

INTRODUCTION: INTERPRETING LAND MARKETS IN AFRICA

Jean-Philippe Colin and Philip Woodhouse

Questions about land markets are central to development policy, as underlined recently in the 2008 World Development Report (World Bank 2007: 9). In particular, markets in which land rights are transferred on a temporary or permanent basis are seen as playing a key role in the redistribution of land to more efficient users, thus increasing productivity and employment. In this view, any negative effects attributed to land markets, notably in terms of inequity and landlessness, result from failures in other markets, especially credit and insurance markets (Deininger and Feder 2001; de Janvry *et al.* 2001; World Bank 2003). In Africa, until late in the twentieth century it was these negative effects, and a perception of land as being relatively abundant due to low population densities in many parts of the continent, that influenced policy makers' views. Consequently, land markets received relatively little attention in development policy, except, as exemplified in an earlier issue of this journal (Shipton and Goheen 1992), as a means of securing credit flows for agricultural development. Over the past two decades, however, a wave of proposals for land tenure reform in many African countries (Toulmin and Quan 2000; Berry 2002; World Bank 2003) has raised questions about land markets as a means of allocating land that have profound political and economic implications, rarely addressed by previous research. This collection of articles provides an opportunity to explore the nature of land markets in Africa.¹

EMERGENCE AND DYNAMICS OF LAND MARKETS IN AFRICA

In African contexts, the question of land markets is commonly framed by an analysis of how customary systems of land tenure have

JEAN-PHILIPPE COLIN is Director of Research at the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), UR 199, associated to UMR MOISA, Montpellier (France), conducting research in institutional economics and economic ethnography. His current research interest lies in the evolution of property rights, land markets and the kinship dimensions of land rights and land practices in Côte d'Ivoire. Recently he has co-edited *Changes in Land Access and Governance in West Africa: markets, social mediations and public policies* (2006) and *Les politiques d'enregistrement des droits fonciers: du cadre légal aux pratiques locales* (forthcoming).

PHILIP WOODHOUSE is a Senior Lecturer in Environment and Rural Development in the Institute for Development Policy and Management, School of Environment and Development, University of Manchester. His research interests focus on institutional aspects of natural resource use and environmental management, and their relationship to agrarian change. His research has been undertaken primarily in Southern Africa and in francophone West Africa.

¹ Preliminary versions of the articles by Amanor, Chauveau and Colin, Chimhowu and Woodhouse, Kouamé and Lentz were presented at the conference 'The Frontiers of Land Issues: social embeddedness of rights and public policy', Montpellier, 17–19 May 2006.

moved, or are supposed to be moving, towards private property. This transformation is typically attributed to the combined effects of demographic growth, development of cash crops and changes in cropping systems (development of tree crop plantations, shorter fallow periods, and the disappearance of 'shifting cultivation'). As a consequence, it is anticipated that land values increase and land rights become increasingly identified with individuals, so that the 'bundle' of different rights relative to land, including the right to transfer, becomes concentrated in the hands of a single right holder. This then translates into increasingly monetized access to land through sales and rental (including sharecropping) (Boserup 1965; Johnson 1972; Ault and Rutman 1979; Feder and Noronha 1987; Migot-Adholla *et al.* 1991; Bassett and Crummey 1993; Platteau 1996; Deininger and Feder 2001). This 'evolutionary' perspective on land markets is underpinned by the 'theory of induced innovation' (Hayami and Ruttan 1985), which draws upon economic models that understand institutional change as arising when existing institutions are incompatible with shifts in relative prices and profitability driven by changes in relative factor (labour, land, capital) scarcity, or technological innovation (Demsetz 1967; Johnson 1972; Ault and Rutman 1979; Feder and Noronha 1987).

In recent decades, a growing number of authors have drawn on empirical studies to argue that market transfers of land have indeed become more common in Africa (Biebuyck 1963a, 1963b; Feder and Noronha 1987; Barrows and Roth 1989; Bassett and Crummey 1993; Bruce and Migot-Adholla 1994; Ensminger 1997; Sjaastad 2003; Chauveau *et al.* 2006; Chimhowu and Woodhouse 2006; Colin and Ayouz 2006; Holden *et al.* 2009). However—undermining somewhat the 'evolutionary' view of land markets—outlined above—historical accounts make clear that land sales were occurring in the nineteenth century in Ghana (Hill 1963), and in South Africa (Bundy 1979; Lambert 1999). Similarly, Berry (1993) refers to pre-colonial land sales in Kikuyuland, Kenya, and other references to pre-colonial land sales in both West and East Africa are found in Feder and Noronha (1987), Bassett (1993), Ensminger (1997), and Kuba and Lentz (2006).

