



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Is the eyemovement field confused about fixations and saccades? A survey among 124 researchers

Hessels, Roy S; Niehorster, Diederick C; Nyström, Marcus; Andersson, Richard; Hooge, Ignace

DOI:

[10.16910/jemr.11.5](https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.11.5)

2018

*Document Version:*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Hessels, R. S., Niehorster, D. C., Nyström, M., Andersson, R., & Hooge, I. (2018). *Is the eye-movement field confused about fixations and saccades? A survey among 124 researchers*. Abstract from The Scandinavian Workshop on Applied Eye Tracking 2018, Copenhagen, Denmark. <https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.11.5>

*Total number of authors:*

5

*Creative Commons License:*

CC BY

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

## SESSION 4: Eye-tracking measures: Fixations, saccades, microsaccades, and pupil size

### **Is the eye-movement field confused about fixations and saccades?**

#### **A survey among 124 researchers**

Roy S. Hessels<sup>1,2</sup>, Diederick C. Niehorster<sup>3,4</sup>, Marcus Nyström<sup>3</sup>, Richard Andersson<sup>5</sup>, & Ignace T. C. Hooge<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Experimental Psychology, Helmholtz Institute, Utrecht University, Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup> *Developmental Psychology, Utrecht University, Netherlands*

<sup>3</sup> *Humanities Lab, Lund University, Sweden*

<sup>4</sup> *Department of Psychology, Lund University, Sweden*

<sup>5</sup> *Tobii AB, Stockholm, Sweden*

Eye movements have been extensively studied in a wide range of research fields. While new methods such as mobile eye tracking and eye tracking in virtual or augmented realities are emerging quickly, the eye-movement terminology has been revised only scarcely. Has this caused confusion about at least two of the main concepts: fixations and saccades?

In this study, we assessed the definitions of fixations and saccades held in the eye-movement field, by surveying 124 eye-movement researchers. These eye-movement researchers held a variety of definitions of fixations and saccades, of which the breadth seemed even wider than what is reported in the literature. Moreover, these definitions did not seem to be related to researcher background or experience.

We urge researchers to make their definitions more explicit by specifying all the relevant components of the eye movement under investigation: (1) the oculomotor component: e.g. whether the eye moves slow or fast; (2) the functional component: what purposes does the eye movement (or lack thereof) serve; (3) the coordinate system used: relative to what does the eye move; and (4) the computational definition: how is the event represented in the eye-tracker signal. This should enable eye-movement researchers from different fields to have a discussion without misunderstandings.