© Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2005

GAFA Geometric And Functional Analysis

KÄHLER–RICCI SOLITONS ON COMPACT COMPLEX MANIFOLDS WITH $C_1(M) > 0$

HUAI-DONG CAO, GANG TIAN AND XIAOHUA ZHU

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the relation between the existence of Kähler–Ricci solitons and a certain functional associated to some complex Monge–Ampère equation on compact complex manifolds with positive first Chern class. In particular, we obtain a strong inequality of Moser–Trudinger type on a compact complex manifold admitting a Kähler–Ricci soliton.

0 Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of Kähler–Ricci solitons by using properness of a certain functional. Our approach is similar to that of [T] (also see [TZ1]) for Kähler–Einstein metrics. A Kähler metric g on a compact complex manifold M with first Chern class $c_1(M) > 0$ is called a (homothetically shrinking) Kähler–Ricci soliton if there is a holomorphic vector field X on M such that the Kähler form ω_g of g satisfies

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_g) - \omega_g = L_X \omega_g$$

where $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_g)$ denotes the Ricci form of ω_g and L_X is the Lie derivative operator along X. In particular, if X = 0, such a g is a Kähler–Einstein metric. So Kähler–Ricci solitons can be regarded as a generalization of Kähler–Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature. Ricci solitons have been studied extensively in the recent years ([K], [H], [C], [M2], [T], [TZ2,3], [WZ], etc.). One motivation is that they are very closely related to the singular behavior of limit solutions of certain PDEs which arise from geometric analysis, such as Hamilton's Ricci flow [H] and certain complex Monge– Ampère equations associated to Kähler–Einstein metrics [T]. Kähler–Ricci solitons are special Ricci solitons. It was proved recently in [TZ2] and [TZ3] that there exists at most one Kähler–Ricci soliton on any compact

G.T. partially supported by a NSF grant and the Simon fund.

X.Z. partially supported by the NSFC Grant 10425102 and the Hou Y.D fund.

Kähler manifold with positive first Chern class, modulo holomorphic automorphisms. This extends the uniqueness theorem of Bando and Mabuchi for Kähler–Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature [BM].

Let g be a Kähler metric on M with its Kähler form

$$\omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i,j} g_{i\overline{j}} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_j \,,$$

representing $c_1(M)$. Then there is a smooth real-valued function h_g such that

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_g) - \omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} h_g$$

Suppose that X is a holomorphic vector field on M so that the integral curve K_X of the imaginary part Im(X) of X consists of isometries of g. By the Hodge decomposition theorem, there exists a unique smooth real-valued function $\theta_X = \theta_X(\omega_g)$ on M such that

$$\begin{cases} i_X \omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \overline{\partial} \theta_X ,\\ \int_M e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n = \int_M \omega_g^n = V . \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g) = \left\{ \psi \in C^{\infty}(M) \mid \omega_{\psi} = \omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi > 0, \ \operatorname{Im}(X)(\psi) = 0 \right\}.$$

The following functional on $\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$ was introduced in [TZ3],

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\psi) = \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\psi) - \frac{1}{V} \int_M \psi e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n - \log\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h_g - \psi} \omega_g^n\right) + \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M \frac{1}{V} \int$$

where

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\psi) = \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{n-1}^k \int_M \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 t(st)^k (1-st)^{n-1-k} e^{\theta_X + stX(\psi)} dt \, ds \right) \\ \times \partial\psi \wedge \overline{\partial}\psi \wedge \omega_\psi^k \wedge \omega_g^{n-1-k}.$$

Let $K_0(\supseteq K_X)$ be a maximal compact subgroup of the automorphisms group of M such that $\sigma \cdot \eta = \eta \cdot \sigma$ for any $\eta \in K_0$ and any $\sigma \in K_X$. Then a Kähler–Ricci soliton with respect to X on M must be K_0 -invariant by the uniqueness theorem in [TZ2]. We introduce

DEFINITION 0.1. The functional $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ is said to be proper with respect to X if for any sequence $\{\psi_i\}$ of K_0 -invariant functions in $\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$,

$$\overline{\lim_{i \to \infty}} \tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\psi_i) = +\infty$$

whenever $\lim_{i\to\infty} I_{\omega_g}(\psi_i) = +\infty$. Here

$$I_{\omega_g}(\psi_i) = \frac{1}{V} \int_M \psi_i(\omega_g^n - \omega_{\psi_i}^n) \, .$$

We note that the above definition is independent of the choice of ω_g since functional $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ satisfies a co-cycle condition (cf. section 1). The following was essentially proved in [TZ2].

Theorem 0.1 [TZ2]. Suppose that $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ is proper with respect to a holomorphic vector field X on M. Then there is a Kähler–Ricci soliton with respect to X on M.

This theorem says that the properness of $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ is a sufficient condition for the existence of Kähler–Ricci solitons. Since we did not state this result as a theorem in [TZ2], we will include its proof here following [TZ2].

In [TZ3], we proved that $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ is bounded from below if there is a Kähler–Ricci soliton on M. The main purpose of this paper is to show that $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ is actually proper wherever M admits a Kähler–Ricci soliton. This shows that $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ is also a necessary condition for the existence of Kähler–Ricci solitons (cf. section 5). Suppose that M admits a Kähler– Ricci soliton ω_{KS} with respect to some holomorphic vector field X. We define a weighted inner product on $C^{\infty}(M)$ by

$$(\phi,\psi) = \int_M \phi \psi e^{\theta_X(\omega_{KS})} \omega_{KS}^n \,,$$

and denote by

$$\Lambda_1(M,\omega_{KS}) = \left\{ u \in C^{\infty}(M) \mid \triangle_{\omega_{KS}} u + X(u) = -u \right\}.$$

Theorem 0.2. Let M be a compact complex manifold which admits a Kähler–Ricci soliton ω_{KS} with respect to some holomorphic vector field X and $G(\supseteq K_X)$ be a compact subgroup of K_0 with $\sigma \cdot \eta = \eta \cdot \sigma$ for any $\sigma \in K_X$ and $\eta \in G$. Suppose that any G-invariant smooth function on M is perpendicular to the space $\Lambda_1(M, \omega_{KS})$ with respect to the weighted inner product defined above. Then there are two positive numbers c and C such that for any G-invariant ψ in $\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_q)$,

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) \ge cI_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi)^{\frac{1}{4n+5}} - C.$$
 (0.1)

In particular, $\tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\cdot)$ is proper under the same assumption.

The proof of Theorem 0.2 is inspired by [T] and [TZ1], where the authors proved a fully non-linear inequality of Moser–Trudinger type for Kähler– Einstein manifolds. In fact, inequality (0.1) in Theorem 0.2 is equivalent to the following inequality,

$$\int_{M} e^{-\psi} \omega_{KS}^{n} \leq C \exp\left\{\tilde{J}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) - c\tilde{J}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi)^{\frac{1}{4n+5}} - \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \psi \omega_{KS}^{n}\right\}.$$
 (0.2)

Inequality (0.2) generalizes the corresponding one in [T] and [TZ1]. Recall that on S^2 with the standard Riemannian metric such an inequality is just a kind of the stronger version of Moser–Trudinger inequality [A], [T].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 1, we give a proof of Theorem 0.1 following [TZ2]. In section 2, we give an estimate for a certain heat kernel on manifolds with modified positive Ricci curvature. In section 3, a C^0 -estimate for certain complex Monge–Ampère equations is obtained. In section 4, we prove a smoothing lemma by using Hamilton's Ricci flow. Theorem 0.2 will be proved in section 5.