Empirical studies of land use also reveal widespread incidence of a variety of rental and sharecropping arrangements whereby mobile labour negotiated access to land, often in order to exploit opportunities for cash crop production. Examples are found in Robertson (1987), for Senegal, Ghana, Sudan and Lesotho, in Lawry (1993) for Lesotho, in Migot-Adholla, Benneh *et al.* (1994) and Migot-Adholla, Place *et al.* (1994) for Ghana and Kenya, in Amanor and Diderutuah (2001) for Ghana, in Lyne *et al.* (1994) for Uganda and South Africa, in Paré (2001) for Burkina Faso, in Edja (2001) for Benin, in Koné (2002) and Colin (2004) for Côte d'Ivoire, and in Holden *et al.* (2009) for Ethiopia, Kenya, Malawi and Uganda. More general accounts of African land rental markets are found in Lavigne Delville *et al.* (2001). The recent sharp rise in oil and agricultural prices has prompted interest from international investment funds in large-scale land acquisition in

developing countries, including through sale or lease markets, in order to expand bio-fuel and food crop production (GRAIN 2008; Cotula *et al.* 2009). This has given rise to concern about possible impacts on land access for small-scale producers in these countries. However, there is not yet firm empirical evidence as to whether such investments will materialize and even less of their consequences for land markets in Africa.

Since all but 10 per cent of land in Africa is considered to be occupied under customary land tenure (World Bank 2003)—that is, without land titles formally registered in the names of individuals—the vast majority of sale and rental transactions are ‘informal’: without protection in the statutory legal system. Where governments have declared the sale of land held under customary tenure to be illegal, transactions in informal land markets may be disguised as ‘pledges’ or ‘loans’ (Feder and Noronha 1987; André and Platteau 1998; Quan 2000). This characteristic of ‘informality’ in many land markets in Africa is associated with questions about the precise nature and content of transactions. Thus, while land sales are often reported in historical accounts as ‘outright sales’, typically from ‘autochthon’ land authorities to immigrants (for example, Hill 1963), they appear in more recent studies to be subject to contestation by other interpretations, with the ‘seller’—or more often the seller’s kin or heirs—disputing the extent to which their continuing interest in the land was extinguished by its ‘sale’ in the past. Such ‘sales’ may, for example, be interpreted by autochthons as establishing a long-term client–patron relationship between land ‘purchaser’ and ‘seller’ respectively, as exemplified by the *tutorat* in Côte d’Ivoire (Chauveau 2006). It is perhaps significant that historical cases interpreted as ‘outright sales’ generally related to uncleared, and hence largely uninhabited, forest for which notions of ownership and control were substantially undefined. In such circumstances, a transaction could be seen as much in terms of establishing the rights of the seller to dispose of the land (hence also a preference for land disposals at potentially disputed boundaries with neighbouring authorities) as those of the purchaser to acquire it (Dozon 1985). Disputes over such sales of (undeveloped) forest land centre on the nature of the rights purchased, and the legitimacy of their transfer. As the case of Côte d’Ivoire exemplifies, questions may arise as to whether sales of forest relate only to the right to plant crops (cocoa or oil palm, for example), or to a complete set of rights to use and dispose of the land to others. Disagreements may also occur over whether acquisition of the land entails any enduring obligations on the purchaser, the extent to which the ‘sale’ of land is accepted within local custom, and, if so, who has the authority to decide on such sales. By contrast, sale and purchase of established plantations occasion few disputes, except in so far as they remain subject to continuing ‘autochthon’ contestation of authority to transfer the land to new users—as in the case of the *tutorat* (Colin and Ayouz 2006).

The literature provides accounts of increasing autonomy of decision by individuals (Bruce 1993) as well as continuing contestation over

the nature of land transactions (Berry 2002; Lentz 2006). In Burkina Faso, Mathieu *et al.* (2003) and Hagberg (2006) have reported efforts to disguise market transactions in land held under customary tenure, for example as 'loans' and through the observance of customary ritual, but also efforts to formalize transactions through recourse to written records or witnesses, or both. In Malawi, Peters and Kambewa (2007) found that increasing land sales reflect land supply and demand relationships among land-using households, but that it is not uncommon for sales to be subsequently disputed by the seller's kin on the grounds that they were not consulted on, or did not agree with, the original transaction. In sum, therefore, the evidence from different parts of Africa indicates that sales of land are becoming more common, but that disputes over the meaning of such transactions are also increasing.

