Interplay between the molecular signals that control vertebrate limb development

LEE NISWANDER*

Molecular Biology Program and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA

ABSTRACT Vertebrate limbs display three obvious axes of asymmetry. These three axes are referred to as proximal-distal (Pr-D; shoulder to digit tips), anterior-posterior (A-P; thumb to little finger), and dorsal-ventral (D-V; back of hand to palm). At a molecular level, it is now possible to define the signals that control patterning of each of the three axes of the developing limb. These signals do not work in isolation though but rather their activity must be integrated such that the various limb elements are coordinately formed with relation to these three axes. This review will provide an overview of the intricate medley amongst the molecular signals that serve to establish and coordinate patterning information along the three primary axes of the limb.

KEY WORDS: anterior-posterior, dorsal-ventral, FGF, limb patterning, proximal-distal, SHH, WNT

Patterning along the three axes of the embryonic limb is regulated by three key organizing centers that produce the following primary signals. As reviewed by John Fallon in this journal issue, Pr-D growth is regulated by the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) which produces proteins of the Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) family that are secreted and act on the underlying limb mesenchyme. As described by Cheryll Tickle, A-P patterning is controlled by a population of cells in the posterior aspect of the limb mesenchyme that secrete the Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) protein. D-V patterning requires localization of the WNT7a signaling protein to the dorsal limb ectoderm via repression by the Engrailed-1 (En1) transcription factor which is localized to the ventral ectoderm (Loomis *et al.*, 1996; Parr and McMahon, 1995).

Integration of three-dimensional patterning occurs as a result of complex interplay amongst these three signaling centers. The organizing centers communicate with one another to position and refine the expression domains of these key signals. Through these interactions, growth and patterning are coordinated during limb development.

Coordination of the Signaling Centers

Ectodermal Signals (FGF and WNT7a) restrict the A-P (SHH) Organizer

One of the earliest gene markers of the presumptive AER is *Fgf8*. Experimental studies in the chick indicate that FGF signaling from the AER serves to induce the expression of *Shh* in the posterior-distal mesenchyme(see references in Martin, 1998). However, in mouse limbs lacking *Fgf8*, *Shh* is normally expressed

(Lewandowski et al., 2000; Moon and Capecchi, 2000). At least three other Fgfgenes are expressed in the AER (Fgf4, Fgf9, Fgf17; (Martin, 1998) and there may be functional redundancy of FGF signaling from the AER. FGF signals from the AER are also required to maintain Shh expression (Laufer et al., 1994; Niswander et al., 1994). Signals from the dorsal ectoderm also cooperate in the regulation of Shh expression. WNT7a signaling from the dorsal ectoderm is necessary for normal levels of Shh expression, thereby serving to restrict Shh to the dorsal mesenchyme (Parr and McMahon, 1995; Yang and Niswander, 1995). It is not known whether signaling from FGF8 and WNT7a to Shh is direct or indirect. Thus, ectodermal signals from the Pr-D organizer (FGF) and the D-V organizer (WNT7a) act to position the A-P organizer to the distal and dorsal limb mesenchyme. Later in this review will be described the genetic interactions that serve to restrict the position of the A-P organizer to the posterior aspect of the limb.

The A-P Organizer SHH controls Fgf4 Expression in the AER, via Regulation of BMP

In a reciprocal manner, SHH acts to limit the expression of another FGF family member, *Fgf4*, to the posterior aspect of the AER (Laufer *et al.*, 1994; Niswander *et al.*, 1994). However, the path from SHH to *Fgf4* is quite indirect. SHH acts via the Formin

Abbreviations used in this paper: AER, apical ectodermal ridge; A-P, anteriorposterior; BMP, bone morphogenetic protein; D-V, dorsal-ventral; En1, engrailed 1; fgf, fibroblast growth factor; Pr-D, proximal-distal; Shh, sonic hedgehog.

