

INTEGRABILITY OF DISTRIBUTIONS IN *GCR*-LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF INDEFINITE KAEHLER MANIFOLDS

RAKESH KUMAR, SANGEET KUMAR, AND RAKESH KUMAR NAGAICH

ABSTRACT. In present paper we establish conditions for the integrability of various distributions of *GCR*-lightlike submanifolds and obtain conditions for the distributions to define totally geodesic foliations in *GCR*-lightlike submanifolds.

1. Introduction

The study of geometry of Cauchy-Riemann (*CR*)-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds was initiated by Bejancu [2], which include holomorphic and totally real submanifolds as special cases and has been further studied by Bejancu [3, 4], Chen [6], Duggal [7, 8], Yano and Kon [17, 18] and others.

The geometry of lightlike submanifolds was initiated by Kupeli and further developed by Bejancu and Duggal [9] and they also introduced the notion of *CR*-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds. But this class of submanifolds exclude the complex and totally real submanifolds as subcases. Later on, Duggal and Sahin [11] introduced *SCR*-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds. Since there was no inclusion relation between *CR* and *SCR* cases therefore Duggal and Sahin [12] introduced a new class called *GCR*-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds which is an umbrella for all these types of submanifolds. In present paper we establish conditions for the integrability of various distributions of *GCR*-lightlike submanifolds and obtain conditions for the distributions to define totally geodesic foliations in *GCR*-lightlike submanifolds.

2. Lightlike submanifolds

We recall notations and fundamental equations for lightlike submanifolds, which are due to the book [9] by Duggal and Bejancu.

Let (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) be a real $(m+n)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of constant index q such that $m, n \geq 1$, $1 \leq q \leq m+n-1$ and (M, g) be an

Received May 9, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C40, 53C50.

Key words and phrases. indefinite Kaehler manifold, *GCR*-lightlike submanifold, integrability of distributions.

m -dimensional submanifold of \bar{M} and g the induced metric of \bar{g} on M . If \bar{g} is degenerate on the tangent bundle TM of M , then M is called a lightlike submanifold of \bar{M} . For a degenerate metric g on M

$$(1) \quad TM^\perp = \cup\{u \in T_x\bar{M} : \bar{g}(u, v) = 0, \forall v \in T_xM, x \in M\},$$

is a degenerate n -dimensional subspace of $T_x\bar{M}$. Thus both T_xM and T_xM^\perp are degenerate orthogonal subspaces but no longer complementary. In this case, there exists a subspace $\text{Rad}T_xM = T_xM \cap T_xM^\perp$ which is known as radical (null) subspace. If the mapping

$$(2) \quad \text{Rad}TM : x \in M \longrightarrow \text{Rad}T_xM,$$

defines a smooth distribution on M of rank $r > 0$, then the submanifold M of \bar{M} is called an r -lightlike submanifold and $\text{Rad}TM$ is called the radical distribution on M .

Screen distribution $S(TM)$ is a semi-Riemannian complementary distribution of $\text{Rad}(TM)$ in TM , that is

$$(3) \quad TM = \text{Rad}TM \perp S(TM)$$

and $S(TM^\perp)$ is a complementary vector subbundle to $\text{Rad}TM$ in TM^\perp . Let $\text{tr}(TM)$ and $\text{ltr}(TM)$ be complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundles to TM in $T\bar{M}|_M$ and to $\text{Rad}TM$ in $S(TM^\perp)^\perp$ respectively. Then we have

$$(4) \quad \text{tr}(TM) = \text{ltr}(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp),$$

$$(5) \quad T\bar{M}|_M = TM \oplus \text{tr}(TM) = (\text{Rad}TM \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)) \perp S(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp).$$

Let u be a local coordinate neighborhood of M and consider the local quasi-orthonormal fields of frames of \bar{M} along M , on u as $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, W_{r+1}, \dots, W_n, N_1, \dots, N_r, X_{r+1}, \dots, X_m\}$, where $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}, \{N_1, \dots, N_r\}$ are local lightlike bases of $\Gamma(\text{Rad}TM|_u), \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM)|_u)$ and $\{W_{r+1}, \dots, W_n\}, \{X_{r+1}, \dots, X_m\}$ are local orthonormal bases of $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp)|_u)$ and $\Gamma(S(TM)|_u)$ respectively. For this quasi-orthonormal fields of frames, we have:

