

Isomorphic Operator Algebras and Conjugate Inner Functions

T. B. HOOVER

I. Introduction

Let D denote the open unit disk in the complex plane, $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, and let m be normalized arclength measure on the boundary ∂D of D . If ϕ is a nonconstant inner function on D , then $C = C_\phi$ denotes the composition operator on $H^2 = H^2(D)$ determined by ϕ — $C_\phi(f) = f \circ \phi$. Here \circ denotes function composition. That C_ϕ is bounded is proven in [7; 8]. The operator C_ϕ does not tell everything about the analytic function ϕ . Indeed, if e_n is the function $e_n(z) = z^n$, then $C_{e_n}(e_m) = e_{nm}$ so that, for $n > 1$, C_{e_n} is the direct sum of a 1-dimensional identity operator and a pure isometry of infinite multiplicity. As such, they are all unitarily equivalent to each other. On the other hand, e_n covers the disk n times so that these functions are not the same.

Each f in H^∞ defines the analytic Toeplitz operator T_f on H^2 by $T_f(h) = fh$. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_\phi$ denote the norm closed algebra generated by C_ϕ and all the analytic Toeplitz operators. Note that $C_\phi T_f = T_{f \circ \phi} C_\phi$, so that \mathbf{A} is commutative just in case ϕ is the identity function $\phi(z) = z$. From here on, the same notation will be used to denote the H^∞ function, its boundary function, its Toeplitz operator, and even its Gelfand transform. This convention is convenient and will cause no confusion.

Two inner functions ϕ and ψ are conjugate if there is an analytic homeomorphism τ of D satisfying $\tau \circ \psi = \phi \circ \tau$. We prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *If ϕ and ψ are nonconstant, nonperiodic inner functions, then they are conjugate if and only if the algebras \mathbf{A}_ϕ and \mathbf{A}_ψ are isomorphic.*

Here, ϕ is periodic if $\phi^{(n)}(z) = z$, where $\phi^{(n)}$ denotes the n -fold iterate of ϕ . The analytic homeomorphisms of D are the Möbius transformations

$$\tau(z) = c \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z},$$

where $|a| < 1$ and $|c| = 1$. Theorem 1 is just the analytic version of what is done in [1; 2; 4; 5] for composition operators on L^2 spaces.

If τ is a homeomorphism as in the theorem, then $C_\tau C_\phi C_\tau^{-1} = C_\psi$ and $C_\tau f C_\tau^{-1} = f \circ \tau$, so that the map $\Gamma(a) = C_\tau a C_\tau^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{A}_ϕ

Received July 23, 1990. Revision received July 3, 1991.
Michigan Math. J. 39 (1992).

onto \mathbf{A}_ψ . The interesting part of the theorem, then, is in the other direction. We must first study the algebra \mathbf{A}_ϕ more carefully.

II. The Algebra \mathbf{A}

The measure $m \circ \phi^{-1}$ given by $m \circ \phi^{-1}(E) = m(\phi^{-1}(E))$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m , and

$$\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-1}}{dm} = P_{\phi(0)}(z) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z + \phi(0)}{z - \phi(0)} \right)$$

is the Poisson kernel for evaluation at $\phi(0)$ [7]. Let $h(z)$ be the reciprocal of the normalized Cauchy kernel:

$$h(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{\phi(0)}z}{(1 - |\phi(0)|^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Then h and h^{-1} are in H^∞ , and $|h|^2 = (P_{\phi(0)})^{-1}$ almost everywhere on ∂D . For any measurable function f on ∂D , $\int |h|^2 \circ \phi f \circ \phi dm = \int f dm$ and $U = U_\phi = T_{h \circ \phi} C_\phi$ is an isometry. If f is in H^∞ then so is $C_\phi(f)$. Since $m \circ \phi^{-1}$ is not only absolutely continuous but also equivalent to m , C_ϕ is an isometry on H^∞ . Note that the set of operators of the form $\sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n$, with f_n in H^∞ , is dense in \mathbf{A} . These operators will be called polynomials. Clearly $(C_\phi)^n = C_{\phi^{(n)}}$. On the other hand,

$$(U_\phi)^n = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n T_{h \circ \phi^{(k)}} \right) C_{\phi^{(n)}},$$

which is generally not the same as $U_{\phi^{(n)}} = T_{h_n \circ \phi^{(n)}} C_{\phi^{(n)}}$ where h_n is the outer function satisfying

$$|h_n| = \left[\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \right]^{-1/2} = [P_{\phi^{(n)}(0)}]^{-1/2}$$

almost everywhere on ∂D .

