## ITERATIVE APPROXIMATION OF FIXED POINTS OF LIPSCHITZIAN STRICTLY PSEUDO-CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

## C. E. CHIDUME

ABSTRACT. Suppose  $X = L_p$  (or  $l_p$ ),  $p \ge 2$ , and K is a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of X. Suppose  $T: K \to K$  is a Lipschitzian strictly pseudo-contractive mapping of K into itself. Let  $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a real sequence satisfying:

(i)  $0 < C_n < 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = \infty$ , and (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^2 < \infty$ . Then the iteration process,  $x_0 \in K$ ,

 $x_{n+1} = (1 - C_n)x_n + C_n T x_n$ 

for  $n \ge 1$ , converges strongly to a fixed point of T in K.

**1. Introduction.** Let X be a Banach space,  $K \subseteq X$ . A mapping  $T: K \to K$  is said to be a *strict pseudo-contraction* if there exists t > 1 such that the inequality

(1) 
$$||x-y|| \le ||(1+r)(x-y) - rt(Tx-Ty)||$$

holds for all x, y in K and r > 0. If, in the above definition, t = 1, then T is said to be a *pseudo-contractive* mapping. Pseudo-contractive mappings have been studied by various authors (see e.g., [1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12]). Interest in such mappings stems mainly from the fact that a mapping T is pseudo-contractive if and only if (I-T) is accretive [5, Proposition 1], where, for a mapping U with domain D(U) and range R(U) in an arbitrary Banach space X, U is said to be accretive [5] if the inequality

(2) 
$$||x-y|| \le ||x-y+s(Ux-Uy)||$$

holds for every x and y in D(U) and for all s > 0. If (2) holds only for some s > 0, U is said to be monotone [12]. The mapping theory for accretive mappings is thus closely related to the fixed point theory of pseudo-contractive mappings.

The accretive operators were introduced independently by T. Kato [12] and F. E. Browder [5] in 1967. An early fundamental result in the theory of accretive operators, due to Browder [5], states that the initial value problem

$$du/dt + Tu = 0, \qquad u(0) = \omega$$

is solvable if T is locally Lipschitzian and accretive on X, a result which was subsequently generalized by R. H. Martin [16] to the continuous accretive operators.

In [2], J. Bogin considered the connection between strict pseudo-contractions and strictly accretive operators (defined below). He proved that U is a strict pseudo-contraction if and only if (I-U) is a strict accretive operator. He further proved a

Received by the editors April 21, 1985 and, in revised form, October 7, 1985.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 47H15; Secondary 47H05.

<sup>©1987</sup> American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

fixed point theorem in Banach spaces for Lipschitz strict psuedo-contractions, and as a consequence obtained a mapping theorem of Browder for Lipschitzian strictly accretive operators.

Suppose now  $X = L_p$  (or  $l_p$ ),  $p \ge 2$ , and  $K \subseteq X$ . Suppose further that  $T: K \to K$  is a Lipschitz strict pseudo-contraction with a nonempty fixed point set in K. Our objective in this paper is to prove that an iterative process of the type introduced by W. R. Mann [15] converges strongly to a fixed point of T.

REMARK. For Lipschitz pseudo-contractions with a nonempty fixed point set, it is an open question, even in Hilbert spaces, whether or not an iteration process of the Mann-type will converge strongly to a fixed point of T (see [11, p. 504].

2. Preliminaries. For a Banach space X we shall denote by J the duality mapping from X to  $2^{X^*}$  given by

$$Jx = (f^* \in X^* \colon \|f^*\|^2 = \|x\|^2 = \langle x, f^* \rangle),$$

where  $X^*$  denotes the dual space of X and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing. If  $X^*$  is strictly convex, then J is single-valued, and if  $X^*$  is uniformly convex, then J is uniformly continuous on bounded sets (see [18, 19]). Thus, by a single-valued normalized duality mapping, we shall mean a mapping  $j: X \to X^*$ such that for each u in X, j(u) is an element of  $X^*$  which satisfies the following two conditions:

$$\langle j(u), u 
angle = \| j(u) \| \cdot \| u \|, \qquad \| j(u) \| = \| u \|.$$

The accretiveness (or monotonicity) for U defined in (2) can also be expressed in terms of the duality map J as follows (see [12]). For each  $x, y \in D(U)$ , there is some  $j \in J(x-y)$  such that

(3) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Ux - Uy, j \rangle \geq 0$$

and (as was observed in [12]) if X is a Hilbert space, (3) is equivalent to the monotonicity of U in the sense of Minty [17].

Now let  $K \subseteq X$ . A mapping  $A: K \to X$  is said to be *strictly accretive* if for each x, y in K there exists  $\omega \in J(x-y)$  such that

(4) 
$$(Ax - Ay, \omega) \ge k \|x - y\|^2$$

for some constant k > 0. Without loss of generality we shall assume  $k \in (0, 1)$ .