Acknowledgment. The third author would like to thank Professor T. Mabuchi for valuable discussions during his visit at Osaka University in the spring of 2001.

1 An Analytic Criterion for Kähler–Ricci Solitons

Let (M, g) be an *n*-dimensional compact Kähler manifold with the first Chern class $c_1(M) > 0$. Denote by $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(M)$ the connected component of the automorphism group of M. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(M)$, then the Chevalley decomposition allows us to write $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(M)$ as a semi-direct decomposition [FM],

$$\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(M) = \operatorname{Aut}_{r}(M) \propto R_{u},$$

where $\operatorname{Aut}_r(M) \subset \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(M)$ is a reductive algebraic subgroup and the complexification of K, and R_u is the unipotent radical of $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(M)$. Let $\eta_r(M)$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\operatorname{Aut}_r(M)$.

Let $X \in \eta_r(M)$ such that the one-parameter subgroup K_X generated by $\operatorname{Im}(X)$ is contained in K, where $\operatorname{Im}(X)$ denotes the imaginary part of X. Choose a K_X -invariant Kähler metric g on M with the Kähler form ω_g . Then by the Hodge decomposition theorem, there is a unique smooth realvalued function $\theta_X = \theta_X(\omega_g)$ of M such that

$$\begin{cases} i_X \omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \overline{\partial} \theta_X ,\\ \int_M e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n = \int_M \omega_g^n = V ,\\ \omega_g^n = \omega_g \wedge \ldots \wedge \omega_g. \text{ The first relation above implies} \end{cases}$$

$$L_X \omega_g = di_X(\omega_g) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \theta_X.$$

We consider the following complex Monge–Ampère equations with parameter $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} \det(g_{i\overline{j}} + \varphi_{i\overline{j}}) = \det(g_{i\overline{j}}) \exp\left\{h - \theta_X - X(\varphi) - t\varphi\right\},\\ (g_{i\overline{j}} + \varphi_{i\overline{j}}) > 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where

where $h = h_g$ is a smooth real-valued function on M defined by

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_g) - \omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} h \\ \int_M e^h \omega_g^n = \int_M \omega_g^n \, . \end{cases}$$

Then one can check that $\omega_{\phi} = \omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \phi$ is a Kähler–Ricci soliton with respect to X, i.e. ω_{ϕ} satisfies

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_{\phi}) - \omega_{\phi} = L_X \omega_{\phi} \,,$$

if and only if ϕ modulo a constant is a solution of equation $(1.1)_t$ for t = 1. In fact, $(1.1)_t$ is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_{\phi_t}) - L_X(\omega_{\phi_t}) = t\omega_{\phi_t} + (1-t)\omega_g, \qquad (1.2)$$

where ϕ_t is a solution of $(1.1)_t$.

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{M} e^{\theta_{X} + X(\phi_{t})} \omega_{\phi_{t}}^{n} \right) = \int_{M} \left(\Delta' \dot{\phi}_{t} + X(\dot{\phi}_{t}) \right) e^{\theta_{X} + X(\phi_{t})} \omega_{\phi_{t}}^{n} = 0,$$
have
$$\int_{M} e^{\theta_{X} + X(\phi_{t})} \omega_{\phi_{t}}^{n} = \int_{M} e^{\theta_{X}} \omega_{\phi_{t}}^{n} = V.$$
(1.3)

we have

$$\int_{M} e^{\theta_{X} + X(\phi_{t})} \omega_{\phi_{t}}^{n} = \int_{M} e^{\theta_{X}} \omega_{g}^{n} = V.$$
(1.3)

Integrating $(1.1)_t$ after multiplying by $e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_t)}$, it follows that

$$\int_{M} e^{h - t\phi_t} \omega_g^n = V \,.$$

Therefore, differentiating the above identity, we get

$$\int_{M} \dot{\phi}_t e^{h-t\phi_t} \omega_g^n = -\frac{1}{t} \int_{M} \phi_t e^{h-t\phi_t} \omega_g^n \,. \tag{1.4}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{M}(\omega_g) = \left\{ \phi \in C^{\infty}(M) \mid \omega_\phi = \omega_g + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \phi > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g) = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{M}(\omega_g) \mid \operatorname{Im}(X)(\phi) = 0 \right\}$$

We define the following two functionals on $\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$ (cf. [TZ2]):

$$\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi) = \frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi \left(e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n - e^{\theta_X + X(\phi)} \omega_\phi^n \right)$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi) = \frac{1}{V} \int_0^1 \int_M \dot{\phi}_s \left(e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n - e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_s)} \omega_{\phi_s}^n \right) \wedge ds , \qquad (1.5)$$

where $\phi_s(0 \leq s \leq 1)$ is a path from 0 to ϕ in $\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$. Since $\tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi)$ is independent of the choice of a path, by choosing $\phi_s = s\phi$, one can show that $\tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi)$ defined here coincides with one in the introduction. It is known that there are two uniform positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1 I_{\omega_g}(\phi) \le \tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi) \le c_2 I_{\omega_g}(\phi), \qquad (1.6)$$

where $I_{\omega_g}(\phi) = \frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi(\omega_g^n - \omega_\phi^n)$. Let

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{\omega_g}(\phi) &= \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi) - \frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n \\ &= -\frac{1}{V} \int_0^1 \int_M \dot{\phi}_s e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_s)} \omega_{\phi_s}^n \wedge ds \end{split}$$

By simple computations, one can show that for any two functions ϕ and ψ in $\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$, the following co-cycle condition is satisfied,

$$F_{\omega_g}(\psi) = F_{\omega_g}(\phi) + F_{\omega_\phi}(\psi - \phi),$$

$$F_{\omega_g}(\psi) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\dot{\phi}}{e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_g)}} e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_g)} dg \text{ and } \phi$$

where $\hat{F}_{\omega_{\phi}}(\psi - \phi) = -\frac{1}{V} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{M} \dot{\phi}_{s} e^{\theta_{X} + X(\phi_{s})} \omega_{\phi_{s}}^{n} \wedge ds$ and ϕ_{s} is a path from 0 to $(\psi - \phi)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{X}(\omega_{g})$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let ϕ_s be a solution of $(1.1)_s$ for all $s \leq t$. Then

$$\hat{F}_{\omega_g}(\phi_t) = -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) \right) ds \, .$$

Proof. Differentiating $(1.1)_s$ on s, we have

$$\Delta' \dot{\phi}_s + X(\dot{\phi}_s) = -(s\dot{\phi}_s + \phi_s).$$
(1.7)