For some, the tensions inherent in these dynamics are interpreted as evidence that customary land rights are a barrier to the emergence of private property in land and its transfer via a land market, and therefore to investment in commercial agriculture (Falloux 1987). Others emphasize the importance of assisting spontaneous moves towards the required transition through conversion of customary rights to a formal register of individually owned land titles (Barrows and Roth 1989). In each case the state is perceived as key to the reform of customary land rights, either by legislating and administering their substitution by land titles (as in Kenya) or by facilitating and formalizing their 'endogenous' evolution. In this perspective, government policy that restricts such an evolution towards private property in land constitutes a barrier to agricultural development in Africa (Feder and Noronha 1987). Such views, which remain influential in current policy discussions (World Bank 2007: 9), were first formulated in the later (post-1930) colonial period when a drive to develop export-oriented commercial agriculture in Africa led colonial authorities to abandon their earlier prohibition of land markets among Africans (Chanock 1991; Cowen and Shenton 1991) and to promote the adjudication and registration of individual land titles in the belief that this would provide security for credit and investment and encourage land transfers to the most efficient producers (Coldham 1978; Bassett 1993). In the event, land markets in Africa have proliferated, as we observed above, largely (with the notable exception of Kenya) in the absence of land titling.

The impacts of land sales leave open to question the expected gains in efficiency. In particular, land purchases have often obeyed logics other than those of agricultural efficiency. Purchasers may draw on earnings from non-agricultural, often urban-based, activities and acquire land for speculative or prestige reasons (Haugerud 1983; Platteau 1996). Poorly managed agriculture and absentee land owners may therefore be associated with areas of active land markets in Africa (Atwood 1990; Bruce 1993). Equally, land sales may reflect sellers' short-term financial distress, rather than any intention of investing the proceeds in more productive activity (Platteau 1996).

In this regard, the operation of a market for the temporary transfer of rights (rental or sharecropping) may offer better prospects for achieving

greater efficiency in land use (Lawry 1993; Blarel 1994; Lyne *et al.* 1994; Kevane 1997; Lavigne Delville *et al.* 2001; World Bank 2003; Holden *et al.* 2009). Assessing the impacts of such markets is not straightforward, however, because the wide diversity of forms of land rental and sharecropping transactions, and the consequent separation of ‘ownership’ and ‘use’ of land, may obscure the extent of land markets and their effects on concentration of control over land (Berry 1988, 2002). Although historical examples exist of ‘reverse tenancy’ by agricultural entrepreneurs ‘renting in’ land for large-scale farming (Robertson 1987 for Lesotho), such patterns are relatively rare and land concentration remains primarily associated with ownership, rather than use (with implications for scale and investment in tenant agriculture). As a consequence, rental markets tend to be associated with lack of concentration of land use. This may change, however, should proposed foreign investments in land for large-scale agriculture in Africa, noted above, materialize, since many of these specify leasehold tenure for what would become very large landholdings.

Until now, increasing inequality has been primarily associated with land transfers through sales, as documented by André and Platteau (1998) in Rwanda and Murton (1999) in Kenya. In both instances, income from non-agricultural activity was a factor distinguishing those able to invest in increased agricultural productivity and to purchase land. Equally, in both cases distress sales by households unable to sustain land productivity were a factor in supplying a land market. Empirical evidence from other African contexts suggests more equivocal distributional effects, with land markets reported as having little effect on land concentration in Côte d’Ivoire (Colin and Ayouz 2006), Kenya (Holden *et al.* 2009) and Uganda (Baland *et al.* 2007; Holden *et al.* 2009). More generally, while land markets may improve security of access to land for individuals with limited customary rights—notably women (André and Platteau 1998; Daley 2005)—commoditization may favour those with purchasing power (Chimhowu and Woodhouse 2006). However, such outcomes will depend on the interplay between the commoditization of land and the exercise of customary authority, since those with greatest influence over land under customary tenure will be best placed to gain, as sellers or renters-out of land, from a land market (Bernstein and Woodhouse 2001; Kasanga and Kotey 2001; Woodhouse 2003).

