Fe-facilitated dynamic active site generation on spinel CoAl₂O₄ with

self-termination of surface reconstruction for water oxidation

Tianze Wu^{1,2,Δ}, Shengnan Sun^{1,2,Δ}, Jiajia Song^{1,Δ}, Shibo Xi³, Yonghua Du³, Bo Chen¹, Wardhana Aji Sasangka⁴, Hanbin Liao^{1,5}, Chee Lip Gan^{1,4}, Günther G. Scherer^{6,7}, Lin Zeng⁸, Haijiang Wang⁸, Hui Li⁹, Alexis Grimaud^{10,11*} and Zhichuan J. Xu^{1,2,12*}

¹School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, 639798, Singapore;

²Solar Fuels Laboratory and Energy Research Institute, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, 639798, Singapore;

³Institute of Chemical and Engineering Sciences A*STAR, 1 Pesek Road, 627833, Singapore; ⁴Low Energy Electronic Systems, Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology, Singapore 138602, Singapore;

⁵Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute (NEWRI), Interdisciplinary Graduate School (IGS), Nanyang Technological University, 1 Cleantech Loop, CleanTech One, 637141, Singapore;

⁶Department for Management of Science and Technology Development, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam;

⁷Faculty of Applied Sciences, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam;

⁸Department of Mechanical and Energy Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, Guangdong, China;

⁹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, Guangdong, China;

¹⁰Chimie du Solide et de l'Energie, UMR 8260, Collège de France, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France;

¹¹Réseau sur le Stockage Electrochimique de l'Energie (RS2E), CNRS FR 3459,33 rue Saint Leu, 80039, Amiens Cedex, France;

¹²Energy Research Institute @ Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore. ^ΔThese authors contribute equally to this work.

*e-mail: <u>xuzc@ntu.edu.sg;</u> <u>alexis.grimaud@college-de-france.fr</u>.

Abstract

The development of efficient and low-cost electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reaction is critical for improving the water electrolysis efficiency. Here we report a strategy using Fe substitution to enable the inactive spinel CoAl₂O₄ to become highly active and superior to the benchmark IrO₂. The Fe substitution is revealed to facilitate the surface reconstruction into active Co oxyhydroxides under OER conditions. It also activates the deprotonation on the reconstructed oxyhydroxide to induce negatively charged oxygen as active site, thus significantly enhancing the OER activity of CoAl₂O₄. Furthermore, it promotes the pre-oxidation of Co and introduces great structural flexibility due to the uplift of the O 2p levels. This results in an accumulation of surface oxygen vacancy along with lattice oxygen oxidation that terminates as Al³⁺ leaches, preventing further reconstruction. We showcase a promising way to achieve tunable electrochemical reconstruction by optimizing the electronic structure for low-cost and robust spinel oxide OER catalysts.

Hydrogen has been proposed for long as an energy carrier for a sustainable and clean energy infrastructure. However, such a hydrogen energy infrastructure has not been realized yet even so many years have passed since its first proposal. One of the major reasons is the low efficiency and high material cost of water electrolysis, which is a mean to sustainably produce hydrogen fuel from water using the electrical energy generated by sustainable resources like solar panels.¹ The low energy efficiency of water electrolysis is mainly caused by the sluggish reaction kinetics at the anode,² where water is oxidized and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) occurs. The benchmark anode electrocatalysts are noble metal-based oxides, such as IrO2 and RuO2,³⁻⁴ which, however, aggravates the cost problem for water electrolysis. In recent years, great efforts have been made to explore first-row 3d transition metal oxides as low-cost alternatives for OER.^{1, 5} One important progress is that the active sites are oxyhydroxides generated under operando condition.⁶⁻¹⁰ Particularly, many Co-based oxides have been reported to undergo surface self-reconstruction of Co sites to Co (III) oxyhydroxides with the di-µ-oxo bridged Co-Co sites¹¹⁻¹², offering a higher activity⁶, ⁹. However, how to properly facilitate the surface reconstruction is still elusive in literature. Furthermore, such surface reconstruction should be controlled in order to avoid to compromise all the bulk of the oxide catalyst, which serves as a template for the creation of the highly active surface. Thus, it is highly desired to develop strategies for activating and terminating the surface reconstruction.

Here, we report an approach to promote the surface reconstruction on inactive but low-cost $CoAl_2O_4$ (see Supplementary Note 1 for more about $CoAl_2O_4$) and to boost its OER performance by substituting Al with a small amount of Fe. The partially substituted $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ outperforms IrO_2 . We demonstrate here that a low level of Fe substitution is able to facilitate the surface reconstruction of CoAl₂O₄ by activating the pre-oxidation of Co and optimizing the O 2p level of oxide for greater structural flexibility. More importantly, a distinctive reconstruction behavior with self-termination has been revealed on CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, which enables a stable surface chemistry. In addition, we suggest that, on the reconstructed surface, the Fe substitution facilitates a two-step deprotonation process, which leads to the formation of active oxygen sites at a low overpotential and thus greatly promotes the OER. Finally, the electrolysis application of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ as OER catalyst was demonstrated in membrane electrode assembly (MEA) configuration.

Results

Crystal structure characterization

The CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0-2.0) oxides were synthesized by a sol-gel method. The crystal structures of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ oxides were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). As displayed in Fig. 1a, the diffraction peaks of the as-prepared CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe₂O₄ match well with that of the standard cubic spinel (Fd-3m) oxides. CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ oxides with different Fe substitution amount remain in cubic spinel structure. Besides, the diffraction peak exhibits certain shift to lower angle with the increase of Fe substitution amount in the range of 0.25<x<2 (Supplementary Fig. 1). Such peak shift could be ascribed to changes in lattice parameters induced by different ionic radius of Fe and Al cations and suggests a solid solution property. However, when the Co local atomic structure was investigated by EXAFS (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 2), certain isobestic points, such as at 4.5 Å⁻¹, are remarkable, implying a complex property with Co in different components.¹³ As further observed in Fourier transform (FT) Co K-edge EXAFS (Fig. 1c), two separated peaks, i.e. Peak II and Peak III, at 2.4~3.1 Å are assigned to the features of Coo_h (in octahedral site) and Co_{Td}

(in tetrahedral site). At x \leq 0.25, no obvious changes are observed for peak II and peak III compared to pristine CoAl₂O₄, indicating that low-level Fe substitution does not change the occupation of Co. The obvious uplift of these two peaks starts from x=0.5, which could be attributed to the segregation of a component that comprises more octahedrally coordinated Co. This segregated component is inferred as CoFe₂O₄ which is in inversed spinel structure where Co occupy the octahedral site.¹⁴ Such inference is further evidenced by a Co K-edge XANES linear combination fitting (LCF) with CoAl₂O₄, CoFe₂O₄ and CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ as standard (Supplementary Fig. 3). The fitting delivers an extremely low R factor, indicating that Co is primarily in CoAl₂O₄, CoFe₂O₄ and CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ components throughout the oxides.