Then by using (1.7) and (1.4), we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{ds} \big(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) \big) &= -\frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi_s \frac{d}{ds} \big(e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_s)} \omega_{\phi_s}^n \big) \\ &= -\frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi_s \big(\Delta' \dot{\phi}_s + X(\dot{\phi}_s) \big) e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_s)} \omega_{\phi_s}^n \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi_s (s \dot{\phi}_s + \phi_s) e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_s)} \omega_{\phi_s}^n \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi_s (s \dot{\phi}_s + \phi_s) e^{h - s \phi_s} \omega_g^n \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \frac{d}{ds} \bigg(\int_M (-\phi_s) e^{h - s \phi_s} \omega_g^n \bigg) \\ &= \frac{1}{sV} \frac{d}{ds} \bigg(\int_M s(-\phi_s) e^{h - s \phi_s} \omega_g^n \bigg) \\ &= \frac{1}{sV} \frac{d}{ds} \bigg(\int_M s(-\phi_s) e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_s)} \omega_{\phi_s}^n \bigg). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(s(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s)) \right) - \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{V} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\int_M s(-\phi_s) e^{\theta_X(\phi_s)} \omega_{\phi_s}^n \right), \quad (1.8)$$

GAFA

and consequently,

$$\hat{F}_{\omega_g}(\phi_t) = -\frac{1}{V} \int_M \phi e^{\theta_X + X(\phi_t)} \omega_t^n - \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_t) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_t) \right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) \right) ds \,.$$

REMARK 1.1. It was proved in Lemma 3.2 in [TZ2] that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) \right) \ge 0 \,.$$

Recall that the functional $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\,\cdot\,)$ is defined as

$$\begin{split} \tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\psi) &= \hat{F}_{\omega_g}(\psi) - \log\left(\frac{1}{V}\int_M e^{h-\psi}\omega_g^n\right) \\ &= \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\psi) - \frac{1}{V}\int_M \psi e^{\theta_X}\omega_g^n - \log\left(\frac{1}{V}\int_M e^{h-\psi}\omega_g^n\right), \end{split}$$

and $F_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$ is called proper with respect to X if for any sequence $\{\psi_i\}$ of K_0 -invariant functions in $\mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{i\to\infty}\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\psi_i)=+\infty\,,$$

whenever $\lim_{i\to\infty} I_{\omega_g}(\psi_i) = +\infty$, where $K_0(\supseteq K_X)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of automorphisms group $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ of M such that $\sigma \cdot \eta = \eta \cdot \sigma$ for any $\sigma \in K_X$ and $\eta \in K_0$.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. We use arguments from [TZ2]. Assuming the functional \tilde{F}_{ω_g} is proper, we shall prove the existence of a Kähler–Ricci soliton with respect to X on M. This is equivalent to proving that there is a solution of $(1.1)_t$ for t = 1. It suffices to prove that $I_{\omega_g}(\phi_t)$ is uniformly bounded for any solution of $(1.1)_t$ for $0 \le t \le 1$. This is because C^3 -norm of ϕ_t can be uniformly bounded by $I_{\omega_g}(\phi_t)$ and the set of parameter t for which there exists a smooth solution of $(1.1)_t$ is non-empty and open (cf. [TZ2]). By the implicit function theorem, one can show the solution of $(1.1)_t$ varies smoothly with t < 1. Without the loss of generality, we may assume that the Kähler form ω_g is K_0 -invariant. Then all solutions ϕ_t are all K_0 -invariant.

By Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\phi_t) = -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi_s) \right) ds - \log\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h - \phi_t} \omega_g^n\right) \\
\leq -\log\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_M e^{h - \phi_t} \omega_g^n\right).$$
(1.9)

On the other hand, by using $(1.1)_t$ and concavity of the logarithmic function, one can deduce

$$-\log\left(\frac{1}{V}\int_{M}e^{h-\phi_{t}}\omega_{g}^{n}\right) = \frac{1-t}{V}\int_{M}\phi_{t}e^{\theta_{X}+X(\phi_{t})}\omega_{\phi_{t}}^{n}$$
$$\leq \frac{1-t}{V}\int_{M}\phi_{t}e^{h-t\phi_{t}}\omega_{g}^{n}\leq C.$$
(1.10)

Combining (1.9) and (1.10), we get

 $\tilde{F}_{\omega_q}(\phi_t) \le C$.

Therefore, the assumption of properness on $\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\,\cdot\,)$ implies

$$I_{\omega_g}(\phi_t) \le C',$$

and consequently, there is a Kähler–Ricci soliton on M with respect to X. \Box

2 A Heat Kernel Estimate

In this section, we give an estimate on the heat kernel of a linear elliptic operator P associated to a Kähler form ω and a holomorphic vector field X on M, where $P = P_{\omega} = \Delta + X(\cdot)$ is defined on the space $\mathcal{N}_X =$ $\{u \in C^{\infty}(M) \mid \operatorname{Im}(X(u)) = 0\}$. As a consequence, we derive a lower bound of the Green function of P. The method here follows that of T. Mabuchi in [M1] with modifications which in turn were inspired by Li–Yau [LY]. Note that P is a self-adjoint elliptic operator on \mathcal{N}_X with respect to the inner product,

$$(\phi,\psi) = \int_M \phi \psi e^{\theta_X} \omega^n$$

LEMMA 2.1. Let ω be a Kähler form on M and X a holomorphic vector field on M with

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) - L_X \omega \ge 0$$

and

$$riangle heta_X \le k$$

for some positive number k, where $\theta_X = \theta_X(\omega)$ is defined as in section 1 for Kähler form ω . Let v(x,t) be a positive smooth solution on $M \times (0,\infty)$ of equation $\left(P - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)v = 0$. Suppose that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \sup_{M \times \{t\}} t \left(v^{-2} \langle \overline{\partial} v, \overline{\partial} v \rangle - v^{-1} v_t \right) \le 2n \,,$$

where $v_t = \partial v / \partial t$. Then there is a positive number C depending only on

1

$$m_1 = -\max_M \theta_X$$
 and $m_2 = -\min_M \theta_X$

$$v(x,t_1) \le v(y,t_2) \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\right)^{\frac{n}{C}} \exp\left\{ (t_2 - t_1)^{-1} r(x,y)^2 / 2 + C^{-1} k(t_2 - t_1) \right\}, \ \forall t_1 < t_2,$$
(2.1)

where r(x, y) denotes the distance between x and y associated to metric ω . *Proof.* Let $f = \ln v$ and $\hat{F} = t(\langle \overline{\partial} f, \overline{\partial} f \rangle - f_t)$. Then

$$P\hat{F} + tPf_t = t(\langle \operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(\overline{\nabla}\nabla)\overline{\partial}f, \overline{\partial}f \rangle + \langle \operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(\overline{\nabla}\nabla)\partial f, \partial f \rangle + X(\langle \overline{\partial}f, \overline{\partial}f \rangle) + \|\nabla\overline{\nabla}f\|^2 + \|\nabla\nabla f\|^2).$$

$$(2.2)$$

For simplicity, we choose a local holomorphic coordinate system (z_1, \ldots, z_n) near each point p such that $g_{i\overline{j}}(p) = \delta_{ij}$ and $f_{i\overline{j}}(p) = \delta_{ij}f_{i\overline{i}}(p)$. By a direct computation, one sees that

$$\begin{split} f_{\overline{i}}f_{ij\overline{j}} &= f_{\overline{i}}(f_{j\overline{j}i} + f_l R_{i\overline{l}}) \,, \\ f_i f_{\overline{i}j\overline{j}} &= f_i f_{\overline{j}j\overline{i}} \,, \end{split}$$

and

$$X_{\overline{i}}(f_j f_{\overline{j}})_i + f_{\overline{i}} f_j X_{\overline{j}i} = f_i (X_{\overline{j}} f_j)_{\overline{i}} + f_{\overline{i}} (X_{\overline{j}} f_j)_i$$