The tensions sketched in the above discussion explain the widespread contemporary concern with *insecurity* of land rights in Africa. This is not simply a question of ‘institutional transition’ but also one of the broader political context and its role in framing debates about property, identity, and individual and collective rights:

land systems cannot be expected to adjust mechanically and harmoniously to satisfy the evolving functional needs of agricultural development and population growth... Historical processes of transition are always characterized by tensions arising from the clashing of contradictory forces. The outcome is necessarily influenced by the way the political power... decides to deal (or to refrain from dealing) with the newly

emerging situation, and by the relative bargaining power of the social groups/classes that have an interest in maintaining or breaking the *status quo*. (Platteau 1992: 148)

In African contexts, Peters (2004: 301) has described the present conjuncture as one of 'social turmoil over deepening social differentiation, particularly with regard to land and landed resources but also, necessarily, to questions of legitimate authority within and between key social units of families, lineages, villages, "ethnic groups" and so forth'. An important discourse that surfaces from this turmoil relates to the perceived fairness or justice of contemporary land access in general, and land markets in particular (Platteau 1996: 55–6), in which questions of autochthony and the linkage of land rights to ethnicity are prominent, and in which the role of the state (as suggested by the quotation above from Platteau) is important.

SOCIALLY AND POLITICALLY EMBEDDED LAND MARKETS

Thus far, it seems clear that simple evolutionary models are inadequate to the task of analysing the significance of land markets in Africa. Yet empirical study of existing land markets, on which alternative models must rely, remains sparse. Moreover, most published work focusing specifically on these markets tends not to explore the content of land transactions (the rights and duties exchanged), but concentrates analysis on factors associated with market development (especially increasing land scarcity) and on its efficiency and equity implications. To the extent that such work addresses the social relationships embodied in markets, these tend to be restricted to narrowly defined (quantitative) parameters of equity (in terms of land concentration and exclusion), rather than analysing the social and political content and consequences that such parameters may reflect. This special issue of *Africa*, concerned with land transactions in rural areas of West and Southern Africa, contributes to addressing this gap. The articles consider transactions that transfer 'ownership' as well as markets for temporary access rights, such as rents or sharecropping contracts. Moreover, our focus is on the meaning and content of land transfers, rather than their effects on land use efficiency or on the social distribution of land holdings. In the remainder of this introduction we identify the principal themes that emerge from these articles.

In the first place, the articles by Amanor, Lentz, Chimhowu and Woodhouse, and Chauveau and Colin underline the historic role of market transactions in pre-colonial and colonial customary land tenure, a point worth stressing given the frequency with which African land rights are referred to as 'inalienable' in policy debates. The roots of the non-market ideal of customary land tenure in Africa have been traced back to the colonial administrations of the early twentieth century by, among others, Colson (1971), Chanock (1991) and Berry (1992), but its persistence in contemporary political discourse suggests a need to

recall Mair's (1971 [1948]) observation that the undoubted existence of sacred ritual concerning land should not obscure a primary reason for the 'inalienability' of land, which is the absence of sufficient economic incentive, rather than cultural conservatism. The political persistence of a non-market discourse of 'customary' land rights is evident in all the articles in this volume, in the 'illegal' status of market transactions in customary land. In the cases of dualistic tenure regimes, in Zimbabwe and Zambia, exclusion from a land market is a principal measure by which 'customary' land is defined as distinct from 'commercial' land allocated through formal markets. In the markets for customary land, designated variously as 'informal', 'clandestine' or 'vernacular' markets by authors of these articles, there is nonetheless often recourse to 'informal formalization' to make the transaction more solid.

In all the cases considered in this special issue, the commoditization of land access emerges in newly settled 'pioneer' areas at the land frontier, or is closely related to arrival of 'outsiders', migrants from within or outside national frontiers. The development of market relations over land is thus primarily among pioneers or their heirs, or between 'autochthon' landholders and migrants seeking land—in other words concerning 'rights to settle'—but only rarely between autochthons. We are aware that this raises questions about the stage at which 'pioneer' settler populations become 'autochthon' with respect to later-arriving settlers. More significantly, however, there is no support from these cases for the notion of a progression from market exchange of land among individuals *within* communities towards exchange with outsiders. Similarly, there is little clear evidence to support an 'evolutionary' model of land market development as a sole response to demographic pressure, since in both Ghana (Amanor) and Côte d'Ivoire (Chauveau and Colin) the purchase of land for cash was taking place in sparsely populated areas (for the express purpose of commercial agricultural development). Moreover, and associated with this sale of 'undeveloped' land, the commoditization of such transactions—not necessarily expressed in monetary terms (Bohannon and Dalton 1962; Parry and Bloch 1989)—appears to proceed independently of the establishment of 'full' ownership rights by the seller.

