

Investigation of tannin content in *Diospyros mespiliformis* extract using various extraction solvents

Abstract

The present study was aimed to evaluate the tannin content accumulated in the unripe fruit, leaf and bark of *D. mespiliformis* (African Ebony) using acetone, methanol, aq. Methanol, hot and cold water extracts. A quantitative test was conducted on the extracts and a blue blackish precipitate was observed which indicates that the tannins were hydrolysable. The study revealed that the unripe fruits have the highest accumulation of tannin content but the extract weight was significantly higher in aq. methanol extract (15.94 g) and acetone extracts (13.52 g) from 100 g of dry samples. This was followed by the tannin extracted weight of the leaf in acetone and aq. Methanol extracts having 12.35 g and 11.55 g respectively while the acetone extracts from the bark was 12.33 g. Furthermore, the extracted weight of natural solvent (hot and cold water) was low hence, it is concluded that more tannin are extracted with aqueous methanol and acetone amongst others. Consequently, conservation of *D. mespiliformis* is highly important therefore, it is suggested that the leaves should be used instead of the fruits because of regeneration.

Keywords: *Diospyros mespiliformis*, tannins, unripe fruit, leaves, bark, extracts

Volume 7 Issue 1 - 2018

Maitera ON,¹ Louis H,^{2,3} Oyebanji OO,⁴
Anumah AO¹

¹Department of Chemistry, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Nigeria

²Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Calabar, Nigeria

³CAS Key Laboratory for Nanosystem and Hierarchical Fabrication, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

⁴Department of Botany, University of Lagos, Nigeria

Correspondence: Maitera ON, Department of Chemistry, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria, Email olivermaitera@yahoo.com, Louis@nanocr.cn

Received: January 05, 2018 | **Published:** February 08, 2018

Introduction

Plants produce many bioactive compounds that are called secondary metabolites, and have a wide variation in chemical diversity, distribution and function.¹ Phytochemistry of plants has revealed the pharmacological effect and their uses in industries.²⁻⁴ Secondary metabolites have long been known as a source of effective medical therapies such as anti-bacterial and anti-cancer as reported.⁵⁻⁷ The classification is based on the precursor, basic structure and biosynthetic pathway.¹ These compounds are classified into four categories namely: alkaloids, phenols terpenoids, and non-protein amino acids.⁸ Phenol group is characterized by the presence of an aromatic ring with one or two hydroxyl groups and consists of thousands of compounds some of which include anthocyanins, flavonoids, glycosides, pigments, lignin melanin, phenolic acids, phenylpropanoid, quinones, saponins, and tannins which are widely distributed in various plant species.⁹ Tannins are polyphenolic compounds that have high molecular weight (over 1000 g) and can form a complex with protein. Structurally, tannins can be divided into two classes: condensed tannins and hydrolysable tannins.^{9,10} Tannins are distributed in species throughout the plant kingdom and are commonly found in both angiosperms and gymnosperms. Tannins is produced by a chloroplast-derived organelle, the tannosome¹¹ which are physically located in the vacuoles or surface wax of plants, it contains gum, oil, latex, pigments or resin¹² and function as, excretory materials, minerals, pigments, and storage of reserves. Most common polyphenols are the condensed tannins found in virtually all families of plants, and comprising up to 50% of the dry weight of leaves. Tannins are located in the roots, wood, bark, leaves, and fruit of many plants.¹³⁻¹⁵ In plants, tannins play a role of protecting plant from predation and also help in regulating plant growth.¹⁶ Furthermore, it causes the astringency, colour, and flavour in tea.¹⁷ Type of tannins in tropical woods is cathetic while the temperate woods are gallic in nature. There may be a loss in the bioavailability of still other tannins in plants due to birds, pests, and other pathogens. Likewise, the modification of tannins with time plays a significant role in determining harvesting times.

For instance tannins isolated from the stem bark of *Myracrodruon urundeuva* have been studied for their potential to affect 6-hydroxydopamine-induced toxicity.¹⁸ Tannin can react with formaldehyde (condensation polymerization), because of the presence of phenol groups to form thermosetting products that can be used as an adhesive. In vitro, tannins showed antiviral antibacterial and antiparasitic effects¹⁹⁻²¹ but no tannin-based drug has been developed for treating these or other diseases.

