

50
7-20-92 JS (2)

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073

PPPL-2846
UC-420,427

PPPL-2846


THE INTERACTION OF ENERGETIC ALPHA-PARTICLES
WITH INTENSE LOWER HYBRID WAVES

BY

N.J. FISCH AND J.M. RAX

June, 1992

PPPL PRINCETON
PLASMA PHYSICS
LABORATORY



PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial produce, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

NOTICE

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the:

Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831;
Prices available from (615) 576-8401.

Available to the public from the:

National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, Virginia 22161
703-487-4650

THE INTERACTION OF ENERGETIC ALPHA-PARTICLES WITH INTENSE LOWER HYBRID WAVES

Nathaniel J. Fisch and Jean-Marcel Rax†

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08543

PPPL--2846

DE92 016805

Abstract

Lower hybrid waves are a demonstrated, continuous means of driving toroidal current in a tokamak. When these waves propagate in a tokamak fusion reactor, in which there are energetic α -particles, there are conditions under which the α -particles do not appreciably damp, and may even amplify, the wave, thereby enhancing the current-drive effect. Waves traveling in one poloidal direction, in addition to being directed in one toroidal direction, are shown to be the most efficient drivers of current in the presence of the energetic α -particles.

† permanent address: Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Cadarache, Association EURATOM-CEA Sur la Fusion Contrôlée, Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France.

Either for heating the fuel ions or for driving current, delivering power to the tokamak is a central problem in tokamak plasma research, and an effective means of delivering this power is through the lower hybrid wave. These waves, directed into the plasma by means of an array of waveguides, may be absorbed either by electrons or ions. These waves are also an efficient means for steady-state current drive when they are absorbed largely by electrons traveling in one toroidal direction.¹ Unfortunately, in the most favorable wave regimes in a tokamak reactor, the current-drive efficiency may be reduced greatly because these waves tend also to be absorbed by energetic α -particles.²

For heating by intense waves, the α -particles tend to absorb more wave power than do the electrons, because the electron interaction exhibits quasi-linear saturation, *i.e.*, the electron velocity distribution flattens in the region where the wave phase velocities are finite. The α -particle interaction exhibits no saturation, because once in resonance, the α -particle remains in resonance even as it gains energy. Recent calculations,³⁻⁵ which explore this severe damping by α -particles, are made under the assumption that the plasma is infinite and homogeneous.

We show, however, that in an inhomogeneous plasma subjected to localized wave power, the interaction of α -particles with lower hybrid waves can exhibit very different and useful effects. Of particular interest is the case of electrostatic waves with wavenumbers predominantly in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field, but in the magnetic surface – for tokamaks with circular cross section, this would mean a large k_θ , where $\hat{\theta}$ is the azimuthal direction, but a concentration of the wave amplitude in the radial direction. Such regimes are found in wave-tracing solutions that circle the tokamak center.⁶⁻⁸ The nature of the wave-particle interaction can then be qualitatively different: First, the α -particle diffusion in velocity is now tied to diffusion in space. Thus, upon absorbing energy the α -particle may be forced out of the wave region, saturating the absorption. Second, if there is a gradient in the α -particle distribution, then there is even the possibility of wave amplification, rather than wave damping. Wave amplification by α -particles could accompany wave damping by electrons, leading to very efficient current drive.

The energy tapped in wave amplification is the free-expansion energy in radially concentrated α -particles. A similar tapping of free energy, involving lower hybrid waves, occurs in the so-called lower-hybrid drift instability,⁹⁻¹⁰

where the free energy arises from the drift of the ion species.

The consequences of tapping α -particle energy, to the extent that it occurs, are very favorable for tokamak operation: the wave amplification and diffusive effect removes from the center unwanted helium ash, diminishes the α -particle energy which makes for less energy available to fuel unwanted instabilities, and channels this energy to lower hybrid waves traveling in one toroidal direction to make more efficient the current drive. It is the purpose of this paper to identify and to quantify this effect.