In the sequel we shall assume that  $L_p$ ,  $p \ge 2$ , has at least two disjoint sets of positive finite measure, X will denote  $L_p$  or  $l_p$   $(p \ge 2)$ , and j will always denote the single-valued normalized duality mapping of X into X<sup>\*</sup>. We shall need the following results.

LEMMA 1. For the Banach space X, the following inequality holds for all x, y in X:

(5) 
$$\|x+y\|^2 \le (p-1)\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\langle x, j(y) \rangle$$

PROOF. For  $X = L_p$  or  $l_p$ ,  $p \ge 2$ , the following inequality holds (see, e.g., [7]). For all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(p-1)||x+y||^2 \ge ||x||^2 + ||y||^2 + 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle.$$

Now, replace x by y and y by x - y to get

$$||x-y||^2 \le (p-1)||x||^2 + ||y||^2 + 2\langle -x, j(y) \rangle.$$

Now replace x by -x to obtain (5).

LEMMA 2 (DUNN [9, p. 41]). Let  $\beta_n$  be recursively generated by

$$\beta_{n+1} = (1 - \delta_n)\beta_n + \sigma_n^2$$

with  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\beta_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\{\delta_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ , and

(7a) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^2 < \infty,$$

(7b) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n = \infty.$$

Then  $\beta_n \geq 0$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\beta_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

LEMMA 3 (BOGIN [2]). Let X be a Banach space, K a subset of X, and  $U: K \to X$ . Then, if U is a strict pseudo-contraction, T = I - U is strictly monotone, with k = (t-1)/t.

**PROOF.** U is a strict contraction implies that for all  $x, y \in K$ , and r > 0, t > 0, we have

$$\|x-y\| \ge \|(1+r)(x-y) - rt(Ux - Uy)\|$$
  
=  $\|(1+r)(x-y) - r(tUx - tUy)\|.$ 

Thus, the mapping (tU) is pseudo-contractive, so by [5], the mapping  $T_t$  defined by  $T_t = I - (tU)$  is monotone. So, for each x, y in K there exists  $j \in J(x-y)$  such that

$$\langle T_t x - T_t y, j(x-y) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Observe that  $T_t = I - (tU) = I - t(I - T) = tT - (t - 1)I$ , so that the above inequality yields

$$t\langle Tx-Ty,j(x-y)
angle-(t-1)\langle x-y,j(x-y)
angle\geq 0$$

which simplifies to

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \frac{(t - 1)}{t} \langle x - y, j(x - y) \rangle = k ||x - y||^2,$$

where k = (t-1)/t, establishing the lemma.

## 3. Main result.

THEOREM. Suppose K is a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of X and  $T: K \to K$  is a Lipschitz strictly psuedo-contractive mapping of K into itself. Let  $\{C_n\}$  be a real sequence satisfying:

- (i)  $0 < C_n < 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = \infty$ , (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^2 < \infty$ .

Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  generated by  $x_1 \in K$ ,

(8) 
$$x_{n+1} = (1 - C_n)x_n + C_n T x_n,$$

converges strongly to a fixed point of T.

**PROOF.** The existence of a fixed point follows from Deimling [8].

Let p be a fixed point of T. Since T is strictly pseudo-contractive, then (I - T) is strictly accretive. Thus, there exists some  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for each x, y in K

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle (I-T)x - (I-T)y, j(x-y) \rangle \geq k \|x-y\|^2$$

In particular,

(9) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\langle (I-T)x - (I-T)p, j(x-p) \rangle \geq k \|x-p\|^2.$$

From (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - C_n)(x_n - p) + C_n(Tx_n - Tp)\|^2 \\ &= (1 - C_n)^2 \|(x_n - p) + C_n(1 - C_n)^{-1}(Tx_n - Tp)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - C_n)^2 [\|x_n - p\|^2 + C_n^2(1 - C_n)^{-2}(p - 1)\|Tx_n - Tp\|^2 \\ &+ 2C_n(1 - C_n)^{-1} \langle (Tx_n - Tp), j(x_n - p) \rangle ] \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{split} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - C_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + C_n^2(p-1)L^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2C_n(1 - C_n)\langle Tp - Tx_n, j(x_n - p)\rangle \\ &= (1 - C_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + (p-1)C_n^2L^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2C_n(1 - C_n)\langle Tp - Tx_n, j(x_n - p)\rangle \\ &\quad - 2C_n(1 - C_n)\langle x_n - p, j(x_n - p)\rangle \\ &\quad + 2C_n(1 - C_n)\langle x_n - p, j(x_n - p)\rangle \\ &= (1 - C_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + (p-1)L^2C_n^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2C_n(1 - C_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2C_n(1 - C_n)\langle x_n - Tx_n - p + Tp, j(x_n - p)\rangle \\ &= [(1 - C_n)^2 + 2C_n(1 - C_n)]\|x_n - p\|^2 + (p-1)L^2C_n^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2C_n(1 - C_n)\langle (I - T)x_n - (I - T)p, j(x_n - p)\rangle \\ &\leq [(1 - C_n)^2 + 2(1 - k)C_n(1 - C_n)]\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (p-1)L^2C_n^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq [(1 - C_n)^2 + 2(1 - k)C_n(1 - C_n)]\|x_n - p\|^2 + d^2C_n^2, \end{split}$$

where

$$d = (p-1)^{1/2} L \sup_{n \ge 1} ||x_n - p||$$

and clearly, by adding  $(1-k)^2 C_n^2 \|x_n-p\|^2$  to the right side of the above inequality, we obtain

$$||x_{n+1} - p||^2 \le [(1 - C_n)^2 + 2^{(1-k)}C_n(1 - C_n) + (1 - k)^2C_n^2]||x_n - p||^2 + d^2C_n^2$$
  