Inserting the above identities into (2.2), we obtain

$$PF \ge t \left(\langle \partial f, \partial (Pf) \rangle + \langle \partial (Pf), \partial f \rangle \right) - tPf_t + \frac{t}{n} (\Delta f)^2$$

= $2t \langle \partial (Pf), \partial f \rangle - tPf_t + \frac{t}{n} (\Delta f)^2.$ (2.3)

Since

$$Pf - f_t = -\langle \overline{\partial} f, \overline{\partial} f \rangle \,,$$

we have

$$\hat{F} = -tPf$$

and

$$F = -tT f,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{F} - \frac{1}{t}\hat{F} = -tPf_t.$$

Hence by (2.3), we get

$$\left(P - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\hat{F} \ge -2\langle\partial\hat{F},\partial f\rangle - \frac{1}{t}\hat{F} + \frac{t}{n}\left(\frac{1}{t}\hat{F} + X(f)\right)^2.$$
(2.4)

Let $m_1 = -\sup_{x \in M} \theta_X(x)$ and $m_2 = -\inf_{x \in M} \theta_X(x)$. As in [M1], we define a monotone-increasing function η on $[m_1, m_2]$ by

$$\eta(s) = \exp \int_m^s \frac{1}{b_0 e^{-y} - 1} dy \,,$$

where $b_0 = e^{m_2}(1+n)$. Then η is a solution of the ODE on $[m_1, m_2]$,

$$\frac{\eta''}{\eta} - \frac{\eta'}{\eta} = 2\left(\frac{\eta'}{\eta}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, one can check that the number C defined by

$$C = \min_{s \in [m_1, m_2]} \eta(s)^{-1} \left(1 - (1 - \eta(s)^{-1} \eta(s)' n)^2 \right)$$

is positive, and

706

$$0 < \frac{\eta'}{n} \le \frac{1}{n}$$

Let $F = \eta(-\theta_X)\hat{F}$. Then by (2.4), one can show that

$$(P - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})F \ge -2\langle \partial F, \partial f \rangle - \frac{1}{t}F + \left(\frac{\eta''}{\eta} - \frac{\eta'}{\eta} - 2\left(\frac{\eta'}{\eta}\right)^2\right) \|X\|^2 F + \eta^{-1}\eta' \left(-2X(F) - F \triangle \theta_X\right) + n^{-1}t^{-1}F^2\eta^{-1} \left(1 - (1 - \eta^{-1}\eta'n)^2\right) + \frac{t}{n}\eta \left[-\frac{1}{t}\eta^{-1}F(1 - n\eta^{-1}\eta')^2 - X(f)\right]^2.$$

By the assumption in the lemma, we have

$$\left(P - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)F \ge -2\langle\partial F, \partial f\rangle - 2\eta^{-1}\eta' X(F) - \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{k}{n}\right)F + \frac{C}{nt}F^2.$$
(2.5)

Applying the maximal principle to the function F(x,t) on $M \times (0,T]$, we get from (2.5),

$$F(x,t) \le \tilde{C}^{-1}(2n+kt) \,,$$

for any $(x,t) \in M \times (0,T]$, and consequently,

$$v(x,t)^{-2} \langle \overline{\partial} v(x,t), \overline{\partial} v(x,t) \rangle - v(x,t)^{-1} v_t(x,t) \leq \tilde{C}^{-1} \left(\frac{2n}{t} + k \right),$$

for any $(x,t) \in M \times (0,\infty)$. Now by integrating the above estimate as in [LY], we can immediately obtain (2.1).

Let H = H(x, y, t) be a fundamental solution on $M \times M \times [0, \infty)$ of equation

$$\left(P - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)v(x, y, t) = 0, \qquad (2.6)$$

i.e. H is a smooth solution of (2.6) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} H(x, y.t) = H(y, x, t), \\ H(x, y, t) = \int_M H(x, z, t - s) H(z, y.s) e^{\theta_X} \omega^n, \\ \lim_{t \to 0} H(x, y, t) = \delta_x(y). \end{cases}$$

By using the asymptotic behavior of H, for each fixed $x \in M$, one sees that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{M\times\{t\}} t \left(H^{-2} \langle \overline{\partial} H(x,\cdot,t), \overline{\partial} H(x,\cdot,t) > -H^{-1} H_t \right) \leq 2n \,.$$

Moreover, following an argument by Li and Yau (cf. [LY, Lemma 3.2]), we can deduce

LEMMA 2.2. Let Z_1 and Z_2 be two measurable subset of M. Let T, δ, τ be three positive numbers with $\tau < (1+2\delta)T$. For each $x, y \in M$ and

GAFA

 $0 < t \leq \tau$, denote

$$F_{x,T}(y,t) = \int_{Z_1} H(y,\cdot,t) H(x,\cdot,T) e^{\theta_X} \omega^n.$$

Then

$$\int_{Z_2} F_{x,T}(\cdot,t)^2 e^{\theta_X} \omega^n \le \exp\left\{\frac{-r(x,Z_1)^2}{2(1+2\delta)T} + \frac{R(x,Z_2)^2}{2(1+2\delta)T-2t}\right\} F_{x,T}(x,T),$$

where $r(x,Z_1) = \inf_{z \in Z_1} r(x,z)$ and $R(x,Z_2) = \sup_{z \in Z_2} r(x,z).$

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let H(x, y, t) be a fundamental solution on $M \times M \times [0, \infty)$ of equation (2.6). Suppose

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) - L_X \omega \ge 0$$
,

and

$$riangle heta_X \le k$$

for some positive number k. Then for any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{vol}}(B_{x}(\sqrt{t}))^{1/2} \widetilde{\operatorname{vol}}(B_{y}(\sqrt{t}))^{1/2} H(x, y, t) \leq (1+\delta)^{4n/C} \exp\left\{-\frac{\{r(x, y) - \sqrt{t}\}_{+}^{2}}{4t(1+3\delta+2\delta^{2})} + t\delta(2+\delta)C^{-1}k + \frac{3}{4\delta(1+\delta)} + \frac{1}{2\delta}\right\},$$
(2.7)

where $\widetilde{\operatorname{vol}}(B_y(\sqrt{t})) = \int_{B_y(\sqrt{t})} e^{\theta_X} \omega^n$, and $\left\{ r(x, y) = \sqrt{t} \right\}_{x \in \mathbb{N}} = \max \left\{ e^{\theta_X} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right\}_{x \in \mathbb{N}}$

$$\{r(x,y) - \sqrt{t}\}_{+} = \max\{0, r(x,y) - \sqrt{t}\}.$$

Proof. First applying Lemma 2.1 to the function $F_{x,T}(y,t)$ with $(t_1,t_2) = (T,\tau = (1+\delta)T)$, we have

$$F_{x,T}(x,T) \le F_{x,T}(y,\tau)(1+\delta)^{\frac{2n}{C}} \exp\left\{\frac{T^{-1}\delta^{-1}r(x,y)^2}{2} + C^{-1}kT\delta\right\}.$$
 (2.8)

