

The integration of artificial intelligence into clinical patient management— a focus on cardiac imaging
La integraci3n de la inteligencia artificial en el abordaje cl3nico del paciente— enfoque en la imagen
cardiaca

Filip LONCARIC,^{a,*} Oscar CAMARA,^b Gemma PIELLA,^c and Bart BIJNENS^{a,c}

^a *L'Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain*

^b *BCN MedTech, Departament de Tecnologies de la Informaci3 i les Comunicacions, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain*

^c *ICREA, Barcelona, Spain*

*** Corresponding author:** IDIBAPS-Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer, Carrer del Rossell3 149, 08036 Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail address: loncaric.filip@gmail.com (F. Loncaric).

This is the post-print, pre-peer reviewed version of the following article:

Loncaric F, Camara O, Piella G, Bijnens B. Integration of artificial intelligence into clinical patient management: focus on cardiac imaging. Rev Esp Cardiol.

which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2020.07.003>

©2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

ABSTRACT

Cardiac imaging is a crucial component in the management of cardiac patients, and as such it influences multiple, inter-related parts of the clinical workflow: physician-patient contact, image acquisition, image pre- and post-processing, study reporting, diagnostics and outcome predictions, medical interventions, and, finally, knowledge-building through clinical research. With the gradual and ubiquitous infiltration of artificial intelligence into cardiology, it has become clear that, when used appropriately, it will influence and potentially improve –through automation, standardization and data integration– all components of the clinical workflow. The aim of this review is to present a comprehensive vision of a full integration of artificial intelligence into the standard clinical patient management –with a focus on cardiac imaging, but applicable to all information handling– and discuss current barriers that remain to be overcome before the wide-spread implementation and integration.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence. Machine learning. Cardiology. Cardiac imaging.

RESUMEN

La imagen cardiaca es un componente crucial en el abordaje de los pacientes cardiacos, y como tal influye en múltiples partes interrelacionadas del flujo de trabajo clínico: el contacto médico-paciente, la adquisición de imagen, el pre y pos-procesamiento de imágenes, los informes de estudios, el diagnóstico y la prognosis, las intervenciones médicas y, por último, el desarrollo del conocimiento a través de la investigación clínica. La incesante infiltración de la inteligencia artificial en cardiología pone de manifiesto que, usada apropiadamente, influirá y potencialmente mejorará –a través de la automatización, la estandarización y la integración de datos– todos los componentes del flujo de trabajo clínico. El objetivo de esta revisión es presentar una visión holística de cómo se integra la inteligencia artificial en el abordaje clínico del paciente, con especial foco en la imagen cardiaca, pero aplicable a toda la gestión de información, y discutir las barreras actuales que aún deben superarse para su implementación generalizada.

Palabras clave: Inteligencia artificial. Aprendizaje automático. Cardiología. Imagen cardiaca.

Abbreviations

AI: artificial intelligence

CMR: cardiac magnetic resonance

CNN: convolutional neural networks

CT: computed tomography

DL: deep learning

DSS: decision support system

ML: machine learning

NLP: natural language processing

Abreviaturas

AA: aprendizaje automático

AI: inteligencia artificial

AP: aprendizaje profundo

PLN: procesamiento del lenguaje natural

RM: resonancia magnética cardiaca

SAD: sistema de apoyo a las decisiones

TC: tomografía computarizada

RNC: redes neuronales convolucionales

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has proven to be an example of a general-purpose technological innovation, with a ubiquitous presence in communications, marketing, economy, and the information technology industry. Reflecting technological advances, increased availability of data, and open-source codes for algorithms, AI solutions have been steadily improving, while bringing unprecedented benefits –changing workflows, improving efficiency, refining data handling and guiding services to the target users. The interest in integrating AI in various medical sub-fields has been huge –from dermatology, oncology, ophthalmology, to cardiology.¹

In cardiology, the need for novel solutions is reflected by data –cardiovascular diseases have steadily maintained their position as a leading cause of morbidity in Europe, with hospitalization rates increasing from the year 2000,² while at the same time, information sources have dramatically increased and the generated data has exploded. Therefore, the burden of patient management is immense, with an elevated need for appropriate, highly-informative, time and cost-efficient data analysis. AI can potentially address opportunities for optimization and personalization throughout the imaging workflow –from the choice of appropriate imaging modality up to the prediction of outcomes. Whereas previous reviews have successfully summarized the technological aspects and application of AI in different imaging modalities,^{1,3-9} the aim of the current review is to present a vision of a comprehensive integration of AI into the standard clinical patient management –with a focus on cardiac imaging. We will also discuss the obstacles to overcome before the widespread integration of AI –concerns from the clinical side, as well as technical and ethical challenges.

MANAGEMENT OF CARDIAC PATIENTS AND CARDIAC IMAGING. A MULTITUDE OF OPPORTUNITIES FOR AI

Comprehensive management of patients with cardiac disease necessarily includes cardiac information management– with the data used to guide diagnosis, assess risk, guide treatment or interventions, and decide on follow-up. Here, cardiac imaging, for example, is a crucial component for

cardiac patient management, and as such it is one of multiple, inter-related parts of the clinical workflow: the physician-patient communication, image acquisition, imaging data pre- and post-processing, study reporting, data interpretation, diagnostics and outcome predictions, medical interventions, and, finally, knowledge-building through clinical research. AI has strong potential to improve each part of the patient management workflow (figure 1). The schematic in figure 2 shows an overview of AI fields and sub-fields, together with a selection of algorithms.

