

Intensive agriculture reduces soil biodiversity across Europe

Running head: Intensive agriculture and soil biodiversity

**MARIA A. TSIAFOULI^{*1}, ELISA THÉBAULT², STEFANOS P. SGARDELIS¹,
PETER C. DE RUITER³, WIM H. VAN DER PUTTEN^{4,5}, KLAUS BIRKHOFFER⁶, LIA
HEMERIK³, FRANCISKA T. DE VRIES⁷, RICHARD D. BARDGETT⁷, MARK
VINCENT BRADY⁸, LISA BJORN LUND⁹, HELENE BRACHT JØRGENSEN⁶,
SÖREN CHRISTENSEN⁹, TINA D' HERTEFELDT⁶, STEFAN HOTES^{10,11}, W.H.
GERA HOL⁴, JAN FROUZ¹², MIRA LIIRI¹³, SIMON R. MORTIMER¹⁴, HEIKKI
SETÄLÄ¹³, JOSEPH TZANOPOULOS¹⁵, KAROLINE UTESENY¹⁶, VÁCLAV PIŽL¹⁷,
JOSEF STARY¹⁷, VOLKMAR WOLTERS¹¹ and KATARINA HEDLUND⁶**

¹*Department of Ecology, School of Biology, Aristotle University, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece.*

²*Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences of Paris, iEES-Paris UMR 7618 (CNRS, UMPC, IRD, INRA, UPEC), University Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005 Paris, France.*

³*Biometris, Mathematical and Statistical Methods, Wageningen University, 6700 AC Wageningen, The Netherlands.*

⁴*Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), 6700 AB, Wageningen, The Netherlands.*

⁵*Laboratory of Nematology, Wageningen University, 6700 ES Wageningen, The Netherlands.*

⁶*Department of Biology, Lund University, SE 22362 Lund, Sweden.*

⁷*Faculty of Life Sciences, The University of Manchester, M13 9PT Manchester, United Kingdom.*

⁸*Department of Economics, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), S-220 07 Lund, Sweden.*

24 ⁹*Department of Biology, Terrestrial Ecology, University of Copenhagen, 1353 Copenhagen*
 25 *K, Denmark.*

26 ¹⁰*Department of Ecology, Philipps-University, 35043 Marburg, Germany.*

27 ¹¹*Department of Animal Ecology, Justus Liebig University, 35392 Giessen, Germany.*

28 ¹²*Institute of Soil Biology, Biology Centre Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 370 05*
 29 *Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic.*

30 ¹³*Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, FI 15140 Lahti, Finland.*

31 ¹⁴*Centre for Agri-Environmental Research, School of Agriculture, Policy & Development,*
 32 *University of Reading, RG6 6AR Reading, United Kingdom.*

33 ¹⁵*School of Anthropology and Conservation, The University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NR*
 34 *Kent, United Kingdom.*

35 ¹⁶*Department of Conservation Biology, Vegetation and Landscape Ecology, University of*
 36 *Vienna, 1030 Vienna, Austria.*

37 ¹⁷*Institute of Soil Biology, Biology Centre Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, CZ*
 38 *37005 Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic.*

39 * **Correspondence:** Maria A. Tsiafouli, tel: +30 2310 998997, fax: +30 2310 998379, e-mail:
 40 tsiafoul@bio.auth.gr

41

42 **Keywords**

43 agricultural intensification, body mass, ecosystem services, functional groups, soil food web,
 44 taxonomic breadth, taxonomic distinctness, terrestrial ecosystems

45 **Paper type:** Primary Research Article

Abstract

Soil biodiversity plays a key role in regulating the processes that underpin the delivery of ecosystem goods and services in terrestrial ecosystems. Agricultural intensification is known to change the diversity of individual groups of soil biota, but less is known about how intensification affects biodiversity of the soil food web as a whole, and whether or not these effects may be generalized across regions. We examined biodiversity in soil food webs from grasslands, extensive and intensive rotations in four agricultural regions across Europe: in Sweden, the UK, the Czech Republic and Greece. Effects of land use intensity were quantified based on structure and diversity among functional groups in the soil food web, as well as on community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna. We also elucidate land use intensity effects on diversity of taxonomic units within taxonomic groups of soil fauna. We found that between regions soil food web diversity measures were variable, but that increasing land use intensity caused highly consistent responses. In particular, land use intensification reduced the complexity in the soil food webs, as well as the community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna. In all regions across Europe, species richness of earthworms, Collembolans and oribatid mites was negatively affected by increased land use intensity. The taxonomic distinctness, which is a measure of taxonomic relatedness of species in a community that is independent of species richness, was also reduced by land use intensification. We conclude that intensive agriculture reduces soil biodiversity, making soil food webs less diverse and composed of smaller bodied organisms. Land use intensification results in fewer functional groups of soil biota with fewer and taxonomically more closely related species. We discuss how these changes in soil biodiversity due to land use intensification may threaten the functioning of soil in agricultural production systems.

Introduction

Soil biodiversity plays a key role in regulating processes that underpin the delivery of ecosystem goods and services in terrestrial ecosystems (Barrios, 2007; Eisenhauer *et al.*, 2012; Wall *et al.*, 2012; de Vries *et al.*, 2013, Wagg *et al.*, 2014). Among the threats to soil biodiversity, land use change due to agricultural intensification and subsequent loss of soil organic matter are considered major drivers (Gardi *et al.*, 2013). Negative effects of intensive agricultural land use on soil biodiversity have been often observed. However, the majority of studies has focused on abundance, species richness, and community structure of single (e.g. Yeates *et al.*, 1999; Sousa *et al.*, 2006; Feijoo *et al.*, 2011) or limited amounts of taxonomic groups of soil biota, or single sites (e.g. Wardle *et al.*, 1999; Postma-Blaauw *et al.*, 2010; Wickings & Grandy, 2013). Alternative approaches have considered soil food webs that aggregate species or taxa to functional groups based on their trophic positions and taxonomy (Moore *et al.*, 1989). Food web approaches can be useful for predicting transfer rates of nutrients, carbon and energy between the trophic positions and through the community (Hunt *et al.*, 1987; de Ruiter *et al.*, 1993), but the metrics that they provide are more indicative of ecosystem processes and functioning, rather than providing information on soil biodiversity. As most studies are either incidental (too few groups) or too general (food web approaches), or focusing on only one or few sites a good perspective on consequences of global land use intensification across a variety of regions is still lacking.

The possible consequences of loss of species from food webs due to agricultural intensification have mainly focused on terrestrial above-ground host-parasitoid systems (e.g. Albrecht *et al.*, 2007; Tylianakis *et al.*, 2007; Macfadyen *et al.*, 2009; Lohaus *et al.*, 2013), whereas such knowledge on soil food webs is mainly lacking. Understanding the consequences of agricultural land use on soil biodiversity requires taking into account that biodiversity is a multidimensional concept (Purvis & Hector, 2000). Changes in diversity

94 within one group in the food web can affect diversity of another group through bottom-up or
95 top down effects (Gessner *et al.*, 2010), thereby affecting food web properties, including food
96 web structure, diversity or stability (Neutel *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, when analyzing soil
97 biodiversity responses to land use intensification, various aspects of diversity and ecologically
98 relevant properties, such as body mass, have to be addressed; both for the entire soil food web
99 and its components.

