

## INVARIANT FUNCTIONS FOR AMENABLE SEMIGROUPS OF POSITIVE CONTRACTIONS ON $L^1$

BY WATARU TAKAHASHI

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $\Sigma$  be an amenable semigroup of positive contractions on  $L^1 = L^1(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$ . In this paper, we are interested in finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a strictly positive element which is invariant under every element  $T$  in an amenable semigroup of positive contractions on  $L^1$  and obtaining a generalization of well known ergodic theorem proved for the case when  $\Sigma$  is the semigroup generated by a single positive contraction  $T$  on  $L^1$ . So far various necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of invariant measure equivalent to  $m$  have been obtained by several authors for the case when  $\Sigma$  is the semigroup generated by a single positive contraction  $T$  on  $L^1$ ; that is, there are several conditions obtained by Hopf [14], Dowker [5] [6], Calderón [2], Hajian-Kakutani [12] and Sucheston [19] for the case of an operator which arises from a measurable transformation, and by Ito [16] and Hajian-Ito [13] for the case of an operator which arises from a Markov process. Furthermore, these have been extended elegantly by Neveu [18] for the case of a positive contraction on  $L^1$ . In this paper, we extend some results obtained by Neveu [18] to an amenable semigroup of positive contractions on  $L^1$ . On the other hand, the ergodic theorem also has been obtained by several authors for the case when  $\Sigma$  is the semigroup generated by a single operator. It was first proved by Birkhoff [1] for point transformations with an invariant  $\sigma$ -finite measure. For Markov processes, Kakutani [17] proved it for a finite invariant measure and for bounded functions. Hopf [15] extended it to a finite invariant measure and functions in  $L^1$ . Dunford-Schwartz [7] proved it for a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure and functions in  $L^1$ .

Main results in this paper are the following; at first, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a strictly positive element which is invariant under every  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ ; see Theorem 1. Secondly, we find several equivalent conditions for no existence of non-trivial and non-negative element in  $L^1$  which is invariant under every  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ ; see Theorem 2. Finally, we extend the well known ergodic theorem to an arbitrary amenable semigroup which has been proved for a single positive contraction  $T$  with a strictly positive invariant function in  $L^1$ ; see Theorem 3. It is interesting to note that essentially same results (Theorem 1) were performed by Y. Ito in a recent conference of the Mathematical Society of Japan.

---

Received June 4, 1970.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor H. Umegaki and Professor T. Shimogaki for many kind suggestions and advices in the course of preparing the present paper.

## 2. Preliminaries.

Let  $\Sigma$  be an abstract semigroup and  $m(\Sigma)$  be the Banach space of all bounded real valued functions on  $\Sigma$  with the supremum norm. For each  $s \in \Sigma$  and  $f \in m(\Sigma)$ , we define elements  $f_s$  and  $f^s$  in  $m(\Sigma)$  given by  $f_s(t) = f(st)$  and  $f^s(t) = f(ts)$  for all  $t \in \Sigma$ . An element  $\mu \in m(\Sigma)^*$  (the dual space of  $m(\Sigma)$ ) is called a *mean* on  $m(\Sigma)$  if  $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$ . A mean  $\mu$  is called *left [right] invariant* if  $\mu(f_s) = \mu(f)$  [ $\mu(f^s) = \mu(f)$ ] for all  $f \in m(\Sigma)$  and  $s \in \Sigma$ . An *invariant mean* is a left and right invariant mean. A semigroup which has a left invariant mean [right invariant mean] is called *left amenable [right amenable]*. A semigroup which has an invariant mean is called *amenable*. Let  $\Sigma$  be an amenable semigroup, then  $\Sigma s \cap \Sigma t \neq \emptyset$  and  $s\Sigma \cap t\Sigma \neq \emptyset$  for all  $s, t \in \Sigma$  [10] [11]. So, if we define an order  $t \geq s$  by  $t \in \Sigma s \cup \{s\}$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a directed set.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\Sigma$  be a semigroup and  $M$  be the closed linear span of the subset  $\{f_s - f, f^s - f: f \in m(\Sigma) \text{ and } s \in \Sigma\}$  of  $m(\Sigma)$ . Then,  $\Sigma$  is amenable if and only if  $1$  is not contained in  $M$ . If  $\Sigma$  is an amenable semigroup with the order defined above and  $f$  is an element of  $m(\Sigma)$ , then we have*

