Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

School of Biomedical Sciences

2022-06-08

Isolation of Clostridioides difficile PCR Ribotype 027 from single-use hospital gown ties

Waterfield, S

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/19058

10.1099/jmm.0.001550

Journal of Medical Microbiology

Microbiology Society

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.



Isolation of Clostridioides difficile PCR Ribotype 027 from

1 single-use hospital gown ties 2

3

4

- 1.1 Author names
- Shannon Waterfield¹, Humaira Ahmed¹, Imogen Anne Jones¹, Robert Burky², Lovleen Tina Joshi^{1*} 5

6

7 Lovleen Tina Joshi ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5965-4055

8

- 1.2 Affiliation 9
- 10 ¹School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, Devon, PL4
- 11 8AA, UK
- 12 ²Adventist Health Hospital, Yuba City, California, USA

13

- **Corresponding author** 14 1.3
- *Corresponding Author: tina.joshi@plymouth.ac.uk 15

16

- 17 **Keyword**
- Clostridioides difficile; transmission; spores; gowns; flooring; infection control. 18

- 2. Abstract 20
- 21 Background Clostridioides difficile is a spore-forming pathogen responsible for antibiotic-associated
- diarrhoea. In the USA high incidence of C. difficile infection (CDI) in clinical environments has led to 22
- 23 interest in C. difficile spore transmission. Hypothesis Single use hospital surgical gown ties act as a
- 24 reservoir for C. difficile spores. Aim This study sought to examine whether single-use hospital surgical
- gown ties used in surgery, from an acute healthcare facility, harboured C. difficile spores. 25
- Methodology Used surgical gowns ties worn by clinicians in the healthcare facility were examined for 26
- 27 C. difficile spore presence via spread plate and anaerobic culture. The colonies isolated from each

gown tie were subcultured on *C. difficile* selective agar for phenotypic confirmation. Presumptive *C. difficile* colonies were examined using *C. difficile* Quik Check Complete, 16-23S PCR Ribotyping and MALDI-TOF analysis. Results In total 17 suspected *C. difficile* colonies were isolated from 15 gown ties via culture. *C. difficile* Quik Check Complete found two isolates as possible *C. difficile*. MALDI-TOF and PCR Ribotyping confirmed one isolate as *C. difficile* PCR ribotype 027 associated with clinical outbreaks. Discussion Our study revealed the presence of hypervirulent *C. difficile* ribotype 027 spores on single-use gown ties. This highlights the potential of gown ties as a vector of spore transmission across clinical environments, especially when gowns are not worn appropriately. Conclusions Appropriate compliance to infection control procedures by healthcare workers is essential to prevent spore dissemination across clinical facilities and reduce CDI rates.

3. Introduction

Clostridioides difficile is a Gram positive, spore forming enteric pathogen and the causative agent of *C. difficile* associated diarrhoea. *C. difficile* is the primary cause of healthcare associated infections (HCAIs) in the USA, with an incidence of 306, 500 cases in 2011 and 235, 700 cases in 2017, and \sim 15000 deaths per year, with an approximately economic burden \$1.5 – 3.2 billion annually 1,2 . This incidence has been attributed to an epidemic strain of *C. difficile*: BI/NAP1/027 that has caused outbreaks in the USA and Europe³. This strain is hypervirulent due to fluoroquinolone resistance, production of binary toxin, increased toxin production, and higher sporulation rates ⁴. The organism produces spores that are able to resist biocides ⁵ and persist on a range of clinical surfaces including wheelchairs, flooring, surgical gowns and bedrails 6,7,8 . These surfaces are implicated in spore transmission and may contribute to increasing incidence of *C. difficile* infection (CDI) ⁹.

Healthcare textiles, such as surgical gowns, are recognised as potential sources of HCAIs; however their exact role has yet to be elucidated due to limited epidemiological studies in the area ¹⁰. These

porous surfaces act as a reservoir for microorganisms, including spores, which can attach and survive within the fibres ⁷⁻¹². Isolation gowns are the protective garments worn by health care workers (HCWs) as a physical barrier to primarily prevent the transmission of pathogens between HCWs and patients ¹². HCWs may also self-contaminate when removing personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves or gowns. Upon contact with biological fluids, gowns must be discarded or laundered appropriately for decontamination so that the gowns themselves do not serve as vectors of transmission ¹³.

