Mouffak Benchohra; Mohammed Said Souid Integrable solutions for implicit fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 51 (2015), No. 2, 67-76

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144306

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

INTEGRABLE SOLUTIONS FOR IMPLICIT FRACTIONAL ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAY

Mouffak Benchohra and Mohammed Said Souid

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the existence of integrable solutions for initial value problem for implicit fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay. Our results are based on Schauder type fixed point theorem and the Banach contraction principle fixed point theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Differential equations of fractional order have recently proved to be valuable tools in the modeling of many phenomena in various fields of science and engineering. Indeed, we can find numerous applications of differential equations of fractional order in viscoelasticity, electrochemistry, control, porous media, electromagnetic, etc. (see [5, 15, 20, 21, 24]). There has been a significant development in ordinary and partial fractional differential equations in recent years; see the monographs of Abbas et al. [1, 2], Kilbas et al. [18], Lakshmikantham et al. [19], and the papers by Agarwal et al. [3, 4], Belarbi et al. [6], Benchohra et al. [7, 8, 9], El-Sayed and Abd El-Salam [11], and the references therein.

To our knowledge, the literature on integrable solutions for fractional differential equations is very limited. El-Sayed and Hashem [12] studies the existence of integrable and continuous solutions for quadratic integral equations. El-Sayed and Abd El Salam considered L^p -solutions for a weighted Cauchy problem for differential equations involving the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative.

Motivated by the above papers, in this paper we deal with the existence of solutions for initial value problem (IVP for short), for implicit fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay

(1)
$${}^{c}D^{\alpha}y(t) = f(t, y_t, {}^{c}D^{\alpha}y_t), \qquad t \in J := [0, b]$$

(2) $y(t) = \phi(t), \qquad t \in (-\infty, 0],$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 26A33; secondary 34A08, 34K37.

Key words and phrases: implicit fractional-order differential equation, Caputo fractional derivative, integrable solution, existence fixed point, infinite delay.

Received January 17, 2014, revised November 2014. Editor R. Šimon Hilscher.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2015-2-67

where ${}^{c}D^{\alpha}$ is the Caputo fractional derivative, and $f: J \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function satisfying some assumptions that will be specified later, and \mathcal{B} is called a phase space that will be defined later (see Section 2). For any function y defined on $(-\infty, b]$ and any $t \in J$, we denote by y_t the element of \mathcal{B} defined by $y_t(\theta) = y(t+\theta)$, $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$. Here $y_t(\cdot)$ represents the history of the state up to the present time t.

In the literature devoted to equations with finite delay, the state space is usually the space of all continuous function on [-r, 0], r > 0 and $\alpha = 1$ endowed with the uniform norm topology; see the book of Hale and Lunel [14]. When the delay is infinite, the selection of the state \mathcal{B} (i.e. phase space) plays an important role in the study of both qualitative and quantitative theory for functional differential equations. A usual choice is a seminormed space satisfying suitable axioms, which was introduced by Hale and Kato [13] (see also Kappel and Schappacher [17] and Schumacher [23]). For a detailed discussion on this topic we refer the reader to the book by Hino et al. [16].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will recall briefly some basic definitions and preliminary facts which will be used throughout the following section. In Section 3, we give two results, the first one is based on the Banach contraction principle (Theorem 3.1) and the second one on Schauder type fixed point theorem (Theorem 3.2). An example is given in Section 4 to demonstrate the application of our main results. These results can be considered as a contribution to this emerging field.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper.

By $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from J into \mathbb{R} with the norm

$$||y||_{\infty} := \sup\{|y(t)| : t \in J\},\$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes a suitable complete norm on \mathbb{R} .

