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#### Abstract

For a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of a size at least 2, a path in $G$ is said to be an $S$-path if it connects all vertices of $S$. Two $S$-paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are said to be internally disjoint if $E\left(P_{1}\right) \cap E\left(P_{2}\right)=\varnothing$ and $V\left(P_{1}\right) \cap V\left(P_{2}\right)=S$; that is, they share no vertices and edges apart from $S$. Let $\pi_{G}(S)$ denote the maximum number of internally disjoint $S$-paths in $G$. The $k$-path-connectivity $\pi_{k}(G)$ of $G$ is then defined as the minimum $\pi_{G}(S)$, where $S$ ranges over all $k$-subsets of $V(G)$. In this paper, we study the $k$-path-connectivity of the complete balanced tripartite graph $K_{n, n, n}$ and obtain $\pi_{k}\left(K_{n, n, n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ for $3 \leq k \leq n$.
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## 1. Introduction

An interconnection network is usually modeled by a connected graph $G=(V, E)$, where vertices represent processors and edges represent communication links between processors. Connectivity is an important parameter to evaluate the reliability and fault tolerance of a network. For a graph $G$, the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ is defined as the minimum cardinality of a subset $V^{\prime}$ of vertices of $G$ such that $G-V^{\prime}$ is disconnected or trivial. An equivalent definition of connectivity was given in [1]. For each 2-subset $S=\{u, v\}$ of vertices of $G$, let $\kappa(S)$ denote the maximum number of internally disjoint $(u, v)$-paths in $G$. Then, $\kappa(G)=\min \{\kappa(S) \mid S \subseteq V$ and $|S|=2\}$.

There exist many generalizations of the classical connectivity, such as conditional connectivity [2], component connectivity [3], tree-connectivity [4,5] and rainbow connectivity [6]. In particular, Hager [7] introduced the concept of path-connectivity, which concerns paths connecting any $k$ vertices in $G$ and not only any two. Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of a size at least 2 , a path in $G$ is said to be an $S$-path if it connects all vertices of $S$. Two $S$ paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are said to be internally disjoint if $E\left(P_{1}\right) \cap E\left(P_{2}\right)=\varnothing$ and $V\left(P_{1}\right) \cap V\left(P_{2}\right)=S$; that is, they share no vertices and edges apart from $S$. Let $\pi_{G}(S)$ denote the maximum number of internally disjoint $S$-paths in $G$. The $k$-path connectivity of $G$, denoted by $\pi_{k}(G)$, is then defined as $\pi_{k}(G)=\min \left\{\pi_{G}(S) \mid S \subseteq V(G)\right.$ and $\left.|S|=k\right\}$, where $2 \leq k \leq n$. Clearly, $\pi_{2}(G)$ is exactly the classical connectivity $\kappa(G)$, and $\pi_{n}(G)$ is exactly the maximum number of edge-disjoint Hamiltonian paths in $G$.

In [7], Hager studied the sufficient conditions for $\pi_{k}(G)$ to be at least $\ell$ in terms of $\kappa(G)$. Hager conjectured that if $G$ is a graph with $\kappa(G) \geq \ell(k-1)$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 1$, then $\pi_{k}(G) \geq \ell$; moreover, the bound is sharp. He confirmed the conjecture for $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Recently, Li et al. [8] showed that this conjecture also is true for $k=5$. Moreover, they studied the complexity of the path-connectivity. With their conclusions, it is difficult to obtain $\pi_{k}(G)$ for general $G$ and $k \geq 5$. In [9,10], the path connectivity of lexicographic product graphs was investigated. For special classes of graphs, the exact values of $\pi_{k}(G)$ were obtained for complete graphs [7] and complete bipartite graphs [7,11].