Two types of gown are available and possess varying properties: (a) single-use made from non-woven, synthetic fibres such as polyethylene or (b) multi-use commonly made from woven fabric such as cotton or polyester ¹². These fibres may also be used in combination with plastic films to offer an enhanced liquid barrier. Contaminated gowns act as fomites, as do gloves or drapes, and can propagate the transmission of microorganisms to further patients, HCPs, or the environment, especially if used inappropriately. In the current study we sought to determine whether used single-use hospital surgical isolation gown ties, worn inappropriately during surgery from a US healthcare

4. Methods

facility, act as fomites and harbour *C. difficile* spores.

Adventist Health Hospital was noted as being a statistical outlier for hospital acquired *C. difficile* in the region of California. The Californian Department of Health revealed that the Standardised Infection Ratios (SIR) for CDI between 2013- 2016 (SIR 2.13- 1.11) were higher than other hospitals in the USA. In October 2015, Consumer Reports (national US publication) noted that out of 3000 facilities in the USA, Adventist Health Hospital was in the bottom 12 for infection prevention based on incidence rates of CDI and methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) (Dr R. Burky, Infection Control Consultant, Adventist Health Hospital; *personal communication*). Infection Control staff at Adventist

Health Hospital surmised the cause of these hospital-wide CDI cases might be inappropriate use of
PPE. This manifested as a problem with staff compliance in securing gown ties and wearing
polypropylene isolation gowns inappropriately, which was hospital-wide (Dr R Burky; personal
communication). Moreover, in the affected wards, surgery staff were routinely noted as wearing
single-use surgical gowns without the tiebacks being tied, and walking in and out of the operating
theatre without disposing the gowns. This resulted in the gown ties dragging around the floor during
surgery, potentially picking up infectious material. Thus, to ascertain whether these gown tiebacks
were involved in spore transmission and picked up C. difficile spores, culture analysis of the tiebacks
was conducted between 2016-2018.
Gown Sampling: Single- use surgical gowns were immediately obtained from (a) the Intensive Care
Unit and (b) Medical Surgery units after removal by clinicians in the surgical theatre. Gown ties were
selected for sampling after observation of incorrect staff compliance to securing the gowns. The gown
ties were then aseptically removed from the gowns (via cutting) and individually packaged at source
within Adventist Health and Rideout Hospital, USA. The ties were not handled or used after contact
but were instead placed into sterile containers for transport. The gown ties were sent via secure post
to the United Kingdom for immediate analysis in late 2016. They were sent to the United Kingdom as
researchers had a prior published collaboration and experience of <i>C. difficile</i> spore isolation in clinical
settings 7,14 . In total, 15 surgical gown ties were received for analysis. The hospital surgical gowns were
produced by MediChoice, as described previously by Dyer et al (2019) ⁷ and made from fluid-resistant
spunbond-meltdown-spunbond (SMS) polypropylene laminate at American National Standards
Institute (AAMI) PB70:2012 level 2. No ethical approvals at Adventist Health were required as only the
discarded used gowns were taken for sampling.

C. difficile culture:

To examine whether *C. difficile* was present on the gown ties, which had touched the operating theatre floor, the 15 full samples of gown (20 cm strips) were aseptically placed into 20 ml sterile deionised water (SDW) and vortex mixed to dislodge any possible spores. Each sample was then centrifuged at 5,000 × g and the pellet resuspended in 1 ml of SDW, heated to 80°C for 10 min to inactivate any remaining vegetative cells, and subsequently stored at 4°C. Each sample was then enumerated for spores in reduced brain heart infusion (BHIS) broth (Oxoid Ltd, UK) supplemented with 0.1% (w/v) sodium taurocholate (Sigma Aldrich, UK), and plated on BHIS agar as described previously ¹⁴.

D-cycloserine (500μg/mL) and cefoxitin (16μg/mL) fructose agar (CCFA; Oxoid Ltd, UK) was used for selective culture of *C. difficile* from the BHIS agar. All suspected cultures were incubated anaerobically at 37 °C for 48 hours in a Don Whitley Scientific anaerobic workstation using an 85% nitrogen, 10% carbon dioxide and 5% hydrogen gas mix. Clinical toxigenic and a non- toxigenic isolates of *C. difficile* were used as growth and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) controls (Table 1), and were obtained from the Anaerobic Reference Unit (ARU), University Hospital Wales, Cardiff, UK. Unless otherwise stated, all isolated organisms were stored on ProtectBeadsTM at 4 °C. All experiments described were conducted in triplicate (n=3).