In addition to the complex behavior of Fe-containing components throughout the oxides, the Fe substitution in $CoAl_2O_4$ also alters the local atomic structure of Co. As observed in Co K-edge EXAFS (Fig. 1c), the first-shell peak at ~1.5 Å, representative of the metal-oxygen bond, is weakened by Fe substitution, suggesting lower metal-oxygen coordination number and more oxygen vacancy¹⁵. Specifically, the EXAFS fitting result (Supplementary Table 1) of the first-shell peak for $CoFe_xAl_{2x}O_4$ and $CoAl_2O_4$ reveals that Fe substitution reduced the average coordination number by ~0.4 at maximum. Such effect, indicating an increase in oxygen vacancy, is consistent with a decrease in cobalt valence state after Fe substitution which is evidenced by the shift to lower energy of the Co K-edge XANES (Fig. 1d). The nominal Co valence states (Supplementary Table 2) are indicated by the K-edge positions when compared to references $CoAl_2O_4$ and Co_3O_4 (see details in SI). While Fe³⁺ is more electronegative than Al^{3+} , after Fe substitution, the K-edge energies of Co slightly shift to lower absorption energy compared to $CoAl_2O_4$, indicating a decrease in Co valence state. This counterintuitive phenomenon could be rationalized by the creation of oxygen vacancies,⁵ which is also supported by theoretical calculation (Supplementary Table 3). Indeed, the Fe substitution in $CoAl_2O_4$ was



found to reduce the formation enthalpy of oxygen vacancy, which indicates easier formation for oxygen

vacancy.

Fig. 1 | Structural characterizations and OER performances of as-prepared CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ catalysts. a, The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of as synthesized CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0.0~2.0) oxides. **b**, The Co K-edge EXAFS spectra of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0.1~2.0). c, The Fourier transform (FT) $k3\chi(R)$ Co K-edge EXAFS of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x= $0.1 \sim 2.0$). The inset is schematics of the compositions in the CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ oxide. **d**, The normalized Co K-edge XANES spectra of $CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O_4$ samples and the standard Co_3O_4 (Sigma Aldrich) as the references. The K-edge position is determined by an integral method¹⁶ as described in Methods, and the details about edge positions and nominal valence state of Co and Fe are shown in Supplementary Table 2. e, The cyclic voltammetry curves of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.25, and 2) in O₂ saturated 1 M KOH with a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The inset is the corresponding Tafel plots after oxide surface area normalization, capacitance correction, and iR correction. The error bars represent the standard deviation from three independent measurements. The grey dashed line shows the best IrO₂ OER performance reported to date.⁴ f, The OER current densities (left axis) of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ at 1.55 V vs. RHE. The composition ratio of $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ component (right axis) in $CoFe_xAl_2 xO_4$ oxides is plotted to show its correlation with the OER activity. g, The cyclic voltammetry curves of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x = $0 \sim 2$) in O₂ saturated 1 M KOH with a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ between 0.8 and 1.5 V vs RHE. The upper limit of the potential window is capped to show the pseudocapacitive behavior preceding to OER.

OER activity

The spinel CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ oxides were then measured for their electrocatalytic OER performances under alkaline condition (see details in Methods). Supplementary Fig. 4 shows their steady OER cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves. The current density was normalized to the surface area of oxides to present the intrinsic activity, with the surface area of oxides being determined by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) measurements (Supplementary Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 4). Ohmic drop iR correction was applied to compensate the potential loss from the resistance of the electrolyte solution. As observed in Supplementary Fig. 4, among these spinel oxides, CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ is the best-performing one. Comparable OER performance is also observed for low-level Fe substituted oxides at x=0.1 and 0.5. In contrast, when further increasing the Fe substitution, significant drop in activity is found as x exceeds 0.5 in CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄. Fig. 1e shows the selected OER CV curves for x=0, 0.25, and 2. Much better OER activity is found for x=0.25 than for x=0 and x=2. As seen in the Tafel plots given in the inset of Fig. 1e, the OER overpotential for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ (at 10 µA cm⁻²_{oxide}) is approximately 70 mV lower than that for CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe₂O₄ (Supplementary Fig. 6). Such activity contrast indicates a special role of Fe in oxides at the low substitution level. In addition, IrO₂ previously benchmarked is also shown in the Tafel plot for comparison.⁴ As observed, the electrocatalytic activity of the best-performing CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ outperforms the activity of the benchmark IrO₂ catalyst. Long-term stability tests (Supplementary Fig. 7) of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.25 and 2) oxides were carried out at constant current density of 10 µA cm_{ox}⁻² in 1 M KOH for 10 h. All measured samples exhibit negligible potential loss, which indicates a good stability for these spinel catalysts under OER conditions. The best-performing catalyst, CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, was further carried Chronopotentiometry test for 48 hours under 20 µA cm_{ox}⁻²(~3.5 mA cm_{disk}⁻²). As shown in the Supplementary Fig. 8 a, b, the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ still shows negligible activity change after 48-hour test, which demonstrates a superior stability of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ in alkaline for OER.

Composition effect on OER

We then investigate the OER promotion at low substitution level and the detrimental effect at higher substitution level. Firstly, the $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ component may primarily contribute to the OER activity according to the observed composition dependence. We then carried out LCF for the Co K-edge XANES using CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ as standard in order to study the composition throughout the substituted oxides (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 5). As found by the LCF (Fig. 1f, green line), the composition ratio of the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ component is abundant at low substitution level such as x=0.1 and 0.25 but become negligible as $x \ge 1$. This trend correlates well with the OER activities of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ oxides (Fig. 1f, purple line). Thus, it suggests that the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ component is primarily responsible for the significant OER enhancement. Besides, the consistent trend is also observed for the pseudocapacitive charge in CV before OER region (Supplementary Fig. 9) which is quantified from CVs (Fig. 1g) by previously reported approaches.¹⁷⁻¹⁸ The pseudocapacitive charge is particularly large at low substitution level but significantly decrease at high Fe substitution ($x \ge 1$). The pseudocapacitive charge indicates the redox of surface active sites,¹⁸ and its consistent trend with OER activities throughout the oxides suggests that the amount of active sites is a dominating factor for OER activity in our case. Thus, the strong correlation between OER activity and the composition ratio should be ascribed to the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ component, which may govern the formation of active sites.