Let $L^1(J,\mathbb{R})$ denotes the class of Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval J, with the norm

$$||u||_{L_1} = \int_0^b |u(t)| dt.$$

Definition 2.1 ([18, 22]). The fractional (arbitrary) order integral of the function $h \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$I_a^{\alpha}h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}h(s) \, ds \,,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. When a = 0, we write $I^{\alpha}h(t) = h(t) * \varphi_{\alpha}(t)$, where $\varphi_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ for t > 0, and $\varphi_{\alpha}(t) = 0$ for $t \le 0$, and $\varphi_{\alpha} \to \delta(t)$ as $\alpha \to 0$, where δ is the delta function. **Definition 2.2** ([18]). The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of function $h \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ is given by

$$(^{c}D_{a+}^{\alpha}h)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1}h^{(n)}(s) \, ds \, ,$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$. If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then

$$(^{c}D_{a+}^{\alpha}h)(t) = I_{a+}^{1-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}h(t) = \int_{a}^{t}\frac{(t-s)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{ds}h(s)\,ds\,.$$

The following properties are some of the main ones of the fractional derivatives and integrals.

Proposition 2.1. [18] Let α , $\beta > 0$. Then we have

- (i) $I^{\alpha} \colon L^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}_{+}) \to L^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}_{+}), \text{ and if } f \in L^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}_{+}), \text{ then}$ $I^{\alpha}I^{\beta}f(t) = I^{\beta}I^{\alpha}f(t) = I^{\alpha+\beta}f(t).$
- (ii) If $f \in L^p(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $1 \le p \le +\infty$, then $\|I^{\alpha}f\|_{L_p} \le \frac{b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|f\|_{L_p}$.
- (iii) The fractional integration operator I^{α} is linear.

The following theorems will be needed.

Theorem 2.1 (Schauder fixed point theorem [10]). Let E a Banach space and Q be a convex subset of E and $T: Q \to Q$ is compact, and continuous map. Then T has at least one fixed point in Q.

Theorem 2.2 (Kolmogorov compactness criterion [10]). Let $\Omega \subseteq L^p(J, \mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If

- (i) Ω is bounded in $L^p(J,\mathbb{R})$, and
- (ii) $u_h \to u$ as $h \to 0$ uniformly with respect to $u \in \Omega$,

then Ω is relatively compact in $L^p(J, \mathbb{R})$, where

$$u_h(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} u(s) \, ds$$

In this paper, we assume that the state space $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a seminormed linear space of functions mapping $(-\infty, 0]$ into \mathbb{R} , and satisfying the following fundamental axioms which were introduced by Hale and Kato in [13].

- (A₁) If $y: (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, and $y_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, then for every $t \in J$ the following conditions hold:
 - (i) y_t is in \mathcal{B}
 - (ii) $||y_t||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(t) \int_0^t |y(s)| ds + M(t) ||y_0||_{\mathcal{B}},$
 - (iii) $|y(t)| \leq H ||y_t||_{\mathcal{B}}$, where $H \geq 0$ is a constant, $K: J \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous, $M: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is locally bounded and H, K, M, are independent of $y(\cdot)$.
- (A_2) For the function $y(\cdot)$ in (A_1) , y_t is a \mathcal{B} -valued continuous function on J.
- (A_3) The space \mathcal{B} is complete.

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

Let us start by defining what we mean by an integrable solution of the problem (1) - (2).

Let the space

$$\Omega = \{ y \colon (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R} : y|_{(-\infty, 0]} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } y|_J \in L^1(J) \}.$$

Definition 3.1. A function $y \in \Omega$ is said to be a solution of IVP (1)–(2) if y satisfies (1) and (2).

For the existence of solutions for the problem (1)-(2), we need the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 3.1. The solution of the IVP (1)–(2) can be expressed by the integral equation

(3)
$$y(t) = \phi(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} x(s) \, ds \,, \qquad t \in J \,,$$

(4)
$$y(t) = \phi(t),$$
 $t \in (-\infty, 0].$

where x is the solution of the functional integral equation

(5)
$$x(t) = f\left(t, \phi(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} x_s \, ds, x_t\right).$$

Proof. Let y be solution of (3)–(4), then for $t \in J$ and $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, we have (1) and (2), respectively.