$$\sup_s \inf_{s \leq t} f(t) \leq \mu(f) \leq \inf_s \sup_{s \leq t} f(t)$$

for any invariant mean  $\mu$  on  $m(\Sigma)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Sigma$  is amenable, by definition there exists an invariant mean on  $m(\Sigma)$ . Since  $\mu(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in M$ , it is obvious that  $1$  is not contained in  $M$ . On the other hand, if  $1$  is not contained in  $M$ , then there exists an element  $\mu \in m(\Sigma)^*$  such that  $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$  and  $\mu(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in M$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma$  has an invariant mean. Let  $c$  be a real number satisfying

$$c < \sup_s \inf_{s \leq t} f(t).$$

Then there exists an element  $u$  such that  $c < f(t)$  for all  $t \geq u$ . Since  $f^u(t) = f(tu) > c$  for all  $t \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu$  is an invariant mean on  $m(\Sigma)$ , we have  $\mu(f) = \mu(f^u) \geq \mu(c) = c$ . Therefore,

$$\sup_s \inf_{s \leq t} f(t) \leq \mu(f).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\mu(f) \leq \inf_s \sup_{s \leq t} f(t).$$

Throughout this paper, let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a finite or  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $L^1 = L^1(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  and  $L^\infty = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be Banach spaces with their respective

norms defined as usual. Since  $L^\infty$  is the dual space of  $L^1$ , we use this duality to write  $\langle f, h \rangle$  for  $\int f \cdot h dm$ , where  $f \in L^1$  and  $h \in L^\infty$ . A notation  $1_F$  is the characteristic function of a measurable set  $F$ . Let  $T$  be a linear operator on  $L^1$ , then we denote the adjoint operator by  $T^*$ . If  $T$  is a positive contraction on  $L^1$ , then  $T^*$  is also a positive contraction on  $L^\infty$ . The following Lemma was proved by Neveu [18].

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\lambda$  be a positive linear form defined on  $L^\infty$ , that is, let  $\lambda \in (L^\infty)^*$ . Then there exists the largest element  $g \in L^1$  such that the form induced by it on  $L^\infty$  verifies  $g \leq \lambda$ . Moreover, the complement  $G = \{x : g(x) = 0\}$  of the support of  $g$  is the largest set in  $\mathcal{F}$  for which there exists  $h \in L^1_+$  with  $h > 0$  on  $G$  and  $\lambda(h) = 0$ . In particular, if  $g > 0$ , then  $\lambda(h) = 0$  for every  $h \in L^1_+$ ,  $h \neq 0$ . If  $g = 0$ , then  $\lambda(h) = 0$  for at least one  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$ .*

### 3. Invariant functions.

The main part of the following Theorem was proved by Neveu [18] for the case when  $\Sigma$  is the semigroup generated by a single positive contraction  $T$  on  $L^1$ . The proof is similar to that of Neveu.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $\Sigma$  be an amenable semigroup of positive contractions on  $L^1$ , and let  $f \in L^1$  be arbitrary but fixed and  $f > 0$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists  $g \in L^1$  such that  $g > 0$  and  $Tg = g$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ ;*
- (2) *if  $h \in L^\infty_+$  and  $\inf_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$ , then  $h = 0$ ;*
- (3) *if  $h \in L^\infty_+$  and  $\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$ , then  $h = 0$ ;*
- (4) *if  $h \in L^\infty_+$  and  $\mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$  for an invariant mean  $\mu$  on  $m(\Sigma)$ , then  $h = 0$ ;*
- (5) *if  $h \in L^\infty_+$  and  $0 \in \overline{co}\{T^*h : T \in \Sigma\}$ , then  $h = 0$  (here  $\overline{co}B$  is the closed convex hull of  $B \subset L^\infty$  in the sense of  $L^\infty$ -norm);*
- (6) *if  $h \in L^\infty_+$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^*h < 2$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ , then  $h = 0$ ;*
- (7) *if  $h \in L^\infty_+$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^*h < \infty$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ , then  $h = 0$ ;*
- (8)  *$\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i f = \infty$  for any sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ ;*
- (9) *if  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* 1_F \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ , then  $F = \emptyset$  (here  $\varepsilon > 0$  denotes an arbitrary but fixed number).*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Take  $f, f_0 \in L^1$  such that  $f > 0$  and  $f_0 > 0$ . Since  $f_0 \leq af + (f_0 - af)^+$  for any real number  $a$ ,

