

[BIO03] Isolation and molecular identification of Nipah virus from pigs

Li-Yen Chang¹, Sazaly AbuBakar¹, A.R. Mohd Ali², S.H. Sharifah², Khatijah Yusoff³, Zulkeflie Zamrod⁴

¹Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

²Veterinary Research Institute, Jalan Sultan Azlan Shah, 13800 Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia.

³Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

⁴Centre for Gene Analysis and Technology, School of BioSciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia.

Introduction

An outbreak of febrile encephalitis with high death rates (~56%) occurred among pig farmers in a pig-farming community in Tambun, Perak, Malaysia, in 1998 (Wong *et al.*, 2002). The disease spread southward within four to six months to several other pig-farming communities near or around Seremban, a city ~300 km from Tambun (Anonymous, 1999a). By April 1999, at least 85 deaths were reported in Seremban, 15 were recorded in Tambun (Anonymous, 1999b). All the cases from Tambun were recorded before the outbreak in Seremban. During the outbreaks, pigs in Seremban and Tambun manifested acute respiratory distress syndrome and encephalitis and subsequently died (Anonymous, 1999a; Anonymous, 1999b). Since the incidence of the disease in humans paralleled the occurrence of the disease in pigs, infected pigs were presumed to be the main and perhaps only source of infections in humans (Chua *et al.*, 1999; Chua *et al.*, 2000). Culling of almost one million suspected infected pigs effectively curtailed the spread of the disease, except for a cluster of infections, the last to be reported, which occurred in the south, in Sungai Buloh, in 1999 (Anonymous, 1999b).

Nipah virus (NV) was eventually isolated from patients manifesting the typical pig-farming-associated fatal encephalitis, confirming the viral source of the infection (Chua *et al.*, 1999; Chua *et al.*, 2000). The whole genome sequence of the virus was determined, and its close phylogenetic relationships to Hendra virus (HV) were shown (Harcourt *et al.*, 2000; Harcourt *et al.*, 2001). The viruses were designated as members of a new genus, *Henipavirus*, of the *Paramyxoviridae* family (Mayo, 2002a; Mayo, 2002b). Several other human isolates

were also sequenced and found to share a very high degree of sequence similarity to that of the first isolate (Chan *et al.*, 2001). More recently, an NV was isolated from flying foxes of Tioman Island, located east of peninsular Malaysia (Chua *et al.*, 2002). The virus had such high sequence similarity to all the human NV isolates that it was suggested as the potential source of NV (Chua, 2003). It was proposed that pigs in the north contracted the infection through contact with NV of flying foxes (from flying foxes urine or leftover fruits) and that humans then acquired the infection from direct handling of the infected pigs (Chua, 2003; Chua *et al.*, 2002; Lam, 2003). Movement of infected pigs was responsible for the subsequent foci of outbreaks in the south (Anonymous, 1999a; Anonymous, 1999b). Whether the index outbreak in Tambun was a result of a single serendipitous event (transmission of a flying fox NV to pig) which resulted in the clonal propagation and transmission of the virus to pigs and subsequently humans, was unclear. To date, no reports have indicated that the NV found in humans shared similar genome sequences to that found in pigs, although NV had been detected and isolated from infected pig samples (Maizan *et al.*, 2000). We present results from analyses of the whole genome sequence of three representative NV isolates from pigs from the three outbreak clusters, one from the north, Tambun, and two from the south, Seremban and Sungai Buloh.

Materials and Methods

In this study, the NV from pigs (NV/MY/99/VRI-0626, NV/MY/99/VRI-1413 and NV/MY/99/VRI-2794) were isolated by the Veterinary Research Institute (VRI), Malaysia. The isolate from a human patient sample from Seremban

(NV/MY/99/UM-0128) was isolated at the Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya (UM), Malaysia. NV of pigs was isolated after infection of Vero (African green monkey kidney) cells with lung tissue samples of pigs that died. In Vero cell cultures all the NV pig isolates manifested similar cytopathologic effects. RNA was extracted from NV infected cells at passage three, and the genome of the four virus isolates was sequenced in its entirety and analyzed together with the genome sequences of all other NV isolates available in the GenBank (Table 1). The genome sequence of the human NV isolate AF212302, designated as CDC, was used as the reference sequence. The sequences were aligned and manually edited. The phylogenetic trees were constructed and displayed as previously described (AbuBakar *et al.*, 2002). All new NV genome sequences were deposited in EMBL (accession numbers AJ564621, AJ564622, AJ564623 and AJ627196).

TABLE 1 Nipah virus isolates used in the study.