C. difficile phenotypic confirmation:

All spores isolated from the gown ties were streaked to purity on CCFA, and colonies were examined for typical *C. difficile* morphology. Colonies were then checked for anaerobic growth, gamma haemolysis, odour, *chartreuse* fluorescence under Ultra Violet light, and Gram stain (Positive) ^{16,17}.

C. difficile Quik Chek Immunoassay:

The C. Diff Quik Chek Complete dual-antigen enzyme immunoassay (EIA) was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (TechLab, UK) and as described previously ¹⁸. Briefly, instead of using stool samples, 25 µl of suspected *C. difficile* mixed with sterilized deionised water (diH2O) was added to the

assay alongside diluent and conjugates. Results were read 10 minutes after the assay was completed. Samples were determined to be *C. difficile* positive if bands were visible for Glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) and/or toxins. This test was performed twice for each sample (n=2).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM was used to examine the morphology of presumptive *C. difficile* spores before and after NaOCl exposure. Samples were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and were transferred onto Nuclepore membranes (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) which were sputter coated with gold palladium (60% Au and 40% Pd from Testbourne Ltd) and argon was used as the sputtering gas. An accelerating voltage of 15kV was used to view 10 spores per sample at magnifications of x 8,500-20,000 (JEOL JSM-6610 Series SEM). **DNA Extraction from** *C. difficile* **using Chelex 100** Genomic DNA was extracted from suspected *C. difficile* as described previously ^{19,20}. DNA was quantified in μg/ml using the QubitTM dsDNA BR Assay Kit and read using the Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Fisher Scientific, UK), following the manufacturers protocol.

Multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

A multiplex PCR targeting topoisomerase (*tpi*), toxin A (*tcdA*) and toxin B (*tcdB*) genes as described by Lemee et al (2004)²¹ was used for *C. difficile* identification and toxin characterisation (n = 2) using a *Taq* PCR Kit (New England BioLabs, UK). The *tpi* generates 230-bp amplified fragments, *tcdA* generates 369-bp amplified fragments (Toxin A+ B+) or 110-bp fragments (Toxin A- B+) and *tcdB* generates 160-bp fragments. PCR was performed on a T100TM thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, UK) using 25μl volumes. Cycling parameters were: 95°C initial denaturation for 3 minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C denaturation for 30 seconds, 54°C annealing for 30 seconds, 72°C extension for 1 minute and 72°C final elongation for 5 minutes. PCR products were analysed via gel electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel at 80 V for 60

minutes (n = 2). Quick-Load® 1kb Plus DNA Ladder (New England BioLabs, UK) was used and GelRed® Loading Buffer (Biotium Inc, USA) was used for gel fluorescent staining.

Molecular Confirmation of C. difficile

The final presumptive *C. difficile* isolates were sent for MALDI-TOF²² analysis and 16S- 23S rRNA PCR Ribotyping ²⁰ confirmation at the ARU, University Hospital Wales, UK.

5. Results

In total 15 samples of contaminated, single-use hospital gown ties were examined for the presence of *C. difficile*. Of the 15 samples, 23 presumptive colonies were grown on CCFA media, and subsequent phenotypic analysis revealed 8 isolates that exhibited phenotypic characteristics (e.g. Gram positive, growth on CCFA, odour, *chartreuse* fluorescence under UV light) similar to those of *C. difficile* colonies ¹⁶ (Table 2). Four samples including 2B were taken for further analysis based on positive phenotypic characteristics. The *C. difficile* identification process used in this study is shown in Figure 1.

The C. DIFF Quik Chek Complete ¹⁸ assay only found one out of the four presumptive samples to be *C. difficile* positive, producing visible bands for GDH and toxins A and B ¹⁸. All controls produced positive results, except for strain DS1684 which was toxin negative.

Molecular testing via multiplex PCR²¹ confirmed this result with this sample (designated 2B) showing a species specific fragment at approximately 200 base pairs correlating to the presence of the *C. difficile tpi* gene (Figure 2). This fragment was also detected for the positive controls. Non-deleted *tcdA* fragments (A+B+) were detected for *C. difficile* DS1813, R20291 and CD630 at approximately 400 base pairs. The *tcdB* gene was detected for *C. difficile* R20291 and CD630 at approximately 150 base pairs. No fragments for *tpi*, *tcdA* or *tcdB* genes were produced for other samples tested.

