

## Iterative learning control with rational basis functions

***Citation for published version (APA):***

Bolder, J. J., Oomen, T. A. E., Koekebakker, S. H., Bosgra, O. H., & Steinbuch, M. (2013). Iterative learning control with rational basis functions. In *32nd Benelux Meeting on Systems and Control, 26-28 March 2013, Houfallize, Belgium* (pp. 58)

***Document status and date:***

Published: 01/01/2013

***Document Version:***

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

***Please check the document version of this publication:***

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

***General rights***

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.tue.nl/taverne](http://www.tue.nl/taverne)

***Take down policy***

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[openaccess@tue.nl](mailto:openaccess@tue.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# Iterative Learning Control with Rational Basis Functions

Joost Bolder<sup>1</sup>, Tom Oomen<sup>1</sup>, Sjirk Koekebakker<sup>1,2</sup>, Okko Bosgra<sup>1</sup>, Maarten Steinbuch<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Eindhoven University of Technology, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Océ Technologies, P.O. Box 101, 5900 MA Venlo, The Netherlands

## 1 Introduction

Many high precision motion systems must perform repeating tasks that slightly vary. Feed forward techniques are often used to improve performance. Classical feed forward is a static calibration to compensate for known process dynamics and disturbances. Iterative learning control (ILC) is a technique which eliminates all repetitive disturbances by adapting the feed forward each repetition of the task. Classical feed forward works for any task, yet it does not take advantage of the repetitive character. Although ILC does take this advantage, the tasks must be strictly identical, if not, transients in the feed forward may hinder practical usability. In order further to increase performance a control strategy is required that allows variations in the task and concurrently exploit the repetitive behavior.

## 2 ILC with rational basis functions

The block diagram in Fig. 1 shows the controller structure. The control loop consists of a standard linear feedback controller  $C$  that drives plant  $P$  with input  $u_j$ . The learning controller updates the parameters  $\theta_j$  at the end of each trial  $j$ . The feedforward  $f_j$  is generated by filtering the reference  $r$  with  $F(\theta_j)$ , of which the structure has yet to be chosen.




Figure 1: Block diagram of the controlled system.

In [1] a FIR basis for  $F$  is used, which fits in the ILC framework of [2]. In [3] a rational structure for  $F$  is proposed where the parameters are solved by a linearized optimization program, this introduces an undesired a priori unknown weighting on the tracking error. In this work we show that this changes the objective function and may lead to undesirable results. We propose a new approach by connecting the ILC problem to a class of identification algorithms [4, 5], leading to a first solution of the unweighted problem.

## 3 Application

The different strategies are applied to medium positioning in an industrial scanning inkjet printer, the system is depicted in Fig. 2. The drive has to perform a repeating task in which tracking performance is important.




Figure 2: Medium positioning drive.

## 4 Simulation results and conclusion

Simulation results of the converged tracking errors are shown in Fig. 3. It shows that using PLR can yield smaller tracking error than when using the FIR basis or the linearized approach.




Figure 3: Converged tracking errors

### References

- [1] S. van der Meulen, R. Tousain, and O. Bosgra, "Fixed structure feedforward controller design exploiting iterative trials: application to a wafer stage and a desktop printer," *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control: Transactions of the ASME*, vol. 130, pp. 1–16, 2008.
- [2] J. van de Wijdeven and O. Bosgra, "Using basis functions in iterative learning control: analysis and design theory," *International Journal of Control*, pp. 661–675, 2010.
- [3] D. Bruijnen and N. van Dijk, "Combined input shaping and feedforward control for flexible motion systems," *In proc. American Control Conference, Montreal Canada*, pp. 2473–2478, 2012.
- [4] R. Pintelon and J. Schoukens, *System Identification, a frequency domain approach*. 2nd Edition, Wiley, 2012.
- [5] P. Stoica and T. Söderström, "The Steiglitz-McBride identification algorithm revisited - convergence analysis and accuracy aspects," *IEEE Transactions On Automatic Control*, vol. 26, pp. 712–717, 1981.