The physician-patient contact: data collection, triaging and appropriate imaging use

With increasing clerical burden and challenges related to electronic healthcare records usability, AI offers opportunities of standardizing and improving efficiency in data collection and quality of communication (figure 3A), with the aim of reducing menial and time-consuming tasks and enabling focus on the patient-physician interaction. Analytical methods of speech and text analysis could improve information transfer by guiding communication (providing feedback on delivery of information and clarity of content), standardizing medical history taking, and informing patients in an accessible, easy to understand language.¹⁰ Patient verbal responses, facial expressions, and tone of voice could be analysed to guide interaction, on-site or using tele-medicine.¹¹ Additionally, communication can now be performed over electronic patient portals, where AI-based tools, such as natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning (ML) can categorize patient free-text messages, with the aim of organizing triage and automating responses for urgent cardiovascular medical issues.¹²

In the ambulatory setting, risk assessment and triaging is conventionally achieved through decision support systems (DSS) where AI-based feature extraction from images or clinical reports could be integrated to automate input and increase efficiency.¹³ The possibility to automatically create an accurate list of patient diagnoses from clinical notes,¹⁴ or identify risk factors from electronic health records, such as risk associated with sudden cardiac death in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy,¹⁵ can serve as examples. Furthermore, ML integration can advance risk assessment

in the ambulatory setting of specific patient groups. A boosted decision tree algorithm was used on a cohort of 5822 heart failure patients to assess mortality risk.¹⁶ The model was externally validated yielding similarly satisfying performance, providing proof of generalizability in the heart failure disease spectrum. An efficient, widely applicable risk model could help prompt identification and triaging towards further investigation and advanced care for appropriate patients.

An integrated AI/DSS algorithm could also help in choosing the appropriate imaging modality that can provide the greatest diagnostic and prognostic information in the least amount of time, while maximizing patient safety and minimizing additional testing costs.¹⁷ In a recent multicentric study, an automated point-of-order DSS, based on decision tree algorithms, has shown to rapidly determine cardiac imaging test appropriateness for coronary artery disease (CAD) evaluation.¹⁸ Another study demonstrated a NLP-based approach for computed tomography (CT) and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) protocol assignment.¹⁹ As these studies show, point-of-order AI/DSS can be an efficient tool to guide patient imaging decisions and maximizing efficiency for both the patient and the healthcare provider.

Cardiac image acquisition: automation, time-efficiency and safety

Once the decision regarding the choice of cardiac imaging modality has been made, AI can improve the process of image acquisition (figure 3B). In echocardiography, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) –a type of deep learning (DL) well-suited for image-oriented tasks– can automate echocardiographic image acquisition by guiding the motion of the probe towards optimal positions, such as the 4-chamber view, enabling capture of diagnostic echo images after minimal training.²⁰ Recently, the US Food and Drug Administration approved the first cardiac software using AI to guide ultrasound image acquisition.²¹ The implications include improved staff education, wider applicability of imaging in low-expertise centres, less imaging artefacts and higher reproducibility of exams.

Novel technology can also improve CMR and CT acquisition –CMR imaging suffers from long acquisition times due to high temporal and spatial resolution. Because of their ability to use large data

sets to learn key reconstruction parameters, ML approaches, particularly DL, have been recently proposed accelerate CMR scan times.²² Likewise, generative adversarial networks have been applied to synthesize cine-like CMR images from real-time CMR sequences (i.e. sequences used as an alternative when patients are unable to hold their breath or have arrhythmias during scanning), resulting in improved image quality, with clearer images and sharper anatomical distinction.²³ CT has also seen promising advances from ML aimed at speeding up reconstruction times, but also at reducing radiation dose. DL methods have been applied to learn with low-dose CT images and reconstruct them to routine-dose CT images, synthesize contrast CT images from non-contrast images, reduce noise in low-dose CT scans, enable lower-dose CT and sparse-sampling CT; and reduce metal artifacts.²⁴ These examples of AI contributions to image acquisition will prove valuable in medical education, time-efficiency, cost-reduction, and patient safety.

Image processing: automation and reproducibility of pre- and post-processing

After acquisition, raw image data intended for analysis is commonly heterogeneous –with variable image quality, acquired with different settings and with machines from different vendors. Therefore, pre-processing –including anonymization, normalization, and handling of missing data– is a crucial part of the imaging pipeline (figure 3C). Characteristics such as image contrast, brightness and resolution affect the robustness and accuracy of image analysis –normalization can include adjustment and standardization of image size,²⁵⁻²⁹ applying filters to images to remove noise,²⁵ and enhancing contrast to help in the process of feature extraction,³⁰ to name a few examples. To prepare data for DL, echocardiographic videos can be converted to multidimensional numeric arrays of pixel intensities, with dimensions representing time, coordinates in space and encoding of colour information.³¹ Normalization of CT images can also be achieved through a DL, e.g. U-Net based, strategy.³² Furthermore, DL has recently addressed³² more challenging tasks, with CNNs screening for motion artefacts or erroneous orientation of the 4-chamber view in the first step of the image analysis

pipeline.^{33,34} ML can also provide solutions for missing data, with innovative imputation methods using cardiac imaging data.³⁵

Overall, pre-processing approaches accomplish uniformization of imaging data, enabling improved post-processing and feature extraction, where various feature selection strategies can be employed to determine the most interesting features of the dataset. However, regardless of imaging modality, processing of data to extract clinical variables (i.e. volumes, wall thickness, deformation, valve morphology) is notoriously time-consuming, meticulous, and prone to inter-operator differences and error. Image interpretation is highly experience- and observer-dependent, resulting in unsatisfactory measurement variability. This can prove problematic for longitudinal patient follow-up –for example, in cardio-oncology or heart failure, where reproducible assessment of cardiac function is essential; or for multicentric, multi-expert datasets– where variability in measurements biases the analysis. Automation of image analysis through ML can therefore be beneficial by saving time, increasing accuracy, reproducibility and standardization^{33,36-38} (figure 3D).

Identifying cardiac views is the crucial first step in image analysis. When a cardiologist recognizes the cardiac view, view-specific cardiac structures can be segmented, measured and quantified to assess cardiac function and remodelling. View classification has lately been approached mainly through DL methods such as CNNs –incorporating both spatial and temporal information contained in the echo loops, and achieving high accuracy rate of 92.1%.²⁶ More advanced CNN models can classify full standard transthoracic echocardiograms –B-mode, M-mode, Doppler, both still images and videos– from patients with a range of pathologies, technical settings and image quality.²⁷ By avoiding idealized training subsets, such models show potential of applicability to a clinical setting, with high accuracy of 97.8%.