Theorem 2.1 ([9]). *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be an r -lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) . Then there exists a complementary vector bundle $\text{ltr}(TM)$ of $\text{Rad}TM$ in $S(TM^\perp)^\perp$ and a basis of $\Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM)|_u)$ consisting of smooth section $\{N_i\}$ of $S(TM^\perp)^\perp|_u$, where u is a coordinate neighborhood of M such that*

$$(6) \quad \bar{g}(N_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \bar{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0 \quad \text{for any } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\},$$

where $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$ is a lightlike basis of $\Gamma(\text{Rad}(TM))$.

Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on \bar{M} then according to the decomposition (5), the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$(7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$

$$(8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X U = -A_U X + \nabla_X^\perp U, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), U \in \Gamma(\text{tr}(TM)),$$

where $\{\nabla_X Y, A_U X\}$ and $\{h(X, Y), \nabla_X^\perp U\}$ belong to $\Gamma(TM)$ and $\Gamma(\text{tr}(TM))$, respectively. Here ∇ is a torsion-free linear connection on M , h is a symmetric bilinear form on $\Gamma(TM)$ which is called second fundamental form, A_U is a linear operator on M and known as shape operator.

According to (4), considering the projection morphisms L and S of $\text{tr}(TM)$ on $\text{ltr}(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$, respectively, then (7) and (8) become

$$(9) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h^l(X, Y) + h^s(X, Y),$$

$$(10) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X U = -A_U X + D_X^l U + D_X^s U,$$

where we put $h^l(X, Y) = L(h(X, Y))$, $h^s(X, Y) = S(h(X, Y))$, $D_X^l U = L(\nabla_X^\perp U)$, $D_X^s U = S(\nabla_X^\perp U)$.

As h^l and h^s are $\Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ -valued and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ -valued respectively, therefore they are called as the lightlike second fundamental form and the screen second fundamental form on M . In particular

$$(11) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^l N + D^s(X, N),$$

$$(12) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X W = -A_W X + \nabla_X^s W + D^l(X, W),$$

where $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$. Using (9)-(12) we obtain

$$(13) \quad \bar{g}(h^s(X, Y), W) + \bar{g}(Y, D^l(X, W)) = g(A_W X, Y),$$

$$(14) \quad \bar{g}(h^l(X, Y), \xi) + \bar{g}(Y, h^l(X, \xi)) + g(Y, \nabla_X \xi) = 0,$$

$$(15) \quad \bar{g}(A_N X, N') + \bar{g}(N, A_{N'} X) = 0$$

for any $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$, $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ and $N, N' \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$.

Let P be the projection morphism of TM on $S(TM)$ then using (3), we can induce some new geometric objects on the screen distribution $S(TM)$ on M as

$$(16) \quad \nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + h^*(X, PY),$$

$$(17) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X + \nabla_X^{*t} \xi$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$, where $\{\nabla_X^* PY, A_\xi^* X\}$ and $\{h^*(X, PY), \nabla_X^{*t} \xi\}$ belong to $\Gamma(S(TM))$ and $\Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$, respectively. ∇^* and ∇^{*t} are linear connections on complementary distributions $S(TM)$ and $\text{Rad}TM$, respectively. h^* and A^* are $\Gamma(\text{Rad}TM)$ -valued and $\Gamma(S(TM))$ -valued bilinear forms and called as the second fundamental forms of distributions $S(TM)$ and $\text{Rad}TM$, respectively.

Using (9), (10), (16) and (17), we obtain

$$(18) \quad \bar{g}(h^l(X, PY), \xi) = g(A_\xi^* X, PY),$$

$$(19) \quad \bar{g}(h^*(X, PY), N) = \bar{g}(A_N X, PY)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}(TM))$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$.