Let $Z_1 = B_y(\sqrt{t})$ and $Z_2 = B_x(\sqrt{t})$. Then integrating the square of the above inequality over all $y \in Z_2$ and using Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{vol}}(B_x(\sqrt{t}))F_{x,T}(x,T)^2 \le (1+\delta)^{4n/C} \exp\left\{\frac{-r(x,Z_1)^2}{2(1+2\delta)T} + 2C^{-1}kT\delta + \frac{3t}{2T\delta}\right\}F_{x,T}(x,T),$$

and consequently,

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{vol}}(B_x(\sqrt{t})) \int_{Z_1} H(x, \cdot, T)^2 e^{\theta_X} \omega^n$$

$$= \widetilde{\operatorname{vol}}(B_x(\sqrt{t})) F_{x,T}(x, T)$$

$$\leq (1+\delta)^{4n/C} \exp\left\{\frac{-r(x, Z_1)^2}{2(1+2\delta)T} + 2C^{-1}kT\delta + \frac{3t}{2T\delta}\right\}.$$
(2.9)

On the other hand, applying Lemma 2.1 to the function H(x, z, t) in z with $(t_1, t_2) = (t, T = (1 + \delta)t)$, we have for any $x, y, z \in M$,

$$H(x, y, t)^{2} \leq (1+\delta)^{4n/C} H(x, z, T)^{2} \exp\left\{T^{-1}\delta^{-1}r(y, z)^{2} + 2\tilde{C}^{-1}kT\delta\right\}$$

Integrating this inequality over all $z \in Z_1$, and using (2.9), we can get (2.7).

Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$. Suppose that

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_{\phi}) - L_X \omega_{\phi} \ge \lambda \omega_{\phi} \,, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\triangle \theta_X(\omega_\phi) \le k \,,$$

for some positive numbers λ and k. Then there is a uniform constant C depending only on λ and k such that

$$\sup_{M} (-\phi) \le \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} (-\phi) e^{\theta_X(\omega_\phi)} \omega_\phi^n + C.$$
(2.11)

Proof. Let $\mu_i(\mu_0 = 0), i = 0, 1, ...$, be the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of operator $-P = -(\triangle_{\omega_{\phi}} + X(\cdot))$ associated to metric ω_{ϕ} . Then by using the standard Bochner technique, one can obtain $\mu_1 \ge \lambda$ (cf. [TZ2]). Let G(x, y) be the Green function with $\int_M G(x, \cdot) e^{\theta_X(\omega_{\phi})} \omega_{\phi}^n = 0$ associated to the operator P. Then

$$G(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \left(H(x,y,t) - \frac{1}{V} \right) dt \,.$$

Since

$$H_0(x, y, t) = H(x, y, t) - \frac{1}{V} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\mu_i t} f_i(x) f_i(y) \,,$$

we have

$$H_0(x, x, t+t_0) \le e^{-\mu_1 t} H_0(x, x, t_0), \qquad (2.12)$$

for any $t_0, t > 0$, where $f_i(x)$ denote the eigenfunctions of μ_i .

In [M2], it was proved under the condition (2.10) that there is a uniform constant C_1 such that

$$\operatorname{Diam}(M, \omega_{\phi}) \leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

Choose $t_0 = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Diam}(M, \omega_{\phi})^2$. Then by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$H_0(x, x, t_0) \le C_2$$
, (2.13)

for some uniform constant C_2 depending only on λ and k.

By using (2.12) and (2.13), we get

$$G(x,y) \ge -\int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{V} dt - \int_{t_0}^\infty e^{-\mu_1(t-t_0)} \big(H_0(x,x,t_0) H_0(y,y,t_0) \big)^{1/2} dt$$

$$\ge -C_3.$$

Note that $riangle_{\omega_{\phi}}(-\phi) \ge -n$ and

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)} \|X(\psi)\|_{C^0(M)} \le c \tag{2.14}$$

for some uniform constant $c = c(\omega_g, X)$ (cf. Lemma 5.1 in [Z]). Therefore applying the Green formula to function $-\phi$, we prove

$$\begin{split} \sup_{M}(-\phi) &= \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} (-\phi) e^{\theta_{X}(\omega_{\phi})} \omega_{\phi}^{n} - \inf_{M} \int_{M} P\big(-\phi(\cdot)\big) G(x, \cdot) e^{\theta_{X}(\omega_{\phi})} \omega_{\phi}^{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} (-\phi) e^{\theta_{X}(\omega_{\phi})} \omega_{\phi}^{n} - \inf_{M} \int_{M} \big(\Delta_{\omega_{\phi}}(-\phi) - X(\phi) \big) G(x, \cdot) e^{\theta_{X}(\omega_{\phi})} \omega_{\phi}^{n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} (-\phi) e^{\theta_{X}(\omega_{\phi})} \omega_{\phi}^{n} + C_{3} V\big(n + \|X(\phi)\|_{C^{0}(M)}\big) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} (-\phi) e^{\theta_{X}(\omega_{\phi})} \omega_{\phi}^{n} + C \,. \end{split}$$

3 A C^0 -Estimate for Solutions of $(1.1)_t$

LEMMA 3.1. There are two positive numbers c_1 and $c_2 < 1$ such that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_X(\omega_g)$,

$$\tilde{L}_1 \tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi) \le \tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi) \le c_2 \tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi) .$$
(3.1)

Proof. Let $\omega_{s\phi} = \omega + s \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \phi$. Then one can compute

$$\begin{split} \tilde{I}_{\omega_{g}}(\phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \phi \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{ds} (e^{\theta_{X} + sX(\phi)} \omega_{s\phi}^{n}) \wedge ds \\ &= \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} \int_{0}^{1} ds \int_{M} \partial \phi \wedge \overline{\partial} \phi e^{\theta_{X} + sX(\phi)} \wedge \omega_{s\phi}^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{n-1}^{k} \int_{M} \left(\int_{0}^{1} s^{k} (1-s)^{n-1-k} e^{\theta_{X} + sX(\phi)} ds \right) \\ &\quad \times \partial \phi \wedge \overline{\partial} \phi \wedge \omega_{\phi}^{k} \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1-k} \\ &\leq \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} C_{1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{n-1}^{k} \partial \phi \wedge \overline{\partial} \phi \wedge \omega_{\phi}^{k} \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1-k}. \end{split}$$
(3.2)

and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi) \\ &= \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 ds \int_M t \partial \phi \wedge \overline{\partial} \phi e^{\theta_X + stX(\phi)} \wedge \omega_{st\phi}^{n-1} \end{split}$$

HUAI-DONG CAO, GANG TIAN AND XIAOHUA ZHU

$$=\frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}C_{n-1}^{k}\int_{M}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}t(st)^{k}(1-st)^{n-1-k}e^{\theta_{X}+stX(\phi)}dt\wedge ds\right)$$
$$\times \partial\phi\wedge\overline{\partial}\phi\wedge\omega_{\phi}^{k}\wedge\omega_{g}^{n-1-k} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\geq \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} C_1' \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{n-1}^k \partial \phi \wedge \overline{\partial} \phi \wedge \omega_{\phi}^k \wedge \omega_g^{n-1-k}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega}(\phi) \ge \frac{C_1'}{C_1} \tilde{I}_{\omega}(\phi) ,$$

and consequently, prove the second inequality of (3.1).