^{*}Address correspondence to: Dr. Lee Niswander. Molecular Biology Program and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10021, USA. Fax: +1-212-717-3623. e-mail: I-niswander@ski.mskcc.org

(limb deformity) gene product to positively regulate the expression of Gremlin, an antagonist of the Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) signaling molecules. BMP in turn serves to repress expression of *Fgf4* in the AER (Capdevila *et al.*, 1999; Merino *et al.*, 1999; Pizette and Niswander, 1999; Zúñiga *et al.*, 1999). Thus, SHH activates an antagonist of a repressor of *Fgf4* expression to positively regulate AER signaling.

Relationship between D-V Patterning and AER Formation

Once the limb bud forms, D-V patterning is regulated by the limb ectoderm. This was first shown by experimental embryology studies in the chick where 180° rotation of the ectoderm relative to the mesenchyme resulted in a 180° inversion of the resulting mesenchymal structures (Geduspan and MacCabe, 1987; MacCabe *et al.*, 1974; Pautou, 1977). The key genes that regulate D-V patterning have now been identified by gene targeting in the mouse and by molecular experiments in the chick. D-V patterning is coordinated by the activity of EN1 in the ventral ectoderm which serves to restrict *Wnt7a* expression to the dorsal ectoderm (Logan *et al.*, 1997; Loomis *et al.*, 1996). WNT7a then activates the expression of the transcription factor *Lmx1b* in the dorsal mesenchyme and this is required for dorsal patterning (Chen *et al.*, 1998; Riddle *et al.*, 1995; Vogel *et al.*, 1995). Thus, these three genes are necessary for the establishment of D-V pattern.

The AER forms at the interface between dorsal and ventral limb ectoderm. Yet, the relationship between D-V patterning and AER induction is not absolute. Mouse embryos with loss of function mutations of En1 or Wnt7a (singly or in combination) display disrupted D-V patterning of the distal limb but AER induction is not affected (Cygan *et al.*, 1997; Loomis *et al.*, 1996; Loomis *et al.*, 1998; Parr and McMahon, 1995). En1 mutant mice have defects in AER morphogenesis (cells of the ventral AER do not compact towards the distal tip) yet, *Fgf8* expression is induced and Pr-D growth and patterning is relatively normal. This suggests that the interrelationship lies upstream of these D-V signals. This coordination appears to depend on BMP, and perhaps a different member of the Wnt ligand family.

Conditional gene targeting of the type I BMP receptor (BmpR-IA) in mice and molecular gain and loss of function studies in the chick demonstrate that BMP signaling is necessary and sufficient to regulate both D-V patterning and AER induction (Ahn et al., 2001; Pizette et al., 2001). BMP signaling within the ventral ectoderm positively controls EN1 expression, hence serving to restrict Wnt7a to the dorsal ectoderm. Thus, BMP is upstream of EN1 in D-V patterning. BMP signaling is also involved in the induction of Fgf8 expression in AER precursors. Moreover, BMP appears to act through a different set of transcription factors, members of the MSX family, to mediate AER induction (Pizette et al., 2001). These studies indicate that D-V patterning and AER induction are coordinately regulated by BMP, and suggest that EN-1 and MSX function independently of one another downstream of BMPs to differentially mediate these two aspects of limb development.

Wnt signaling within the limb ectoderm is also implicated in AER formation. Molecular experiments in the chick limb suggest that Wnt3a signaling, through a β -catenin and Lef1-dependent pathway, is also necessary and sufficient to induce *Fgf8* expression (Kengaku *et al.*, 1998). Thus, Wnt and Bmp induce and most likely influence the position of the AER along the D-V interface.

The molecular interrelationship between the WNT and BMP pathways and how their activities converge during this process still remains to be determined.

Initiation of Limb Bud Formation: a Dance between WNT and FGF

Moving backwards in developmental time raises the questions of how budding of the limb is first initiated and what normally serves to restrict the positions of the limb buds along the rostral-caudal axis of the body. Molecular experiments in the chick suggest that an intricate dance between FGF and WNT signaling is involved in limb bud initiation (Kawakami *et al.*, 2001). A series of sequential signals are passed between WNT and FGF in a wave across the medial to lateral aspect of the body (somite, intermediate mesoderm, lateral plate mesoderm, ectoderm). In this dance the partners are exchanged while the overall melody remains the same.