Following view recognition, image segmentation is the process of partitioning an image into its constituent parts (e.g. each part corresponding to a distinct cardiac structure) to quantify structure and function. In two-dimensional echocardiography, ML-based fully automatized left ventricular (LV) volume, ejection fraction and longitudinal strain measurements have been shown to be rapid,

reproducible and in good agreement with manual measurements.³⁹ Furthermore, an automated image interpretation pipeline has been shown feasible, incorporating view identification, image segmentation, and the quantification of structure and function.³¹ Three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography could offer a more reproducible quantification of cardiac chambers but requires cumbersome manual processing, heavily burdening workflow and applicability. An automated adaptive analytics algorithm for simultaneous quantification of ventricular and atrial volumes proved reproducible and comparable with manual segmentations and CMR values, however the technology is applicable only in patients with sufficient image quality.⁴⁰ Feasible solutions for automated 3D right ventricle assessment have also been demonstrated.³⁶ Additionally, when considering valve assessment, automated 3D transoesophageal echocardiography mitral valve geometry quantification showed good relation with manual measurements and a significant reduction in measurement time, although, again, highlighting the need for high-quality images.⁴¹ While challenges in automating 3D dataset analysis are still prominent, the present studies demonstrate an incremental shift, showing potential to improve workflow limitations in the clinical setting. A comprehensive review of automated quantification in echocardiography is available.⁵

Similar development in automation has been seen in CMR. A fully automated quantification of LV mass, biventricular volumes and ejection fraction has been demonstrated on a heterogeneous CMR dataset, showing feasible segmentations and comparable results to manual quantification, albeit demonstrating lower agreement in severely altered anatomy and reduced image quality.³⁸ Automated CNN-based CMR-image segmentation and quantification has been shown faster, and with similar precision, compared to the most precise human techniques, even when challenged with a real-world, multicentre, multi-disease scan-rescan data to assess measuring precision.⁴² Furthermore, integration of quality control algorithms, detecting erroneous outputs, has been demonstrated in a cohort of healthy volunteers and patients with a wide variety of cardiac diseases.³³ Cardiac function and tissue characterization can also be addressed –a fully automated phase-contrast CMR aortic flow quantification showed a more rapid, feasible alternative for large CMR dataset segmentations and

analysis,³⁷ semiautomated quantification⁴³ and synthetic data approaches⁴⁴ have been suggested to automate late gadolinium enhancement segmentation. Synthetic data has also been used to generate CMR images based on a reference biomechanical model of the LV to create a “ground truth” for testing robustness of segmentation and registration methods.⁴⁵

Diagnostics and prognosis: data integration and advanced phenotyping

Following the image analysis and processing, the ensuing steps include integration of the derived measures with other data sources, resulting a comprehensive representation of the patient in focus. Traditional methods of phenotyping are challenged by unstandardized quantification, geometric assumptions, high observer variability, limited set of parameters, and a tendency to discretize continuous phenogroups. As shown in figure 4, the combination of information-rich imaging (i.e. deformation imaging, 3D datasets, tissue characterization, 4-dimensional flow etc.) and ML facilitated the shift from one dimensional descriptors of cardiac function and structure to high-resolution, multi-parametric phenotyping.⁴ A relevant message learned through such advanced approaches is that cardiac diseases commonly represent a disease spectrum, where a binary classification into diseased or healthy does not reflect the underlying complexity. A recent unsupervised ML approach, using LV longitudinal myocardial velocity patterns, categorized hypertensive and breathless patients into a transition zone of the heart failure with preserved ejection fraction spectrum, demonstrating potential pitfalls of conventional clinical diagnostic algorithms, as well as the broad spectrum of the heterogeneous heart failure with preserved ejection fraction syndrome.⁴⁶ Moreover, it is precisely the heterogeneity of heart failure, and the non-linearity of diastolic function, that can present an appropriate challenge for ML, especially unsupervised approaches, which can extract hidden patterns in data and cluster patients regardless of a priori knowledge or known clinical labels.^{47,48} Data from the same patient, both from rest and exercise echocardiography, can be integrated using ML to create a spatiotemporal-rest-exercise representations of LV function to determine heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.⁴⁸ Finally,

the strong potential of data integration and phenotyping through AI is best illustrated through novel approaches combining knowledge from imaging, genomics and proteomics through the combination of high-throughput DNA sequencing combined with ML methods to tackle challenges of scalability and high-dimensionality of data.⁴ As a notable example, polygenic risk scores of LV phenotypes have been shown predictive of heart failure independently of clinical risk factors, and CMR derived phenotypes highly heritable, showing that LV image-derived phenotypes and remodelling are related to the underlying genetic basis.⁴⁹ Moreover, the combination of high-resolution phenotyping and machine-based data analysis showed that titin truncating variants, previously thought of as irrelevant in the general population, are associated with higher LV volumes in CMR analysis and eccentric remodelling.⁵⁰ Proteomics have also been used to identify CAD risk –predicting both high-risk plaques and the absence of CAD on coronary CT angiography in patients with suspected disease.⁵¹

The advances in data integration and phenotyping are inherently linked to significant improvement in diagnostics and outcome prediction (figure 3E), though most studies using AI are still observational, single-centre, and can only be considered hypothesis generating. Nevertheless, AI has been used on imaging data to diagnose myocardial infarction, heart failure, CAD, atherosclerosis, cardiomyopathies, and valvular heart disease amongst other. DL models demonstrated comparable results to cardiologists in detecting the presence and location of ischemic regional wall motion abnormalities,⁵² or high accuracy of detection (AUC, 0.94) of chronic myocardial infarction in non-contrast enhanced cine CMR images, as compared to late gadolinium enhancement CMR.⁵³ Results have also been seen in CT studies, where texture analysis was more objective and reproducible in diagnosing chronic myocardial infarction when compared to visual assessment.⁵⁴ In heart failure, unsupervised ML has been used on a large trial dataset to identify phenogroups with distinct clinical characteristics related to outcome,⁵⁵ or to identify patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction through spatiotemporal variations of LV strain rate during rest and exercise echocardiographic data.⁴⁸ The ability of cardiac imaging to avoid unnecessary invasive procedures presents a clear target in CAD. DL applications have shown successful identification of obstructive CAD