Further identification of these 4 isolates was undertaken through MALDI-TOF ²² analysis at the ARU, Wales, UK (Table 3). The spore forming capacity of isolates 2B and 7 was confirmed via scanning electron microscopy where typical spore morphology can be seen in Figure 3A and 3C. This was not evident in isolates 5 and 8 (Figure 3B and 3C). Sample 7 was confirmed to be presumptive *Bacillus cereus* via MALDI TOF, sample 5 to be a *Propionibacterium* species and sample 8 to be *Clostridium tertium* ^{23,24}. Importantly, sample 2B was confirmed to be *C. difficile* and subsequent PCR Ribotyping confirmed this isolate to be an epidemic PCR Ribotype 027 ³. This sample was isolated from gown ties sampled within the Medical Surgery department at Adventist Health & Rideout Hospital, USA.

6. Discussion

This study examined the ability of single-use surgical gown ties to harbour *C. difficile* spores through inappropriate use of PPE. While an algorithm of phenotypic and molecular methods were used to specifically isolate *C. difficile* spores, such as CCFA, we isolated other Gram positive spore formers which exhibited similar growth characteristics to *C. difficile*, demonstrating the limitations of these isolation methods ²⁵. Isolated species included foodborne pathogen *Bacillus cereus* which can cause severe nosocomial infections ²⁶ and the little-studied *Clostridium tertium* which primarily infects neutropenic patients, causing bacteraemia ²⁷. *C. tertium* is not a common healthcare associated pathogen; however, its isolation from the gown ties can be attributed to its presence on the hospital floor. This is a concern due to *C. tertium*'s ability to cause bacteraemia in patients with recent abdominal surgery ²⁷⁷.

From SEM analysis (Figure 2) the spores formed by these Gram positive Bacilli appear to have similar features including raised bumps across the spore coat ^{7, 14, 28, 29}. CDI outbreaks are becoming more frequent so "one-step" molecular identification methods for patient diagnosis are becoming

increasingly valuable tools ^{18,19} . Thus, we used the C DIFF Quick Chek Complete ¹⁸ to determine toxin
production and GDH antigen presence from each isolate. We combined this with a multiplex PCR assay
to determine whether our suspected C. difficile isolates possessed any specific C. difficile genes. The
PCR detected tpi genes amongst sample 2B only (Figure 2) and the positive controls demonstrating
that this sample was likely to be a <i>C. difficile</i> strain. Subsequent MALDI TOF analysis and <i>C. difficile</i> -
specific 16-23S rRNA PCR Ribotyping effectively confirmed the identity of the suspected C. difficile
species as a 027 hypervirulent ribotype, implicated in severe CDI outbreaks ^{1,3} .
Clinical environments comprise of a variety of surfaces that can harbour pathogens able to cause
HCAIs ³⁰ . These contaminated surfaces range from flooring to bedpans, hospital equipment and the
hands of HCWs, often leading to increased infection case rates and implementation of infection
control practices to prevent pathogen transmission ^{7, 31} . Hospital floors are an important pathogen
reservoir lending to the easy spread of disinfection-resilient pathogens such as <i>C. difficile</i> ^{32,33} . The role
of flooring in aiding the spread of pathogens through vectors such as shoes, socks and even
wheelchairs has become a subject of interest in recent years with pathogens including methicillin
resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>C. difficile</i> spores and common viruses being studied ^{6,7,32-35} . Indeed a
clear limitation of this study is the lack of environmental sampling, meaning that while the presence
of <i>C. difficile</i> was confirmed on the gown ties, the nosocomial source of <i>C. difficile</i> was not identified.
Improper and inappropriate use of PPE, including re-using single-use hospital gowns, can also
contribute to pathogen spread and increasing patient HCAI case rates 8,10-13. Single-use gowns have
yet to be recognised as important sources of pathogen transmission in clinical environments, likely
due to the instructions for "single-use" and immediate disposal ^{12,13} . However, with pressures on
clinical resources, time and economics, especially during the COVID19 pandemic, HCW compliance to

"single-use" may decline ³⁶. This compliance may also be compounded by the fact that society is

currently	attempting to	reduce plastic	waste in ar	effort to	combat	climate	change and	d protect th	ıe
environm	nent ³⁷ .								

