Insights into G Protein Structure, Function, and Regulation

THERESA M. CABRERA-VERA, JURGEN VANHAUWE, TARITA O. THOMAS, MARTINA MEDKOVA, ANITA PREININGER, MARIA R. MAZZONI, AND HEIDI E. HAMM

Department of Molecular Pharmacology and Biological Chemistry (T.M.C.-V., J.V., T.O.T., M.M., A.P., H.E.M.), Institute for Neuroscience, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois 60611; Department of Molecular Pharmacology (M.R.M., H.E.M.), Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee 37232; and Department of Psychiatry, Neurobiology, Pharmacology, and Biotechnology (M.R.M.), University of Pisa, Pisa, 56057, Italy

In multicellular organisms from *Caenorhabditis elegans* to *Homo sapiens*, the maintenance of homeostasis is dependent on the continual flow and processing of information through a complex network of cells. Moreover, in order for the organism to respond to an ever-changing environment, intercellular signals must be transduced, amplified, and ultimately converted to the appropriate physiological response. The resolution of the molecular events underlying signal response and integration forms the basis of the signal transduction field of research. An evolutionarily highly conserved group of molecules known as heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding proteins (G proteins) are key determinants of the specificity and temporal characteristics of many signaling processes and are the topic of this review. Numerous hormones, neurotransmitters, chemokines, local mediators, and sensory stimuli exert their effects on cells by binding to heptahelical membrane receptors coupled to heterotrimeric G proteins. These highly specialized transducers can modulate the activity of multiple signaling pathways leading to diverse biological responses. *In vivo*, specific combinations of G α - and G $\beta\gamma$ subunits are likely required for connecting individual receptors to signaling pathways. The structural determinants of receptor-G protein-effector specificity are not completely understood and, in addition to involving interaction domains of these primary acting proteins, also require the participation of scaffolding and regulatory proteins. (*Endocrine Reviews* 24: 765–781, 2003)

- I. Introduction
- II. G Protein Structure
- III. Molecular Basis for G Protein Activation
- IV. Structural Determinants of Receptor-G Protein SpecificityV. Receptor-Independent Activators of G Protein Signaling
- (AGS Proteins)VI. The Receptor-Gα Protein Interface as a Therapeutic Target
- VII. $G\alpha$ Interaction with Effectors
- VIII. $G\beta\gamma$ Interaction with Effectors
 - A. $G\beta\gamma$ -Dimer composition directs effector and receptor coupling
 - B. Structural determinants of effector specificity
 - C. Novel $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors
 - D. Additional role for $G\beta_5$
- IX. Molecular Basis for G Protein Inactivation
 - A. Intrinsic GTPase activity
 - B. $G\alpha$ Interaction with GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs)
- X. Regulation of G Protein Function by Covalent Modification
 - A. G protein lipidation
 - B. The role of lipid modifications in G protein membrane association and consequent signaling functions
- XI. Advances for the Future: Investigating the Dynamic Nature of G Protein Signaling

I. Introduction

HEN A LIGAND such as a hormone, neurotransmitter, or glycoprotein interacts with a heptahelical receptor on the surface of the cell, the ligand either stabilizes or induces a conformation in the receptor that activates a heterotrimeric G protein (composed of α -, β -, and γ -subunits) on the inner membrane surface of the cell (1). In the inactive heterotrimeric state, GDP is bound to the $G\alpha$ -subunit. Upon activation, GDP is released, GTP binds to $G\alpha$, and subsequently G α -GTP dissociates from G $\beta\gamma$ and from the receptor (Fig. 1). Both $G\alpha$ -GTP and $G\beta\gamma$ are then free to activate downstream effectors. The duration of the signal is determined by the intrinsic GTP hydrolysis rate of the G α -subunit and the subsequent reassociation of $G\alpha$ -GDP with $G\beta\gamma(1, 2)$. This article will review current knowledge and recent progress in defining the molecular mechanisms that regulate the activity and specificity of G protein signaling cascades. In addition, we will briefly discuss the use of dynamic experimental approaches that are likely to provide new insights into G protein regulation in the future.

II. G Protein Structure

The solution of crystal structures for inactive (GDPbound), active (GTP-bound), and transition state (GDP- ALF_4^-) $G\alpha_t$ (3–5) or $G\alpha_i$ (6), as well as structures for the inactive heterotrimeric complexes (7, 8), has provided the framework for understanding the biomechanics of G proteins as molecular switches. For a detailed discussion of the Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/edrv/article/24/6/765/2567218 by guest on 25 August 2022

Abbreviations: AC, Adenylyl cyclase; AGS, activators of G protein signaling; GAP, GTPase-activating protein; GRIN, G protein-regulated inducer of neurite outgrowth; PI₃ kinase, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PLC, phospholipase C; RGS, regulator of G protein signaling.



Ga effectors GBy effectors

FIG. 1. Receptor-mediated G protein activation. The interaction of an endogenous ligand with its cell surface receptor (R) facilitates the coupling of the activate receptor (R*) with intracellular heterotrimeric G proteins. The R*-G protein coupling promotes the exchange of GDP for GTP on the G α -subunit. G α -GTP then dissociates from G $\beta\gamma$ and R*. Both subunits are free to modulate the activity of a wide variety of intracellular effectors. Termination of the signal occurs when the γ -phosphate of GTP is removed by the intrinsic GTPase activity of the G α -subunit, leaving GDP in the nucleotide binding pocket on G α . G α -GDP then reassociates with G $\beta\gamma$ and the cycle is complete. RGS proteins accelerate the intrinsic GTPase activity of G α -subunits, thereby reducing the duration of signaling events.

specific intramolecular contacts within heterotrimeric G proteins, the reader is referred to reviews in Refs. 2 and 9. Herein, we will briefly discuss key structural and functional features common to all heterotrimeric G proteins to understand the framework and interpretation of recent work in this field.

According to current knowledge, 16 genes encode for $G\alpha$ subunits, five genes encode for G β -, and 12 genes encode for $G\gamma$ -subunits (10). Classically, G proteins are divided into four families based on similarity of their α -subunits: $G\alpha_{i/o}$ $G\alpha_{s'}G\alpha_{q/11'}$ and $G\alpha_{12/13}$ (Table 1). $G\alpha$ -subunits contain two domains: a GTPase domain that is involved in the binding and hydrolysis of GTP and a helical domain that buries the GTP within the core of the protein (Fig. 2A). The helical domain is the most divergent domain among $G\alpha$ families and may play a role in directing specificity of receptor- and effector-G protein coupling. Comparison of $G\alpha_t$ -GDP and $G\alpha_t$ -GTP γ S crystal structures has revealed the presence of three flexible regions, designated switches I, II, and III, which become more rigid and well ordered in the GTP-bound active conformation (3, 4). Little is known about the structure of the extreme amino (N-) and carboxy (C-) terminal domains of G α -subunits because in the isolated G protein crystal structures solved thus far, the N and C termini of $G\alpha$ were either removed from the protein or disordered (3-6). However, in two separate crystal structures of heterotrimeric complex, the N-terminal helix is ordered by its interaction with the β propeller domain of $G\beta$ (Refs. 7 and 8 and Fig. 2A). Biochemical studies suggest that these terminal regions play a key role in the activation process and in directing specific protein-protein interactions, as is discussed in the following section.

The $G\beta$ -subunit of heterotrimeric G proteins has a β propeller structure containing seven WD-40 repeats (Ref. 7 and Fig. 2A). The G γ -subunit interacts with the G β -subunit through an N-terminal coiled coil and makes extensive contacts along the base of the G β -subunit (Ref. 7 and Fig. 2A). The G $\beta\gamma$ -dimer binds to a hydrophobic pocket present in G α -GDP. GTP binding to G α removes the hydrophobic pocket and reduces the affinity of G α for G $\beta\gamma$ (4).

III. Molecular Basis for G Protein Activation

The rate-limiting step in G protein activation is the release of GDP from the nucleotide-binding pocket. GDP is spontaneously released from the heterotrimeric G protein at a rate that varies depending on the $G\alpha$ -subunit. For example, the $G\alpha_{o}$ GDP release rate (k_{off}) is 0.19 min⁻¹ whereas the $G\alpha_{i2}$ release rate is 0.072 min⁻¹ (11). However, the inactive state of the G α -subunits is controlled by G $\beta\gamma$ binding. Higashijima *et al.* (12) showed that in the absence of Mg^{2+} , $G\beta\gamma$ increases the affinity of $G\alpha_0$ for GDP about 300-fold. GDP release is greatly facilitated by receptor activation of the G protein (13). Mutations (14–16) of residues in the critical TCAT guanine nucleotide-binding motif present in the $\beta 6-\alpha 5$ loop of the GTPase domain (4) enhance receptor-independent spontaneous GDP release. Iiri et al. (14) identified such an activating mutation in $G\alpha_s$ (A³⁶⁶S) in male patients with pseudohypoparathyroidism and gonadotropin-independent precocious puberty. Enhanced GDP release was also observed when similar mutations were generated in $G\alpha_i$ [A³²⁶S; (15)] and $G\alpha_0$ [C³²⁵S; (16)] suggesting that this region serves as a com-

TABLE 1. Classification of $G\alpha$ -subtypes and their effectors

| Family | Subtype | Effector | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| G_s | $egin{array}{l} { m G}lpha_{{ m s(S)}}^{a} & \ { m G}lpha_{{ m s(L)}}^{a} & \ { m G}lpha_{{ m olf}} & \ { m O}\ { m O$ | $ \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \mathrm{AC} \\ \uparrow \mathrm{GTPase} \ \mathrm{of} \ \mathrm{tubulin}^b \\ \uparrow \mathrm{src}^b \\ \uparrow \mathrm{AC} \end{array} $ | |
| G | $\begin{array}{l} G\alpha_{i1}\\ G\alpha_{i2}\\ G\alpha_{oA}^{a}\\ G\alpha_{oB}^{a}\\ G\alpha_{oB}^{a}\\ G\alpha_{z}\\ G\alpha_{t1}\\ G\alpha_{t2}\\ G\alpha_{g} \end{array}$ | ↓ AC Rap 1 GAP GRIN 1 and 2 ↑ GTPase of tubulin^b ↑ src^b Ca²⁺ and K⁺ channels^b ↑ cGMP-PDE Unknown | |
| G_q | $egin{array}{l} & Glpha_{ m q} \ & Glpha_{ m 11} \ & Glpha_{ m 14} \ & Glpha_{ m 15 \ or \ 16} \end{array}$ | ↑ PLC β s ↑ Bruton's tyrosine kinase (G α_q) | |
| G ₁₂ | ${ m G}lpha_{12}$ ${ m G}lpha_{13}$ | $egin{array}{l} \wedge & \mathrm{NHE-1}^b \ & \mathrm{PLD}^b \ & \mathrm{p115RhoGEF} \ & \mathrm{iNOS}^b \end{array}$ | |

PDE, Phosphodiesterase E; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; NHE, Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger; PLD, phospholipase D; GEF, guanine nucleotide exchange factor.

^{*a*} Two splice products of $G\alpha_s$ and $G\alpha_o$ genes.

^b See Refs. 169 and 228–234.

mon mediator of GDP release. Posner *et al.* (15) also demonstrated that GDP release can occur without inducing a large conformational change in $G\alpha$.

In addition to the TCAT motif, most recent work has identified residues within the helical domain as well as within the N- and C-terminal domains of $G\alpha$ -subunits that are also integral mediators of spontaneous GDP release. For example, in $G\alpha_t$ mutation of three residues located in the inward-facing surface of the α 5-helix causes a dramatic increase of basal nucleotide exchange rate in addition to enhanced receptor-catalyzed nucleotide exhange rate (17). Mutation of five residues within the switch IV helical domain in $G\alpha_s$ decreases the rate of GDP release, GTP_yS binding, and GTP hydrolysis (18) and disruption of contacts between the helical and GTPase domains also influences basal GDP dissociation rates (19, 20). By fluorescently labeling the Cterminal residue Cys³⁴⁷ of a $G\alpha_t/G\alpha_i$ chimera, Yang *et al.* (21) determined that the C terminus moves into a more hydrophobic environment upon AlF_4^- activation. The authors suggest that this movement may reflect an interaction between the C terminus and the $\alpha 2$ - $\beta 4$ loop of $G\alpha_t/G\alpha_i$. In addition, these divergent terminal domains have been implicated as the source of variation in the intrinsic GDP release rates among G α -subunits. Substitution of 31 N-terminal residues of a $G\alpha_{t/i}$ chimera (low intrinsic exchange rate) with corresponding 42 residues of $G\alpha_s$ (high intrinsic exchange rate) significantly enhanced the nucleotide exchange rate (22). This same group also reported that disruption of a specific contact between Val³⁰ (N terminus) and Ile³³⁹ (C terminus) alters the rate of GTP γ S binding, which was inferred as an indirect index of GDP release. Hence, structural interactions

between N and C termini of $G\alpha_t$ are important to the maintenance of a slow GDP release rate for $G\alpha_t$.

Receptor-mediated GDP release is dependent on the ability of the receptor to interact with the G protein and trigger conformational changes in $G\alpha$ that cause release of GDP. Comparing the crystal structure with biochemical data, we can deduce that the receptor contacts $G\alpha$ at a site that is more than 20 Å away from the guanine nucleotide binding site (1, 21), thus working at a distance to release GDP. Current theory is that receptor contact with the C terminus of the $G\alpha$ -subunit leads to conformational changes that are propagated through $G\alpha$ to the GDP binding site (1, 21). However, the requirement for $G\beta\gamma$ in receptor-G protein interaction and G protein activation suggests that $G\beta\gamma$ may actively participate in GDP release by opening an exit route for the guanine nucleotide to leave the complex (23). The heterotrimer contains a prominent cavity between $G\alpha$ and $G\beta\gamma$ that is believed to be oriented toward the plasma membrane (7, 24). Activated loops of the receptor might use this cavity to tilt G $\beta\gamma$ away from G α causing the contacts between G α and $G\beta\gamma$ to be disrupted, including contacts near switch I and the β 3- α 2 loop in G α , the potential exit route for the nucleotide. In this way the receptor could use $G\beta\gamma$ as a lever to release GDP (25). Ala substitutions in G β at the G α -G $\beta\gamma$ interface near the GDP exit route inhibit receptor-induced GDP/GTP exchange without affecting $G\alpha$ - $G\beta\gamma$ binding (26). Thus, the $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer is not merely a passive binding partner with the sole purpose of stabilizing $G\alpha$ but, rather, $G\beta\gamma$ actively participates in receptor-mediated G protein activation.