from single-photon emission CT perfusion imaging.⁵⁶ In recent multicentre studies, a gradient boosting classifier showed that the addition of resting CT perfusion to CT angiography can improve prediction of significant ischemia in coronary stenosis,⁵⁷ while an on-site, ML-based, CT fractional flow reserve algorithm improved the performance of CT angiography by reclassifying hemodynamically nonsignificant stenosis, performing as well as computational fluid dynamic approaches.⁵⁸ Calcification quantification and plaque characterization have also been increasingly explored, demonstrating that radiomic features may be able to discriminate napkin-ring sign plaques,⁵⁹ a challenging task due to its qualitative nature. In valve disease, support vector machines (SVM) and linear discriminant analysis have been used to separate patients according to the severity of mitral regurgitation, quantified based on textural features extracted from three echocardiographic B-mode views, with > 99% accuracy for each of the qualitative levels of regurgitation.²⁵ Likewise, discriminating different cardiomyopathies has been a sensible target for the advanced phenotyping capabilities of AI. Radiomic texture analysis on CMR T1 images, and a support vector machine classifier, have been applied to discriminate between hypertensive heart disease and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.²⁹ An ensemble ML model used speckle-tracking echocardiographic data for automated discrimination of pathological and physiological patterns of remodelling seen in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and athlete's hearts (AUC, 0.80).⁶⁰ A detailed overview of diagnostic applications of AI in cardiac imaging is found in Al'Aref et al.⁶ and Martin-Isla et al.⁸

Study reporting

After full data analysis is performed, an AI-fuelled, rapid, precise, and reproducible reporting of findings is beneficial for efficient patient management (figure 3F). Here, automatic recognition and translation of voice into text –speech recognition– was one of the first examples of AI integration into the imaging workflow. Despite unresolved challenges, application in radiology departments has already shown benefits in reducing reporting time and costs, as well as increasing productivity.⁶¹ Furthermore, AI could serve as a “fail-proof” for study reporting –echocardiography reports can be

analysed by artificial neural networks to predict patient mortality and hospital readmissions for heart failure patients.⁶² NLP can help clinical interpretation of reports and report drafting by assessing post-test risk after myocardial perfusion imaging,⁶³ where underestimation of ischemia in reporting has been previously noted. Another crucial challenge is the failure to follow-up imaging recommendations, potentially leading to patient health deterioration, failed advanced treatment and rise of costs. Scalable and automated follow-up detection NLP algorithms can therefore be useful to determine adherence rates for follow-up imaging and define patients who may benefit most from potential engagement, with the aim of mitigating risk.⁶⁴

Medical interventions: guidance from AI and imaging

AI fields, including ML, NLP, computer vision and robotics, have generated high interest to address challenges in the field of interventional cardiology with the aim of improving real-time decision making, streamlining workflows in the catheterization laboratory and standardizing catheter based procedures through advanced robotics.⁶⁵ A clear example can be found in the imaging solutions for transcatheter aortic valve replacement procedure planning and valve choice. In transcatheter aortic valve replacement, CT is the current standard for the determination of prosthesis sizing, however, automated 3D transoesophageal echocardiography software can allow modelling and reproducible quantification of aortic annular and root dimensions with high correlation of measurements with CT.⁶⁶ Furthermore, post- transcatheter aortic valve replacement, there is a lack of a solid reference method to assess paravalvular regurgitation which can be addressed using an ultrasound simulation-based pipeline.⁶⁷ In the field of heart failure, unsupervised ML has been applied to integrate whole-cycle echocardiographic data and heterogeneous clinical data to predict response to cardiac resynchronization therapy.⁶⁸ DSS and risk assessment after hospital discharge have also seen advances –a boosted ensemble algorithm showed greater prognostic value of predicting CAD than current integrated coronary CT angiography risk scores by maximizing usage of stenosis and plaque composition information.⁶⁹ Moreover, a ML integration of clinical and CT angiography data

predicted 5-year all-cause mortality (AUC, 0.79), performing significantly better than existing metrics. Similar approaches have been proposed in prediction of 3-year major adverse cardiac events in patients undergoing single-photon emission CT myocardial perfusion imaging, where ML integration of clinical, stress and imaging variables was found to have a superior predictive accuracy as compared to visual or automated perfusion assessment in isolation.⁷⁰

Clinical research: data availability and fully automated data analysis

The described AI integration and automation of analysis can advance patient care in various clinical settings; however, integration also bears relevant implications for clinical research (figure 1, figure 4). The quality and size of the available datasets determines the quality of the ML-derived results. A major obstacle here is the need for high-quality expert annotations in imaging datasets, as labelling carries inherent uncertainties, biases and assumptions. Recently, self-supervised methods have been suggested to tackle this problem due to their ability to explore data that has not been labelled.⁷¹ Additionally, synthetic data, with realistic properties and imaging modality specific noise textures, can help bypass the problem by being used in the training procedure of the ML algorithms in addition to the real clinical data. As an example, a generative adversarial network has been used for the synthesis of realistic CT images based on body phantoms with the goal of increasing the dataset size to improve training and performance of vessel segmentation networks.⁷² Furthermore, many ML algorithms are limited by a scarcity of large and heterogeneous datasets –the majority of available studies are confined to single-centre cohorts or cohorts from specific populations, however, ML has shown capacity to integrate data from different datasets to achieve a more robust analysis.⁷³ In addition, there is increasing initiative to expand the availability of imaging databases, biobanks, bioresources and registries for ML training –an example would be the UK Biobank initiative or the REFINE-SPECT (REgistry of Fast Myocardial Perfusion Imaging with NExt generation SPECT) registry.⁸ Nevertheless, data sharing and a lack of efficient methodologies to satisfy all involved stakeholders remains a common challenge. Next-generation methods for federated, decentralized ML have been

proposed to replace the current paradigm of data sharing and centralized storage –algorithms could be distributed to sites or devices where data is kept to perform tasks locally, returning results to the central repository to update the main algorithm.⁷⁴ Ideas to integrate solutions from the financial sector, such as blockchain technology, have also been proposed to decentralized databases, secure traceable and scalable data exchange, and integrate AI tools that are blockchain-enabled, distributed, and tied to a system of incentives that flow to the owner of each data on the basis of its value.⁷⁵