From the geometry of Riemannian submanifolds and non degenerate submanifolds, it is known that the induced connection ∇ on a non degenerate submanifold is a metric connection. Unfortunately, this is not true for a light-like submanifold. Indeed, considering $\bar{\nabla}$ a metric connection then we have

$$(20) \quad (\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = \bar{g}(h^l(X, Y), Z) + \bar{g}(h^l(X, Z), Y)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. From [9] page 171, using the properties of linear connections we have

$$(21) \quad (\nabla_X h^l)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^l(h^l(Y, Z)) - h^l(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h^l(Y, \nabla_X Z),$$

$$(22) \quad (\nabla_X h^s)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^s(h^s(Y, Z)) - h^s(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h^s(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

Barros and Romero [1] defined indefinite Kaehler manifolds as:

Definition 2.2. Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{J}, \bar{g})$ be an indefinite almost Hermitian manifold and $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on \bar{M} with respect to \bar{g} . Then \bar{M} is called an indefinite Kaehler manifold if \bar{J} is parallel with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$, that is

$$(23) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{J})Y = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}).$$

3. Generalized Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds

Definition 3.1 ([12]). Let $(M, g, S(TM))$ be a real lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}, \bar{J})$ then M is called a generalized Cauchy-Riemann (GCR)-lightlike submanifold if the following conditions are satisfied:

(A) There exist two subbundles D_1 and D_2 of $\text{Rad}(TM)$ such that

$$(24) \quad \text{Rad}(TM) = D_1 \oplus D_2, \quad \bar{J}(D_1) = D_1, \quad \bar{J}(D_2) \subset S(TM).$$

(B) There exist two subbundles D_0 and D' of $S(TM)$ such that

$$(25) \quad S(TM) = \{\bar{J}D_2 \oplus D'\} \perp D_0, \quad \bar{J}(D_0) = D_0, \quad \bar{J}(D') = L_1 \perp L_2,$$

where D_0 is a non degenerate distribution on M , L_1 and L_2 are vector subbundle of $\text{ltr}(TM)$ and $S(TM)^\perp$, respectively.

Then the tangent bundle TM of M is decomposed as

$$(26) \quad TM = D \perp D', \quad D = \text{Rad}(TM) \oplus D_0 \oplus \bar{J}D_2.$$

M is called a proper GCR-lightlike submanifold if $D_1 \neq \{0\}, D_2 \neq \{0\}, D_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $L_2 \neq \{0\}$.

Let Q, P_1 and P_2 be the projections on $D, \bar{J}(L_1) = M_1 \subset D'$ and $\bar{J}(L_2) = M_2 \subset D'$, respectively. Then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ we have

$$(27) \quad X = QX + P_1X + P_2X,$$

applying \bar{J} to (27) we obtain

$$(28) \quad \bar{J}X = TX + wP_1X + wP_2X,$$

and we can write (28) as

$$(29) \quad \bar{J}X = TX + wX,$$

where TX and wX are the tangential and transversal components of $\bar{J}X$, respectively.

Similarly

$$(30) \quad \bar{J}V = BV + CV$$

for any $V \in \Gamma(\text{tr}(TM))$, where BV and CV are the sections of TM and $\text{tr}(TM)$, respectively.

Differentiating (28) and using (9)-(12) and (30) we have

$$(31) \quad D^s(X, wP_1Y) = -\nabla_X^s wP_2Y + wP_2\nabla_X Y - h^s(X, TY) + Ch^s(X, Y),$$

$$(32) \quad D^l(X, wP_2Y) = -\nabla_X^l wP_1Y + wP_1\nabla_X Y - h^l(X, TY) + Ch^l(X, Y).$$