Let Σ denote the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of ∂D and $\Sigma_n = \phi^{-(n)}(\Sigma) = \{\phi^{-(n)}(S) : S \in \Sigma\}$, and let E_n denote the conditional expectation given Σ_n . So if f is any positive or integrable function on ∂D , then $E_n(f)$ is Σ_n measurable and $\int_S E_n(f) dm = \int_S f dm$ for each S in Σ_n . If f is Σ_n measurable then $E_n(fg) = fE_n(g)$ for any function g . Also, $E_n(f)$ is positive whenever f is positive, and $\|E_n(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ if f is bounded. There is a function g satisfying $f = g \circ \phi^{(n)}$ if and only if $E_n(f) = f$, and in this case g is unique up to a set of measure 0. We shall write $g = f \circ \phi^{-(n)}$.

PROPOSITION 2.

1. $E_n \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) = \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \circ \phi^{(n)} \right)^{-1}$.

2. If f is in H^2 , then fU^n defines a bounded operator if and only if $E_n(|f|^2)$ is bounded and

$$\|fU^n\|^2 = \left\| E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \circ \phi^{(n)} \right\|_\infty.$$

Proof. 1. Both U^n and $U_{\phi^{(n)}}$ are isometries, so if $S \in \Sigma$ then $\|U^n(\chi_S)\|^2 = \|U_{\phi^{(n)}}(\chi_S)\|^2 = m(S)$. That is,

$$\int \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \chi_{\phi^{-(n)}(S)} dm = \int \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \circ \phi^{(n)} \right)^{-1} \chi_{\phi^{-(n)}(S)} dm$$

as desired.

2. Suppose f is in H^2 . Since $|h|^2$ and $dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}/dm$ are just Poisson kernels, there are constants K_1 and K_2 such that

$$K_1 |f|^2 \leq |f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \circ \phi^{(n)} \leq K_2 |f|^2.$$

This and the fact that E_n preserves inequalities yields that $E_n(|f|^2)$ is bounded if and only if

$$E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \circ \phi^{(n)}$$

is. If $g \in H^2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|fU^n(g)\|^2 &= \int |f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 |g \circ \phi^{(n)}|^2 dm \\ &= \int E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) |g \circ \phi^{(n)}|^2 dm \\ &= \int E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \circ \phi^{-(n)} \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \right) |g|^2 dm \\ &\leq \left\| E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \circ \phi^{-(n)} \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \right) \right\|_\infty \|g\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \circ \phi^{(n)} \right) \right\|_\infty \|g\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows because C_ϕ is an isometry on H^∞ . This shows that

$$\|fU^n\|^2 \leq \left\| E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \circ \phi^{(n)} \right\|_\infty.$$

To show equality, pick g in H^2 so that $|g|$ approximates, in the L^2 sense, the characteristic function of the set on which

$$E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(k)}|^2 \right) \circ \phi^{-(n)} \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \right)$$

is almost its maximum. \square

We next define coordinate maps Π_n on \mathbf{A} such that $\Pi_n(\sum_{k=0}^N f_k U^k) = f_n$. Since fU^n may be a bounded operator even if f is not a bounded function, it

is necessary to define coordinate spaces that may be larger than H^∞ . Let $K_n = \{f \in H^2 : E_n(|f|^2) \in L^\infty\}$ and $\|f\|_n = \|fU^n\|$.

PROPOSITION 3. For each n , K_n is a Banach space, $H^\infty \subseteq K_n \subseteq K_{n+1} \subseteq H^2$, and the inclusion operators are bounded.