Isolate	Host	Accession number
CDC	Human	AF212302
UMMC1	Human	AY029767
UMMC2	Human	AY029768
NV-Flying Fox	Flying foxes	AF376747
UM-0128 (NV/MY/99/UM-0128)*	Human	AJ564623
NV-Tambun (NV/MY/99/VRI-0626)*	Pig	AJ627196
NV-Seremban (NV/MY/99/VRI-1413)*	Pig	AJ564622
NV-Sungai Buloh (NV/MY/99/VRI-2794)*	Pig	AJ564621

*New isolates described in the study

Results

A high sequence similarity (>99%) between NV sequences of pigs and humans was noted after alignment of the whole genome sequences. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree constructed by using the pigs' NV genome sequences showed that NV isolates of pigs clustered tightly together with all the known humans and flying foxes Nipah

viruses (Figure 1A). As expected, all the NV sequences clustered together with HV to form a distinct group from other established genera within the *Paramyxovirinae* subfamily. The NV-Tambun showed the most divergent genome sequence from all other known Nipah viruses, in a phylogram constructed by using cumulative nucleotide sequence differences (Figure 1B). The overall divergence value was nonetheless small (<1%); hence, the isolate remained within the NV cluster.




FIGURE 1 Phylogenetic trees illustrating the relationships of the pigs Nipah virus isolates to all other known Nipah viruses and the related members of subfamily *Paramyxovirinae*. A) The maximum likelihood tree was drawn using alignments of the full genome sequences. All the new isolates described in the present study are shown in boxes. Abbreviations used and accession numbers not described elsewhere in the text are in parenthesis: Tupaia paramyxovirus (Tupaia-A) (AF079780); (Tupaia-N) (NC_002199), and HV (HV-A) (AF017149); (HV-N) (NC_001906). B) Un-rooted maximum likelihood plot was constructed using alignments of all the nucleotide differences in the Nipah viruses gene coding regions (N, P, M, F and G) shown in online appendix by artificially treating all the differences as a single stretch of nucleotide sequence.

Subsequent analysis of the deduced amino acid sequences of the NV-Seremban pig isolate showed that they were identical to the human NV isolates CDC and UMMC2 (online appendix; available from <http://www/cdc.gov/ncidod/EID/vol110no12/0>

4-0452_app.htm). By contrast, the NV-Sungai Buloh pig isolate-deduced amino acid sequences were identical to the human NV isolates UMMC1 and UM-0128. NV-Seremban differed from NV-Sungai Buloh at only one amino acid position (1645) within the polymerase protein (L). Both isolates, however, differed from the flying fox NV isolate, NV-Flying Fox, at three amino acid positions, residues 30, 206 and 348 in the coding regions of nucleoprotein (N), phosphoprotein (P) and fusion protein (F), respectively. In contrast, the NV-Tambun pig isolate had a distinct signature sequence in comparison to all other NV. NV-Tambun differed from all known NV at 47 nucleotide positions; 28 of these differences occurred within the virus coding regions. The nucleotide differences were translated into amino acid changes at 11 positions; residues 274, 304, and 378 of the P protein, residues 147 and 250 of the matrix protein (M) and F protein, respectively, residues 20 and 272 of the glycoprotein (G), and residues 223, 1645, 1753, and 2039 of the L protein. Amino acid changes noted in the highly phosphorylated P protein at positions 274 and 304 resulted in residue changes from serine to arginine and threonine to alanine, respectively. These changes may reduce the potential phosphorylation sites in the P protein as serine, threonine, tyrosine, and histidine residues are the common targets for protein phosphorylation. Substitution of amino acids at positions 223 (threonine → asparagine), 1645 (serine → phenylalanine) and 2039 (histidine → asparagine) in L protein may also reduce the number of predicted potential phosphorylation sites on L. A substitution of amino acid isoleucine for asparagine at position 20 of the G protein added a potential glycosylation site apart from the eight already identified N-linked glycosylation sites (Harcourt *et al.*, 2000). However, the additional potential glycosylation site is located at the cytoplasmic tail of the protein and might make the addition of an additional glycan extremely unlikely, if impossible. No nucleotide and consequently deduced amino acid variations, however, were observed in the N protein.