=  $[1 - (1 - k)C_n]^2||x_n - p||^2 + d^2C_n^2.$ 

Set  $\rho_n = ||x_n - p||^2$ ,  $1 - \gamma_n = [1 - (1 - k)C_n]^2 \ge 0$  to obtain (10)  $\rho_{n+1} \le (1 - \gamma_n)\rho_n + C_n^2 d^2$ .

The inequality (10) and a simple induction now yield

(11) 
$$0 \le \rho_n \le B^2 \alpha_n \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1,$$

286

where  $\alpha_n \geq 0$  is recursively generated by

(12) 
$$\alpha_{n+1} = (1-\gamma_n)\alpha_n + C_n^2, \qquad \alpha_1 = 1,$$

and  $B^2 = \max\{\rho_1, d^2\}.$ 

Observe that 
$$1 - \gamma_n = [1 - (1 - k)C_n]^2$$
 so that

$$\gamma_n = (1-k)C_n[2-(1-k)C_n]$$

and

(13) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = 2(1-k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n - (1-k)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^2 = \infty.$$

Furthermore,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^2 < \infty$  implies  $\lim_{n \to 0} C_n = 0$ . Consequently, there is a sufficiently large N such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $\gamma_n \in [0, 1]$ . For  $j \ge 1$ , put  $\beta_j = \alpha_{N+j}$ ,  $\delta_j = \gamma_{N+j}$ , and  $\sigma_j = C_{N+j}$ . Observe that (iii) implies

(14) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{N+j}^2 < \infty.$$

So, from  $\beta_1 = \alpha_{N+1} \ge 0$ , (13), and (14), it follows from Lemma 2 that  $\alpha_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , so that (11) implies  $\rho_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , i.e.,  $||x_n - p|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , so that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges strongly to p.

**REMARK 2.** It is a consequence of the above proof that, under the hypotheses of the theorem, the fixed point of T must be unique. The element  $p \in F(T)$ , where F(T) denotes the set of fixed points of T, was arbitrarily chosen. Suppose now there is a  $p^* \in F(T)$  with  $p^* \neq p$ . Repeating the argument of the theorem relative to  $p^*$ , one sees that (8) converges to both  $p^*$  and p, showing that  $F(T) = \{p\}$ .

The author wishes to thank the referee for drawing his attention to reference [8], and for valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- 1. N. A. Assad and W. A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, Pacific J. Math 43 (1972), 553-562.
- 2. J. Bogin, On strict psuedo-contractions and a fixed point theorem, Technion preprint series No. MT-219, Haifa, Israel, 1974.
- 3. F. E. Browder, The solvability of nonlinear functional equations, Duke Math. J. 30 (1963), 557-566.
- 4. \_ \_, Nonlinear monotone and accretive operators in Banach spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 61 (1968), 388-393.
- \_\_\_, Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 875-882.
- 6. F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 20 (1967), 197-228. MR 36 #747.
- 7. W. L. Bynum, Weak parallelogram laws for Banach spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 19 (1976), 269-275.
- 8. K. Deimling, Zeros of accretive operators, Manuscripta Math. 13 (1974), 365-374.
- 9. J. C. Dunn, Iterative construction of fixed points for multivalued operators of the monotone type, J. Funct. Anal. 27 (1978), 38-50.
- 10. J. A. Gatica and W. A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems for Lipschitzian pseudo-contractive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 111-115.
- 11. T. L. Hicks and J. R. Kubicek, On the Manni iteration process in a Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 59 (1977), 498-504.

- 12. T. Kato, Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan 19 (1967), 508-520.
- 13. W. A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for local pseudo-contraction in uniformly convex spaces, Manuscripta Math. 30 (1979), 89-102.
- 14. \_\_\_\_, Remarks on psuedo-contractive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970), 820-823.
- 15. W. R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 506-510.
- R. H. Martin, Jr., A global existence theorem for autonomous differential equations in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 307–314.
- 17. G. J. Minty, Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space, Duke Math. J. 29 (1962), 541-546.
- V. L. Smul'yan, Sur la dérivabilité de la norm dans l'espace de Banach, C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS 27 (1940), 255–258.
- \_\_\_\_, Sur les topologies différentes dans l'espace de Banacch, C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS 23 (1939), 331–334.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA, NSUKKA, NIGERIA