100 The aim of the present study was to test how agricultural intensification can impact on
101 soil biodiversity across agricultural regions that vary in a number of aspects, including soil
102 types and climatic conditions. We analyzed effects of agricultural intensification on structure
103 and diversity of almost all components of the soil food webs, on diversity of their components
104 (soil faunal taxonomic groups) and on community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna in
105 four European regions, represented by southern Sweden, southern UK, western Czech
106 Republic and northern Greece. We have recently shown that land use intensification in these
107 four regions profoundly changes ecosystem processes (de Vries *et al.*, 2013). In the present
108 study, we also examine how general diversity measures, measures that incorporate
109 information about the taxonomic relatedness of species within soil faunal taxonomic groups,
110 and community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna as an important trait value of the soil
111 biota are influenced by increased land use intensity. The latter diversity measures have not yet
112 been explored in soil communities, but can offer a way to measure complementary aspects of
113 species diversity (Gascón *et al.*, 2009), which could indicate functionally important aspects of
114 community composition (Srivastava *et al.*, 2012).

115 We considered 19 different functional groups of the soil food web, namely bacteria,
116 saprophytic fungi, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, amoebae, flagellates, enchytraeids,
117 earthworms, Collembolans (bacterivorous, fungivorous, phytophagous, omnivorous and
118 predaceous), mites (fungivorous and predaceous), as well as nematodes (bacterivorous,

119 fungivorous, plant associated, plant parasitic and omnivorous/predaceous). Specifically, we
120 quantified effects of agricultural land use intensity on the average trophic level and the
121 diversity among functional groups in the soil food web, as well as on the diversity within four
122 soil faunal taxonomic groups (earthworms, oribatid mites, Collembolans and nematodes). In
123 addition, we determined whether changes in diversity among functional groups may be related
124 to changes in diversity within soil faunal taxonomic groups. Finally, we established land use
125 intensification effects on community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna, as this is an
126 important trait value of the soil biota.

Material and methods

Field sites, soil sampling and analysis

We collected soil samples from farms in southern Sweden (region Scania: SE), southern UK (region Chilterns: UK), western Czech Republic (region České Budějovice: CZ) and northern Greece (region Kria Brisi: GR). The regions and farms were chosen to represent replicating agricultural management types across Europe, irrespective of soil types and climate. The annual mean/min/max temperature at the different sites are: 7.8/6.6/9.6 °C (SE), 9.5/5.5/13.5 °C (UK), 7.9/3/13 °C (CZ) and 14/4/31 °C (GR). The annual precipitation is 666 mm, 625 mm, 700 mm and 435 mm respectively. The dominant soil types are Calcaric Cambisol (SE), Chromic Luvisol, Leptosol (UK), Stagnic Luvisol, Dystric Cambisol (CZ), and Fluvisol (GR).

Soil samples were collected at two occasions: autumn-winter 2008 and spring-summer 2009. The precise date of sampling differed between countries to ensure similar phenological status of the growing crop, i.e: SE and UK: November 2008, June 2009, GR: December 2008, April 2009, CZ: November 2008, May 2009. At each sampling occasion, in each region sampling was done at five farms, each including three management types. The management types were: low intensity (grasslands (G)); medium intensity (extensive rotations (E), where a legume or grass is present in a 5 year rotation and kept for at least a year - tilled at most every two years); and high intensity (intensive rotation (I) with annual crops and winter wheat at the time of sampling - annually tilled). This nested design resulted in 60 sampling sites (4 regions \times 5 farms \times 3 management types). In each site (i.e. field), two plots of 1 m² each were randomly selected for sampling but were at least 15 m away from the edge of the field and separated from each other by at least 50 m. Duplicate samples (i.e from the same sampling site) were analyzed separately but data were averaged prior to statistical analyses. Additional

151 details on climate, soil properties and management of sites are given in de Vries *et al.* (2013)
152 (see SI, Tables S4-S7).

153 For earthworms soil monoliths of 25 x 25 cm length x width and 10 cm depth were taken
154 from each plot. Earthworms were hand sorted, preserved in 5% formalin in the field and
155 transferred after 24h to 70 % ethanol. Earthworms were counted, weighed and determined to
156 species level using keys of Sims & Gerard (1985), Mršić (1991) and Pižl (2002). For
157 microorganisms, mesofauna, nematodes, protozoa and enchytraeids 1-3 replicate cores were
158 taken of 3-5cm diameter and 10cm depth. Replicate cores were but together to form one
159 composite sample per plot for each group. Samples were kept cool at 4°C until analysis or
160 extraction. Specific PLFAs were used as markers of bacterial and saprophytic fungal biomass
161 (Frostegård & Bååth, 1996), and NLFAs for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (AM) biomass
162 (Olsson *et al.*, 1995). Fatty acids were converted to biomass carbon (C) using the following
163 factors: bacterial biomass 363.6 nmol PLFA = 1 mg carbon (Frostegård & Bååth, 1996),
164 fungal biomass: 11.8 nmol PLFA = 1 mg carbon (Klamer & Bååth, 2004), and AMF biomass:
165 1.047 nmol NLFA = 1 µg carbon (Olsson *et al.*, 1995).

166 Soil mesofauna were extracted from undisturbed samples using Tullgren funnels.
167 Collembolans were determined to species level using keys of Gisin (1960), Babenko *et al.*
168 (1994), and Zimbars & Dunger (1994). Mites were sorted to suborders using Krantz & Walter
169 (2009), and oribatid mites were determined to species level using keys of Balogh & Mahunka
170 (1983) and Weigman (2006). Biomass of mesofauna was estimated from body dimensions
171 after Lebrun (1971). Nematodes were extracted using the modified Cobb sieving and
172 decanting method (s'Jacob & Van Bezooijen, 1984), counted and fixed in 4% formaldehyde.
173 150 randomly chosen individuals were identified to genus level according to Bongers (1994)
174 and allocated to trophic groups following Yeates *et al.* (1993). Nematode biomass was
175 estimated individually by analyzing digital microscope images with a specially developed

software tool by Sgardelis *et al.* (2009). Protozoa numbers were estimated using a modified most probable number method (Rønn *et al.*, 1995).

Biomass was estimated based on assumptions about average body size (biovolumes of flagellates and amoeba: $50 \mu\text{m}^3$, and $400 \mu\text{m}^3$ respectively) and dry weight (for both $0.2 \text{ pg } \mu\text{m}^{-3}$), following Ekelund *et al.* (2001). Enchytraeids were extracted from intact soil core samples using wet funnels according to O'Connor (1962), and their biomass was estimated according to Makulec (1983). Biomass of soil animals was converted to C (carbon content estimated to 50% of dry mass). Community-weighted mean of body mass was calculated as $CBM = B_{fa} A_{fa}^{-1}$, where B_{fa} is the total biomass and A_{fa} is the total abundance of all soil faunal groups in the sample (bacteria, fungi and AM fungi are not included in the calculation).