Diospyros Mespiliformis belongs to the family Ebenaceae and is extremely widespread in African countries such as Senegal east, Ethiopia, Kenya, south to Namibia, northern South Africa and Swaziland, and Nigeria.^{22,23} Its commonly known as Ebony tree, Jackal berry or jackal bessie²⁴ and in Northern part of Nigeria it is commonly called Kanya (Hausa), Nelbi (Fulani).²³ *D. mespiliformis* is a large deciduous tree found mostly in the savannahs, reaching about 10 meters in height.²² Mature trees have dark gray fissured bark and adult tree reaches an average of 4 to 6 metres in height, though occasionally some trees reach can reach up to 25 metres. The foliage is dense and dark green with elliptical leaves, which are often eaten by grazing animals such as elephants and buffalo. The tree flowers in the rainy season; the flowers are imperfect, with genders on separate trees, and are cream-colored. In some parts of Africa, ripe fruits of *D. mespiliformis* are consumed as food because of its high nutrition value while gum for binding loose pages and pasting papers on walls were extracted from the unripe fruits.²⁵ The fruits are sometimes preserved dried and ground into a flour, and are often used for brewing beer and brandy. The leaves, bark and root of *D. mespiliformis* have been reported to be medicinal containing some bioactive compounds including tannin, which are used as a styptic to staunch bleeding.²⁵ Also, the roots are consumed to purge parasites and are thought to be a remedy for leprosy. Industrially, the wood is highly useful because its almost impervious to termite damage, fine-grained and strong heart wood, and often used for making wood floors and furniture.²⁶

Therefore, the demand for tannins in adhesive, food, dyes, medicine and tanning industries is on the increase on daily basis,

as a result, there is a need to source for raw materials to enhance production. The juice of unripe fruits of *D. mespiliformis* has been found to have adhesive properties (informal information), and so far no scientific work has been carried out on any part of this plant to investigate the presence and quantity of tannins. Hence, the objectives of this study is to determine the tannin presence and quantity from unripe fruits, leaves and bark of *D. mespiliformis* using acetone, aq. methanol (70%), cold water, hot water, and Methanol solvent. Also, this study will recommend the vegetative part which can be harvested for tannin production that will not threaten or endanger the species.

Materials and methods

Collection of plant material

The unripe fruits, leaves and barks of *Diospyros mespiliformis* were collected from Modibbo Adama, University of Technology (MAUTECH) Yola. After collection, the samples were taken to the herbarium for proper identification before taken to the laboratory for further extraction.

Extraction of the plant material

The unripe fruits, leaves and barks (Plate A & B) collected were washed under running tap water to remove dust, cut into pieces and was then spread on a clean table in the laboratory for air drying. The dried samples were ground with mortar and pestle to a particulate size of 1mm sieve screen and samples were stored.



Plate A Leaf and Fruit of *Diospyros mespiliformis*.



Plate B Stem bark of *Diospyros mespiliformis*.

Extraction of tannin

The method of extraction of tannin followed the procedure reported by.²⁷ From all the grounded parts (unripe fruits, leaves and bark.), 100 g were measured from each and were placed in a volumetric of 1000 ml and hot distilled water 500ml was added. The flask was agitated in a mechanical shaker for 3 hours. The flask was allowed to stand for three (3) days after which the extract was filtered using Bucher's flask with Whatman No.1 filter paper. The same procedure was repeated for acetone, methanol, aq. Methanol (70% methanol and 30% distilled water) and cold water extractions. The filtrate in each case was concentrated to about 50 ml using water bath at temperature below 40°C for the organic solvents and above 40°C for water extraction. The concentrated extracts were allowed to stand for 72 hours. The extract was then obtained in gelled form and later in powdered form. The percentage by weight of sample extract was computed on the basis of the original air-dried samples.

Phytochemical test for tannins

The phytochemical test for the presence of tannins was conducted on the sample and a blue blackish precipitate was noticed which is an indication of the presence of Hydrolysable tannin.

Results and discussion

The study has revealed the presence of tannin in the unripe fruit, leaf and bark of *D. mespiliformis* and the varying quantitative concentrations of tannin in these vegetative parts using hot water, methanol, aq. methanol (70%), acetone extraction and cold water extraction as presented in Table 1-5. It was further revealed that among the parts, the unripe fruits had the highest tannins extracted weight across in all the solvent used. The results showed that the highest tannin weight extract was recorded for aqueous extract (15.94 g) from the fruits, followed by acetone extracts also from the unripe fruit (13.52 g) and aqueous extract from the bark (12.77 g) as shown in Tables 3 & 4 respectively. Consequently, the study revealed that cold water has low tannin extractability because it had the least extracted weight amongst others (Table 5).