Active site identification

Attention was then paid on the dynamic changes at metal sites during the electrochemical process. Note that there is nearly no change in the valency of Co and Fe in $CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O_4$ (Fig.1d, Supplementary Fig. 10, and Supplementary Table 2) and the local atomic structure of Fe site in $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ remains unchanged under

OER (Supplementary Fig. 11). The pre-oxidation of Co (II) in CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ during the potential sweeps is proposed to play a critical role in evolving active species (the preclusion of the pre-oxidation of Fe (III) to evolve active species is shown in SI). Earlier studies have revealed the importance of pre-oxidation of Co (II) in oxides for OER, where Co (II) is inclined to be oxidized to Co (III) or higher oxidation state, which is believed to be a critical step to generate active oxyhydroxide sites for OER.¹⁹⁻²⁰ To study the pre-oxidation of Co (II) in CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, their cyclic voltammetries (1st and 2nd cycles) are investigated (Fig. 2a). For both CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, the 1st cycle display a larger pseudocapacitive charge than 2nd cycle and the CV profiles exhibit negligible changes during subsequent cycles (Supplementary Fig. 12). Such electrochemical behaviors suggest that the surface of catalysts might undergo an irreversible surface reconstruction into, as reported, the oxyhydroxide¹⁰, evolving a stable catalytic surface for OER. In addition, we found that the oxyhydroxide formation here displays different pseudocapacitive behaviors depending on the presence or not of Fe. To be specific, the anodic peak in 1st cycle appears at ~1.32 V for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, while a much more anodic one is observed for $CoAl_2O_4$ (~1.41 V), suggesting a promoting effect of Fe on the pre-oxidation of Co (II) and facilitating the subsequent formation of Co oxyhydroxide. For CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, significant differences are observed between the 1st cycle and 2nd cycle in oxidation peak as well as the pseudocapacitive charge, indicating a change in surface chemistry, while no such marked contrast was observed for $CoAl_2O_4$. Thus, a more thorough reconstruction may happen on the surface of $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$. According to the aforementioned pseudocapacitive behaviors, such reconstruction should be ascribed to the presence of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ component. Then, as observed in the OER region, the overpotential for triggering the OER by CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ is greatly reduced at 2nd cycle while almost no such difference is detected between 1st and 2nd cycle for CoAl₂O₄, indicating that the reconstruction process in the presence of Fe is a critical step for OER. In the 2nd and subsequent cycles, reversible redox peaks are observed for CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ in pseudocapacitive range, suggesting reversible redox reactions on the reconstructed surfaces. For $CoAl_2O_4$, the major anodic peak appears at ~1.38 V, which could be assigned to Co (III) / Co (IV) transition as suggested in previous literature²¹. However, the Co (III) / Co (IV) transition cannot be rationalized without an earlier notable Co (II) / Co (III) anodic features (should appear at ~1.2 V vs RHE). Besides, its high intensity also contradicts the fact that only a small portion of Co cation in Co-based oxides could be reached and oxidized into Co (IV)^{20, 22}. Considering that the surface has reconstructed during the 1st cycle, we believe that this anodic peak at ~ 1.38 V should be primarily attributed to the delayed Co (II) / Co (III) transition. Since the anodic process on oxyhydroxide surface can be viewed as deprotonation process with oxidation of metal cation,¹² the delayed Co (II) oxidation for CoAl₂O₄ suggests a difficult deprotonation process. For CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, an obvious anodic wave is observed at ~ 1.2 V which is assigned to Co (II) / Co (III) transition.^{9,21} This observation suggests an easier deprotonation process and activated Co (II) oxidation due to the Fe substitution. Such Co (II) / Co (III) redox is followed by a double layer charging response, which may result from the large surface area of the reconstructed surface and suggests a diffusion of a distribution of protons on the surface²³. After such anodic redox of Co species, CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ exhibit a much lower overpotential required for triggering OER than that for CoAl₂O₄ (~70 mV lower as indicated in Fig. 1e). Thus, it is clear that in the presence of Fe, highly active oxyhydroxides would be induced along with the reconstruction of oxide surface. Therefore, the Fe substitution is inferred to activate the Co pre-oxidation at low potential, facilitating both the surface reconstruction and the subsequent evolution of surface active sites. Such inference is further substantiated by in-situ XANES and the active species as identified by in-situ EXAFs.



Fig. 2 | *in-situ* investigation on pre-OER behaviors of catalysts and schematic illustration of surface reconstruction and deprotonation process. a, The pseudocapacitive behavior in the 1st and 2nd cycles of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and CoAl₂O₄ during the cyclic voltammetry cycling. **b**,**c**, The normalized in-situ Co K-edge XANES (left) under the different potentials of 1.05, 1.20, 1.42, and 1.52 V (vs. RHE) with Co₃O₄ (Sigma Aldrich) as the references, as well as the in-situ Fourier transform (FT) $k3\chi(R)$ Co K-edge EXAFs (right) under open circuit (OC) and 1.5 V (vs. RHE): (b) CoAl₂O₄ and (c) CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄. The peak I, II, and III in FT-EXAFS are assigned to M-O, M_{Oh}-M_{Oh}, and M_{Oh}-M_{Td} radial distance, respectively. d, The Co L-edge EELS spectra along with the line pathway as shown in e, scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ after 100 cycles. The mark points denote the scanning distance along with the path way (nm): 0, 10.2, 20.3, 26.4, 30.1, 36.6, 42.7 and 48.3. f, The elemental ratio of O, Co, Fe (left axis) and the white-line ratio of Co L-edge (right axis) along with the line pathway. The white-line ratio is determined by the intensity of L3 and L2 peaks in EELS spectra.²⁴ g,h, The HRTEM images to show the surface regions for (g) as-prepared CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄; (h) CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ after 2 cycles i, The reconstruction process from spinel CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ into oxyhydroxide with activated negatively charged oxygen ligand. j, The *in-situ* Co oxidation state of $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ and $CoAl_2O_4$ (i.e. x=0) under the different potentials of 1.05, 1.20, 1.42, and 1.52 V (vs. RHE). k,l, The proposed deprotonation mechanism before OER are shown for (k) CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and (I) CoAl₂O₄.

In Fig. 2b and 2c (right diagram), the in-situ Co K-edge Fourier transform (FT) EXAFS spectra without and

with an applied potential of 1.5 V (vs RHE) are shown for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and CoAl₂O₄ (x=0). At open circuit, the FT-EXAFS profiles for $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ and $CoAl_2O_4$ (x=0) are quite similar. The Peak I at ~1.5 Å is referred to an average metal-oxygen bond length. Two separated peaks, i.e. Peak II and Peak III at 2.4 Å and 3.1 Å, respectively, are assigned to the radial distance of Co_{Oh} (Co in octahedral site) and Co_{Td} (Co in tetrahedral site) to their neighboring metal atoms. Because the Co fraction does not change in CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ with the Fe substitution, the ratio of Peak II to Peak III represents the composition ratio of Co_{Oh} and Co_{Td} in these oxides. Compared with the profiles collected at open circuit, the Peak II of Co K-edge in both CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and CoAl₂O₄ increase with the applied potential of 1.5 V. Such increase of the Peak II indicates an accumulation of Co atoms in edge-sharing octahedral coordination⁸, which is attributed to the formation of Co oxyhydroxide which is in edge-sharing CoO₆ octahedral structure.²⁰ Notably, a much higher ratio of Peak II / Peak III is observed for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ as compared to that for CoAl₂O₄, suggesting a consistent conclusion as our observation in 1st cycle of CV that the reconstruction into oxyhydroxide is more thorough for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄. Such reconstruction of Co on the surface for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ is also evidenced by STEM-EELS (Fig. 2d and 2e) and HRTEM (Fig. 2g and 2h) at the reconstructed surface. Under STEM-EELS, a notable increase of elemental ratio of Co (Co%) at the near surface of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ particles is detected (Supplementary Fig. 13 and Fig. 2f), which would be a result of Co oxyhydroxide formation on surface. The white-line ratio for Co L edge (Fig. 2f) decreases and indicates increased oxidation state²⁵, which could be an effect of irreversible of electrochemical oxidation of Co (II) to form Co (III) oxyhydroxide during the 1st cycle. Under HRTEM, the generated oxyhydroxide can be observed on the reconstructed surface of oxide. Thus, the surface chemistry of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ is changed by reconstruction from oxide into oxyhydroxide (Fig. 2i). As reported that Co oxyhydroxides evolved as the active species for many Co-based oxide catalysts,^{7,9-10,19} we thus believe that the reconstruction facilitated by Fe substitution is

the most critical step for evolving active surface oxyhydroxide.