Measures of structure and diversity of soil food webs

Soil biota were allocated to 19 different functional groups, namely bacteria, saprophytic fungi, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, amoebae, flagellates, enchytraeids, earthworms, bacterivorous Collembolans, fungivorous Collembolans, phytophagous Collembolans, omnivorous Collembolans, predaceous Collembolans, fungivorous (oribatid) mites, predaceous mites, bacterivorous nematodes, fungivorous nematodes, plant associated (root hair feeding) nematodes, plant parasitic nematodes, and omnivorous/predaceous nematodes. Biomass of all functional groups was expressed as kg C per m^2 using the appropriate bulk density values. Carbon flows between functional groups in the food web were estimated in order to build quantitative food webs based on trophic position following Hunt *et al.* (1987) and de Ruiter *et al.* (1995). The trophic position of functional groups in the food web is defined by the average of the trophic position of the functional group it consumes weighted by the diet fraction this functional group represents as: $TL_i = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{fw}} g_{ij} TL_j$ where TL_i is the trophic level of functional group i and g_{ij} the fraction of the consumer group i 's diet derived from the prey group j and N_{fw} is the number of groups in the food web. These “flow-based”

trophic levels are computed following the method of Levine (1980) and Williams & Martinez (2004). The column vector TL defined as $TL = ((I - G)^{-1})^T \mathbf{1}$ gives the trophic level of each consumer with I the identity matrix (with dimension $N_{fw} \times N_{fw}$) and $G = (g_{ij})$ with dimension $N_{fw} \times N_{fw}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ a vector filled with ones (with dimension $N_{fw} \times 1$). Values for the coefficients of feeding preferences used are given in de Vries *et al.* (2013).

In the analyses, the following measures describing structure and diversity of the entire food web were calculated: i) average trophic level (\overline{TL}) calculated as average of all values of group trophic level in the food web as $\overline{TL} = \frac{1}{N_{fw}} (TL)^T \mathbf{1}$; ii) richness, expressed as the number of functional groups in the food web (N_{fw}); and iii) Shannon index (F_H) calculated as $F_H = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{fw}} \left(\frac{B_i}{B_{tot}} \right)^{-\frac{B_i}{B_{tot}}}$ with B_i the biomass of the functional group i and B_{tot} the total food web biomass.

Measures of diversity within soil faunal taxonomic groups

For the four key soil faunal taxonomic groups (earthworms, Collembolans, oribatid mites and nematodes) that comprise in total 12 functional groups in the food web we considered both commonly used diversity measures, such as richness and Shannon index, as well as measures that incorporate information about the taxonomic relatedness of species, such as average taxonomic distinctness and breadth (for definition see below). These measures were based on abundance data of species or genera in the taxonomic groups and were independent from the measures concerning the entire soil food web that were based on functional group biomass data.

The following diversity measures were estimated: i) Richness (N) as number (ln transformed) of species of earthworms (N_E), Collembolans (N_C), oribatid mites (N_O) and genera of nematodes (N_N); ii) Shannon index (H) for earthworms (H_E), Collembolans (H_C), oribatid mites (H_O) and nematodes (H_N), iii) average taxonomic distinctness (Δ^*) for

earthworms (Δ^*_E), Collembolans (Δ^*_C), oribatid mites (Δ^*_O) and nematodes (Δ^*_N), and iv) average taxonomic breadth (Δ^+) for earthworms (Δ^+_E), Collembolans (Δ^+_C), oribatid mites (Δ^+_O) and nematodes (Δ^+_N). For the nematode taxonomic group, which includes five abundantly represented functional groups, the four diversity measures were estimated also for each group separately.

Average taxonomic distinctness (Δ^*) was calculated according to Warwick & Clarke (1995) between all species/genera in a community at each sample as: $\frac{[\sum \sum_{i < j} \omega_{ij} x_i x_j]}{[\sum \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j]}$ where ω_{ij} is the path length between the two species i and j that show the greatest taxonomic (phylogenetic) distance between them in a Linnaean classification tree including all species of a community and a maximum distance set to 100, and x_i and x_j are the number of individuals of species i and j , respectively. This index provides an estimate of the expected taxonomic distance between two randomly chosen individuals from a sample and is independent of sample size (Clarke & Warwick, 2001). Average taxonomic breadth (Δ^+) was computed analogously to the average taxonomic distinctness, but is based on presence/absence, instead of abundance data for species and therefore provides the average taxonomic distance between all pairs of species in a community. Communities with several closely related species can be considered less diverse than communities with the same number, but with more distantly related species (Clarke & Warwick, 1998) as diversity is measured in terms of features accumulated over evolutionary history (Schweiger *et al.*, 2008). Taxonomic trees were built according to information about suborder, family, genus and species level for Collembolans; superfamily, family, genus and species level for Oribatida; class, order, superfamily, family and genus level for Nematoda; and family, genus and species level for earthworms. All taxonomic information was derived from the Fauna Europaea Database (de Jong, 2013).

Statistical analysis

We used permutational analyses of variance to evaluate the effects of land use intensity in the different regions while accounting for sampling season during these analyses (PERMANOVA; Anderson, 2005) with $\log(x+1)$ transformed data for the analysis. Data were transformed to weight down the effect of numerically dominant taxa in analyses. All PERMANOVA analyses were performed with region (SE, UK, CZ, GR) as fixed factor, land use intensity levels (G, E, I,) nested within region and sampling season (autumn-winter 2008, spring-summer 2009) nested within the factors region and land use intensity. The distance measure to generate dissimilarity matrices for data was the deviance of dissimilarities, and 4999 permutations were used in all cases. Pair-wise *a posteriori* tests were performed among levels of factor: a) “region”, b) “land use intensity” within factor “region” and c) “sampling season” within factor “land use intensity” within factor “region”. We used the Fortran software PERMANOVA (Anderson, 2005) for these analyses.

The following sets of variables were analyzed with PERMANOVA: i) Measures describing the entire food web: N_{fw} , F_H , and \overline{TL} ; ii) Richness within the four soil faunal taxonomic groups: N_E , N_C , N_O and N_N ; iii) Shannon index within the four soil faunal taxonomic groups: H_E , H_C , H_O and H_N ; iv) average taxonomic distinctness within the four soil faunal taxonomic groups: Δ^*_E , Δ^*_C , Δ^*_O and Δ^*_N ; and v) average taxonomic breadth within the four soil faunal taxonomic groups: Δ^+_E , Δ^+_C , Δ^+_O and Δ^+_N . In addition, permutational univariate analyses of variance were used for each of the individual response variables mentioned and furthermore, for the community- weighted mean body mass of soil fauna (*CBM*) and for the four measures concerning diversity within the five nematode functional groups separately.

Pearson correlation tests were used for simple bivariate testing of relationships between measures regarding diversity within the four soil faunal taxonomic groups and measures regarding diversity among functional groups in the soil food web. For this analysis we used the SPSS v19 software package.

Results

Land use intensity influence on structure and diversity among functional groups in the soil food web

The overall diversity and structure of soil food webs differed significantly with land use intensity and region after statistically accounting for seasonal effects (Table 1). This overall effect (multivariate) was primarily a result of the significant differences between intensive rotations (I) and grasslands (G). These differences were unanimous for all regions. The extensive rotations (E) were more variable and were not different from intensive rotations and grasslands in SE, UK and GR, and from grasslands in CZ (for pair-wise a posteriori comparisons see Table 1).

Land use intensity significantly affected all the individual measures of food web diversity and structure, i.e. the number of functional groups (N_{fw}), Shannon index (F_H), and the average trophic level (\overline{TL}) (permutational univariate analysis of variance, Fig. 1). In each region, at least one of these variables had a significantly higher value in grassland compared to intensive rotation. This indicates that soil food webs are less complex in soils from intensive rotations than in soil from grasslands. The number of functional groups, the Shannon index and the average trophic level in the soil food web varied significantly among regions (Fig. 1). The average trophic level was higher in soil food webs from CZ compared to the other regions, while the Shannon index was higher in food webs from SE. This can be explained by the total biomass of almost all functional groups in the food webs that varied accordingly among the regions.

Land use intensity influence on community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna

Land use intensity significantly affected the community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna (*CBM*) (permutational univariate analysis of variance, Fig. 2). In all regions except UK the *CBM* was significantly lower in the intensive rotation compared to the grassland. This indicates that soil animals under intensive rotation are generally smaller; larger animals appear more prone to be reduced by land use intensification.