Table 1 Hot water extraction

Samples	Dry sample weight(g)	Extract weight (g)
Unripe fruit	100	10.113
Leaf	100	8.689
Bark	100	7.552

Table 2 Methanol extraction

Samples	Dry sample weight(g)	Extract weight(g)
Unripe fruit	100	12.01
Leaf	100	9.51
Bark	100	10.71

Table 3 Aq. methanol extraction

Samples	Dry sample weight(g)	Extract weight(g)
Unripe fruit	100	15.94
Leaf	100	11.55
Bark	100	12.77

From 100 g of dry sample from the unripe fruit, leaf and bark extracted with hot water, it was revealed the highest extract weight 10.11 g was recovered from the unripe fruits while the least extracted weight 7.52 g was recorded for the bark (Table 1).

It was further revealed that the highest tannin extract weight 12.01 g was recovered from the unripe fruits while the least extracted weight 10.72 g/100 g of dry samples was recorded for the bark in methanol extract (Table 2). Table 3 showed that the highest tannin extract weight was recovered from the unripe fruits 15.94 g while the least tannin extracted weight 12.77 g was recorded for the bark when 100 g of dry sample were extracted in aq. methanol extraction. The aq. methanol extraction is very effective in extracting tannins from unripe fruit, leaf and bark of *D. mespiliformis*.

Table 4 Acetone extraction

Samples	Dry sample weight(g)	Extract weight (g)
Unripe fruit	100	13.52
Leaf	100	12.33
Bark	100	9.85

Table 5 Cold water Extraction

Sample	Dry sample weight(g)	Extract weight(g)
Unripe fruits	100	4.32
Leaf	100	4.11
Bark	100	3.92

Acetone extracts also has high extractability rate. The results of study show that the highest tannin extract weight was recovered from the unripe fruits 13.52 g while the leaf had the least extracted weight 12.33 g (Table 4). As presented in Table 5, cold water extract had the least tannin extracted weight from the 100 g of dry sample from the unripe fruits, leaf and bark. However, highest tannin extract weight was recovered from the unripe fruits 4.32 g while the least extracted weight 4.11 g was recorded for the bark.

Plants generally produce mixture of compounds; therefore the extractability depends on the bonding nature (i.e. tightly or loosely) to the associated compounds such as proteins. The appearance of thick and brown coloured substance after evaporation in water bath indicates the presence of tannin in the sample. The high accumulation of tannins in the unripe fruits is a normal biological activity in plant. Tannins prevent immature harvesting of fruits by it unpalatable to wild animal, and it is active in photosynthesis and physiological process fruit formation and maturation however, tannins reduce as fruits ripen.¹⁶ Hence, the tannin concentration is high in the unripe fruits. Additionally, the study showed that the highest tannin weight was from aqueous methanol and acetone extracts, this is in line with the reports of;²⁸ boiling aqueous methanol is the most effective solvent for condensed tannin and;²⁹ aqueous acetone is generally most effective in extracting both condensed and hydrolyzable tannins from plants. Nonetheless, the quantity of secondary metabolites discoverable in plants depend on the solubility of tannins which is influenced by the specific structure of the tannins, polarity, ecology, age of tree, climate and nutrients.³⁰ Extraction of bioactive compounds from plants requires a solvent capable of dissolving polar compounds especially tannins. Water is a good solvent even for tannins (hot water), but the best solvent is a mixture of organic solvents and water. The principle of extraction is that polar compounds dissolve in polar solvents and non-polar solvents compound in non-polar solvent. The extraction method used in this study was extracted maceration which enables the solvents to penetrate the cell wall and move into the cavity of the cell that contains the active substance so that the active substance is dissolved. Due to the difference between the solution concentrations of active substance in the cell, consequently, the solubility is proportional. The advantage of this extraction method is its simplicity.³¹ Moreover, maceration is

used since it process has a fairly high absorption effectiveness of the active substances contained in the leaves; fruits and bark of many plants. Medicinally, tannins possess anti-diarrhoeal, anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, and anti-oxidant activities. Besides, it is used for leucorrhoea, gonorrhoea, sore throat, rheumatic pains, skin infections, chronic cough, bronchitis, inflammation and as expectorant.³²⁻³⁴ Tannins are parts of the plant materials that are used as animal feed³⁵ and a principal raw material in the leather industry.¹⁶ Also, resins from tannins have been used to remove mercury and methyl-mercury from solution.³⁶ Likewise, tannin is an element used a type of industrial particleboard adhesive developed jointly by the Tanzania Industrial Research and Development Organization and Forintek Labs Canada.³⁷ *Pinus radiata* tannins have been used for the manufacture of wood adhesives.³⁸ Withal, some tannin is used in foods and drinks.³⁹ Because they soluble in water and organic solvent.^{40,41}

Regardless of the importance of tannins extracted from *D. mespiliformis*, the continuous harvesting of the vegetative parts of *D. mespiliformis* particularly the fruits will pose threats to regeneration and conservation of this species therefore leading to its entire loss thus sustainable use is acutely salient. Although, it can also be regenerated through coppice and root suckers, however, this study has revealed that tannins are contained in other parts such as the leaf and bark particularly on the bark when aqueous and acetone extracts are used as solvents.