In the current study we found that single-use gowns harboured spores of healthcare associated pathogen *Clostridioides difficile*. This demonstrates the potential of healthcare textiles as fomites and a medium for pathogen transmission. Single-use gown fabrics are designed to repel fluids and are more commonly employed in the USA (80%) than in Europe ^{11,12}. Differences in the physiochemical properties of gowns have been shown to play a critical role in the dissemination of microorganisms throughout clinical environments ^{7,8,10,14}. In this study, *C. difficile* spores not only adhere to the single-use, repellent fabrics but the fabric fibres did not demonstrate the ability to trap spores effectively as the hospital in question had increasing CDI rates ^{7,11}. Moreover the HCWs were letting the gown ties trail on hospital floors without disposing immediately after use (Dr. R Burky: *personal communication*). A direct link has been found between bacterial colonisation of hospital uniforms and patient morbidity; an example is *C. difficile* spore dissemination in intensive care units and geriatric wards where immunocompromised patients are at a higher risk. This strengthens the need to ensure HCW education and compliance in using PPE appropriately^{38,39}.

In conclusions, our findings demonstrate that single-use hospital gowns can act as fomites and in pathogen transmission, especially when not used appropriately. Interventions such as increasing compliance and appropriate use by ensuring gown ties do not drag upon the floor, changing single-use gowns between patients and disposing of them immediately after use may aid in reducing pathogen dissemination. Further research is required to elucidate the chain of transmission between floors, HCWs and gowns and the correlation between patient CDI case rates. This study suggests that current infection control procedures should be audited to ensure compliance and effective disinfection of clinical surfaces.

7. Author statements 235 7.1 Authors and contributors 236 237 SW: Formal analysis, Data Curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & 238 editing. 239 HA: Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. 240 IAJ: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing 241 RB: Conceptualization, Resources 242 LTJ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project 243 administration, Supervision, Resources, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. 244 245 7.2 **Conflicts of interest** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. 246 247 **Funding information** 248 7.3 This work was supported by Robert Burky and the University of Plymouth. The funders had no role in 249 250 study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. 251 252 **Ethical Statement** 7.4 253 No Ethical Approvals at Adventist Health & Rideout Hospital were required as only the discarded 254 used gowns were taken for sampling at source. No human work was carried out. 255 **Acknowledgements** 256 257 Authors wish to thank Trefor Morris and team at the Anaerobic Reference Unit, University Hospital 258 Wales, Cardiff, UK for assisting with PCR Ribotyping and MALDI-TOF of the presumptive C. difficile 259 samples. We also thank Plymouth Electron Microscopy Centre for their support with Scanning Electron 260 Microscopy studies.