In the presumptive forelimb region, Wnt2b becomes restricted along the rostral-caudal region to the intermediate and lateral plate mesoderm (Kawakami *et al.*, 2001). It is not yet known what genes are involved in defining the rostral-caudal domain of Wnt expression. Presumably axial patterning determinants are important, and these could include the Hox genes as mutation of Hoxb5 leads to a rostral shift of the forelimb field (Rancourt *et al.*, 1995).

Wnt2b, through a β -catenin-dependent pathway, appears to restrict the expression of *Fgf10* to the lateral plate mesenchyme of the limb field (Kawakami *et al.*, 2001). FGF10 is necessary for the induction of *Fgf8* in the AER. Limb formation fails in Fgf10-/- mice but interestingly, the initial budding of the limb appears normal (Min *et al.*, 1998; Sekine *et al.*, 1999). Further complexity in the dance between WNT and FGF is indicated by the results that FGF10 does not directly induce *Fgf8* but instead FGF10 acts to regulate another Wnt member, Wnt3a, in the ectoderm (Kawakami *et al.*, 2001). As outlined above, Wnt3a, perhaps in conjunction with BMP signaling, then serves to induce *Fgf8* expression.

There may then be a continuing dance between FGF10 and FGF8 as the limb continues to grow. Removal of the AER and replacement with FGF indicates that FGF signaling from the AER is needed to maintain *Fgf10* expression (Ohuchi *et al.*, 1997). It is not yet clear whether FGF10 in the mesenchyme is necessary after the AER has been established. Further roles for FGF10 could include the maintenance of FGF signaling in the AER or an independent role in the regulation of mesenchyme growth and patterning. It is also unclear whether WNT3a signaling plays a later role in maintenance of AER function. In contrast, the evidence suggests that BMP is not needed to maintain the AER and instead, after AER establishment, BMP negatively regulates the function of the AER by repressing *Fgf4* expression (Capdevila *et al.*, 1999; Merino *et al.*, 1999; Pizette and Niswander, 1999; Zúñiga *et al.*, 1999).

Mesenchymal Control of A-P Patterning: SHH-Dependent

As reviewed by Cheryll Tickle, SHH signaling is sufficient and necessary to regulate A-P patterning and growth of the intermediate (zeugopod) and distal (autopod) elements. Loss or gain of SHH signaling leads to a decrease or increase, respectively, of the number of elements along the A-P axis (Chiang *et al.*, 2001; Chiang *et al.*, 1996; Kraus *et al.*, 2001; Riddle *et al.*, 1993). For instance, SHH protein can be applied to the anterior of the limb bud resulting in the formation of extra digits and these ectopic digits can adopt more posterior identity. Thus it is critical to tightly regulate the activity and the location of the SHH signal. One level of control lies within the SHH signal transduction pathway itself. There are a large number of modulators of SHH signaling, disruption of which leads to A-P patterning alterations. Many of these are negative regulators of the SHH signal transduction pathway (patched, Gli3, opb) (Eggenschwiler et al., 2001; Hui and Joyner, 1993; Milenkovic et al., 1999: Schimmang et al., 1992). Moreover, pathway components such as Gli3 and patched serve to restrict Shh expression to the posterior of the limb bud as mice mutant for these genes are polydactylous and display an ectopic domain of Shh in the anterior of the limb bud (Masuya et al., 1995; Milenkovic et al., 1999). opb mutant limbs are also polydactylous (Günther et al., 1994) and, although Shh is normally expressed, there is ectopic expression of the SHH target, patched, in the anterior of the limb (Eggenschwiler and Anderson, unpublished observations). In the chick talpid mutants, patched expression is expanded along the A-P axis of the distal limb bud while Shh is expressed in its normal domain. It is postulated that there is activation of the SHH signaling pathway in the absence of ligand leading to an increase in digit number and, in the talpid mutant limb, an apparent uniform distribution of positional identity (Caruccio et al., 1999; Lewis et al., 1999).