A complete multipartite graph is balanced if the partite sets all have the same cardinality. In this paper, we study the $k$-path-connectivity of the complete balanced tripartite graph $K_{n, n, n}$ and obtain $\pi_{k}\left(K_{n, n, n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$, for $3 \leq k \leq n$. Moreover, our result implies that Hager's conjecture is true for $K_{n, n, n}$ and $3 \leq k \leq n$.

## 2. Main Result

We first introduce some notations and terminology that will be used throughout the paper.
The subgraph of $G$ induced by a vertex set $U \subseteq V(G)$ is denoted by $G[U]$. A subset $S$ of $V$ is called an independent set of $G$ if no two vertices of $S$ are adjacent in $G$. For any two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, an $x y$-path is a path starting at $x$ and ending at $y$. For convenience, let $\left[x_{1}, x_{n}\right]=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. We refer the reader to [12] for the notations and terminology not defined in this paper.

Now we provide our main result.
Theorem 1. Given any positive integer $n \geq 2$, let $K_{n, n, n}$ denote a complete balanced tripartite graph in which each partite set contains exactly $n$ vertices. Then, we have the following.

$$
\pi_{k}\left(K_{n, n, n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor, \text { for } 3 \leq k \leq n .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $X, Y$, and $Z$ are the three parts of $K_{n, n, n}$, where $X=\left[x_{1}, x_{n}\right], Y=\left[y_{1}, y_{n}\right]$, and $Z=\left[z_{1}, z_{n}\right]$. Let $G=K_{n, n, n}$ and $S$ be any subset of $V(G)$ of cardinality $k$. By the symmetry of $K_{n, n, n}$, we can assume that $S \cap X=A=\left[x_{1}, x_{a}\right], S \cap Y=B=\left[y_{1}, y_{b}\right], S \cap X=C=$ $\left[z_{1}, z_{c}\right]$. Obviously, $a+b+c=k$.

Remember that, when we construct internally disjoint $S$-paths, each vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ can appear on one $S$-path at most. We distinguish three cases as follows.

Case 1: $a=b=0$ and $c=k$.
In this case, $S \subseteq Z$. Therefore, each vertex in $S$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X \cup Y$, which means that we can use any $k-1$ vertices of $X \cup Y$ to connect all vertices in $S$ into an $S$-path. On the other hand, since $S$ is an independent set, each $S$-path needs at least $k-1$ vertices of $X \cup Y$. Thus, $\pi(S)=\left\lfloor\frac{|X \cup Y|}{k-1}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$.

Case 2: $1 \leq a \leq b \leq c$.
Note that $3 \leq k=a+b+c \leq n$. We will show $\pi(S) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ in this case by constructing $\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c-1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2$ internally disjoint $S$-paths and prove that $\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c-1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2 \geq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$. We divide the construction process into four steps. In Steps 1 and 2, we will construct two $S$-paths mainly by using some edges in $G[S]$ and some vertices in $Z \backslash C$. In Steps 3 and 4, we will use $n-c-1$ vertices from $X \backslash A$ and $n-c-1$ vertices from $Y \backslash B$ to construct $\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c-1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ internally disjoint $S$-paths. On these $S$-paths, any two vertices of $S$ are connected by the vertices from $X \backslash A$ and $Y \backslash B$.

Step 1: Construct the first $S$-path $P_{1}$.
Firstly, by using vertices $z_{c+1}, \ldots, z_{c+b-1}$ in $Z \backslash C$, we can connect all vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}$ of $B$ into a path, denoted by $P_{11}$, i.e., $P_{11}=y_{1} z_{c+1} y_{2} z_{c+2} \ldots y_{b-1} z_{c+b-1} y_{b}$.

Since $c \geq a, A \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{c}\right\}$. Note that $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{c}\right\} \cup C\right]=K_{c, c}$. Thus, there must exist a path, denoted by $P_{12}$, connecting all the vertices of $A \cup C$ in $G\left[\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{c}\right\} \cup C\right]$. More specifically, let $P_{12}=z_{1} x_{1} z_{2} \ldots x_{c-1} z_{c} x_{c}$.