On the other hand, we have (cf. [TZ2]),

$$\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\phi) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\phi) = \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{n-1}^k \int_M \left(\int_0^1 s^{k+1} (1-s)^{n-1-k} e^{\theta_X + sX(\phi)} ds \right) \\
\times \partial\phi \wedge \overline{\partial}\phi \wedge \omega_\phi^k \wedge \omega_g^{n-1-k} \qquad (3.4)$$

$$\geq \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi V} C_2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{n-1}^k \partial\phi \wedge \overline{\partial}\phi \wedge \omega_\phi^k \wedge \omega_g^{n-1-k}.$$

Hence, combining (3.2) and (3.4), we also prove the first inequality of (3.1). $\hfill \Box$

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $\phi = \phi_t (t \ge t_0 > 0)$ be a solution of equation $(1.1)_t$. Then there are two uniform constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on X and t_0 such that

$$\operatorname{osc}_{M} \phi \leq C_{1} \int_{M} \phi(\omega_{g}^{n} - \omega_{\phi}^{n}) + C_{2}.$$
(3.5)

Proof. Let $\theta'_X = \theta_X(\omega_{\phi})$. First we note

$$\Delta \theta'_X = -\theta'_X - X(h_{\omega_\phi}) + c \,,$$

for some constant c. Clearly $c \leq \operatorname{osc}_M |\theta'_X|$, since θ'_X changes the sign. By using the fact (cf. the relation (1.2)), $h_{\omega_\phi} = \theta'_X - (1-t)\phi + \operatorname{const.}$,

$$\Delta \theta'_X = -\theta'_X - \|X\|_{\omega_\phi} + (1-t)X(\phi) + c \leq 2 \operatorname{osc}_M |\theta_X| + 3|X(\phi)| \leq C'_1 ,$$

for some uniform constant C'_1 . Applying Theorem 2.1, we see that there is some uniform constant C'_2 depending only on X and t_0 such that

$$\sup_{M} (-\phi) \le \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} (-\phi) e^{\theta'_{X}} \omega_{\phi}^{n} + C'_{2} \,. \tag{3.6}$$

710

GAFA

On the other hand, by using the Green formula, we have

$$\sup_{M} \phi \le \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \phi e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n + C_3' \tag{3.7}$$

for some uniform constant C'_3 (cf. Lemma 5.3 in [TZ3]). Hence, combing (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$\operatorname{osc}_{M} \phi \leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \phi(e^{\theta_{X}} \omega_{g}^{n} - e^{\theta_{X}'} \omega_{\phi}^{n}) + C_{2}' + C_{3}'.$$

By using (1.6) and (3.1), we prove (3.5).

4 A Smoothing Lemma

In this section, following an approach in [T], we will prove a smoothing lemma by using Hamilton's Ricci flow. This lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 0.2. Let ω be any Kähler form in $c_1(M) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric}(\omega) - L_X \omega \ge (1 - \epsilon)\omega, \\ \left| X(h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega)) \right| \le \epsilon c_1, \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

for some constant c_1 and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. We consider the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \log\left(\frac{(\omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n}{\omega^n}\right) + u - h_\omega + \theta_X(\omega), \\ u|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

Note that eq. (4.2) is the scalar version of the modified Kähler–Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega_t = -\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_t) + \omega_t + L_X\omega_t.$$

Here $\omega_t = \omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} u_t$, and $u_t = u(x, \cdot)$. Denote by $h_t = h_{\omega_t}$ and $\theta_t = \theta_X(\omega_t)$, then it follows from the above equation and maximum principle that

$$h_t - \theta_t = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \tilde{c}_t$$

where \tilde{c}_t depends on t only. Also, $u_0 = 0$ and hence $\tilde{c}_0 = 0$.

We list a few basic estimates for the solution u(x,t). Differentiating (4.2), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) = \left(\Delta + X \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right). \tag{4.3}$$

Applying the maximum principle, we have

LEMMA 4.1. Let u_t be a solution of (4.2). Then

$$||u_t||_{C^0} \le e^t ||h_{\omega} - \theta_X(\omega)||_{C^0},$$

and

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{C^0} \le e^t \left\|h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega)\right\|_{C^0}.$$

Lemma 4.2.

$$(\Delta + X)(h_t - \theta_t) \ge -(c_1 + n)\epsilon e^t.$$

Proof. From eq. (4.3), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left((\Delta + X) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right) = (\Delta + X)^2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) + (\Delta + X) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) - \left| \nabla \bar{\nabla} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 \\ \leq (\Delta + X)^2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) + (\Delta + X) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right).$$

It follows from the maximum principle that

$$(\Delta + X)(h_t - \theta_t) \ge e^t \inf_M (\Delta + X)(h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega)).$$

On the other hand, (4.1) implies that, at t = 0,

$$(\Delta + X)(h_{\omega} - \theta_X(\omega)) \ge -(c_1 + n)\epsilon.$$

Then the lemma follows directly.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u\right\|_{C^0}^2 + t \left\|\nabla\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u\right)\right\|_{C^0}^2 \le e^{2t} \left\|h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega)\right\|_{C^0}^2.$$

Proof. By direct computations, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 = \left(\Delta + X \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\left| \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 \right) = \left(\Delta + X \right) \left(\left| \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 \right) - \left| \nabla \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 - \left| \nabla \bar{\nabla} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 + \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2$$
nce

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 + t \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 \right) \\ \leq \left(\Delta + X \right) \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 + t \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 \right) + 2 \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 + t \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \right|^2 \right).$$

Lemma 4.3 follows from the maximum principle again.

 Set

$$v = h_1 - \theta_1 - \frac{1}{V} \int_M (h_1 - \theta_1) e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^{n}.$$

Lemma 4.4.

$$\|v\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{2(c_{1}+n)e^{2}V}{\lambda_{1}}\epsilon \|h_{\omega}-\theta_{X}(\omega)\|_{C^{0}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(\Delta + X)v + (c_1 + n)e\epsilon \ge 0$$

It follows that

$$\int_M \left| (\Delta + X)v + (c_1 + n)e\epsilon \right| e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n = \int_M \left((\Delta + X)v + (c_1 + n)e\epsilon \right) e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n$$
$$= (c_1 + n)e\epsilon V.$$

Hence, by applying the Poincaré inequality and Lemma 4.1, we have $\lambda_1 \int_{1}^{1} \int_{1$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\lambda_1}{V} \int_M |v|^2 e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n &\leq \frac{1}{V} \int_M |\nabla v|^2 e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \int_M (-v) (\Delta + X) v e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \int_M (-v) \big[(\Delta + X) v + c_1 e\epsilon \big] e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{V} \|v\|_{C^0} \int_M \big((\Delta + X) v + (c_1 + n) e\epsilon \big) e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n \\ &\leq 2e^2 (c_1 + n) \epsilon \big\| h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega) \big\|_{C^0} \,. \end{split}$$

This shows the lemma is true.