Land use intensity and diversity within soil faunal taxonomic groups

Across all sites, we identified a total of 20 earthworm, 72 Collembolan and 48 oribatid mite species, as well as 75 nematode genera. All four sets of diversity measures of faunal taxonomic groups differed significantly among land use intensities and regions when accounting for seasonal effects (Table 2). These overall effects (multivariate) resulted mainly from the significant differences between intensive rotations and grasslands of all diversity measures in all regions, except for average taxonomic distinctness and breadth in CZ and UK. The diversity within faunal taxonomic groups in extensive rotations did not differ from the intensive rotations or the grasslands, depending on region (for pair-wise a posteriori comparisons see Table 2).

In most faunal groups the measures Richness (N), Shannon index (H), average taxonomic distinctness (Δ^*) and breadth (Δ^+) showed lower levels of diversity with increasing agricultural intensity (permutational univariate analysis of variance, Fig. 3,4). Earthworm communities in SE and GR, and Collembolan and oribatid mite communities in all regions except in CZ had fewer numbers of species in the intensively managed fields compared to grasslands and those species were also taxonomically more closely related to each other. In contrast, the diversity of the nematode community was not negatively affected by land use intensity, and in some regions the Shannon index was higher in fields with intensive rotation than those with extensive rotation. The diversity of the nematode functional groups (bacterivorous, fungivorous, plant associated and omnivorous/predaceous) was not significantly affected by

increasing agricultural intensity ($P>0.05$ in all cases). Occasionally, the diversity of plant parasitic nematodes was negatively affected by increasing management intensity, as was observed for richness in CZ and SE ($P<0.0008$), Shannon index in CZ and UK ($P<0.001$), average taxonomic distinctness in CZ ($P<0.0266$) and average taxonomic breadth in CZ and UK ($P<0.0234$).

Several measures of diversity within the taxonomic groups differed significantly between regions (Table 2). Earthworm diversity was lower in GR than in SE. Collembolan diversity was generally higher in CZ than in the other regions and oribatid mite diversity was higher in GR and CZ than in SE and UK (Fig. 3,4).

Relationships between diversity among functional groups in the soil food web and diversity within soil faunal taxonomic groups

The diversity measures within soil faunal groups were significantly correlated to those among functional groups (Table 3), suggesting that agricultural intensification consistently affects most soil food web components and reduces soil biodiversity. More specifically, the diversity measures for earthworms, Collembolans and oribatid mites, as well as average taxonomic breadth of nematodes, were significantly and positively correlated to the number of functional groups in the food web (N_{fw}). Earthworm diversity measures also showed a significant positive correlation to the Shannon index (F_H) of the functional groups in the food web (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, we show that agricultural intensification affects various aspects of diversity in a consistent negative way in four agricultural regions across Europe with contrasting soil and climatic conditions. Specifically, increasing land use intensity decreases diversity within soil faunal taxonomic groups, diversity among functional groups, as well as the average trophic level in the soil food web. The reductions of diversity at the soil food web level were due to a decrease in biomass of functional groups with larger body sizes, especially earthworms, enchytraeids, Collembolans, and oribatid mites, or a decrease in biomass of groups at higher trophic levels, especially predaceous mites, as reported in de Vries *et al.* (2013). As a result, the community- weighted mean body mass of soil fauna was significantly decreased by land use intensification. Hence at high land use intensity food webs contain fewer trophic levels and fewer species with large body mass.

The effect of land use was so intense that in some cases, one or more functional groups were entirely missing. In Greece, for example, earthworms and predaceous Collembolans were absent from intensive rotations, whereas in Sweden, fungivorous mites and predaceous Collembolans were missing. These groups of organisms are characterized by relatively low growth rates and are known to be sensitive to disturbance, with populations often needing decades to recover after tillage (Siepel, 1996; Adl. *et al.*, 2006; Maraun & Scheu, 2000). The presence of a functional group can be related to certain functions, as e.g. earthworms are related to processes of C and N cycling (de Vries *et al.*, 2013), and its biomass is indicative of the magnitude of those functions (*sensu* Hughes & Roughgarden, 2000; Thébault & Loreau, 2006; Berg & Bengtsson, 2007). Hence, the loss or decrease in biomass of these functional groups from the soil food webs will likely result in a long-term reduction of soil functioning in intensive agricultural production systems.

Our study shows that changes in the biomass of functional or taxonomic groups are accompanied by changes in their diversity and that they occur across latitudinal positions and soil types as sampled within Europe. The biomass of e.g. earthworms, Collembolans, and oribatid mites were significantly reduced by agricultural intensification (de Vries *et al.* 2013) as also the diversity, which confirms other case-specific studies (e.g. Pižl, 1999; Caruso *et al.*, 2007; Smith *et al.*, 2008; Dahms *et al.*, 2010). Our data also point out that a decrease in diversity within faunal taxonomic groups was related to a decrease in diversity among functional groups. This indicates that agricultural intensification has a consistent negative effect across most soil food web components and is not limited to specific groups of soil biota, such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Helgason *et al.*, 1998). Agricultural intensification not only reduced richness and Shannon index of faunal groups, but also the average taxonomic distinctness and average taxonomic breadth, which means that the loss of species was consistently related to the loss of taxonomically more distantly related species. Thus, agricultural intensification also caused a loss of taxonomic diversity, which is known to relate positively to functioning (Heemsbergen *et al.*, 2004).

It has been argued that functional redundancy in soil communities can be high, due to generalized feeding habits among most soil biota (Setälä *et al.*, 2005). An explanation for the perceived low degree of specificity can be that our tools to detect specialized interactions between cryptic species have been too coarse. With tools to resolve genetic patterns in organisms, specialized trophic interactions are more common than previously thought (Jørgensen *et al.*, 2005, Jørgensen & Hedlund, 2013). Here, we have focused on the trophic role of species, e.g. fungivorous Collembolans, ignoring that two species may both feed on fungi but that their preference for fungal species can differ. Functional differentiation may play an important role in determining how a functional group actually performs, and in the absence of functionally similar species in the community, one species may have a crucial role

in affecting a particular ecosystem process (Wardle, 1999) especially in soil ecosystems with low diversity (Barrett *et al.*, 2008). Specific functions such as burrowing by anecic and endogeic earthworms can have substantial effects on soil structure, as these species are sensitive to intensified land management (Gormsen *et al.*, 2004). In Sweden and Greece, intensive rotations had on average only two earthworm species less than grasslands. However the average taxonomic distinctness was significantly reduced in these regions, which may be expected to have important implications for functioning. Given that average taxonomic distinctness serves as a valid proxy for functional differentiation in the community (Gascón *et al.*, 2009; Birkhofer *et al.*, 2014), and that earthworms play an important role in C and N cycling (Lubbers *et al.*, 2013), this decrease in taxonomic differentiation can significantly affect the outcome or the rates of these processes. The declined diversity may reduce ecosystem processes, but previous modeling work using the same dataset has shown that different ecosystem processes relate to loss of specific (or combinations of) species groups (De Vries *et al.*, 2013), which shows that care should be taken with generalizations as that soil biodiversity loss would mean general loss of ecosystem functions.