Discussion

Findings reported here present for the first time molecular evidence that at least two major strains of NV of pigs were circulating during the 1998 NV outbreak in Malaysia, one strain from the initial outbreak in the north (NV-Tambun) and the other strain from the subsequent outbreak approximately four months later in the south (NV-Seremban and NV-Sungai Buloh). The NV-Seremban and NV-Sungai Buloh pig isolates had identical sequences to those already reported from the human infections, which confirmed that the infections in humans during the southern outbreak originated from infected pigs. No record of isolation of the NV-Tambun is available from patients from the initial outbreak in Tambun or from the subsequent outbreaks. Isolation of NV-Seremban and NV-Sungai Buloh was not reported from the Tambun outbreak. Hence, ascertaining if the two major strains originated from the same initial focus of infection, Tambun, is not possible. Alternatively, the NV-Tambun could be the basal ancestral strain from which the later southern strain evolved. Two findings suggested this: the Tambun outbreak occurred at least four months before the Seremban outbreak, and the sequence differences between NV-Seremban and NV-Sungai Buloh occurred as a result of genetic drift, a phenomena not uncommon amongst RNA viruses. Then again, this occurrence is unlikely considering that the genome sequence of NV-Tambun diverges from the NV-Flying Fox, purportedly the initial source of NV infections. In addition, given that the NV sequences of both humans and pigs (UM-0128, NV-Seremban and NV-Sungai Buloh), sequenced independently in different laboratories were practically identical, the sequence differences werenot likely due to the inherent polymerase chain reaction errors or adaptation to tissue culture conditions. Therefore, the NV-Tambun strain is the more likely causal agent for the initial outbreak among pigs in Tambun, resulting from an infection acquired from a yet-to-be-identified source. By contrast, the subsequent outbreaks in the south were due to the pig NV isolates with higher sequence similarities to the NV-Flying Fox of the Tioman island. This implied that the 1998 Malaysia NV outbreak is unlikely to be due to a single serendipitous transmission of NV from flying foxes of

Tioman Island to pigs but points to the possibility of at least two different origins of NV infections.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, Malaysia research grant #01-02-03-004BTK/ER/28. The Department of Veterinary Services, Veterinary Research Institute, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia and the Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University Malaya permitted us to use their facilities and provided technical and laboratory assistance.

References

- AbuBakar S, Wong PF and Chan YF. (2002) Emergence of dengue virus type 4 genotype IIA in Malaysia. *J Gen Virol* 83:2437-2442.
- Anonymous. Outbreak of Hendra-like virus – Malaysia and Singapore, 1998-1999. (1999a) *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 48:265-269.
- Anonymous. Update: Outbreak of Nipah virus – Malaysia and Singapore, 1999. (1999b) *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 48:335-337.
- Chan YP, Chua KB, Koh CL, Lim ME and Lam SK. (2001) Complete nucleotide sequences of Nipah virus isolates from Malaysia. *J Gen Virol* 82:2151-2155.
- Chua KB. (2003) Nipah virus outbreak in Malaysia. *J Clin Virol* 26:265-275.
- Chua KB, Bellini WJ, Rota PA, Harcourt BH, Tamin A, Lam SK, et al. (2000) Nipah virus: A recently emergent deadly paramyxovirus. *Science* 288:1432-1435.
- Chua KB, Chua BH and Wang CW. Anthropogenic deforestation, El Niño and the emergence of Nipah virus in Malaysia. (2002) *Malays J Pathol* 24:15-21.
- Chua KB, Goh KJ, Wong KT, Kamarulzaman A, Tan PSK, Ksiazek TG, et al. (1999) Fatal encephalitis due to Nipah virus among pig-farmers in Malaysia. *Lancet* 354:1257-1259.
- Chua KB, Koh CL, Hooi PS, Wee KF, Khong JH, Chua BH, et al. (2002) Isolation of Nipah virus from Malaysia Island flying-foxes. *Microbes Infect* 4:145-151.
- Lam SK. Nipah virus – a potential agent of bioterrorism? (2003) *Antiviral Res* 57:113-119.
- Harcourt BH, Tamin A, Halpin K, Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE, Bellini WJ, et al. (2001) Molecular characterization of the polymerase gene and genomic termini of Nipah virus. *Virology* 287:192-201.
- Harcourt BH, Tamin A, Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE, Anderson LJ, Bellini WJ, et al. Molecular characterization of Nipah virus, a newly emergent paramyxovirus. (2000) *Virology* 271:334-349.
- Maizan M, Mohd Ali AR and Sharifah SH. The identification and distinction between Nipah virus and Hendra virus by using RT-PCR, sequencing and restriction enzyme analysis. (2000) *Asia Pac J Mol Biol Biotechnol* 8:101-106.
- Mayo MA. Virus Taxonomy – Houston 2002. (2002a) *Arch Virol* 147:1071-1076.
- Mayo MA. A summary of taxonomic changes recently approved by ICTV. (2002b) *Arch Virol* 147:1655-1656.
- Wong KT, Shieh WJ, Zaki SR and Tan CT. Nipah virus infection, an emerging paramyxoviral zoonosis. (2002) *Springer Semin Immunopathol* 24:215-228.