Using Kaehlerian property of $\bar{\nabla}$ with (11) and (12), we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehlerian manifold \bar{M} . Then we have*

$$(33) \quad (\nabla_X T)Y = A_{wY}X + Bh(X, Y)$$

and

$$(34) \quad (\nabla_X^t w)Y = Ch(X, Y) - h(X, TY),$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and

$$(35) \quad (\nabla_X T)Y = \nabla_X TY - T\nabla_X Y,$$

$$(36) \quad (\nabla_X^t w)Y = \nabla_X^t wY - w\nabla_X Y.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehlerian manifold \bar{M} . Then we have*

$$(37) \quad (\nabla_X B)V = A_{CV}X - TA_V X$$

and

$$(38) \quad (\nabla_X^t C)V = -wA_V X - h(X, BV),$$

where $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $V \in \Gamma(\text{tr}(TM))$ and

$$(39) \quad (\nabla_X B)V = \nabla_X BV - B\nabla_X^t V,$$

$$(40) \quad (\nabla_X^t C)V = \nabla_X^t CV - C\nabla_X^t V.$$

4. Integrability of the distributions

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . If the distribution D is integrable, then the following assertions hold*

- (i) $\bar{g}(D^l(\bar{J}X, W), Y) = \bar{g}(D^l(X, W), \bar{J}Y) \Leftrightarrow \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y) = \bar{g}(A_W X, \bar{J}Y)$.
- (ii) $\bar{g}(D^l(\bar{J}X, W), \xi) = \bar{g}(A_W X, \bar{J}\xi)$.
- (iii) $\bar{g}(D^l(X, W), \xi) = \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, \bar{J}\xi)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D), \xi \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$.

Proof. Let the distribution D be integrable then for $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{g}(D^l(\bar{J}X, W), Y) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}X} W + A_W \bar{J}X - \nabla_{\bar{J}X}^s W, Y) \\
 &= -\bar{g}(W, \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}X} Y) + \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y) \\
 &= -\bar{g}(W, h(\bar{J}X, Y)) + \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y) \\
 &= -\bar{g}(W, h(X, \bar{J}Y)) + \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y) \\
 &= -\bar{g}(W, \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{J}Y) + \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y) \\
 &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X W, \bar{J}Y) + \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y) \\
 (41) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= -\bar{g}(A_W X, \bar{J}Y) + \bar{g}(D^l(X, W), \bar{J}Y) + \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y),
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(42) \quad \bar{g}(D^l(\bar{J}X, W), Y) - \bar{g}(D^l(X, W), \bar{J}Y) = \bar{g}(A_W \bar{J}X, Y) - \bar{g}(A_W X, \bar{J}Y).$$

Therefore part (i) of the assertion follows. Substituting $Y = \xi$ and $Y = \bar{J}\xi$ in (42), for any $\xi \in \Gamma(D_2)$, we obtain (ii) and (iii), respectively. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then D' is integrable if and only if $\nabla_X \bar{J}Y = \nabla_Y \bar{J}X$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D')$.*

Proof. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D')$ we have

$$(43) \qquad \qquad \qquad h(X, \bar{J}Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{J}Y - \nabla_X \bar{J}Y$$

and

$$(44) \qquad \qquad \qquad h(\bar{J}X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{J}X - \nabla_Y \bar{J}X.$$

Subtracting (44) from (43), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(X, \bar{J}Y) - h(\bar{J}X, Y) &= \bar{J}\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{J}\bar{\nabla}_Y X - \nabla_X \bar{J}Y + \nabla_Y \bar{J}X \\
 &= \bar{J}[X, Y] - \nabla_X \bar{J}Y + \nabla_Y \bar{J}X \\
 &= T[X, Y] + w[X, Y] - \nabla_X \bar{J}Y + \nabla_Y \bar{J}X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Equating tangential parts of above equation, we obtain

$$(45) \qquad \qquad \qquad T[X, Y] = \nabla_X \bar{J}Y - \nabla_Y \bar{J}X.$$

Hence from (45) the result follows. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then D_0 is integrable if and only if*

- (i) $\bar{g}(h^*(X, Y), N) = \bar{g}(h^*(Y, X), N)$,
- (ii) $\bar{g}(h^*(X, \bar{J}Y), N') = \bar{g}(h^*(Y, \bar{J}X), N')$,
- (iii) $h^s(X, \bar{J}Y) = h^s(\bar{J}X, Y)$,
- (iv) $\bar{g}(\nabla_X^* Y, \bar{J}\xi) = \bar{g}(\nabla_Y^* X, \bar{J}\xi)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$, $N' \in \Gamma(L_1)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(D_2)$.