An additional level of refinement of SHH signaling appears to arise by restricting the signal in space and time. It has been proposed that there is a SHH autoregulatory loop in which SHH regulation of cell death in the posterior necrotic zone serves to modulate the domain and hence the level of SHH signaling (Sanz-Ezquerro and Tickle, 2000). SHH activity and/or range of signal is modulated by cholesterol modification, which occurs during processing to form the mature protein (Porter et al., 1996). There also appears to be an intricate feedback and a relay system that provides temporal and spatial refinement. Experimental studies in the chick limb suggest the following model (Drossopoulou et al., 2000). SHH first acts as a long range signal to prime the region for competence to form digits and to control digit number. SHH signaling is then limited by induction of, and binding to, its own receptor Patched, subsequently restricting SHH activity to a shorter range. SHH also acts to induce and maintain the expression of Bmp2. Subsequently, BMP acts on the primed cells to specify digit identity. Thus, A-P pattern is thought to be relayed from SHH to BMP.

It is clear that the AER and A-P organizer are tightly coupled (FGF induces and maintains *Shh*; SHHregulates *Fgf4*, and in *Shh*-/-limbs *Fgf8* and *Fgf4* expression is lost). However, SHH itself is not required for Pr-D patterning as in *Shh*-/- mouse limbs, elements representing all Pr-D levels are present (Chiang *et al.*, 2001; Kraus *et al.*, 2001).

Mesenchymal Control of A-P Patterning: SHH-Independent

It is not clear when A-P patterning is specified and whether this occurs at discrete intervals during Pr-D growth or continuously during limb development. Although SHH is necessary for normal limb development, there is a significant amount of A-P pre-pattern laid down prior to induction of *Shh* expression. Analysis of *Shh-/-* mutant mouse limbs indicates that A-P pattern of the proximal element, the stylopod (humerus/femur) is independent of SHH

(Chiang *et al.*, 2001; Kraus *et al.*, 2001). Moreover, there is asymmetric expression of genes, such as members of the *Hoxd* family in the mesenchyme and *Fgfs* in the AER, prior to, or in the absence of, SHH signaling (Chiang *et al.*, 2001; Grieshammer *et al.*, 1996; Kraus *et al.*, 2001; Noramly *et al.*, 1996; Ros *et al.*, 1996; Zúñiga and Zeller, 1999).

So what is this A-P pre-pattern and how is it established? The A-P pre-pattern appears to be generated at least in part through the localization of a set of transcription factors. Gli3 and Alx4, which act to repress the potential for polarizing activity, are expressed in the anterior of the limb field, whereas the basic helix-loop-helix gene product dHAND is expressed in the posterior of the limb field. These genes appear to act, prior to induction of *Shh* expression, to regulate the asymmetric expression of *Hoxd* members and other genes and to pattern the stylopod elements (Charité *et al.*, 2000; Fernandez-Teran *et al.*, 2000; Qu *et al.*, 1997; Takahashi *et al.*, 1998; Zúñiga and Zeller, 1999).

Mesenchymal Signals (Gli3, Alx, dHand) restrict the Position of the A-P Signaling Center

Gli3, Alx4, and dHand also act to position the domain of Shh expression to the posterior mesenchyme. The mouse mutants extra toes (Gli3) and Strong's luxoid (Alx4) were originally identified by their polydactylous (extra digit) phenotype. These mutant limbs display ectopic Shh expression in the anterior limb mesenchyme, indicating that they are required to restrict Shh expression to the posterior of the limb bud (Masuya et al., 1995; Qu et al., 1997; Takahashi et al., 1998; Zúñiga and Zeller, 1999). Targeted mutagenesis and misexpression of dHand led to its identification as a positive regulator of Shh expression in posterior mesenchyme (Charité et al., 2000; Fernandez-Teran et al., 2000). Thus, genes that repress Shh are expressed anteriorly and genes that activate Shh are present posteriorly. Subsequently, it is thought that FGF8 signaling from the AER cooperates in the induction of Shh expression within the region of competence, the posterior mesenchyme (see Martin, 1998).