In all the cases presented here, except those of Amanor, Kouamé and Sitko, the state, both colonial and post-independence, is visible as a brake on the dynamics of market formation, mobilizing political discourses in which 'inalienable' land rights are linked to notions of citizenship and ethnicity. This political dimension is played out at a more local level, particularly in the cases presented by Lentz and by Chauveau and Colin, as competing claims to land seek justification through the mobilization of different versions of history and tradition: 'I bought the land' versus 'the land cannot be sold'. The malleability of the 'customary' is a central theme of the Zambian case presented by Sitko, one linked to shifting social relationships that underlie differential control over land, now (re)interpreted in terms of customary rights to buy and sell land. In Chauveau and Colin's article divergent

interpretations of the nature of land transactions reflect not just a difference about the record of an event, but a more fundamental question about the separation of the transfer of land rights from the social and political dimensions of the transaction. Thus, the commoditized character of access to land is conditioned by enduring social and political relationships. In a number of the West African cases (Amanor, Chauveau and Colin, Kouamé) the contestation of market transactions centres on the rights of individuals to 'family land' controlled by the broader corporate lineage. Intergenerational struggles, in particular, focus not only on rights of the 'youth' to use land, but also on the control of income generated from family land rented or sharecropped by non-family 'outsiders'. In this sense the rental market is both 'inclusive' in broadening access to land to those without customary rights, while at the same time 'exclusive' in its effects on individuals (women, youth) with weaker claims on land held by their lineage. Amanor, in particular, sees here an erosion of customary rights derived from kinship in favour of a more generalized recourse to the (rental) market in order to gain access to land. In Sitko's study in southern Zambia, shifting interpretations of customary rights, and their relationship to land commoditization, have a more marked gender dimension. Here, the subordination of women's customary land rights to those of their husbands and sons becomes magnified when translated onto the terrain of the informal market for customary land. Women's access to land may thus be affected by land sales in this market, but they themselves are unable to participate in it, even as sellers of the land they farm.

While rental markets may generate intra-family tensions concerning their legitimacy—with those in Lower Côte d'Ivoire (Kouamé) eventually finding an outlet in inter-ethnic conflict—the 'content' of rental and sharecropping transactions appears largely uncontested. By contrast, the meaning of land 'sales' in these 'vernacular' land markets is understood in a variety of ways in these articles. For Amanor, for Chimhowu and Woodhouse and for Sitko, land sales are 'outright' sales in which the seller accepts the cessation of all further interest in the land in exchange for payment, whereas Lentz focuses on contemporary disagreement about the nature of past land sales. Chauveau and Colin's study encompasses cases of both 'outright' (uncontested) sales and also 'incomplete' sales. The latter are characterized not only by a partial transfer of rights, but also by conditions of patronage (*tutorat*) through which the 'foreign' land purchaser is incorporated into the local community. The often covert nature of these transactions (not least to hide them from family members with potential interests in the land) contributes to a lack of transparency as to the legitimacy of the seller and of the rights being transferred through the 'sale'. As a consequence, contrary to what might have been the purchaser's expectations at the time of the initial transaction, it may transpire that payment does not conclude the relationship with the vendor, but instigates a continuing obligation to recognize (generally through further payments) the role of the vendor as *tuteur*. From the perspective of the *tuteur*, characterization of the transaction as a 'sale' refers rather to the monetarization of a

token acknowledgement – albeit one subject to redefinition according to changing conditions – of social and political obligations of the land purchaser towards the ‘seller’ and/or the host community. This raises the question of whether such transactions constitute a land market. At a basic level, these transactions appear to conform to criteria of markets, in which rights over specific goods that have both use value and exchange value are transferred on the basis of a price (though one that is not necessarily monetized) that reflects a calculation of costs and benefits. However, these ‘vernacular’ land markets clearly do not conform to classical economic conceptions of market prices as unaffected by social relationships, and market exchange as occurring between mutually independent actors, whose independence remains unchanged at the close of the transaction. Rather, these markets exhibit a strong influence of social relationships in shaping their logic and outcome (Granovetter 1985).

In this sense, African land markets are strongly embedded in contemporary African politics and society. This manifests, in all the articles in this special issue, not a simple evolution of customary rights towards greater efficiency in response to forces of supply and demand, but relations of exchange between ‘natives/autochthons’ and ‘settlers/migrants/foreigners’, or between earlier and later settlers in the *Zambian case* and in lower *Côte d’Ivoire*. The repercussions of these relations, for both sets of ‘communities’, are mediated by intra-family tensions over land access and by state and/or local politics of citizenship and identity. It is evident that the conflicts inherent in these political dynamics are exacerbated by the dynamics of supply and demand in land markets, which in many parts of Africa are influenced by both the closure of the land frontier, restricting the availability of land for new settlement, and also the stagnation or decline in the non-farm economy (most dramatically in the case of Zimbabwe) that has prompted the urban unemployed to seek land in rural areas.