Summary and conclusion

Tannin was extracted from the unripe fruits leaves and bark of *Diospyros mespiliformis* using various solvents (acetone, methanol, aq. Methanol, hot and cold water). A qualitative test was conducted on the extracts and a blue black precipitate was observed which actually indicates the presence of tannins. Aq. Methanol extracts had the highest tannin extractability followed by acetone extract. Aqueous Methanol shows the highest yield of tannin in all the samples, except in the bark extract where acetone recorded the highest yield. The plant under study therefore contains Hydrolysable tannin and the extract from the unripe fruits of the plant has the highest yield.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Smith PM. The Chemotaxonomy of Plants. Edward Arnold, London, USA. 1976.
- Liu Z, Carpenter SB, Bourgeois WJ, et al. Variation in the secondary metabolite camptothecin in relation to tissue age and season in *Camptotheca acuminata*. *Tree Physiology*. 1998;18(4):265–270.
- Stapp JR, Moerman DE. The importance of weeds in ethnopharmacology. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2001;75(1):19–23.
- Raskin I, Ribnicky DM, Komamitsky S, et al. Plants and human health in the twenty-first century. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2002;20(12):522–531.
- Cragg GM. Natural products in drug discovery and development. *J Nat Prod*. 1997;60(1):52–60.
- Swapana N, Jotinkumar T, Devi CB, et al. Total Phenolic, Total Flavonoid Contents And Antioxidant Activity Of A Few Indigenous Fruits Grown In Manipur. *The bioscan*. 2012;7(1):73–76.

