

Genome

INSERTIONS OF MITOCHONDRIAL DNA INTO THE NUCLEUS. EFFECTS AND ROLE IN CELL EVOLUTION.

Journal:	Genome
Manuscript ID	gen-2019-0151.R3
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Apr-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Puertas, Maria; Universidad Complutense, Departmento de Genetica Gonzalez-Sanchez, Monica; Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Departamento de Genética, Fisiología y Microbiología
Keyword:	mitochondrial DNA, NUMT, ageing, centromere, parasitic genetic element
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	Not applicable (regular submission)



1	INSERTIONS OF MITOCHONDRIAL DNA INTO THE NUCLEUS. EFFECTS
2	AND ROLE IN CELL EVOLUTION.
3	
4	MJ Puertas ¹ & M González-Sánchez
5	Departamento de Genética, Fisiología y Microbiología, Facultad de Biología,
6	Universidad Complutense, José Antonio Novais 2, 28040 Madrid, Spain
7	
8	
9	Corresponding author: M González-Sánchez
10	
11	

-
7
۷

13 ABSTRACT

We review the insertion of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) fragments into nuclear DNA 14 (NUMTS) as a general and ongoing process that has occurred many times during 15 genome evolution. Fragments of mtDNA are generated during the lifetime of organisms 16 17 in both somatic and germinal cells, by the production of reactive oxygen species in the mitochondria The fragments are inserted into the nucleus during the double strand 18 breaks repair via the non-homologous end joining machinery, followed by genomic 19 instability, giving rise to the high variability observed in NUMT patterns among 20 species, populations or genotypes. Some de novo produced mtDNA insertions show 21 22 harmful effects, being involved in human diseases, carcinogenesis and ageing. NUMT 23 generation is a non-stop process overpassing the Mendelian transmission. This parasitic 24 property ensures their survival even against their harmful effects. The accumulation of 25 mtDNA fragments mainly at pericentromeric and subtelomeric regions is important to understand the transmission and integration of NUMTs into the genomes. The possible 26 27 effect of female meiotic drive for mtDNA insertions at centromeres remains to be studied. In spite of the harmful feature of NUMTs, they are important in cell evolution 28 29 representing a major source of genomic variation.

30

31 Keywords: mitochondrial DNA, NUMT, ageing, centromere, parasitic genetic elements

- 32
- 33

34 INTRODUCTION

The evolution of the DNA carrying cell organelles, mitochondria and chloroplasts, may be considered under three related points of view: (i) the evolution from bacteria to organelles, according to the endosymbiotic origin of the eukaryotic cell, (ii) the evolution of organelle chromosomes producing a large variability in size and structure in different species, resulting in the nearly-unidirectional loss of DNA from the former bacterial chromosomes to the extant organelle chromosomes, including the insertion of organelle coding genes in the main nuclear DNA, and (iii) the phylogenetic

42 relationships among species or populations that can be established to compare the DNA

43 sequences of their organelles, as well as the insertions of organelle DNA into nuclear

44 DNA

In the present work we review the insertion of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) fragments into nuclear DNA (nDNA), considering that the mtDNA transfer to the nucleus seems to be a general evolutionary trend that may have occurred many times along the genome evolution and it is still an ongoing process, including the lifetime of many organisms. This is a type of intracellular horizontal gene transfer whose effects on the individuals undergoing the transfer and the long term evolutionary consequences are of general biological interest

The comparison between mitochondrial and bacterial genomes has shown that mitochondria share a common ancestor with the *Alphaproteobacteria*. The first complete genome sequence of the obligate intracellular parasite *Rickettsia prowazekii* showed similarities to mitochondrial genes (Andersson et al. 1998). More recently Thrash et al. (2011) determined a common origin of mitochondria and SAR11 clade as a sister group to the Rickettsiales. These results are accepted as a proof that the symbiotic event that gave origin to mitochondria occurred only once in cell evolution.

The sequencing of mtDNA in a number of animal, plant, fungi and protists has shown 59 that there is a large variation in structure and DNA amount among mitochondria of 60 different species. Remarkable is the case of Jakobid protists showing the most bacteria-61 like mitochondrial genomes in size and genetic structure (Burger et al. 2013). All 62 mitochondrial genomes known are reductions of these protist mitochondrial genomes, 63 which indeed favors the idea of the uniqueness of the symbiotic event giving rise to 64 mitochondria. Despite their large diversity, a common feature shared by all known 65 mitochondria is that a few of their constitutive proteins are coded by the mitochondrial 66 67 genes, whereas most of the mitochondrial proteins are coded by nuclear genes.

Not all eukaryotes contain mitochondria. Anaerobic eukaryotes, such as some Ciliates, Trichomonads, Amoeboflagellates and fungi do not contain mitochondria but their cell energy is provided by organelles called *hydrogenosomes* which produce ATP and hydrogen anaerobically. Other anaerobic or microaerophilic organisms, such as Microsporidia, contain cell organelles called *mitosomes*. Although the evolutionary origin of both hydrogenosomes and mitosomes is difficult to establish because both have lost their DNA entirely, protein based phylogenies, particularly the Hps70 family,
show that both are evolutionarily related to mitochondria (Williams et al. 2002; Embley
et al. 2003).

All these facts may be interpreted as the evidence of a single symbiotic event giving rise to mitochondria as a cell organelle, and a general evolutionary trend consisting in the gradual loss of mitochondrial genes and their transfer to the nucleus that may end in the total loss of the organelle chromosome. In this way, the initial single symbiotic event may have resulted in a large variability of types of mitochondria and cell nuclei carrying mitochondrial DNA fragments variable in number, size and location.

83

84 NUMTS, GENES AND PSEUDOGENES. IDENTIFICATION AND VARIABILITY

Mitochondrial DNA sequencing reveals that mitochondria carry an incomplete set of 85 genes for their own function, together to non-coding regions necessary for the 86 regulation of mtDNA replication and expression. One remarkable example is the 87 nuclear encoded DNA polymerase gamma (PolG) that replicates and repairs mtDNA 88 and is homologous to E. coli pol I (Ito and Braithwaite 1991). Polg nuclear mutations 89 affect the maintenance and proofreading function of mtDNA (Bailey et al. 2009). Polg 90 mice mutants suffer premature ageing and various deleterious effects (Trifunovic et al. 91 92 2004). This provides evidence that functional genes have been transferred from the mtDNA to the nDNA. Most of the major protein complexes involved in oxidative 93 phosphorylation contains both nuclear and mitochondrial encoded subunits, which 94 promotes interesting questions on the co-evolution of mitochondrial and nuclear genetic 95 96 functional interactions within the same cell. Other mitochondrial genes transferred to nDNA are unable to be expressed, maybe due, among other reasons, to the different 97 98 genetic codes used in the mitochondria and the cytosol. These genes reside in the nDNA as pseudogenes. 99

Lopez et al. (1994) coined the term NUMT (**nu**clear **mit**ochondrial DNA segment) for mtDNA sequences present in eukaryotic nuclei, which is generally applied to pseudogenes only or, in broad sense, to mitochondrial sequences found in nDNA ignoring if they are expressed or not. Singh et al. (2017) used *numtogenesis* to refer to the transfer of mtDNA into the nuclear genome or, less specifically, the transfer of

mitochondrial components into the nucleus. Similarly, in the case of plants, the term
NUPT refers to chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) inserted in nDNA.