The broader significance of this set of articles may be identified in their contribution to a more critical analysis of the forms which institutional change may take, and, particularly, of the institutional processes through which commoditization is embedded in society (including in its intra-kinship dimensions) and politics. Beyond the undeniable influence of increasing land scarcity, the concrete forms and consequences of land transactions can only be understood from a perspective that incorporates the macro- and micro-political evolution of African societies. We believe these studies mark a step forward in an African land policy debate that remains largely polarized between those seeking poverty reduction through promoting land markets and their opponents who seek to protect the poor from losing their land to the market (Chimhowu and Woodhouse 2006). In particular, they suggest that security of land rights is neither a simple question of formalization via issuing land titles, nor of preserving ‘communal’ tenure. Further, the delivery of efficient and equitable land use via land rental markets as frequently advocated today may confront unresolved conflicts over the rights to lease out land held under customary tenure. Above all, these

studies suggest that an understanding of actually existing land markets is a key element in the analysis of the roots of conflict and insecurity in Africa today.

References

- Amanor, K. and M. K. Diderutuah (2001) *Share Contracts in the Oil Palm and Citrus Belt of Ghana*. London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- André, C., and J.-P. Platteau (1998) 'Land relations under unbearable stress: Rwanda caught in the Malthusian trap', *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 34: 1–47.
- Atwood, D. (1990) 'Land registration in Africa: the impact on agricultural production', *World Development* 18 (5): 659–71.
- Ault, D. E. and G. L. Rutman (1979) 'The development of individual rights to property in tribal Africa', *Journal of Law and Economics* 22 (1): 163–82.
- Baland, J.-M., F. Gaspart, J.-P. Platteau and F. Place (2007) 'The distributive impact of land markets in Uganda', *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 55: 283–312.
- Barrows, R. and M. Roth (1989) 'Land tenure and investment in African agriculture: theory and evidence'. LTC Paper 136, Land Tenure Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Bassett, T. (1993) 'The land question and agricultural transformation in sub-Saharan Africa' in T. Bassett and E. Crummey (eds), *Land in African Agrarian Systems*. Madison WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Bassett, T. and D. Crummey (eds) (1993) *Land in African Agrarian Systems*. Madison WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Bernstein, H. and P. Woodhouse (2001) 'Telling environmental change like it is? Reflections on a study in sub-Saharan Africa', *Journal of Agrarian Change* 1 (2): 283–324.
- Berry, S. (1988) 'Concentration without privatization? Some consequences of changing patterns of rural control in Africa' in R. Downs and S. Reyna (eds), *Land and Society in Contemporary Africa*. Hanover NH: University Press of New England.
- (1992) 'Hegemony on a shoestring: indirect rule and access to agricultural land', *Africa* 62 (3) 327–55.
- (1993) *No Condition Is Permanent: the social dynamics of agrarian change in sub-Saharan Africa*. Madison WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- (2002) 'Debating the land question in Africa', *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 44: 638–68.
- Biebuyck, D. (ed.) (1963a) *African Agrarian Systems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1963b) 'Introduction' in D. Biebuyck (ed.), *African Agrarian Systems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Blarel, B. (1994) 'Tenure security and agricultural production under land scarcity: the case of Rwanda' in J. Bruce and S. Migot-Adholla (eds), *Searching for Land Tenure Security in Africa*. Dubuque IA: Kendall-Hunt Publishing Company.
- Bohannon, P. and G. Dalton (eds) (1962) *Markets in Africa*. Evanston IL: Northwestern University Press.
- Boserup, E. (1965) *The Conditions of Agricultural Growth*. London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd.