IV. Structural Determinants of Receptor-G Protein Specificity

For the purposes of this review, we will limit ourselves to a discussion of regions within $G\alpha$ and $G\beta\gamma$ that have been determined to mediate receptor-G protein specificity. For a thorough review of specific sites on heptahelical receptors, which direct receptor-G protein coupling specificity, the reader is referred to Refs. 27 and 28 for reviews. The extreme C terminus of $G\alpha$ (in particular the last five residues) has been established as an important mediator of receptor-G protein interaction (23, 29-31). For example, ADP ribosylation of residue -4 by pertussis toxin uncouples G_i/G_o proteins from receptors (32). Phosphorylation of a tyrosine residue at -4 in $G_{q/11}$ was shown to be required for coupling to metabotropic glutamate receptors (33) although this has not been demonstrated in intact cells. In addition, the requirement of phosphorylation at the tyrosine residue of $G_{q/11}$ cannot be generalized as M₁ muscarinic receptors, and thrombin receptors were shown to couple readily to $G_{q/11}$ proteins in reconstitution experiments (34, 35). Many examples of mutations in this region that alter receptor-G protein specificity have been also reported (36–38). In addition, several investigators have generated sequence-specific C-terminal peptides or antibodies targeting the C-terminal domain to study receptor-G protein interaction. Antibodies recognizing G α C-terminal domains block receptor-G protein signaling (39). Instead, sequence-specific C-terminal synthetic peptides either stabilize the active agonist-bound form of the



Receptor В S316 Ga GTPase domain Ga helical domain С

А

receptor mimicking the G protein (40–42) or serve as competitive inhibitors of receptor-G protein interface (43). Although blocking peptides are commonly interpreted as evidence of a direct receptor-G protein contact site, peptides may also stabilize or disrupt regions of the protein that transmit conformational changes to the guanine nucleotide binding motif and thereby indirectly affect receptor-mediated G protein activation.

The C terminus is not the only region directing receptor-G protein interactions. Several Gα-subunits possess identical or nearly identical residues within the extreme C-terminal domain yet exhibit differential coupling to receptors. For example, within the last 11 amino acids of $G\alpha_{i1}$ and $G\alpha_{t}$, only a single residue is divergent, yet the serotonin_{IB} receptor fails to couple to $G\alpha_t$ and readily couples to $G\alpha_i$. Investigation into the molecular determinants of this specificity indicated that two residues within the α 4-helix of G α_{i1} are critical mediators of this receptor-G protein coupling profile (44, 45). Key residues for coupling specificity have been also identified within the N terminus (36, 39, 46), the α 2-helix, and α 2- β 4 loop regions (47, 48) as well as within the α 4-helix and α 4- β 6 loop domain (44, 48, 49). Segments of G β - and G γ -subunits may also contribute to the receptor interacting surface of heterotrimers (46, 50–53). Using a peptide specific for α helical residues in $G\alpha_s$, Krieger-Brauer *et al.* (54) blocked β -adrenergic receptor-mediated activation of both $G\alpha_s$ - and $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors. In contrast, a C-terminal sequence-specific peptide for $G\alpha_s$ only prevented $G\alpha_s$ -mediated effector activation, suggesting that the extreme C terminus of $G\alpha_s$ is required for $G\alpha$ -mediated signaling but is not critical for β -adrenergic receptor recognition and dissociation of G α from $G\beta\gamma$ (54). Together, these studies suggest that the relative importance of the C terminus for directing receptor-G protein interactions may be dependent on $G\alpha$ and receptor subtypes. Receptor-G protein specificity is clearly not mediated solely by one structural feature of $G\alpha$ -subunits but appears to result from a network of specific contacts between the receptor and G protein which differs for each G α -subunit and for each receptor and results in a large number of possible combinations that can bring remarkable specificity into a system with only a few central players. As suggested by Blahos et al. (36), one of the difficulties in isolating the specific determinants of receptor-G protein coupling has been that G protein coupling may still occur even when interactions at certain contact points are weak, absent, or negative if these frailties can be overcome by a stronger interaction at other contact points or when regions that may weaken coupling are removed from either the receptor or the G protein.

V. Receptor-Independent Activators of G Protein Signaling (AGS Proteins)

A novel class of signaling proteins, termed AGS proteins, has been identified (55, 56). AGS proteins activate heterotri-

meric G proteins independently of receptor activation. The mechanism for AGS activation differs among members of this family. AGS1 has been found experimentally to promote GTP γ S binding. AGS2 selectively associates with G $\beta\gamma$, whereas AGS3 binds to $G\alpha$ and exhibits a preference for GDP-G α vs. GTP-G α . AGS3 has been shown to prevent the reassociation of $G\beta\gamma$ with the $G\alpha$ -subunit and function as a guanine dissociation inhibitor for $G\alpha_i$ -subunits (57). AGS3 contains a G protein-regulatory motif. This G protein regulatory motif or GoLOCO repeat is an approximately 20amino acid domain found in several proteins that interact with and/or regulate G proteins, e.g., AGS3, the Partner of Inscuteable and its mammalian homolog, LGN, Purkinje cell protein 2, and Rap1 GTPase-activating protein (GAP). The physiological role of these proteins in vivo remains to be determined, but one possible role for these proteins may be in the regulation of G proteins that do not reside near the plasma membrane and cannot be activated directly by receptors, e.g., G proteins in the Golgi that regulate vesicular trafficking (58). Little is known about the role of this pool of G proteins, and the discovery of AGS proteins may stimulate research into a new dimension of heterotrimeric G protein signaling.

VI. The Receptor- $G\alpha$ Protein Interface as a Therapeutic Target

Traditionally, the extracellular surface and transmembrane domains of G protein-coupled receptors have served as a target for the development of drugs that can selectively activate or inactivate specific cellular pathways. However, some receptor isoforms, such as the dopamine D_{2L} and D_{2S} receptors, and the D₄ receptor variants differ only on the intracellular surface of the protein (59, 60) and cannot be readily distinguished by targeting the ligand-binding site. Moreover, many receptors promiscuously couple to several G protein subtypes in what may be a tissue- or cell-specific phenomenon. Therefore, additional therapeutic targets will certainly be required to more specifically influence intracellular signaling events. One avenue being explored by our laboratory and others is the use of peptide inhibitors that target the receptor-G protein interface (43, 61, 62). Currently, these peptides represent either $G\alpha$ C-terminal-specific sequences or peptides isolated from a combinatorial library based on C-terminal G α -sequences and screened for highaffinity receptor binding (31). These studies are based on the idea that the C terminus of $G\alpha$ -subunits serves as a key receptor contact site and mediator of receptor-G protein specificity. In the short term, these peptides may provide useful tools for exploring specificity of G protein-mediated signaling.

The delivery of peptide inhibitors represents a challenge to the therapeutic use of these tools. Possible delivery

⁽gray); G γ (pink). The area on G β that is covered by G α in the G protein heterotrimer crystal structure is highlighted in *light green*. The effector-interacting residues on G β are circled with *colored dashed lines* as follows: β -adrenergic receptor kinase (orange); PLC β_2 (red); AC II (green); K⁺ channel (blue); Ca²⁺ channel (yellow). G α -GDP, when bound to G $\beta\gamma$, covers all these distinct yet partially overlapping effector interaction regions on G β and, thus, blocks G $\beta\gamma$ regulation of all the effectors. [Figure 2C reprinted with permission from Ford *et al.*: *Science* 280:1271–1274, 1998 (26). © 1988 American Association for the Advancement of Science.]

systems include the use of inducible retroviral minigene vectors (64), incorporation of peptides into liposomes (65), or the fusion of peptides to a viral peptide sequence that carries the C-terminal peptide into the cell (66). Alternatively, peptidomimetics may prove to be more stable and bioavailable. Selective targeting to specific organs is likely to prove beneficial, because Akhter et al. (67) have demonstrated that transgenic mice selectively expressing a Gq C-terminal minigene in the myocardium exhibit a marked inhibition of α_{1B} -adrenergic receptor-mediated inositol phosphate production and blockade of cardiac hypertrophy. The identification of peptide inhibitors with high affinity for specific receptor subtypes and/or variants would also allow for more selective inhibition of signaling pathways. Despite the significant hurdles, targeting the receptor-G protein interface will clarify the complex coordination of players in signaling cascades and may prove therapeutically useful in the future.

VII. G α Interaction with Effectors

Once $G\alpha$ -GTP has dissociated from the $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer, $G\alpha$ can directly interact with effector proteins to continue the signaling cascade. The specific effector proteins activated by $G\alpha$ are dependent on the $G\alpha$ -subtype and are summarized in Table 1. Well-defined $G\alpha$ effectors, such as adenylyl cyclase (AC) and phospholipase C (PLC), have been the topic of several excellent reviews (68, 69).

Overall, several patterns emerge upon examination of the $G\alpha$ -effectors. First, each $G\alpha$ -family activates a distinct profile of effectors. The molecular basis for this divergence has not been completely elucidated. Cocrystallization studies of $G\alpha_s$ and the catalytic domains of AC have identified specific contacts within $G\alpha_s$ at the α 2-helix (SII) and the α 3- β 5 loop (70). In addition, the α 4- β 6 loop of G α_s also plays a role in AC activation (71). Sunahara et al. (72) demonstrated that GDP-bound $G\alpha_s$ can also stimulate AC, albeit with a lower potency than the GTP-bound α -subunit. These data are intriguing because they suggest that reassociation of $G\alpha$ with $G\beta\gamma$ is required for the complete termination of $G\alpha_s$ signaling, and inhibition of reassociation could prolong both $G\alpha$ and $G\beta\gamma$ -mediated signaling. In addition, $G\beta\gamma$ could serve to prolong signaling because it can block the PLC β -mediated acceleration of GTPase activity at $G\alpha_q$ -proteins (73). Second, within a family, each $G\alpha$ -subunit exhibits a differential profile of effector activation. For example, $G\alpha_{i2}$ is required to inhibit forskolin-stimulated AC activity whereas $G\alpha_{i3}$ serves to inhibit $G\alpha_s$ -activated AC (74). In addition, α_1 -adrenergic receptors elevate intracellular Ca²⁺ by two distinct mechanisms that are dependent on the G α -subunit coupled to the receptor: $G\alpha_q$ releases Ca^{2+} from the endoplasmic reticulum whereas $G\alpha_{11}$ activates a nonselective cation channel (75). Third, some G α -subunits have only one identified effector, such as cGMP phosphodiesterase for $G\alpha_t$ whereas others more promiscuously couple to several effector proteins. Lastly, effectors for some $G\alpha$ -subunits have yet to be definitively identified, and the search for novel $G\alpha$ -effectors is a rapidly growing area of research. A number of proteins that directly interact with $G\alpha$ -subunits have been identified, yet further evidence awaits as to whether guanine nucleotide binding to $G\alpha$ regulates the activity of these proteins *in vivo* in response to receptor activation. Nonetheless, some of the most recent studies identifying novel putative $G\alpha$ -effectors are discussed below.

Using a yeast-two-hybrid screen, Jordan *et al.* (76) identified direct interactions between $G\alpha_o$ and Rap1 GAP, Gz GAP, and RGS17. This group also determined that Rap1 GAP interacts with $G\alpha_i$ -proteins but not with $G\alpha_q$ or $G\alpha_s$. However, receptor-mediated activation of these proteins was not demonstrated. Interestingly, Rap1 GAP interacted preferentially with GDP-bound $G\alpha_o$, suggesting that $G\alpha_o$ -GDP may sequester Rap1 GAP away from Rap1, resulting in a sustained activation of MAPK. These findings reveal a novel mechanism of G protein function that is dependent on GDP-liganded G proteins. $G\beta\gamma$ -subunits might then be considered as inhibitors of $G\alpha$ -GDP proteins (and vice versa).

In search of $G\alpha_z$ -effectors, Chen *et al.* (77) screened a cDNA expression library using phosphorylated $G\alpha_z$ -GTP γ S as a probe. This group identified two proteins that interact with $G\alpha_z$ and named them GRIN1 and GRIN2 for G protein-regulated inducer of neurite outgrowth 1 and 2. Both GRIN1 and GRIN2 bound to activated G proteins ($G\alpha_o$, $G\alpha_i$, and $G\alpha_z$) and were identified in neural tissue, but the regulatory mechanism for neurite growth is unknown.

The Ca²⁺ binding protein calnuc (nucleobindin) is a potential effector for $G\alpha_{i3}$ and $G\alpha_s$ (78, 79). The binding of calnuc to $G\alpha_{i3}$ has been shown to be Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ dependent (80). This ion dependence has not been shown explicitly for $G\alpha_{s}$, probably because calnuc undergoes a conformational change after Ca²⁺ binding (81) that could be necessary for G protein interaction.

Bruton's tyrosine kinase (*Btk*) has been identified as a novel effector for $G\alpha_q$ proteins because $G\alpha_q$ activates *Btk* both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and this activation is required for receptor-mediated stimulation of p38 MAPK (82). However, the generalization of these results to other G_q family members remains to be determined.

Although a role for $G\alpha_{12/13}$ -proteins had been established in several physiological events such as stress fiber formation, cellular transformation, regulation of Na⁺/H⁺ exchange, modulation of inducible nitric oxide synthase expression, and regulation of Erk and c-jun kinase activity (83), direct interaction of $G\alpha_{12/13}$ with effector proteins has been established recently, when Hart et al. (84) identified p115RhoGEF as a direct effector for $G\alpha_{13}$. A RGS protein, p115RhoGEF, is also shown to serve as a GAP for both $G\alpha_{12}$ and $G\alpha_{13}$. However, only activated $G\alpha_{13}$ is able to stimulate p115RhoGEF to trigger GDP/GTP exchange on the small molecular weight G protein Rho. In addition, the cytoskeletal-associated protein radixin has been found to interact with $G\alpha_{13}$ (85) whereas an interaction between $G\alpha_{12}$ and heatshock protein 90 is required for $G\alpha_{12}$ -induced serum response element activation, cytoskeletal changes, and mitogenic response (86).