LEMMA 4.5. We have

$$\|v\|_{C^0} \le C(n, c_1 a, \lambda_1) (1 + \|h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega)\|_{C^0}) \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)}, \tag{4.4}$$

provided that the following condition holds: there exists a constant a > 0 such that for any $x_0 \in M$ and 0 < r < 1,

$$\left(B_r(x_0)\right) \ge ar^{2n} \tag{4.5}$$

with respect to the metric ω_1 .

Proof. Pick $r = \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)}$ and cover M by geodesic balls of radius r. For any $x \in M$, we have $x \in B_r(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in M$. Now

$$\inf_{B_r(x_0)} |v|^2 \epsilon^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |v|^2 e^{\theta_1} \omega_1^n$$
$$\leq \frac{2(c_1+n)e^2 V^2}{a\lambda_1} \epsilon \left\| h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega) \right\|_{C^0}$$

Hence

$$\inf_{B_r(x_0)} |v| \le C(n, c_1, a, \lambda_1) \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)} \|h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega)\|_{C^0}^{1/2}$$

Assuming
$$\inf_{B_{r}(x_{0})} |v| = v(x'_{0})$$
, then
 $|v(x)| \leq |v(x) - v(x'_{0})| + v(x'_{0}) \leq r \sup_{B_{r}(x_{0})} |\nabla v| + v(x'_{0})$
 $\leq e \|h_{\omega} - \theta_{X}(\omega)\|_{C^{0}} \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)} + C \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)} \|h_{\omega} - \theta_{X}(\omega)\|_{C^{0}}^{1/2}$
 $\leq C'(1 + \|h_{\omega} - \theta_{X}(\omega)\|_{C^{0}}) \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)}.$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.5.

PROPOSITION 4.1 (Smoothing lemma). Let $\omega \in c_1(M) > 0$ be any Kähler metric satisfying (4.1). Then there is another Kähler form $\omega' = \omega + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} u$ such that

- (1) $||u||_{C^0} \le e ||h_{\omega} \theta_X(\omega)||$
- (2) $\|h' \theta'\|_{C^{1/2}} \leq C(n, c_1, a, \lambda_1)(1 + \|h_{\omega} \theta_X(\omega)\|_{C^0})\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4(n+1)}}$, where $C(n, c_1, a, \lambda_1)$ is a constant depending only on the dimension n, the Poincare constant λ_1 , constants c_1 and a appeared in (4.5).

Proof. We shall prove that ω_1 satisfies the above two conditions of the proposition under the assumption (4.5). By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to check the second condition only.

Since

$$\frac{1}{V}\int_M e^{h'-\theta'}e^{\theta_1}\omega_1^{\ n}=1\,,$$

by (4.4) in Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\|h' - \theta'\|_{C^0} \le C(n, c_1, a, \lambda_1) \big(1 + \|h_\omega - \theta_X(\omega)\|_{C^0} \big) \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)}.$$
(4.6)

For any two points x, y in M, if the distance $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)}$, then Lemma 4.3 implies that $|\nabla(h' - \theta')| \leq e ||h_{\omega} - \theta_X(\omega)||_{C^0}$ and hence

$$\frac{|(h'-\theta')(x) - (h'-\theta')(y)|}{\sqrt{d(x,y)}} \le e \|h_{\omega} - \theta_X(\omega)\|_{C^0} \epsilon^{1/4(n+1)}.$$

On the other hand, if $d(x,y) \ge \epsilon^{1/2(n+1)}$ then (4.6) implies that $\frac{|(h'-\theta')(x) - (h'-\theta')(y)|}{\sqrt{d(x,y)}} \le C(n,c_1,a,\lambda_1) (1+\|h_\omega-\theta_X(\omega)\|_{C^0}) \epsilon^{1/4(n+1)}.$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

5 Properness of $ilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi)$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 0.2 stated in the introduction. The method here is analogous to one in [T] for Kähler–Einstein manifolds with positive scalar curvature.

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Let ω_{KS} be the Kähler form of Kähler–Ricci soliton g_{KS} and $\omega_g = \omega_{\psi} = \omega_{KS} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \psi$. We consider the complex Monge–Ampère equations with parameter $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} \det(g_{i\overline{j}} + \varphi_{i\overline{j}}) = \det(g_{i\overline{j}}) \exp\left\{h_g - \theta_X - X(\varphi) - t\varphi\right\},\\ (g_{i\overline{j}} + \varphi_{i\overline{j}}) > 0. \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

Clearly, $-\psi$ modulo a constant is a solution of $(5.1)_t$ for t = 1. Since ψ is *G*-invariant, by the implicit function theorem, there are *G*-invariant solutions of $(5.1)_t$ for t sufficiently close to 1. In fact, in [TZ2], it was proved that there are *G*-invariant solutions of $(5.1)_t$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. This is because

GAFA

 $\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t)$ is nondecreasing in t (cf. Remark 1.1 in section 1), and consequently the C^3 -norm of φ_t can be uniformly bounded (cf. [TZ2], [Y]). Put $\omega_t = \omega_q + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \varphi_t$. Then $\omega_1 = \omega_{KS}$. Moreover, by (1.2), we have

$$\begin{cases} h_{\omega_t} - \theta_X(\omega_t) = -(1-t)\varphi_t + c_t, \\ \operatorname{Ric}(\omega_t) - L_X(\omega_t) = t\omega_t + (1-t)\omega \ge t\omega_t, \end{cases}$$

where c_t is determined by

$$\int_M e^{-(1-t)\varphi_t + c_t} e^{\theta_X(\omega_t)} \omega_t^n = V.$$

In particular,

$$|c_t| \le (1-t) \|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)}, \quad \left\|h_{\omega_t} - \theta_X(\omega_t)\right\|_{C^0(M)} \le 2(1-t) \|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| X(h_{\omega_t} - \theta_X(\omega_t)) \right| &= \left| (1 - t) X(\varphi_t) \right| \\ &\leq (1 - t) \left(\left| X(\varphi_t - \varphi_1) \right| + \left| X(\psi) \right| \right) \leq (1 - t) c_1(\omega_{KS}, X) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by applying Proposition 4.1 to each ω_t , we obtain a modified Kähler form $\omega'_t = \omega_t + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} u_t$ satisfying

$$\|u_t\|_{C^0(M)} \le 2e(1-t)\|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)},$$

$$\|h_{\omega'_t}\|_{C^{1/2}} \le C(n,c_1,a,\lambda_1) (1+\|(1-t)\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)}) (1-t)^{1/4(n+1)}.$$

As before, there are $\tilde{\psi}_t$ such that $\omega_{KS} = \omega'_t + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \overline{\partial} \tilde{\psi}_t$ and

$$\omega_{KS}^n = (\omega_t')^n e^{h_{\omega_t'} - \theta_X(\omega_t') - X(\tilde{\psi}_t) - \tilde{\psi}_t}.$$