$$\langle Tf_0, h \rangle \leq a \langle Tf, h \rangle + \|(f_0 - af)^+\|_1 \cdot \|h\|_\infty$$

and hence if  $\inf \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$ , it is seen that

$$\inf_T \langle Tf_0, h \rangle = 0.$$

If we take  $f_0 = g$ , we obtain  $\langle g, h \rangle = 0$  and hence  $h = 0$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is obvious from Lemma 1

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Let  $h \in L_+^\infty$  and  $0 \in \overline{c\partial}\{T^*h : T \in \Sigma\}$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an element  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i^* h$  ( $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$  and  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  for each  $i$ ) such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i^* h \right\|_\infty \|f\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &> \sup_T \|Tf\|_1 \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i^* h \right\|_\infty \geq \sup_T \left\langle Tf, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i^* h \right\rangle \\ &\geq \mu_T \left\langle Tf, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i^* h \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu_T \langle T_i Tf, h \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = \mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$ . We obtain  $h=0$  by (4).

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $h \in L_+^\infty$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* h < 2$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ . From the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_i^* h \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* h \leq \frac{2}{n}$$

for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , it follows that  $0 \in \overline{c\partial}\{T^*h : T \in \Sigma\}$ . Therefore, we obtain  $h=0$  by (5).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). We define  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(h) = \mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle$  for all  $h \in L^\infty$ . It is obvious that  $\lambda$  is a positive linear form satisfying

$$|\lambda(h)| \leq \|f\|_1 \cdot \|h\|_\infty.$$

Also, for any  $h \in L^\infty$  and  $T_0 \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(T_0^* h) &= \mu_T \langle Tf, T_0^* h \rangle = \mu_T \langle T_0 Tf, h \rangle \\ &= \mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = \lambda(h). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda \in (L^\infty)_+^*$ , there exists the largest element  $g$  in  $L^1$  with  $g \leq \lambda$  by Lemma 2. This element  $g$  is invariant under each  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . In fact,

$$\langle Tg, h \rangle = \langle g, T^*h \rangle \leq \lambda(T^*h) = \lambda(h)$$

for  $h \in L_+^\infty$  and hence  $Tg \leq \lambda$ . This implies  $Tg \leq g$ . On the other hand, since  $T^*1 \leq 1$  and  $\lambda(T^*1) = 1$ , we have  $(\lambda - g)(T^*1) \leq (\lambda - g)(1)$  and hence  $\langle Tg, 1 \rangle \geq \langle g, 1 \rangle$ . Therefore,  $Tg = g$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . Now, we show  $g > 0$ . By (4), if  $h \in L_+^\infty$  and  $h \neq 0$ ,

$$0 < \mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = \lambda(h).$$

Besides, suppose that  $G = \{x : g(x) = 0\}$  is nonempty. Then, by Lemma 2, there exists  $h \in L_+^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  on  $G$  and  $\lambda(h) = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $G = \emptyset$  and hence we have  $g > 0$ .

The proofs of the remained parts have need of the following Lemma which is a generalization of lemma 3 in [18].