107 NUMT identification is important both for the annotation and understanding of the 108 genomes and for evolutionary studies. The first works identifying NUMTs were carried 109 out by DNA hybridization, reporting the presence of sequences homologous to 110 mitochondrial genes in nDNA, mainly rRNA genes and cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene. For example, Fukuda et al. (1985) isolated phage clones carrying DNAs 111 homologous to human mtDNA, estimating that human nDNA contains several hundred 112 copies of mtDNA-like fragments. The variety of organisms where homology of mtDNA 113 and cpDNA sequences was found in nDNA early made clear that this phenomenon was 114 115 of general occurrence (Zhang and Hewitt 1996; Bensasson et al. 2001). The advances of whole genome sequencing projects allowed much more accurate studies, particularly in 116 the case of the human genome. Mourier et al. (2001) presented the first extensive 117 NUMT analysis; they found long NUMTs representing nearly all parts of the mtDNA. 118 Woischnik and Moraes (2002) found 612 independent integrations of mitochondrial 119 120 pseudogenes in the human genome evenly distributed among all nuclear chromosomes 121 as well as within each individual chromosome.

122 The comparison of NUMTs among species shows a high variability. Richly and Leister (2004) compared 13 species with sequenced mitochondrial and nuclear genomes 123 124 revealing large interspecific variation of NUMT copy number and size, although no 125 clear explanation exists for the interspecific diversity of NUMTs and NUPTs (Leister 126 2005). In certain protists the low NUMT and NUPT number can be due to the low 127 number of possible donor organelles per cell. Another possible explanation relates to 128 interspecific differences in the efficiency of integration of organelle DNA into the 129 nuclear genome.

Interestingly, a correlation between the abundance of NUMTs and the size of the nuclear or the mitochondrial genomes, or of the nuclear gene density, is not evident. However, in mosquitoes Ding et al. (2018) carried out a NUMT analysis of nineteen mosquito species and concluded that the number, total length and density of NUMTs are significantly correlated with genome size; moreover NUMTs are an important cause of nuclear genome size expansion in mosquitoes. In fungi Krampis et al. (2006) and Sacerdot et al. (2008) relied on a comparative analysis of the NUMT content. Results

revealed large differences in NUMT number and organization across the species. In
plants Ko and Kim (2016) found that NUMT patterns vary from species to species.

139 NUMT variability is found intraspecifically or even intraindividually as well. Lough et 140 al. (2008, 2015) studied NUMTs in a set of maize inbred lines, showing extensive 141 NUMT variation in size and location among lines, suggesting that mtDNA is being 142 incorporated or lost from the maize nuclear genome continuously. The same is true for cpDNA insertions; Roak et al. (2010) studied the NUPTs into maize chromosomes of 143 the same lines. Like NUMTs, the positions of the NUPTs varied greatly among the 144 lines, suggesting that the transfers are recent as well as frequent. In Aegilops speltoides 145 the distribution of organellar-derived insertions differed among populations (Ruban et 146 147 al. 2014). Malik et al. (2016) found intraindividual variation of mtDNA levels which differed significantly in mouse tissues. This variability is not functionally irrelevant. 148 149 Rand et al. (2006) compared the longevity in strains of *Drosophila simulans* carrying 150 mtDNAs with varying levels of divergence. The interspecific mtDNA strains showed a very significant epistatic interaction effects depending of the nuclear and mtDNA 151 152 origins.

The organellar DNA transferred to the nucleus can be deleted as demonstrated by 153 154 Sheppard and Timmis (2009) using a kanamycin resistance gene (neo) transferred from cpDNA to the nucleus in tobacco. They found that the gene is highly unstable, with 155 156 deletion often occurring within a single generation, indicating that plastid DNA 157 insertion into and removal from the nuclear genome might be in dynamic equilibrium. 158 Schneider et al. (2014) found reversible accumulation of mtDNA in the mouse nucleus. 159 They studied the accumulation of mtDNA in embryonic and induced pluripotent stem 160 cells, reporting that upon differentiation, the level of mtDNA in these nuclei was 161 substantially reduced.

In spite of being essential for understanding the NUMT inheritance, there are few studies comparing the mtDNA between somatic and germ lines. Sato et al. (2007) compared the proportions of mitochondria carrying deleted mtDNA in various tissues at various ages. Certain somatic tissues showed increases in the proportion of deleted mtDNA with age, but the germ cells of females and their offspring showed a strong decrease in deleted mtDNA with maternal age. It seems that female germ cells have machinery that prevents the inheritance of defective mtDNA. de Paula et al. (2013b)

have shown that female gametes of *Aurelia aurita* do not transcribe mtDNA, lack electron transport, and produce no free radicals. In contrast, male gametes actively transcribe mitochondrial genes for respiratory chain components and produce reactive oxygen species. The authors predict that quiescent oocyte mitochondria contain DNA as an unexpressed template that avoids mutation accumulation by being transmitted through the female germ line.

175

176 NUMT GENERATION AND INSERTION MECHANISMS

The existence of NUMTs implies that fragments of mtDNA must be produced in the mitochondria and reach the nucleus despite of the physical barriers it must overcome. It is generally accepted that mtDNA fragments are produced by the constant generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the mitochondria (Barja 2013). The mtDNA, devoid of histones and located in the inner membrane of the mitochondria, very close to the place of ROS production, is more vulnerable than nDNA to oxidative damage caused by ROS.

Several mechanisms have been proposed that would facilitate the exit of mtDNA from 184 the mitochondria and the entry into the nucleus. The most commonly accepted one is 185 that the fragments generated by ROS in the mitochondria, appear with a high 8-oxo-186 187 deoxyguanosine content in the cytoplasm due to alterations of the organelle membrane, either during division, fission/fusion events (Dimmer and Scorrano 2006), lysis (Mota 188 1963), mitophagy (Higgins and Coughlan 2014) or by the opening induction of a 189 permeability transition pore (Patrushev et al. 2004; Garcia and Chavez, 2007). Once in 190 191 the cytoplasm, mtDNA is protected from nucleases thanks to a mechanism mediated by vacuoles which are degraded when they contact the nucleus (Campbell and Thorsness 192 193 1998). It has also been proposed (Kutsyi et al. 2005) that mtDNA could form a complex 194 with DNA-binding histone-like proteins avoiding degradation. Other pathways enabling 195 mtDNA to reach the nucleus are direct physical association between the mitochondrial 196 and nuclear membranes (Mota 1963) or encapsulation of the mitochondria inside the 197 nucleus (Jensen et al. 1976). It has been suggested that mtDNA could be transferred to the nucleus in the form of mRNA with the help of a reverse transcriptase to complete 198 199 the process (Rodley et al. 2012). Nevertheless, some experimental studies do not support this possibility. For example, Woischnik and Moraes 2002 found that large 200

NUMTs found in nDNA contain two or more mitochondrial genes with fragments of
non-coding regions. As well, Falkenberg et al. 2007 reported that the mitochondrial
transcripts are significantly shorter than the sequences of many NUMTs.

204 The mtDNA fragments that enter the nucleus must interact with the nDNA before their 205 integration for NUMTs formation. All regions of the mitochondrial genome are able to 206 interact with nDNA, as evidenced by the fact that recent human NUMTs contain fragments originated from the entire mtDNA (Dayama et al. 2014). However, Doynova 207 et al. (2016), using chromosome conformation capture techniques to detect physical 208 interactions between mt- and nDNA in mammalian cells, showed that the D-loop region 209 exhibited a higher tendency to interact with the nuclear genome, probably because this 210 211 region is more prone to breakage than other mtDNA regions (Rothfuss et al. 2009).