To present the main result, let us introduce the following assumptions:

- (H1) $f: J \times \mathcal{B}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in $t \in J$, for any $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{B}^2$ and continuous in $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{B}^2$, for almost all $t \in J$.
- (H2) There exist constants $k_1, k_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, x_1, y_1) - f(t, x_2, y_2)| \le k_1 ||x_1 - x_2||_{\mathcal{B}} + k_2 ||y_1 - y_2||_{\mathcal{B}},$$

for $t \in J$, and every $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Our first existence result for the IVP (1)-(2) is based on the Banach contraction principle. Set

$$K_b = \sup\{|K(t)| : t \in J\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the assumptions (H1)-(H2) are satisfied. If

(6)
$$\frac{k_1 K_b b^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{k_2 K_b b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} < 1,$$

then the IVP (1)–(2) has a unique solution on the interval $(-\infty, b]$.

Proof. Transform the problem (1)–(2) into a fixed point problem. Consider the operator $N: \Omega \to \Omega$ defined by:

$$(Ny)(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t) , & t \in (-\infty, 0]; \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, I^{\alpha} y_s, y_s) \, ds \, , & t \in J \, . \end{cases}$$

We shall use the Banach contraction principle to prove that N has a fixed point. Let $x(\cdot): (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \in J; \\ \phi(t), & \text{if } t \in (-\infty, 0] \end{cases}$$

Then $x_0 = \phi$. For each $z \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$, with z(0) = 0, we denote by \overline{z} the function defined by

$$\overline{z}(t) = \begin{cases} z(t), & \text{if } t \in J; \\ 0, & \text{if } t \in (-\infty, 0] \end{cases}$$

if $y(\cdot)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, I^\alpha y_s, y_s) \, ds$$

we can decompose $y(\cdot)$ as $y(t) = \overline{z}(t) + x(t), 0 \le t \le b$, which implies $y_t = \overline{z}_t + x_t$, for every $0 \le t \le b$, and the function $z(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$z(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s + x_s), \overline{z}_s + x_s) \, ds \, ds$$

Set

$$L_0 = \{ z \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}) : z_0 = 0 \}$$

and let $\|\cdot\|_b$ be the seminorm in L_0 defined by

$$||z||_b = ||z_0||_{\mathcal{B}} + \int_0^b |z(t)| \, dt = \int_0^b |z(t)| \, dt \, , \quad z \in L_0 \, .$$

 L_0 is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_b$. Let the operator $P: L_0 \to L_0$ be defined by

(7)
$$(Pz)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s+x_s), \overline{z}_s+x_s) \, ds \,, \quad t \in J \,,$$

That the operator N has a fixed point is equivalent to P has a fixed point, and so we turn to proving that P has a fixed point. We shall show that $P: L_0 \to L_0$ is a contraction map. Indeed, consider $z, z^* \in L_0$. Then we have for each $t \in J$

$$\begin{split} |P(z)(t) - P(z^*)(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s + x_s), \overline{z}_s + x_s) - f(s, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s^* + x_s), \overline{z}_s^* + x_s)| \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [k_1 \| I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s - \overline{z}_s^*) \|_{\mathcal{B}} + k_2 \| \overline{z}_s - \overline{z}_s^* \|_{\mathcal{B}}] \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} K_b \left[k_1 \| I^{\alpha}(z(s) - z^*(s)) \| + k_2 \| z(s) - z^*(s) \| \right] \, ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k_1 K_b b^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{k_2 b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \| z - z^* \|_b \, . \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\|P(z) - P(z^*)\|_b \le \left(\frac{k_1 K_b b^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha + 1)} + \frac{k_2 K_b b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}\right)\|z - z^*\|_b.$$

Consequently by (6) P is a contraction. As a consequence of the Banach contraction principle, we deduce that P has a unique fixed point which is a solution of the problem (1)–(2).