- Bruce, J. W. (1993) 'Do indigenous tenure systems constrain agricultural development?' in T. Bassett and E. Crummey (eds), *Land in African Agrarian Systems*. Madison WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Bruce, J. and S. Migot-Adholla (eds) (1994) *Searching for Land Tenure Security in Africa*. Dubuque IA: Kendall-Hunt Publishing Company.
- Bundy, C. (1979) *The Rise and Fall of the South African Peasantry*. London: Heinemann.
- Chanock, M. (1991) 'Paradigms, policies and property: a review of the customary law of tenure' in K. Mann and R. Roberts (eds), *Law in Colonial Africa*. London: James Currey and Heinemann.
- Chauveau, J.-P. (2006) 'How does an institution evolve? Land, politics, intergenerational relations and the institution of the *tutorat* amongst autochthons and immigrants (Gban region, Côte d'Ivoire)' in R. Kuba and C. Lentz (eds), *Land and the Politics of Belonging in West Africa*. Boston MA: Brill.
- Chauveau, J.-P., J.-P. Colin, J.-P. Jacob, P. Lavigne Delville and P.-Y. Le Meur (2006) *Changes in Land Access and Governance in West Africa: markets, social mediations and public policies*. London: IIED/DFID.
- Chimhowu, A. and P. Woodhouse (2006) 'Customary vs private property rights? Dynamics and trajectories of vernacular land markets in sub-Saharan Africa', *Journal of Agrarian Change* 6 (3): 346–71.
- Coldham, S. (1978) 'The effect of registration of title upon customary land rights in Kenya', *Journal of African Law* 22 (2): 91–111.
- Colin, J.-P. (2004) 'Le marché du faire-valoir indirect dans un contexte africain. Éléments d'analyse', *Economie rurale*, 282: 19–39.
- Colin, J.-P. and M. Ayouz (2006) 'The development of a land market? Insights from Côte d'Ivoire', *Land Economics* 82 (3): 404–23.
- Colson, E. (1971) 'The impact of the colonial period on the definition of land rights' in V. Turner (ed.), *Colonialism in Africa*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cotula, L., S. Vermeulen, R. Leonard and J. Keeley (2009) *Land Grab or Development Opportunity? Agricultural investment and international land deals in Africa*. London: International Institute for Environment and Development, Food and Agriculture Organization and International Fund for Agricultural Development.
- Cowen, M. and R. Shenton (1991) 'The origin and course of Fabian colonialism in Africa', *Journal of Historical Sociology* 4 (2): 143–74.
- Daley, E. (2005) 'Land and social change in a Tanzanian village 2: Kinyanambo in the 1990s', *Journal of Agrarian Change* 5 (4): 526–72.
- Deininger, K. and G. Feder (2001) 'Land institutions and land markets' in R. Gardner and G. Rauser (eds), *Handbook of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 1A. North Holland: Elsevier.
- De Janvry, A., G. Gordillo, J.-P. Platteau and E. Sadoulet (eds) (2001) *Access to Land, Rural Poverty and Public Action*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Demsetz, H. (1967) 'Toward a theory of property rights', *American Economic Review* 57: 347–59.
- Dozon, J. P. (1985) *La société bété (Côte d'Ivoire)*. Paris: Karthala–ORSTOM.
- Edja, H. (2001) 'Land rights under pressure: access to resources in southern Benin'. Report, International Institute for Environment and Development, London.
- Ensminger, J. (1997) 'Changing property rights: reconciling formal and informal rights to land in Africa' in J. Drobak and J. Nye (eds), *The Frontiers of New Institutional Economics*. San Diego CA: Academic Press.

- Falloux, F. (1987) 'Land management, titling and tenancy' in T. Davis and I. Schirmer (eds), *Sustainability Issues in Agricultural Development*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- Feder, G. and R. Noronha (1987) 'Land rights systems and agricultural development in sub-Saharan Africa', *Research Observer* 2 (2): 143–69.
- GRAIN (2008) 'The 2008 land grab for food and financial security', (http://www.grain.org/briefings_files/landgrab-2008-en.pdf) (accessed 13 May 2009).
- Granovetter, M. (1985) 'Economic action and social structure: the problem of embeddedness', *American Journal of Sociology* 91 (3): 481–510.
- Hagberg, S. (2006) 'Money, ritual and the politics of belonging in land transactions in western Burkina Faso' in R. Kuba and C. Lentz (eds), *Land and the Politics of Belonging in West Africa*. Boston MA: Brill.
- Haugerud, A. (1983) 'The consequences of land tenure reform among smallholders in the Kenya highlands', *Rural Africa* 15–16: 65–89.
- Hayami, Y. and V. Ruttan (1985) *Agricultural Development: an international perspective*. Baltimore MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Hill, P. (1963) *The Migrant Cocoa-Farmers of Southern Ghana: a study in rural capitalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holden, S., K. Otsuka and F. Place (eds) (2009) *Land Markets in Africa: impacts on poverty, equity and efficiency*. Washington: Resources for the Future.
- Johnson, O. (1972) 'Economic analysis, the legal framework and land tenure systems', *Journal of Law and Economics* 15 (1): 259–76.
- Kasanga, K. and N. Kotey (2001) *Land Management in Ghana: building on tradition and modernity*. London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Kevane, M. (1997) 'Land tenure and rental in western Sudan', *Land Use Policy* 14 (4): 295–310.
- Koné, M. (2002) *Gaining Rights of Access to Land in West-Central Côte d'Ivoire*. London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Kuba, R. and C. Lentz (eds) (2006) *Land and the Politics of Belonging in West Africa*. Boston MA: Brill.
- Lambert, J. (1999) 'African reasons for purchasing land in Natal in the late nineteenth, early twentieth centuries', *Kleio* 31: 33–54.
- Lavigne Delville, P., C. Toulmin, J.-P. Colin and J.-P. Chauveau (2001) *Negotiating Access to Land in West Africa: a synthesis of findings from research on derived rights to land*. London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Lawry, S. (1993) 'Transactions in cropland held under customary tenure in Lesotho' in T. Bassett and E. Crummey (eds), *Land in African Agrarian Systems*. Madison WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Lentz, C. (2006) 'Introduction' in R. Kuba and C. Lentz (eds), *Land and the Politics of Belonging in West Africa*. Boston MA: Brill.
- Lyne, M., M. Roth and B. Troutt (1994) 'Land rental markets in sub-Saharan Africa: institutional change in customary tenure' in R. Rose, C. Tanner and M. Bellamy (eds), *Issues in Agricultural Competitiveness: markets and policies*. Aldershot: Dartmouth Publishing Company.
- Mair, L. (1971 [1948]) 'Modern developments in African land tenure', reprinted in *Anthropology and Social Change*. London: Athlone Press.
- Mathieu, P., M. Zongo and L. Paré (2003) 'Monetary land transactions in Western Burkina Faso: commoditisation, papers and ambiguities' in T. Benjaminsen and C. Lund (eds), *Securing Land Rights in Africa*. London: Frank Cass and Co.