In the cases of active oxyhydroxides, some studies proposed a so-called active oxygen species,²⁶⁻²⁸ which is created during the deprotonation (anodic sweep) step, as the ultimate active site. Thus, considering the observed alternation of anodic peak by Fe substitution in 2nd CV cycle, the dynamic valence state of Co during the anodic sweep was then examined by in-situ XANES. Fig. 2b and 2c (left diagram) display the Co K-edge XANES of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and CoAl₂O₄ (x=0) oxides recorded at 1.05, 1.20, 1.42, and 1.52 V (vs. RHE), respectively. The K-edges in XANES of both oxides shift to higher energy, indicating the oxidation of Co. The corresponding nominal valence states of Co in CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and CoAl₂O₄ under each applied potential are plotted in Fig. 2j. The valency increment in the pseudocapacitive region could be primarily observed in region I (1.05 - 1.20 V) and region II (1.20 - 1.42 V), and the Co behavior in pre-OER stage can be found in region III (1.42 - 1.52 V). The increase of Co valency could be viewed as deprotonation process on the reconstructed surface of catalysts. As observed, in pseudocapacitive range (region I and region II), the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ exhibits an increase of valency in both region I and region II. Whereas, the valency for CoAl₂O₄ increases only in region I, suggesting a limited deprotonation process. Its next deprotonation process is only observed at higher potential in the region III. Thus, the Fe substitution is likely to facilitate the deprotonation process at low potential to form active oxygen species on the surface, which accounts for the OER activity enhancement. Here, to illustrate the role of Fe in deprotonation process, we propose two proton/electron transfer processes for evolving active oxygen sites on $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ surface. As shown in the Fig. 2k, the first deprotonation process on CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ should start at the bridged OH linked to both Co and Fe center, which is responsible for the valency increment of Co (II) cation, and such process on bridged OH could be facilitated by its neighboring Fe³⁺ center. The first deprotonation process is followed by another deprotonation process at terminal OH linked to Co or Fe center. Similar deprotonation process was also reported for NiFe_xOOH²⁷. Unlike NiFe_xOOH where the Fe substitution anodically shifts the Ni oxidation peak^{12, 27}, an opposite behavior was observed here for Fe substituted $CoAl_2O_4$. The suppressing effect of Fe on Ni oxidation was explained by the kinetics barrier for the deprotonation of terminal OH linked to Fe center.¹² Thus, on the basis of the activated Co oxidation in our study, we believe that the second deprotonation process should be at the terminal OH linked to Co center, and the activated Co oxidation is ascribed to the reduced kinetic barrier for the proton abstraction at Co site. The second process with one proton abstraction is not compensated by the metal oxidation but rather by negatively charged oxygen ligand (O*) that serves as active site. However, for CoAl₂O₄, during the corresponding pseudocapacitive range, it merely undergoes deprotonation process on bridged OH (Fig. 21). The following deprotonation for CoAl₂O₄ on the terminal OH is greatly delayed and OER does not occur till the second deprotonation happens, suggesting this process as a prerequisite for OER. Thus, the critical role of Fe is to facilitates the deprotonation process to generate active oxygen site at lower potential on CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, thereby leading to a lower overpotential for OER. It should be noted that the redox reaction on the reconstructed oxyhydroxide surface would be greatly affected by the reconstruction process in the 1st cycle. As also observed in our pH dependence measurement (Fig. 3a), the redox peak is greatly altered, not simply shifted, by changing the pH of electrolyte. Especially, the redox peak is even muted at pH \leq 13. Clearly, such alternation is led by a pH-sensitive surface reconstruction, which results in forming surface oxyhydroxide in different state with varied pH. Such pH-sensitive surface reconstruction suggests that it may include certain decoupled proton/electron transfer process such as lattice oxygen oxidation²⁹ which is further demonstrated in the following section.



Fig. 3 | Electronic interpretation of the effect of Fe substitution on surface reconstruction. a, Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0.0, 0.25 and 2.0) scanned in O₂-saturated KOH (pH= 12.5~14) at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. b, The computational models of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄: (top) x=0, (middle) x=0.25, (Bottom) x=2. c, The computed Co 3d, O 2p density of state (PDOS) of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.25 and 2.0). d, The OER overpotential at 10 μ A cm⁻²_{oxide} and the O 2p band center relative to Fermi level (-O 2p) for CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0.0, 0.25 and 2.0). e, The schematic band diagrams of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.25 and 2.0). The Co 3d-band in the diagram represents the highest occupied state and the lowest unoccupied state. f, The schematic diagram of a surface reconstruction mechanism for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄.

Interpretation of O 2p

As aforementioned, the active oxygen site is generated by the deprotonation on the oxyhydroxide surface and the reconstruction for forming surface oxyhydroxide serves as the prerequisite for efficient OER catalysis. We have also mentioned that the Fe-facilitating reconstruction is dominated by the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ component and may involve certain decoupled proton/electron transfer process. The inner driving force for the reconstruction is further studied by density functional theory (DFT) calculation. The electronic density of state (DOS) calculation is adopted to examine the alternation in electronic structure of oxides by Fe substitution. The computational models for CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.25, and 2) are shown in Fig. 3b (see modelling and calculation details in SI). The projected density of state (pDOS) of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.25, and 2) oxides and their band center energies are given in Fig. 3c (see more details in Supplementary Table 6). As found for band center energies, the Fe substitution in CoAl₂O₄ uplifts the O 2p-band center in energy closer to the Fermi level. However, as Al³⁺ is fully replaced by Fe³⁺ to form CoFe₂O₄, it becomes an inverse spinel structure and the O 2p-band center greatly moves down in energy. The PDOS results reveal that Fe substitution could either uplift or downshift the O 2p level depending on the substitution level. Moreover, the position of O 2p-band center relative to Fermi level shows consistent trend with the OER activity of CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ oxides (x=0, 0.25 and 2) (Fig. 3d). It is also noteworthy that the OER activity of $CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O_4$ oxides is greatly affected by their reconstruction process under OER conditions. Thus, the O 2p level is likely an influential factor to the surface reconstruction. Earlier studies have revealed that the O 2p-band level relative to the Fermi level was always associated with some activity-related structural parameters for perovskite oxides.³⁰⁻³³ For example, a linear relationship was established between the O 2p-band level and the oxygen vacancy (Vo") formation energy in some perovskite oxides, in which the low Vo" formation energy could be predicted by high O 2p level close to Fermi level.³⁰⁻³¹ Given that spinel structure contains octahedral MO₆ unit as well as that of perovskite oxides,^{5,} 34 the uplifted O-2p band center by Fe substitution should also facilitate the V₀^{••} formation in spinel oxides. Consistent results have been revealed above in the structural analysis of substituted oxides where Fe substitution lowers the metal-oxygen coordination number and decrease the Co valence state, suggesting an