Finally, using the vertex $x_{c+1}$ to connect $y_{b}$ and $z_{1}$, we obtain the first $S$-path $P_{1}$, i.e., $P_{1}=P_{11} \cup\left\{y_{b} x_{c+1} z_{1}\right\} \cup P_{12}$.

Step 2: Construct the second $S$-path $P_{2}$.
Firstly, by using the vertices $z_{c+b}, \ldots, z_{c+b+a-2}$ in $Z \backslash C$, we can connect all the vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{a}$ of $A$ into a path, denoted by $P_{21}$, i.e., $P_{21}=x_{1} z_{b+c} \ldots x_{a-1} z_{a+b+c-2} x_{a}$.

Since $c \geq b, B \subseteq\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{c}\right\}$. Similarly, in $G\left[\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{c}\right\} \cup C\right]$ there must exist a path, denoted by $P_{22}$, connecting all the vertices of $B \cup C$. More specifically, let $P_{22}=$ $z_{1} y_{1} z_{2} \ldots y_{c-1} z_{c} y_{c}$.

Finally, using the vertex $y_{c+1}$ to connect $x_{a}$ and $z_{1}$, we obtain the second $S$-path $P_{2}$, i.e., $P_{2}=P_{21} \cup\left\{x_{a} y_{c+1} z_{1}\right\} \cup P_{22}$.

Remark. After the first two steps, we have found two S-paths, which are obviously internally disjoint. Moreover, there are $n-c-1$ unused vertices in $X \backslash A\left(\right.$ namely, $\left.x_{c+2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), n-c-1$ unused vertices in $Y \backslash B$ (namely, $y_{c+2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ ) and $n-k+2$ unused vertices in $Z \backslash C$. Set $A^{\prime}=$ $\left[x_{c+2}, x_{n}\right]$ and $B^{\prime}=\left[y_{c+2}, y_{n}\right]$.

Step 3: Construct the next $2 l S$-paths, where $l=\left\lfloor\frac{n-c-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor$.
Note that, if $l=0$, proceed directly to Step 4 . Thus, we assume that $l \geq 1$. We now provide a method to construct $S$-paths in pairs. The outline of the method is as follows.

Firstly, we take $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ unused vertices from $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ unused vertices from $B^{\prime}$. Then, using the $k-1$ vertices in total, connect all the vertices of $S$ into an $S$-path. Next, we take $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ unused vertices from $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ unused vertices from $B^{\prime}$. Using the $k-1$ vertices in total, construct another $S$-path. Thus, by $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil+\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor=k-1$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil=k-1$ vertices in $B^{\prime}$, we can obtain a pair of $S$-paths. By repeating this process, we can construct $l=\left\lfloor\frac{n-c-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ pairs of $S$-paths in this step.

Now, we construct the $S$-paths $P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ to illustrate the specific method. Note that, since $a \geq 1,\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{a+b+c-1}{2}\right\rfloor \geq\left\lfloor\frac{b+c}{2}\right\rfloor \geq b \geq a$.

The construction of $P_{3}$.
Firstly, by using $b-1$ vertices $x_{c+2}, \ldots, x_{c+b}$ in $A^{\prime}$, connect all vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}$ of $B$ into a path, denoted by $P_{31}$, i.e., $P_{31}=y_{1} x_{c+2} y_{2} x_{c+3} \ldots x_{c+b} y_{b}$.

Similarly, by using $a-1$ vertices $y_{c+2}, \ldots, y_{c+a}$ in $B^{\prime}$, connect all vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{a}$ of $A$ into a path, denoted by $P_{32}$, i.e., $P_{32}=x_{1} y_{c+2} x_{2} y_{c+3} \ldots y_{c+a} x_{a}$.

Then, join the vertices $y_{b}$ and $z_{1}$ by vertex $x_{c+b+1}$. Moreover, join vertices $x_{1}$ and $z_{c}$ by vertex $y_{c+a+1}$.