It is interesting to consider how SHH may influence skeletal patterning. One role of SHH signaling may be to regulate proliferation of the mesenchyme and/or the pattern of branching of the early skeletal condensations. It is intriguing that the proximal stylopod element, the patterning of which is SHH-independent, derives from an unbranched condensation. The transition to SHH-dependence appears to correlate with the transition to a branched condensation at the stylopod/zuegopod border. This model suggests a more direct link between the patterning signals and the emergence of the skeletal condensations.

Final Considerations

Lest one is left with the impression that all has been solved with regards to the fundamentals of limb development, it is important to raise the major unresolved question: how is the molecular interplay amongst these patterning signals interpreted such that limb elements of the proper shape and size are formed? There is a very large gap in our understanding of how the activity of *Shh*, *Fgf*, *Bmp*, and *Wnt* genes influences, for example, where the cartilage condensations will form, how the elements are sculpted, how the number of phalangeal elements are specified, and where

the tendon/muscle will insert. It is likely that some of these same sets of signaling molecules will be re-deployed to control these later aspects of limb development. It is already known that these families of signaling molecules are used multiple times during limb development. For example, BMP appears to regulate D-V patterning, AER formation, AER function, apoptosis and skeletal formation whereas WNT members regulate limb bud initiation, AER formation, D-V patterning and *Shh* expression. Thus, the roles change over time and depend on the cell receiving the signal. This highlights the importance of context-dependent responses and reveals the complexity of understanding the integration of these signals at a cellular level. There is much yet to be discovered in the ultimate quest for knowledge of how patterning relates to final limb form.

References

- AHN, K., MISHINA, Y., HANKS, M. C., BEHRINGER, R., and CRENSHAW III, E. B. (2001). BMPR-IA signaling is required for the formation of the apical ectodermal ridge and dorsal/ventral patterning of the limb. *Development* 128: 4449-4461.
- CAPDEVILA, J., TSUKUI, T., RODRIQUEZ ESTEBAN, C., ZAPPAVIGNA, V., and IZPISUA BELMONTE, J. C. (1999). Control of vertebrate limb outgrowth by the proximal factor Meis2 and distal antagonism of BMPs by Gremlin. *Molecular Cell* 4: 839-849.
- CARUCCIO, N. C., MARTINEZ-LOPEZ, A., HARRIS, M., DVORAK, L., BITGOOD, J., SIMANDL, B. K., and FALLON, J. F. (1999). Constitutive activation of Sonic Hedgehog signaling in the chicken mutant *talpid2*: Shh-independent outgrowth and polarizing activity. *Develpmental Biology* 212: 137-149.
- CHARITÉ, J., MCFADDEN, D. G., and OLSON, E. N. (2000). The bHLH transcription factor dHAND controls Sonic hedgehog expression and establishment of the zone of polarizing activity during limb development. *Development* 127: 2461-2470.
- CHEN, H., LUN, Y., OVCHINNIKOV, D., KOKUBO, H., OBERG, K. C., PEPICELLI, C. V., GAN, L., LEE, B., and JOHNSON, R. L. (1998). Limb and kidney defects in Lmx1b mutant mice suggest an involvement of LMX1B in human nail patella syndrome. *Nature Genetics* 19: 51-55.
- CHIANG, C., LITINGTUNG, Y., HARRIS, M. P., SIMANDL, B. K., LI, Y., BEACHY, P. A., and FALLON, J. F. (2001). Manifestation of the limb prepattern: limb development in the absence of sonic hedgehog function. *Dev. Biol.* 236: 421-435.
- CHIANG, C., LITINGTUNG, Y., LEE, E., YOUNG, K. E., CORDEN, J. L., WESTPHAL, H., and BEACHY, P. A. (1996). Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking *Sonic hedgehog* gene function. *Nature* 383: 407-413.
- CYGAN, J. A., JOHNSON, R. L., and MCMAHON, A. P. (1997). Novel regulatory interactions revealed by studies of murine limb pattern in *Wnt-7a* and *En-1* mutants. *Development* 124: 5021-5032.
- DROSSOPOULOU, G., LEWIS, K. E., SANZ-EZQUERRO, J. J., NIKBAKHT, N., MCMAHON, A. P., HOFMANN, C., and TICKLE, C. (2000). A model for anteroposterior patterning of the vertebrate limb based on sequential long- and short-range Shh signalling and Bmp signalling. *Development* 127: 1337-1348.
- EGGENSCHWILER, J. T., ESPINOZA, E., and ANDERSON, K. V. (2001). Rab23 is an essential negative regulator of the mouse Sonic hedgehog signalling pathway. *Nature* 412: 194-198.
- FERNANDEZ-TERAN, M., PIEDRA, M. E., KATHIRIYA, I. S., SRIVASTAVA, D., RODRIGUEZ-REY, J. C., and ROS, M. A. (2000). Role of dHAND in the anteriorposterior polarization of the limb bud: implications for the Sonic hedgehog pathway. *Development* 127: 2133-2142.
- GEDUSPAN, J. S., and MACCABE, J. A. (1987). The ectodermal control of mesodermal patterns of differentiation in the developing chick wing. *Dev. Biol.* 124: 398-408.
- GRIESHAMMER, U., MINOWADA, G., PISENTI, J. M., ABBOTT, U. K., and MARTIN, G. R. (1996). The chick limbless mutation causes abnormalities in limb bud dorsalventral patterning: implications for the mechanism of apical ridge formation. *Development* 122: 3851-3861.
- GÜNTHER, T., STRUWE, M., AGUZZI, A., and SCHUGHART, K. (1994). Open brain, a new mouse mutant with severe neural tube defects, shows altered gene expression patterns in the developing spinal cord. *Development* 120: 3119-3130.