increased oxygen vacancy concentration. In addition to the influential role of O 2p level on bulk Vo" formation, it is also governing the lattice oxygen oxidation mechanism for oxides.^{29, 35-36} With the uplifted O 2p center closer to Fermi level, the oxygen character in the antibonding state below Fermi level becomes more dominant (Fig. 3e). As an anodic potential is applied, the Fermi level shifts deeper into O 2p state and the holes in oxygen state are created as the O2/H2O redox potential is aligned with the O 2p state energy in the oxide, which leads to the oxidation of lattice oxygen.^{29, 37} Thus, credited to the uplifted O 2p level, the oxidation of lattice oxygen in CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ should be more favorable than that in CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe₂O₄. Note that the lattice oxygen mediated OER mechanism should not dominate the OER here. This is also confirmed by a cycling test of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ oxides over 100 cycles (shown in Supplementary Fig. 12). The lattice oxygen-mediated OER is always featured with unstable oxide due to cation leaching on catalysts and thus leading to activity increase with cycling.³⁶ For example, Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{3-δ} (BSCF) as well-identified oxygen active-perovskite catalysts exhibit ~4-fold current increase over 50 cycles.³⁸ In contrast, the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ did not exhibit marked OER current variation during CV cycling, suggesting that the reconstructed surface is stable and the involvement of lattice oxygen is not notable during the OER catalysis. This is further evidenced by the electrochemical study on active surface area and HRTEM (Fig. 2g, 2h and Supplementary Fig. 14) of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ after reconstruction and 100 cycles. The reconstruction in 1st cycle is found merely at limited depth (~5 nm) on the surface of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and the reconstructed surface keeps quite stable during subsequent cycling. Please also see detailed discussion in Supplementary Note 2. Thus, the stable surface chemistry after reconstruction excludes the involvement of lattice oxygen in OER catalysis on the reconstructed surface, and also keeps the accuracy of the estimation of the specific activity normalized to BET surface area. However, we believe that the surface reconstruction should start with the lattice oxygen oxidation, which results in the aforementioned pH-sensitive reconstruction (Fig. 3a). According to early report, high Vo" (oxygen vacancies) concentration (especially Vo" on the surface) would grant structural flexibility for surface reconstruction on oxides.⁶ As a further step, even though the induced V₀. grants certain structural flexibility in oxides, these Vo" are actually stabilized in the bulk crystal. In another word, such flexibility has to be triggered by additional perturbation such as the lattice oxygen oxidation. This is also evidenced by our theoretical study and XANES result of CoFe₂O₄, where CoFe₂O₄ exhibits the lowest enthalpy for oxygen vacancy formation (Supplementary Table 3) and experimentally possessing the highest oxygen vacancy concentration (Supplementary Table 2) compared to CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄. However, neither an obvious reconstruction-related current response in the first cycle nor a redox peak subjected to oxyhydroxide during the second cycle can be observed for CoFe₂O₄ in CV (Supplementary Fig. 15). Besides, compared to CoAl₂O₄ and CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄, CoFe₂O₄ shows the weakest pH-dependent OER performance (Fig. 3a) and the lowest O 2p band center. Thus, we believe that the lattice oxygen oxidation at the pristine surface to creating more surface Vo" should be a critical trigger for the surface reconstruction. This is further evidenced by the elemental ratio on the surface of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ after 100 cycles obtained by STEM-EELS (Fig. 2f). The O% greatly decreases along with notable Co enrichment on the reconstructed surface, which implies the critical role of creating surface oxygen vacancy on triggering Co reconstruction. Thus, it is believed that, along with the lattice oxygen oxidation,²⁹ a great structural instability emerges as the oxygen vacancies further accumulate on the oxide surface and induce the surface reconstruction (Fig. 3f) into oxyhydroxides that is more stable in alkaline.³⁹⁻⁴⁰ As a result, such dynamic instability in electrochemical process can be electronically indicated by the O 2p level.



Fig. 4 | Reconstruction terminating mechanism with Al^{3+} leaching. a, ICP-MS test of the electrolyte for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ cycling under operation time of 0~1000s (in 1 M KOH under 20 µA cm_{ox}⁻²). The dissolubility of Al in terms of $Al (OH)_4^-$ is far beyond the concentration of Al^{3+} in our tested electrolytes. b, The schematic of Al^{3+} leaching along with surface reconstruction of spinel oxide. c, The computational model for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ after Al³⁺ leaching. The spinel structure beneath reconstructed surface was confirmed under HRTEM (Supplementary Fig. 14b). d, The schematic band diagrams of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ with and without Al³⁺ vacancy. e, The schematic of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ that terminates its surface reconstruction due to the termination of lattice oxygen oxidation.

Also importantly, while many reconstructable catalysts like Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{3.6} exhibit unstable surface chemistry and become notably amorphous after cycling³⁸, CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ is distinguished for its stable surface chemistry after reconstruction as discussed above. The reconstruction triggered by lattice oxygen oxidation was terminated after 1st cycle and the reconstructed surface is highly active and stable in the subsequent cycles. To investigate the mechanism of such reconstruction termination, we carried ICP test on the electrolyte used for CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ cycling. It was found that the leaching of Al cations was notable while Co and Fe cations both exhibited negligible leaching (Supplementary Table 7). Besides, observed under operando timeline, the Al leaching was found quickly finished as the OER happened, and no notable Al

leaching is found thereafter (Fig. 4a), which is consistent with the reconstruction process observed in CV. Hence, we believe that the leaching of Al is closely associated with the reconstruction process. As Al leached with the reconstruction at the very beginning, such leaching would alter the local electronic structures of oxide to prevent further reconstruction (Fig. 4b). We further employed DFT to study the local electronic structure for the lattice with Al vacancy (Fig. 4c). As illustrated in Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 16, the O 2p level decreases in energy as Al vacancy is introduced in the lattice. As a result, the lattice oxygen oxidation would be terminated as O 2p level is low in energy, and the reconstruction thus stops accordingly as no more oxygen vacancies created (Fig. 4e). Such termination mechanism makes $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4 a$ discernable catalyst which shows a stable surface chemistry after reconstruction and is capable to carry efficient and stable OER catalysis on reconstructed surface.



