INJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS OF QUIVERS

Sangwon Park and Dera Shin

ABSTRACT. We prove that $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ is an injective representation of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$ if and only if M_1 and M_2 are injective left R-modules, $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of representation of the types $E_1 \to 0$ and $E_2 \xrightarrow{id} E_2$ where E_1 and E_2 are injective left R-modules. Then, we generalize the result so that a representation $M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} M_n$ of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet \to \cdots \to \bullet$ is an injective representation if and only if each M_i is an injective left R-module and the representation is a direct sum of injective representations.

1. Introduction

A quiver is just a directed graph. We allow multiple edges and edges going from a vertex back to the same vertex. Originally a representation of quiver assigned a vector space to each vertex - and a linear map to each edge (or arrow) - with the linear map going from the vector space assigned to the initial vertex to the one assigned to the terminal vertex. For example, a representation of the quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$ is $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$. Then we can define a morphism of two representations of the same quiver. Now, instead of vector spaces we can use left R-modules and also instead of linear maps we can use R-linear maps. Representations of quivers were studied in ([1], [2]) and recently in [3] noetherian quivers were studied and in [4] projective representations of quivers were studied.

A left R-module E is injective if, for every left R-module B and every submodule A of B, every R-linear map $f : A \to E$ can be extended to an R-linear map $g : B \to E$. The diagram is

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B$$

$$\downarrow f \quad \nearrow g$$

$$E$$

DEFINITION 1.1. A representation $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$ is called an injective representation if for any representation $N_1 \xrightarrow{g} N_2$ with a subrepresentation $S_1 \xrightarrow{S_2|g|S_1} S_2$ and morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{S_2|g|S_1} & S_2 \\ h\downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M_2 \end{array}$$

there exist $H \in Hom_R(N_1, M_1)$ and $K \in Hom_R(N_2, M_2)$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & N_2 \\ H\downarrow & & \downarrow K \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M_2 \end{array}$$

commutes and $H|_{S_1} = h$ and $K|_{S_2} = k$.
In other words, every diagram of representations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (0 \longrightarrow 0) & \longrightarrow & (S_1 \xrightarrow{S_2|g|S_1} S_2) & \longrightarrow & (N_1 \xrightarrow{g} N_2) \\ & & h\downarrow \quad\quad \downarrow k & & \\ & & (M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2) & & \end{array}$$

can be completed to a commutative diagram as follows :

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (S_1 \xrightarrow{S_2|g|S_1} S_2) \longrightarrow (N_1 \xrightarrow{g} N_2)$$

with H, K, f, and $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$.

LEMMA 1.2. *If $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ is an injective representation of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$, then M_1 and M_2 are injective left R-modules.*

PROOF. Let N be a left R-module, S be a submodule of N and $\alpha : S \to M_1$ be an R-linear map. Then, since $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ is an injective representation, we can complete the diagram

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (S \xrightarrow{id} S) \longrightarrow (N \xrightarrow{id} N)$$

with α, $f \circ \alpha$, and $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$.

as a commutative diagram. Thus, M_1 is an injective left R-module.

Let $g : S \to M_2$ be an R-linear map. Then, since $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ is an injective representation, we can complete the diagram

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (0 \longrightarrow S) \longrightarrow (0 \longrightarrow N)$$

with g, h, and $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$.

as a commutative diagram. Thus, M_2 is an injective left R-module. $\square$

LEMMA 1.3. *If E is an injective left R-module, then a representation $E \to 0$ of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$ is an injective representation.*

PROOF. The lemma follows by completing the diagram

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (S_1 \xrightarrow{S_2|f|S_1} S_2) \longrightarrow (M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2)$$

with maps g, h down to $(E \longleftarrow 0)$

as a commutative diagram. □

REMARK 1.4. A representation $0 \to E$ of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$ is not an injective representation if $E \neq 0$, because we cannot complete the diagram

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (0 \longrightarrow E) \longrightarrow (E \xrightarrow{id} E)$$

with map id down to $(0 \longrightarrow E)$

as a commutative diagram.

LEMMA 1.5. *If E is an injective left R-module, then a representation $E \xrightarrow{id} E$ of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$ is an injective representation.*

PROOF. Let M_1, M_2, E, $S_1(\subset M_1)$ and $S_2(\subset M_2)$ be an left R-modules and let $f : M_1 \to M_2$ be R-linear map. Let $g : S_2 \to E$ be an R-linear map and choose $g \circ f : S_1 \to E$ as an R-linear map. And consider the following diagram :

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (S_1 \xrightarrow{S_2|f|S_1} S_2) \longrightarrow (M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2)$$

with maps $g \circ f$, g down to $(E \xrightarrow{id} E)$

Then, since E is an injective left R-module, there exists a map $h : M_2 \to E$. Now choose $h \circ f : M_1 \to E$ as an R-linear map. Then h and $h \circ f$ complete the above diagram as a commutative diagram. Therefore, $E \xrightarrow{id} E$ is an injective representation. □

2. Direct sum of injective representations

THEOREM 2.1. *A representation* $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ *is an injective representation of a quiver* $Q = \bullet \to \bullet$ *if and only if* M_1, M_2 *are injective left R-modules and* $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ *is isomorphic to a direct sum of representations of the types* $E_1 \to 0$ *and* $E_2 \xrightarrow{id} E_2$ *where* E_1 *and* E_2 *are injective left R-modules.*

PROOF. Consider the following diagram :