To analyze these possibilities, we construct a Green's function for the energy exchange. We consider an electrostatic wave, with wavenumber in the y -direction, interacting with α -particles magnetized in a strong z -directed magnetic field, B . The α -particles are assumed to be produced with a gradient in the x -direction. These α -particles are assumed to be magnetically confined in the plasma, but not in the wave, *i.e.*, $k_y \rho_\alpha \gg 1$, where ρ_α is the α -particle gyroradius. Thus, if, due to the wave, the α -particle momentum changes by $m_\alpha \Delta v_y$, then the change in the α -particle energy is $\Delta E = m_\alpha v_y \Delta v_y$, and the change in the gyrocenter is $\Delta x_{gc} = \Delta v_y / \Omega_\alpha$, where $\Omega_\alpha = 2eB/m_\alpha$ is the gyrofrequency. The wave-particle resonance is $\omega = k_y v_y$, so upon exchanging energy ΔE with the wave, the particle moves $\Delta x_{gc} = \Delta E k_y / m_\alpha \Omega_\alpha \omega$. The excursions in gyroradius are proportional to the excursions in energy, but inversely proportional to the wave phase velocity: assuming wave phase velocities about 6 ion thermal velocities v_{Ti} (so as to avoid ion Landau damping), then, for a change in energy of $m_\alpha v_\alpha^2 / 2 = 3.5$ MeV (where v_α is the birth speed), there would be a change in the guiding-center position of $L = v_\alpha^2 k_y / 2\omega \Omega_\alpha$, which might be about 20 cm in a 5 T magnetic field.

A diffusion equation in one dimension can be obtained by integrating the quasilinear diffusion equation for the α -particles over parallel velocity space¹¹ to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} F(\epsilon, X, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \epsilon F + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) \frac{D(\epsilon, X)}{\sqrt{\epsilon - \epsilon_w}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) F + \frac{S}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon}}, \quad (1)$$

where F is the α -particle distribution integrated over parallel velocity, and where we have normalized $\epsilon = v_\perp^2 / v_\alpha^2$, $X = x_{gc} / L$, $\tau = 2\nu t$, and $\epsilon_w = (\omega / k_y v_\alpha)^2$. The first term on the right represents slowing down on electrons, the dominant collisional relaxation for energetic α -particles.

where $\nu = 16\sqrt{2\pi m_e} e^4 n_e \ln\Lambda / 3T_e^{3/2} m_\alpha$ is the slowing down rate of α -particles on electrons. The third term is related to the α -particle source, where $S(x) = \dot{N}(x)/4\pi v_\alpha^2 \nu$, and where \dot{N} is the α -particle production rate per unit length. The second term gives the quasilinear diffusion of the α -particles, where the diffusion coefficient may be written, under very general conditions,¹² as

$$D(\epsilon, X) = \begin{cases} (\omega/\nu\epsilon_w^3)(v_{osc}/v_\alpha)^2 & \text{if } \epsilon > \epsilon_w \text{ and } 0 \leq X \leq A; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $v_{osc} = 2eE/m\omega$ would be the oscillating velocity of an α -particle in the electrostatic field of strength E , and where A is the normalized radial extent of the lower hybrid power.

To calculate the energy exchange, define the quantity $W(X_0, \epsilon_0, \tau)$, the (normalized) amount of energy absorbed from the waves, within time τ , by an α -particle with coordinates (X_0, ϵ_0) at $\tau = 0$. Thus, for example, for quasilinear diffusion constant in time, the total (unnormalized) energy delivered to the waves, \mathcal{E}_w , within time τ , can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_w(\tau) = \frac{\epsilon_\alpha L}{4\nu} \int_0^A dX \int_{\epsilon_w}^\infty d\epsilon \int_0^\tau d\tau' W(X, \epsilon, \tau - \tau') \frac{\dot{N}(X, \tau')}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon}}. \quad (3a)$$

For comparison, the total α -particle energy delivered to the plasma within time τ is simply

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(\tau) = \frac{\epsilon_\alpha L}{2\nu} \int_0^A dX \int_0^\tau d\tau' \dot{N}(X, \tau'), \quad (3b)$$

and the fraction of α -particle energy delivered to the waves is $\mathcal{E}_w(\tau)/\mathcal{E}_\alpha$. This quantity, of course, could be negative in the case of absorption of wave power by the α -particles.