LEMMA 3. Take  $h \in L^\infty$  with  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and  $f > 0, f \in L^1$  with

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0,$$

then, there exists for each  $\delta > 0$  an element  $h_\delta \in L^1_+$  such that  $h_\delta \leq h, \langle f, h - h_\delta \rangle < \delta$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* h_\delta \leq 1$  for some sequence  $\{T_i : I = T_0 \leq T_1 \leq \dots\}$  in  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Take  $f, f_0 \in L^1$  such that  $f > 0$  and  $f_0 > 0$ . Then, since the condition  $\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$  implies

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf_0, h \rangle = 0,$$

we can choose  $U_j \in \Sigma$  inductively such that  $\langle f, U_1^* h \rangle < \delta/2$  and

$$\langle (U_{j-1}U_{j-2} \cdots U_1 + U_{j-1} \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_{j-1}U_{j-2} + U_{j-1} + I)f, U_j^* h \rangle < 2^{-j}\delta.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty (U_{j+1}U_j \cdots U_1 + U_{j+1}U_j \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_{j+1}U_j + U_{j+1})^* h \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty (U_jU_{j-1} \cdots U_1 + U_jU_{j-1} \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_j + I)^* U_{j+1}^* h \end{aligned}$$

and then  $h_\delta = (h - h_0)^+$ . Obviously,  $0 \leq h_\delta \leq h$  and  $h_\delta \geq h - h_0$ . We will show that  $\langle f, h - h_\delta \rangle < \delta$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, h - h_\delta \rangle &\leq \left\langle f, \sum_{j=0}^\infty (U_jU_{j-1} \cdots U_1 + U_j \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_j + I)^* U_{j+1}^* h \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \langle (U_jU_{j-1} \cdots U_1 + U_j \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_j + I)f, U_{j+1}^* h \rangle \\ &< \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{-(j+1)}\delta = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of Lemma 3, it suffices to show that

$$F_{i,k} = h_\delta + U_{i+1}^* h_\delta + (U_{i+2}U_{i+1})^* h_\delta + \cdots + (U_{i+k} \cdots U_{i+1})^* h_\delta \leq 1$$

for all nonnegative integers  $i, k$ . The sufficiency of the above inequality is clear by taking  $i=0, I=T_0, T_1=U_1, T_2=U_2U_1, \dots, T_j=U_jU_{j-1} \cdots U_1, \dots$ , and letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

It is obvious that  $F_{i,0} \leq 1$  for all  $i$ . Assume that the inequality is true for all  $i$  and for the value  $k-1$ . From

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{i,k} &= h_\delta + U_{i+1}^*(h_\delta + U_{i+2}^*h_\delta + \cdots + (U_{i+k} \cdots U_{i+2})^*h_\delta) \\
&= h_\delta + U_{i+1}^*(h_\delta + U_{i+1+1}^*h_\delta + \cdots + (U_{i+1+k-1} \cdots U_{i+1+1})^*h_\delta) \\
&= h_\delta + U_{i+1}^*F_{i+1,k-1},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain that  $F_{i,k} \leq 1$  on  $\{x : h_\delta(x) = 0\}$ . On the other hand, we have that on  $\{x : h_\delta(x) > 0\}$ ,  $h_\delta = h - h_0$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{i,k} &= h_\delta + U_{i+1}^*h_\delta + \cdots + (U_{i+k} \cdots U_{i+1})^*h_\delta \\
&\leq h_\delta + U_{i+1}^*h + \cdots + (U_{i+k} \cdots U_{i+1})^*h \\
&\leq h_\delta + h_0 = h \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

We prove that (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $h \in L_+^\infty$  such that

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0.$$

We can assume without loss of generality that  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0.$$

By Lemma 3, there exists  $h_\delta \in L_+^\infty$  such that  $h_\delta \leq h$ ,  $\langle f, h - h_\delta \rangle < \delta$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^*h_\delta \leq 1$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ . Since (6) implies  $h_\delta = 0$ , we obtain  $\langle f, h \rangle < \delta$  for all  $\delta > 0$ . Therefore, we have  $h = 0$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (8). Let  $\{T_i\}$  be a sequence in  $\Sigma$  and  $f_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  with  $f_0 \geq 0$ . If we define  $h \in L_+^\infty$  by  $h = f_0(1 + \sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i f)^{-1}$  with the convention  $(+\infty)^{-1} = 0$ , then obviously  $h(\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i f) \leq f_0$  with the convention  $0 \cdot \infty = 0$  and hence

$$\int h \cdot \sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i f \, dm = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \int h \cdot T_i f \, dm = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \langle T_i f, h \rangle < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $\inf_i \langle T_i f, h \rangle = 0$  and hence we obtain  $h = 0$  by (2).