Fig. 5 | Competitive potential in electrolyzers application. a, Mass activity of $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$, $Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{3-\delta}$ (BSCF)¹, $Pr_{0.5}Ba_{0.5}CoO_{3-\delta}$ (PBCO)³³ and IrO₂ nanoparticles (NPs)⁴. b, The material mass and cost for delivering a current of 10 A at overpotential of 0.3 V by $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$, $Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_3$ (BSCF)¹, $(Pr_{0.5}Ba_{0.5})CoO_{3-\delta}$ (PBCO)³³, $(Sm_{0.5}Ba_{0.5})$ CoO_{3- δ} (SBCO)³³, $(Gd_{0.5}Ba_{0.5})$ CoO_{3- δ} (GBCO)³³, $(Ho_{0.5}Ba_{0.5})CoO_{3-\delta}$ (HBCO)³³, IrO2 nanoparticles (NPs)⁴, IrO₂ (bulk, Premetek Co.)⁴¹ and RuO₂⁴². The cost is evaluated by the cost of metal elements in oxides. c, The polarization curves of the electrolyzer with CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ (1 mg cm⁻²) and IrO₂ (Premetek Co., 1 mg cm⁻²) as anode catalyst and Pt/C (TKK 47.1 wt% Pt, 1 mg cm⁻²) as cathode catalyst. Inset is the polarization curves of CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ and IrO₂ in mass current density. Cell temperature was maintained at 60 °C. The experimental details are shown in Methods.

With all advantages discussed above, $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ exhibits competitive potential in alkaline electrolyzer applications. Its mass activity (Fig. 5a) outperforms IrO_2 and the benchmarked transitional metal oxides (e.g. $Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{3-\delta}^{-1}$ and $Pr_{0.5}Ba_{0.5}CoO_{3-\delta}^{-33}$). Its cost for given performance is lower than that of noble

metal oxides and other reported transition metal perovskites by orders of magnitude (Fig 5b). $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ was further examined in a homemade membrane electrode assembly (MEA) electrolysis cell with an anion exchange membrane as the solid electrolyte (Supplementary Fig. 17). The $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ exhibited a notable higher mass efficiency as well as higher areal activity than IrO_2 (Fig. 5c). Its performance in MEA is also better than that reported for $Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{3-\delta}$ under similar condition (Supplementary Fig. 18).⁶

Conclusion

In summary, we show a promoted surface reconstruction on $CoAl_2O_4$ by Fe substitution. $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ is proven to be a critical component in the $CoFe_xAl_{2,x}O_4$ (x=0.0~2.0) series as it undergoes surface reconstruction. The surface reconstruction has been investigated by HRTEM, EELS, and XAFS. Evidenced by operando XAFS, Fe activates two deprotonation processes in reconstructed oxyhydroxides, leading to the formation of active oxygen species at a low overpotential. We interpret that the uplift of O 2p level by Fe substitution facilitates the creation of surface oxygen vacancies (V_0 .") along with the lattice oxygen oxidation under OER condition and grants a greater structural flexibility for reconstruction. The O 2p moves down as Al^{3+} leaches at the beginning of reconstruction and further terminates the surface reconstruction for a stable surface chemistry. Under this strategy, $CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O_4$ exhibits outstanding intrinsic activity, mass efficiency, and stability toward OER. Its high performance has been also demonstrated in MEA configuration. On the basis of this work, more alternative strategies would be explored to tune the active sites formation by adjusting its dynamic reconstruction to develop robust and low-cost OER catalysts.

Methods

Materials synthesis and characterization. CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2) powders were prepared by the sol-gel method using citric acid as a chelating agent and urea as combustion agent. Firstly, cobalt acetate (Co(OAc)₂·4H₂O), Iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃ · 9H₂O), aluminum nitrate (Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O) in specific molar ratio were dissolved in diluted nitric acid, followed by the addition of citric acid and urea. The mixture was stirred and heated up at 80-100 °C to generate highly viscous gel. Then, the gel was then transferred to an oven to decompose and dry in the air at 170 °C for 12 hours. Finally, followed by the calcination at 400 °C for 6 hours, the spinel CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2) oxides were obtained. The High resolution TEM (HRTEM) and STEM-EELS were taken on a JEOL JEM- 2100F microscope at 200KV. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of

bulk CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ were recorded on Bruker D8 diffractometer at a scanning rate of 2° min⁻¹, using Cu-K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). The BET (Brunauer-Emitter-Teller) surface area was analyzed on ASAP Tristar II 3020 from single-point BET analysis performed after 12 h outgassing at 170 °C (**Supplementary Table 4**).

Electrochemical characterization under three-electrode system. The working electrode was fabricated by drop casting method. The as-prepared catalysts which first mixed with acetylene black (AB) at a mass ration of 5:1, then were dispersed in isopropanol/water (v/v=1:4) solvent followed by the addition of Na⁺-exchanged Nafion as the binder and ultrasonicated for 20min to form homogeneous ink. The glassy carbon electrode was polished to a mirror finish with 50 nm α -Al₂O₃ and ultrasonicated in IPA and water to completely clean up. At last, 10 µl of the as-prepared ink was dropped onto a glassy carbon (GC) electrode (0.196 cm²) and dried overnight at room temperature to yield a final loading mass of 255 µg_{ox} cm⁻².

The electrochemical tests were carried out by three-electrode method using CoFe_xAl_{2-x}O₄ as working electrode, platinum plate (1×2 cm²) as the counter electrode, Hg/HgO (1M KOH, aqueous, MMO) as the reference in O2-saturated 1.0 M KOH by using Bio-logic SP 150 potentiostat. All potentials are converted to RHE scale and iR corrected by the resistance of electrolyte. The conversion between the potentials vs. RHE and vs. MMO was performed by the following equation: E (vs. RHE) = E (vs. MMO) + E_{MMO} (vs. SHE) + 0.059 × pH. E_{MMO} (vs. SHE) = 0.098 vs. SHE at 25°C. The Cyclic Voltammetry(CV) was obtained under potentials from 0.875V to 1.575V (vs. RHE) at a scan rate of 10mV s⁻¹. Besides, the CV was also conducted for all samples under potentials from 0.695V to 1.495V (vs. RHE) to investigate the pseudocapacitive charge preceding the OER region. The chronopotentiometry measurement was performed by holding the specific current density of 10 μ A cm⁻²_{oxide} for 10 hours. Electrochemical impedance spectra(EIS) was recorded at 1.525V (vs. RHE) under 10 mV of amplitude from 100 KHz to 0.01Hz.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy. X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFs) were performed under transmission mode at Singapore Synchrotron Light Source, XAFCA beamline. The Co and Fe K-edge position obtained by an integrate method ¹⁶ is shown in Supplementary Table 2. The Co nominal valence state is obtained by using as-prepared CoAl₂O₄ (+2.0, 7717.41 eV) and standard Co₃O₄ (+2.67, 7719.96 eV) as benchmark. The Fe nominal valence is obtained by using standard Fe₃O₄ (+2.67, 7121.71 eV) and standard Fe₂O₃ (+3, 7122.82 eV) as benchmark. Noted that the as-prepared CoAl₂O₄ well match with the standard CoAl₂O₄, and Co valence state in standard CoAl₂O₄ is +2. The nominal oxygen vacancy concentration is calculated on the basis of the nominal valence state of Co, Al (III) and Fe. The in-situ XAS measurements were performed in fluorescence-transmission geometry, where the spectra of samples and references were measured in fluorescence mode. The catalysts were sprayed on carbon paper at a loading of 2mg cm⁻² as working electrode. The measurement was carried out under the same condition as OER measurement in a homemade cell. The *in-situ* XANES measurement was applied after one cycle. The *in-situ* XAFS measurement was taken on the as-prepared catalysts without any additional electrochemical treatment. Acquired XAFS data were processed in ATHENA program and analysed in ARTEMIS program integrated with IFEFFIT software package⁴³.

