

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.10.26.354910

## Invasion by Fusobacterium nucleatum Causes Upregulation of dll4 and klf4 Expression in Caco-2 Cells — Source link

Emma Allen-Vercoe, Kyla Cochrane, Avery V Robinson, J. Powers ...+3 more authors

Institutions: University of Guelph, University of British Columbia, Simon Fraser University

Published on: 26 Oct 2020 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Fusobacterium nucleatum, KLF4 and Caco-2

Related papers:

- The Pathogenic Effects of Fusobacterium nucleatum on the Proliferation, Osteogenic Differentiation, and Transcriptome of Osteoblasts.
- Immune induction identified by TMT proteomics analysis in Fusobacterium nucleatum autoinducer-2 treated macrophages
- The myeloid immune signature of enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis-induced murine colon tumorigenesis.
- Biofilm-induced profiles of immune response gene expression by oral epithelial cells.
- Analysis by High Density cDNA Arrays of Altered Gene Expression in Human Intestinal Epithelial Cells in Response to Infection with the Invasive Enteric BacteriaSalmonella



### 1 Title: Invasion by *Fusobacterium nucleatum* Causes Upregulation of *dll4* and *klf4*

### 2 Expression in Caco2 Cells

### 3 Short title: *Fusobacterium*-induced host gene upregulation

- 4
- 5 Kyla Cochrane<sup>1,2</sup>, Avery V. Robinson<sup>2</sup>, Jacqueline Powers<sup>2</sup>, Scott D. Brown<sup>1</sup>, Robert A. Holt<sup>1,3,4</sup>
- 6 and Emma Allen-Vercoe<sup>2\*</sup>,
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup> Canada's Michael Smith Genome Sciences Centre, BC Cancer, Vancouver, British Columbia,
- 8 Canada
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Road East,
- 10 Guelph, Ontario Canada
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia,
- 12 Canada
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Molecular Biology & Biochemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British
- 14 Columbia, Canada
- 15 \*corresponding author: <u>eav@uoguelph.ca;</u> (1) 519 824 4120.
- 16
- 17 **List of abbreviations**: CRC: colorectal cancer; DEG: differentially expressed genes; *dll4*/DLL4:
- 18 delta like canonical notch ligand 4; FC: fold change; *klf4*/KLF4: Kruppel-like factor 4; qPCR:
- 19 quantitative polymerase chain reaction; RPKM: reads per kilobase of transcript, per million
- 20 mapped reads.
- 21
- Key words: *Fusobacterium nucleatum*; colorectal cancer; transcriptome; host cell infection
- 24 Abstract
- 25 *Fusobacterium nucleatum* is an emerging microbe of importance in the pathogenesis of
- 26 colorectal cancer. Strains of this enigmatic bacterial species vary in their capacity to invade

27	human epithelial cells, a virulence determinant which has important implications in disease.
28	Here, we infected human colorectal epithelial (Caco-2) cells in vitro with a known, highly
29	invasive strain of <i>F. nucleatum</i> isolated from a Crohn's Disease patient, as well as a further
30	invasive isolate of <i>F. nucleatum</i> derived from a colorectal cancer tumour. We used
31	transcriptional profiling to determine the human genes upregulated during the invasion process
32	compared to exposure to a non-invasive E.coli control strain. Infection with F. nucleatum
33	strains resulted in the upregulation of several host genes, including two associated with
34	tumorigenesis: <i>dll4</i> and <i>klf4</i> .
35	
36	
37	
38	Introduction
39	Fusobacterium is a heterogeneous, Gram-negative, anaerobic, bacterial genus with varying
40	degrees of virulence observed among isolates. Fusobacterium nucleatum is found in the gut
41	microbiome as well as other niches in the body. Virulent isolates have been implicated in
42	colorectal cancer (CRC) development and are associated with poor prognosis (reviewed by
43	Gethings-Behncke et al.(1)). Found in primary colorectal carcinoma tissues, Fusobacterium is
44	detectable across disease stages (reviewed by Brennan and Garrett(2)). Intracellular
45	Fusobacterium increases tumor cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo (reviewed by Ternes et
46	al.(3)). It is hypothesized that the invasion of virulent Fusobacterium into the host cells alters
47	the gene expression in both bacteria and host, setting into motion, or otherwise potentiating, a
48	chain of events that can lead to CPC