Next, we take $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil-b$ unused vertices $\left[x_{c+b+2}, x_{c+1+\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil}\right]$ from $A^{\prime}$ and take $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor-a$ unused vertices $\left[y_{c+a+2}, y_{c+1+\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\right]$ from $B^{\prime}$. Since each vertex in $A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime}$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $C$, using the $k-1-a-b=c-1$ vertices in total, we can connect all the vertices of $C$ into a $z_{1} z_{c}$-path $P_{33}$.

Now, we obtain the third $S$-path $P_{3}=P_{31} \cup\left\{y_{b} x_{c+b+1} z_{1}\right\} \cup P_{33} \cup\left\{z_{c} y_{c+a+1} x_{1}\right\} \cup P_{32}$.
The construction of $P_{4}$ is similar. The only difference is that the subpath $P_{43}$ is constructed by $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor-b$ unused vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil-a$ unused vertices in $B^{\prime}$. It follows that the fourth $S$-path $P_{4}$ uses $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ unused vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ unused vertices in $B^{\prime}$, respectively.

Step 4: Construct the last path if necessary.
Let $d=n-c-1-l(k-1)$. Thus, there are $d$ unused vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$, respectively. Since $l=\left\lfloor\frac{n-c-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor, 0 \leq d<k-1$. Now, according to the value of $d$, we distinguish two cases.

If $0 \leq d<\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$, then $2 l=2\left\lfloor\frac{n-c-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor=2\left\lfloor\frac{l(k-1)+d}{k-1}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c-1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor$. In this case, we stop constructing any new $S$-path.

If $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil \leq d<k-1$, then $2 l=2\left\lfloor\frac{n-c-1}{k-1}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c-1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor-1$. Since $d \geq\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$, we can take $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ remaining vertices from $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$, respectively. Similarly to $P_{3}$, using the $k-1$ vertices in total, we can obtain a new $S$-path.

Therefore, by the above four steps, we construct $\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c-1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2$ S-paths, which are obviously internally disjoint.

Moreover, since $1 \leq a \leq b \leq c, k-1=a+b+c-1 \geq c+1$. Hence,

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c-1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2 \geq\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-k+1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2=\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor .
$$

It follows that we can obtain at least $\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ internally disjoint $S$-paths in this case; that is, $\pi(S) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$.

Case 3: $a=0$ and $1 \leq b \leq c$.
In this case, $S=(B \cup C)$. We will also construct at least $\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ internally disjoint $S$-paths. We divide the construction process into four steps, as follows.

Step 1: Construct the first $S$-path $P_{1}$.
By using $b-1$ vertices $z_{c+1}, \ldots, z_{c+b-1}$ in $Z \backslash C$, connect all the vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}$ of $B$ into a path, denoted by $P_{11}$, i.e., $P_{11}=y_{1} z_{c+1} y_{2} z_{c+2} \ldots y_{b-1} z_{c+b-1} y_{b}$.

By using $c-1$ vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{c-1}$ in $X$, connect all the vertices $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}$ of $C$ into a path, denoted by $P_{12}$, i.e., $P_{12}=z_{1} x_{1} z_{2} x_{2} \ldots z_{c-1} x_{c-1} z_{c}$.

Finally, using the vertex $x_{c}$ to connect $y_{b}$ and $z_{1}$, we obtain the first $S$-path $P_{1}$, i.e., $P_{1}=P_{11} \cup\left\{y_{b} x_{c} z_{1}\right\} \cup P_{12}$.

Step 2: Construct the second $S$-path $P_{2}$.
Let $P_{2}=z_{1} y_{1} z_{2} \ldots y_{c-1} z_{c} y_{c}$. Since $c \geq b, B \subseteq\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{c}\right\}$. Hence, $P_{2}$ is a path connecting all the vertices of $B \cup C$, and so is an $S$-path.