Our results confirm other studies showing that soil animals with larger body sizes, such as earthworms and predaceous Collembolans and mites, are sensitive to intensive agriculture (Mulder *et al.*, 2005; Smith *et al.*, 2008; Postma-Blaauw *et al.*, 2010). Oribatid mites that mainly feed on fungi (e.g. Maraun *et al.*, 1998) and have relatively small size, may suffer from disturbance associated with increasing intensity of agricultural management as well (Sgardelis & Usher, 1994). A decline of diversity within soil faunal groups due to intensive land use is most probably related to frequent tillage, which affects soil physical properties (Roger-Estrade *et al.*, 2010) to the disadvantage of many soil organisms (van Capelle *et al.*, 2012). Tillage alters soil microhabitats and interrupts life cycles, and it is expected that organisms with relatively long life spans are particularly sensitive, such as

Collembolans (e.g. Brennan *et al.*, 2006), oribatid mites (e.g. Franchini & Rockett, 1996) and earthworms (e.g. Eriksen-Hamel *et al.*, 2009). In the sites under extensive rotations, less frequent tillage promoted diversity of soil faunal groups such as oribatid mites in Sweden, earthworms in Czech Republic and Greece, and Collembolans in Sweden and Greece.

While most soil diversity measures were consistently and negatively affected by intensive agriculture for three faunal groups, diversity of the nematode taxonomic group and the nematode functional groups was hardly affected. This also applies to the biomass of the various nematode functional groups (de Vries *et al.*, 2013). Microbivorous nematodes, are reported to be affected by intensively managed systems (Tsiafouli *et al.*, 2006, Birkhofer *et al.*, 2012), while other studies find no evidence for this (Sánchez-Moreno *et al.*, 2011). This suggests that these nematodes might be affected by specific agricultural practices such as tillage, fertilization, pesticide application, or the application of organic amendments (Tsiafouli *et al.*, 2007, Zhao & Neher 2013), rather than by land use intensity in general. Omnivorous and predaceous nematodes are generally considered sensitive to disturbance (Bongers & Ferris, 1999). Their persistence under increasing land use intensity could be explained by either the higher availability of prey, since other predaceous groups are declining, or by an increase of suitable food resources for omnivorous species (Postma-Blaauw *et al.*, 2010; Mills & Adl, 2011). In any case our data show that when the diversity of other taxonomic groups are depleted under intensive agriculture the functional role of nematodes becomes more important.

We conclude that the negative effect of intensive agriculture on soil biodiversity was consistent across regions with widely contrasting climate and soil conditions. Overall, agricultural intensification from grassland to extensive and intensive rotation appears to systematically simplify soil food web diversity, with potential consequences for functioning. The community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna, the average trophic level and

440 diversity among functional groups in the food web decreased, while some functional groups
441 were lost entirely under intensive land use. Furthermore, soil faunal communities had fewer
442 and taxonomically more closely related species, which suggests that agricultural
443 intensification can threaten the divergent functions that may be provided by taxonomically
444 distant species. Given that the loss of soil biodiversity is ultimately linked to a loss of soil
445 functions that underpin ecosystem services (de Vries *et al.*, 2013; Wagg *et al.*, 2014), we
446 propose that future agricultural policies need to consider how to halt and/or reverse this loss
447 of soil biodiversity. Our finding that the relationship between management regimes and soil
448 biota is fairly stable across regions supports the notion that land use intensification may lead
449 to the same responses of soil biodiversity at continental scales. Future studies need to be
450 targeted at promoting and evaluating innovative management practices for conserving and/or
451 increasing soil biodiversity and the functioning of soil while maintaining sufficient levels of
452 agricultural production.

453 **Acknowledgements**

454 This work was part of the EU 7th Framework funded SOILSERVICE project. We thank all
455 land owners for kindly letting us use their fields, and George Boutsis, Maria Karmezi, Sofia
456 Nikolaou, Evangelia Boulaki, Charisis Argiropoulos, Annette Spangenberg, Steph Harris,
457 Dan Carpenter and Helen Quirk for help in the field and in the laboratory.

References

- Adl SM, Coleman DC, Read F (2006) Slow recovery of soil biodiversity in sandy loam soils of Georgia after 25 years of no-tillage management. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, **114**, 323-334.
- Albrecht M, Duelli P, Schmid B, Müller CB (2007) Interaction diversity within quantified insect food webs in restored and adjacent intensively managed meadows. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **76**, 1015-1025.
- Anderson MJ (2005) *PERMANOVA: a FORTRAN computer program for permutational multivariate analysis of variance*. Department of Statistics, University of Auckland, New Zealand.
- Babenko AB, Chernova MB, Potapov MB, Stebaeva SK (1994) *Collembola of Russia and adjacent countries: Family Hypogastruridae*. Nauka, Moscow.
- Balogh J, Mahunka S (1983) *Primitive oribatids of the Palaearctic Region*. Akadémia Kiadó, Budapest.
- Barrett JE, Virginia RA, Wall DH, Adams BJ (2008). Decline in a dominant invertebrate species contributes to altered carbon cycling in a low-diversity soil ecosystem. *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 1734-1744.
- Barrios E (2007) Soil biota, ecosystem services and land productivity. *Ecological Economics*, **64**, 269-285.
- Berg MP, Bengtsson J (2007) Temporal and spatial variability in soil food web structure. *Oikos*, **116**, 1789-1804.
- Birkhofer K, Bezemer M, Hedlund K, Setälä H (2012) Community composition of soil organisms under different wheat farming systems. In: *Microbial Ecology in Sustainable Agroecosystems* (eds Cheeke T, Coleman DC, Wall DH), pp. 89-112, CRC Press Taylor and Francis group, Boca Raton.

483 Birkhofer K, Wolters V, Diekötter T (2014) Grassy margins along organically managed cereal
 484 fields foster trait diversity and taxonomic distinctness of arthropod communities. *Insect*
 485 *Conservation and Diversity*. DOI 10.1111/icad.12051
 486 Bongers T (1994) *De nematoden van Nederland (The nematodes of the Netherlands)*.
 487 Koninklijke Nederlandse Natuurhistorische Vereniging, Pirola, Schoorl, Utrecht.
 488 Bongers T, Ferris H (1999) Nematode community structure as a bioindicator in environmental
 489 monitoring. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **14**, 224-228.
 490 Brennan A, Fortune T, Bolger T (2006) Collembola abundances and assemblage structures in
 491 conventionally tilled and conservation tillage arable systems. *Pedobiologia*, **50**, 135-145.
 492 Caruso T, Pigino G, Bernini F, Bargagli R, Migliorini M (2007) The Berger-Parker index as
 493 an effective tool for monitoring the biodiversity of disturbed soils: a case study on
 494 Mediterranean oribatid (Acari : Oribatida) assemblages. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **16**,
 495 3277-3285.
 496 Clarke KR, Warwick RM (1998) A taxonomic distinctness index and its statistical properties.
 497 *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **35**, 523-531.
 498 Clarke KR, Warwick RM (2001) A further biodiversity index applicable to species lists:
 499 variation in taxonomic distinctness. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **216**, 265-278.
 500 Dahms H, Mayr S, Birkhofer K, Chauvat M, Melnichnova E, Wolters V, Dauber J (2010)
 501 Contrasting diversity patterns of epigeic arthropods between grasslands of high and low
 502 agronomic potential. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, **11**, 6-14.
 503 de Jong YSDM (2013) *Fauna Europaea version 2.6*. Web Service available online at
 504 <http://www.faunaeur.org>.
 505 de Ruiter PC, Vanveen JA, Moore JC, Brussaard L, Hunt HW (1993) Calculation of Nitrogen
 506 Mineralization in Soil Food Webs. *Plant and Soil*, **157**, 263-273.