212 MtDNA fragments are inserted into the nucleus during the process of double strand breaks (DSBs) repair via the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) machinery. This 213 hypothesis was first proposed by Blanchard and Schmidt (1996) and later confirmed by 214 215 Ricchetti et al. (1999) in a study on yeast in experimental conditions where homologous 216 recombination, the other DSBs repair mechanism, was avoided. Similar results revealing the involvement of the NHEJ mechanism in the integration of mtDNA in the 217 218 nucleus were obtained in humans (Ricchetti et al. 2004). Hazkani-Covo and Covo (2008) identified 35 and 55 lineage-specific NUMTs in the human and chimpanzee 219 220 genomes, respectively, showing that in 54% of the NUMT integration events examined 221 no deletions were detected. Considering that DSBs repair without NUMTs requires 222 nuclease processing of DNA ends that usually produce small deletions, these results led 223 the authors to propose that mtDNA fragments provide an alternative to nuclease activity 224 in DSBs repair via NHEJ using the mtDNA as a filler DNA.

225 Mitochondrial fragments are transferred to the nucleus in a single or several copies and, 226 in some cases, mtDNA suffers rearrangements prior or during the insertional event (Ricchetti et al. 1999; Huang et al. 2003). These rearrangements include tandem 227 228 duplications or changes in gene order with respect to the organelle organization, as well as the presence of fragments belonging to different regions of the mitochondrial 229 genome. Nevertheless, the evidence that older NUMTs tend to be shorter than recent 230 ones (Bensasson et al. 2003) and large recent insertions usually correspond to the whole 231 232 sequence of the mitochondrial genome (Huang et al. 2005) indicates that NUMTs can

be fragmented after insertion. Matsuo et al. (2005) and Michalovova et al. (2013)
demonstrated that, once inside the nuclear genome, organellar DNA exhibits insertion
instability, with fragmentation and recombinational events in most cases mediated by
nuclear mobile elements.

237

238 mtDNA NUCLEAR INSERTIONS ARE INVOLVED IN DISEASE AND AGEING

Certain de novo mtDNA fragment insertion may produce harmful effects, being 239 involved in human disease. Willett-Brozick et al. (2001) described for the first time a 240 241 spontaneous recent germ line insertion of human mtDNA at the breakpoint junctions of a familial constitutional reciprocal translocation. The 41-pb mitochondrial fragment was 242 243 captured during the repair of the DSBs involved in the translocation, revealing the implication of this mechanism in the mtDNA transfer to the nucleus. A 251-bp mtDNA 244 245 insertion was found in a patient suffering from severe plasma factor VII deficiency, a rare bleeding disorder. The mitochondrial fragment, containing the encoding DNA for 246 tRNA-Phe and part of the 12S subunit of rRNA, was integrated in the IVS 4 acceptor 247 248 splice site (Borensztajn et al. 2002). Turner et al. (2003) described a rare case of 249 Pallister-Hall syndrome caused by a transfer of mtDNA to the nuclear genome. The fragment, 72-bp long, was found in exon 14 of the GLI3 gene, generating a premature 250 stop codon and producing a truncated protein. Interestingly, this case was associated 251 with the radioactive contamination that followed the Chernobyl accident, revealing 252 253 again the link between NUMTs and DNA repair and instability. Cases of human disease, type IV mucolipidosis (Goldin et al. 2004) and Usher syndrome type IC 254 (Ahmed et al. 2002), have also been related to mtDNA fragment nuclear insertions. 255 256 Millar et al (2010) reported an isolated case of lissencephaly caused by the insertion of a mitochondrial genome-derived DNA sequence into the 50 untranslated region of the 257 258 PAFAH1B1 (LIS1) gene.

The mtDNA fragments are produced, transported and inserted from the mitochondria towards the nucleus during the lifetime of the individuals in vital mitotic and postmitotic tissues. The consequences for the individual itself are important. Richter (1988) first proposed that the oxidatively generated mtDNA fragments that escaped from mitochondria and become integrated into the nuclear genome might transform cells to a cancerous state.

Several studies have concluded that both insertion and change in copy number of 265 266 mtDNA fragments are associated with carcinogenesis because mtDNA insertion may disrupt tumor suppressor genes or activate oncogenes, contributing to cancer 267 268 development. Remarkably, surveys of thousands of human whole-cancer genomes have shown that chromosomal rearrangements are frequently combined with mtDNA 269 270 fragments somatically transferred to the nucleus (Ju et al. 2015; Ju 2016). Mitochondrial fragments have been identified in the *c-myc* oncogene of HeLa cells (Shay et al. 1991). 271 Srinivasainagendra et al. (2017) reported increased mtDNA insertions in the nuclear 272 273 genomes of colorectal adenocarcinomas. Mobile LINE elements with mitochondrial 274 inserts were found in the nuclear genome of mouse and rat tumors (Hadler et al. 1998).

275 The genomic instability caused by the insertions of mtDNA in the nuclear genome 276 during individual lifetime has also implications in ageing. The mitochondrial free 277 radical theory of ageing (MFRTA) (Harman 1972) proposes that ageing is caused by the 278 cumulative damage produced by the constant generation of ROS in the mitochondria 279 throughout the life of the individuals. It has been found that the mtDNA fragments have 280 a higher level of 8-oxo-deoxyguanosine, a marker of ROS-induced DNA oxidative damage, than wild type non fragmented mtDNA (Suter and Richter 1999), supporting 281 ROS as causing agents of producing these fragments. The 8-oxodG levels are lower in 282 283 the heart and brain of long-than short-lived animal species in the case of mtDNA and 284 not in the nDNA (Barja and Herrero, 2000). MFRTA was updated and reviewed by 285 Barja (2013, 2017, 2019).

286 Studies in rat have shown that the amount of mtDNA in the nucleus increases with the 287 age of individuals in liver and brain (Caro et al. 2010). The mitochondrial regions 288 identified corresponded to cytochrome oxidase III and 16S rRNA. Interestingly, these 289 fragments contained the same SNPs found in the mitochondrial genome of the same individuals, revealing their recent origin. Similar results showing an association 290 between increasing the copy number of mtDNA insertions and ageing were observed in 291 yeast, where the insertion of mtDNA fragments decreases the chronological life span, 292 293 measured as the time that non-proliferative cell populations can survive (Cheng and 294 Ivessa, 2010, 2012). Likewise, it has been proven in mice (Martínez-Cisuelo et al. 2016) 295 that age-related mtDNA fragment insertion is associated with the production of ROS in the mitochondria throughout the life of individuals. The amount of mtDNA found in the 296 nuclei of hepatocytes and the rate of mitROS production of old mice decreased to levels 297

similar to those found in young individuals (100% reversion) when the old ones were treated during 7 weeks with rapamycin. This drug, that inhibits the TOR (Target Of Rapamycin) protein, decreases the production of ROS in the mitochondrial complex I and it is the only one described to date capable of increasing longevity in mammals in a reproducible way (Harrison et al. 2009).

303

304 NUMTS ARE FREQUENTLY LOCATED AT PERICENTROMERIC AND/OR305 SUBTELOMERIC REGIONS

In situ localization of NUMTs to chromosomes using mtDNA as probe provides data of particular interest because NUMT position at specific chromosomes or chromosome regions may reveal important features; however, not many works include these studies. In situ localization in animal and plant species reveals frequent interactions between mtDNA and nuclear heterochromatin such as the pericentromeric and/or subtelomeric regions.