VIII. $G\beta\gamma$ Interaction with Effectors

Initially, $G\beta\gamma$ was thought to facilitate the completion of intracellular information transfer passively by binding to $G\alpha$

and hastening the return of the heterotrimer to the plasma membrane, thereby preventing noise or spontaneous $G\alpha$ activation in the absence of receptor stimulation (87). This belief changed when $G\beta\gamma$ was shown to activate a K⁺-selective ion channel (I_{KACh}) in cardiac atrial cells (88). Today, $G\beta\gamma$ is known to interact with and activate several effectors, including PLC $\beta2$ and $\beta3$ (89, 90), ACs (91), β -adrenergic receptor kinase (92), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI₃ kinase) (93, 94), components of the MAPK cascade (95), and K⁺ and Ca²⁺ channels (88, 96–98) (Table 2). As the list of $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors continues to grow, recent attention has turned toward examining the mechanisms responsible for $G\beta\gamma$ -specific signaling.

At present, five different $G\beta$ -subunits and 12 different $G\gamma$ -subunits have been identified (10, 99–102), meaning that if $G\beta\gamma$ -dimers formed randomly, there would be 60 possible combinations. Although, in general, most $G\beta$ -subunits can dimerize with most $G\gamma$ -subtypes, biochemical studies have demonstrated exceptions to the rule. For example, $G\beta_2$ dimerizes with $G\gamma_2$ *in vitro* but not with $G\gamma_1$, and $G\beta_3$ does not dimerize with either $G\gamma_1$ or $G\gamma_2$ (103–105). Likewise, $G\beta_5$ dimerizes poorly with $G\gamma_2$ in a yeast-two-hybrid assay (106), but other studies suggest that these dimers can form in vitro (107) and can activate PLC β_2 (102). Conversely, G β and G γ combinations that were excluded in *in vitro* assays (104) display functional effects when transfected into cells (108). Although $G\beta\gamma$ -dimers of varying composition may form *in vivo* as well, $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer combinations may also exhibit cell type or tissue specificity. For example, in the retina the primary $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer is $G\beta_1\gamma_1$ whereas $G\beta_1\gamma_2$ is the most common dimer formed in the brain (109, 110).

A. $G\beta\gamma$ -Dimer composition directs effector and receptor coupling

What is the physiological significance of the formation of different $G\beta\gamma$ -dimers? Although it was previously thought that $G\beta\gamma$ -dimers were for the most part interchangeable, current research indicates that $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer composition determines the quality and efficiency of effector activation and

TABLE 2. Effectors regulated by $G\beta\gamma$ dimers

| Effector | Regulation | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| PLCβs | Stimulation | |
| AC I | Inhibition | |
| AC II, IV, and VII | Stimulation | |
| K+ channels (GIRK1, 2, 4) | Stimulation | |
| Ca ²⁺ channels | Inhibition | |
| G protein receptor kinase | Recruitment to membrane | |
| PI ₃ kinase | Stimulation | |
| Bruton's tyrosine kinase | Stimulation | |
| Tsk tyrosine kinase | Stimulation | |
| Protein kinase D | Stimulation | |
| Calmodulin | Inhibition of calmodulin kinase | |
| Tubulin | Increased GTPase activity | |
| Dynamin I | Increased GTPase activity | |
| She phosphorylation ^a | Indirect activation of MAPK (?) | |
| Raf-1 protein kinase | Sequestration of Gβγ | |
| Ras exchange factor ^a | Indirect activation of MAPK (?) | |
| KSR-1 | Sequestration of $G\beta\gamma$ | |

GIRK, G protein-activated inwardly rectifying potassium channel; ?, unknown.

^a See Refs. 235 and 236.

Endocrine Reviews, December 2003, 24(6):765-781 771

may mediate receptor-G protein coupling specificity similar to $G\alpha$ -subunits. For example, when nine unique dimers of $G\beta_1$ or $G\beta_2$ with $G\gamma_{(1,2,3,5 \text{ or }7)}$ were tested for the ability to activate various PLC β isoforms, all dimers could activate the various PLC β isoforms except retinal-specific $G\beta_1\gamma_1$ (111, 112). Likewise, $G\beta_1\gamma_1$ was markedly less effective at stimulation of ACII and inhibition of ACI than other $G\beta\gamma$ dimer combinations (111, 112). A comparison of $G\beta_1\gamma_2$ with $G\beta_5\gamma_2$ demonstrated that $G\beta_5\gamma_2$ is a much weaker inhibitor of ACI, ACV, and ACVI. In addition, $G\beta_1\gamma_2$ stimulated ACII activity, whereas $G\beta_5\gamma_2$ inhibited the activity of this enzyme (113). In contrast, both $G\beta_1\gamma_2$ and $G\beta_5\gamma_2$ activated PLC β_2 with similar potency and efficacy (114). Finally, the rank order for $G\beta$ subtype inhibition of voltage-dependent N-type Ca²⁺ currents differs from enzyme activation $[G\beta_1 = G\beta_2 >$ $G\beta_5 \gg G\beta_3 = G\beta_4$; (115)], and this potency difference may be related to the ability of the various $G\beta$ -subunits to physically interact with the L_{I-II} loop of the Ca²⁺ channel (115). Together, these data demonstrate that the primary sequence of the G β -subunit is a major determinant of effector coupling efficiency and specificity. Isolation of the structural features responsible for effector variation remains to be completely determined. Recently, Mirshahi et al. (116) have shown that Ser⁶⁷ in $G\beta_1$ is part of a functional domain that regulates several different effectors whereas other residues of the β -propeller seem to direct the effector specificity.

With respect to receptor-G protein coupling specificity, both $G\beta_1\gamma_2$ and $G\beta_5\gamma_2$ can couple $G\alpha_q$ -proteins to endothelin B and M₁ muscarinic receptors. However, $G\beta_1\gamma_2$ but not $G\beta_5\gamma_2$ promotes endothelin B receptor- $G\alpha_i$ -protein interaction (107). Thus, the $G\beta_5\gamma_2$ -dimer specifically couples $G\alpha_q$ proteins to receptors (117). With the exception of $G\beta_5$, the identity of the $G\beta$ -subunit does not currently appear to be a critical determinant of receptor-G protein specificity. For example, A₁ adenosine receptors couple equally well to $G\alpha_i$ proteins containing $G\beta_1\gamma_2$ -, $G\beta_1\gamma_3$ -, $G\beta_2\gamma_2$ -, or $G\beta_2\gamma_3$ -dimers as measured by reconstitution of high-affinity agonist binding (118). In contrast, G proteins containing a farnesylated γ -subunit coupled less efficiently to the A₁ receptor, suggesting that lipid modification of the $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer can influence receptor-G protein coupling efficiency (52).

B. Structural determinants of effector specificity

Unlike $G\alpha$ -subunits, the conformation of $G\beta\gamma$ -dimers does not significantly change whether $G\beta\gamma$ is in the inactive heterotrimeric complex or in the free active state. One notable exception to this idea is that phosducin binding to $G\beta\gamma$ induces a conformational change primarily in blades 1 and 7, thus preventing $G\beta\gamma$ association with additional effectors (119). Once dissociated from $G\alpha$, $G\beta\gamma$ can interact with a number of effectors. Using alanine scanning mutagenesis, our laboratory (26) and others (120, 121) previously identified residues on $G\beta$ that contact $G\alpha$ and that mediate a number of effector interactions including ion channels, PLC β_{2} , and ACII (Fig. 2C). Regions important for ACII interaction map roughly to blades 2, 3, and 5, whereas the Nterminal interface of $G\beta$ interacts with G protein-activated, inwardly rectifying potassium channels, 1 and 4 (26). In addition, point mutations either on the $G\alpha$ interacting face of blades 1-4 or mutations in the outer loops of blades 2, 6, and 7 inhibit PLC β_2 activity (26, 120); whereas, PLC β_3 is inhibited by point mutations within blades 2 and 5 (121). Therefore, each effector contacts a unique but overlapping set of residues on G β , and some of these sites also represent G α interacting sites. These studies are consistent with the idea that interaction with α precludes G $\beta\gamma$ binding to effector proteins. Mutational studies continue to reveal the molecular basis for effector interaction as well as the structural basis for variations between $G\beta\gamma$ -subunits in effector coupling efficiency. However, one key question yet to be resolved is how $G\beta\gamma$ activates a particular effector once freed from $G\alpha$, in a cytoplasmic milieu full of potential partners. Signaling specificity could be brought about by factors such as discrete subcellular localization of effectors, compartmentalization of scaffolding components, and cell type-specific expression of signaling molecules (122). The formation of signaling networks that bring together specific receptors, G proteins, regulatory proteins, enzymes, and substrates is a hot area of research and will likely reveal key factors regulating signaling specificity.

C. Novel $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors

At the current discovery rate of $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors, the final tally of proteins that interact with the $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer is likely to exceed that for $G\alpha$ -subunits (68). As shown in Tables 1 and 2, $G\beta\gamma$ - and $G\alpha$ -subunits interact with a number of common effectors, such as PLC β , Bruton's tyrosine kinase, and certain types of ACs. These effector interactions can be independent, synergistic, or antagonistic. For example, $G\beta\gamma$ -subunits potentiate ACII activation by $G\alpha_s$, but inhibit $G\alpha_s$ -stimulated ACI activity. In addition, $G\beta\gamma$ -dimers interact with a number of novel effectors that are not regulated by $G\alpha$ -subunits. These novel effector interactions expand the role of G proteins in the regulation of various cellular processes and are briefly discussed below.

Putative $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors recently identified include protein kinase D (123), PI₃ kinase (93, 94), tubulin (124), KSR-1 (125), dynamin I (126), Raf-1 protein kinase (127), Tsk protein kinase (128), and calmodulin (129) (see Table 2 and references therein). Although previous data suggest that $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors bind to an overlapping domain on $G\beta$ -subunits, additional studies also indicate that $G\beta\gamma$ binding to one particular effector does not necessarily preclude $G\beta\gamma$ interaction with a second effector protein. For instance, $G\beta\gamma$ binding to calmodulin does not prevent $G\beta\gamma$ -mediated stimulation of PLC β (129). The ability of $G\beta\gamma$ to simultaneously regulate different effectors suggests that the $G\beta\gamma$ conformation is not disturbed upon effector binding. One notable exception to this idea is that phosducin binding to $G\beta\gamma$ induces a conformational change primarily in blades 1 and 7 preventing $G\beta\gamma$ association with additional effectors (119). Likewise, $G\beta\gamma$ interaction with the protein kinase KSR-1 prevents $G\beta\gamma$ mediated stimulation of MAPK (125). However, the mechanism responsible for this exclusivity remains to be elucidated. As mentioned before, Chidiac and Ross (73) showed that $G\beta\gamma$ could prevent the acceleration of the GTPase activity of $G\alpha_{q}$ by PLC β , which implies a dual role for $G\beta\gamma$ because it can stimulate PLC β activity directly and indirectly (through prolonged activation of $G\alpha_{q}$).

Although most $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors are believed to directly interact with the G β -subunit, a role for the G γ -subunit has also been suggested. Using a yeast-two-hybrid screen with the protein kinase KSR-1, Bell *et al.* (125) identified $G\gamma_2$, $G\gamma_3$, and $G\gamma_{10}$ as interacting proteins. The C terminus of $G\gamma$ -subunit seems to play a direct role in modulating PLC β functions (130). To date, no specific $G\beta$ or $G\gamma$ binding domain has been identified, although an intriguing number of $G\beta\gamma$ interacting proteins contain pleckstrin homology domains. Future research is likely to identify an increasing number of $G\beta\gamma$ effector proteins. Recently, our laboratory found that the receptor for activated C kinase 1 and the dynein intermediate chain interact with the $G\beta_1\gamma_1$ -dimer (131). $G\beta\gamma$ can inhibit neurotransmitter release independently of second messenger formation and ion channel modulation, perhaps by direct interaction with the exocytotic fusion machinery, because both syntaxin 1B and SNAP25B are $G\beta\gamma$ binding partners (132).

D. Additional role for $G\beta_5$

 $G\beta_{1-4}$ share 80%–90% sequence homology and are ubiquitously expressed (133). In contrast, $G\beta_5$ shares only about 50% identity with the others and is preferentially expressed in the central nervous system (134). $G\beta_{1-4}$ are entirely particulate proteins, whereas $G\beta_5$ can exist both in the soluble and membrane fractions (134), and the N-terminal domain of $G\beta_5$ is significantly longer than the other $G\beta$ -subunits. Although this region is important for $G\gamma$ interaction (135), $G\beta_5$ can dimerize with $G\gamma$, form functional heterotrimers with $G\alpha$, and interact with a number of effectors in response to receptor activation (102, 113, 114, 117, 134). However, unlike other G β -subunits, G β_5 can readily dissociate from G γ under low-stringency conditions and is stable in solution without being complexed to $G\gamma$ (136, 137). Free $G\beta_5$ has been shown to interact with certain GAPs known as regulators of G protein signaling (RGS proteins) through a G protein $G\gamma$ subunit-like domain (138, 139). The G protein $G\gamma$ -subunitlike domain is a 64-amino acid region (34% identical to $G\gamma_5$) that is present in RGS6, RGS7, RGS9, RGS11, and the Cae*norhabditis elegans* RGS protein EGL-10 (140). $G\beta_5$ binding to RGS proteins enhances the ability of the proteins to accelerate the GTPase activity of $G\alpha$ -subunits (141). In addition, $G\beta_5$ binding to RGS6, -7, and -11 allows for the selective inactivation of $G\alpha_0$ (140) and may localize these RGS proteins within the cytosolic compartment (142). Is $G\beta_5$ always associated with an RGS protein in vivo, or does it shuttle between RGS proteins and $G\gamma$ -subunits? In native preparations, RGS9 exists in a tight complex with the long splice variant of $G\beta_5$ ($G\beta_{5Long}$) in vertebrate photoreceptors (138). The $G\beta_{5L}$ -variant was absent from the retinal tissue of RGS9deficient mice despite the presence of normal levels of $G\beta_5$ mRNA (143). In contrast, $G\beta_{5Short}$ protein levels were normal in knockout mice. Therefore, RGS9 may be required for the translation or stability of $G\beta_{5L}$ in photoreceptor cells whereas $G\beta_{5S}$ may be free to interact with $G\gamma$ -subunits (143). Other questions yet to be resolved include: does $G\beta_5$ interact with other proteins outside the RGS family? Do free $G\gamma$ -subunits

have a signaling role on their own? What is the brain-specific role for $G\beta_5$? The discovery of $G\beta_5$ independent of $G\gamma$ has clearly disproved the previous dogma that $G\beta$ -subunits associate only with $G\gamma$, and that only through this association do they elicit a physiological response.