Because we anticipate the use of high power density rf current-drive, it will be of particular interest to calculate W in the limit $D \rightarrow \infty$. The high power density might arise naturally through focussing, or it might be incurred deliberately through brief, intense pulsing of the rf, which might improve the penetration¹³ and, under certain circumstances, even enhance the efficiency.¹⁴ In the limit $D \rightarrow \infty$, very quickly an α -particle at (X_0, ϵ_0)

will be diffused by the waves over the line $X + \epsilon = X_0 + \epsilon_0$ (see Fig. 1). There will be an instantaneous energy exchange

$$W_0(X_0, \epsilon_0) = \frac{\epsilon_{\max} + \epsilon_{\min}}{2} - \epsilon_0, \quad (4)$$

where the first quantity gives the final average kinetic energy over the diffusion region, and where the maximum energy is simply $\epsilon_{\max} = X_0 + \epsilon_0$. A diffusion path can be defined by $P(X, \epsilon) \equiv X + \epsilon$. For the minimum energy in the diffusion region, we have two separate cases

$$\epsilon_{\min} = \begin{cases} P - A & \text{for } P > A + \epsilon_w, \text{ Region (b)} \\ \epsilon_w & \text{for } P < A + \epsilon_w, \text{ Region (a)} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

which gives

$$W_0(X_0, \epsilon_0) = \begin{cases} X_0 - A/2 & \text{for } P > A + \epsilon_w; \\ (X_0 - \epsilon_0)/2 + \epsilon_w/2 & \text{for } P < A + \epsilon_w. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Note that the instantaneous energy exchange can be positive or negative; for X_0 small, it is always negative, which means that energy flows from the α -particle to the wave, since, on balance, it is diffused by the wave to lower energy. This phenomenon, possible only when spatial diffusion is exploited, exhibits wave amplification! In contrast, the infinite homogeneous models of the wave plasma interaction (recovered here in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$ and, $X_0, A \rightarrow \infty$) allow diffusion in energy only. In such models, $W_0(X_0, \epsilon_0) \rightarrow \infty$, since, in the limit $D \rightarrow \infty$, no normalizable time-asymptotic state exists.

After the instantaneous energy exchange, the particle density is uniform over the diffusion path, $X + \epsilon = P$, and no further energy exchange occurs until collisional slowing down renders the density no longer uniform over diffusion paths. The entire energy exchange is the sum of the instantaneous energy exchange and the subsequent energy exchange of the line, *i.e.*,

$$W(X_0, \epsilon_0, \tau) = W_0(X_0, \epsilon_0) + W_L(P_0, \tau), \quad (7)$$

where the line energy exchange depends through the initial coordinates on the initial line parameter $P_0 \equiv P(X_0, \epsilon_0)$.

In calculating the energy exchange $W_L(P_0, \tau)$ in the limit $D \rightarrow \infty$, first consider the case $P_0 > A + \epsilon_w$, *i.e.*, region (b). Imagine the slowing

down and the diffusion occurring in separate, but nearly coincident steps: First, neglecting for an instant $\Delta\tau$ the wave diffusion, suppose all the α -particles, initially distributed on the line $X + \epsilon = P_0$ slow down by means of collisions. Then, within the wave region $0 \leq X \leq A$, the α -particles will become distributed uniformly over the collisionally decelerated line $X + \psi\epsilon = P_0$, where $\psi = 1 + \Delta\tau$. Second, at the time $\Delta\tau$, suppose the waves instantaneously diffuse the α -particles over the wave region, thus equalizing the density along the diffusion paths $X + \epsilon = \text{constant}$. Here, zero-flux conditions are imposed at the boundaries at $X = 0$ and at $X = A$, since the diffusion coefficient vanishes at the boundaries, and the slowing down is parallel to the boundaries. The energy exchange at time $\Delta\tau$ can then be found as the integral over the decelerated line density of the instantaneous energy exchange

$$W_L(P_0, \Delta\tau) = \int_0^A W_0(X, (P_0 - X)/\psi) dX = 0. \quad (8)$$

Remarkably, the energy exchange vanishes. This can be seen immediately from the fact that collisions, operating on a line of uniform density in region (b), leave unchanged the average X as $A/2$. Hence, by Eq.(6), there is no energy exchange.