Vaughan et al. (1999) localized mtDNA sequences to meiotic chromosomes of several 312 orthopteran species using in situ hybridization; mtDNA localization varied between 313 species being centromeric, telomeric or present throughout the chromosomes in 314 different species. Stupar et al. (2001) found an insertion of 270-kb mtDNA into the 315 pericentric region on the short arm of chromosome 2 of Arabidopsis. Michalovova et al. 316 (2013) found mtDNA and cpDNA insertions located at the pericentromeric regions of 317 Arabidopsis and rice. Lough et al. (2008, 2015) studied mtDNA insertions in a set of 318 maize inbred lines and found extensive variation in size and location among lines, but in 319 320 many lines the signals were located near the centromere or the telomere. Caro et al. (2010) using FISH in bone marrow cells of young and old rats reported that 10 pairs of 321 322 chromosomes showed mtDNA signal located always at the pericentromeric region in rats of both ages. Similar results were observed in the telocentric chromosomes of mice 323 324 where mtDNA fragments colocalize with pericentromeric satellite sequences (Martinez-325 Cisuelo et al. 2016). Mustafa et al. (2018) obtained near-complete genomes of 326 mitochondria from wild sheep species or subspecies. In situ localization showed strong hybridization to the centromeric regions of all autosomal sheep chromosomes, but not 327 328 the Y, with varying abundance of different mitochondrial regions. Recently, Koo et al. (2018) have developed a technique of single-molecule mtFIBER FISH to study 329

numtogenesis in human, to aid in establishing a role for numtogenesis in cancer andother human diseases.

332 B chromosomes (Bs) are supernumerary to the normal chromosome set (A chromosomes or As) that are not required for the normal growth and development of the 333 334 B-carrier organism. In situ localization of mtDNA and cpDNA has been carried out in 335 the Bs of rye and Aegilops speltoides (Martis et al. 2012; Ruban et al. 2014). In both species the B has accumulated large and significantly greater amounts of cp- and 336 mtDNA-derived sequences than the chromosomes of the normal set. Almost all parts of 337 the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes were found on the Bs. In rye the organellar 338 DNA localizes in pericentromeric regions, whereas in Ae. speltoides insertions were 339 340 found along both arms of the Bs except at the pericentromere.

According to Michalovova et al. (2013), the frequent finding of mtDNA fragments 341 342 located at the pericentromeric regions is explained because the centromeres constitute a stable genomic environment, being regions poor in genes, and because frequent DSBs 343 344 occur that are repaired using the NHEJ mechanism, thanks to which the fragments are 345 incorporated into the nuclear genome (Matsuo et al. 2005). This model predicts that in species with great genome dynamism these blocks of pericentromeric mtDNA would be 346 347 fragmented by the insertion of transposable elements (TEs) taking them to other regions of the genome, away from the centromeres. In addition, the ectopic recombination 348 349 mediated by TEs would be responsible for the remodeling of the regions where the 350 mtDNA fragments are found, producing their exit from the genome either when they are 351 forming a pericentromeric block or when they have been fragmented and are found in 352 other locations.

353 Centromeric function is highly conserved in all eukaryotes; however, centromeric DNA shows remarkable sequence variability between species or even among different 354 chromosomes of the same species, as for example between A and B chromosomes 355 (Jones et al. 2008). This makes it remarkably difficult to define and identify the DNA 356 357 elements responsible for centromere activity. This large divergence is possible thanks to the coevolution between the centromeric sequences and the kinetochore proteins. All 358 centromeres consist in repeated sequences, making difficult its sequencing. The 359 360 functional domain of the centromere consists in satellites, centromeric retrotransposons 361 or both. This functional region is surrounded by repeated sequences, possibly including

mtDNA insertions in many cases, which are important for other centromeric functions such as chromatid cohesion. The role of each of the regions is important in the processes of cohesion and dissociation that occur in the centromeres during chromosomal segregation in cell division (Guenatri et al. 2004).

366

367 mtDNA INSERTIONS MAY BE CONSIDERED AS PARASITIC GENETIC368 ELEMENTS

Parasitic genetic elements (called selfish genetic elements as well) are those that 369 370 produce harmful effects to the host organisms, whereas they are maintained in 371 populations because they undergo a mechanism of drive resulting in higher than 372 Mendelian transmission. One of the best studied examples of parasitic genetic elements are the B chromosomes (Bs), supernumerary to the normal A chromosome set, where 373 374 population dynamics of B carrying species depends on the strength of non-Mendelian drive mechanisms counteracted by the harmful effect of Bs on the fitness of B-carrier 375 376 individuals (Jones et al. 2008).

Transposable elements (TEs) are considered parasitic elements as well, because they may produce harmful effects when they move producing deleterious mutations and possess mechanisms that promote their own higher than Mendelian transmission. Besides their parasitic features, the TEs have been demonstrated to be a main evolutionary force influencing or even driving the genomic and karyotypic evolution (Ayarpadikannan and Kim 2014).

Interestingly, NUMTs and TEs share certain common features: they are "passengers" of the nucleus that play various roles in processes affecting genome evolution and genetic instability. They are instable *per se* and produce genomic instability. The effects of TE and NUMTs in the genome vary from negligible to harmful producing various genetic disorders and cancer. Recently, the possible adaptive phenotypic changes associated with TEs were considered (Schrader and Schmitz 2019) indicating that the activity of TEs might facilitate adaptive responses to environmental challenges.

390 Mitochondrial ROS production breaks mtDNA into mtDNA fragments which are 391 transferred to the nucleus, mainly at pericentromeric regions, during the lifetime of the 392 individual, promoting ageing at nuclear level. The mechanisms involved potentially

393 include harmful effects such as the induction of major chromosomal rearrangements, 394 inhibition of cell division and transposon-mediated insertion and modification of 395 regulatory regions and structural coding genes (Barja, 2017). On the other hand, the generation of NUMTs seems to be a non-stop process overpassing the Mendelian 396 transmission. These parasitic properties ensure their survival and spread in natural 397 populations, even against the harmful effects on the host. The accumulation of mtDNA 398 fragments mainly at centromeric and also subtelomeric regions is important to 399 400 understand the transmission and integration of NUMTs into the genomes which might 401 promote their own higher than Mendelian transmission.

The potential harmful effect of NUMTs is evidenced in the case of the parasitic B chromosomes of rye and *Ae. speltoides*. The Bs show a much higher amount of organelle derived DNA than the A chromosomes of the normal set. Insertions into A chromosomes may disrupt gene function with lethal consequences. In contrast the Bs, which are not required for growth and development, can tolerate more mutations (Martis et al. 2012; Ruban et al. 2014).

408 As in the case of TEs, the proposed parasitic features of NUMTs does not mean that they are not important in cell evolution; contrarily, as stated by Leister (2005) NUMTs 409 410 and NUPTs are more than only "mutagens". NUMTs and NUPTs can influence nuclear processes such as replication or transcription, or they might even rebuild genes and their 411 412 products by providing new exons. If they can, this would constitute, in addition to the 413 ancient transfer of entire prokaryotic genes to the nucleus, a further contribution that 414 organelles make to the evolution of nuclear genomes. Popadin et al (2017) found that 415 the pseudogene Ps5, a large 9Kb-NUMT, was independently fixed in populations of 416 gorilla and the human/chip nascent populations, which implies that the spread of the 417 pseudogene within and across populations might have been driven by positive selection. Besides, the rate of NUMT insertion is not constant and may correlate with critical 418 points in evolution. Gubin et al. (20017a, 2017b) estimated the times of incorporation of 419 18 selected NUMTs during the period of evolution of the human lineage after separating 420 421 from the chimpanzee and found a non-random rate of insertion, with one cluster situated 422 around 2.8 million years ago, corresponding to a period of major climate change and the time of emerge of the genus Homo. Similarly, the reconstruction of the NUMT insertion 423 history in two bird species Geospiza fortis and Zonotrichia albicollis, and their closed 424 relatives, showed a remarkable acceleration of insertions in the ancestor of both species 425

followed by a slower accumulation in each lineage (Liang et al 2018). The results indicate that mtDNA insertions represent a major source of nuclear chromosomal variation. Whether or not mtDNA insertions might play an adaptive role in the speciation processes is a matter of future research.