262 8. References

- 263 1. Guh AY, Mu Y, Winston LG, Johnston H, Olson D, Farley MM, Wilson LE, Holzbauer SM, Phipps
- 264 EC, Dumyati GK, Beldavs ZG. Trends in US burden of Clostridioides difficile infection and outcomes.
- 265 New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 Apr 2;382(14):1320-30. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1910215
- 266 2. Louh IK, Greendyke WG, Hermann EA, Davidson KW, Falzon L, Vawdrey DK, Shaffer JA, Calfee
- 267 DP, Furuya EY, Ting HH. Clostridium difficile infection in acute care hospitals: systematic review and
- best practices for prevention. Infection control & hospital epidemiology. 2017 Apr;38(4):476-82.
- 269 https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2016.324
- 270 3. McDonald, L.C., Killgore, G.E., Thompson, A., Owens Jr, R.C., Kazakova, S.V., Sambol, S.P.,
- Johnson, S. and Gerding, D.N., 2005. An epidemic, toxin gene-variant strain of Clostridium difficile.
- 272 New England Journal of Medicine, 353(23), pp.2433-2441. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa051590
- 273 4. Stabler RA, Dawson LF, Phua LT, Wren BW. Comparative analysis of BI/NAP1/027
- 274 hypervirulent strains reveals novel toxin B-encoding gene (tcdB) sequences. Journal of medical
- 275 microbiology. 2008 Jun 1;57(6):771-5. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.47743-0
- 276 5. Jones IA, Joshi LT. Biocide Use in the Antimicrobial Era: A Review. Molecules. 2021
- 277 Jan;26(8):2276. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26082276
- 278 6. Jencson AL, Cadnum JL, Wilson BM, Donskey CJ. Spores on wheels: Wheelchairs are a potential
- vector for dissemination of pathogens in healthcare facilities. American journal of infection control.
- 280 2019 Apr 1;47(4):459-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.09.030
- 281 7. Dyer C, Hutt LP, Burky R, Joshi LT. Biocide resistance and transmission of Clostridium difficile
- spores spiked onto clinical surfaces from an American health care facility. Applied and environmental
- 283 microbiology. 2019 Jul 12;85(17):e01090-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01090-19
- 284 8. Tarrant, J., Jenkins, R., & Laird, K. (2018), From Ward to Washer: The Survival of Clostridium
- 285 difficile spores on Hospital Bedsheets through a Commercial UK NHS Healthcare Laundry Process.
- 286 Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 0, 1-6 doi:10.1017/ice.2018.255
- 287 9. Reveles KR, Pugh MJ, Lawson KA, Mortensen EM, Koeller JM, Argamany JR, Frei CR. Shift to
- 288 community-onset Clostridium difficile infection in the national Veterans Health Administration, 2003-
- 289 2014. American journal of infection control. 2018 Apr 1;46(4):431-5.
- 290 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2017.09.020
- 291 10. Owen L, Laird K. The role of textiles as fomites in the healthcare environment: a review of the
- infection control risk. PeerJ. 2020 Aug 25;8:e9790. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9790
- 293 11. Reddy SC, Valderrama AL, Kuhar DT. Improving the use of personal protective equipment:
- applying lessons learned. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2019 Sep 13;69(Supplement_3):S165-70.
- 295 https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz619
- 296 12. Kilinc FS. A review of isolation gowns in healthcare: fabric and gown properties. Journal of
- 297 engineered fibers and fabrics. 2015 Sep; 10 (3): 155892501501000313.
- 298 https://doi.org/10.1177%2F155892501501000313

- 299 13. Balci FS. Isolation gowns in health care settings: Laboratory studies, regulations and standards,
- and potential barriers of gown selection and use. American journal of infection control. 2016 Jan
- 301 1;44(1):104-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2015.07.042
- 302 14. Joshi LT, Phillips DS, Williams CF, Alyousef A, Baillie L. Contribution of spores to the ability of
- 303 Clostridium difficile to adhere to surfaces. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2012 Nov
- 304 1;78(21):7671-9. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01862-12
- 305 15. Mitchell A, Spencer M, Edmiston Jr C. Role of healthcare apparel and other healthcare textiles
- in the transmission of pathogens: a review of the literature. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2015 Aug
- 307 1;90(4):285-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.02.017
- 308 16. Weese JS, Reid-Smith RJ, Avery BP, Rousseau J. Detection and characterization of Clostridium
- 309 difficile in retail chicken. Letters in applied microbiology. 2010 Apr;50(4):362-5.
- 310 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2010.02802.x
- 311 17. Edwards AN, Karim ST, Pascual RA, Jowhar LM, Anderson SE, McBride SM. Chemical and stress
- 312 resistances of Clostridium difficile spores and vegetative cells. Frontiers in microbiology. 2016 Oct
- 313 26;7:1698. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01698
- 314 18. Quinn CD, Sefers SE, Babiker W, He Y, Alcabasa R, Stratton CW, Carroll KC, Tang YW. C. Diff
- 315 Quik Chek complete enzyme immunoassay provides a reliable first-line method for detection of
- 316 Clostridium difficile in stool specimens. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2010 Feb;48(2):603-5.
- 317 https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01614-09
- 318 19. Joshi LT, Mali BL, Geddes CD, Baillie L. Extraction and sensitive detection of toxins A and B
- 319 from the human pathogen Clostridium difficile in 40 seconds using microwave-accelerated metal-
- 320 enhanced fluorescence. PLoS One. 2014 Aug 27;9(8):e104334.
- 321 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104334
- 322 20. Stubbs SL, Brazier JS, O'Neill GL, Duerden BI. PCR targeted to the 16S-23S rRNA gene intergenic
- 323 spacer region of Clostridium difficile and construction of a library consisting of 116 different PCR
- 324 ribotypes. Journal of clinical microbiology. 1999 Feb 1;37(2):461-3.
- 325 https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.37.2.461-463.1999
- 326 21. Lemee L, Dhalluin A, Testelin S, Mattrat MA, Maillard K, Lemeland JF, Pons JL. Multiplex PCR
- 327 targeting tpi (triose phosphate isomerase), tcdA (Toxin A), and tcdB (Toxin B) genes for toxigenic
- 328 culture of Clostridium difficile. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2004 Dec;42(12):5710-4.
- 329 https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.12.5710-5714.2004
- 330 22. Veloo AC, Jean-Pierre H, Justesen US, Morris T, Urban E, Wybo I, Kostrzewa M, Friedrich AW.
- 331 An overview of the data obtained during the validation of an optimized MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper
- database for the identification of anaerobic bacteria. Data in brief. 2018 Jun 1;18:1484-96.
- 333 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.070
- 334 23. Glasset B, Herbin S, Granier SA, Cavalié L, Lafeuille E, Guérin C, Ruimy R, Casagrande-Magne
- 335 F, Levast M, Chautemps N, Decousser JW. Bacillus cereus, a serious cause of nosocomial infections:
- 336 epidemiologic and genetic survey. PLoS One. 2018 May 23;13(5):e0194346.
- 337 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194346