IX. Molecular Basis for G Protein Inactivation

A. Intrinsic GTPase activity

As previously mentioned, the duration of G protein-mediated effector activation is dependent on the intrinsic GTPase activity of the G α -subunit. Like the intrinsic GDP release rates, intrinsic GTP hydrolysis activity varies among G α -subunits (83). For example, the catalytic rate constant value for GTP hydrolysis for $G\alpha_z$ is approximately 200-fold lower than that of $G\alpha_s$ and $G\alpha_o$ (83, 144, 145). The GTPase domain is highly homologous among $G\alpha$ -subunits and the side chain of a conserved arginine residue (Arg¹⁷⁴ in $G\alpha_t$; located within the helical domain) forms hydrogen bonds with oxygens of the α - and γ -phosphates and the β - γ phosphate bridging oxygen. This Arg residue plays a key role in GTP hydrolysis. Thus, mutations of either this Arg or residues contacting it have been reported to alter the GTPase activity of G α -subunits (2, 146). Because of the conserved nature of the GTPase domain of $G\alpha$ -subunits, the determinants of G α -hydrolysis variability are likely to lie in the divergent helical domain and within the N and C termini or be the result of subtle flexibility and conformational changes among G α -subunits. The mechanisms responsible for variations in GTP hydrolysis rates have not been studied in detail. Research in this area has focused instead on identifying proteins that directly interact with $G\alpha$ -subunits to regulate their intrinsic GTPase activity. Some of these key studies are discussed below. For the interested reader, detailed descriptions of the mechanism of GTP hydrolysis can be found elsewhere (2, 9).

B. Ga Interaction with GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs)

Several years ago, researchers noted that the intrinsic GTPase activity of $G\alpha$ -subunits occurs *in vitro* at a much slower rate than can account for the observed deactivation rates of G protein-controlled processes (147, 148). Therefore, speculation mounted that, *in vivo*, an additional protein was rapidly terminating signal transduction, returning the system to an agonist-responsive state. In mammals, the $G\alpha$ -effectors PLC β and the γ -subunit of phosphodiesterase (P γ) were two of the earliest identified GAPs for $G\alpha_q$ and $G\alpha_t$, respectively (149–151). Most recently, Scholich *et al.* (152) have determined that the effector ACV serves as a GAP for $G\alpha_s$. Thus, after activation by $G\alpha$, an effector can feed back on the activated $G\alpha$ -subunit and significantly reduce the duration and amplitude of the signal generated.

In addition to effector-mediated feedback inhibition, RGS proteins enhance the GTPase activity of $G\alpha$ -subunits, thereby reducing the duration and amplitude of both $G\alpha$ - and $G\beta\gamma$ -mediated cellular responses (153–156). RGS proteins share a common approximately 125-amino acid domain termed the RGS box (157, 158). To date, more than 30 mam-

malian RGS proteins have been identified (156, 158-160), each containing 23 conserved hydrophobic residues at the core of the RGS domain (155, 156, 159, 161). In vitro, the RGS core domain is both necessary and sufficient for GAP activity. However, in vivo this is not the case. Our laboratory and others (162, 163) have demonstrated that in native retinal preparations, RGS9 requires effector activation for the full expression of RGS GAP activity. Likewise, the core RGS domain of RGS16 can stimulate $G\alpha_0$ GTP hydrolysis *in vitro* but requires additional N-terminal residues for functional activity in vivo (164). These studies suggest that in vivo the noncatalytic domains regulate RGS GAP activity through interactions with cellular factors. Only two such factors have been identified to date, $G\beta_5$ and phosphodiesterase Ey (141, 151, 165). Noncatalytic domains of RGS proteins have also been suggested to mediate signal transduction pathway specificity and subcellular targeting of RGS proteins (154, 166).

GAPs for heterotrimeric G proteins accelerate GTP hydrolysis in a manner that differs from that observed with monomeric G protein GAPs. For example, Ras GAP inserts a catalytic Arg residue into the active site that participates in the hydrolysis step (2). However, this Arg finger is provided by the helical domain in heterotrimeric G proteins and mediates intrinsic GTP hydrolysis as discussed above (2). In contrast, RGS proteins bind to the switch regions on $G\alpha$ and thereby stabilize the G α transition state toward GTP hydrolysis (167). The mechanism for effector-mediated GAP activity has not been clearly delineated. By analogy, effectormediated GAP activity may also occur through a similar stabilizing mechanism. However, differences in activity between effector GAPs and RGS GAPs have been observed. For example, Mukhopadhyay and Ross (168) demonstrated that RGS4 produces a 2-fold greater acceleration of the $G\alpha_{q}$ bound GTP hydrolysis rate in comparison to PLC β , but PLC $\hat{\beta}$ is 100 times more potent than RGS4. Although these findings might suggest different mechanisms of GAP activity for effectors and RGS GAPs, $G\beta\gamma$ can inhibit the GAP activity of both PLC β_1 and RGS4 (73). This is consistent with the idea that $G\beta\gamma$, effectors, and RGS proteins bind to the same region on $G\alpha$, namely the switch regions of the GTPase domain. Thus, RGS proteins can act as effector inhibitors as well as GAPs. On the other hand, we and others recently determined that the effector $P\gamma$ enhances the GAP activity of the regulator of G protein signaling 9 (RGS9) core domain by increasing the affinity of the RGS9 domain for a $G\alpha_{t/i}$ chimera (163, 170). These studies suggest that RGS proteins may be regulated through their participation in a signal transduction complex that may include receptors and effectors and may be localized near the plasma membrane. A similar suggestion was proposed by Chidiac and Ross (73). Our laboratory has also determined that the α -helical domain of $G\alpha_t$ (a $G\alpha_i$) family member) is a key molecular determinant of the selectivity that the RGS9 core displays as a GAP for $G\alpha_t vs. G\alpha_i$ (163). Therefore, RGS protein affinity and GAP activity for various $G\alpha$ -subunits may be mediated, at least in part, by the primary structure of the $G\alpha$ -subunit as well as by the sequence of the RGS box. Further in-depth discussion of RGS proteins can be found in one of several reviews on this topic (154 - 156, 161, 166).

X. Regulation of G Protein Function by Covalent Modification

G protein signaling cascades are also regulated by posttranslational modification of the G proteins themselves, which includes phosphorylation and/or acylation of G α and $G\beta\gamma$ -subunits. Phosphorylation of $G\alpha$ -subunits by protein kinase C inhibits signal transduction through $G\alpha_i$ family members (171–174). For $G\alpha_{z}$, stoichiometric phosphorylation occurs at N-terminal Ser¹⁶ and $G\alpha_z$ -GDP is the preferred substrate (175, 176). Protein kinase C phosphorylation of $G\alpha_z$ prevents heterotrimer formation (175) and inhibits GAP activity of RGSZ1 (177). Thus, phosphorylation could significantly prolong $G\beta\gamma$ -effector activation while reducing $G\alpha$ effector stimulation. The GDP-bound forms of $G\alpha_t$ and $G\alpha_s$ are also kinase substrates (178, 179), and phosphorylation of $G\alpha_{12}$ prevents interaction with $G\beta\gamma(180)$. Phosphorylation of G β - (181) and G γ -subunits has also been reported (182), and phosphorylation of $G\beta_1\gamma_{12}$ inhibits $G\beta\gamma$ -mediated AC activation without altering the activation of PLC β (183). Thus, phosphorylation cannot only dissociate $G\alpha$ - and $G\beta\gamma$ -mediated signaling, but it also regulates the selective modulation of particular $G\beta\gamma$ -effectors.

A. G protein lipidation

In addition to phosphorylation, $G\alpha$ -subunits are lipidated (myristoylated and palmitoylated) at their N termini. Nmyristoylation results from cotranslational addition of the saturated 14-carbon fatty acid myristate to a Gly residue at the second position after the removal of the initiating Met by the enzyme methionine amino-peptidase (184). A stable amide bond links the myristate to the protein. Hence, this myristoylation is essentially an irreversible modification. Only G α -subunits of G_i family are myristoylated (see Refs. 174 and 185–187 for review). In addition, all G protein G α subunits, except $G\alpha_t$, contain the posttranslationally attached saturated 16-carbon fatty acid palmitate and some $G\alpha$ subunits ($G\alpha_{q}$, $G\alpha_{11}$, $G\alpha_{13}$, and $G\alpha_{16}$) are palmitoylated at multiple sites (see Refs. 174, 188, and 189 for review). Palmitoylation of proteins results from the esterification of Cys thiol groups by palmitate. Due to its unstable character, palmitoylation is readily reversible and subject to regulation (188, 190). As yet, palmitoylation cannot be accurately predicted based on primary sequence. However, palmitoylation occurs frequently in proximity to other lipid modifications such as myristoylation or prenylation.

The G γ -subunit when dimerized with G β is isoprenylated posttranslationally. The 15-carbon isoprenoid farnesyl (G γ_1 , G γ_8 , and G γ_{11}) or the 20-carbon isoprenoid geranylgeranyl (other G γ -subunits) is attached via a stable thioether bond to a Cys residue located in the C-terminal CAAX box of G γ , followed by the proteolytic removal of the C-terminal three amino acids and then the carboxyl methylation of the new C terminus (191). The X residue in the CAAX motif is a major determinant of the isoprenyl group. If X is a Ser, Met, Gln, or Ala, the proteins are farnesylated, whereas Leu at this position results in geranylgeranylation (see Ref. 192 for review). Carboxymethylation of the C terminus of G γ appears to modulate the affinity of the membrane attachment (193).

B. The role of lipid modifications in G protein membrane association and consequent signaling functions

One clear function of fatty acid acylation is to serve as a hydrophobic membrane anchor. For the G_i family of $G\alpha$ subunits that are both myristoylated and palmitoylated, both modifications contribute to the membrane association. Removal of the palmitoylation site while preserving myristoylation results in a partial shift in localization from the membrane to the cytoplasm (194–197). Likewise, mutation of the N-terminal Gly on $G\alpha$, which abolishes myristoylation, also inhibits palmitoylation and similarly shifts protein localization (184, 198, 199). Shahinian and Silvius (200) have recently proposed a "kinetic membrane trapping" model for G proteins to account for this localization dependence on both lipid modifications. Within this two-signal model of membrane binding, myristoylation and palmitoylation cooperate to target $G\alpha_i$ -subunits to the plasma membrane. Myristoylation serves as the initial signal bringing the protein to the membrane, and palmitovlation is the second signal that further secures this interaction. In addition, palmitoylation may specifically target G proteins to the plasma membrane rather than to intracellular organelle membranes (174, 195, 201). Consistent with this two-signal model, in the case of myristoylation-defective mutants of $G\alpha_z$ and $G\alpha_o$, the prenylated $G\beta\gamma$ -subunit can substitute for myristoylation and carry the α -subunit to the plasma membrane where it can be palmitoylated and fulfill its signaling activity (174, 202).

For G α -subunits that are modified solely by palmitate ($G\alpha_s$, $G\alpha_q$, $G\alpha_{12}$, and $G\alpha_{13}$), mutations that prevent palmitoylation markedly impair membrane association (203–206). In addition, $G\beta\gamma$ appears to be a crucial prerequisite for membrane anchoring and palmitoylation of $G\alpha_s$ and $G\alpha_q$ (207). However, by enzymatically depalmitoylating $G\alpha_{\alpha}$, Hepler et al. (208) have determined that Cys residues rather than palmitoylation *per se* are critical determinants of $G\alpha_{q}$ -mediated signal transduction. Because most studies investigating the role of palmitoylation have relied on mutating Cys residues, further studies are needed to determine whether the significance of palmitoylation itself has been overestimated thus far. Indeed, a paper by Fishburn et al. (209) used a mutant $G\beta\gamma$ complex, which mislocalized to the mitochondrial membrane, to investigate the relative contributions of protein-protein interactions vs. lipid modifications in controlling membrane targeting of $G\alpha_z$. Using this approach, these authors determined that $G\alpha_z$ interaction with $G\beta\gamma$, rather than palmitate, directs specific targeting of G protein G α -subunits to membranes.

Lipid modifications also regulate protein-protein interactions. For example, N-myristoylation of $G\alpha$ modulates $G\beta\gamma$ (210) and effector interactions (211), and palmitoylation increases the affinity of $G\alpha_s$ for $G\beta\gamma$ (212). In addition, palmitoylated $G\alpha_s\beta\gamma$ is more resistant to thioesterase cleavage of palmitate than free palmitoylated $G\alpha_s$ (212). Palmitoylation can also inhibit the interaction of GzGAP (an RGS protein) with $G\alpha_z$ (213). Thus, the palmitoylation state of G proteins can affect their ability to serve as signaling molecules. As part of a feedback mechanism, palmitate turnover can also be regulated by receptor activity (196, 214).

The addition of the prenyl group to the $G\gamma$ -subunit plays

a central role in the membrane association of the $G\beta\gamma$ complex (for review see Ref. 215). Although not required for $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer formation, isoprenylation of $G\gamma$ is necessary for productive interaction of $G\beta\gamma$ with other proteins including $G\alpha$ (111) and effectors such as AC (216–218), PLC (217, 218), and PI₃ kinase (217, 219, 220) as well as with receptors (52).

XI. Advances for the Future: Investigating the Dynamic Nature of G Protein Signaling

The resolution of crystal structures for active, inactive, transition state of $G\alpha$ (3–6) has provided a basis for understanding G proteins as molecular switches for signaling pathways. These studies also provide a framework for conducting structural, functional, and biochemical experiments that can extend our understanding of G proteins along with their various signaling partners. Because only a few G proteins have been crystallized to date (see Table 3), interpretations and conclusions from these structures may not reflect the full complexity of subunit combinations. Moreover, the static nature of such structures may actually limit our understanding of the dynamic nature of G protein signaling. To more accurately assess G protein interactions with receptors, effectors, and regulators of G protein signaling, it will be necessary to take advantage of new techniques that can provide insights into the complex nature of G protein activation. A few of these techniques are described below.