Finally, when datasets are available, high-quality analysis needs to be performed. Recent reports envision a fully automated analysis - a CNN network, using echocardiographic images, was used to identify local cardiac structures, perform automated measurements of structure and function, and predict phenotypes that modify cardiovascular risk - based solely on imaging data.²⁸ Another recent study performed a retrospective analysis on a 10-year echo database from diverse vendors, showing that CNN algorithms can support scalable, low-cost analysis within the healthcare system in a reasonable timeframe.³¹ Importantly, the application of these automated processing tools warrants quality control. Manual inspection of each segmentation is not feasible in larger cohorts, therefore approaches such as reverse classification accuracy show potential for accurate and fully automatic quality control, as shown on a large number of CMR cases from the UK Biobank study.⁷⁶ Moreover, parameters calculated from the automated segmentations, such as stroke volume of the left and right ventricle, can be tracked, and exams with disproportionate stroke volumes flagged. Additional ML algorithms, such as support vector machines, can be used to classify outputs of ML algorithms as abnormal or normal.³³ Lastly, research data is not only stored in images. Management of cardiac patients produces an immense stream of clinical data, most commonly in non-standardized, unstructured reports, not feasible for immediate analysis. As discussed earlier, NLP algorithms can play a crucial role, extracting cardiac concepts from multiple centre-derived free-text and semi-structured reports. The promise of such technologies represents an important link in automation of database analysis for clinical research.

CLINICAL, TECHNICAL AND ETHICAL CONCERNS OF INTEGRATING AI INTO PATIENT MANAGEMENT

Parallel to all potential benefits, continuous concerns are present regarding overreliance and dependence on the capabilities of automation, with fear that they might eventually result in clinician deskilling - manual dexterity in echocardiography or loss of skill to analyse and independently interpret cardiac imaging studies. However, appropriate application of AI in different parts of the clinical workflow aim at enriching, not replacing, current clinical practice. Communication and data collection during ambulatory visits can remain unchanged in their essence, but become more efficient, opening up time for clinician-patient interaction. Structural or functional quantification can become faster and standardised through automation, freeing up considerable time, but always with the option to review and adjust segmentations. Furthermore, contrary to deskilling, AI can also be used for educational purposes - acquisition guidance can train less-experienced operators, transfer learning frameworks teach cardiac anatomy,⁷⁷ and DL solutions serve as a learning tool as they can achieve better results than resident readers when assessing wall motion abnormalities.⁵² An additional concern fears the dismissal of the holistic approach to patient care, predicting that focus will shift towards data features, as opposed to the patient complexity behind the data. However, it can be argued that AI might actually expand the horizon of the holistic approach through comprehensive multimodality data integration - integrating clinical assessment data, imaging data, molecular and genetic data, as well as electronic health records, as discussed previously. Such improvements have paved the way towards the concept of the “digital twin” –a virtual tool that integrates clinical data acquired over time to create a dynamic and comprehensive representation patient at hand⁷⁸– streamlining an unprecedented and personalized approach to patient care.

To achieve full potential of AI, generalizability and interpretability will have to be rigorously addressed. As opposed to the promise of AI driving a personalized approach to patient care, the generalization seen in traditional cohorts or randomized control studies (i.e. population-based findings used to treat individuals) is also a problem embedded in AI solutions. An algorithm trained on biased data (images from a local cohort or a specific vendor), might not perform well in a real-world

setting, hence, the correct interpretation of a case with a pathology/phenotype outside the training data is not feasible. Transfer learning, through the combination of CNN-generative adversarial network architecture, has been used to improve the performance of DL algorithms when applied to data from alternate vendors, providing a solution to the common challenge of applying an algorithm to multicentre, multivendor data.⁷⁹ Combined with internal validation (i.e. multiple split-sample regimens like x-fold validation), multicentre and external (i.e. prediction in a new, unrelated dataset) validation is crucial in demonstrating generalizability. Furthermore, public availability of the data and algorithms, as advocated through open data and open source initiatives, and the replication by other research groups, could strengthen the trust in the model, although such approaches are limited by commercial interests. Comprehensive quality control of ML algorithms can be achieved through tools that enable performance measurement, monitoring, and feedback and accountability mechanisms.⁸⁰

In a generalizable, integrated AI algorithm, the need for tools to explore the reasoning behind algorithmic outputs will always be paramount for interpretability and crucial for building trust and adoptability. When available, an intuitive and motivated explanation of the decision process should be presented, backed-up by a highlight of important data to provide pathophysiological interpretation and enable understanding on how each of the numerous variables contributed to the final output, such as velocity data explaining alterations in diastolic and systolic function in separate patient clusters.⁴⁶ In situations where the applied algorithm is a black box, novel methodologies can help by “getting inside the box” - occlusion testing experiments include testing accuracy of classification after masking different parts of the input image, while saliency maps show the pixels in the image weighted most heavily in the neural network classification decision.^{27,28} Nevertheless, decisions made by more complex algorithms can currently be challenging to interpret, making potential integration into the clinical setting an ethical challenge.

Besides many ethical considerations regarding the use of patient medical data, AI encompasses a wider scope. Many ML algorithms are developed and validated, on advanced and expensive modalities –commonly performed in high-income countries and high-level centers.⁸¹

Patients from low- or middle- income countries are often underrepresented. Therefore, the question is if AI can aid in resolving health inequalities, or result in the deepening of existing ones. However, when appropriately used, AI can help democratize health care, by reducing costs and bringing imaging to regions with limited specialized expertise. For example, some reports demonstrate DL input can consist of reduced size medical images, reaching 96-99% savings in files size compared to images standardly used in clinical assessment.²⁷ Implications include less storage capacity in resource-poor regions, easier data sharing, and utilization of older scanners with lower resolution. However, similar to past examples of general-purpose technologies –electricity or computers– the full effects of AI in healthcare will not be realized until waves of complementary innovations are developed and implemented.⁸² Likewise, implantation will strongly depend on the attitude of healthcare providers and the public towards novel technologies. As an example, attitudes towards data sharing for AI development highlight the importance of trust in institutions and clear communication of potential benefits.⁸³ Moreover, recent studies revealed patient resistance to AI solutions –patient preference is highly towards human interaction rather than automated, even if it means lower performance.⁸⁴ Focusing on the uniqueness of each patient in care delivery, perceived personalization of medical care by increasing the amount of integrated user information or incorporating cues indicating a patient uniqueness could all be highly relevant for the receptiveness to AI.⁸⁴

CONCLUSION

After a steady infiltration into healthcare, and a robust set of literature demonstrating proofs-of-concept and potential benefits of AI implementation in cardiology, the vision of comprehensive integration of AI into the standard cardiac patient management and workflow of cardiac information is becoming a palpable reality. Regardless of many challenges to face –technical questions, challenges of implementation, appropriateness for specific tasks, and ethical dilemmas– AI can inevitably bring value to patient care. With all the positive consequences AI will offer, the most valuable one could be the return of time into the hands of clinicians, resulting in a shift of focus back to the essential, and

most valuable, physician-patient relationship –but this time, through an unprecedented, efficient and personalized approach.