49

50 Our previous transcriptomic study assessed changes in *F. nucleatum* gene expression co-51 incident with host cell invasion in an effort to identify potential bacterial virulence factors

52 associated with invasion (4). Here we use the same transcriptomic approach to investigate

changes in human gut epithelial cell gene expression upon invasion with CRC associated *F*.
 *nucleatum*. The results of our comparative whole transcriptomic (RNA-seq) analysis using
 Caco-2 cells after exposure to *F. nucleatum* isolates provide insight into host cell expression

- 56 changes that occur directly after invasion.
- 57

#### 58 Methods

- 59 Bacterial culture: *Fn* subsp. *animalis* 7-1 (*Fn*7-1) 7-33C1 (*Fn* 7-3) were used in these
- 60 experiments, as well as *Escherichia coli* strain Nissle 1917. *Fn7-1* has been previously isolated,
- 61 extensively phenotyped and sequenced by our group (5, 6). Although not specifically CRC-
- 62 associated, *Fn* 7-1 has been extensively profiled in terms of adhesion and invasion assays (6)

63 and has recently been shown to induce tumorigenesis in C57BL/6J–Apc<sup>Min/+</sup> mice (7). Fn7-3 was

64 isolated directly from a CRC tumor biopsy through culture on selective medium (4). *E. coli* K-12

65 (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a control strain. Propagation of bacterial strains was carried out in

66 tryptic soy broth supplemented with hemin (5µg/mL) and menadione (1µg/mL) (TSB<sub>supp</sub>) (Sigma-

Aldrich), with incubation in a humidified anaerobic chamber (Ruskinn Bug Box) at 37°C under

an atmosphere of  $N_2$ :CO<sub>2</sub>:H<sub>2</sub> 90:5:5. For infection assays, culture grown to late log phase and

69 normalized for cell number using McFarland standards.

70

71 Caco-2 Cell culture:Caco-2 human colon adenocarcinoma cells (American Type Culture

72 Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA line HTB37<sup>™</sup>) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle

73 Media (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS)

74 (ThermoFisher), 10mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich), and 5µg/mL Plasmocin (Invitrogen) at

75 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For consistency, only Caco-2 cells from passages 4-12 were used for

76 experiments.

Infection assay: Caco-2 cells were grown to 85% confluence, washed briefly, infected to a
 multiplicity of infection of 100:1 with bacterial cells and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 4 hours

79 (6). Cells were then washed and treated with fresh DMEM containing gentamicin (0.5mg/mL) 80 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 minutes at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, then trypsinized and guenched. All 81 subsequent steps were carried out using reagents at 4°C. RNA was stabilized through the 82 addition of TRIzol® Reagent (1mL per 50-100mg of pelleted sample) (ThermoFisher), before 83 storage at -80°C. RNAlater<sup>®</sup> (Qiagen) was used in all buffers throughout the extraction process. 84 Total RNA extraction was performed within 15 minutes to ensure minimal transcriptional 85 changes during the process. Three biological replicates were performed. 86 Transcriptional profiling: Strand-specific RNA-seq libraries were constructed as previously 87 described (4), and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform, yielding an average of 51.4 88 million reads per replicate. After filtering and alignment, host cell gene expression values 89 (RPKM) were determined and differential gene expression (fold-change) between *F. nucleatum* 90 infected or E. coli K12 control and non-infected host cells was calculated. Differentially 91 expressed genes (DEG) were defined as those that met the arbitrary thresholds of RPKM  $\geq 0.1$ . 92 a minimum of 10 mapped sequence reads, an uncorrected p-value < 0.05 and an absolute fold-93 change value of at least four. Guided by the results of differential expression analyses, we 94 selected a subset of 15 cancer relevant DEGs to investigate further. We designed a custom 95 CodeSet® for the NanoString® nCounter assay to verify RNA-seq derived differential gene 96 expression values. Next, we used the Caco-2 invasion assay and NanoString® profiling to 97 explore modulation of these genes by different strains of F. nucleatum and the E. coli K12 98 control. Further analysis using qPCR was performed on human genes of interest.