(8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7). Take  $h \in L_+^\infty$  such as  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^*h < \infty$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$  and take  $f_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  with  $f_0 > 0$ . If we define  $f' \in L_+^1$  by

$$f' = f_0 \left( 1 + \sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^*h \right)^{-1},$$

then  $f' > 0$  and  $f'(\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^*h) \leq f_0$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\int f' \left( \sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^*h \right) dm = \int \left( \sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i f' \right) \cdot h \, dm < \infty$$

and hence  $h = 0$  by (8).

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) and (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (9) are obvious.

(9)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Take  $h \in L_+^\infty$  such as

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0.$$

If  $F = \{x : h(x) > a\}$  where  $a > 0$ , then we obtain

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, 1_F \rangle = 0.$$

Let  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$  and  $\delta = \varepsilon\varepsilon'/(1+\varepsilon)$ . From Lemma 3, there exists  $h_\delta \in L^*_+$  such that  $h_\delta \leq 1_F$ ,  $\langle f, 1_F - h_\delta \rangle < \delta$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* h_\delta \leq 1$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ . If  $F_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} = \{x : h_\delta(x) > 1/(1+\varepsilon)\}$ , then  $F_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$  is a subset of  $F$  satisfying  $\langle f, 1_F - 1_{F_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}} \rangle < \varepsilon'$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty T_i^* 1_{F_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $F_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} = \phi$  from (9) and hence  $F = \phi$ . Finally, since  $a$  is arbitrary, we obtain  $h = 0$ .

We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for no existence of invariant measure weaker than  $m$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be an amenable semigroup of positive contractions on  $L^1$  and  $f \in L^1$  be arbitrary but fixed and  $f > 0$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *if  $g \in L^1_+$  and  $Tg = g$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ , then  $g = 0$ ;*
- (2) *there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  and*

$$\inf_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0;$$

- (3) *there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  and*

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0;$$

- (4) *there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  and*

$$\mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0,$$

where  $\mu$  is an invariant mean on  $m(\Sigma)$ ;

- (5) *there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  and*

$$0 \in \overline{\text{co}}\{T^*h : T \in \Sigma\};$$

- (6) *there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* h < 2$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ ;*

- (7) *there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* h < \infty$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ ;*

- (8)  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i f < \infty$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ ;

- (9) *there exist positive real numbers  $M_n \uparrow \infty$  and elements  $F_n \uparrow X$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty T_i^* 1_{F_n} \leq 1 + M_n$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), (3) or (4). Let  $\lambda(h) = \mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle$  for all  $h \in L^\infty$ . Then  $\lambda \in (L^\infty)_+^*$ . From Lemma 2, we can find  $g \in L_+^1$  such that  $g \leq \lambda$  and  $Tg = g$  for all  $T \in \Sigma$ . Since (1) is valid,  $g = 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2, there exists  $h \in L^\infty$  such that  $h > 0$  on  $X = \{x : g(x) = 0\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \lambda(h) &= \mu_T \langle Tf, h \rangle \geq \sup_s \inf_{s \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle \\ &\geq \inf \langle Tf, h \rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Take  $f_0 \in L^1$  with  $f_0 > 0$ . Since the condition  $\inf \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$  implies

$$\inf_T \langle Tf_0, h \rangle = 0,$$

by taking  $f_0 = g$ , we obtain

$$\inf_T \langle Tg, h \rangle = \langle g, h \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, we have  $g = 0$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) are obvious from (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) and (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) in Theorem 1.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). The proofs of the rest have need of the following Lemma.