430 In the case of mtDNA fragment insertion into nDNA, the co-evolution between nuclear 431 and cytoplasmic genomes has to be considered differently in unicellular or asexuallyreproducing vs multicellular organisms with separated somatic and germ lines. In 432 asexually-reproducing organisms the NUMTs inserted into nDNA will be transmitted to 433 the progeny, unless a hypothetical detachment mechanism were present; whereas in 434 organisms with separated somatic and germ lines, only those NUMTS present in the 435 436 gamete DNA will be transmitted. The great majority of NUMT studies were carried out in somatic tissues, thus lacking much information on this main point. 437

438 It should be also considered that the harmful effect of NUMT insertion during lifetime 439 of individuals (cancer, ageing) usually occurs after the reproduction of the individual 440 has occurred, and therefore it is reasonable to think that they will not affect significantly 441 the fertility either at the individual or at population level. It was also hypothesized (Richly and Leister 2004) that factors, such as the number and/or stability of 442 443 mitochondria in the germline, or species-specific mechanisms controlling accumulation/loss of nuclear DNA, might be responsible for the interspecific diversity 444 445 in NUMT accumulation, but as far as we know there are no population quantitative 446 studies relating the NUMT number and size polymorphism with effects on fitness.

447 Various studies propose a different role of female and male germ lines for NUMT transmission. It has been hypothesized (Woischnik and Moraes 2002) that sperm 448 449 mtDNA, which is released from degenerating mitochondria after fertilization, could be an important source of nuclear mtDNA pseudogenes transmitted to the progeny. In 450 451 contrast, Sato et al. (2007) proposed that female germ cells have machinery that prevents the inheritance of defective mtDNA to the following generation because germ 452 453 cells are kept for a long time until they are ovulated. de Paula et al. (2013a, b) indicated that suppressed mitochondrial metabolism in the female germ line may constitute a 454 mechanism for increasing the fidelity of mitochondrial DNA inheritance. They 455 proposed that quiescent oocyte mitochondria contain DNA as an unexpressed template 456 457 that avoids mutational accumulation by being transmitted through the female germ line.

The avoidance of ROS-dependent mutation would be the evolutionary pressure underlying maternal mitochondrial inheritance and the developmental origin of the female germ line.

461 Female meiosis, and megagametogenesis in the case of plants, are moments of the vital 462 cycle where there is opportunity for a non-Mendelian drive mechanism to occur because 463 only one of the four meiotic products (and one of the nuclei of the megaspores) acts as female gamete. When competition occurs for a meiotic product to be included in the 464 female gamete, the process is called "female meiotic drive", which is mainly associated 465 to repetitive sequences, such as those present in centromeres, subtelomeric 466 heterochromatin and other chromosome regions mainly composed by repetitive 467 468 sequences (Puertas and Villasante 2013, Lindholm et al. 2016). To explain centromere drive, Iwata-Otsubo et al. (2017) proposed that amplified repetitive sequences act as 469 parasitic elements by promoting expansion of CENP-A chromatin and increased 470 471 transmission through the female germline. The study of female meiotic drive for 472 mtDNA insertions remains to be studied.

473

474 REFERENCES

Ahmed, Z.M., Smith, T.N., Riazuddin, S., Makishima, T., Ghosh, M., Bokhari, S.,
Menon, P.S., Deshmukh, D., Griffith, A.J., Riazuddin, S., Friedman, T.B., and Wilcox,
E.R. 2002. Nonsyndromic recessive deafness DFNB18 and Usher syndrome type IC
are allelic mutations of USHIC. Hum Genet. 110(6):527-31.

- Andersson, S.G., Zomorodipour, A., Andersson J.O., Sicheritz-Pontén, T., Alsmar,
 U.C., Podowski, R.M., Näslund, A.K., Eriksson, A.S., Winkler, H.H., and Kurland,
 C.G. 1998. The genome sequence of Rickettsia prowazekii and the origin of
 mitochondria. Nature, 396 (6707):133-40.
- Ayarpadikannan, S., and Kim, H. S. 2014. The impact of transposable elements in
 genome evolution and genetic instability and their implications in various diseases.
 Genomics Inform. 12(3): 98–104. doi:10.5808/GI.2014.12.3.98.
- Bailey, L. J., Cluett, T. J., Reyes, A., Prolla, T. A., Poulton, J., Leeuwenburgh, C., and
 Holt, I. J. 2009. Mice expressing an error-prone DNA polymerase in mitochondria

488	display elevated	replication	pausing	and chrom	osomal	breakage	at fragile	sites	of
489	mitochondrial	DNA.	Nucleic	acids	researc	h, 37(7	7): 232	27–233	5.
490	doi:10.1093/nar/g								

- Barja G. 2013. Updating the mitochondrial free radical theory of aging: an integrated
 view, key aspects, and confounding concepts. Antioxidants & redox signaling, 19(12):
- 493 1420–1445. doi:10.1089/ars.2012.5148.
- Barja, G. 2017. The Cell Aging Regulation System (CARS) Reactive Oxygen Species,
 3(9): 148–183. doi: 10.20455/ros.2017.829.
- Barja, G. 2019. Towards a unified mechanistic theory of aging, Experimental
 Gerontology 124: 110627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2019.05.016
- Barja, G. and Herrero, A. 2000. Oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA is inversely
 related to maximum life span in the heart and brain of mammals. The FASEB Journal,
 14: 312-318.
- 501 Bensasson, D., Zhang, D., Hartl, D.L., and Hewitt, G.M. 2001. Mitochondrial 502 pseudogenes: Evolution's misplaced witnesses. Trends Ecol. Evol., 16: 314–321.
- Bensasson, D., Feldman, M.W., and Petrov, D.A. 2003. Rates of DNA duplication and
 mitochondrial DNA insertion in the human genome. J Mol Evol. 57:343–354.
- 505 Blanchard, J.L., and Schmidt, G.W. 1996. Mitochondrial DNA migration events in 506 yeast and humans: integration by a common end-joining mechanism and alternative 507 perspectives on nucleotide substitution patterns. Mol Biol Evol. 13(3):537-548.
- Borensztajn, K., Chafa, O., Alhenc-Gelas, M., Salha, S., Reghis, A., Fischer, A.M. and
 Tapon-Bretaudière, J. 2002. Characterization of two novel splice site mutations in
 human factor VII gene causing severe plasma factor VII deficiency and bleeding
 diathesis. Br. J. Haematol. 117: 168–171.
- 512 Burger, G., Gray, M.W., Forget, L., and Lang, B.F. 2013. Strikingly Bacteria-Like and
- 513 Gene-Rich Mitochondrial Genomes throughout Jakobid Protists. Genome Biol Evol.,
- 514 5(2): 418–438. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evt008.