- 338 24. Wazir M, Jain AG, Nadeem M, Rahman AU, Everett G. Clostridium tertium bacteremia in a
- 339 non-neutropenic patient with liver cirrhosis. Cureus. 2019 Apr;11(4).
- 340 https://dx.doi.org/10.7759%2Fcureus.4432
- 341 25. Tyrrell KL, Citron DM, Leoncio ES, Merriam CV, Goldstein EJ. Evaluation of cycloserine-cefoxitin
- fructose agar (CCFA), CCFA with horse blood and taurocholate, and cycloserine-cefoxitin mannitol
- broth with taurocholate and lysozyme for recovery of Clostridium difficile isolates from fecal samples.
- Journal of clinical microbiology. 2013 Sep;51(9):3094-6. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00879-13
- 345 26. Barrie D, Hoffman PN, Wilson JA, Kramer JM. Contamination of hospital linen by Bacillus
- 346 cereus. Epidemiology & Infection. 1994 Oct;113(2):297-306.
- 347 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800051724
- 348 27. Vongsavath T, Salvo R. S2657 Clostridium tertium Liver Abscess: A Case Report on Bacteremia
- Following Prolonged Common Bile Stent. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology
- 350 ACG. 2021 Oct 1;116:S1114-5. doi: 10.14309/01.ajg.0000784160.34589.a1
- 351 28. Bulla LA, Julian GS, Rhodes RA, Hesseltine CW. Scanning electron and phase-contrast
- 352 microscopy of bacterial spores. Applied microbiology. 1969 Sep;18(3):490-5.
- 353 https://doi.org/10.1128/am.18.3.490-495.1969
- 354 29. Panessa-Warren BJ, Tortora GT, Warren JB. High resolution FESEM and TEM reveal bacterial
- 355 spore attachment. Microscopy and Microanalysis. 2007 Aug;13(4):251-66.
- 356 doi:10.1017/S1431927607070651
- 357 30. Weinstein RA, Hota B. Contamination, disinfection, and cross-colonization: are hospital
- 358 surfaces reservoirs for nosocomial infection? Clinical infectious diseases. 2004 Oct 15;39(8):1182-9.
- 359 https://doi.org/10.1086/424667
- 360 31. Wilson GM, Jackson VB, Boyken LD, Schweizer ML, Diekema DJ, Petersen CA, Breheny PJ,
- 361 Nonnenmann MW, Perencevich EN, CDC Prevention Epicenter Program. Bioaerosols generated from
- 362 toilet flushing in rooms of patients with Clostridioides difficile infection. Infection Control & Hospital
- 363 Epidemiology. 2020 May;41(5):517-21. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.11
- 364 32. Redmond SN, Pearlmutter BS, Ng-Wong YK, Alhmidi H, Cadnum JL, Silva SY, Wilson BM,
- 365 Donskey CJ. Timing and route of contamination of hospitalized patient rooms with healthcare-
- 366 associated pathogens. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2021 Jan 12:1-6.
- 367 https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.1367
- 368 33. Deshpande A, Cadnum JL, Fertelli D, et al. Are hospital floors an underappreciated reservoir
- 369 for transmission of healthcare-associated pathogens? American Journal of Infection Control
- 370 2017;45:336–338 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.11.005
- 34. Koganti S, Alhmidi H, Tomas ME, Cadnum JL, Jencson A, Donskey CJ. Evaluation of hospital
- 372 floors as a potential source of pathogen dissemination using a nonpathogenic virus as a surrogate
- 373 marker. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016;37:1374–1377 https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2016.181
- 374 35. Katoh I, Tanabe F, Kasai H, Moriishi K, Shimasaki N, Shinohara K, Uchida Y, Koshiba T, Arakawa
- 375 S, Morimoto M. Potential risk of virus carryover by fabrics of personal protective gowns. Frontiers in
- 376 public health. 2019 May 22;7:121. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00121