507 de Ruiter PC, Neutel AM, Moore JC (1995) Energetics, Patterns of Interaction Strengths, and
508 Stability in Real Ecosystems. *Science*, **269**, 1257-1260.

509 de Vries FT, Thébault E, Liiri M *et al.* (2013) Soil food web properties explain ecosystem
510 services across European land use systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
511 *Sciences of the United States of America*, **110**, 14296-14301.

512 Eisenhauer N, Cesarz S, Koller R, Worm K, Reich PB (2012) Global change belowground:
513 Impacts of elevated CO₂, nitrogen, and summer drought on soil food webs and
514 biodiversity. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 435-447.

515 Ekelund F, Rønn R, Christensen S (2001) Distribution with depth of protozoa, bacteria and
516 fungi in soil profiles from three Danish forest sites. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **33**,
517 475-481.

518 Eriksen-Hamel NS, Speratti AB, Whalen JK, Legere A, Madramootoo CA (2009) Earthworm
519 populations and growth rates related to long-term crop residue and tillage management.
520 *Soil and Tillage Research*, **104**, 311-316.

521 Feijoo A, Carvajal AF, Zúñiga MC, Quintero H, Fragoso C (2011) Diversity and abundance
522 of earthworms in land use systems in central-western Colombia. *Pedobiologia*, **54S**, 69-75.

523 Franchini P, Rockett CL (1996) Oribatid mites as "indicator" species for estimating the
524 environmental impact of conventional and conservation tillage practices. *Pedobiologia*, **40**,
525 217-225.

526 Frostegård Å, Bååth E (1996) The use of phospholipid fatty acid analysis to estimate bacterial
527 and fungal biomass in soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **22**, 59-65.

528 Gardi C, Jeffery S, Saltelli A (2013) An estimate of potential threats levels to soil biodiversity
529 in EU. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 1538-1548.

530 Gascón S, Boix D, Sala J (2009) Are different biodiversity metrics related to the same
531 factors? A case study from Mediterranean wetlands. *Biological Conservation*, **142**, 2602-
532 2612.

533 Gessner MO, Swan CM, Dang CK, Mckie BG, Bardgett RD, Wall DH, Hattenschwiler S
534 (2010) Diversity meets decomposition. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **25**, 372-380.

535 Gisin H (1960) *Collembolefauna Europes*. Museum d'Histoire Naturelle, Geneve.

536 Gormsen D, Hedlund K, Korthals GW, Mortimer SR, Pižl V, Smilauerova M, Sugg E (2004)
537 Management of plant communities on set-aside land and its effects on earthworm
538 communities. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, **40**, 123-128.

539 Heemsbergen DA, Berg MP, Loreau M, van Haj JR, Faber JH, Verhoef HA (2004)
540 Biodiversity effects on soil processes explained by interspecific functional dissimilarity.
541 *Science*, **306**, 1019-1020.

542 Helgason T, Daniell TJ, Husband R, Fitter AH, Young JPW (1998) Ploughing up the wood-
543 wide web? *Nature*, **394**, 431.

544 Hughes JB, Roughgarden J (2000) Species diversity and biomass stability. *American*
545 *Naturalist*, **155**, 618-627.

546 Hunt HW, Coleman DC, Ingham ER *et al.* (1987) The Detrital Food Web in a Shortgrass
547 Prairie. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **3**, 57-68.

548 Jørgensen HB, Johansson T, Canback B, Hedlund K, Tunlid A (2005) Selective foraging of
549 fungi by collembolans in soil. *Biology Letters*, **1**, 243-246.

550 Jørgensen HB, Hedlund K (2013) Organic amendment and fungal species in combination can
551 alter collembolan fitness. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **65**, 316-321.

552 Klammer M, Bååth E (2004) Estimation of conversion factors for fungal biomass determination
 553 in compost using ergosterol and PLFA 18 : 2 omega 6,9. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*,
 554 **36**, 57-65.

555 Krantz GW, Walter DE (2009) *A Manual of Acarology*. Third edition. Texas Tech University
 556 Press, Lubbock.

557 Lebrun, P (1971) *Ecologie et Biocoenotique de Quelques Peuplements d'Arthropodes*
 558 *Edaphiques* (Ecology and biocenetic of some species of edaphic arthropods). *Bulletin de*
 559 *l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique*, **165**, 1-203.

560 Levine S (1980) Several Measures of Trophic Structure Applicable to Complex Food Webs.
 561 *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **83**, 195-207.

562 Lohaus K, Vidal S, Thies C (2013) Farming practices change food web structures in cereal
 563 aphid-parasitoid-hyperparasitoid communities. *Oecologia*, **171**, 249-259.

564 Lubbers IM, van Groenigen KJ, Fonte SJ, Six J, Brussaard L, van Groenigen JW (2013)
 565 Greenhouse-gas emissions from soils increased by earthworms. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**,
 566 187-194.

567 Macfadyen S, Gibson R, Polaszek A, Morris RJ, Craze PG, Planque R, Symondson WOC,
 568 Memmott J (2009) Do differences in food web structure between organic and conventional
 569 farms affect the ecosystem service of pest control? *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 229-238.

570 Makulec G (1983) Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta) of forest ecosystems. I. Density, biomass and
 571 production. *Ekologia Polska*, **31**, 9–56.

572 Maraun M, Migge S, Schaefer M, Scheu S (1998) Selection of microfungi food by six
 573 oribatid mite species (Oribatida, Acari) from two different beech forests. *Pedobiologia*, **42**,
 574 232-240.

575 Maraun M, Scheu S (2000) The structure of oribatid mite communities (Acari, Oribatida):
 576 patterns, mechanisms and implications for future research. *Ecography*, **23**, 374-383.

577 Mills AAS, Adl MS (2011) Changes in nematode abundances and body length in response to
578 management intensive grazing in a low-input temperate pasture. *Soil Biology and*
579 *Biochemistry*, **43**, 150-158.

580 Moore JC, Walter DE, Hunt HW, Briand F, Cohen JE (1989) Habitat compartmentation and
581 environmental correlates of food-chain length. *Science*, **243**, 238-240.

582 Mršić N (1991) *Monograph on earthworms Lumbricidae of the Balkans*. Academia
583 Scientiarum et Artium Slovenica, Ljubljana.

584 Mulder C, Cohen JE, Setälä H, Bloem J, Breure AM (2005) Bacterial traits, organism mass,
585 and numerical abundance in the detrital soil food web of Dutch agricultural grasslands.
586 *Ecology Letters*, **8**, 80-90.

587 Neutel AM, Heesterbeek JAP, de Ruiter PC (2002) Stability in real food webs: Weak links in
588 long loops. *Science*, **296**, 1120-1123.

589 O'Connor FB (1962) The extraction of Enchytraeidae from soil. In: *Progress in soil zoology*
590 (ed. Murphy PW), pp. 279-285, Butterworth, London.

591 Olsson PA, Bååth E, Jakobsen I, Soderstrom B (1995) The use of phospholipid and neutral
592 lipid fatty-acids to estimate biomass of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil. *Mycological*
593 *Research*, **99**, 623-629.

594 Pižl V (1999) Earthworm succession in abandoned fields - A comparison of deductive and
595 sequential approaches to study. *Pedobiologia*, **43**, 705-712.

596 Pižl V (2002) Žížaly České republiky (Earthworms of the Czech Republic). Sborník
597 přírodovědeckého klubu v Uherském Hradišti, Supplementum, **9**, 1-154.