99

#### 100 Results

We detected 58 up-regulated genes and 12 down-regulated genes by these criteria (Figure 1;
Panel A). Using NanoString® to hone in on 15 cancer-related genes, we show expression
differences are highly concordant with RPKM values from RNA-seq (Pearson's correlation
coefficient R=0.97, p=2.2x10<sup>-9</sup>; Figure 2). Leveraging our custom CodeSet® across microbial

105 strains allowed us to identify two genes, *dll4* and *klf4*, that were up-regulated in Caco-2 cells 106 incubated with both F. nucleatum isolates relative to the lab-adapted E. coli K12 isolate (Figure 107 1; Panel B). gPCR further resolved that while the upregulation of *dll4* is significantly greater than 108 that of Caco-2 cells incubated with E. coli K12 (p<0.0001), the upregulation of klf4 is more 109 limited (Figure 1; Panel C). 110 111 Discussion 112 Using a transcriptomic in vitro adenocarcinoma approach, we show that invasion of Caco-2 cells 113 by pathogenic *F. nucleatum* strains results in the upregulation of host genes associated with 114 tumorigenesis: dll4 and klf4. Invasion by these isolates alone is enough to stimulate this 115 response. 116 117 DLL4 is a Notch ligand that has been shown to play a role in angiogenesis and its expression 118 facilitates tumour growth by accelerating vascular generation. This may provide insight into the 119 mechanism of microbially-influenced tumorigenesis wherein the invasion of Fusobacterium 120 serves to set event in motion that create a tumor permissive, proinflammatory microenvironment 121 (reviewed by Xiu et al.(8)). Recent work has shown dll4 expression in CRC tissue but not in 122 adenomas(9). This result strengthens the association between the up-regulation of *dll4* upon 123 Fusobacterium invasion and CRC development. 124

While *F. nucleatum* strain-induced upregulation of *klf4* was not as large as that for *dll4* when compared to *E. coli* infected control, its upregulation was significant compared to that observed for mock-treated cells. KLF4 is a transcription factor with known suppressive and oncogenic functions. How KLF4 functions is thought to be context dependent, though the mechanisms of this duality (be they posttranslational modifications, association with other proteins, subcellular location) are not understood (reviewed by Wang et al.(10)). Regardless, *klf4* has distinct

131 functions in carcinogenesis as its upregulation has been linked to epithelial cell inflammation, 132 cell proliferation and chemotherapy resistance (reviewed by Farrugia et al. 2016 (11)). 133 134 Given that F. nucleatum abundance has been directly correlated with susceptibility to 135 chemotherapy failure and disease relapse (reviewed by Ternes et al.(3)), understanding 136 potential mechanisms of microbially-associated tumorigenesis is of high importance for this 137 bacterial species. The upregulation of both *dll4* and *klf4* highlights alternative mechanisms of 138 action for this opportunistic pathogen to perpetuate CRC persistence and progression – possibly 139 by regulation of angiogenesis, inflammation and cell proliferation. 140 141 Figure 1. Panel A: Heatmap highlighting 58 up-regulated and 12 down-regulated host genes 142 during Fusobacterial infection as determine by RNA-seq. Data is shown as log<sub>2</sub>RPKM. **Panel B:** 143 Boxplot depicting the two human genes of interest, determined using a log fold change 144 approach, our custom designed NanoString CodeSet® and Fusobacterium/Escherichia strain 145 infection assays of Caco-2 cells. A statistically significant difference was observed using 146 ANOVA and Tukey Post-Hoc analysis between Fn7-1 and E. coli K12 for KLF4 (p = 0.0249) and 147 DLL4 (p=0.0298). For the comparison between Fn7-3 and E. coli K12, only KLF4 showed a

significant difference (p= 0.0226). **Panel C:** For further resolution and to increase the number of

replicates, qPCR TaqMan assays were used to further validate our two genes of interest: *dll4* 

and *klf4*. A statistically significant difference was observed using ANOVA and Tukey Post-Hoc

151 Analysis between Fn7-1 or Fn-7-3 and either *E.coli* K12 or uninfected Caco2 cells for DLL4 only

152 (p<0.0001).