7. Sudipta S, Tanmoy G, Tanushree S, et al. Evaluation of Analgesic and Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Chloroform and Methanol Extracts of *Centella asiatica* Linn. *ISRN Pharmacology*. 2013;2013:789613.
8. Edwards R, Gatehouse JA. Secondary metabolism. In: Lea PJ, Leegood RC, editors. *Plant Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2nd edn. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA. 1999.
9. Harborne. Metode Fitokimia. Penuntun Cara Modern Menganalisis Tumbuhan. *Phytochemical methods*. 1987.
10. Hagerman AE, Riedl KM, Jones GA, et al. High molecular weight plant polyphenolics (tannins) as biological antioxidants. *J Agric Food Chem*. 1998;46(5):1887–1892.
11. Jean-Marc B, Charles R, Benoît S, et al. The tannosome is an organelle forming condensed tannins in the chlorophyllous organs of Tracheophyta. *Annals of Botany*. 2013;112(6):1003–1014.
12. Shinya K, Keizo Y, Akira S. Identification of Molecular Markers Linked to the Trait of Natural Astringency Loss of Japanese Persimmon (*Diospyros kaki*) Fruit. *J Amer Soc Hort Sci*. 2001;126(1):51–55.
13. Balakumar S, Rajan S, Thirunalasundari T, et al. Antifungal activity of *Aegle marmelos* (L.) Correa (Rutaceae) leaf extract on dermatophytes. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed*. 2011;1(3):169–172.
14. Kala SMJ, Tresina PS, Mohan VR. Antitumour activity of *Eugenia floccosa* Bedd and *Eugenia singampattiana* Bedd leaves against Dalton ascites lymphoma in Swiss albino rats. *Int J PharmTech Res*. 2011;3:1796–1800.
15. Wadood A, Ghufran M, Jamal SB, et al. Phytochemical Analysis of Medicinal Plants Occurring in Local Area of Mardan. *Biochem Anal Biochem*. 2013;2:144.
16. Katie EF, Thorington RW. Squirrels: the animal answer guide. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, USA, 2006. p. 91.
17. McGee H. On food and cooking: the science and lore of the kitchen. Scribner, New York, USA, 2004. pp. 714.
18. Kite M, Thomson R. Conservation of leather and related materials. Butterworth-Heinemann, USA, 2006. p. 23.
19. Nobre-Junior HV, Maia FD, de Oliveira RA, et al. Neuroprotective Actions of Tannins from *Myracrodruon urundeuva* on 6-Hydroxydopamine-Induced Neuronal Cell Death. *Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants*. 2007;13(2):41–57.
20. Lü L, Liu SW, Jiang SB, et al. Tannin inhibits HIV-1 entry by targeting gp41. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. 2004;25(2):213–218.
21. Akiyama H, Fujii K, Yamasaki O, et al. Antibacterial action of several tannins against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2001;48(4):487–491.
22. Kolodziej H, Kiderlen AF. Antileishmanial activity and immune modulatory effects of tannins and related compounds on *Leishmania parasitised* RAW 264.7 cells. *Phytochemistry*. 2005;66(17):2056–2071.
23. Keay RWJ. *Trees of Nigeria. A revised version of Nigerian trees (1960, 1964)*. In: Keay RWJ, et al. editors. Clarendon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1989. pp. 476.
24. Oyebanji OO, Adeyemi SB, Agboola OO, et al. Taxonomy, ethnobotany and vegetation analysis of biodiversity in Dutse local government, Jigawa State, Nigeria. *FUW Trends in Science & Technology Journal*. 2017;2(2):679–683.
25. White F. The taxonomy, ecology and chorology of African Ebenaceae II. The non-Guineo-Congolian species of *Diospyros* (excluding sect. *Royena*). *Bulletin du Jardin Botanique National de Belgique*. 1988;58:325–448.
26. Burkill HM. The useful plants of West Tropical Africa. 2nd edn, Families E–I. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, United Kingdom, 1994. pp. 636.
27. Bolza E, Keating WG. African timbers: the properties, uses and characteristics of 700 species. Division of Building Research, CSIRO, Melbourne, Australia, 1972. pp. 710.
28. Osemeahon SA, Barminas JT. Development of amino resins for paint formulation. 11. Effect of temperature on new synthetic route. *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 2006;14:489–499.
29. Bate-Smith EC. Phytochemistry of proanthocyanidins. *Phytochemistry*. 1975;14(4):1107–1113.
30. Elgailani Isam EH, Ishak CY. Methods for Extraction and Characterization of Tannins from Some *Acacia* Species of Sudan. *Pak J Anal Environ Chem*. 2016;17(1):43–49.
31. Capetillo CM, Reyes, R Sandoval CA, et al. Relationship between polyphenolic and tannin content in the leaves when using different extracting agents. *Tropical and subtropical Agroecosystems*. 2003;3:581–584.
32. Cheong WJ, Park Moon-Hee, Kang Gyoung-Won, et al. Determination of Catechin Compounds In Korea Green Tea Infusions Under Various Extraction Conditions By High Performance Liquid Chromatography. *Bull Korea Chem Sec*. 2005;26(5):747–754.
33. Araujo ELC, Albuquerque UP. A simple and accurate procedure for determination of tannin and flavonoid levels and some applications in ethnobotany and ethnopharmacology. *Functional Ecosystems and Communities*. 2008;2(1):88–94.
34. Corrales M, Han JH, Tauscher B. Antimicrobial properties of grape seed extracts and their effectiveness after incorporation into pea starch films. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2009;44(2):425–433.
35. Cabral DLV, Peixoto Sobrinho TJS, Amorim ELC, et al. Relationship of biometric parameters on the concentration of tannins in two medicinal plants— case study. *Bolet'in Latinoamericano y del Caribe de Plantas Medicinales y Aromaticas*. 2010;9(5):368–376.
36. Kumar R, Singh M. Tannins, their adverse role in ruminant nutri-tion. *J Agric Food Chem*. 1984;32:447–453.
37. Torres J, Olivares S, De La Rosa D, et al. Removal of mercury(II) and methylmercury from solution by tannin adsorbents. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. 1999;240(1):361–365.
38. Bisanda ETN, Ogola WO, Tesha JV. Characterisation of tannin resin blends for particle board applications. *Cement and Concrete Composites*. 2003;25(6):593–598.
39. Li J, Maplesden F. Commercial production of tannins from radiata pine bark for wood adhesives. IPENZ Transactions. 1998.
40. Makkar HPS, Becker K. Do Tannins In Leaves of Trees And Shrubs From African And Himalayan Regions Differ In Level And Aactivity? *Argoforesy systems*. 1998;40(1):59–68.
41. Haslam E. Natural Polyphenol (Vegetable Tannins) as Drugs and Medicines: Possible Modes of Action. *J Nat prod*. 1996;59(2):205–215.