FUNDING

This work was partially supported by Horizon 2020 European Commission Project H2020-MSCA-ITN-2016 (764738), the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities under the Retos I+D Programme (RTI2018-101193-B-I00), the Maria de Maeztu Units of Excellence Programme (MDM-2015-0502), and Fundació La Marató de TV3” (20154031).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat Med.* 2019;25:44-56.
2. Townsend N, Wilson L, Bhatnagar P, Wickramasinghe K, Rayner M, Nichols M. Cardiovascular disease in Europe: epidemiological update 2016. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:3232-3245.
3. Dey D, Slomka PJ, Leeson P, et al. Artificial Intelligence in Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73:1317-1335.
4. de Marvao A, Dawes TJW, O’Regan DP. Artificial Intelligence for Cardiac Imaging-Genetics Research. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2020;6:195.
5. Gandhi S, Mosleh W, Shen J, Chow C-M. Automation, machine learning, and artificial intelligence in echocardiography: A brave new world. *Echocardiography.* 2018;35:1402-1418.

6. Al'Aref SJ, Anchouche K, Singh G, et al. Clinical applications of machine learning in cardiovascular disease and its relevance to cardiac imaging. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:1975-1986.
7. Krittanawong C, Johnson KW, Rosenson RS, et al. Deep learning for cardiovascular medicine: a practical primer. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40:2058-2073.
8. Martin-Isla C, Campello VM, Izquierdo C, et al. Image-Based Cardiac Diagnosis With Machine Learning: A Review. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2020;7:1.
9. Dorado-Díaz PI, Sampedro-Gómez J, Vicente-Palacios V, Sánchez PL. Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Cardiology. The Future is Already Here. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2019;72:1065-1075.
10. Ryan P, Luz S, Albert P, Vogel C, Normand C, Elwyn G. Using artificial intelligence to assess clinicians' communication skills. *BMJ*. 2019;364.
11. Darcy AM, Louie AK, Roberts LW. Machine Learning and the Profession of Medicine. *JAMA*. 2016;315:551-552.
12. Wosik Jedrek, Si Shijing, Heno Ricardo, Carin Lawrence, Patel Manesh R. Abstract 14805: Artificial Intelligence to Identify Commonly Asked Questions via an Electronic Patient Portal - Lessons From a Cardiology Department Within a Large Health System. *Circulation*. 2019;140(Suppl_1):A14805-A14805.
13. Bizzo BC, Almeida RR, Michalski MH, Alkasab TK. Artificial Intelligence and Clinical Decision Support for Radiologists and Referring Providers. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019;16:1351-1356.
14. Devarakonda MV, Mehta N, Tsou C-H, Liang JJ, Nowacki AS, Jelovsek JE. Automated problem list generation and physicians perspective from a pilot study. *Int J Med Inform*. 2017;105:121-129.

15. Moon S, Liu S, Scott CG, et al. Automated extraction of sudden cardiac death risk factors in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients by natural language processing. *Int J Med Inform.* 2019;128:32-38.
16. Adler ED, Voors AA, Klein L, et al. Improving risk prediction in heart failure using machine learning. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2020;22:139-147.
17. Doyle J, Abraham S, Feeney L, Reimer S, Finkelstein A. Clinical decision support for high-cost imaging: A randomized clinical trial. *PLoS One.* 2019;14:e0213373.
18. Lin FY, Dunning AM, Narula J, et al. Impact of an Automated Multimodality Point-of-Order Decision Support Tool on Rates of Appropriate Testing and Clinical Decision Making for Individuals With Suspected Coronary Artery Disease: A Prospective Multicenter Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;62:308-316.
19. Kalra A, Chakraborty A, Fine B, Reicher J. Machine Learning for Automation of Radiology Protocols for Quality and Efficiency Improvement. *J Am Coll Radiol.*
20. Langet H, Bonopera M, De Craene M, et al. Turning novices into experts: can artificial intelligence transform echocardiography training? [abstract]. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2020;21(Suppl 1):i293.
21. Voelker R. Cardiac Ultrasound Uses Artificial Intelligence to Produce Images. *JAMA.* 2020;323:1034-1034.
22. Bustin A, Fuin N, Botnar RM, Prieto C. From Compressed-Sensing to Artificial Intelligence-Based Cardiac MRI Reconstruction. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2020;7:17.
23. Kerfoot E, Puyol-Antón E, Ruijsink B, et al. Synthesising Images and Labels Between MR Sequence Types with CycleGAN. In: Wang Q. et al. (eds) Domain Adaptation and Representation Transfer and

Medical Image Learning with Less Labels and Imperfect Data. DART 2019, MIL3ID 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 11795. Cham: Springer; 2019.

24. van den Oever LB, Vonder M, van Assen M, et al. Application of artificial intelligence in cardiac CT: From basics to clinical practice. *Eur J Radiol.* 2020;128:108969.

25. Moghaddasi H, Nourian S. Automatic assessment of mitral regurgitation severity based on extensive textural features on 2D echocardiography videos. *Comput Biol Med.* 2016;73:47-55.

26. Gao XW, Li W, Loomes MJ, Wang L. A fused deep learning architecture for viewpoint classification of echocardiography. *Inform Fusion.* 2017;36:103-113.

27. Madani A, Arnaout R, Mofrad M, Arnaout R. Fast and accurate view classification of echocardiograms using deep learning. *NPJ Digit Med.* 2018;1:6.