598 Postma-Blaauw MB, de Goede RGM, Bloem J, Faber JH, Brussaard L (2010) Soil biota
599 community structure and abundance under agricultural intensification and extensification.
600 *Ecology*, **91**, 460-473.

601 Purvis A, Hector A (2000) Getting the measure of biodiversity. *Nature*, **405**, 212-219.

602 Roger-Estrade J, Anger C, Bertrand M, Richard G (2010) Tillage and soil ecology: Partners
 603 for sustainable agriculture. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **111**, 33-40.

604 Rønn R, Ekelund F, Christensen S (1995) Optimizing soil extract and broth media for MPN-
 605 enumeration of naked amoebae and heterotrophic flagellates in soil. *Pedobiologia*, **39**: 10-
 606 19.

607 Sánchez-Moreno S, Ferris H, Young-Mathews A, Culman SW, Jackson LE (2011)
 608 Abundance, diversity and connectance of soil food web channels along environmental
 609 gradients in an agricultural landscape. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **43**, 2374-2383.

610 Schweiger O, Klotz S, Durka W, Kühn I (2008) A comparative test of phylogenetic diversity
 611 indices. *Oecologia*, **157**, 485-495.

612 Setälä H, Berg MP, Jones TH (2005) Trophic structure and functional redundancy in soil
 613 communities. In: *Biological Diversity and Function in Soils* (eds Bardgett R, Usher M,
 614 Hopkins DW), pp. 236-249. Cambridge University Press, UK.

615 Sgardelis SP, Usher MB (1994) Responses of Soil Cryptostigmata across the Boundary
 616 between a Farm Woodland and an Arable Field. *Pedobiologia*, **38**, 36-49.

617 Sgardelis SP, Nikolaou S, Tsiafouli MA, Boutsis G, Karamezi M (2009). A computer-aided
 618 estimation of nematode body size and biomass. *International Congress on the*
 619 *Zoogeography, Ecology and Evolution of Eastern Mediterranean*. Heraklion, Greece.

620 Siepel H (1996) Biodiversity of soil microarthropods: The filtering of species. *Biodiversity*
 621 *and Conservation*, **5**, 251-260.

622 Sims RW, Gerard BM (1985). *Earthworms - Keys and notes for the identification and study*
 623 *of the species*. Linnean Society, London.

624 s'Jacob JJ, Van Bezooijen J (1984). *A manual for practical work in nematology*. Wageningen
 625 University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

626 Smith RG, McSwiney CP, Grandy AS, Suwanwaree P, Snider RM, Robertson GP (2008)
627 Diversity and abundance of earthworms across an agricultural land-use intensity gradient.
628 Soil and Tillage Research, **100**, 83-88.

629 Sousa JP, Bolger T, da Gama MM, *et al.* (2006) Changes in Collembola richness and
630 diversity along a gradient of land-use intensity: A pan European study. Pedobiologia, **50**,
631 147-156.

632 Srivastava DS, Cadotte MW, MacDonald AAM, Marushia RG, Mirotchnick N (2012)
633 Phylogenetic diversity and the functioning of ecosystems. Ecology Letters, **15**, 637-648.

634 Thébault E, Loreau M (2006) The relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem
635 functioning in food webs. Ecological Research, **21**, 17-25.

636 Tsiafouli MA, Argyropoulou MD, Stamou GP, Sgardelis SP (2006) Soil nematode
637 biodiversity in organic and conventional agroecosystems of Northern Greece. Russian
638 Journal of Nematology, **14**, 159-169.

639 Tsiafouli MA, Argyropoulou MA, Stamou GP, Sgardelis SP (2007) Is duration of organic
640 management reflected on nematode communities of cultivated soils? Belgian Journal of
641 Zoology, **137**, 165-175.

642 Tylianakis JM, Tscharnkte T, Lewis OT (2007) Habitat modification alters the structure of
643 tropical host-parasitoid food webs. Nature, **445**, 202-205.

644 van Capelle C, Schrader S, Brunotte J (2012) Tillage-induced changes in the functional
645 diversity of soil biota - A review with a focus on German data. European Journal of Soil
646 Biology, **50**, 165-181.

647 Wagg C, Bender SF, Widmer F, van der Heijden MGA (2014) Soil biodiversity and soil
648 community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality. Proceedings of the
649 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **111**, 5266-5270.

650 Wall DH, Bardgett RD, Behan-Pelletier V, Herrick JE, Jones H, Ritz K, Six J, Strong DR, van
651 der Putten WH (2012) *Soil Ecology and Ecosystem Services*. Oxford University Press,
652 Oxford.

653 Wardle DA (1999) Is 'sampling effect' a problem for experiments investigating biodiversity-
654 ecosystem function relationships? *Oikos*, **87**, 403-407.

655 Wardle DA, Nicholson KS, Bonner KI, Yeates GW (1999) Effects of agricultural
656 intensification on soil-associated arthropod population dynamics, community structure,
657 diversity and temporal variability over a seven-year period. *Soil Biology and*
658 *Biochemistry*, **31**, 1691-1706.

659 Warwick RM, Clarke KR (1995) New 'biodiversity' measures reveal a decrease in taxonomic
660 distinctness with increasing stress. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **129**, 301-305.

661 Weigman G (2006) - *Die Tierwelt Deutschlands (The animal world of Germany). Hornmilben*
662 *(Oribatida) vol 76*. Goecke and Eversm, Keltern.

663 Wickings K, Grandy AS (2013) Management intensity interacts with litter chemistry and
664 climate to drive temporal patterns in arthropod communities during decomposition.
665 *Pedobiologia*, **56**, 105-112.

666 Williams RJ, Martinez ND (2004) Limits to trophic levels and omnivory in complex food
667 webs: Theory and data. *American Naturalist*, **163**, 458-468.

668 Yeates GW, Bongers T, Degoede RGM, Freckman DW, Georgieva SS (1993) Feeding-Habits
669 in Soil Nematode Families and Genera - an Outline for Soil Ecologists. *Journal of*
670 *Nematology*, **25**, 315-331.

671 Yeates GW, Wardle DA, Watson RN (1999) Responses of soil nematode populations,
672 community structure, diversity and temporal variability to agricultural intensification over
673 a seven-year period. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **31**, 1721-1733.

- 674 Zhao J, Neher DA (2013) Soil nematode genera that predict specific types of disturbance.
675 Applied Soil Ecology, **64**, 135-141.
- 676 Zimbars U, Dunger W (1994) Synopsis on Palaearctic Collembola: Tullberginae.
677 Abhandlungen und Berichte des Naturkundemuseums Görlitz, **68**, 1-70.

Table 1. Results of a PERMANOVA for the overall effect of region, land use intensity (nested in region) and sampling season (nested in region and land use intensity) on all measures of the soil food web. Pair-wise a posteriori comparisons: regions, land use intensity levels, and sampling seasons not sharing the same letter are significantly different. Codes for regions: Sweden (SE), United Kingdom (UK), Czech Republic (CZ), and Greece (GR). Codes for land use intensity levels: grassland (G), extensive rotation (E), and intensive rotation (I). Codes for sampling seasons: autumn-winter 2008 (wi), spring-summer 2009 (su).