LEMMA 4. For  $h \in L^\infty$  with  $0 < h \leq 1$  and  $f > 0$ ,  $f \in L^1$  with

$$\sup_s \inf_{s \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0,$$

there exists an element  $h' \in L^\infty$  such that  $0 < h' \leq h$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* h' \leq 1$  for some sequence  $\{T_i : I = T_0 \leq T_1 \leq \dots\}$  in  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Take  $f_0 \in L^1$  with  $f_0 > 0$ . Then, since the condition  $\sup \inf \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0$  implies

$$\sup_s \inf_{s \leq T} \langle Tf_0, h \rangle = 0,$$

we can find  $U_j \in \Sigma$  inductively such that  $\langle f, U_1^* h \rangle < 1/2^2$  and

$$\langle (U_{j-1} U_{j-2} \cdots U_1 + U_{j-1} \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_{j-1} U_{j-2} + U_{j-1} + I) f, U_j^* h \rangle < 2^{-(j+1)}.$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} (U_{j+1} U_j \cdots U_1 + U_{j+1} \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_{j+1} U_j + U_{j+1})^* h \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} (U_j U_{j-1} \cdots U_1 + U_j \cdots U_2 + \cdots + U_j + I)^* U_{j+1}^* h \end{aligned}$$

and  $h_{2-i} = (h - h_i)^+$ . Obviously,  $0 \leq h_{2-i} \leq h$  and  $h_{2-i} \geq h - h_i$  for all  $i$ . We can show



by the method of Lemma 3 that  $\langle f, h - h_{2^{-i}} \rangle \leq 2^{-i}$  for all  $i$ . Define  $h' \in L^*_+$  by

$$h' = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} h_{2^{-i}}.$$

Then, we have  $\{x : h'(x) > 0\} = \cup_i \{x : h_{2^{-i}}(x) > 0\}$  and

$$\int_{\{h_{2^{-i}}=0\}} f \cdot h \, dm \leq \int f \cdot (h - h_{2^{-i}}) \, dm \leq \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $h' > 0$ . Also, we can show by the method of Lemma 3 that

$$F_{i,k,p} = h_{2^{-p}} + U_{i+1}^* h_{2^{-p}} (U_{i+2} U_{i+1})^* h_{2^{-p}} + \dots + (U_{i+k} \dots U_{i+1})^* h_{2^{-p}} \leq 1$$

for all nonnegative integers  $i, k$  and a fixed nonnegative integer  $p$ . Taking  $i=0$  and  $T_0=I, T_1=U_1, \dots, T_j=U_i U_{i-1} \dots U_1, \dots$  and letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* h_{2^{-p}} \leq 1$  for all  $p$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* h' \leq 1$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

The proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) is obvious from Lemma 4. (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) is also clear. (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (8) is obvious from (8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) in Theorem 1.

(8)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\{T_i\}$  be a sequence in  $\Sigma$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i f < \infty$ . If we define  $h = f_0(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i f)^{-1}$  where  $f_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  with  $f_0 > 0$ , then  $h > 0$  and

$$\inf_T \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0.$$

In fact, from  $h(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i f) \leq f_0$ , we obtain

$$\int h \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i f \right) dm = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle T_i f, h \rangle < \infty.$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (9). Let  $h$  be an element in  $L^\infty$  such that  $0 < h \leq 1$  and

$$\sup_S \inf_{S \leq T} \langle Tf, h \rangle = 0.$$

Then by Lemma 4, there exists  $h' \in L^\infty$  such that  $0 < h' \leq h$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* h' \leq 1$  for some  $I = T_0 \leq T_1 \leq \dots$ . Since  $h'$  is strictly positive, there exist positive real numbers  $M_n \uparrow \infty$  such that

$$F_n = \{x : h'(x) > 1/(1 + M_n)\} \uparrow X.$$

We can also show that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* 1_{F_n} \leq 1 + M_n$ . In fact, since  $(1 + M_n)h' \geq 1_{F_n}$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* 1_{F_n} \leq (1 + M_n) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* h' \leq 1 + M_n.$$

(9)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $M_n \uparrow \infty, M_n \geq 0$  and  $F_n \uparrow X, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* 1_{F_n} \leq 1 + M_n$  for some sequence  $\{T_i\}$  in  $\Sigma$ . if we choose  $k_n$  such that  $1 + M_n < 2^{k_n}$  and define

$$h_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_n+n}} 1_{F_n - F_{n-1}},$$

then  $h_0$  is strictly positive and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* h_0 < 2$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* h_0 &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i^* \left( \sum_n \frac{1}{2^{k_n+i}} 1_{F_n - F_{n-1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_n \frac{1}{2^{k_n+n}} \left( \sum_i T_i^* 1_{F_n - F_{n-1}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_n \frac{1}{2^{k_n+n}} \left( \sum_i T_i^* 1_{F_n} \right) \leq \sum_n \frac{1}{2^{k_n+n}} (1 + M_n) \\ &< \sum_n \frac{1}{2^n} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