The result of the slowing down and diffusion after the incremental time $\Delta\tau$ is to smear the α -particles over the region $P_{\min} \leq P \leq P_{\max}$, where $P_{\min} = P_0/\psi$ and $P_{\max} = P_0/\psi + A(1 - 1/\psi)$. If we approximate the smeared distribution as a line distribution at the average position $P = P_{\text{avr}} = (P_{\min} + P_{\max})/2$, then, setting $P_1 = P_{\text{avr}}$, the preceding analysis can be iterated to obtain the energy exchange in the next incremental instant of time. Clearly, repeated iteration results in no energy exchange until the line decelerates to region (a), which occurs, for some $P_j = A + \epsilon_w$, at time

$$T_a = j\Delta\tau = \frac{P_0 - A - \epsilon_w}{r_c - A/2}. \quad (9)$$

The analysis here is equivalent to a multiple-time-scale approach in the limit $D \rightarrow \infty$, in which, on the shortest time scale, homogeneity along the diffusion paths is assured, and, on a longer time scale, the position of this path responds to the slowing down.

The energy exchange in region (a) is more complicated; particles are no longer conserved in the wave region, since α -particles leave the wave region through slowing down along the boundary $\epsilon = \epsilon_w$. Moreover, as we show, the energy exchange is nonvanishing. Suppose there is α -particle density N_i , at time $i\Delta\tau$, along the line $X + \epsilon = P_i$ in region (a). The maximum value of X along this line is $X_i = P_i - \epsilon_w$, occurring at the boundary $\epsilon = \epsilon_w$. If collisions operate now on this line for the incremental time $\Delta\tau$, then the result is a uniform line density over the line $X + \psi\epsilon = P_i$, with X , at the boundary $\epsilon = \epsilon_w$, now given by $P_i - \psi\epsilon_w \equiv X_i^c$, and the number of α -particles lost from the region is evidently $N_i(X_i^c - X_i)/X_i$.

Upon diffusion by waves, the average instantaneous energy exchange over the collisionally decelerated line at time $i\Delta\tau$ is

$$\langle \Delta W_L \rangle_i = \frac{1}{X_i^c} \int_0^{X_i^c} W_0(X, (P_i - X)/\psi) dX = \left(\frac{\psi - 1}{4\psi} \right) (P_i - \psi\epsilon_w), \quad (10)$$

where use was made of Eq.(6). The total energy exchange within time τ , for a line originally at P_0 in region (a) can then be written as

$$W_L(P_0, \tau) = \lim_{\Delta\tau \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{\tau/\Delta\tau} N_i \langle \Delta W_L \rangle_i = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau N(\tau') [P(\tau') - \epsilon_w] d\tau'. \quad (11)$$

For the diffusion of one particle, $N_0 = N(0) = 1$, and it remains now to find $N(\tau)$ and $P(\tau)$.

Let us again suppose that the main effect of slowing down and diffusion is to shift the line $X + \epsilon = P_i$ to $X + \epsilon = P_{i+1}$. Here, in region (a), we have $P_{\min} = P_i/\psi$ but $P_{\max} = P_i + (1 - \psi)\epsilon_w$. Setting P_{i+1} to the average position of the smeared distribution gives the difference equation

$$P_{i+1} = P_{\text{avr}} = P_i(1 + \psi)/2\psi + (1 - \psi)\epsilon_w/2, \quad (12)$$

which, in the limit $\Delta\tau \rightarrow 0$, may be integrated to get

$$P(\tau, P_0) = (P_0 + \epsilon_w)e^{-\tau/2} - \epsilon_w. \quad (13)$$

Using $N_{i+1} = X_i^c/X_i = (P_i - \psi\epsilon_w)/(P_i - \epsilon_w)$, and taking the limit $\Delta\tau \rightarrow 0$, we can write a differential equation for N as

$$\frac{dN(\tau)}{d\tau} = -\frac{\epsilon_w}{P(\tau) + \epsilon_w} N(\tau), \quad (14)$$

and, using Eq.(13), we can solve

$$N(\tau) = N_0 \left(\frac{1 - se^{\tau/2}}{1 - s} \right), \quad (15)$$

where $s \equiv 2\epsilon_w/(P_0 + \epsilon_w)$. Note that both Eqs.(13) and (15) are valid until time $\tau = \tau_{\max}$, where $\tau_{\max} = 2\ln(1/s)$, at which time $P \rightarrow \epsilon_w$, $N \rightarrow 0$, and the particles have all left the resonant region.