- 515 Campbell, C.L. and Thorsness, P.E. 1998. Escape of mitochondrial DNA to the nucleus
- in yme1 yeast is mediated by vacuolar-dependent turnover of abnormal mitochondrial
 compartments. J. Cell Sci. 111: 2455–2464.
- 518 Caro, P., Gomez, J., Arduini, A., Gonzalez-Sanchez, M., Gonzalez-Garcia, M., Borras,
- 519 C., Viña, J., Puertas, M.J., Sastre, J. and Barja, G. 2010. Mitochondrial DNA sequences
- 520 are present inside nuclear DNA in rat tissues and increase with age. Mitochondrion, 10
- 521 (5): 479–486.
- 522 Cheng, X., and Ivessa, A.S. 2010. The migration of mitochondrial DNA fragments to
- the nucleus affects the chronological aging process of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. AgingCell, 9: 919-923.
- 525 Cheng, X. and Ivessa, A.S. 2012. Accumulation of linear mitochondrial DNA fragments
 526 in the nucleus shortens the chronological life span of yeast. European Journal of Cell
 527 Biology, 91: 782–788.
- Dayama, G., Emery, S. B., Kidd, J. M., and Mills, R. E. 2014. The genomic landscape
 of polymorphic human nuclear mitochondrial insertions. Nucleic Acids Research,
 42(20): 12640–12649. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1038.
- Dimmer, K.S. and Scorrano, L. 2006. (De)constructing mitochondria: what for?
 Physiology, 21: 233–241.
- 533 Ding, Y.R., Li, B., Zhang, Y.J., Mao, Q.M., and Chen, B. 2018. Complete mitogenome
- of Anopheles sinensis and mitochondrial insertion segments in the nuclear genomes of
- 19 mosquito species. PLoS ONE, 13(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204667.
- 536 Doynova, M.D., Berretta, A., Jones, M.B., Jasoni, C.L., Vickers, M.H., and O'Sullivan,
- 537 J.M. 2016. Interactions between mitochondrial and nuclear DNA in mammalian cells
- are non-random. Mitochondrion, 30: 187-196.
- 539 Embley, T. M., van der Giezen, M., Horner, D. S., Dyal, P. L., and Foster, P. 2003.
- 540 Mitochondria and hydrogenosomes are two forms of the same fundamental organelle.
- 541 Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological
- sciences, 358 (1429): 191–202. doi:10.1098/rstb.2002.1190.

- Falkenberg, M., Larsson, N.G., and Gustafsson, C.M. 2007. DNA replication and
 transcription in mammalian mitochondria. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 76: 679–699.
- Fukuda, M., Wakasugi, S., Tsuzuki, T., Nomiyama, H., Shimada, K., and Miyata, T.
 1985. Mitochondrial DNA-like Sequences in the Human Nuclear Genome
 Characterization and Implications in the Evolution of Mitochondrial DNA. J. Mol.
 Biol., 186: 257-266.
- Garcia, N., and Chavez, E. 2007. Mitochondrial DNA fragments released through the
 permeability transition pore correspond to specific gene size. Life Sciences, 81: 1160–
 1166.
- 552 Goldin, E., Stahl, S., Cooney, A.M., Kaneski, C.R., Gupta, S., Brady, R.O., Ellis, J.R.,
- and Schiffmann, R. 2004. Transfer of a mitochondrial DNA fragment to MCOLN1
 causes an inherited case of mucolipidosis IV. Hum. Mutat. 24: 460–465.
- 555 Guenatri, M., Bailly, D., Maison, C., and Almouzni, G. 2004. Mouse centric and 556 pericentric satellite repeats form distinct functional heterochromatin. The Journal of 557 Cell Biology, 166(4): 493–505. doi:10.1083/jcb.200403109.
- 558 Gunbin, K., Peshkin, L., Popadin, K., Annis, S., Ackermann, R.R., and Khrapko, K. 559 (2017a). Integration of mtDNA pseudogenes into the nuclear genome coincides with 560 speciation of the human genus. A hypothesis. Mitochondrion 34: 20–23. 561
- Gunbin, K., Peshkin, L., Popadin, K., Annis, S., Ackermann, R.R., and Khrapko, K.
 (2017b). Data on the time of integration of the human mitochondrial pseudogenes
 (NUMTs) into the nuclear genome. Data in Brief 13: 536–544.
- Hadler, H.I., Devadas, K. and Mahalingam, R. 1998. Selected nuclear LINE elements
 with mitochondrial-DNA-like inserts are more plentiful and mobile in tumor than in
 normal tissue of mouse and rat. J. Cell. Biochem. 68(1):100-9.
- Harman, M.D. 1972. The Biologic Clock: The Mitochondria? Journal of the American
 Geriatrics Society, 20: 145–147.
- 570 Harrison, D. E., Strong, R., Sharp, Z. D., Nelson, J. F., Astle, C. M., Flurkey, K.,
- 571 Nadon, N.L., Wilkinson, J.E., Frenkel, K., Carter, C.S., Pahor, M., Javors, M.A.,

- 572 Fernandez, E. and Miller, R. A. 2009. Rapamycin fed late in life extends lifespan in
- 573 genetically heterogeneous mice. Nature, 460(7253): 392–395. doi:10.1038/nature08221.
- Hazkani-Covo, E., Covo, S. 2008. Numt-mediated double-strand break repair mitigates
 deletions during primate genome evolution. PLoS Genet. 4: e1000237.
- 576 Higgins, G. C., and Coughlan, M. T. 2014. Mitochondrial dysfunction and mitophagy:
- the beginning and end to diabetic nephropathy?. British journal of pharmacology,
 171(8): 1917–1942. doi:10.1111/bph.12503.
- Huang, C. Y., Grünheit, N., Ahmadinejad, N., Timmis, J. N., and Martin, W. 2005.
 Mutational decay and age of chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes transferred
 recently to angiosperm nuclear chromosomes. Plant Physiology, 138(3): 1723–1733.
 doi:10.1104/pp.105.060327.
- Ito, J., and Braithwaite, D. K. 1991. Compilation and alignment of DNA polymerase
 sequences. Nucleic acids research, 19(15): 4045–4057. doi:10.1093/nar/19.15.4045.
- Iwata-Otsubo, A., Dawicki-McKenna, J.M., Akera, T., Falk, S.J., Chmátal, L., Yang,
 K., Sullivan, B.A., Schultz, R.M., Lampson, M.A., and Black, B.E. 2017. Expanded
 Satellite Repeats Amplify a Discrete CENP-A Nucleosome Assembly Site on
 Chromosomes that Drive in Female Meiosis. Current Biology, 27: 2365–2373.
- Jensen, H., Engedal, H., and Saetersdal, T.S. 1976. Ultrastructure of mitochondria
 containing nuclei in human myocardial cells. Virchows Arch B Cell Pathol. 21: 1–12.
- Jones, R.N., González-Sánchez, M., González-García, M., Vega, J.M., and Puertas, M.J.
- 592 2008. Chromosomes with a life of their own. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 120(3-4):265-80.
 593 doi: 10.1159/000121076.
- Ju, Y.S., Tubio, J.M., Mifsud, W., Fu, B., Davies, H.R., Ramakrishna, M., Li, Y.,
- 595 Yates, L., Gundem, G., Tarpey, P.S., Behjati, S., Papaemmanuil, E., Martin, S., Fullam,
- 596 A., Gerstung, M., ICGC Prostate Cancer Working Group, ICGC Bone Cancer Working
- 597 Group, ICGC Breast Cancer Working Group, Nangalia, J., Green, A.R., Caldas, C.,
- 598 Borg, Å., Tutt, A., Lee, M.T., van't Veer, L.J., Tan, B.K., Aparicio, S., Span, P.N.,
- 599 Martens, J.W., Knappskog, S., Vincent-Salomon, A., Børresen-Dale, A.L., Eyfjörd,
- 500 J.E., Myklebost, O., Flanagan, A.M., Foster, C., Neal, D.E., Cooper, C., Eeles, R.,
- Bova, S.G., Lakhani, S.R., Desmedt, C., Thomas, G., Richardson, A.L., Purdie, C.A.,

Thompson, A.M., McDermott, U., Yang, F., Nik-Zainal, S., Campbell, P.J., and 602 603 Stratton, M.R. 2015. Frequent somatic transfer of mitochondrial DNA into the nuclear of cells. Genome Res. 25(6):814-24. 604 genome human cancer doi: 10.1101/gr.190470.115. Epub 2015 May 11. Erratum in: Genome Res. 2016 605 May;26(5):717.2. 606