Fluorescence spectroscopic techniques continue to play an important role in determination of G protein conformational changes. In particular, fluorescence resonance energy transfer (221) provides a real-time measurement of activation, deactivation, and protein-protein interactions under basal and stimulated conditions. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer involves attachment of different fluorescent donor and acceptor probes at known residues. Changes in tertiary structure as a result of binding or activation, which result in the donor fluorophore coming into close proximity to the acceptor fluorophore, result in a quenching of donor emission and a simultaneous increase in acceptor emission as energy is transferred. This can be measured as a ratio between donor and acceptor emission in specific timed intervals, resulting in a real-time measurement of dynamic changes in protein conformation that is both sensitive and specific to labeled regions of the proteins. For example, Remmers (222) used a fluorescently labeled GTP γ S analog, N-methyl-3'-O-anthranoyl-GTP γ S, to measure conformational changes in heterotrimeric G proteins upon nucleotide

TABLE 3. G protein crystal structures

| PDB code | Structure | Resolution (Å) | Ref. |
|----------|--|-------------------|------|
| 1GOT | Heterotrimeric $G\alpha_t\beta\gamma_t$ complex | 2.00 | 7 |
| 1GP2 | Heterotrimeric $G\alpha_{i1}\beta_1\gamma_2$ complex | 2.30 | 8 |
| 1TAD | $G\alpha_t$ -GDP·AIF ⁻ ₄ | 1.70 | 5 |
| 1TAG | $G\alpha_t$ -GDP Mg^{2^+} | 1.80 | 4 |
| 1TND | $G\alpha_t$ -GTP γ S | 2.20 | 3 |
| 1AZT | $G\alpha_s$ -GTP γ S | 2.50 | 237 |
| 1GFI | $G\alpha_{i1}$ -GTP | 2.2 | 238 |
| 1TBG | $G\beta\gamma$ -dimer | 2.1 | 239 |

PDB, Protein databank.

binding. G protein intrinsic Trp fluorescence decreased whereas *N*-methyl-3'-O-anthranoyl-GTP γ S fluorescence increased upon binding the nucleotide analog. In conjunction with stopped-flow fluorescence measurements, the kinetics of the binding reaction can also be determined. Stopped-flow fluorescence itself has long been used to measure binding kinetics and has been used recently to measure GAP activity as a result of RGS proteins binding to activated G α -subunits (223).

Spin labeling can also be used to examine changes in protein conformation in real time. This technique requires introduction of a nitroxide side chain at specific residues and electron paramagnetic resonance signal from the nitroxide spin label can detect and report subtle changes in its local environment. It is possible to determine changes in solvent accessibility, dynamics, and intermolecular distances of side chains in solution in real time, yielding information about the time scale and magnitude of structural changes in the labeled region of the protein. Spin pairs can be used to determine changes in the secondary structure of proteins; introduction of spin labels at positions (i) and (i + 4) allows examination of helical structure within proteins. Changes can be measured on a millisecond time scale. Farrens et al. (224) successfully employed this technique to determine movements of helices that accompany rhodopsin activation. They found that α -helix C of rhodopsin moves as a rigid unit in relation to α -helix F upon light activation of this receptor. This technique is being further used in studies to determine conformational changes in the N terminus of $G\alpha_i$ upon activation, because these residues are absent or disordered in most high-resolution crystal structures of GDP- or GTP γ S-bound form of G α -subunits (3, 4, 6) (see Table 3) with the exception of the $G\alpha_i/RGS4$ complex. In the crystal structure of RGS4 core domain bound to aluminum fluoride-activated $G\alpha_{i}$ -GDP subunits, $G\alpha_i$ makes two differing sets of contacts with the RGS molecule. One contact is through the $G\alpha_i$ -switch region binding to the RGS core domain, whereas the second contact is through the N terminus of the $G\alpha_i$ binding to an adjacent RGS molecule in the crystal. This suggests some type of crystallization artifact, leaving a question as to the relevance of the N terminus present in this 2.8-Å structure. Although it is clear from heterotrimeric structures that $G\beta\gamma$ binding stabilizes an N-terminal α -helix in G α -subunits, this may change upon activation. Indeed, site-directed spinlabeling studies have shown that $G\alpha_i$ N terminus is dynamically disordered in the GDP-bound form, but adopts a structure consistent with an α -helix upon interaction with $G\beta\gamma$ (225). However, activation of the spin-labeled $G\alpha_i\beta\gamma$ complex by photoisomerized rhodopsin in the presence of $GTP\gamma S$ causes the N-terminal domain of $G\alpha_i$ to revert to a dynamically disordered state similar to that of the GDP-bound form (225).

Another powerful technique for measuring proteinprotein interactions in real time is surface plasmon resonance. This technique measures changes in refractive index on the surface of a chemically modified sensor chip as a binding event occurs. The resultant binding curve allows for a quantitative measure of affinity of the binding interaction. Figler *et al.* (226) used this technique to determine the affinities of $G\alpha$ -subunits for various $G\beta\gamma$ combinations. Current advances include development of methods to immobilize vesicles to a sensor chip derivatized with lipophilic alkyl chains, thus anchoring intact vesicles and providing a physical and chemical environment similar to that of cell membranes, which can be used to measure protein-protein interactions of membrane-associated proteins (227).

Computational approaches such as structure prediction and three-dimensional modeling and mathematical techniques such as monte-carlo simulations all provide valuable insights into G protein signaling. More importantly, they are valuable tools that serve to direct further biochemical and functional experiments. These approaches, combined with genetics, can be used to define and examine key components of the signaling pathway, which will both broaden our understanding of the complex nature of G protein signaling and lead to new questions for further investigations.

Structural and functional aspects of heterotrimeric G proteins, their binding partners, and the signaling networks in which they participate are the subjects of intense investigation, and dramatic progress has been made in recent years. The next frontier is to understand how signaling pathways interact with each other to form signaling networks (241). Cells are bombarded with a multiplicity of ligands, and the cellular response is somehow integrated based on all its responses. The experimental approaches to this problem are beginning to be available, but are in their infancy. Certainly, many new approaches to these issues of complexity in cellular signaling will need to be pioneered, and will surely lead to new insights.

Acknowledgments

We apologize to all whose work could not be recognized because of page restrictions. We are grateful to Ms. Simona Ioffe and Ms. Anjela Papassavas for their assistance in gathering information for this review.

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Heidi E. Hamm, Ph.D., Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 442 Robinson Research Building, 23rd and Pierce Drive, Nashville, Tennessee 37232. E-mail: heidi.hamm@mcmail.vanderbilt. edu

References

- 1. Hamm HE 1998 The many faces of G protein signaling. J Biol Chem 273:669-672
- 2. **Sprang SR** 1997 G protein mechanisms: insights from structural analysis. Annu Rev Biochem 66:639–678
- 3. Noel JP, Hamm HE, Sigler PB 1993 The 2. 2 Å crystal structure of transducin-α complexed with GTPγS. Nature 366:654–663
- Lambright DG, Noel JP, Hamm HE, Sigler PB 1994 Structural determinants for activation of the α-subunit of a heterotrimeric G protein. Nature 369:621–628
- Sondek J, Lambright DG, Noel JP, Hamm HE, Sigler PB 1994 GTPase mechanism of G proteins from the 1.7 Å crystal structure of transducin α GDP AIF₄⁻⁻. Nature 372:276–279
- Coleman DE, Berghuis AM, Lee E, Linder ME, Gilman AG, Sprang SR 1994 Structures of active conformations of Giα1 and the mechanism of GTP hydrolysis. Science 265:1405–1412
- Lambright DG, Sondek J, Bohm A, Skiba NP, Hamm HE, Sigler PB 1996 The 2.0 Å crystal structure of a heterotrimeric G protein. Nature 379:311–319
- 8. Wall MA, Coleman DE, Lee E, Iniguez-Lluhi JA, Posner BA,

Gilman AG, Sprang SR 1995 The structure of the G protein heterotrimer Gi α 1 β 1 γ 2. Cell 83:1047–1058

- 9. Rens-Domiano S, Hamm HE 1995 Structural and functional relationship of heterotrimeric G-proteins. FASEB J 9:1059–1066
- Downes GB, Gautam N 1999 The G protein subunit gene families. Genomics 62:544–552
- Denker BM, Boutin PM, Neer EJ 1995 Interactions between the amino- and carboxyl-terminal regions of Gα subunits: analysis of mutated Gαo/Gα i2 chimeras. Biochemistry 34:5544–5553
- Higashijima T, Ferguson KM, Smigel MD, Gilman AG 1987 The effect of GTP and Mg²⁺ on the GTPase activity and the fluorescent properties of Go. J Biol Chem 26:757–761
- 13. **Stryer L** 1986 Cyclic GMP cascade of vision. Annu Rev Neurosci 9:87–119
- 14. Iiri T, Herzmark P, Nakamoto JM, van Dop C, Bourne HR 1994 Rapid GDP release from $Gs\alpha$ in patients with gain and loss of endocrine function. Nature 371:164-168
- 15. Posner BA, Mixon MB, Wall MA, Sprang SR, Gilman AG 1998 The A326S mutant of Gi α 1 as an approximation of the receptorbound state. J Biol Chem 273:21752–21758
- 16. Thomas TC, Schmidt CJ, Neer EJ 1993 G-protein α o subunit: mutation of conserved cysteines identifies a subunit contact surface and alters GDP affinity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:10295–10298
- 17. Marin EP, Krishna AG, Sakmar TP 2001 Rapid activation of transducin by mutations distant from the nucleotide-binding site: evidence for a mechanistic model of receptor-catalyzed nucleotide exchange by G proteins. J Biol Chem 276:27400–27405
- Echeverria V, Hinrichs MV, Torrejon M, Ropero S, Martinez J, Toro MJ, Olate J 2000 Mutagenesis in the switch IV of the helical domain of the human Gsα reduces its GDP/GTP exchange rate. J Cell Biochem 76:368–375
- Remmers AE, Engel C, Liu M, Neubig RR 1999 Interdomain interactions regulate GDP release from heterotrimeric G proteins. Biochemistry 38:13795–13800
- 20. Grishina G, Berlot CH 1998 Mutations at the domain interface of $Gs\alpha$ impair receptor-mediated activation by altering receptor and guanine nucleotide binding. J Biol Chem 273:15053–15060
- Yang C-S, Skiba NP, Mazzoni MR, Hamm HE 1999 Conformational changes at the carboxyl terminus of Gα occur during G protein activation. J Biol Chem 274:2379–2385
- Muradov KG, Artemyev NO 2000 Coupling between the N- and C-terminal domains influences transducin-α intrinsic GDP/GTP exchange. Biochemistry 39:3937–3942
- Bourne HR 1997 How receptors talk to trimeric G proteins. Curr Opin Cell Biol 9:134–142
- Bohm A, Gaudet R, Sigler PB 1997 Structural aspects of heterotrimeric G-protein signaling. Curr Opin Biotechnol 8:480–487
- 25. Iiri T, Farfel Z, Bourne HR 1998 G-protein diseases furnish a model for the turn-on switch. Nature 394:35–38
- 26. Ford CE, Skiba NP, Bae H, Daaka Y, Reuveny E, Shekter LR, Rosal R, Weng G, Yang C-S, Iyengar R, Miller RJ, Jan LY, Lefkowitz RJ, Hamm HE 1998 Molecular basis for interactions of G protein βγ subunits with effectors. Science 280:1271–1274
- Schoneberg T, Schultz G, Gudermann T 1999 Structural basis of G protein-coupled receptor function. Mol Cell Endocrinol 151:181– 193
- LeVine III H 1999 Structural features of heterotrimeric G-proteincoupled receptors and their modulatory proteins. Mol Neurobiol 19:111–149
- Wess J 1997 G-protein-coupled receptors: molecular mechanisms involved in receptor activation and selectivity of G-protein recognition. FASEB J 11:346–354
- 30. **Conklin BR, Bourne HR** 1993 Structural elements of $G\alpha$ subunits that interact with $G\beta\gamma$, receptors, and effectors. Cell 73:631–641
- 31. Martin EL, Rens-Domiano S, Schatz PJ, Hamm HE 1996 Potent peptide analogues of a G protein receptor-binding region obtained with a combinatorial library. J Biol Chem 271:361–366
- 32. Van Dop C, Yamanaka G, Steinberg F, Sekura RD, Manclark CR, Stryer L, Bourne H 1984 ADP-ribosylation of transducin by pertussis toxin blocks the light-stimulated hydrolysis of GTP and cGMP in retinal photoreceptors. J Biol Chem 259:23–26
- 33. Unemori H, Inoue T, Kume S, Sekiyama N, Nagao M, Itoh H, Nakanishi S, Mikoshiba K, Yamamoto T 1997 Activation of G

protein Gq/11 through tyrosine phosphorylation of the α subunit. Science 276:1878–1881