Proof. Using the definition of GCR-lightlike submanifold, D_0 is integrable if and only if

$$\bar{g}([X, Y], N) = \bar{g}([X, Y], \bar{J}N') = \bar{g}([X, Y], \bar{J}W) = \bar{g}([X, Y], \bar{J}\xi) = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$, $N' \in \Gamma(L_1)$, $W \in \Gamma(L_2)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(D_2)$.

Using (9) and (16) we have

$$(46) \quad \bar{g}([X, Y], N) = \bar{g}(h^*(X, Y), N) - \bar{g}(h^*(Y, X), N)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([X, Y], \bar{J}N') &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \bar{J}N') - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \bar{J}N') \\ &= -\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{J}Y, N') + \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{J}X, N'), \end{aligned}$$

using (16) we have

$$(47) \quad \bar{g}([X, Y], \bar{J}N') = -\bar{g}(h^*(X, \bar{J}Y), N') + \bar{g}(h^*(Y, \bar{J}X), N').$$

Again using (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([X, Y], \bar{J}W) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \bar{J}W) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \bar{J}W) \\ &= -\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{J}Y, W) + \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{J}X, W) \\ (48) \quad &= -\bar{g}(h^s(X, \bar{J}Y), W) + \bar{g}(h^s(\bar{J}X, Y), W). \end{aligned}$$

Finally from (16) we obtain

$$(49) \quad \bar{g}([X, Y], \bar{J}\xi) = \bar{g}(\nabla_X^* Y, \bar{J}\xi) - \bar{g}(\nabla_Y^* X, \bar{J}\xi).$$

Thus from (46)-(49) the result follows. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then D_0 is integrable if and only if*

- (i) $g(X, A_N Y) = g(Y, A_N X)$,
- (ii) $g(\bar{J}X, A_{N'} Y) = g(\bar{J}Y, A_{N'} X)$,
- (iii) $h^s(X, \bar{J}Y) = h^s(\bar{J}X, Y)$,
- (iv) $\bar{g}(h^l(X, \bar{J}Y), \xi) = \bar{g}(h^l(Y, \bar{J}X), \xi)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$, $N' \in \Gamma(L_1)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(D_2)$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then $\text{Rad}(TM)$ is integrable if and only if*

- (i) $\bar{g}(h^l(\xi, \bar{J}\xi''), \xi') = \bar{g}(h^l(\xi', \bar{J}\xi''), \xi)$,
- (ii) $\bar{g}(h^l(\xi, Z), \xi') = \bar{g}(h^l(\xi', Z), \xi)$,

- (iii) $h^s(\xi', \bar{J}\xi) = h^s(\bar{J}\xi', \xi)$,
- (iv) $\bar{g}(\xi, h^l(\xi', \bar{J}N)) = \bar{g}(\xi', h^l(\xi, \bar{J}N))$ for any $Z \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $\xi'' \in \Gamma(D_2)$, $\xi, \xi' \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}(TM))$, $W \in \Gamma(L_2)$ and $N \in \Gamma(L_1)$.

Proof. Using the definition of GCR-lightlike submanifolds, $\text{Rad}(TM)$ is integrable if and only if

$$\bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], Z) = \bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], \bar{J}N) = \bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], \bar{J}W) = \bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], \bar{J}\xi'') = 0$$

for any $Z \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $\xi'' \in \Gamma(D_2)$, $\xi, \xi' \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}(TM))$, $W \in \Gamma(L_2)$ and $N \in \Gamma(L_1)$.