Plugging Eqs.(12) and (15), with $N_0 = 1$, into Eq.(11), we get,

$$W_L(P_0, \tau) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\epsilon_w}{1 - s} \left\{ -3\tau - \frac{4}{s} \left(e^{-\tau/2} - 1 \right) + 2s \left(e^{\tau/2} - 1 \right) \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Using Eqs.(6) and (16) in Eq.(7) gives the full Green's function for the energy exchange, through which the possibility of wave amplification can be examined.

First, note that W_L is always positive, and, with increasing time, it becomes more positive. This means that, for no-flux boundary conditions, the amplification effect, if it occurs, is largest immediately. This suggests that brief pulses of rf may be more efficient than continuous rf excitation.

Second, consider the more likely case that the rf will be continuous, so that the asymptotic limit $\tau \rightarrow \tau_{\max}$ is appropriate in evaluating W_L . Note that all region (b) particles accumulate at time T_a at region (a) at the point $P_0 = \epsilon_w + A$. Thus, substituting for $\tau = \tau_{\max}$ and for P_0 in Eq.(16), and defining $\beta \equiv 2\epsilon_w/A$, we can write for all region (b) α -particles

$$W_L(P_0 = \epsilon_w + A, \tau \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{A}{4} \left(3(\beta + 1) \ln[\beta/(\beta + 1)] + 2/\beta + 3 \right). \quad (17)$$

Consider two cases: For $\beta \rightarrow 0$, we have $W_L \rightarrow A/2$. For $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, we have $W_L \rightarrow A/8\beta$. The first case is unfavorable for amplification, since W_L is positive by at least the amount by which W_0 can be negative. The second case is less unfavorable, and, in fact, $W = W_0 + W_L$ can be negative, implying amplification.

The physical explanation of the time-asymptotic energy exchange lies in exactly how the α -particles leave the resonant region. Clearly, along any diffusion path, if a high energy particle leaves, it will be replaced, at expense to the wave, by low energy particles: on the other hand, if a low

energy particle leaves, the reverse occurs, so that the wave is amplified. For the unfavorable case, $\beta \rightarrow 0$, α -particles are never lost from the resonant region at the low energy boundary. This is because the low energy particles, with less perpendicular energy to lose, slow down less rapidly than do the high energy particles, and so tend to leave the diffusion path at $X = 0$ rather than at $X = A$; for example, as $\epsilon_w \rightarrow 0$, the low energy particles are stuck in the resonant region and can only escape the wave diffusion through the diffusion path in which $P \rightarrow 0$. Thus, if particles are effectively lost in this limit only at $X = 0$, the waves must, as found in Eq.(17), inject an average energy of $A/2$. In the opposite limit, $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, high energy particles can, in fact, be lost from the resonant region at the boundary $X = 0$, so the wave damping is less.

From this picture of the time-asymptotic energy exchange it becomes clear exactly how one must tamper with the no-flux boundary conditions in order to maximize the amplification effect. Suppose that there were an *absorbing*, rather than *no-flux* boundary; then, along any diffusion path that intersects the absorbing boundary, particles would be lost at that boundary. If the absorbing boundary can be placed either at $\epsilon = \epsilon_w$ or at $X = A$, then the α -particles would be lost at the low energy end of the diffusion path, with net energy to the wave; *e.g.*, in region (b), the energy recovery is simply $A/2$.

How, precisely, to accomplish the desirable absorbing boundary conditions is beyond the scope of this letter. Note, however, that the physics of α -particle transport is quite rich (see, *e.g.*, Refs. 15-18), and one might consider a number of promising possibilities: for example, to accomplish the absorbing condition at $X = A$, a magnetic ripple might be applied to the outer flux surfaces of a tokamak, without producing significant interior ripple. Particles in the ripple, which might be maximized say at $X = A$, would be lost preferentially. In addition, there are interesting suggestions¹⁹ for introducing into a tokamak reactor certain kinds of magnetic turbulence to remove α -particles preferentially at several hundred keV. Such an ash removal could produce an absorbing boundary near $\epsilon = \epsilon_w$.