28. Ghorbani A, Ouyang D, Abid A, et al. Deep learning interpretation of echocardiograms *NPJ Digit Med.* 2020;3:1-10.

29. Neisius U, El-Rewaify H, Nakamori S, Rodriguez J, Manning WJ, Nezafat R. Radiomic Analysis of Myocardial Native T1 Imaging Discriminates Between Hypertensive Heart Disease and Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2019;12:1946-1954.

30. Vidya KS, Ng EYK, Acharya UR, Chou SM, Tan RS, Ghista DN. Computer-aided diagnosis of Myocardial Infarction using ultrasound images with DWT, GLCM and HOS methods: A comparative study. *Comput Biol Med.* 2015;62:86-93.

31. Zhang J, Gajjala S, Agrawal P, et al. Fully Automated Echocardiogram Interpretation in Clinical Practice: Feasibility and Diagnostic Accuracy. *Circulation.* 2018;138:1623-1635.

32. Jin H, Heo C, Kim JH. Deep learning-enabled accurate normalization of reconstruction kernel effects on emphysema quantification in low-dose CT. *Phys Med Biol.* 2019;64:135010.

33. Ruijsink B, Puyol-Antón E, Oksuz I, et al. Fully Automated, Quality-Controlled Cardiac Analysis From CMR: Validation and Large-Scale Application to Characterize Cardiac Function. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;13:684-695.
34. Oksuz I, Ruijsink B, Puyol-Antón E, et al. Automatic CNN-based detection of cardiac MR motion artefacts using k-space data augmentation and curriculum learning. *Med Image Anal*. 2019;55:136-147.
35. Liu Y, Gopalakrishnan V. An Overview and Evaluation of Recent Machine Learning Imputation Methods Using Cardiac Imaging Data. *Data (Basel)*. 2017;2:8.
36. Otani K, Nabeshima Y, Kitano T, Takeuchi M. Accuracy of fully automated right ventricular quantification software with 3D echocardiography: direct comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance and semi-automated quantification software. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;21:787-795.
37. Bratt A, Kim J, Pollie M, et al. Machine learning derived segmentation of phase velocity encoded cardiovascular magnetic resonance for fully automated aortic flow quantification. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2019;21:1.
38. Backhaus SJ, Staab W, Steinmetz M, et al. Fully automated quantification of biventricular volumes and function in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: applicability to clinical routine settings. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2019;21:24.
39. Knackstedt C, Bekkers SCAM, Schummers G, et al. Fully Automated Versus Standard Tracking of Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction and Longitudinal Strain: The FAST-EFs Multicenter Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;66:1456-1466.

40. Tsang W, Salgo IS, Medvedofsky D, et al. Transthoracic 3D Echocardiographic Left Heart Chamber Quantification Using an Automated Adaptive Analytics Algorithm. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;9:769-782.
41. Aquila I, González A, Fernández-Golfín C, et al. Reproducibility of a novel echocardiographic 3D automated software for the assessment of mitral valve anatomy. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound*. 2016;14:17.
42. Bhuva Anish N., Bai Wenjia, Lau Clement, et al. A Multicenter, Scan-Rescan, Human and Machine Learning CMR Study to Test Generalizability and Precision in Imaging Biomarker Analysis. *Cir Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12:e009214.
43. Sirol M, Gratioux J, Urtado S, Charron P, Mansencal N, Dubourg O. Comparison of semi-automated quantification methods of late gadolinium enhancement with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [Abstract]. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis Suppl*. 2019;11:54.
44. Campello VM, Martín-Isla C, Izquierdo C, Petersen SE, Ballester MAG, Lekadir K. Combining Multi-Sequence and Synthetic Images for Improved Segmentation of Late Gadolinium Enhancement Cardiac MRI. In: Pop M, et al. (eds). *Statistical Atlases and Computational Models of the Heart. Multi-Sequence CMR Segmentation, CRT-EPiggy and LV Full Quantification Challenges. STACOM 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 12009. Cham: Springer; 2020.
45. Berberoğlu E, Stoeck C, Moireau P, Kozerke S, Genet M. Validation of Finite Element Image Registration-based Cardiac Strain Estimation from Magnetic Resonance Images. *PAMM*. 2019;19:e201900418.
46. Sanchez-Martinez S, Duchateau N, Erdei T, et al. Machine Learning Analysis of Left Ventricular Function to Characterize Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:e007138.

47. Shah SJ, Katz DH, Selvaraj S, et al. Phenomapping for Novel Classification of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circulation*. 2015;131:269-279.
48. Tabassian M, Sunderji I, Erdei T, et al. Diagnosis of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: Machine Learning of Spatiotemporal Variations in Left Ventricular Deformation. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*. 2018;31:1272-1284.e9.
49. Aung N, Vargas JD, Yang C, et al. Genome-Wide Analysis of Left Ventricular Image-Derived Phenotypes Identifies Fourteen Loci Associated With Cardiac Morphogenesis and Heart Failure Development. *Circulation*. 2019;140:1318-1330.
50. Schafer S, de Marvao A, Adami E, et al. Titin-truncating variants affect heart function in disease cohorts and the general population. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49:46-53.
51. Bom MJ, Levin E, Driessen RS, et al. Predictive value of targeted proteomics for coronary plaque morphology in patients with suspected coronary artery disease. *EBioMedicine*. 2019;39:109-117.
52. Kusunose K, Abe T, Haga A, et al. A Deep Learning Approach for Assessment of Regional Wall Motion Abnormality From Echocardiographic Images. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;13:374-381.
53. Zhang N, Yang G, Gao Z, et al. Deep Learning for Diagnosis of Chronic Myocardial Infarction on Nonenhanced Cardiac Cine MRI. *Radiology*. 2019;291:606-617.
54. Mannil M, von Spiczak J, Muehlematter UJ, et al. Texture analysis of myocardial infarction in CT: Comparison with visual analysis and impact of iterative reconstruction. *Eur J Radiol*. 2019;113:245-250.
55. Segar MW, Patel KV, Ayers C, et al. Phenomapping of patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction using machine learning-based unsupervised cluster analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2020;22:148-158.