Using (9) and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], \bar{J}\xi'') &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_\xi \xi', \bar{J}\xi'') - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\xi'} \xi, \bar{J}\xi'') \\ &= -\bar{g}(\xi', \bar{\nabla}_\xi \bar{J}\xi'') + \bar{g}(\xi, \bar{\nabla}_{\xi'} \bar{J}\xi'') \\ (50) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= -\bar{g}(h^l(\xi, \bar{J}\xi''), \xi') + \bar{g}(h^l(\xi', \bar{J}\xi''), \xi) \end{aligned}$$

and using (18)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], Z) &= \bar{g}(\nabla_\xi \xi', Z) - \bar{g}(\nabla_{\xi'} \xi, Z) \\ &= -\bar{g}(A_{\xi'}^* \xi, Z) + \bar{g}(A_\xi^* \xi', Z) \\ (51) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= -\bar{g}(h^l(\xi, Z), \xi') + \bar{g}(h^l(\xi', Z), \xi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], \bar{J}W) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_\xi \xi', \bar{J}W) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\xi'} \xi, \bar{J}W) \\ &= -\bar{g}(W, \bar{\nabla}_\xi \bar{J}\xi') + \bar{g}(W, \bar{\nabla}_{\xi'} \bar{J}\xi) \\ (52) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= -\bar{g}(h^s(\xi, \bar{J}\xi'), W) + \bar{g}(h^s(\xi', \bar{J}\xi), W) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\xi, \xi'], \bar{J}N) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_\xi \xi', \bar{J}N) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\xi'} \xi, \bar{J}N) \\ &= -\bar{g}(\xi', \bar{\nabla}_\xi \bar{J}N) + \bar{g}(\xi, \bar{\nabla}_{\xi'} \bar{J}N) \\ (53) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= -\bar{g}(\xi', h^l(\xi, \bar{J}N)) + \bar{g}(\xi, h^l(\xi', \bar{J}N)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (50)-(53), the result follows. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then $\text{Rad}(TM)$ is integrable if and only if*

- (i) $A_\xi^* \xi' \notin \Gamma(M_1)$,
- (ii) $g(\nabla_{\xi'}^* \bar{J}Z, \bar{J}\xi) = g(\nabla_\xi^* \bar{J}Z, \bar{J}\xi')$,
- (iii) $g(A_W \xi', \bar{J}\xi) = g(A_W \xi, \bar{J}\xi')$,
- (iv) $g(A_N \xi', \bar{J}\xi) = g(A_N \xi, \bar{J}\xi')$ for any $Z \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $\xi, \xi' \in \Gamma(\text{Rad}(TM))$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then the distribution D_1 is integrable if and only if*

- (i) $\nabla_X^{*t} \bar{J}Y - \nabla_Y^{*t} \bar{J}X \in \Gamma(D_1)$,
- (ii) $A_{JY}^* X = A_{JX}^* Y$,

(iii) $Bh(X, \bar{J}Y) = Bh(Y, \bar{J}X)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_1)$.

Proof. Since \bar{J} is the almost complex structure on M therefore for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_1)$ we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = -\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{J}^2 Y = -\bar{J} \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{J} Y,$$

using (9), we have

$$\nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) = -\bar{J}(\nabla_X \bar{J} Y + h(X, \bar{J} Y)).$$

Now, using (17), (29)-(30) and then equating the tangential components of the resulting equation both sides we obtain

$$(54) \quad \nabla_X Y = T A_{\bar{J}Y}^* X - T \nabla_X^{*t} \bar{J} Y - Bh(X, \bar{J} Y),$$

replacing X by Y and then subtracting the resulting equation from (54) we obtain

$$(55) \quad [X, Y] = T(A_{\bar{J}Y}^* X - A_{\bar{J}X}^* Y) - T(\nabla_X^{*t} \bar{J} Y - \nabla_Y^{*t} \bar{J} X) - Bh(X, \bar{J} Y) + Bh(Y, \bar{J} X).$$

Hence from (55) the result follows. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then D_1 defines a totally geodesic foliation in M if and only if*

- (i) $\nabla_X^{*t} \bar{J} Y \in \Gamma(D_1)$,
- (ii) $A_{\bar{J}Y}^* X = 0$,
- (iii) $Bh(X, \bar{J} Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_1)$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then the distribution D_2 is integrable if and only if*

- (i) $\nabla_X^* \bar{J} Y - \nabla_Y^* \bar{J} X \in \Gamma(\bar{J} D_2)$,
- (ii) $h^*(X, \bar{J} Y) = h^*(Y, \bar{J} X)$,
- (iii) $Bh(X, \bar{J} Y) = Bh(Y, \bar{J} X)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_2)$.