The amount of energy extracted from the α -particles can be significant. In principle, through the use of the energetically favorable boundary conditions, the available energy is the full perpendicular energy in a very strong α -particle gradient, and about half this energy in a weak gradient. Suppose, for example, that about 5% of the fusion power output in a D-T

reactor is to be recirculated for lower hybrid current drive, but that 10–20% of the α -particle power can be tapped. Since the α -particle power is 20% of the fusion power, by utilizing the α -particles, the circulating power can be held to only 1–3% of the power output, effectively enhancing the efficiency of the current drive by a factor of 1.7–5! Since the cost of a reactor is very sensitive to the extent of recirculated power, the savings here could be important. Note also that in a D-He³ reactor, in which the reaction products are protons, lower hybrid waves could similarly tap the energetic proton power, but with even greater effect, since the energetic protons dominate the total fusion power.

In summary, we have identified the importance of the poloidal momentum in lower hybrid waves when these waves interact with α -particles. Choosing the right sense of the poloidal wavenumber, together with the right parallel wavenumber for current drive, can result in the channeling of free α -particle energy to those lower hybrid waves capable of driving current. We identified the Green's function for the wave-particle energy exchange, and calculated, among other things, the important case of steady-state, intense excitation. We find that the effects described here, particularly when enhanced by certain means of ash removal, improve the prospects for economical, steady-state operation of a tokamak reactor.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC02-76-CHO3073.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 The region of wave-particle interaction. The heavy solid line divides the interaction region into regions (a) and (b). The solid line terminating at P_0 is a typical diffusion path in region (a); after collisions lasting $\Delta\tau$, particles on the solid line $X + \epsilon = P_0$ slow down to the dotted line $X + (1 + \Delta\tau)\epsilon = P_0$, which intersects the resonant region boundary at X_1^c .

References

- 1 N. J. Fisch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 175 (1978).
- 2 K. M. Wong and M. Ono, Nucl. Fusion **24**, 615 (1984).
- 3 P. T. Bonoli and M. Porkolab, Nucl. Fusion **27**, 1347 (1987).
- 4 E. Barbato and F. Santini, Nucl. Fusion **31**, 673 (1991).
- 5 M. Spada, M. Bornatici, and F. Engelmann, Nucl. Fusion **31**, 447 (1991).
- 6 P. T. Bonoli, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. **12**, 95, (1987).
- 7 E. Barbato, A. Cardinali and F. Santini, in Proc. Fourth Int. Symp. on Heating in Toroidal Plasmas (ed. H. Knoepfel and E. Sindoni, Rome, 1984), Vol. II, 1353.
- 8 E. Valeo and D. Eder, J. Comp. Phys. **27**, 341 (1987).
- 9 R. C. Davidson, and N. T. Gladd, Phys. Fluids **18**, 1327 (1975).
- 10 R. C. Davidson, Phys. Fluids **21**, 1375 (1978).
- 11 N. J. Fisch and J.-M. Rax, to appear in Nucl. Fusion (1992).
- 12 C. F. F. Karney, Phys. Fluids **22**, 2188 (1979).
- 13 R. H. Cohen, T. D. Roglien, P. T. Bonoli, and M. Porkolab, Proc. 13th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nucl. Fusion Research (Washington, DC), (IAEA, Vienna, 1991), Vol. I p. 805.
- 14 N. J. Fisch, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 175 (1987).
- 15 V. Y. Goloborodko, Y. I. Kolesnischenko, and V. A. Yavorskii, Physica Scripta **T16**, 46 (1986).
- 16 G. Kamelander and D. J. Sigmar, Physica Scripta **45**, 147 (1992).
- 17 R. B. White and H. E. Mynick, Phys. Fluids B **1** 980 (1989).
- 18 L. Chen, J. Vaclavik and G. W. Hammett, Nucl. Fusion **28** 389 (1988).
- 19 H. E. Mynick, in Proc. Int. Sherwood Fusion Theory Conf., abstract 1c18, Los Alamos Natl. Lab. Report LA-UR-92-761 (1992).