378 379 380	on waste	and resiliency in a pandemic e generation. Resources, i.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.20	Conservation and	Recycling. 2020 Nov 20:10526.		
381 382 383	protective		al science & techno	ninable management of used person logy. 2020 Jun 29;54(14):8500-		
384 385 386		n the intensive care unit. Criti		with the enemy: Clostridium diffici:1-0. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054		
387 388 389	Clostridiur		nical microbiology revi	Vilcox MH, Kuijper EJ. Understandir ews. 2018 Mar 14;31(2):e00021-1	_	
390						
391						
392						
393						
394						
395						
396						
397						
398						
399	9. Figu	res and tables				
400 T	ABLES					
401	401 Table 1 Clostridioides difficile strains used in this study					
402		C. difficile strain	PCR Ribotype	Source		
403		R20291	027	Stoke- Mandeville, UK		
		DS1813	027	Hinchingbrooke, UK		

Hicks A, Temizel-Sekeryan S, Kontar W, Ghamkhar R, Morris MR. Personal respiratory

377

404

405

406

407

CD630

DS1684

36.

*NCTC -National Collection of Type Cultures

NCTC

Brighton, UK

012

Table 2 Phenotypic characterisation of presumptive *C. difficile* colonies.

	Sample Number	CCFA Growth	Odor	Fluorescence under UV Light	Gamma Hemolysis	C. DIFF	Quik Chek Toxin A/B
	1, 3, 4,	+	-	-	+	-	-
	2В	+	+	+	+	+	+
ı	5, 7,8	+	-	+	+	-	-

*CCFA- Cycloserine and cefoxitin fructose agar

Table 3 Confirmation of Sample Identity

Sample Number	Result
2B	C. difficile Ribotype 027
5	Propionibacterium spp
7	Presumptive Bacillus cereus (MALDI-TOF)
8	Clostridium tertium

FIGURES

Figure 1: Workflow of C. difficile Identification

The process of isolation of presumptive *C. difficile* from the gown ties is described. The phenotypic testing on Cycloserine-Cefoxitin Fructose Agar (CCFA), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Multiplex PCR, Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and 16S-23S rRNA PCR ribotyping was used to fully analyse suspected *C. difficile* isolates and identify species.

Figure 2: Multiplex PCR of suspected *C. difficile* isolates

Agarose gel electrophoresis of a multiplex PCR used for identification and toxigenic type characterisation of presumptive *C. difficile* isolates, previously isolated from used hospital gowns. M represents Quick-Load® 1kb plus ladder. Lane 1 represents sample 2B, lane 2 represents sample 19, lane 3 represents sample 17, lane 4 represents sample 12. Positive controls for the *tpi and tcdA* and *tcdB genes* were in lane 5 -*C. difficile* DS1813, lane 6 - *C. difficile* R20291, and lane 8 - *C. difficile* CD630. Negative control for *tcdA* and *tcdB* genes was lane 7 - *C. difficile* DS1684 and nuclease free-water and an *E. coli* K12 was used in Lane 9 as a non-clostridial strain control. Arrows indicate number of base pairs correlated to the DNA bands detected.

Figure 3: Scanning Electron microscopy of suspected *C. difficile* isolates

Scanning electron microscopy of presumptive *C. difficile* isolated from contaminated single-use hospital gowns. Spores were produced and harvested from each purified sample after selective culture. (A) represents sample 2B- *C. difficile* 027 spore, (B) represents sample 5 a Propionibacterium *spp* (C) represents sample 7a Presumptive *Bacillus cereus* spore and (D) represents sample 8 a *Clostridium tertium* spore. Scale bar is 100 nm.