We let K_0 be H^∞ , E_0 the identity operator, and $\|\cdot\|_0 = \|\cdot\|_\infty$.

PROPOSITION 4. If ϕ is not periodic, then for each $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ there is a map Π_n from \mathbf{A} to K_n such that $\|\Pi_n(a)\|_n \leq \|a\|$ and $\Pi_n(\sum_{k=0}^N f_k U^k) = f_n$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\|f_n\|_n \leq \|\sum_{k=0}^N f_k U^k\|$. Let $a = \sum_{k=0}^N f_k U^k$. If, for some n , $\{z : |z| = 1, \phi^{(n)}(z) = z\}$ has positive measure, then $\phi^{(n)}(z) = z$ for almost all z on ∂D . This case has been excluded. Consequently, the set of fixed points of $\phi^{(n)}$ on ∂D has measure zero; that is, ϕ is aperiodic. As in Halmos [6], if $E \subseteq \partial D$ with $m(E) > 0$ and if k is a natural number, then there is a subset F of E with $m(F) > 0$ such that the sets $\phi^{-n}(F)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are pairwise disjoint.

Let

$$E = \left\{ z : |z| = 1, \left(E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{i=1}^n |h \circ \phi^{(i)}|^2 \right) \right)^{1/2} \circ \phi^{(-n)} \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \right)^{1/2} > \|f_n\|_n - \epsilon \right\}$$

Now pick $F \subset E$ and $m(F) > 0$ such that the sets $\phi^{(-k)}(F)$ are pairwise disjoint for $1 \leq k \leq N$. The operator a can act on $L^2(m)$ as well as H^2 . We temporarily use $\|a\|_L$ and $\|a\|_H$ to denote the norms of these two operators. Pick g in $L^2(m)$ such that $\|g - \chi_F\| \leq \epsilon/\|a\|_L$, $\|g\| \leq \|\chi_F\|$, and $z^s g \in H^2$ for some s . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\|f_n\|_n - \epsilon)^2 \|\chi_F\|^2 &\leq \int \left(E_n \left(|f|^2 \prod_{i=1}^n |g \circ \phi^{(i)}|^2 \right) \right) \circ \phi^{(-n)} \left(\frac{dm \circ \phi^{-(n)}}{dm} \right) \chi_F dm \\ &= \int E_n \left(|f_n|^2 \prod_{i=1}^n |g \circ \phi^{(i)}|^2 \right) \chi_{F \circ \phi^{(n)}} dm \\ &= \int |f_n|^2 \prod_{i=1}^n |g \circ \phi^{(i)}|^2 \chi_{F \circ \phi^{(n)}} dm \\ &\leq \int \sum_{k=0}^N |f_k|^2 \prod_{i=1}^k |g \circ \phi^{(i)}|^2 (\chi_{F \circ \phi^{(k)}}) |(\phi^{(k)})^s|^2 dm \\ &= \int \left| \sum_{k=0}^N f_k \prod_{i=1}^k g \circ \phi^{(i)} (\chi_{F \circ \phi^{(k)}}) (\phi^{(k)})^s \right|^2 dm \\ &= \int |a(z^s \chi_F)|^2 dm = \|a(z^s \chi_F)\|^2 \leq (\|a(z^s g)\| + \epsilon)^2 \\ &\leq (\|a\|_H \|z^s h\| + \epsilon)^2 = (\|a\|_H \|g\| + \epsilon)^2 \\ &\leq (\|a\|_H \|\chi_F\| + \epsilon)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the two sums are equal because the function $\chi_F \circ \phi^{(k)}$ is supported on $\phi^{-(k)}(F)$, and these sets are disjoint. \square

PROPOSITION 5. *If ϕ is not periodic, then the coordinate maps satisfy the product rule*

$$\Pi_k(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^k (\Pi_i(a))(\Pi_{k-i}(b) \circ \phi^{(i)}).$$

Proof. This identity is true if a and b are polynomials. That it is true for all a and b follows by continuity. \square