- HUI, C. C., and JOYNER, A. L. (1993). A mouse model of grieg cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome: the extra-toes mutation contains an intragenic deletion of the Gli3 gene. *Nature Genetics* 3: 241-246.
- KAWAKAMI, Y., CAPDEVILA, J., BÜSCHER, D., ITOH, T., RODRIGUEZ ESTEBAN, C., and IZPISÚA BELMONTE, J. C. (2001). WNT signals control FGF-dependent limb initiation and AER induction in the chick embryo. *Cell* 104: 891-900.
- KENGAKU, M., CAPDEVILA, J., RODRIGUEZ-ESTEBAN, C., DE LA PEÑA, J., JOHNSON, R. L., IZPISÚA BELMONTE, J. C., and TABIN, C. J. (1998). Distinct WNT pathways regulating AER formation and dorsoventral polarity in the chick limb bud. *Science* 280: 1274-1277.
- KRAUS, P., FRAIDENRAICH, D., and LOOMIS, C. A. (2001). Some distal limb structures develop in mice lacking Sonic Hedgehog signaling. *Mech. Dev.* 100: 45-58.
- LAUFER, E., NELSON, C., JOHNSON, R. L., MORGAN, B. A., and TABIN, C. (1994). Sonic hedgehog and Fgf-4 act through a signaling cascade and feedback loop to integrate growth and patterning of the developing limb bud. Cell 79: 993-1003.
- LEWANDOWSKI, M., SUN, X., and MARTIN, G. R. (2000). Fgf8 signaling from the AER is essential for normal limb development. *Nature Genetics* 26: 460-463.
- LEWIS, K. E., DROSSOPOULOU, G., PATON, I. R., MORRICE, D. R., ROBERTSON, K. E., BURT, D. W., INGHAM P.,W. and TICKLE, C. (1999). Expression of ptc and gli genes in talpid3 suggests bifurcation in Shh pathway. *Development* 126: 2397-2407.
- LOGAN, C., HORNBRUCH, A., CAMPBELL, I., and LUMSDEN, A. (1997). The role of Engrailed in establishing the dorsoventral axis of the chick limb. *Development* 124: 2317-2324.
- LOOMIS, C. A., HARRIS, E., MICHAUD, J., WURST, W., HANKS, M., and JOYNER, A. L. (1996). The mouse Engrailed-1 gene and ventral limb patterning. *Nature* 382: 360-363.
- LOOMIS, C. A., KIMMEL, R. A., TONG, C.-X., MICHAUD, J., and JOYNER, A. L. (1998). Analysis of the genetic pathway leading to formation of ectopic apical ectodermal ridges in mouse *Engrailed-1* mutant limbs. *Development* 125: 1137-1148.
- MACCABE, J. A., ERRICK, J., and SAUNDERS, J. W. (1974). Ectodermal control of the dorsoventral axis in the leg bud of the chick embryo. *Dev. Biol.* 39: 69-82.
- MARTIN, G. R. (1998). The roles of FGFs in the early development of vertebrate limbs. Genes & Development 12: 1571-1586.
- MASUYA, H., SAGAI, T., WAKANA, S., MORIWAKI, K., and SHIROISHI, T. (1995). A duplicated zone of polarizing activity in polydactylous mouse mutants. *Genes & Development* 9: 1645-1653.
- MERINO, R., RODRIGUEZ-LEON, J., MACIAS, D., GANAN, Y., ECONOMIDES, A.N. and HURLE, J.M. (1999). The BMP antagonist Gremlin regulates outgrowth, chondrogenesis and programmed cell death in the developing limb. *Development* 127: 5515-5522.
- MILENKOVIC, L., GOODRICH, L. V., HIGGINS, K. M., and SCOTT, M. P. (1999). Mouse patched1 controls body size determination and limb patterning. *Development* 126: 4431-4440.
- MIN, H., DANILENKO, D. M., SCULLY, S. A., BOLON, B., RING, B. D., TARPLEY, J. E., DEROSE, M., and SIMONET, W. S. (1998). *Fgf-10* is required for both limb and lung development and exhibits striking functional similarity to *Drosophila branchless. Genes & Development* 12: 3156-3161.
- MOON, A. M., and CAPECCHI, M. R. (2000). Fgf8 is required for outgrowth and patterning of the limbs. *Nature Genetics* 26: 455-469.
- NISWANDER, L., JEFFREY, S., MARTIN, G. R., and TICKLE, C. (1994). Positive feedback loop coordinates growth and patterning in the vertebrate limb. *Nature* 371: 609-612.
- NORAMLY, S., PISENTI, J., ABBOTT, U., and MORGAN, B. (1996). Gene expression in the limbless mutant: polarized gene expression in the absence of Shh and an AER. *Dev. Biol.* 179: 339-346.
- OHUCHI, H., NAKAGAWA, T., YAMAMOTO, A., ARAGA, A., OHATA, T., ISHIMARU, Y., YOSHIOKA, H., KUWANA, T., NOHNO, T., YAMASAKI, M., ITOH, N., and NOJI, S. (1997). The mesenchymal factor, FGF10, initiates and maintains the outgrowth of the chick limb bud through interaction with FGF8, an apical ectodermal factor. *Development* 124: 2235-2244.
- PARR, B. A., and MCMAHON, A. P. (1995). Dorsalizing signal Wnt-7a required for normal polarity of D-V and A-P axes of mouse limb. Nature 374: 350-353.