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (0 \longrightarrow M_2) \longrightarrow (M_2 \xrightarrow{id} M_2)$$

$$\Big\downarrow id$$

$$(M_1 \xrightarrow{\ f\ } M_2)$$

Since $M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2$ is an injective representation, we can complete the above diagram as a commutative diagram as follows:

$$(0 \longrightarrow 0) \longrightarrow (0 \longrightarrow M_2) \longrightarrow (M_2 \xrightarrow{id} M_2)$$

$$id \bigg\downarrow g \qquad id$$

$$(M_1 \xrightarrow{\ f\ } M_2)$$

Thus, $f \circ g = id_{M_2}$. Therefore, $M_1 \cong M_2 \oplus ker(f)$ and

$$(M_1 \xrightarrow{\ f\ } M_2) \cong (M_2 \xrightarrow{id} M_2) \oplus (ker(f) \longrightarrow 0).$$

□

Now let $Q = \bullet \to \bullet \to \bullet \to \cdots \to \bullet \to \bullet$ be a quiver with n vertices and $n-1$ arrows. Then, we can easily generalize the results of Lemmas 1.3 and 1.5 as follows : the representations

$$E \to 0 \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to 0$$
$$E \xrightarrow{id} E \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to 0$$
$$\vdots$$
$$E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} \cdots \to E \xrightarrow{id} E \to 0$$
$$E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} \cdots \to E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E$$

are all injective representations of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet \to \bullet \to \cdots \to \bullet \to \bullet$, if each E_i is an injective left R-module. We can also generalize Lemma 1.2 so that if $M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} M_n$ of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet \to \bullet \to \cdots \to \bullet \to \bullet$ is an injective representation, then each M_i is an injective left R-module.

THEOREM 2.2. A representation $M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_3$ is an injective representation of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet \to \bullet$ if and only if M_1, M_2 and M_3 are injective left R-modules,

$$(M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_3)$$
$$\cong (E_1 \xrightarrow{id} E_1 \xrightarrow{id} E_1) \oplus (E_2 \xrightarrow{id} E_2 \to 0) \oplus (E_3 \to 0 \to 0).$$

PROOF. The diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(0 \to 0 \to 0) \to & (0 \to M_2 \xrightarrow{id} M_2) & \to (M_2 \xrightarrow{id} M_2 \xrightarrow{id} M_2) \\
& \downarrow\quad id\downarrow\quad f_2\downarrow & \\
& (M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_3) &
\end{array}
$$

can be completed to a commutative diagram by $g_{21} : M_2 \to M_1$, $id : M_2 \to M_2$ and $g_{23} : M_2 \to M_3$. Then we can get $f_1 \circ g_{21} = id_{M_2}$ so that $M_1 \cong M_2 \oplus ker(f_1)$. Now the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(0 \to 0 \to 0) \to & (0 \to 0 \to M_3) & \to (0 \to M_3 \xrightarrow{id} M_3) \\
& \downarrow\quad \downarrow\quad id\downarrow & \\
& (M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_3) &
\end{array}
$$

can be completed to a commutative diagram by $i : 0 \to M_1$, $g_{32} : M_3 \to M_2$, $id : M_3 \to M_3$. Then, we can get $f_2 \circ g_{32} = id_{M_3}$ so that $M_2 \cong M_3 \oplus ker(f_2)$. Therefore, $M_1 \cong M_3 \oplus ker(f_2) \oplus ker(f_1)$. Hence,

$$(M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_3)$$
$$\cong (E_1 \xrightarrow{id} E_1 \xrightarrow{id} E_1) \oplus (E_2 \xrightarrow{id} E_2 \to 0) \oplus (E_3 \to 0 \to 0).$$

This completes the proof. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Now, we can easily generalize Theorem 2.2 so that a representation $M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} M_n$ of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet \to \bullet \to \cdots \to \bullet \to \bullet$

is an injective representation if and only if each M_i is an injective left R-module and the representation is the direct sum of the following injective representations:

$$E \to 0 \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to 0$$
$$E \xrightarrow{id} E \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to 0$$
$$\vdots$$
$$E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} \cdots \to E \xrightarrow{id} E \to 0$$
$$E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} \cdots \to E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E$$

REMARK 2.3. The representations of a quiver $Q = \bullet \to \bullet \to \bullet \to \cdots \to \bullet \to \bullet$:

$$0 \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to E$$
$$0 \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to E \xrightarrow{id} E$$
$$\vdots$$
$$0 \to 0 \to \cdots \to 0 \to E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E$$
$$0 \to E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} \cdots \to E \xrightarrow{id} E \xrightarrow{id} E$$

are not injective representations if $E \neq 0$.

References

[1] E. Enochs, I. Herzog, and S. Park, *Cyclic quiver ring and polycyclic-by-finite group ring*, Houston J. Math. **25** (1999), no. 1, 1–13.
[2] E. Enochs and I. Herzog, *A homotopy of quiver morphism with applications to representations*, Canad. J. Math. **51** (1999), no. 2, 294–308.
[3] E. Enochs, J. R. Rozas, L. Oyonarte, and S. Park, *Noetherian quivers*, Quaestiones Mathematicae **25** (2002), no. 4, 531–538.
[4] S. Park, *Projective representations of quivers*, Internart. J. Math. Math. Sci. **31** (2002), no. 2, 97–101.

Department of Mathematics
Dong-A University
Pusan 604-714, Korea
E-mail: swpark@donga.ac.kr
          drshin@donga.ac.kr