Remark. After the first two steps, we have found two S-paths, which are obviously internally disjoint. Moreover, there are $n-c$ unused vertices in $X \backslash A\left(\right.$ namely, $\left.x_{c+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), n-c$ unused vertices in $Y \backslash B$ (namely, $y_{c+1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ ) and $n-c-(b-1)=n-k+1$ unused vertices in $Z \backslash C$ (namely, $z_{c+b}, \ldots, z_{n}$ ). Set $A^{\prime}=\left[x_{c+1}, x_{n}\right], B^{\prime}=\left[y_{c+1}, y_{n}\right]$, and $C^{\prime}=\left[z_{k}, z_{n}\right]$.

Step 3: Construct the next $2 l S$-paths, where $l=\left\lfloor\frac{n-c}{k-1}\right\rfloor$.
The method is similar to case 2 . If $l=0$, proceed directly to Step 4 . thus, we assume that $l \geq 1$. In general, by $k-1$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $k-1$ vertices in $B^{\prime}$, we can obtain $S$-paths in pairs: use $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ unused vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ unused vertices in $B^{\prime}$ to construct an S-path; next, use $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ unused vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ unused vertices in $B^{\prime}$ to construct another $S$-path; by repeating this process, we can construct $l=\left\lfloor\frac{n-c}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ pairs of $S$-paths.

However, when $b=c,\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{2 b-1}{2}\right\rfloor=b-1$ and $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil=b$. If we only use $b-1$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $b$ vertices in $B^{\prime}$ and do not use any other vertex and edge in $E(G[B \cup C])$, we cannot connect all the vertices of $B \cup C$ into a path. Thus, we distinguish two subcases:

Subcase 3.1: $1 \leq b<c$.
We have $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right] \geq b$.
Firstly, by using $b$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$, connect all vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}$ of $B$ and vertex $z_{1}$ into a $y_{1} z_{1}$-path, denoted by $P_{i 1}$, where $3 \leq i \leq 2 l+2$.

Next, when $i$ is odd (when $i$ is even), take $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil-b\left(\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor-b\right)$ unused vertices from $A^{\prime}$, and take $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor\left(\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)$ unused vertices from $B^{\prime}$. Using the $k-1-b=c-1$ vertices in total, we can connect all vertices $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}$ of $C$ into a $z_{1} z_{c}$-path $P_{i 2}$.

Combining these two paths, we obtain an $S$-path $P_{i}$, i.e., $P_{i}=P_{i 1} \cup P_{i 2}$, where $3 \leq i \leq$ $2 l+2$.

Clearly, when $i$ is odd (when $i$ is even), then the path $P_{i}$ uses $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil\left(\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor\left(\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)$ vertices in $B^{\prime}$, respectively.

Subcase 3.2: $b=c$.
We have $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil=b$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor=b-1$.

When $i$ is odd $(3 \leq i \leq 2 l+2)$, since $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil-b \geq 0$, by the same method as Subcase 3.1, we can construct $P_{i}$ by $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ unused vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ unused vertices in $B^{\prime}$.

However, when $i$ is even, as noted above, $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$ vertices in $B^{\prime}$ are not enough to obtain an S-path. We will complete the construction with the help of a vertex in $C^{\prime}$, as follows.

Firstly, by using $\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor=b-1$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$, connect all the vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}$ of $B$ into a $y_{1} y_{b}$-path, denoted by $P_{i 1}$.

Then, by using $\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]-1=b-1$ vertices in $B^{\prime}$, connect all vertices $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}$ of $C$ into a $z_{1} z_{c}$-path, denoted by $P_{i 2}$.

Finally, by one unused vertex $\hat{y}$ in $B^{\prime}$ and one unused vertex $\hat{z}$ in $C^{\prime}$, connect vertices $y_{b}$ and $z_{1}$. Then, we obtain an $S$-path $P_{i}$, i.e., $P_{i}=P_{i 1} \cup y_{b} \hat{z} \hat{y} z_{1} \cup P_{i 2}$, where $3 \leq i \leq 2 l+2$ and $i$ is even.