The following result is based on Schauder fixed point theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (H1) and the following condition hold.

(H3) There exist a positive function $a \in L^1(J)$ and constants, $q_i > 0$; i = 1, 2 such that:

$$|f(t, u_1, u_2)| \le |a(t)| + q_1 ||u_1||_{\mathcal{B}} + q_2 ||u_2||_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \forall \ (t, u_1, u_2) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

If

(8)
$$K_b \left(\frac{q_1 b^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{q_2 b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) < 1,$$

then the IVP (1)–(2) has at least one solution $y \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $P: L_0 \to L_0$ be defined as in(7), and

$$r = \frac{\frac{b^{\alpha} \|a\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + M_b \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}} (\frac{q_1 b^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{q_2 b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)})}{1 - K_b (\frac{q_1 b^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{q_2 b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)})}$$

where $M_b = \sup\{|M(t)| : t \in J\}$, and consider the set

$$B_r := \{ z \in L_0, \| z \|_b \le r \}.$$

Clearly B_r is nonempty, bounded, convex and closed. We shall show that the operator P satisfies the assumptions of Schauder fixed point theorem. The proof will be given in three steps.

Step 1: P is continuous.

Let z_n be a sequence such that $z_n \to z$ in L_0 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(Pz_n)(t) - (Pz)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_{n_s} + x_s), \overline{z}_{n_s} + x_s)| \\ &- f(s, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s + x_s), \overline{z}_s + x_s)| \, ds \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a continuous function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z_n) - P(z)||_b \\ &\leq \frac{b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|f(\cdot, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_{n(\cdot)} + x_{(\cdot)}, \overline{z}_{n(\cdot)}) + x_{(\cdot)}) \\ &- f(\cdot, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_{(\cdot)} + x_{(\cdot)}), \overline{z}_{(\cdot)} + x_{(\cdot)})\|_{L_1} \to 0 \\ &\text{as } n \to \infty \,. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: P maps B_r into itself.

Let $z \in B_r$. Since f is a continuous functions, we have for each $t \in [0, b]$

$$\begin{aligned} |(Pz)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s+x_s), \overline{z}_s+x_s)| \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} [a(t)| + q_1 \|I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_s+x_s)\|_{\mathcal{B}} + q_2 \|\overline{z}_s+x_s\|_{\mathcal{B}}] \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{b^{\alpha} \|a\|_{L_1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \Big(\frac{q_1 b^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{q_2 b^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\Big) (K_b r + M_b \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where

 $\|\overline{z}_s + x_s\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le \|\overline{z}_s\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \|x_s\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$

Hence $||(Pz)||_{L_1} \leq r$. Then $PB_r \subset B_r$.

Step 3: P is compact.

We will show that P is compact, this is PB_r is relatively compact. Clearly PB_r is bounded in L_0 , i.e. condition (i) of Kolmogorov compactness criterion is satisfied. It remains to show $(Pz)_h \to (Pz)$, in L_0 for each $z \in B_r$. Let $z \in B_r$, then we have

$$\begin{split} \| (Pz)_{h} - (Pz) \|_{L^{1}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{b} |(Pz)_{h}(t) - (Pz)(t)| \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{b} \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} (Pz)(s) ds - (Pz)(t) \right| \, dt \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{b} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} |(Pz)(s) - (Pz)(t)| \, ds \right) \, dt \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} |I^{\alpha}f(s, \overline{z}_{s} + x_{s}), \overline{z}_{s} + x_{s}) - I^{\alpha}f(t, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_{t} + x_{t}), \overline{z}_{t} + x_{t}) \, | \, ds \, dt \, . \end{split}$$

Since $z \in B_r \subset L_0$ and assumption (H3) that implies $f \in L_0$ and by Proposition 2.1, it follows that $I^{\alpha}f \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$, then we have

(9)
$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} \left| I^{\alpha} f\left((\overline{z}_{s} + x_{s}), \overline{z}_{s} + x_{s} \right) - I^{\alpha} f\left(t, I^{\alpha}(\overline{z}_{t} + x_{t}), \overline{z}_{t} + x_{t} \right) \right| ds \to 0$$
as $h \to 0, \quad t \in J.$

Hence

 $(Pz)_h \to (Pz)$ uniformly as $h \to 0$.