PROPOSITION 6. *The closed ideal of \mathbf{A} generated by U^{n+1} is $\bigcap_{i=0}^n \ker \Pi_i$.*

Proof. That the intersection of these kernels is a closed ideal that contains U^{n+1} follows from the product rule and the continuity of the Π_i . Conversely, suppose $\Pi_i(a) = 0$ for $i \leq n$. Let p_k be a sequence of polynomials in \mathbf{A} that converges to a ; then $p_k - \sum_{i=0}^n \Pi_i(p_k)U^i$ is in the ideal generated by U^{n+1} and converges to a . Thus a is in the ideal generated by U^{n+1} . \square

It is necessary to examine the multiplicative linear functionals on \mathbf{A} . Let M denote the space of nonzero multiplicative functionals on \mathbf{A} , and let Δ be the maximal ideal space of H^∞ . We will think of D as a subset of Δ and write $f(z)$ instead of $z(f)$. For $z \in \Delta$, let $M_z = \{\alpha \in M : \alpha(f) = f(z) \text{ for all } f \text{ in } H^\infty\}$. If ϕ is not periodic then no M_z is empty. Indeed, if α_z is defined by $\alpha_z(a) = \Pi_0(a)(z)$, then α_z is in M_z .

The inner function ϕ can be extended from D to a transformation of Δ as follows. If f is in H^∞ , let $T(f) = f \circ \phi$, and let T^* be the adjoint transformation of T . Then, if $z \in \Delta$ and f and g are in H^∞ , it is easily verified that $T^*(z)(fg) = T^*(z)(f)T^*(z)(g)$ so that T^* maps Δ to Δ , and if z is in D then $T^*(z)(f) = z(f \circ \phi) = f(\phi(z))$ or $T^*(z) = \phi(z)$. The restriction of T^* to Δ is the desired extension of ϕ and will be called ϕ as well.

Let A_r denote the disk algebra on the closed disk $\bar{D}_r = \{|z| \leq r\}$; A_r is thus the uniform closure of the polynomials in the algebra of all continuous functions on \bar{D}_r . We write A for A_1 , and A_0 is just the field of complex numbers.

PROPOSITION 7. *Suppose that ϕ is not periodic.*

- (a) *If $z \in \Delta$ and $\phi(z) \neq z$, then $M_z = \{\alpha_z\}$.*
- (b) *If $z \in \Delta$ and $\phi(z) = z$, then there is an r ($0 \leq r \leq 1$) and a bounded algebra homomorphism ρ_z of \mathbf{A} to A_r such that $\alpha \in M_z$ if and only if there is a ξ in \bar{D}_r such that $\alpha(a) = \rho_z(a)(\xi)$ for all a in \mathbf{A} .*
- (c) *If $z \in D$ and $\phi(z) = z$, then $r = 1$ and ρ_z maps onto A .*

Proof. (a) If z is not a fixed point of ϕ , then pick f in H^∞ with $f(z) \neq f(\phi(z))$. Then, for $\alpha \in M_z$, $\alpha(U)f(z) = \alpha(U)\alpha(f) = \alpha(Uf) = \alpha((f \circ \phi)U) = \alpha((f \circ \phi)\alpha(U)) = f(\phi(z))\alpha(U)$. Thus $\alpha(U) = 0$. So α agrees with α_z on sums of the form $\sum_{i=0}^n f_i U^i$. But such sums are dense in \mathbf{A} , so $\alpha = \alpha_z$.

(b) Suppose z is a fixed point of ϕ . Let $r = \sup\{|\alpha(U)| : \alpha \in M_z\}$. Since M_z is compact, there is a β in M_z such that $|\beta(U)| = r$. If f is in H^∞ , then $fU^n \in \mathbf{A}$ and $|f(z)|r^n = |\beta(fU^n)| \leq \|fU^n\| = \|f\|_n$. Suppose $|\xi_0| < r$. If $a = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n$, then $\|f_n\|_n \leq \|a\|$ and

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(z) \xi_0^n \right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^N |f_n(z)| |\xi_0|^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \|a\| \left(\frac{|\xi_0|}{r} \right)^n \leq \frac{\|a\|}{1 - |\xi_0|/r}.$$