- Ju, Y.S. 2016 Intracellular mitochondrial DNA transfers to the nucleus in human cancer
 cells. Curr Opin Genet Dev., 38: 23-30. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2016.02.005.
- Ko, Y.J., and Kim, S. 2016. Analysis of Nuclear Mitochondrial DNA Segments of nine
 plant species: size, distribution, and insertion loci. Genomics Inform. 14:90–95.
 doi:10.5808/GI.2016.14.3.90
- Koo, D.H., Singh, B., Jiang, J., Friebe, B., Gill B.S., Chastain, P.D., Manne, U., Tiwari,
- H.K., and Singh, K.K. 2018. Single molecule mtDNA fiber FISH for analyzing
 numtogenesis. Anal. Biochem. 552:45-49. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2017.03.015.
- Kutsyi, M.P., Gouliaeva, N.A., Kuznetsova, E.A., and Gaziev, A.I. 2005. DNA binding
 proteins of mammalian mitochondria. Mitochondrion, 5: 35–44.
- Krampis, K., Tyler, B.M., and Boore, J.L. 2006. Extensive variation in nuclear
 mitochondrial DNA content between the genomes of Phytophthora sojae and
 Phytophthora ramorum. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 19(12):1329-36.
- Leister, D. 2005. Origin, evolution and genetic effects of nuclear insertions of organelle
 DNA. Trends in Genetics, 21(12): 655-63.
- Liang, B., Wang, N., Li, N., Kimball, R.T., and Braun, E.L. (2018). Comparative
 genomics reveals a burst of homoplasy-free numt insertions. Mol Biol Evol.
 35(8):2060–2064
- Lindholm, A.K., Dyer, K.A., Firman, R.C., Fishman, L., Forstmeier, W., Holman, L.,
- Johannesson, H., Knief, U., Kokko, H., Larracuente, A. M., Manser, A., Montchamp-
- 627 Moreau, C., Petrosyan, V.G., Pomiankowski, A., Presgraves, D.C., Safronova, L.D.,
- 628 Sutter, A., Unckless, R.L., Verspoor, R.L., Wedell, N., Wilkinson, G.S., and Price,
- 629 T.A.R. 2016. The Ecology and Evolutionary Dynamics of Meiotic Drive. Trends in
- 630 Ecology & Evolution, 31 (4) http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.001 3

- 631 Lopez, J.V., Yuhki, N., Modi, W., Masuda, R., and O'Brien, S.J. 1994. Numt, a recent
- transfer and tandem amplification of mitochondrial DNA in the nuclear genome of the
 domestic cat. J Mol Evol., 39 (2): 174–190. doi:10.1007/BF00163806.
- Lough, A. N., Roark, L. M., Kato, A., Ream, T. S., Lamb, J. C., Birchler, J. A., and
 Newton, K. J. 2008. Mitochondrial DNA transfer to the nucleus generates extensive
 insertion site variation in maize. Genetics, 178(1): 47–55.
 doi:10.1534/genetics.107.079624.
- Lough, A.N., Faries, K.M., Koo, D.H., Hussain, A., Roark, L.M., Langewisch, T.L.,
 Backes, T., Kremling, K.A., Jiang, J., Birchler, J.A., and Newton, K.J.2015.
 Cytogenetic and Sequence Analyses of Mitochondrial DNA Insertions in Nuclear
 Chromosomes of Maize. G3 (Bethesda), 5(11):2229-39. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.020677.
- Malik, A.N., Czajka, A., and Cunningham, P. 2016 Accurate quantification of mouse
 mitochondrial DNA without co-amplification of nuclear mitochondrial insertion
 sequences. Mitochondrion, 29: 59-64.
- Martínez-Cisuelo, V., Gómez, J., García-Junceda, I., Naudí, A., Cabré, R., MotaMartorell, N., López-Torres, M., González-Sánchez, M., Pamplona, R., and Barja, G.
 2016. Rapamycin reverses age-related increases in mitochondrial ROS production at
 complex I, oxidative stress, accumulation of mtDNA fragments inside nuclear DNA,
 and lipofuscin level, and increases autophagy, in the liver of middle-aged mice. Exp.
 Gerontol. 83: 130-8.
- Martis, M.M., Klemme, S., Banaei-Moghaddam, A.M., Blattner, F.R., Macas, J.,
 Schmutzer, T., Scholz, U., Gundlach, H., Wicker, T., Šimková, H., Novák, P.,
 Neumann, P., Kubaláková, M., Bauer, E., Haseneyer, G., Fuchs, J., Doležel, J., Stein,
 N., Mayer, K.F., and Houben, A. 2012. Selfish supernumerary chromosome reveals its
 origin as a mosaic of host genome and organellar sequences. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.
 S. A. 109(33):13343-6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1204237109.
- Matsuo, M., Ito, Y., Yamauchi, R., and Obokata, J. 2005. The rice nuclear genome
 continuously integrates, shuffles, and eliminates the chloroplast genome to cause
 chloroplast-nuclear DNA flux. Plant Cell, 17: 665–675.

- Michalovova, M., Vyskot, B. and Kejnovsky, E. 2013. Analysis of plastid and
 mitocondrial DNA insertions in the nucleus (NUPTs and NUMTs) of six plant species:
 size, relative age and chromosomal localization. Heredity, 111 (4): 314–320.
- Mourier, T., Hansen, A.J., Willerslev, E., and Arctander, P. 2001. The Human Genome
 Project Reveals a Continuous Transfer of Large Mitochondrial Fragments to the
 Nucleus. Mol. Biol. Evol., 18 (9):1833–1837.
- Millar, D. S., Tysoe, C., Lazarou, L. P., Pilz, D. T., Mohammed, S., Anderson, K.,
 Chuzhanova, N., Cooper, D.N., and Butler, R. 2010. An isolated case of lissencephaly
 caused by the insertion of a mitochondrial genome-derived DNA sequence into the 5'
 untranslated region of the PAFAH1B1 (LIS1) gene. Hum. Genomics, 4(6): 384–393.
 doi:10.1186/1479-7364-4-6-384.
- Mota, M. 1963. Electron microscope study of relationship between nucleus and
 mitochondria in Chlorophytum capense (L.) Kuntze. Cytologia, 28: 409–416.
- Mustafa S.I., Schwarzacher, T., and Heslop-Harrison, J.S. 2018. Complete
 mitogenomes from Kurdistani sheep: abundant centromeric nuclear copies representing
 diverse ancestors. Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal., 29(8):1180-1193. doi:
 10.1080/24701394.2018.1431226.
- de Paula, W. B., Agip, A. N., Missirlis, F., Ashworth, R., Vizcay-Barrena, G., Lucas, C.
 H., and Allen, J. F. 2013a. Female and male gamete mitochondria are distinct and
 complementary in transcription, structure, and genome function. Genome Biology and
 Evolution, 5(10): 1969–1977. doi:10.1093/gbe/evt147.
- de Paula, W. B., Lucas, C. H., Agip, A. N., Vizcay-Barrena, G., and Allen, J. F. 2013b.
 Energy, ageing, fidelity and sex: oocyte mitochondrial DNA as a protected genetic
 template. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B,
 Biological sciences, 368(1622), 20120263. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0263.
- Patrushev, M., Kasymov, V., Patrusheva, V., Ushakova, T., Gogvadze, V., and Gaziev
 A. 2004. Mitochondrial permeability transition triggers the release of mtDNA
 fragments. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 61: 3100–3103. doi: 10.1007/s00018-004-4424-1.
- 688 Popadin, K., Gunbin, K., Peshkin, L., Annis, S., Fleischmann, Z., Kraytsberg, Y.,
- 689 Markuzon, N., Ackermann, R., Khrapko, K. 2017 Mitochondrial pseudogenes suggest

690 repeated inter-species hybridization among direct human ancestors. doi:691 https://doi.org/10.1101/134502

Puertas, M.J., and Villasante, A. Is the Heterochromatin of Meiotic Neocentromeres a 692 693 Remnant of the Early Evolution of the Primitive Centromere? Book Editor(s): Jiming 694 Jiang James A. Birchler First published: 08 April 2013. 695 https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118525715.ch8.