Then by Kolmogorov compactness criterion, PB_r is relatively compact. As a consequence of Schauder's fixed point theorem the IVP (1)–(2) has at least one solution in B_r .

4. Example

In this section we give an example to illustrate the usefulness of our main results. Let us consider the following fractional initial value problem,

(10)
$$^{c}D^{\alpha}y(t) = \frac{ce^{-\gamma t+t}}{(e^{t}+e^{-t})(1+\|y_{t}\|+\|^{c}D^{\alpha}y_{t}\|)}, \quad t \in J := [0,b], \ \alpha \in (0,1],$$

(11) $y(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in (-\infty, 0],$

where c > 1 is fixed. Let γ be a positive real constant and

$$B_{\gamma} = \{ y \in L^1(-\infty, 0] : \lim_{\theta \to -\infty} e^{\gamma \theta} y(\theta), \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R} \}.$$

The norm of B_{γ} is given by

$$\|y\|_{\gamma} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\gamma \theta} |y(\theta)| \, d\theta$$

Let $y: (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $y_0 \in B_{\gamma}$. Then

$$\lim_{\theta \to -\infty} e^{\gamma \theta} y_t(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \to -\infty} e^{\gamma \theta} y(t+\theta) = \lim_{\theta \to -\infty} e^{\gamma(\theta-t)} y(\theta)$$
$$= e^{\gamma t} \lim_{\theta \to -\infty} e^{\gamma \theta} y_0(\theta) < \infty.$$

Hence $y_t \in B_{\gamma}$. Finally we prove that

$$||y_t||_{\gamma} \le K(t) \int_0^t |y(s)| \, ds + M(t) ||y_0||_{\gamma}$$

where K = M = 1 and H = 1. We have

$$|y_t(\theta)| = |y(t+\theta)|.$$

If $\theta + t \leq 0$, we get

$$|y_t(\theta)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^0 |y(s)| \, ds$$

For $t + \theta \ge 0$, then we have

$$|y_t(\theta)| \le \int_0^t |y(s)| \, ds$$
.

Thus for all $t + \theta \in J$, we get

$$|y_t(\theta)| \le \int_{-\infty}^0 |y(s)| \, ds + \int_0^t |y(s)| \, ds \, .$$

Then

$$||y_t||_{\gamma} \le ||y_0||_{\gamma} + \int_0^t |y(s)| \, ds \, .$$

It is clear that $(B_\gamma,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. We can conclude that B_γ is a phase space. Set

$$f(t,y,z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma t+t}}{c(e^t + e^{-t})(1+y+z)}, \qquad (t,x,z) \in J \times B_\gamma \times B_\gamma.$$

For $t \in J$, y_1 , y_2 , z_1 , $z_2 \in B_{\gamma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)| &= \frac{e^{-\gamma t + t}}{c(e^t + e^{-t})} \Big| \frac{1}{1 + y_1 + z_1} - \frac{1}{1 + y_2 + z_2} \Big| \\ &= \frac{e^{-\gamma t + t} (|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|)}{c(e^t + e^{-t})(1 + y_1 + z_1)(1 + y_2 + z_2)} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\gamma t} \times e^t (|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|)}{c(e^t + e^{-t})} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\gamma t} (||y_1 - y_2||_{\gamma} + ||z_1 - z_2||_{\gamma})}{c} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} ||y_1 - y_2||_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{c} ||z_1 - z_2||_{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition (H2) holds. We choose b such that $\frac{K_b b^{2\alpha}}{c\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{K_b b^{\alpha}}{c\Gamma(\alpha+1)} < 1$. Since $K_b = 1$, then

$$\frac{b^{2\alpha}}{c\Gamma(2\alpha+1)} + \frac{b^{\alpha}}{c\Gamma(\alpha+1)} < 1$$

Then by Theorem 3.1, the problem (10)–(11) has a unique integrable solution on $[-\infty, b]$.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the referee for the careful reading of the paper.