- Migot-Adholla, S., P. Hazell, B. Blarel and F. Place (1991) 'Indigenous land rights systems in sub-Saharan Africa: a constraint on productivity?' *World Bank Economic Review* 5 (1): 155–75.
- Migot-Adholla, S., G. Benneh, F. Place and S. Atsu (1994) 'Land, security of tenure, and productivity in Ghana' in J. Bruce and S. Migot-Adholla (eds), *Searching for Land Tenure Security in Africa*. Dubuque IA: Kendall-Hunt Publishing Company.
- Migot-Adholla, S., F. Place and W. Oluoch-Kosura (1994) 'Security of tenure and land productivity in Kenya' in J. Bruce and S. Migot-Adholla (eds), *Searching for Land Tenure Security in Africa*. Dubuque IA: Kendall-Hunt Publishing Company.
- Murton, J. (1999) 'Population growth and poverty in Machakos District, Kenya', *Geographical Journal* 165 (1): 37–46.
- Paré, L. (2001) *Negotiating Rights: access to land in the cotton zone, Burkina Faso*. London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Parry, J. and M. Bloch (eds) (1989) *Money and the Morality of Exchange*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Peters, P. (2004) 'Inequality and social conflict over land in Africa', *Journal of Agrarian Change* 3 (1/2): 269–314.
- Peters, P. and D. Kambewa (2007) 'Social conflicts over access and transfer of land in Malawi and their implications for new land policy', *Journal of Modern African Studies* 45: 447–72.
- Platteau, J.-P. (1992) 'Land reform and structural adjustment in sub-Saharan Africa: controversies and guidelines'. Economic and Social Development Paper 107, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome.
- (1996) 'The evolutionary theory of land rights as applied to sub-Saharan Africa: a critical assessment', *Development and Change* 27 (1): 29–86.
- Quan, J. (2000) 'Land tenure, economic growth and poverty in sub-Saharan Africa' in C. Toulmin and J. Quan (eds), *Evolving Land Rights, Policy and Tenure in Africa*. London: Department for International Development, International Institute for Environment and Development and National Research Institute.
- Robertson, A. (1987) *The Dynamics of Productive Relationships: African share contracts in comparative perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Shipton, P. and M. Goheen (1992) 'Introduction: understanding African land-holding—power, wealth, and meaning', *Africa* 62 (3): 307–25.
- Sjaastad, E. (2003) 'Trends in the emergence of agricultural land markets in sub-Saharan Africa', *Forum for Development Studies* 1: 5–28.
- Toulmin, C. and J. Quan (eds) (2000) *Evolving Land Rights, Policy and Tenure in Africa*. London: Department for International Development, International Institute for Environment and Development and National Research Institute.
- Woodhouse, P. (2003) 'African enclosures: a default mode of development', *World Development* 31 (10): 1719–33.
- World Bank (2003) *Land Policies for Growth and Poverty Reduction: a World Bank policy research report*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- World Bank (2007) *Agriculture for Development (World Development Report 2008)*. Washington DC: World Bank.