Hence the map $\alpha(\sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(z) \xi_0^n$ extends to all of \mathbf{A} . Clearly $\alpha \in M_z$ and so $\|\alpha\| = 1$. If $\rho_z(\sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n)$ is the polynomial in ξ $\sum_{n=0}^N f_n(z) \xi^n$, then $\rho_z(\sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n)(\xi_0)$ is just $\alpha(\sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n)$. Consequently

$$\left\| \rho_z \left(\sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n \right) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n \right\|,$$

where the former norm is the supremum on D_r ; thus ρ_z extends to be continuous on all of \mathbf{A} . Note that the set $\{\alpha(U) : \alpha \in M_z\}$ is closed and so must be \bar{D}_r .

(c) If $z \in D$ is a fixed point then $r = 1$. Indeed, if $|z| < 1$ then evaluation at z has norm $(1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2}$ as a linear functional on H^2 . Thus, if $a = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n U^n$ is in \mathbf{A} , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^N |f_n(z)| |\xi|^n &\leq \sum_{n=0}^N \|f_n\|_2 (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2} |\xi|^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^N \|f_n\|_n (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2} |\xi|^n \leq \|a\| (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^N |\xi|^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the map $a \rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^N f_n(z) \xi^n$ extends to \mathbf{A} as long as $|\xi| < 1$. Therefore $r = 1$. It remains to show that ρ_z maps \mathbf{A} onto A . But if g is in A then $g(U)$ is defined by the functional calculus, $g(U)$ is in \mathbf{A} , and $\rho_z(g(U)) = g$. \square

The map $z \rightarrow \alpha_z$ naturally imbeds Δ as a subset of M . Similarly, if z is a fixed point of ϕ such that the "radius" r of M_z is positive, then part (b) of Proposition 7 identifies M_z with the disk \bar{D}_r . So M is the union of disks, one of which looks like Δ and the others like true disks \bar{D} . Furthermore, \mathbf{A} acts as an algebra of analytic functions of these disks, like H^∞ on Δ and like A on the others.

DEFINITION. A subset C of M is an analytic disk for \mathbf{A} if

- (a) C is the closure of its interior, and
- (b) if $a \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\alpha(a) = 0$ for all α in some nonempty open subset of C , then $\alpha(a) = 0$ for all α in C .

PROPOSITION 8. *The maximal analytic disks in M are Δ and those M_z with positive radius.*

Proof. That Δ and the nontrivial M_z are analytic disks is clear. Since U vanishes only on Δ and $z - z_0$ vanishes only on M_{z_0} , these are maximal analytic

disks. If C is any analytic disk, then the interior of C must have nontrivial intersection with the interior of either Δ or one of the M_{z_0} . In the first case U must vanish on C , in the latter case $z - z_0$ does. In either case, C must be contained in one of the indicated disks. \square

III. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose ϕ and ψ are two aperiodic inner functions and Γ is an algebra isomorphism from \mathbf{A}_ϕ to \mathbf{A}_ψ . Let M and N denote the spaces of multiplicative functionals on \mathbf{A}_ϕ and \mathbf{A}_ψ . Then Γ induces a map γ from N to M given by $\alpha(\Gamma(a)) = \gamma(\alpha)(a)$. The map γ is a homeomorphism since the topologies on M and N are determined by their corresponding algebras. The defining equation for γ shows that it maps analytic disks to analytic disks. In particular, $\gamma(\Delta)$ is a maximal analytic disk in M . But since no $M_z = \bar{D}_r$ is homeomorphic to Δ , it must be that $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta$. That is, if Π_n denotes the n th coordinate map for both \mathbf{A}_ϕ and \mathbf{A}_ψ , and if (for w in Δ) β_w is the functional in N given by $\beta_w(b) = \Pi_0(b)(w)$, then there is a $z = \tau(w)$ in Δ such that $\gamma(\beta_w) = \alpha_z$. So for a in \mathbf{A}_ϕ , $\beta_w(\Gamma(a)) = \alpha_{\tau(w)}(a)$; in particular, if f is in H^∞ then $f(\tau(w)) = \Pi_0(\Gamma(f))(w)$.