Proof. Since \bar{J} is the almost complex structure on M therefore for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_2)$ we have

$$\nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) = -\bar{J}(\nabla_X \bar{J} Y + h(X, \bar{J} Y)),$$

using (16) and (29)-(30) and then equating tangential components of the resulting equation both sides we obtain

$$(56) \quad \nabla_X Y = -T \nabla_X^* \bar{J} Y - T h^*(X, \bar{J} Y) - Bh(X, \bar{J} Y),$$

replacing X and Y and then subtracting the resulting equation from (56) we obtain

$$(57) \quad [X, Y] = -T(\nabla_X^* \bar{J} Y - \nabla_Y^* \bar{J} X) - T(h^*(X, \bar{J} Y) - h^*(Y, \bar{J} X)) - Bh(X, \bar{J} Y) + Bh(Y, \bar{J} X).$$

Hence from (57) the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 4.10. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then the distribution D_2 defines a totally geodesic foliation in M if and only if*

- (i) $\nabla_X^* \bar{J}Y \in \Gamma(\bar{J}D_2)$,
- (ii) $h^*(X, \bar{J}Y) = 0$,
- (iii) $Bh(X, \bar{J}Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_2)$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then $\bar{J}D_2$ is integrable if and only if*

- (i) $\bar{g}(\bar{J}\xi, A_N \bar{J}\xi') = \bar{g}(\bar{J}\xi', A_N \bar{J}\xi)$,
- (ii) $h^s(\bar{J}\xi, \xi') = h^s(\bar{J}\xi', \xi)$,
- (iii) $\bar{g}(h^l(\bar{J}\xi, \xi'), \xi'') = \bar{g}(h^l(\xi, \bar{J}\xi'), \xi'')$,
- (iv) $\bar{g}(\bar{J}Z, A_\xi^* \bar{J}\xi') = \bar{g}(\bar{J}Z, A_{\xi'}^* \bar{J}\xi)$ for any $Z \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $\xi, \xi', \xi'' \in \Gamma(D_2)$, $W \in \Gamma(L_2)$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$.

Proof. Using the definition of GCR-lightlike submanifolds, $\bar{J}D_2$ is integrable if and only if

$$\bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], N) = \bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], \bar{J}W) = \bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], \bar{J}\xi'') = \bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], Z) = 0$$

for any $Z \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $\xi, \xi', \xi'' \in \Gamma(D_2)$, $W \in \Gamma(L_2)$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$.

Using (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], N) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} \bar{J}\xi, N) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} \bar{J}\xi', N) \\ &= -\bar{g}(\bar{J}\xi, \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} N) + \bar{g}(\bar{J}\xi', \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} N) \\ (58) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \bar{g}(\bar{J}\xi, A_N \bar{J}\xi') - \bar{g}(\bar{J}\xi', A_N \bar{J}\xi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], \bar{J}W) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} \bar{J}\xi, \bar{J}W) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} \bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}W) \\ &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} \xi, W) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} \xi', W) \\ (59) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \bar{g}(h^s(\bar{J}\xi', \xi), W) - \bar{g}(h^s(\bar{J}\xi, \xi'), W) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], \bar{J}\xi'') &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} \bar{J}\xi, \bar{J}\xi'') - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} \bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi'') \\ &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} \xi, \xi'') - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} \xi', \xi'') \\ (60) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \bar{g}(h^l(\bar{J}\xi', \xi), \xi'') - \bar{g}(h^l(\bar{J}\xi, \xi'), \xi'') \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}([\bar{J}\xi', \bar{J}\xi], Z) &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} \bar{J}\xi, Z) - \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} \bar{J}\xi', Z) \\ &= -\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi'} \xi, \bar{J}Z) + \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{J}\xi} \xi', \bar{J}Z) \\ (61) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \bar{g}(\bar{J}Z, A_\xi^* \bar{J}\xi') - \bar{g}(\bar{J}Z, A_{\xi'}^* \bar{J}\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (58)-(61) the result follows. □