- Rand, D. M., Fry, A., and Sheldahl, L. 2006. Nuclear-mitochondrial epistasis and
 drosophila aging: introgression of Drosophila simulans mtDNA modifies longevity in
 D. melanogaster nuclear backgrounds. Genetics, 172(1): 329–341.
 doi:10.1534/genetics.105.046698.
- Ricchetti, M., Fairhead, C., and Dujon, B. 1999. Mitochondrial DNA repairs double
 strand breaks in yeast chromosomes. Nature, 402: 96–100.
- Ricchetti, M., Tekaia, F., and Dujon, B. 2004. Continued colonization of the human
 genome by mitochondrial DNA. PLoS Biol. 2: e273.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020273.
- Richly, E., and Leister, D. 2004. NUMTs in sequenced eukaryotic genomes. Mol Biol
 Evol., 21(6):1081-1084. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msh110.
- Richter, C. 1988. Do mitochondrial DNA fragments promote cancer and aging? FEBSLett. 241: 1-5.
- Roark LM, Hui AY, Donnelly L, Birchler JA, Newton KJ. 2010 Recent and frequent
 insertions of chloroplast DNA into maize nuclear chromosomes. Cytogenet Genome
 Res. 2010 129:17-23. doi: 10.1159/000312724.
- 712 Rodley, C.D., Grand, R.S., Gehlen, L.R., Greyling, G., Jones, M.B., and O'Sullivan,
- J.M. 2012. Mitochondrial-nuclear DNA interactions contribute to the regulation of
- nuclear transcript levels as part of the inter-organelle communication system. PLoS
- 715 ONE, 7(1): e30943. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030943.
- Rothfuss, O., Gasser, T., and Patenge, N. 2009. Analysis of differential DNA damage in
- the mitochondrial genome employing a semi-long run real-time PCR approach. Nucleic
- 718 Acids Research, 38 (4). e24. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp1082.

- Ruban, A., Fuchs. J., Marques, A., Schubert, V., Soloviev, A, Raskina, O., Badaeva, E.,
 and Houben A. 2014. B Chromosomes of Aegilops speltoides are enriched in organelle
 genome-derived sequences. PLoS ONE, 9(2): e90214.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090214.
- Sacerdot, C., Casaregola, S., Lafontaine, I., Tekaia, F., Dujon, B., and OzierKalogeropoulos, O. 2008. Promiscuous DNA in the nuclear genomes of
 hemiascomycetous yeasts. FEMS Yeast Res., 8(6):846-57. doi: 10.1111/j.15671364.2008.00409.x.
- Sato, A., Nakada, K., Shitara, H., Kasahara, A., Yonekawa, H., and Hayashi, J. 2007.
 Deletion-mutant mtDNA increases in somatic tissues but decreases in female germ cells
 with age. Genetics, 177(4): 2031–2037. doi:10.1534/genetics.107.081026.
- 730 Shay, J.W., Baba, T., Zhan, Q.M., Kamimura, N., and Cuthbert, J.A. 1991. HeLa TG
- cells have mitochondrial DNA inserted into the c-myc oncogene. Oncogene, 6:1869–74.
- 732 Sheppard, A.E., and Timmis, J.N. 2009. Instability of Plastid DNA in the Nuclear
- 733 Genome. PLoS Genet. 5(1): e1000323. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000323.
- Schrader, L., Schmitz, J. 2019 The impact of transposable elements in adaptive
 evolution. Mol Ecol. 28: 1537-1549. doi: 10.1111/mec.14794
- 736 Schneider, J. S., Cheng, X., Zhao, Q., Underbayev, C., Gonzalez, J. P., Raveche, E. S.,
- Fraidenraich, D., and Ivessa, A.S. 2014. Reversible mitochondrial DNA accumulation
 in nuclei of pluripotent stem cells. Stem cells and development, 23(22): 2712–2719.
 doi:10.1089/scd.2013.0630
- Singh, K.K., Choudhury, A.R., and Tiwari, H.K. 2017. Numtogenesis as a mechanism
 for development of cancer. Semin Cancer Biol., 47:101-109.
 doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.05.003.
- Srinivasainagendra, V., Sandel, M.W., Singh, B., Sundaresan, A., Mooga, V.P., Bajpai,
 P., Tiwari, H.K. and Singh, K.K. 2017. Migration of mitochondrial DNA in the nuclear
- genome of colorectal adenocarcinoma. Genome Med. 9(1):31.
- Stupar, R.M., Lilly, J.W., Town, C.D., Cheng, Z., Kaul, S., Buell, C.R., and Jiang, J.
 2001. Complex mtDNA constitutes an approximate 620-kb insertion on Arabidopsis
 - https://mc06.manuscriptcentral.com/genome-pubs

thaliana chromosome 2: Implication of potential sequencing errors caused by large-unit
repeats. PNAS, 98 (9): 5099–5103. www.pnas.orgycgiydoiy10.1073ypnas.091110398

Suter, M., and Richter, C. 1999. Fragmented Mitochondrial DNA Is the Predominant
Carrier of Oxidized DNA Bases. Biochemistry, 38: 459-464.
https://doi.org/10.1021/bi9811922.

- 753 Thrash, J. C., Boyd, A., Huggett, M. J., Grote, J., Carini, P., Yoder, R. J., Robbertse, B.,
- Spatafora, J.W., Rappé, M.S., and Giovannoni, S. J. 2011. Phylogenomic evidence for a
 common ancestor of mitochondria and the SAR11 clade. Scientific reports, 1 (13).
- 756 doi:10.1038/srep00013.
- 757 Trifunovic, A., Wredenberg, A., Falkenberg, M., Spelbrink, J.N., Rovio, A.T., Bruder,
- 758 C.E., Bohlooly-Y, M., Gidlöf, S., Oldfors, A., Wibom, R., Törnell, J., Jacobs, H.T., and
- Larsson, N.G. 2004. Premature ageing in mice expressing defective mitochondrial DNA
- 760 polymerase. Nature, 429 (6990):417-23.
- Turner, C., Killoran, C., Thomas, N.S., Rosenberg, M., Chuzhanova, N.A., Johnston, J.,
 Kemel, Y., Cooper, D.N., and Biesecker, L.G. 2003. Human genetic disease caused by
 de novo mitochondrial-nuclear DNA transfer. Hum Genet. 112 : 303–309. doi:
 10.1007/s00439-002-0892-2.
- Vaughan, H.E., Heslop-Harrison, J.S., and Hewitt, G.M. 1999. The localization of
 mitochondrial sequences to chromosomal DNA in orthopterans. Genome, 42:874-880.
- Willett-Brozick, J.E., Savul, S.A., Richey, L.E., and Baysal, B.E. 2001. Germ line
 insertion of mtDNA at the breakpoint junction of a reciprocal constitutional
 translocation. Hum Genet. 109(2):216-23. doi: 10.1007/s004390100564.
- Williams, B.A., Hirt, R.P., Lucocq, J.M., and Embley, T.M. 2002. A mitochondrial
 remnant in the microsporidian Trachipleistophora hominis. Nature, 418 (6900): 865–
 869. doi:10.1038/nature00949. PMID 12192407.
- Woischnik, M., and Moraes, C.T. 2002. Pattern of organization of human mitochondrial
 pseudogenes in the nuclear genome. Genome Res. 12: 885–893. doi:
 10.1101/gr.227202.

776	Zhang,	D.X.,	and	Hewitt,	G.M.	1996.	Nuclear	integrations:	challenges	for
777	mitochondrial DNA markers. Trends Ecol. Evol., 11: 247-251.									

778