- 34. Barr A J, Brass LF, Manning DR 1997 Reconstitution of receptors and GTP-binding regulatory proteins (G proteins) in Sf9 cells. A direct evaluation of selectivity in receptor G protein coupling. J Biol Chem 272:2223–2229
- 35. Berstein G, Blank JL, Smrcka AV, Higashijima T, Sternweis PC, Exton JH, Ross EM 1992 Reconstitution of agonist-stimulated phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate hydrolysis using purified m1 muscarinic receptor, Gq/11, and phospholipase C-β1. J Biol Chem 267:8081–8088
- 36. Blahos 2nd J, Mary S, Perroy J, de Colle C, Brabet I, Bockaert J, Pin JP 1998 Extreme C terminus of G protein α-subunits contains a site that discriminates between Gi-coupled metabotropic glutamate receptors. J Biol Chem 273:25765–25769
- Kostenis E, Gomeza J, Lerche C, Wess J 1997 Genetic analysis of receptor-Gαq coupling selectivity. J Biol Chem 272:23675–23681
- Conklin BR, Herzmark P, Ishida S, Voyno-Yasenetskaya TA, Sun Y, Farfel Z, Bourne HR 1996 Carboxyl-terminal mutations of Gqα and Gsα that alter the fidelity of receptor activation. Mol Pharmacol 50:885–890
- McFadzean I, Mullaney I, Brown DA, Milligan G 1989 Antibodies to the GTP binding protein, Go, antagonize noradrenaline-induced calcium current inhibition in NG108–15 hybrid cells. Neuron 3:177–182
- 40. Hamm HE, Deretic D, Arendt A, Hargrave PA, Koenig B, Hofmann KP 1988 Site of G protein binding to rhodopsin mapped with synthetic peptides from the *α* subunit. Science 241:832–835
- 41. Dratz ED, Fursteneau JE, Lambert CG, Thireault DL, Rarick H, Schepers T, Pakhlevaniants S, Hamm HE 1993 NMR structure of a receptor-bound G-protein peptide. Nature 363:276–280
- 42. Rasenick MM, Watanabe M, Lazarevic MB, Hatta S, Hamm HE 1994 Synthetic peptides as probes for G protein function. Carboxylterminal Gαs peptides mimic Gs and evoke high affinity agonist binding to β-adrenergic receptors. J Biol Chem 269:21519–21525
- Gilchrist A, Mazzoni MR, Dineen B, Dice A, Linden J, Proctor WR, Lupica CR, Dunwiddie TV, Hamm HE 1998 Antagonists of the receptor-G protein interface block Gi-coupled signal transduction. J Biol Chem 273:14912–14919
- 44. Bae H, Anderson K, Flood LA, Skiba NP, Hamm HE, Graber SG 1997 Molecular determinants of selectivity in 5-hydroxytryptamine1B receptor-G protein interactions. J Biol Chem 272: 32071–32077
- 45. Bae H, Cabrera-Vera TM, Depree KM, Graber SG, Hamm HE 1999 Two amino acids within the α 4 helix of G α 1 mediate coupling with 5-hydroxytryptamine1B receptors. J Biol Chem 274:14963–14971
- 46. Taylor JM, Jacob-Mosier GG, Lawton RG, Remmers AE, Neubig RR 1994 Binding of an α 2 adrenergic receptor third intracellular loop peptide to G β and the amino terminus of G α . J Biol Chem 269:27618–27624
- 47. Onrust R, Herzmark P, Chi P, Garcia PD, Lichtarge O, Kingsley C, Bourne HR 1997 Receptor and $\beta\gamma$ binding sites in the α subunit of the retinal G protein transducin. Science 275:381–384
- 48. Lee CH, Katz A, Simon MI 1995 Multiple regions of $G\alpha$ 16 contribute to the specificity of activation by the C5a receptor. Mol Pharmacol 47:218–223
- Mazzoni MR, Hamm HE 1996 Interaction of transducin with lightactivated rhodopsin protects it from proteolytic digestion by trypsin. J Biol Chem 271:30034–30040
- 50. **Kisselev O, Pronin A, Ermolaeva M, Gautam N** 1995 Receptor-G protein coupling is established by a potential conformational switch in the $\beta\gamma$ complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:9102–9106
- Kisselev O, Ermolaeva M, Gautam N 1995 Efficient interaction with a receptor requires a specific type of prenyl group on the G protein γ subunit. J Biol Chem 270:25356–25358
- 52. Yasuda H, Lindorfer MA, Woodfork KA, Fletcher JE, Garrison JC 1996 Role of the prenyl group on the G protein γsubunit in coupling trimeric G proteins to A1 adenosine receptors. J Biol Chem 271: 18588–18595
- 53. **McIntire WE, MacCleery G, Garrison JC** 2001 The G protein β subunit is a determinant in the coupling of G_s to the β 1-adrenergic and A_{2a} adenosine receptors. J Biol Chem 276:15801–15809

- 54. Krieger-Brauer HI, Medda PK, Sattel B, Kather H 2000 Inhibitory effect of isoproterenol on NADPH-dependent H_2O_2 generation in human adipocyte plasma membranes is mediated by $\beta\gamma$ -subunits derived from Gs. J Biol Chem 275:2486–2490
- Cismowski MJ, Takesono A, Ma C, Lizano JS, Xie X, Fuernkranz H, Lanier SM, Duzic E 1999 Genetic screens in yeast to identify mammalian nonreceptor modulators of G-protein signaling. Nat Biotechnol 17:878–883
- Takesono A, Cismowski MJ, Ribas C, Bernard M, Chung P, Hazard 3rd S, Duzic E, Lanier SM 1999 Receptor-independent activators of heterotrimeric G-protein signaling pathways. J Biol Chem 274:33202–33205
- 57. De Vries L, Fischer T, Tronchere H, Brothers GM, Strockbine B, Siderovski DP, Farquhar MG 2000 Activator of G protein signaling 3 is a guanine dissociation inhibitor for Gαi subunits. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:14364–14369
- Jamora C, Takizawa PA, Zaarour RF, Denesvre C, Faulkner DJ, Malhotra V 1997 Regulation of Golgi structure through heterotrimeric G proteins. Cell 91:617–626
- O'Dowd BF, Nguyen T, Tirpak A, Jarvie KR, Israel Y, Seeman P, Niznik HB 1990 Cloning of two additional catecholamine receptors from rat brain. FEBS Lett 262:8–12
- 60. Van Tol HH 1998 Structural and functional characteristics of the dopamine D4 receptor. Adv Pharmacol 42:486–490
- Gilchrist A, Bunemann M, Li A, Hosey MM, Hamm HE 1999 A dominant-negative strategy for studying roles of G proteins in vivo. J Biol Chem 274:6610–6616
- 62. Gilchrist A, Vanhauwe JF, Li A, Thomas TO, Voyno-Yasenetskaya T, Hamm HE 2001 G α minigenes expressing Cterminal peptides serve as specific inhibitors of thrombin-mediated endothelial activation. J Biol Chem 276:25672–25679
- 63. Deleted in proof.
- 64. Walther W, Stein U 1996 Targeted vectors for gene therapy of cancer and retroviral infections. Mol Biotechnol 6:267–286
- Lutsiak CM, Sosnowski DL, Wishart DS, Kwon GS, Samuel J 1998 Use of a liposome antigen delivery system to alter immune responses in vivo. J Pharm Sci 87:1428–1432
- 66. Chang M, Zhang L, Tam JP, Sanders-Bush E 2000 Dissecting G protein-coupled receptor signaling pathways with membrane-permeable blocking peptides. Endogenous 5-HT_{2C} receptors in choroid plexus epithelial cells. J Biol Chem 275:7021–7029
- Akhter SA, Luttrell LM, Rockman HA, Iaccarino G, Lefkowitz RJ, Koch WJ 1998 Targeting the receptor-Gq interface to inhibit in vivo pressure overload myocardial hypertrophy. Science 280:574–577
- Knall C, Johnson GL 1998 G-protein regulatory pathways: rocketing into the twenty-first century. J Cell Biochem 31:137–146
- Taussig R, Zimmermann G 1998 Type-specific regulation of mammalian adenylyl cyclases by G protein pathways. Adv Sec Mess Phosphoprot Res 32:81–98
- 70. **Tesmer JJ**, **Sunahara RK**, **Gilman AG**, **Sprang SR** 1997 Crystal structure of the catalytic domains of adenylyl cyclase in a complex with GsαGTPγS. Science 278:1907–1916
- Berlot CH, Bourne HR 1992 Identification of effector-activating residues of Gsα. Cell 68:911–922
- Sunahara RK, Dessauer CW, Whisnant RE, Kleuss C, Gilman AG 1997 Interaction of Gsα with the cytosolic domains of mammalian adenylyl cyclase. J Biol Chem 272:22265–22271
- 73. **Chidiac P**, **Ross EM** 1999 Phospholipase C- β 1 directly accelerates GTP hydrolysis by G α q and acceleration is inhibited by G $\beta\gamma$ subunits. J Biol Chem 274:19639–19643
- 74. Ghahremani MH, Cheng P, Lembo PM, Albert PR 1999 Distinct roles for G α i2, G α i3, and G $\beta\gamma$ in modulation of forskolin- or Gsmediated cAMP accumulation and Ca²⁺ mobilization by dopamine D2S receptors. J Biol Chem 274:9238–9245
- 75. Macrez-Lepretre N, Kalkbrenner F, Schultz G, Mironneau J 1997 Distinct functions of Gq and G11 proteins in coupling α1-adrenoreceptors to Ca²⁺ release and Ca²⁺ entry in rat portal vein myocytes. J Biol Chem 272:5261–5268
- 76. Jordan JD, Carey KD, Stork PJ, Iyengar R 1999 Modulation of rap activity by direct interaction of Gα_o with Rap1 GTPase-activating protein. J Biol Chem 274:21507–21510
- Chen LT, Gilman AG, Kozasa T 1999 A candidate target for G protein action in brain. J Biol Chem 274:26931–26938

- Lin P, Le-Niculescu H, Hofmeister R, McCaffery JM, Jin M, Hennemann H, McQuistan T, De Vries L, Farquhar MG 1998 The mammalian Ca²⁺-binding protein, nucleobindin (CALNUC), is a Golgi resident protein. J Cell Biol 141:1515–1527
- Mochizuki N, Hibi M, Kanai Y, Insel PA 1995 Interaction of the protein nucleobindin with Gαi2, as revealed by the yeast twohybrid system. FEBS Lett 373:155–158
- 80. Lin P, Fischer T, Weiss T, Farquhar MG 2000 Calnuc, an EF-hand Ca²⁺ binding protein, specifically interacts with the C-terminal α5-helix of Gαi3. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:674–679
- Miura K, Kurosawa Y, Kanai Y 1994 Ca²⁺-binding activity of nucleobindin mediated by an EF hand moiety. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 199:1388–1393
- 82. Bence K, Ma W, Kozasa T, Huang XY 1997 Direct stimulation of Bruton's tyrosine kinase by G_q -protein α -subunit. Nature 389:296–299
- Fields TA, Casey PJ 1997 Signalling functions and biochemical properties of pertussis toxin-resistant G-proteins. Biochem J 321: 561–571
- 84. Hart MJ, Jiang X, Kozasa T, Roscoe W, Singer WD, Gilman AG, Sternweis PC, Bollag G 1998 Direct stimulation of the guanine nucleotide exchange activity of p115 RhoGEF by G α 13. Science 280:2112–2114
- 85. Vaiskunaite R, Adarichev V, Furthmayr H, Kozasa T, Gudkov A, Voyno-Yasenetskaya TA 2000 Conformational activation of radixin by G13 protein α subunit. J Biol Chem 275:26206–26212
- 86. Vaiskunaite R, Kozasa T, Voyno-Yasenetskaya TA 2001 Interaction between the G α subunit of heterotrimeric G12 protein and Hsp90 is required for G α 12 signaling. J Biol Chem 276:46088–46093
- Neer EJ 1995 Heterotrimeric G proteins: organizers of transmembrane signals. Cell 80:249–257
- 88. Logothetis DE, Kurachi Y, Galper J, Neer EJ, Clapham DE 1987 The βγ subunits of GTP-binding proteins activate the muscarinic K⁺ channel in heart. Nature 325:321–326
- 89. Katz A, Wu D, Simon MI 1992 Subunits $\beta\gamma$ of heterotrimeric G protein activate β 2 isoform of phospholipase C. Nature 360:686–689
- Sternweis PC 1994 The active role of βγ in signal transduction. Curr Opin Cell Biol 6:198–203
- Tang WJ, Gilman AG 1991 Type-specific regulation of adenylyl cyclase by G protein βγ subunits. Science 254:1500–1503
- 92. Pitcher JA, Inglese J, Higgins JB, Arriza JL, Casey PJ, Kim C, Benovic JL, Kwatra MM, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ 1992 Role of βγ subunits of G proteins in targeting the β-adrenergic receptor kinase to membrane-bound receptors. Science 257:1264–1267
- 93. Stephens L, Smrcka A, Cooke FT, Jackson TR, Sternweis PC, Hawkins PT 1994 A novel phosphoinositide 3 kinase activity in myeloid-derived cells is activated by G protein βγ subunits. Cell 77:83–93
- 94. **Tang X, Downes CP** 1997 Purification and characterization of $G\beta\gamma$ responsive phosphoinositide 3-kinases from pig platelet cytosol. J Biol Chem 272:14193–14199
- 95. Inglese J, Koch WJ, Touhara K, Lefkowitz RJ 1995 G $\beta\gamma$ interactions with PH domains and Ras-MAPK signaling pathways. Trends Biol Sci 20:151–156
- 96. Reuveny E, Slesinger PA, Inglese J, Morales JM, Iniguez-Lluhi JA, Lefkowitz RJ, Bourne HR, Jan YN, Jan LY 1994 Activation of the cloned muscarinic potassium channel by G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. Nature 370:143–146
- 97. Ikeda SR 1996 Voltage-dependent modulation of N-type calcium channels by G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. Nature 380:255–258
- Herlitze S, Garcia DE, Mackie K, Hille B, Scheuer T, Catterall WA 1996 Modulation of Ca²⁺ channels by G-protein βγ subunits. Nature 380:258–262
- Lupas AN, Lupas JM, Stock JB 1992 Do G-protein subunits associate via a three-stranded coiled coil? FEBS Lett 314:105–108
- 100. Ray K, Kunsch C, Bonner LM, Robishaw JD 1995 Isolation of cDNA clones encoding eight different human G protein γ subunits, including three novel forms designated the γ 4, γ 10, and γ 11 subunits. J Biol Chem 270:21765–21771
- Simon MI, Strathmann MP, Gautam N 1991 Diversity of G proteins in signal transduction. Science 252:802–808
- 102. Watson AJ, Aragay AM, Slepak VZ, Simon MI 1996 A novel form

of the G protein β subunit G β 5 is specifically expressed in the vertebrate retina. J Biol Chem 271:28154–28160