Density functional theory calculations. The calculation was carried out by Vienna ab initio Simulation package (VASP) using spin-polarized density functional with the Hubbard model (DFT+U).^{44.45} The projector augmented wave (PAW) model with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) function was used to describe the interactions between core and electrons, and the value of the correlation energy (U) was fixed at 3.3, 4.3 eV for the 3d orbits of Co and Fe, respectively.^{46.47} An energy cutoff of 500 eV was used for the plane-wave expansion of the electronic wave function. The Brillouin zones of all systems were sampled with Gamma-point centered Monkhorst-Pack grids. A $7 \times 7 \times 7$ Monkhorst Pack k-point setup were used for bulk geometry optimization, while $9 \times 9 \times 9$ for electronic structures calculation. The force and energy convergence criterion were set to 0.02 eV Å⁻¹ and 10^{-5} eV , respectively. All models are created by comparing the stability of spinel with normal or inversed structure in a unit cell, and the structures at lower energy are selected for study. The CoAl₂O₄ (Supplementary Table 7) prefer the normal spinel structure with Co²⁺ located on tetrahedral sites and the Al³⁺ located on octahedral sites ([Co]_{Td}[Al]_{0h}[Al]_{0h}O4). The CoFe_{0.25}Fe_{1.75}O₄ model was established by replacing two Al³⁺ atom in CoAl₂O₄ unit cell with two Fe atoms. The CoFe₂O₄ (Supplementary Table 8) prefers inverse spinel with Co²⁺ at

octahedral sites and Fe³⁺ both at tetrahedral sites and octahedral sites ([Fe]_{Td}[CoFe]_{Oh}O₄).

Membrane electrode assembly (MEA) electrolyzer. A homemade MEA electrolysis cell with an anion exchange membrane was employed to evaluate the performance of the as-prepared CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ catalyst. The cell includes two titanium end plates, on which a single serpentine flow field (area: 6.25 cm², 1.0 mm in width, 0.5 mm in depth, and 1.0 mm in rib) was machined. The titanium end plates were coated with a gold layer (thickness: 200 nm) to reduce the contact resistance. The CoFe0.25Al1.75O4 was first mixed with high surface area carbon (HSAC, Ketjen black EC-600J, carbonization treatment) with a weight ratio of 1:1. The composite powder was then mixed with PTFE suspension (60 wt% PTFE dispersion in water from Chemours), isopropyl alcohol and water to prepare the anode catalyst ink. The PTFE content in the catalyst layer was controlled with 10 wt%. The catalyst ink was ultrasonicated for 30 minutes at room temperature and manually spread onto a corrosion-resistant stainless-steel mesh (SSL mesh, #500). The catalyst loading on the as-prepared electrode was 1 mg cm⁻². The cathode Pt electrode was fabricated with the same procedure without the addition of HSAC. A catalyst ink, consisting of commercial Pt/C catalyst (TKK, TEC10EA50E 47.1 wt% Pt, 3.22 nm in mean particle size), PTFE suspension, isopropyl alcohol and water, was manually spread onto a carbon paper (Toray 060). The catalyst loading on the electrode was 1 mg cm⁻². The PTFE content in the catalyst layer was 10 wt%. The IrO₂ anode was fabricated with commercial IrO₂ powder (Premetek Co) by the same procedure as for preparing the CoFe_{0.25}Al_{1.75}O₄ electrode. An anion exchange membrane (A901, 11 µm, Tokuyama) was employed as the membrane. To reduce the contact resistance, the membrane sandwiched by the anode electrode and cathode electrode was pressed at a pressure of 1 MPa for 5 minutes at room temperature. 0.1 M KOH was pumped into the electrode channels by a peristaltic pump at a constant flow rate of 2 ml min⁻¹. The cell temperature (60 °C) was maintained by an electric heating plate and measured by a thermocouple placed near the anode and cathode current collectors. The polarization curves were measured with an electrochemical workstation (Solartron 1470E). The water electrolysis was performed under constant current mode, in which the current was increased by 0.125 A (20 mA cm⁻²) and retained for 5 minutes for each step until the cell voltage was reached 2.0 V. Before the water electrolysis, the as-fabricated MEA was activated by a potentiostatic mode with a scan rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹ and a terminal voltage of 2.0 V.

Data availability

The data related to this study is available from the authors upon reasonable request.

References

1. Suntivich, J., et al., A perovskite oxide optimized for oxygen evolution catalysis from molecular orbital principles. *Science* **334**, 1383-1385 (2011).

2. Grimaud, A.; Hong, W. T.; Shao-Horn, Y.; Tarascon, J. M., Anionic redox processes for electrochemical devices. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 121 (2016).

3. Hong, W. T., et al., Toward the rational design of non-precious transition metal oxides for oxygen electrocatalysis. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 1404-1427 (2015).

4. Lee, Y., et al., Synthesis and Activities of Rutile IrO₂ and RuO₂ Nanoparticles for Oxygen Evolution in Acid and Alkaline Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 399-404 (2012).

5. Chao, W., et al., Cations in Octahedral Sites: A Descriptor for Oxygen Electrocatalysis on Transition-Metal Spinels. *Adv. Mater.* **29**, 1606800 (2017).

6. Fabbri, E., et al., Dynamic surface self-reconstruction is the key of highly active perovskite nano-electrocatalysts for water splitting. *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 925-931 (2017).

7. Hsu, C.-S., et al., Valence- and element-dependent water oxidation behaviors: in situ X-ray diffraction, absorption and electrochemical impedance spectroscopies. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 8681-8693 (2017).

8. Risch, M., et al., Structural Changes of Cobalt-Based Perovskites upon Water Oxidation Investigated by EXAFS. J. Phys. Chem. C 117, 8628-8635 (2013).

9. Bergmann, A., et al., Reversible amorphization and the catalytically active state of crystalline Co_3O_4 during oxygen evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8625 (2015).

10. Wang, H.-Y., et al., In Operando Identification of Geometrical-Site-Dependent Water Oxidation Activity of Spinel Co3O4. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 36-39 (2016).

11. Smith, R. D. L., et al., Spectroscopic identification of active sites for the oxygen evolution reaction on iron-cobalt oxides. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 2022 (2017).

12. Görlin, M., et al., Tracking Catalyst Redox States and Reaction Dynamics in Ni–Fe Oxyhydroxide Oxygen Evolution Reaction Electrocatalysts: The Role of Catalyst Support and Electrolyte pH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 2070-2082 (2017).

13. Zhu, Y. G., et al., Unleashing the Power and Energy of LiFePO₄-Based Redox Flow Lithium Battery with a Bifunctional Redox Mediator. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **139**, 6286-6289 (2017).

14. Walsh, A.; Yan, Y.; Al-Jassim, M. M.; Wei, S.-H., Electronic, Energetic, and Chemical Effects of Intrinsic Defects and Fe-Doping of CoAl₂O₄: A DFT+U Study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 12044-12050 (2008).