Lemma 4.12. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . If M is D -geodesic, then D defines a totally geodesic foliation in M .*

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, using (31) and (32), we obtain $w\nabla_X Y = h(X, TY) - Ch(X, Y)$, then using the hypothesis, we obtain $w\nabla_X Y = 0$. Thus the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . If T is parallel, then $Bh(X, Y) = 0$ for any $Y \in \Gamma(D)$.*

Proof. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, from (33) we have

$$(62) \quad (\nabla_X T)Y = A_{wY}X + Bh(X, Y).$$

From the hypothesis of lemma, the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.14 ([12]). *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then the distribution D defines a totally geodesic foliation in M if and only if $Bh(X, Y) = 0 \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.*

Theorem 4.15. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . If T is parallel, then the distribution D defines a totally geodesic foliation in M .*

Proof. Let T is parallel, then from Lemma 4.13, we obtain $Bh(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Then using Theorem 4.14, D defines a totally geodesic foliation in M . \square

Theorem 4.16. *Let M be a GCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then the distribution D' is parallel if and only if $A_{\bar{J}Y}X$ has no components in holomorphic distribution for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D')$.*

Proof. From (33), we have

$$-T\nabla_X Y = A_{wY}X + Bh(X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D')$. Hence the proof follows. \square

References

- [1] M. Barros and A. Romero, *Indefinite Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), no. 1, 55–62.
- [2] A. Bejancu, *CR submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), no. 1, 135–142.
- [3] ———, *CR submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **250** (1979), 333–345.
- [4] A. Bejancu, M. Kon, and K. Yano, *CR submanifolds of a complex space form*, J. Differential Geom. **16** (1981), no. 1, 137–145.
- [5] D. E. Blair and B. Y. Chen, *On CR submanifolds of Hermitian manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **34** (1979), no. 4, 353–363.
- [6] B. Y. Chen, *CR submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I*, J. Differential Geom. **16** (1981), no. 2, 305–322.

- [7] K. L. Duggal, *CR-structures and Lorentzian geometry*, Acta Appl. Math. **7** (1986), no. 3, 211–223.
- [8] ———, *Lorentzian geometry of CR submanifolds*, Acta Appl. Math. **17** (1989), no. 2, 171–193.
- [9] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications*, Vol. 364 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [10] K. L. Duggal and D. H. Jin, *Totally umbilical lightlike submanifolds*, Kodai Math. J. **26** (2003), no. 1, 49–68.
- [11] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, *Screen Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. **106** (2005), no. 1-2, 137–165.
- [12] ———, *Generalized Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. **112** (2006), no. 1-2, 107–130.
- [13] Kumar, Rakesh, Rani, Rachna, and R. K. Nagaich, *Some properties of lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds*, Demonstratio Math. **43** (2010), no. 3, 691–701.
- [14] B. Sahin and R. Günes, *Integrability of distributions in CR-lightlike submanifolds*, Tamkang J. Math. **33** (2002), no. 3, 209–221.
- [15] ———, *Geodesic CR-Lightlike submanifolds*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **42** (2001), no. 2, 583–594.
- [16] R. Sharma and K. L. Duggal, *Mixed foliate CR submanifolds of indefinite complex space forms*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **149** (1987), 103–111.
- [17] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Differential geometry of CR submanifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **10** (1981), no. 1-4, 369–391.
- [18] ———, *CR Submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian Manifolds*, Birkhauser, Bostan, 1983.

RAKESH KUMAR
 UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 PUNJABI UNIVERSITY
 PATIALA 147002, INDIA
E-mail address: rakesh-ucOE@pbi.ac.in

SANGEET KUMAR
 RAYAT INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
 RAILMAJRA, SBS NAGAR 144533, INDIA
E-mail address: sp7maths@gmail.com

RAKESH KUMAR NAGAICH
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 PUNJABI UNIVERSITY
 PATIALA 147002, INDIA
E-mail address: nagaichrakesh@yahoo.com