771–774 doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31816651de PMID: 18337673
- Mangan A. Interactions between some aural aspergillus species and bacteria. J Gen Microbiol. 1969; 58: 261–266. PMID: <u>4982824</u>
- Blyth W, Forey A. The influence of respiratory bacteria and their biochemical fractions on Aspergillus fumigatus. Sabouraudia. 1971; 9: 273–282. PMID: <u>5002653</u>
- Kerr JR, Taylor GW, Rutman A, Høiby N, Cole PJ, Wilson R. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pyocyanin and 1-hydroxyphenazine inhibit fungal growth. J Clin Pathology. 1999; 52: 385–387.
- 45. Mowat E, Rajendran R, Williams C, McCulloch E, Jones B, Lang S, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and their small diffusible extracellular molecules inhibit *Aspergillus fumigatus* biofilm formation. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2010; 313: 96–102. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02130.x</u> PMID: <u>20964704</u>
- Holcombe LJ, McAlester G, Munro CA, Enjalbert B, Brown AJ, Gow NA, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* secreted factors impair biofilm development in *Candida albicans*. Microbiology. 2010; 156: 1476– 1486. doi: <u>10.1099/mic.0.037549-0</u> PMID: <u>20150241</u>
- Bandara HM, K Cheung BP, Watt RM, Jin LJ, Samaranayake LP. Pseudomonas aeruginosa lipopolysaccharide inhibits Candida albicans hyphae formation and alters gene expression during biofilm development. Mol Oral Microbiol. 2013; 28: 54–69. doi: 10.1111/omi.12006 PMID: 23194472
- 48. Penner JC, Ferreira JAG, Secor PR, Sweere J, Clemons KV, Stevens DA, et al. *Pseudomonas aerugi-nosa* Pf4 phage is an inhibitor of *Aspergillus fumigatus* biofilm formation and development. Abstr. 54th Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. Washington, DC, 2014, Abstract no. M-435.
- Denning DW, Clemons KV, Hanson LH, Stevens DA. Restriction endonuclease analysis of total cellular DNA of Aspergillus fumigatus isolates of geographically and epidemiologically diverse origin. J Infect Dis. 1990; 162: 1151–1158. PMID: <u>1977804</u>
- Denning DW, Stevens DA. Efficacy of cilofungin alone and in combination with amphotericin B in a murine model of disseminated aspergillosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1991; 35: 1329–1333. PMID: 1929289
- Sabino R, Veríssimo C, Parada H, Brandão J, Viegas C, Carolino E, et al. Molecular screening of 246 Portuguese Aspergillus isolates among different clinical and environmental sources. Med Mycol. 2014; 52: 519–529. doi: <u>10.1093/mmy/myu006</u> PMID: <u>24847040</u>
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi; approved standard- second edition. Document M38-A2. 2008. CLSI, Wayne, PA
- Ferreira JA, Carr JH, Starling CE, de Resende MA, Donlan RM. Biofilm formation and effect of caspofungin on biofilm structure of *Candida* species bloodstream isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009; 53: 4377–4384. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00316-09 PMID: 19546368
- Meletiadis J, Mouton JW, Meis JFGM, Bouman BA, Donnelly JP, Verweij PE, et al. Colorimetric assay for antifungal susceptibility testing of *Aspergillus* species. J Clin Microbiol. 2001; 39: 3402–3408.
 PMID: 11526191
- Moss BJ, Kim Y, Nandakumar MP, Marten MR. Quantifying metabolic activity of filamentous fungi using a colorimetric XTT assay. Biotechnol Prog. 2008; 24: 780–783. doi: <u>10.1021/bp070334t</u> PMID: <u>18386938</u>
- 56. Pierce CG, Uppuluri P, Tristan AR, Wormley FL, Mowat E, Ramage G, et al. A simple and reproducible 96-well plate-based method for the formation of fungal biofilms and its application to antifungal susceptibility testing. Nature Protocols. 2008; 3: 1494–1500. doi: 10.1038/nport.2008.141 PMID: 18772877
- Waite RD, Rose RS, Rangarajan M, Aduse-Opoku J, Hashim A, Curtis MA. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* possesses two putative type I signal peptidases, LepB and PA1303, each with distinct roles in physiology and virulence. J Bacteriol. 2012; 194: 4521–4536. doi: <u>10.1128/JB.06678-11</u> PMID: <u>22730125</u>
- Mayer-Hamblett N, Ramsey BW, Kulasekara HD, Wolter DJ, Houston LS, Pope CE, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phenotypes associated with eradication failure in children with cystic fibrosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2014; 59: 624–631. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciu385 PMID: 24863401
- Penner JC, Ferreira JAG, Martinez M, Chen V, Hsu JL, Clemons KV, et al. Effect of iron chelators on the formation and development of *Aspergillus fumigatus* biofilm. Abstr. 54th Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. Washington, DC, 2014, Abstract no. M-436.