LEMMA 9. $\Pi_0(\Gamma(U_\phi)) = 0$.

Proof. If $w \in \Delta$, then

$$\Pi_0(\Gamma(U_\phi))(w) = \beta_w(\Gamma(U_\phi)) = \alpha_{\tau(w)}(U_\phi) = \Pi_0(U_\phi)(\tau(w)) = 0. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 10. $\Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi)) \neq 0$.

Proof. If $\Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi)) = 0$, then $\Gamma(U_\phi)$ is in the closed ideal of \mathbf{A}_ψ generated by $(U_\psi)^2$. Then the isomorphism Γ induces a homomorphism of the quotient Banach algebra $\mathbf{A}_\phi/\mathbf{A}_\phi U_\phi$ onto the algebra $\mathbf{A}_\psi/\mathbf{A}_\psi(U_\psi)^2$, where $\mathbf{A}_\phi U_\phi$ and $\mathbf{A}_\psi(U_\psi)^2$ denote (respectively) the closed ideals of \mathbf{A}_ϕ and \mathbf{A}_ψ generated by U_ϕ and $(U_\psi)^2$. But this is impossible because the former quotient is commutative and the latter is not. \square

The homeomorphism τ of Δ has been constructed. It remains to show that $\phi \circ \tau = \tau \circ \psi$. If $f \in H^\infty$ then $U_\phi f = (f \circ \phi)U_\phi$. Hence,

$$\Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi)\Gamma(f)) = \Pi_1(\Gamma(f \circ \phi)\Gamma(U_\phi)).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi)\Gamma(f)) &= \Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi))\Pi_0(\Gamma(f)) \circ \psi + \Pi_0(\Gamma(U_\phi))\Pi_1(\Gamma(f)) \\ &= \Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi))\Pi_0(\Gamma(f)) \circ \psi \end{aligned}$$

by the product rule and the fact that $\Pi_0(\Gamma(U_\phi)) = 0$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1(\Gamma(f \circ \phi)\Gamma(U_\phi)) &= \Pi_1(\Gamma(f \circ \phi))\Pi_0(\Gamma(U_\phi)) \circ \psi + \Pi_0(\Gamma(f \circ \phi))\Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi)) \\ &= \Pi_0(\Gamma(f \circ \phi))\Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi))\Pi_0(\Gamma(f))\circ\psi - \Pi_0(\Gamma(f\circ\phi))\Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi)) \\ &= \Pi_1(\Gamma(U_\phi))[\Pi_0(\Gamma(f))\circ\psi - \Pi_0(\Gamma(f\circ\phi))]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the product of two functions, analytic in D , is the zero function. One of the functions is not identically zero, so the other must be:

$$\Pi_0(\Gamma(f))\circ\psi - \Pi_0(\Gamma(f\circ\phi)) = 0.$$

Recalling that $\Pi_0(\Gamma(g)) = g\circ\tau$ for g in H^∞ , we have $f\circ\tau\circ\psi = f\circ\phi\circ\tau$. But $f \in H^\infty$ is arbitrary, so $\tau\circ\psi = \phi\circ\tau$. Finally, if e is the identity function of D , $e(z) = z$, then

$$\tau(z) = e(\tau(z)) = \alpha_{\tau(z)}(e) = \alpha_z(\Gamma(z)) = \Pi_0(\Gamma(e))(z),$$

so τ is analytic on D .

IV. The Periodic Case

What happens if ϕ is periodic? Which ϕ are periodic?

PROPOSITION 11. *If ϕ is an inner function of period n , then ϕ is conjugate to a rotation $\rho(z) = cz$, where $c^n = 1$.*

Proof. According to [3], an analytic homeomorphism ϕ of D has either one fixed point in D , or one on the boundary of D , or two on the boundary of D . Furthermore, if ϕ has boundary fixed points, then one of them is attractive in the sense that $\phi^{(n)}(z)$ converges to this fixed point for each z in D . But this cannot happen if ϕ is periodic, so ϕ must have its fixed point α in D . Let $\tau(z) = (z - \alpha)/(\bar{\alpha}z - 1)$. Then $\tau^{-1}\circ\phi\circ\tau$ has period n and fixes 0, and so must be a periodic rotation of D . \square

A proposition similar to Proposition 4 is true for periodic ϕ .