References

- Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., N'Guérékata, G.M., Topics in Fractional Differential Equations, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [2] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., N'Guérékata, G.M., Avanced Fractional Differential and Integral Equations, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2015.
- [3] Agarwal, R.P., Belmekki, M., Benchohra, M., A survey on semilinear differential equations and inclusions involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, Adv. Differential Equations 2009 (2009), 1–47, ID 981728.
- [4] Agarwal, R.P., Benchohra, M., Hamani, S., A survey on existence result for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions, Acta Appl. Math. 109 (3) (2010), 973–1033.
- [5] Baleanu, D., Diethelm, K., Scalas, E., Trujillo, J.J., Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods, World Scientific Publishing, New York, 2012.
- [6] Belarbi, A., Benchohra, M., Ouahab, A., Uniqueness results for fractional functional differential equations with infinite delay in Fréchet spaces, Appl. Anal. 85 (2006), 1459–1470.

- [7] Benchohra, M., Hamani, S., Ntouyas, S.K., Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order, Surveys Math. Appl. 3 (2008), 1–12.
- [8] Benchohra, M., Hamani, S., Ntouyas, S.K., Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order and nonlocal conditions, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), 2391–2396.
- Benchohra, M., Henderson, J., Ntouyas, S.K., Ouahab, A., Existence results for functional differential equations of fractional order, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008), 1340–1350.
- [10] Deimling, K., Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [11] El-Sayed, A.M.A., Abd El-Salam, Sh.A., L^p-solution of weighted Cauchy-type problem of a differ-integral functional equation, Intern. J. Nonlinear Sci. 5 (2008), 281–288.
- [12] El-Sayed, A.M.M., Hashem, H.H.G., Integrable and continuous solutions of a nonlinear quadratic integral equation, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 25) (2008), 1–10.
- [13] Hale, J., Kato, J., Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, Funkcial. Ekvac. 21 (1978), 11–41.
- [14] Hale, J.K., Lunel, S M.V., Introduction to Functional Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [15] Hilfer, R., Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [16] Hino, Y., Murakami, S., Naito, T., Functional Differential Equations with Infinite Delay, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [17] Kappel, F., Schappacher, W., Some considerations to the fundamental theory of infinite delay equations, J. Differential Equations 37 (1980), 141–183.
- [18] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J., Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [19] Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S., Vasundhara, J., Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems, Cambridge Academic Publishers, 2009.
- [20] Mainardi, F., Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity. An introduction to mathematical models, Imperial College Press, London, 2010.
- [21] Ortigueira, M.D., Fractional Calculus for Scientists and Engineers, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol. 84, Springer, Dordrecht, 2011.
- [22] Podlubny, I., Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [23] Schumacher, K., Existence and continuous dependence for differential equations with unbounded delay, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 64 (1978), 315–335.
- [24] Tarasov, V.E., Fractional Dynamics: Application of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media, Springer, Heidelberg; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010.

Corresponding Author: Mouffak Benchohra, Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Sidi Bel Abbès, PO Box 89, Sidi Bel Abbès 22000, Algeria

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA *E-mail*: benchohra@yahoo.com

MOHAMMED SAID SOUID DÉPARTEMENT DE SCIENCE ECONOMIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE TIARET, ALGÉRIE *E-mail*: souimed2008@yahoo.com