- Konings AF, Martin LW, Sharples KJ, Roddam LF, Latham R, Reid DW, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* uses multiple pathways to acquire iron during chronic infection in cystic fibrosis lungs. Infect Immun. 2013; 81: 2697–2704. doi: <u>10.1128/IAI.00418-13</u> PMID: <u>23690396</u>
- Ernst RK, Moskowitz SM, Emerson JC, Kraig GM, Adams KN, Harvey MD, et al. Unique lipid A modifications in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from the airways of patients with cystic fibrosis. J Infect Dis. 2007; 196:1088–1092. PMID: <u>17763333</u>
- Singh G, Imai J, Clemons KV, Stevens DA. Efficacy of caspofungin against central nervous system Aspergillus fumigatus infection in mice determined by TaqMan PCR and CFU methods. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005; 49: 1369–1376. PMID: 15793114
- Clemons KV, Stevens DA. Conventional or molecular measurement of Aspergillus load. Med Mycol. 2009; 47: S132–137. doi: <u>10.1080/13693780802213340</u> PMID: <u>18654916</u>
- Manavathu EK, Vager DL, Vazquez JA. Development and antimicrobial susceptibility studies of in vitro monomicrobial and polymicrobial biofilm models with *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. BMC Microbiol. 2014; 14:53. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2180-14-53</u> PMID: <u>24588809</u>
- Moree WJ, Phelan VV, Wu CH, Bandeira N, Cornett DS, Duggan BM, et al. Interkingdom metabolic transformations captured by microbial imaging mass spectrometry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012; 109: 13811–13816. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1206855109 PMID: 22869730
- Phelan VV, Moree WJ, Aguilar J, Cornett DS, Koumoutsi A, Noble SM, et al. Impact of a transposon insertion in phzF2 on the specialized metabolite production and interkingdom interactions of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol. 2014; 196: 1683–1693. doi: <u>10.1128/JB.01258-13</u> PMID: <u>24532776</u>
- Perez LR, de Freitas AL, Barth AL. Cystic and non-cystic fibrosis *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates are not differentiated by the quorum-sensing signaling and biofilm production. Curr Microbiol. 2012; 64: 81–84. doi: 10.1007/s00284-011-0041-z PMID: 22048161
- Dekimpe V, Déziel E. Revisiting the quorum-sensing hierarchy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the transcriptional regulator RhIR regulates LasR-specific factors. Microbiology. 2009; 155: 712–723. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1099/mic.0.022764-0</u> PMID: <u>19246742</u>
- Whiley RA, Sheikh NP, Mushtaq N, Hagi-Pavli E, Personne Y, Javaid D, et al. Differential potentiation of the virulence of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cystic fibrosis Liverpool epidemic strain by oral commensal Streptococci. J Infect Dis. 2014; 209: 769–780. doi: <u>10.1093/infdis/jit568</u> PMID: <u>24158959</u>
- Fothergill JL, Walshaw MJ, Winstanley C. Transmissible strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infections. Eur Resp J. 2012; 40:227–237.
- Salunkhe P, Smart CHM, Morgan JAW, Panagea S, Walshaw MJ, Hart CA, et al. A cystic fibrosis epidemic strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* displays enhanced virulence and antimicrobial resistance. J Bacteriol. 2005; 187: 4908–4920. PMID: <u>15995206</u>
- 72. Pressler T, Frederiksen B, Skov M, Garred P, Koch C, Høiby N. Early rise of anti-pseudomonas antibodies and a mucoid phenotype of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are risk factors for development of chronic lung infection- a case control study. J Cyst Fibros. 2006; 5: 9–15. PMID: 16412706
- 73. Tramper-Stranders GA, van der Ent CK, Molin S, Yang L, Hansen SK, Rau MH, et al. Initial Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in patients with cystic fibrosis: characteristics of eradicated and persistent isolates. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012; 18: 567–574. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03627.x</u> PMID: <u>21883670</u>
- 74. Li Z, Kosorok MR, Farell PM, Laxova A, West SE, Green CG, et al. Longitudinal development of mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection and lung disease progression in children with cystic fibrosis. JAMA. 2005; 293: 581–588. PMID: <u>15687313</u>
- Gaspar MC, Couet W, Olivier J-C, Pais AACC, Sousa JJS. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in cystic fibrosis lung disease and new perspectives of treatment: a review. Eur J Clin Micro Infect Dis. 2013; 32: 1231–1252
- 76. Noni M, Katelari A, Dimopoulos G, Kourlaba G, Spoulou V, Alexandrou-Athanassoulis H, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids and *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolation in cystic fibrosis. Med Mycol. 2014; 52: 715–722. doi: 10.1093/mmy/myu038 PMID: 25056962