Note that, it remains to show that the vertices in $C^{\prime}$ are enough. Therefore, we will prove that $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq l$.

Since $3 \leq k \leq n$ and $c \geq 2$, we obtain the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C^{\prime}\right|-l & =n-k+1-\left\lfloor\frac{n-c}{k-1}\right\rfloor \\
& \geq n-k+1-\frac{n-c}{k-1} \\
& =\frac{n(k-2)-(k-1)^{2}+c}{k-1} \\
& \geq \frac{k(k-2)-(k-1)^{2}+c}{k-1} \\
& =\frac{c-1}{k-1} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, in either case, we can always obtain $2 l=2\left\lfloor\frac{n-c}{k-1}\right\rfloor S$-paths in this step.
Step 4: Construct the last path if necessary.
Let $d=n-c-l(k-1)$. Since $l=\left\lfloor\frac{n-c}{k-1}\right\rfloor, 0 \leq d<k-1$. Similarly to Case 2, according to the value of $d$, distinguish two cases.

If $0 \leq d<\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil$, then $2 l=2\left\lfloor\frac{n-c}{k-1}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c)}{k-1}\right\rfloor$. We stop constructing any new $S$-path.

If $\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil \leq d<k-1$, then $2 l=2\left\lfloor\frac{n-c}{k-1}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c)}{k-1}\right\rfloor-1$. We can construct one more new $S$-path by the remaining $d$ vertices in $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$, respectively.

Therefore, by the above four steps, we construct $\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2 S$-paths, which are obviously internally disjoint.

Moreover, since $a=0$ and $1 \leq b \leq c, k-1=b+c-1 \geq c$. Hence,

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-c)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2 \geq\left\lfloor\frac{2(n-k+1)}{k-1}\right\rfloor+2=\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Thus, in this case, we can also obtain at least $\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ internally disjoint $S$-paths; that is, $\pi(S) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$.

From the above discussion, $\pi(S) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ in all cases and $\pi(S)$ is exactly $\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ in Case 1. Thus, we can conclude that $\pi_{k}\left(K_{n, n, n}\right)=\min \left\{\pi(S)\left|S \subseteq V\left(K_{n, n, n}\right),|S|=k\right\}=\right.$ $\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$.

By Steps 3 and 4 of Case 2 in Theorem 1, we can obtain the following corollary, which may be useful for study on complete tripartite graphs.

Corollary 1. Let $a, b, c$, and $d$ be positive integers with $1 \leq a \leq b \leq c$, and $G$ be a complete tripartite graph with three parts $X, Y$, and $Z$, where $|X|=a+d,|Y|=b+d$, and $|Z|=c$. For any $k$-subset $S$ of $V(G)$, if $|X \cap S|=a,|Y \cap S|=b$, and $|Z \cap S|=c$, then there always exist at least $\left\lfloor\frac{2 d}{k-1}\right\rfloor$ internally disjoint S-paths in $G$, where $k=a+b+c$.

Remark. Since $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor+1\right)(k-1)>\kappa\left(K_{n, n, n}\right)=2 n \geq\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor(k-1)$, Theorem 1 implies that Hager's conjecture is true for $K_{n, n, n}$ and $3 \leq k \leq n$.

## 3. Conclusions

$k$-path-connectivity is a natural generalization of the traditional connectivity. In this paper, we showed that the $k$-path-connectivity of the complete balanced tripartite graph $K_{n, n, n}$ is $\left\lfloor\frac{2 n}{k-1}\right\rfloor$, for $3 \leq k \leq n$. For future work, we will continue to investigate the $k$-pathconnectivity of $K_{n, n, n}$ for $n+1 \leq k \leq 3 n$. It would also be interesting to study the path connectivity of complete $r$-partite graphs for $r \geq 4$.
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