- 103. **Spring DJ**, **Neer EJ** 1994 A 14-amino acid region of the G protein γ subunit is sufficient to conifer selectivity of γ binding to the β subunit. J Biol Chem 269:22882–22886
- 104. Schmidt CJ, Thomas TC, Levine MA, Neer EJ 1992 Specificity of G-protein β and γ subunit interaction. J Biol Chem 267:13807–13810
- 105. Garritsen A, Simonds WF 1994 Multiple domains of G protein β confer subunit specificity in $\beta\gamma$ interaction. J Biol Chem 269:24418–24423
- 106. Yan K, Kalyanaraman V, Gautam N 1996 Differential ability to form the G protein $\beta\gamma$ complex among members of the β and γ subunit families. J Biol Chem 271:7141–7146
- 107. Lindorfer MA, Myung CS, Savino Y, Yasuda H, Khazan R, Garrison JC 1998 Differential activity of the G protein β5γ2 subunit at receptors and effectors. J Biol Chem 273:34429–34436
- 108. Lei Q, Jones MB, Talley EM, Schrier AD, McIntire WE, Garrison JC, Bayliss DA 2000 Activation and inhibition of G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium (Kir3) channels by G protein βγ subunits. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:9771–9776
- 109. Fawzi AB, Fay DS, Murphy EA, Tamir H, Erdos JJ, Northup JK 1991 Rhodopsin and the retinal G-protein distinguish among Gprotein βγ subunit forms. J Biol Chem 266:12194–12200
- 110. **Tamir H, Fawzi AB, Tamir A, Evans T, Northup JK** 1991 G-protein $\beta\gamma$ forms: identity of β and diversity of γ subunits. Biochemistry 30:3929–3936
- 111. Iniguez-Lluhi JA, Simon MI, Robishaw JD, Gilman AG 1992 G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits synthesized in Sf9 cells. J Biol Chem 267:23409–23417
- 112. Ueda N, Iniguez-Lluhi JA, Lee E, Smrcka AV, Robishaw JD, Gilman AG 1994 G protein βγ subunits. Simplified purification and properties of novel isoforms. J Biol Chem 269:4388–4395
- 113. Bayewitch ML, Avidor-Reiss T, Levy R, Pfeuffer T, Nevo I, Simonds WF, Vogel Z 1998 Differential modulation of adenylyl cyclases I and II by various G β subunits. J Biol Chem 273:2273–2276
- 114. Zhang S, Coso OA, Lee C, Gutkind JS, Simonds WF 1996 Selective activation of effector pathways by brain-specific G protein β5. J Biol Chem 271:33535–33539
- 115. **Ruiz-Velasco V, Ikeda SR** 2000 Multiple G-protein $\beta\gamma$ combinations produce voltage-dependent inhibition of N-type calcium channels in rat superior cervical ganglion neurons. J Neurosci 20: 2183–2191
- Mirshahi T, Mittal V, Zhang H, Linder ME, Logothetis DE 2002 Distinct sites on G protein βγ subunits regulate different effector functions. J Biol Chem 277:36345–36350
- 117. Fletcher JE, Lindorfer MA, DeFilippo JM, Yasuda H, Guilmard M, Garrison JC 1998 The G protein β 5 subunit interacts selectively with the Gq α subunit. J Biol Chem 273:636–644
- 118. Figler RA, Graber SG, Lindorfer MA, Yasuda H, Linden J, Garrison JC 1996 Reconstitution of recombinant bovine A1 adenosine receptors in Sf9 cell membranes with recombinant G proteins of defined composition. Mol Pharmacol 50:1587–1595
- 119. Gaudet R, Bohm A, Sigler PB 1996 Crystal structure at 2.4 Å resolution of the complex of transducin $\beta\gamma$ and its regulator, phosducin. Cell 87:577–588
- 120. Panchenko MP, Saxena K, Li Y, Charnecki S, Sternweis PM, Smith TF, Gilman AG, Kozasa T, Neer EJ 1998 Sites important for PLC β 2 activation by the G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunit map to the sides of the β propeller structure. J Biol Chem 273:28298–28304
- 121. Li Y, Sternweis PM, Charnecki S, Smith TF, Gilman AG, Neer EJ, Kozasa T 1998 Sites for $G\alpha$ binding on the G protein β subunit overlap with sites for regulation of phospholipase $C\beta$ and adenylyl cyclase. J Biol Chem 273:16265–16272
- 122. Burack WR, Shaw AS 2000 Signal transduction: hanging on a scaffold. Curr Opin Cell Biol 12:211–216
- 123. Jamora C, Yamanouye N, Van Lint J, Laudenslager J, Vandenheede JR, Faulkner DJ, Malhotra V 1999 G $\beta\gamma$ -mediated regulation of Golgi organization is through the direct activation of protein kinase D. Cell 98:59–68
- 124. Roychowdhury S, Rasenick MM 1997 G protein β1γ2 subunits promote microtubule assembly. J Biol Chem 272:31576–31581
- 125. Bell B, Xing H, Yan K, Gautam N, Muslin AJ 1999 KSR-1 binds

to G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits and inhibits $\beta\gamma$ -induced mitogen-activated protein kinase activation. J Biol Chem 274:7982–7986

- 126. Lin HC, Gilman AG 1996 Regulation of dynamin I GTPase activity by G protein βγ subunits and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. J Biol Chem 271:27979–27982
- 127. Pumiglia KM, LeVine H, Haske T, Habib T, Jove R, Decker SJ 1995 A direct interaction between G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits and the Raf-1 protein kinase. J Biol Chem 270:4251–4254
- 128. Langhans-Rajasekaran SA, Wan Y, Huang XY 1995 Activation of Tsk and Btk tyrosine kinases by G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:8601–8605
- 129. Liu M, Yu B, Nakanishi O, Wieland T, Simon M 1997 The Ca²⁺dependent binding of calmodulin to an N-terminal motif of the heterotrimeric G protein β subunit. J Biol Chem 272:18801–18807
- 130. **Pellegrino S, Zhang S, Garritsen A, Simonds WF** 1997 The coiledcoil region of the G protein β subunit. Mutational analysis of G γ and effector interactions. J Biol Chem 272:25360–25366
- 131. Dell EJ, Connor J, Chen S, Stebbins EG, Skiba NP, Mochly-Rosen D, Hamm HE 2002 The $\beta\gamma$ subunit of heterotrimeric G proteins interacts with RACK1 and two other WD repeat proteins. J Biol Chem 277:49888–49895
- 132. Blackmer T, Larsen EC, Takahashi M, Martin TF, Alford S, Hamm HE 2001 G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunit-mediated presynaptic inhibition: regulation of exocytotic fusion downstream of Ca²⁺ entry. Science 292:293–297
- 133. Gautam N, Downes GB, Yan K, Kisselev O 1998 The G-protein βγ complex. Cell Signal 10:447–455
- 134. Watson AJ, Katz A, Simon MI 1994 A fifth member of the mammalian G-protein β -subunit family. Expression in brain and activation of the β 2 isotype of phospholipase C. J Biol Chem 269: 22150–22156
- 135. Garritsen A, van Galen PJ, Simonds WF 1993 The N-terminal coiled-coil doman of β is essential for γ association: a model for G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunit interaction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:7706–7710
- 136. Cabrera JL, de Freitas F, Satpaev DK, Slepak VZ 1998 Identification of the Gβ5-RGS7 protein complex in the retina. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 249:898–902
- 137. Yoshikawa DM, Hatwar M, Smrcka AV 2000 G protein β 5 subunit interactions with α subunits and effectors. Biochemistry 39:11340– 11347
- 138. Makino ER, Handy JW, Li T, Arshavsky VY 1999 The GTPase activating factor for transducin in rod photoreceptors is the complex between RGS9 and type 5 G protein β subunit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:1947–1952
- 139. Levay K, Cabrera JL, Satpaev DK, Slepak VZ 1999 G β 5 prevents the RGS7-G α 0 interaction through binding to a distinct G γ -like domain found in RGS7 and other RGS proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:2503–2507
- 140. Snow BE, Krumins AM, Brothers GM, Lee SF, Wall MA, Chung S, Mangion J, Arya S, Gilman AG, Siderovski DP 1998 A G protein γ subunit-like domain shared between RGS11 and other RGS proteins specifies binding to G β 5 subunits. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:13307–13312
- 141. Kovoor A, Chen CK, He W, Wensel TG, Simon MI, Lester HA 2000 Co-expression of $G\beta5$ enhances the function of two $G\gamma$ subunit-like domain-containing regulators of G protein signaling proteins. J Biol Chem 275:3397–3402
- 142. **Posner BA, Gilman AG, Harris BA** 1999 Regulators of G protein signalling 6 and 7. J Biol Chem 274:31087–31093
- 143. Chen CK, Burns ME, He W, Wensel TG, Baylor DA, Simon MI 2000 Slowed recovery of rod photoresponse in mice lacking the GTPase accelerating protein RGS9–1. Nature 403:557–560
- 144. Graziano MP, Freissmuth M, Gilman AG 1989 Expression of Gs α in *Escherichia coli*. Purification and properties of two forms of the protein. J Biol Chem 264:409–418
- 145. **Higashijima T, Ferguson KM, Smigel MD, Gilman AG** 1987 The effect of GTP and Mg²⁺ on the GTPase activity and the fluorescent properties of Go. J Biol Chem 262:757–761
- 146. Warner DR, Weinstein LS 1999 A mutation in the heterotrimeric stimulatory guanine nucleotide binding protein α -subunit with impaired receptor-mediated activation because of elevated GTPase activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:4268–4272

- 147. Arshavsky VY, Pugh EN 1998 Lifetime regulation of G protein effector complex: emerging importance of RGS proteins. Neuron 20:11–14
- 148. Zerangue N, Jan LY 1998 G-protein signaling: fine-tuning signaling kinetics. Curr Biol 8:R313–R316
- 149. Bernstein G, Blank JL, Jhon DY, Exton JH, Rhee SG, Ross EM 1992 Phospholipase C- β 1 is a GTPase-activating protein for Gq/11, its physiologic regulator. Cell 70:411–418
- 150. Biddlecome GH, Berstein G, Ross EM 1996 Regulation of phospholipase C-β1 by Gq and m1 muscarinic cholinergic receptor. Steady-state balance of receptor-mediated activation and GTPaseactivating protein-promoted deactivation. J Biol Chem 271:7999– 8007
- 151. Arshavsky VY, Bownds MD 1992 Regulation of deactivation of photoreceptor G protein by its target enzyme and cGMP. Nature 357:416-417
- 152. Scholich K, Mullenix JB, Wittpoth C, Poppleton HM, Pierre SC, Lindorfer MA, Garrison JC, Patel TB 1999 Facilitation of signal onset and termination by adenylyl cyclase. Science 283:1328–1331
- 153. Bunemann M, Hosey MM 1998 Regulators of G protein signaling (RGS) proteins constitutively activate $G\beta\gamma$ -gated potassium channels. J Biol Chem 273:31186–31190
- 154. De Vries L, Zheng B, Fischer T, Elenko E, Farquhar MG 2000 The regulator of G protein signaling family. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 40:235–271
- 155. **Ross EM, Wilkie TM** 2000 GTPase-activating proteins for heterotrimeric G proteins: regulators of G protein signaling (RGS) and RGS-like proteins. Annu Rev Biochem 69:795–827
- 156. Hollinger S, Hepler JR 2002 Cellular regulation of RGS proteins: modulators and integrators of G protein signaling. Pharmacol Rev 54:527–559
- 157. Dohlman HG, Song J, Ma D, Courchesne WE, Thorner J 1996 Sst2, a negative regulator of pheromone signaling in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: expression, localization, and genetic interaction and physical association with Gp α 1 (the G-protein α subunit). Mol Cell Biol 16:5194–5209
- 158. **Koelle MR, Horvitz HR** 1996 EGL-10 regulates G protein signaling in the *C. elegans* nervous system and shares a conserved domain with many mammalian proteins. Cell 84:115–125
- 159. **De Vries L, Mousli M, Wurmser A, Farquhar MG** 1995 GAIP, a protein that specifically interacts with the trimeric G protein Gαi3, is a member of a protein family with a highly conserved core domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:11916–11920
- 160. Chen C, Zheng B, Han J, Lin S-C 1997 Characterization of a novel mammalian RGS protein that binds to $G\alpha$ proteins and inhibits pheromone signaling in yeast. J Biol Chem 272:8679–8685
- Dohlman HG, Thorner J 1997 RGS proteins and signaling by heterotrimeric G proteins. J Biol Chem 272:3871–3874
- 162. He W, Cowan CW, Wensel TG 1998 RGS9, a GTPase accelerator for phototransduction. Neuron 20:95–102
- 163. Skiba NP, Yang C-S, Huang T, Bae H, Hamm HE 1999 The α helical domain of G α t determines specific interaction with RGS9. J Biol Chem 274:8770–8778
- 164. Chen C, Lin SC 1998 The core domain of RGS16 retains G-protein binding and GAP activity in vitro, but is not functional in vivo. FEBS Lett 422:359–362
- 165. He W, Lu L, Zhang X, El-Hodiri HM, Chen CK, Slep KC, Simon MI, Jamrich M, Wensel TG 2000 Modules in the photoreceptor RGS9–1.Gβ 5L GTPase-accelerating protein complex control effector coupling, GTPase acceleration, protein folding, and stability. J Biol Chem 275:37093–37100
- 166. Siderovski DP, Strockbine B, Behe CI 1999 Whither goest the RGS proteins? Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 34:215–251
- 167. Tesmer JJ, Berman DM, Gilman AG, Sprang SR 1997 Structure of RGS4 bound to AlF₄⁻-activated Giα1: stabilization of the transition state for GTP hydrolysis. Cell 89:251–261
- 168. Mukhopadhyay S, Ross EM 1999 Rapid GTP binding and hydrolysis by Gq promoted by receptor and GTPase-activating proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:9539–9544
- 169. Yong-Chao Ma, Jianyun Huang, Shariq Ali, William Lowry, Xin-Yun Huang 2000 Src tyrosine kinase is a novel direct effector of G proteins. Cell 102:635–646
- 170. Skiba NP, Hopp JA, Arshavsky VY 2000 The effector enzyme

regulates the duration of G protein signaling in vertebrate photoreceptors by increasing the affinity between transducin and RGS protein. J Biol Chem 275:32716–32720