Source	df	SS	MS	F	P	a posteriori comparisons			
						SE	UK	CZ	GR
Region	3	45.23	15.08	11.31	0.0002	a	b	c	cb
Intensity	8	57.59	7.20	5.40	0.0002	G ^a E ^{ab} I ^b	G ^a E ^{ab} I ^b	G ^a E ^a I ^b	G ^a E ^{ab} I ^b
Sampling season	12	44.78	3.73	2.80	0.0002	G, E: wi ^a su ^b I: NS	G, I: wi ^a su ^b E: NS	G, E, I: NS	G, E, I: NS
Residual	96	128.01	1.33						
Total	119	275.60							

Table 2. Results of PERMANOVAS for the effect of region, land use intensity (nested in region) and sampling season (nested in region and land use intensity) on the diversity of earthworms, Collembolans, oribatid mites and nematodes for the following sets of diversity measures: (a) richness, (b) Shannon index, (c) average taxonomic distinctness, and (d) average taxonomic breadth. Pair-wise a posteriori comparisons: regions, land use intensity levels, and sampling seasons not sharing the same letter are significantly different. Codes are depicted in Table 1.

	Source	df	SS	MS	F	P	a posteriori comparisons			
							SE	UK	CZ	GR
(a) Richness (N)	Region	3	9049.10	3016.37	24.15	0.0002	a	b	c	d
	Intensity	8	9580.97	1197.62	9.59	0.0002	G ^a E ^b I ^c	G ^a E ^b I ^b	G ^a E ^b I ^c	G ^a E ^a I ^b
	Sampling season	12	3393.57	282.80	2.26	0.0010	E: wi ^a su ^b G, I: NS	I: wi ^a su ^b G, E: NS	I: wi ^a su ^b G, E: NS	G, E, I: NS
	Residual	96	11990.45	124.90						
	Total	119	34014.09							
(b) Shannon index (H)	Region	3	8667.71	2889.24	16.16	0.0002	a	b	c	d
	Intensity	8	11851.62	1481.45	8.29	0.0002	G ^a E ^a I ^b	G ^a E ^b I ^b	G ^a E ^b I ^a	G ^a E ^b I ^c
	Sampling season	12	4947.67	412.31	2.31	0.0004	E: wi ^a su ^b G, I: NS	I: wi ^a su ^b G, E: NS	I: wi ^a su ^b G, E: NS	G, E, I: NS
	Residual	96	17159.82	178.79						
	Total	119	42626.82							
(c) Av. taxon. distinct. (Δ [*])	Region	3	6726.94	2242.32	11.82	0.0002	a	b	c	c
	Intensity	8	7236.89	904.61	4.77	0.0002	G ^a E ^a I ^b	NS	NS	G ^a E ^a I ^b
	Sampling season	12	4667.83	388.99	2.05	0.0160	G, E, I: NS	I: wi ^a su ^b G, E: NS	G, E, I: NS	G, E, I: NS
	Residual	96	18210.19	189.69						
	Total	119	36841.85							
(d) Av. taxon. breadth (Δ ⁺)	Region	3	6552.58	2184.19	11.70	0.0002	a	b	c	c
	Intensity	8	7157.29	894.66	4.79	0.0002	G ^a E ^a I ^b	NS	NS	G ^a E ^a I ^b
	Sampling season	12	4547.10	378.10	2.03	0.0170	G, E, I: NS	I: wi ^a su ^b G, E: NS	G, E, I: NS	G, E, I: NS
	Residual	96	17921.75	186.66						
	Total	119	36179.63							

Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficients ($n=120$) of diversity measures within soil faunal taxonomic groups towards diversity measures among functional groups in the food web, indicated with number of groups (N_{fw}) and the Shannon index (F_H) (* $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.001$).

Diversity of taxonomic groups	No of functional groups (N_{fw})	Shannon index (F_H)
Earthworms		
Richness (N_E)	0.41**	0.47**
Shannon index (H_E)	0.42**	0.43**
Aver. taxon. distinctn. (Δ^*_E)	0.35**	0.26*
Aver. tax. breadth (Δ^+_E)	0.37**	0.30**
Collembolans		
Richness (N_C)	0.60**	0.09
Shannon index (H_C)	0.57**	0.17
Aver. taxon. distinctn. (Δ^*_C)	0.46**	0.01
Aver. tax. breadth (Δ^+_C)	0.47**	0.02
Oribatid mites		
Richness (N_O)	0.34**	0.08
Shannon index (H_O)	0.33**	0.08
Aver. taxon. distinctn. (Δ^*_O)	0.20*	0.09
Aver. taxon. breadth (Δ^+_O)	0.21*	0.09
Nematodes		
Richness (N_N)	0.17	0.01
Shannon index (H_N)	0.07	-0.05
Aver. taxon. distinctn. (Δ^*_N)	0.03	-0.03
Aver. taxon. breadth (Δ^+_N)	0.27*	0.10

697 **Figures legends**

698 **Figure 1.** Average values (\pm s.e.) of: (a) number of functional groups (N_{fw}), (b) Shannon
699 index (F_H) and (c) average trophic level (\overline{TL}) in the soil food web at the three land use
700 intensity levels in the four regions across Europe. Data from both sampling seasons are
701 pooled. Significance effects (P -values) of region (Reg.), land use intensity level (Int.) and
702 sampling season (Sam.) as determined by permutational univariate analysis of variance are
703 given for each measure. Regions (indicated below horizontal axis) and land use intensity
704 levels for each region not sharing the same letter are significantly different according to pair-
705 wise a posteriori comparisons. Underlined land use intensity levels denote significantly
706 different values between sampling seasons. Codes are depicted in Table 1.

707 **Figure 2.** Average values (\pm s.e.) of the community-weighted mean body mass of soil fauna
708 (CBM) at the three land use intensity levels in the four regions across Europe. Data from both
709 sampling seasons are pooled. Significance effects (P -values) of region (Reg.), land use
710 intensity level (Int.) and sampling season (Sam.) as determined by permutational univariate
711 analysis of variance are given for each measure. Regions (indicated below horizontal axis)
712 and land use intensity levels for each region not sharing the same letter are significantly
713 different according to pair-wise a posteriori comparisons. Underlined land use intensity levels
714 denote significantly different values between sampling seasons. Codes are depicted in Table
715 1.

716 **Figure 3.** Average values (\pm s.e.) of: (a) richness (N), (b) Shannon index (H'), (c) average
717 taxonomic distinctness (Δ^*) and (d) average taxonomic breadth (Δ^+) for earthworms and
718 oribatid mites at the three land use intensity levels in the four regions across Europe. Data
719 from both sampling seasons are pooled. Significance effects (P -values) of region (Reg.), land

use intensity level (Int.) and sampling season (Sam.) as determined by permutational univariate analysis of variance are given for each combination of soil faunal group and diversity measure. Regions (indicated below horizontal axis) and land use intensity levels for each region not sharing the same letter are significantly different according to pair-wise a posteriori comparisons. Underlined land use intensity levels denote significantly different values between sampling seasons. Codes are depicted in Table 1.

Figure 4. Average values (\pm s.e.) of: (a) richness (N), (b) Shannon index (H'), (c) average taxonomic distinctness (Δ^*) and (d) average taxonomic breadth (Δ^+) for Collembolans and nematodes at the three land use intensity levels in the four regions across Europe. Data from both sampling seasons are pooled. Significance effects (P -values) of region (Reg.), land use intensity level (Int.) and sampling season (Sam.) as determined by permutational univariate analysis of variance are given for each combination of soil faunal group and diversity measure. Regions (indicated below horizontal axis) and land use intensity levels for each region not sharing the same letter are significantly different according to pair-wise a posteriori comparisons. Underlined land use intensity levels denote significantly different values between sampling seasons. Codes are depicted in Table 1.