15. Terada, Y., et al., In Situ XAFS Analysis of Li(Mn, M)₂O₄ (M=Cr, Co, Ni) 5V Cathode Materials for Lithium-Ion Secondary Batteries. *J. Solid State Chem.* **156**, 286-291 (2001).

16. Dau, H.; Liebisch, P.; Haumann, M., X-ray absorption spectroscopy to analyze nuclear geometry and electronic structure of biological metal centers—potential and questions examined with special focus on the tetra-nuclear manganese complex of oxygenic photosynthesis. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **376**, 562-583 (2003).

17. Burke, L. D.; Murphy, O. J., Cyclic voltammetry as a technique for determining the surface area of RuO₂ electrodes. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **96**, 19-27 (1979).

18. Stoerzinger, K. A.; Qiao, L.; Biegalski, M. D.; Shao-Horn, Y., Orientation-Dependent Oxygen Evolution Activities of Rutile IrO₂ and RuO₂. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **5**, 1636-1641 (2014).

19. Yeo, B. S.; Bell, A. T., Enhanced Activity of Gold-Supported Cobalt Oxide for the Electrochemical Evolution of Oxygen. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 5587-5593 (2011).

20. Kanan, M. W., et al., Structure and Valency of a Cobalt–Phosphate Water Oxidation Catalyst Determined by in Situ X-ray Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 13692-13701 (2010).

21. Nkeng, P., et al., Characterization of Spinel-Type Cobalt and Nickel Oxide Thin Films by X-Ray Near Grazing Diffraction, Transmission and Reflectance Spectroscopies, and Cyclic Voltammetry. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **142**, 1777-1783 (1995).

22. McAlpin, J. G., et al., EPR Evidence for Co(IV) Species Produced During Water Oxidation at Neutral pH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 6882-6883 (2010).

23. Costentin, C.; Porter, T. R.; Saveant, J. M., How Do Pseudocapacitors Store Energy? Theoretical Analysis and Experimental Illustration. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **9**, 8649-8658 (2017).

24. Tan, H.; Verbeeck, J.; Abakumov, A.; Van Tendeloo, G., Oxidation state and chemical shift investigation in transition metal oxides by EELS. *Ultramicroscopy* **116**, 24-33 (2012).

25. Wang, Z. L.; Bentley, J.; Evans, N. D., Valence state mapping of cobalt and manganese using near-edge fine structures. *Micron* **31**, 355-362 (2000).

26. Trześniewski, B. J., et al., In Situ Observation of Active Oxygen Species in Fe-Containing Ni-Based Oxygen Evolution Catalysts: The Effect of pH on Electrochemical Activity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 15112-15121 (2015).

27. Yang, C.; Fontaine, O.; Tarascon, J. M.; Grimaud, A., Chemical Recognition of Active Oxygen Species on the Surface of Oxygen Evolution Reaction Electrocatalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 8652-8656 (2017).

28. Zhang, M.; de Respinis, M.; Frei, H., Time-resolved observations of water oxidation intermediates on a cobalt oxide nanoparticle catalyst. *Nat. Chem.* **6**, 362 (2014).

29. Grimaud, A., et al., Activating lattice oxygen redox reactions in metal oxides to catalyse oxygen evolution. *Nat. Chem.* 9, 457-465 (2017).

30. Lee, Y.-L., et al., Prediction of solid oxide fuel cell cathode activity with first-principles descriptors. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **4**, 3966-3970 (2011).

31. Mefford, J. T., et al., Water electrolysis on $La_{1-x}Sr_xCoO_{3-\delta}$ perovskite electrocatalysts. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11053 (2016).

32. Cheng, X., et al., Oxygen Evolution Reaction on $La_{1-x}Sr_xCoO_3$ Perovskites: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study of Their Structural, Electronic, and Electrochemical Properties. *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 7662-7672 (2015).

33. Grimaud, A., et al., Double perovskites as a family of highly active catalysts for oxygen evolution in alkaline solution. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2439 (2013).

34. Zhou, Y., et al., Superexchange Effects on Oxygen Reduction Activity of Edge-Sharing [$Co_x Mn_{1-x} O_6$] Octahedra in Spinel Oxide. *Adv. Mater.*, 1705407 (2018).

35. Hong, W. T., et al., Charge-transfer-energy-dependent oxygen evolution reaction mechanisms for perovskite oxides. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **10**, 2190-2200 (2017).

36. Rong, X.; Parolin, J.; Kolpak, A. M., A Fundamental Relationship between Reaction Mechanism and Stability in Metal Oxide Catalysts for Oxygen Evolution. *ACS Catal.* **6**, 1153-1158 (2016).

37. Goodenough, J. B., Perspective on engineering transition-metal oxides. Chem. Mater. 26, 820-829 (2013).

38. May, K. J., et al., Influence of Oxygen Evolution during Water Oxidation on the Surface of Perovskite Oxide Catalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 3264-3270 (2012).

39. Bajdich, M., et al., Theoretical investigation of the activity of cobalt oxides for the electrochemical oxidation of water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 13521-13530 (2013).

40. Chivot, J., et al., New insight in the behaviour of Co-H₂O system at 25–150°C, based on revised Pourbaix diagrams. *Corros. Sci.* **50**, 62-69 (2008).

41. Jung, S., et al., Benchmarking nanoparticulate metal oxide electrocatalysts for the alkaline water oxidation reaction. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **4**, 3068-3076 (2016).

42. Kim, N.-I., et al., Enhancing Activity and Stability of Cobalt Oxide Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction via Transition Metal Doping. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **163**, F3020-F3028 (2016).

43. Newville, M., IFEFFIT : interactive XAFS analysis and FEFF fitting. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* **8**, 322-324 (2001).

44. Kresse, G.; Hafner, J., Ab initio. Physical Review B 47, 558-561 (1993).

45. Kresse, G.; Hafner, J., Ab initio. Physical Review B 49, 14251-14269 (1994).

46. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D., From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Physical Review B* **59**, 1758-1775 (1999).

47. Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M., Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865-3868 (1996).

Acknowledgements

T. W., S.S. and J.S. contribute equally to this work. Authors thank the support from the Singapore Ministry of Education Tier 2 Grant (MOE2017-T2-1-009) and the Singapore National Research Foundation under its Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) programme. Authors appreciate the Facility for Analysis, Characterisation, Testing and Simulation (FACTS) in Nanyang Technological University for materials characterizations and appreciate the XAFCA beamline of the Singapore Synchrotron Light Source for XAFS characterization.

Author contributions

T.W., S.S. A.G. and Z.X. conceived the original concept and initiated the project. T.W. prepared the materials and performed electrochemical and XRD measurements. S.S. help designed the set-up for in-situ XAS measurement. S.X. and T.W. carried out the XAS measurement. Y.D. and T.W. processed and analyzed the XAS data. J.S. worked on the DFT calculations and analysis. W.S., G.L., and B.C. carried HRTEM and STEM-EELs investigation. L.Z. conducted the measurement in MEA system. L.Z., H.W., H.L., and G.S. analyzed the MEA result. T.W. wrote the manuscript with the input from all authors, and Z.X., A.G., and S.S. revised manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at