56. Betancur J, Commandeur F, Motlagh M, et al. Deep Learning for Prediction of Obstructive Disease From Fast Myocardial Perfusion SPECT: A Multicenter Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:1654-1663.
57. Han D, Lee JH, Rizvi A, et al. Incremental role of resting myocardial computed tomography perfusion for predicting physiologically significant coronary artery disease: A machine learning approach. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2018;25:223-233.
58. Coenen A, Kim Y-H, Kruk M, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of a Machine-Learning Approach to Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography-Based Fractional Flow Reserve: Result From the MACHINE Consortium. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:e007217.
59. Kolossváry M, Karády J, Szilveszter B, et al. Radiomic Features Are Superior to Conventional Quantitative Computed Tomographic Metrics to Identify Coronary Plaques With Napkin-Ring Sign. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:e006843.
60. Narula S, Shameer K, Salem Omar AM, Dudley JT, Sengupta PP. Machine-Learning Algorithms to Automate Morphological and Functional Assessments in 2D Echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:2287-2295.
61. Goss FR, Blackley SV, Ortega CA, et al. A clinician survey of using speech recognition for clinical documentation in the electronic health record. *Int J Med Inform*. 2019;130:103938.
62. Alzahrani Talal, Choi Brian, Krepp Joseph, Lewis Jannet F. Predicting Clinical Outcomes of Inpatients With Heart Failure Based on Echocardiogram Reports Using Natural Language Processing and Deep Learning Models [Abstract]. *Circulation*. 2019;140(Suppl_1):A15630.
63. Levy AE, Shah NR, Matheny ME, Reeves RM, Gobbel GT, Bradley SM. Determining post-test risk in a national sample of stress nuclear myocardial perfusion imaging reports: Implications for natural language processing tools. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2019;26:1878-1885.

64. Mabotuwana T, Hall CS, Hombal V, et al. Automated Tracking of Follow-Up Imaging Recommendations. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2019;212:1287-1294.
65. Sardar P, Abbott JD, Kundu A, Aronow HD, Granada JF, Giri J. Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Interventional Cardiology. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;12:1293-1303.
66. Prihadi EA, van Rosendael PJ, Vollema EM, Bax JJ, Delgado V, Ajmone Marsan N. Feasibility, Accuracy, and Reproducibility of Aortic Annular and Root Sizing for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Using Novel Automated Three-Dimensional Echocardiographic Software: Comparison with Multi-Detector Row Computed Tomography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2018;31:505-514.e3.
67. Queirós S, Gao H, Santis GD, et al. Three-dimensional color Doppler ultrasound simulation to mimic paravalvular regurgitation. In: *Medical Imaging 2019: Ultrasonic Imaging and Tomography*. Vol 10955. Washington: International Society for Optics and Photonics; 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2512785>.
68. Cikes M, Sanchez-Martinez S, Claggett B, et al. Machine learning-based phenogrouping in heart failure to identify responders to cardiac resynchronization therapy: Machine learning-based approach to patient selection for CRT. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2019;21:74-85.
69. van Rosendael AR, Maliakal G, Kolli KK, et al. Maximization of the usage of coronary CTA derived plaque information using a machine learning based algorithm to improve risk stratification; insights from the CONFIRM registry. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr*. 2018;12:204-209.
70. Betancur J, Otaki Y, Motwani M, et al. Prognostic value of combined clinical and myocardial perfusion imaging data using machine learning. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:1000-1009.
71. Taleb A, Loetzsch W, Danz N, et al. 3D Self-Supervised Methods for Medical Imaging [Preprint]. arXiv:2006.03829.

72. Russ T, Goerttler S, Schnurr A-K, et al. Synthesis of CT images from digital body phantoms using CycleGAN. *Int J CARS*. 2019;14:1741-1750.
73. Cikes M, Sanchez Martinez S, Claggett B, Solomon SD, Bijmens B. Machine-learning integration of complex echocardiographic patterns and clinical parameters from cohorts and trials [Abstract]. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40(Suppl 1):ehz745.0147.
74. Kaissis GA, Makowski MR, Rückert D, Braren RF. Secure, privacy-preserving and federated machine learning in medical imaging. *Nat Mac Intell*. 2020;2:305-311.
75. Krittanawong C, Rogers AJ, Aydar M, et al. Integrating blockchain technology with artificial intelligence for cardiovascular medicine. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2020;17:1-3.
76. Robinson R, Valindria VV, Bai W, et al. Automated quality control in image segmentation: application to the UK Biobank cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2019;21:18.
77. Teng L, Fu Z, Ma Q, et al. Interactive Echocardiography Translation Using Few-Shot GAN Transfer Learning. *Comput Math Method M*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1487035>.
78. Corral-Acero J, Margara F, Marciniak M, et al. The 'Digital Twin' to enable the vision of precision cardiology. *Eur Heart J*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa159>.
79. Yan W, Wang Y, Gu S, et al. The Domain Shift Problem of Medical Image Segmentation and Vendor-Adaptation by Unet-GAN. In: Shen D. et al., eds. *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2019*. MICCAI 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 11765. Cham: Springer; 2019.
80. Larson DB, Boland GW. Imaging Quality Control in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019;16:1259-1266.

81. Kramer CM. Potential for Rapid and Cost-Effective Cardiac Magnetic Resonance in the Developing (and Developed) World. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e010435.

82. Brynjolfsson E, Rock D, Syverson C. Artificial Intelligence and the Modern Productivity Paradox: A Clash of Expectations and Statistics. Cambridge, Massachusetts: National Bureau of Economic Research; 2017. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w24001>.

83. Fenech ME, Buston O. AI in Cardiac Imaging: A UK-Based Perspective on Addressing the Ethical, Social, and Political Challenges. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2020;7:54.

84. Longoni C, Bonezzi A, Morewedge CK. Resistance to Medical Artificial Intelligence. *J Consum Res.* 2019;46:629-650.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. A scheme showing the potential targets for artificial intelligence integration in a cardiac patient management workflow.

Figure 2. A schematic showing a selection of fields and sub-fields in the topic of artificial intelligence.

Figure 3. A pipeline showing various parts of the cardiac imaging workflow. Artificial intelligence (AI) can be integrated throughout the pipeline with to goal of achieving automation, standardization and data integration, as well as improved efficiency and accuracy.

Figure 4. A scheme showing the process of artificial intelligence (AI) mediated data integration leading to improved patient phenotyping, personalized treatment, and clinical research.