153

154

Figure 2. Comparison of RNA-seq and NanoString datasets. Both platforms displayed a strong
 correlation in gene expression (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.97; p=2.23E-09). FC: fold change.

157	
158	Grant support: The authors gratefully acknowledge funding for this work from the Canadian
159	Cancer Society Research Institute (Innovation to Impact grant) awarded to EA-V and RAH.
160	
161	Author involvement: KC and AVR: acquisition of data; analysis and interpretation of data;
162	SDB: analysis of data; JP: drafting of manuscript and critical assessment; EA-V and RAH:
163	obtained funding, study concept and design; study supervision.
164	
165	Disclosures: EA-V is co-founder and CSO of NuBiyota LLC, a company engaged in creating
166	novel microbiome-based therapies to treat a range of diseases. The remaining authors disclose
167	no conflicts.
168	Transcript profiling: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE130714">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE130714</a>
169	
170	
171	
172	References:
173	
174	
175	1. Gethings-Behncke C, Coleman HG, Jordao HWT, Longley DB, Crawford N, Murray LJ,
176	et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum in the Colorectum and Its Association with Cancer Risk and
177	Survival: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.
178	2020;29(3):539-48.
179	2. Brennan CA, Garrett WS. Fusobacterium nucleatum - symbiont, opportunist and
180	oncobacterium. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17(3):156-66.
181	3. Ternes D, Karta J, Tsenkova M, Wilmes P, Haan S, Letellier E. Microbiome in Colorectal
182	Cancer: How to Get from Meta-omics to Mechanism? Trends Microbiol. 2020;28(5):401-23.

183 4. Cochrane K, Robinson AV, Holt RA, Allen-Vercoe E. A survey of *Fusobacterium* 

- *nucleatum* genes modulated by host cell infection. Microb Genom. 2020;6(2).
- 185 5. Allen-Vercoe E, Strauss J, Chadee K. *Fusobacterium nucleatum*: an emerging gut
- 186 pathogen? Gut Microbes. 2011;2(5):294-8.
- 187 6. Strauss J, Kaplan GG, Beck PL, Rioux K, Panaccione R, Devinney R, et al. Invasive
- 188 potential of gut mucosa-derived *Fusobacterium nucleatum* positively correlates with IBD status
- 189 of the host. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2011;17(9):1971-8.
- 190 7. Kostic AD, Chun E, Robertson L, Glickman JN, Gallini CA, Michaud M, et al.
- 191 Fusobacterium nucleatum potentiates intestinal tumorigenesis and modulates the tumor-
- 192 immune microenvironment. Cell Host Microbe. 2013;14(2):207-15.
- 193 8. Xiu MX, Liu YM, Kuang BH. The Role of DLLs in Cancer: A Novel Therapeutic Target.
- 194 Onco Targets Ther. 2020;13:3881-901.
- 195 9. Shaik JP, Alanazi IO, Pathan AAK, Parine NR, Almadi MA, Azzam NA, et al. Frequent
- 196 Activation of Notch Signaling Pathway in Colorectal Cancers and Its Implication in Patient
- 197 Survival Outcome. J Oncol. 2020;2020:6768942.
- 198 10. Wang L, Shen F, Stroehlein JR, Wei D. Context-dependent functions of KLF4 in
- 199 cancers: Could alternative splicing isoforms be the key? Cancer Lett. 2018;438:10-6.
- 200 11. Farrugia MK, Vanderbilt DB, Salkeni MA, Ruppert JM. Kruppel-like Pluripotency Factors
- as Modulators of Cancer Cell Therapeutic Responses. Cancer Res. 2016;76(7):1677-82.



# Figure