#### 4. Ergodic theorem.

In this section, let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a finite measure space. The following Theorem is a generalization of the well known ergodic theorem for the case when  $\Sigma$  is the semigroup generated by a single positive contraction  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a finite measure space and  $\Sigma$  be an amenable semigroup of positive contractions  $T$  on  $L^1$  and suppose that  $T1=1$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . If  $f \in L^1_+$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{A : T^*1_A = 1_A, T \in \Sigma\}$ , then the conditional expectation  $E(f|\mathcal{B})$  of  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in  $\overline{\text{co}}\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$ , where  $\overline{\text{co}}B$  is the closed convex hull of  $B \subset L^1$  in the sense of  $L^1$ -norm.*

*Proof.* Since  $T^*1=1$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ , it is obvious that  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ . That  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field is obvious from that  $\mathcal{B}_T = \{A : T^*1_A = 1_A\}$  for each  $T$  in  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. We will show that  $\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$  is weakly sequentially compact. To show this, it suffices to show that countable additivity of the integrals  $\int_E Tf dm$  is uniform with respect to  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . (See p. 292 in [8].)

Let  $f_n = \min(f, n1)$  for all  $n=1, 2, \dots$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f_n \uparrow f$ , by the Lebesgue's convergence theorem, there exists an integer  $n_0 > 0$  such that  $\|f - f_{n_0}\|_1 < \varepsilon/2$ . Fix this integer  $n_0$  and determine a number  $\delta = \varepsilon/2n_0$ . If  $m(E) < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tf, 1_E \rangle &\leq \langle Tf_{n_0}, 1_E \rangle + \langle Tf - Tf_{n_0}, 1_E \rangle \\ &\leq \langle T(n_0 1), 1_E \rangle + \|T(f - f_{n_0})\|_1 \\ &\leq n_0 m(E) + \|f - f_{n_0}\|_1 \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, it follows that the countable additivity of the integrals  $\int_E Tf dm$  is uniform with respect to  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . Since  $\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$  is weakly sequentially compact, it follows that  $\overline{\text{co}}\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$  is weakly compact (see p. 430 and p. 434

in [8]). On the other hand, since  $\{Tf: T \in \Sigma\}$  is invariant under each  $T$  in  $\Sigma$  and each  $T$  in  $\Sigma$  is weakly continuous and linear,  $\overline{\text{co}}\{Tf: T \in \Sigma\}$  is also invariant under each  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . Now, by using Day's fixed point theorem [4], we can find an element  $u \in \overline{\text{co}}\{Tf: T \in \Sigma\}$  such that  $Tu = u$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . We will show that this function  $u$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. Let  $a$  be a real number, then it is obvious that  $T(u-a) = u-a$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . Therefore,

$$(u-a)^+ - (u-a)^- = T(u-a)^+ - T(u-a)^-.$$

By positivity of  $T$ , we obtain that

$$(u-a)^+ \leq T(u-a)^+ \quad \text{and} \quad (u-a)^- \leq T(u-a)^-.$$

Hence, it follows by  $\|T\| \leq 1$  that

$$(u-a)^+ = T(u-a)^+ \quad \text{and} \quad (u-a)^- = T(u-a)^-.$$

Therefore,

$$T \min(1, n(u-a)^+) \leq \min(1, n(u-a)^+)$$

for all  $n=1, 2, \dots$  and hence

$$T \min(1, n(u-a)^+) = \min(1, n(u-a)^+).$$

Since  $\min(1, n(u-a)^+) \uparrow \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain that  $T \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}}$  for all  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ . By using this, we shall obtain that  $u$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. In fact, since  $T^* \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} \leq T^* \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ , we obtain