- 171. Bushfield M, Murphy GJ, Lavan BE, Parker PJ, Hruby VJ, Milligan G, Houslay MD 1990 Hormonal regulation of Gi2α-subunit phosphorylation in intact hepatocytes. Biochem J 268:449–457
- 172. Strassheim D, Malbon CC 1994 Phosphorylation of Giα2 attenuates inhibitory adenylyl cyclase in neuroblastoma/glioma hybrid (NG-108–15) cells. J Biol Chem 269:14307–14313
- Murthy KS, Grider JR, Makhlouf GM 2000 Heterologous desensitization of response mediated by selective PKC-dependent phosphorylation of Gi-1 and Gi-2. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 279:C925– C934
- 174. Chen CA, Manning DR 2001 Regulation of G proteins by covalent modification. Oncogene 20:1643–1652
- 175. Fields TA, Casey PJ 1995 Phosphorylation of $Gz\alpha$ by protein kinase C blocks interaction with the $\beta\gamma$ complex. J Biol Chem 270:23119–23125
- 176. **Carlson KE, Brass LF, Manning DR** 1989 Thrombin and phorbol esters cause the selective phosphorylation of a G protein other than Gi in human platelets. J Biol Chem 264:13298–13305
- 177. Glick JL, Meigs TE, Miron A, Casey PJ 1998 RGSZ1, a Gz-selective regulator of G protein signaling whose action is sensitive to the phosphorylation state of $Gz\alpha$. J Biol Chem 273:26008–26013
- 178. Moyers JS, Linder ME, Shannon JD, Parsons SJ 1995 Identification of the in vitro phosphorylation sites on Gsα mediated by pp60c-src. Biochemistry 305:411–417
- 179. Zick Y, Sagi-Eisenberg R, Pines M, Gierschik P, Spiegel AM 1986 Multisite phosphorylation of the α subunit of transducin by the insulin receptor kinase and protein kinase C. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83:9294–9297
- 180. Kozasa T, Gilman AG 1996 Protein kinase C phosphorylates G12 α and inhibits its interaction with G $\beta\gamma$. J Biol Chem 271:12562–12567
- 181. Wieland T, Nurnberg B, Ulibarri I, Kaldenberg-Stasch S, Schultz G, Jakobs KH 1993 Guanine nucleotide-specific phosphate transfer by guanine nucleotide-binding regulatory protein β-subunits. Characterization of the phosphorylated amino acid. J Biol Chem 268:18111–18118
- 182. Asano T, Morishita R, Ueda H, Asano M, Kato K 1998 GTPbinding protein γ12 subunit phosphorylation by protein kinase C. Identification of the phosphorylation site and factors involved in cultured cells and rat tissues in vivo. Eur J Biochem 251:314–319
- 183. Yasuda H, Lindorfer MA, Myung C-S, Garrison JC 1998 Phosphorylation of the G protein γ12 subunit regulates effector specificity. J Biol Chem 273:21958–21965
- 184. Mumby SM, Heukeroth RO, Gordon JI, Gilman AG 1990 Gprotein α-subunit expression, myristoylation, and membrane association in COS cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87:728–732
- 185. **Milligan G, Grassie MA** 1997 How do G proteins stay at the plasma membrane. Essays Biochem 32:49–60
- Wedegaertner PB 1998 Lipid modifications and membrane targeting of Gα. Biol Signals Recept 7:125–135
- Resh MD 1999 Fatty acylation of proteins: new insights into membrane targeting of myristoylated and palmitoylated proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1451:1–16
- Mumby SM 1997 Reversible palmitoylation of signaling proteins. Curr Opin Cell Biol 9:148–154
- Dunphy JT, Linder ME 1998 Signaling function of protein palmitoylation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1436:245–261
- Milligan G, Parenti M, Magee AI 1995 The dynamic role of palmitoylation in signal transduction. Trends Biochem Sci 20:181–186
- 191. Zang FL, Casey PJ 1996 Protein prenylation: molecular mechanisms and functional consequences. Annu Rev Biochem 65:241–269
- 192. **Casey PJ** 1995 Mechanisms of protein prenylation and role in G protein function. Biochem Soc Trans 23:161–166
- 193. Fukada Y, Matsuda T, Kokame K, Takao T, Shimonishi Y, Akino T, Yoshizawa T 1994 Effects of carboxyl methylation of photoreceptor G protein γ-subunit in visual transduction. J Biol Chem 269:5163–5170
- 194. Degtyarev MY, Spiegel AM, Jones TLZ 1994 Palmitoylation of a G protein αi subunit requires membrane localization not myristoylation. J Biol Chem 269:30898–30903
- 195. Morales J, Fishburn CS, Wilson PT, Bourne HR 1998 Plasma

membrane localization of $G\alpha z$ requires two signals. Mol Biol Cell 10:1–14

- Mumby SM, Kleuss C, Gilman AG 1994 Receptor regulation of G-protein palmitoylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:2800–2804
- 197. Wise A, Grassie MA, Parenti M, Lee M, Rees S, Milligan G 1997 A cysteine-3 to serine mutation of the G-protein Gi1 α abrogates functional activation by the α 2A-adrenoceptor but not interactions with the $\beta\gamma$ complex. Biochemistry 36:10620–10629
- 198. Hallak H, Brass L, Manning D 1994 Failure to myristoylate the α subunit of Gz is correlated with an inhibition of palmitoylation and membrane attachment, but has no affect on phosphorylation by protein kinase C. J Biol Chem 269:4571–4576
- 199. Wilson PT, Bourne HR 1995 Fatty acylation of αz. Eeffects of palmitoylation and myristoylation on αz signaling. J Biol Chem 270:9667–9675
- 200. Shahinian S, Silvius JR 1995 Doubly-lipid-modified protein sequence motifs exhibit long-lived anchorage to lipid bilayer membranes. Biochemistry 34:3813–3822
- 201. McCabe JB, Berthiaume LG 1999 Functional roles for fatty acylated amino-terminal domains in subcellular localization. Mol Biol Cell 10:3771–3786
- 202. Wang Y, Windh RT, Chen CA, Manning DR 1999 N-Myristoylation and $\beta\gamma$ play roles beyond anchorage in the palmitoylation of the G protein α o subunit. J Biol Chem 274:37435–37442
- 203. Wedegaertner P, Chu D, Wilson P, Levis M, Bourne H 1993 Palmitoylation is required for signaling functions and membrane attachment of Gqα and Gsα. J Biol Chem 268:25001–25008
- 204. **Degtyarev MY, Spiegel AM, Jones TLZ** 1993 The G protein αs subunit incorporates [³H]palmitic acid and mutation of cysteine-3 prevents this modification. Biochemistry 32:8057–8061
- 205. Wise A, Parenti M, Milligan G 1997 Interaction of the G-protein G11 α with receptors and phosphoinositidase C: the contribution of G-protein palmitoylation and membrane association. FEBS Lett 407:257–260
- 206. Bhattacharyya R, Wedegaetner PB 2000 G α 13 requires palmitoylation for plasma membrane localization, Rho-dependent signaling, and promotion of p115-RhoGEF membrane binding. J Biol Chem 275:14992–14999
- 207. Evanko DS, Thiygarajan MM, Wedegaertner PB 2000 Interaction with $G\beta\gamma$ is required for membrane targeting and palmitoylation of G α s and G α q. J Biol Chem 275:1327–1336
- 208. Hepler J, Biddlecome G, Kleuss C, Camp L, Hofmann S, Ross E, Gilman A 1996 Functional importance of the amino terminus of Gqα. J Biol Chem 271:496–504
- 209. Fishburn CS, Pollitt SK, Bourne HR 2000 Localization of a peripheral membrane protein: $G\beta\gamma$ targets $G\alpha z$. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:1085–1090
- 210. Linder ME, Pang IH, Duronio RJ, Gordon JI, Sternweis PC, Gilman AG 1991 Lipid modifications of G protein subunits. Myristoylation of Go α increases its affinity for $\beta\gamma$. J Biol Chem 266:4654– 4659
- 211. **Taussig R, Iniguez-Lluhi JA, Gilman AG** 1993 Inhibition of adenylyl cyclase by Giα. Science 261:218–221
- 212. Iiri T, Backlund Jr PS, Jones TL, Wedegaertner PB, Bourne HR 1996 Reciprocal regulation of $Gs\alpha$ by palmitate and the $\beta\gamma$ subunit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:14592–14597
- 213. **Tu Y, Wang J, Ross EM** 1997 Inhibition of brain GzGAP and other RGS proteins by palmitoylation of G protein α subunits. Science 278:1132–1135
- 214. **Degtyarev MY, Spiegel AM, Jones TLZ** 1993 Increased palmitoylation of the Gs protein *α* subunit after activation by the *β*-adrenergic receptor or cholera toxin. J Biol Chem 268:23769–23772
- 215. Wedegaertner PB, Wilson PT, Bourne HR 1995 Lipid modifications of trimeric G proteins. J Biol Chem 270:503–506
- 216. Matsuda T, Hashimoto Y, Ueda H, Asano T, Matsuura Y, Doi T, Takao T, Shimonishi Y, Fukada Y 1998 Specific isoprenyl group linked to transducin γ-subunit is a determinant of its unique signaling properties among G-proteins. Biochemistry 37:9843–9850
- 217. Schwindinger WF, Robishaw JD 2001 Heterotrimeric G-protein $\beta\gamma$ -dimers in growth and differentiation. Oncogene 20:1653–1660
- 218. Myung CS, Yasuda H, Liu WW, Harden TK, Garrison JC 1999 Role of isoprenoid lipids on the heterotrimeric G protein γ subunit in determining effector activation. J Biol Chem 274:16595–16603

- 219. Parish CA, Smrcka AV, Rando RR 1995 Functional significance of βγ subunit carboxymethylation for the activation of phospholipase C and phosphoinositide 3-kinase. Biochemistry 34:7722–7727
- 220. Leopoldt D, Hanck T, Exner T, Maier U, Wetzker R, Nurnberg B 1998 G $\beta\gamma$ stimulates phosphoinositide 3-kinase- γ by direct interaction with two domains of the catalytic p110 subunit. J Biol Chem 273:7024–7029
- 221. Erickson JW, Cerione RA 1991 Resonance energy transfer as a direct monitor of GTP-binding protein-effector interactions: activated α -transducin binding to the cGMP phosphodiesterase in the bovine phototransduction cascade. Biochemistry 30:7112–7118
- 222. **Remmers AE** 1998 Detection and quantitation of heterotrimeric G proteins by fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Anal Biochem 257:89–94
- 223. Lan KL, Remmers AE, Neubig RR 1998 Roles of $Go\alpha$ tryptophans in GTP hydrolysis, GDP release, and fluorescence signals. Biochemistry 37:837–843
- 224. Farrens DL, Altenbach C, Yang K, Hubbell WL, Khorana HG 1996 Requirement of rigid-body motion of transmembrane helices for light activation of rhodopsin. Science 274:768–770
- 225. Medkova M, Preininger AM, Yu NJ, Hubbell WL, Hamm HE 2002 Conformational changes in the amino-terminal helix of the G protein α i1 following dissociation from G $\beta\gamma$ subunit and activation. Biochemistry 41:9962–9972
- 226. Figler RA, Lindorfer MA, Graber SG, Garrison JC, Linden J 1997 Reconstitution of bovine A1 adenosine receptors and G proteins in phospholipid vesicles: $\beta\gamma$ -subunit composition influences guanine nucleotide exchange and agonist binding. Biochemistry 36:16288– 16299
- 227. Cooper MA, Hansson A, Lofas S, Williams DH 2000 A vesicle capture sensor chip for kinetic analysis of interactions with membrane-bound receptors. Anal Biochem 277:196–205
- 228. **Ram PT, Iyengar R** 2001 G protein coupled receptor signaling through the Src and Stat3 pathway: role in proliferation and transformation. Oncogene 20:1601–1606
- 229. Kinoshita M, Nukada T, Asano T, Mori Y, Akaike A, Satoh M, Kaneko S 2001 Binding of G α o N terminus is responsible for the voltage-resistant inhibition of α 1A (P/Q-type, Ca_v2.1) Ca²⁺ channels. J Biol Chem 276:28731–28738

- 230. Peleg S, Varon D, Ivanina T, Dessauer CW, Dascal N 2002 Gαi controls the gating of the G protein-activated K⁺ channel, GIRK. Neuron 33:87–99
- 231. Roychowdhury S, Panda D, Wilson L, Rasenick MM 1999 G protein α subunits activate tubulin GTPase and modulate micro-tubule polymerization dynamics. J Biol Chem 274:13485–13490
- 232. Dhanasekaran N, Prasad MV, Wadsworth SJ, Dermott JM, van Rossum G 1994 Protein kinase C-dependent and -independent activation of Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger by $G\alpha 12$ class of G proteins. J Biol Chem 269:11802–11806
- 233. Plonk SG, Park SK, Exton JH 1998 The α -subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein G13 activates a phospholipase D isozyme by a pathway requiring Rho family GTPases. J Biol Chem 273:4823–4826
- 234. Kitamura K, Singer WD, Star RA, Muallem S, Miller RT 1996 Induction of inducible nitric-oxide synthase by the heterotrimeric G protein Gα13. J Biol Chem 271:7412–7415
- 235. Touhara K, Hawes BE, van Biesen T, Lefkowitz RJ 1995 G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits stimulate phosphorylation of Shc adapter protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:9284–9287
- 236. Mattingly RR, Macara IG 1996 Phosphorylation-dependent activation of the Ras-GRF/CDC25Mm exchange factor by muscarinic receptors and G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. Nature 382:268–272
- 237. Sunahara RK, Tesmer JJ, Gilman AG, Sprang SR 1997 Crystal structure of the adenylyl cyclase activator Gsα. Science 278:1943–1947
- 238. Mixon MB, Lee E, Coleman DE, Berghuis AM, Gilman AG, Sprang SR 1995 Tertiary and quaternary structural changes in Giα1 induced by GTP hydrolysis. Science 270:954–960
- 239. Sondek J, Bohm Å, Lambright DG, Hamm HE, Sigler PB 1996 Crystal structure of a G protein βγ dimer at 2.1 Å resolution. Nature 379:369–374
- 240. Palczewski K, Kumasaka T, Hori T, Behnke CA, Motoshima H, Fox BA, Le Trong I, Teller DC, Okada T, Stenkamp RE, Yamamoto M, Miyano M 2000 Crystal structure of rhodopsin: A G protein-coupled receptor. Science 289:739–745
- 241. Neves SR, Ram PT, Iyengar R 2002 G protein pathways. Science 296:1636–1639