- PAUTOU, M.-P. (1977). Dorso-ventral axis determination of chick limb bud development. In *Vertebrate limb and somite morphogenesis*, D. A. Ede, J. R. Hinchliffe and M. Balls, eds.: Cambridge University Press), pp. 257-266.
- PIZETTE, S., ABATE-SHEN, C., and NISWANDER, L. (2001). BMP controls proximodistal outgrowth, via induction of the apical ectodermal ridge, and dorsoventral patterning in the vertebrate limb. *Development* 128: 4463-4474.
- PIZETTE, S., and NISWANDER, L. (1999). BMPs negatively regulate structure and function of the limb apical ectodermal ridge. *Development* 126: 883-894.
- PORTER, J. A., YOUNG, K. E., and BEACHY, P. A. (1996). Cholesterol modification of Hedgehog singalling proteins in animal development. *Science* 274: 255-259.
- QU, S., NISWENDER, K. D., JI, Q., VAN DER MEER, R., KEENEY, D., MAGNUSON, M. A., and WISDOM, R. (1997). Polydactyly and ecotpic ZPA formation in Alx-4 mutant mice. *Development* 124: 3999-4008.
- RANCOURT, D. E., TSUZUKI, T., and CAPECCHI, M. R. (1995). Genetic interaction between hoxb-5 and hoxb-6 is revealed by nonallelic noncomplementation. *Genes & Development* 9: 108-122.
- RIDDLE, R. D., ENSINI, M., NELSON, C., TSUCHIDA, T., JESSELL, T. M., and TABIN, C. (1995). Induction of the LIM homeobox gene Lmx1 by WNT7a establishes dorsoventral pattern in the vertebrate limb. *Cell* 83: 631-640.
- RIDDLE, R. D., JOHNSON, R. L., LAUFER, E., and TABIN, C. (1993). Sonic hedgehog mediates the polarizing activity of the ZPA. Cell 75: 1401-1416.
- ROS, M. A., LOPEZ-MARTINEZ, A., SIMANDL, B. K., RODRIGUEZ, C., IZPISUA BELMONTE, J. C., DAHN, R., and FALLON, J. F. (1996). The limb field mesoderm determines initial limb bud anteroposterior asymmetry and budding independent of sonic hedgehog or apical ectodermal gene expressions. *Development* 122: 2319-2330.