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} T^* \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}}$$

and hence following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (\mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} T^* \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}}) dm \\ &= m(\{u>a\}) - \int \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} T^* \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} dm \\ &= m(\{u>a\}) - \int T \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} dm \\ &= m(\{u>a\}) - \int \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} dm = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}} T^* \mathbf{1}_{\{u>a\}}.$$

Besides, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int T^*1_{\{u>a\}} dm &= \int T1 \cdot 1_{\{u>a\}} dm \\ &= \int 1_{\{u>a\}} dm = m(\{u>a\}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $T^*1_{\{u>a\}} = 1_{\{u>a\}}$ . Therefore  $u$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable.

Finally, we show that  $u = E(f|\mathcal{B})$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i f \in \overline{c\partial}\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$  and  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i f, 1_A \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle f, T_i^* 1_A \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle f, 1_A \rangle = \langle f, 1_A \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i T_i f \rightarrow u$  in the sense of  $L^1$ -norm, it follows that  $\langle u, 1_A \rangle = \langle f, 1_A \rangle$ . On the other hand, we know that

$$\langle f, 1_A \rangle = \langle E(f|\mathcal{B}), 1_A \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle u, 1_A \rangle = \langle E(f|\mathcal{B}), 1_A \rangle$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $u$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable, we obtain that  $u = E(f|\mathcal{B})$ .

REMARK. It is obvious that  $u$  is a unique invariant function in  $\overline{c\partial}\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$ . For the case when  $\Sigma$  is the semigroup generated by a single positive contraction  $T$  on  $L^1$ ,  $1/n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i f$  tends to  $E(f|\mathcal{B})$  in the sense of  $L^1$ -norm.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BIRKHOFF, G. D., Proof of the ergodic theorem. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **17** (1931), 656-660.
- [2] CALDERÓN, A. P., Sur les mesures invariantes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **240** (1955), 1960-1962.
- [3] DAY, M. M., Amenable semigroup. Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 509-544.
- [4] DAY, M. M., Fixed point theorem for compact convex sets. Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 585-590.
- [5] DOWKER, Y. N., On measurable transformations on finite méasure spaces. Ann. of Math. (2) **62** (1955), 504-516.
- [6] DOWKER, Y. N., Sur les applications mesurables. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **242** (1956), 329-331.

- [7] DUNFORD, N., AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, Convergence almost everywhere of operator averages. *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* **5** (1956), 129-178.
- [8] DUNFORD, N., AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators, Part 1.* Interscience, New York (1958).
- [9] FOGUEL, S. R., *The ergodic theory of Markov processes.* Van Nostrand (1969).
- [10] GRANIRER, E., On amenable semigroups with a finite-dimensional set of invariant means I. *Illinois J. Math.* **7** (1963), 32-48.
- [11] GRANIRER, E., A theorem on amenable semigroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soci.* **111** (1964), 367-379.
- [12] HAJIAN, A. B., AND S. KAKUTANI, Weakly wandering sets and invariant measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soci.* **110** (1964), 136-151.
- [13] HAJIAN, A. B., AND Y. ITO, Iterates of measurable transformations and Markov operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soci.* **117** (1965), 371-386.
- [14] HOPF, E., Theory of measures and invariant integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soci.* **34** (1932), 373-393.
- [15] HOPF, E., The general temporally discrete Markoff process. *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* **3** (1964), 13-45.
- [16] ITO, Y., Invariant measures for Markov processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soci.* **110** (1964), 152-184.
- [17] KAKUTANI, S., Ergodic theorems and the Markoff process with a stable distribution. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* **16** (1940), 49-54.
- [18] NEVEU, J., Existence of bounded invariant measures in ergodic theory. Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics vol. II, Part 2 (1967), 461-472.
- [19] SUCHESTON, L., On existence of finite invariant measures. *Math. Z.* **86** (1964), 327-336.
- [20] TAKAHASHI, W., Fixed point theorem for amenable semigroups of nonexpansive mappings. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **21** (1969), 383-386.
- [21] TAKAHASHI, W., Invariant ideals for amenable semigroups of Markov operators. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **23** (1971), 121-126.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.