PROPOSITION 12. *If ϕ has period n , then for each $k < n$ there is a bounded coordinate map Π_k of \mathbf{A} onto H^∞ such that*

$$a = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Pi_k(a)U^k$$

for each a in \mathbf{A} .

Proof. It is first shown that if $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i U^i$ then $\|f_k\| \leq \|a\|$. Let τ be an analytic homeomorphism of D such that $\tau^{-1}\circ\phi\circ\tau$ is a periodic rotation. If $E = \{z \in \partial D : |f_k(a)| \geq \|f_k\| - \epsilon\}$, then E intersects one of the sets $\{\tau(e^{i\theta}) : (j-1)2\pi/n \leq \theta < j2\pi/n\}$ in a set of positive measure. Let F be that set. Then the sets $\phi^{(-i)}(F)$, $0 \leq i < n$, are disjoint. The desired inequality now follows as in the proof of Proposition 4. Set $\Pi_k(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i U^i) = f_k$ and extend Π_k

continuously to all of \mathbf{A} . Every a in \mathbf{A} can be written as $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Pi_k(a)U^k$, since this is true for polynomials and the set of polynomials is dense in \mathbf{A} . □

In case ϕ has period n , \mathbf{A}_ϕ has only n complex homomorphisms.

PROPOSITION 13. *If ϕ has period n then $M = M_w$, where w is the fixed point of ϕ and $\{\alpha(U) : \alpha \in M\}$ is just the set on n th roots of unity.*

Proof. If $\alpha \in M$ then $\alpha(U)^n = \alpha(U^n) = 1$, so $\alpha(U)$ is a root of unity. If e is the identity function in H^∞ , $e(z) = z$, and if $\alpha \in M_w$, then $\alpha(U)w = \alpha(U)\alpha(e) = \alpha(Ue) = \alpha(e \circ \phi U) = \phi(w)\alpha(U)$. Thus w is the fixed point of ϕ . Conversely, if $d^n = 1$, then setting $\alpha(a) = (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Pi_i(a)(w)d^i)$ defines a complex homomorphism with $\alpha(U) = d$. □

COROLLARY 14. *If \mathbf{A}_ϕ and \mathbf{A}_ψ are isomorphic and ϕ has period n , then so does ψ .*

So \mathbf{A}_ϕ is quite simple when ϕ is periodic, yet our theorem fails miserably in this case. If ϕ and ψ are rotations of period n , then for some i and j ($0 < i, j < n$), $\phi^{(i)} = \psi$ and $\psi^{(j)} = \phi$, so that \mathbf{A}_ϕ and \mathbf{A}_ψ are not only isomorphic but equal. Yet a simple computation shows that ϕ and ψ will be conjugate only if they are the same.

References

1. W. B. Arveson, *Operator algebras and measure preserving automorphisms*, Acta Math. 118 (1967), 95–109.
2. W. B. Arveson and K. B. Josephson, *Operator algebras and measure preserving automorphisms II*, J. Funct. Anal. 4 (1969), 100–134.
3. R. B. Burckel, *Iterating analytic self-maps of disks*, Amer. Math. Monthly 88 (1981), 396–406.
4. D. W. Hadwin and T. B. Hoover, *Operator algebras and the conjugacy of transformations*, J. Funct. Anal. 77 (1988), 112–122.
5. ———, *Operator algebras and the conjugacy of transformations II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1989), 365–369.
6. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on ergodic theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1956.
7. E. A. Nordgren, *Composition operators*, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 442–449.
8. ———, *Composition operators on Hilbert space*, Hilbert space operators, pp. 37–63, Lecture Notes in Math. 693, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

Department of Mathematics
 University of Hawaii
 Honolulu, HI 96822