- SANZ-EZQUERRO, J. J., and TICKLE, C. (2000). Autoregulation of Shh expression and Shh induction of cell death suggest a mechanism for modulating polarising activity during chick limb development. *Development* 127: 4811-4823.
- SCHIMMANG, T., LEMAISTRE, M., VORTKAMP, A., and RUTHER, U. (1992). Expression of the zinc finger gene *Gli3* is affected in the morphogenetic mouse mutant *extra-toes (Xt)*. *Development* 116: 799-804.
- SEKINE, K., OHUCHI, H., FUJIWARA, M., YAMASAKI, M., YOSHIZAWA, T., SATO, T., YAGISHITA, N., MATSUI, D., KOGA, Y., ITOH, N., and KATO, S. (1999). Fgf10 is essential for limb and lung formation. *Nature Genetics* 21: 138-141.
- TAKAHASHI, M., TAMURA, K., BUSCHER, D., MASUYA, H., YONEI-TAMURA, S., MATSUMOTO, K., NAITOH-MATSUO, M., TAKEUCHI, J., OGURA, K., SHIROISHI, T., OGURA, T., and IZPISÚA BELMONTE, J. C. (1998). The role of Alx-4 in the establishment of anteroposterior polarity during vertebrate limb development. *Development* 125: 4417-4425.
- VOGEL, A., RODRIGUEZ, C., WARNKEN, W., and IZPISÚA-BELMONTE, J. C. (1995). Dorsal cell fate specified by chick *Lmx1* during vertebrate limb development. *Nature* 378: 716-720.
- YANG, Y., and NISWANDER, L. (1995). Interaction between the signaling molecules WNT7a and SHH during vertebrate limb development: dorsal signals regulate anteroposterior patterning. *Cell* 80: 939-947.
- ZÚÑIGA, A., HARAMIS, A.-P., MCMAHON, A. P., and ZELLER, R. (1999). Signal relay by BMP antagonism controls the SHH/FGF4 feedback loop in vertebrate limb buds. *Nature* 401: 598-602.
- ZÚÑIGA, A., and ZELLER, R. (1999). *Gli3 (Xt)* and *formin (ld)* participate in the positioning of the polarising region and control of posterior limb-bud identity. *Development* 126: 13-21.