FIG. 1

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-420

Dr. F. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ. of Sydney, AUSTRALIA
 Plasma Research Lab., Australian Nat. Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst. for Theoretical Physics, AUSTRIA
 Prof. M. Heindler, Institut für Theoretische Physik, AUSTRIA
 Prof. M. Goossens, Astronomisch Instituut, BELGIUM
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab. de Phy. Plasmas, BELGIUM
 Commission-European, DG. XII-Fusion Prog., BELGIUM
 Prof. R. Bouciqué, Rijksuniversiteit Gent, BELGIUM
 Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, BRAZIL
 Instituto Nacional De Pesquisas Especiais-INPE, BRAZIL
 Documents Office, Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., CANADA
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, CANADA
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, CANADA
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, CANADA
 Prof. T.W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
 Dr. R. Bolton, Centre canadien de fusion magnétique, CANADA
 Dr. C.R. James,, Univ. of Alberta, CANADA
 Dr. P. Lukáč, Komenského Univerzita, CZECHO-SLOVAKIA
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, ENGLAND
 Dr. S.C. Sharma, Univ. of South Pacific, FIJI ISLANDS
 P. Mähönen, Univ. of Helsinki, FINLAND
 Prof. M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique,, FRANCE
 C. Mouttet, Lab. de Physique des Milieux Ionisés, FRANCE
 J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE - Bat 506, FRANCE
 Prof. E. Economou, Univ. of Crete, GREECE
 Ms. C. Rinni, Univ. of Ioannina, GREECE
 Dr. T. Muel, Academy Bibliographic Ser., HONG KONG
 Preprint Library, Hungarian Academy of Sci., HUNGARY
 Dr. B. DasGupta, Saha Inst. of Nuclear Physics, INDIA
 Dr. P. Kaw, Inst. for Plasma Research, INDIA
 Dr. P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Technology, ISRAEL
 Librarian, International Center for Theo. Physics, ITALY
 Miss C. De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, ITALY
 Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, ITALY
 Prof. G. Rostangni, Istituto Gas Ionizzati Del Cnr, ITALY
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Devel. Center, JAPAN
 Prof. I. Kawakami, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN
 Prof. K. Nishikawa, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN
 Director, Japan Atomic Energy Research Inst., JAPAN
 Prof. S. Itoh, Kyushu Univ., JAPAN
 Research Info. Ctr., National Inst. for Fusion Science, JAPAN
 Prof. S. Tanaka, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN
 Library, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN
 Prof. N. Inoue, Univ. of Tokyo, JAPAN
 Secretary, Plasma Section, Electrotechnical Lab., JAPAN
 S. Mori, Technical Advisor, JAERI, JAPAN
 Dr. O. Mitarai, Kumamoto Inst. of Technology, JAPAN
 J. Hyeon-Sook, Korea Atomic Energy Research Inst., KOREA
 D.I. Choi, The Korea Adv. Inst. of Sci. & Tech., KOREA
 Prof. B.S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
 Inst. of Physics, Chinese Acad Sci PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA
 Library, Inst. of Plasma Physics, PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA
 Tsinghua Univ. Library, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Z. Li, S.W. Inst. Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Instituto Superior Tecnico, PORTUGAL
 Dr. O. Petrus, AL I CUZA Univ., ROMANIA
 Dr. J. de Villiers, Fusion Studies, AEC, S. AFRICA
 Prof. M.A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, S. AFRICA
 Prof. D.E. Kim, Pohang Inst. of Sci. & Tech., SO. KOREA
 Prof. C.I.E.M.A.T, Fusion Division Library, SPAIN
 Dr. L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, SWEDEN
 Library, Royal Inst. of Technology, SWEDEN
 Prof. H. Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech., SWEDEN
 Centre Phys. Des Plasmas, Ecole Polytech, SWITZERLAND
 Bibliotheek, Inst. Voor Plasma-Fysica, THE NETHERLANDS
 Asst. Prof. Dr. S. Cakir, Middle East Tech. Univ., TURKEY
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Sci. Res. Inst. Electrophys. Apparatus, USSR
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Branch of Academy of Sci., USSR
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, I.V. Kurchatov Inst., USSR
 Librarian, The Ukr.SSR Academy of Sciences, USSR
 Dr. L.M. Kovrizhnykh, Inst. of General Physics, USSR
 Kernforschungsanlage GmbH, Zentralbibliothek, W. GERMANY
 Bibliothek, Inst. Für Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY
 Prof. K. Schindler, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, W. GERMANY
 Dr. F. Wagner, (ASDEX), Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY
 Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY
 Prof. R.K. Janev, Inst. of Physics, YUGOSLAVIA

END

**DATE
FILMED**

8 / 11 / 92