It follows from the maximum principle that

$$\varphi_t = \varphi_1 - \psi_t + \mu_t \,, \tag{5.2}$$

where μ_t are constants with

$$|\mu_t| \le 2(e+1)(1-t) \|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)} + c_1(\omega_{KS}, X).$$
(5.3)

Hence, φ_t is uniformly equivalent to φ_1 as long as $\tilde{\psi}_t$ is uniformly bounded. Consider the operator $\Phi_t: C^{2,1/2}(M) \to C^{0,1/2}$ by

$$\Phi_t(\tilde{\psi}) = \log\left(\frac{\left(\omega_{KS} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\tilde{\psi}\right)^n}{\omega_{KS}^n}\right) + h_{\omega'_t} - \theta_X(\omega'_t) - X(\tilde{\psi}) - \tilde{\psi}.$$

Its linearization at $\psi = 0$ is $(-\Delta - 1 - X(\cdot))$, so it is invertible in the space of *G*-invariant functions by the assumption of Theorem 0.2. Then by the implicit function theorem, there is a $\delta > 0$, such that if the Hölder norm $\|h_{\omega'_t}\|_{C^{1/2}(\omega_{KS})}$ with respect to ω_{KS} is less than δ , then there is a unique $\tilde{\psi}_t$ such that $\Phi_t(\tilde{\psi}_t) = 0$ and $\|\tilde{\psi}_t\|_{C^{2,1/2}} \leq C(\delta)$. We observe that

$$\lambda_{1,\omega'} \ge 2^{-n-1} \lambda_{1,\omega_{KS}}, \quad a \ge \frac{1}{2^{2n}} a_0$$

whenever $\frac{1}{2}\omega_{KS} \leq \omega' \leq 2\omega_{KS}$, where a is a constant appeared in (4.5) and a_0 is a constant such that

$$a_0 r^{2n} \leq_{\omega_{KS}} (B_r(x)), \quad \forall x \in M$$

 $a_0 r^{-r} \leq_{\omega_{KS}} (B_r(x))$, $\forall x \in M$. Now we choose t_0 such that $(1 - t_0) \leq (\delta/4C_0)^{4(n+1)}$ and

$$(1-t_0)\|\varphi_{t_0}\|_{C^0(M)}(1-t_0)^{1/4(n+1)} = \frac{\delta}{4C_0}, \qquad (5.4)$$

where $C_0 = C_0(n, c_1, a_0, \lambda_{1,\omega_{KS}})$. Then by Proposition 4.1 and the above argument, one can prove that for any $t \ge t_0$, we have

$$\|u_t\|_{C^0(M)} \le 2e(1-t)\|\varphi_t\|_{C^0}, \ \|\tilde{\psi}_t\|_{C^0(M)} \le \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, by using (5.2) and (5.3), we get

$$\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)} \le 6e(1-t)\|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)} + c_2, \qquad (5.5)$$

and

716

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_1\|_{C^0} - c_2 \le \|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(M)} \le 2 \|\varphi_1\|_{C^0(M)} + c_3, \qquad (5.6)$$

for some uniform constants c_2 and c_3 , as long as $1-t \leq \min\{1/12e, 1-t_0\}$. Since $\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t)$ is nondecreasing, by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) &= -\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) \right) dt \\ &\geq (1-t) \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) \right) \\ &\geq \varepsilon (1-t) \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) \,, \end{split}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a uniform constant. On the other hand, by using the co-cycle condition of $\hat{F}_{\omega_g}(\cdot)$, we have

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) = \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - \frac{1}{V} \int_M (\varphi_1 - \varphi_t) e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n + \hat{F}_{\varphi_1}(\varphi_t - \varphi_1)$$

$$\geq \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - \frac{1}{V} \int_M (\varphi_1 - \varphi_t) (e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n - e^{\theta_X + X(\varphi_1)} \omega_{\varphi_1}^n)$$

$$\geq \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - \operatorname{osc}_M(\varphi_1 - \varphi_t).$$

It follows by (1.6) and (3.1),

$$\tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) \ge \varepsilon' I_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - \operatorname{osc}_M(\varphi_1 - \varphi_t).$$

Thus by (5.5) and (5.6), we get

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) \ge \varepsilon \varepsilon'(1-t)I_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - (1-t)\operatorname{osc}_M(\varphi_t - \varphi_1)$$

$$\ge \varepsilon \varepsilon'(1-t)I_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - 12e(1-t)^2 \|\varphi_1\|_{C^0(M)} - C_1$$
(5.7)

GAFA

Vol. 15, 2005 KÄHLER–RICCI SOLITONS ON COMPACT COMPLEX MANIFOLDS 717

 $= \varepsilon \varepsilon'(1-t) I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) - 12e(1-t)^2 \operatorname{osc}_M \psi - C_1, \quad \text{for any } t \ge t_0.$ Therefore, in case

 $\operatorname{osc}_M \psi \leq \tilde{C} (1 + I_{\omega_{KE}}(\psi))$

for some uniform constant \tilde{C} , then by choosing $t=t_0$ in (5.7) and using (5.4), we see that there are two positive numbers C and C' such that

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) \ge CI_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi)^{1/4n+5} - C', \tag{5.8}$$

and consequently this would prove the theorem.

In the general case, we shall use a trick in [TZ1]. First by Proposition 3.1, we have for any $t \ge 1/2$,

$$\operatorname{osc}_{M}(\varphi_{t} - \varphi_{1}) \leq C_{2} \left(1 + I_{\omega_{KS}}(\varphi_{t} - \varphi_{1}) \right).$$

Set $\psi' = \varphi_{t} - \varphi_{1}$. Then applying inequality (5.8) to function ψ' , we get
 $\tilde{F}_{\omega_{g}}(\varphi_{t}) - \tilde{F}_{\omega_{g}}(\varphi_{1}) = \tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi')$

$$(5.9)$$

$$\geq C_3 I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi')^{1/4n+5} - C_4 \,. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, by integrating (1.8) from t to 1, we have $\hat{F}_{\omega_q}(\varphi_1) - \hat{F}_{\omega_q}(\varphi_t)$

$$\geq \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - \frac{1}{V} \int_M \varphi_1 e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n - t \left(\tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) - \frac{1}{V} \int_M \varphi_t e^{\theta_X} \omega_g^n \right)$$
(5.10)
$$\geq -(1-t) \left(\tilde{I}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) - \tilde{J}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) \right)$$

$$\geq -C_5(1-t) I_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) = -C_5(1-t) I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) .$$

By using the concavity of the logarithmic function and (3.6), we also have

$$-\log\left(\frac{1}{V}\int_{M}e^{h-\varphi_{t}}\omega_{g}^{n}\right) \leq \frac{1-t}{V}\int_{M}\varphi_{t}e^{\theta_{X}(\omega_{t})}\omega_{\varphi_{t}}^{n}$$

$$\leq -\frac{1-t}{V}\sup_{M}(-\varphi_{t})+C_{6}\leq C_{6}.$$
(5.11)

Hence combining (5.10) and (5.11), we get

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_t) - \tilde{F}_{\omega_g}(\varphi_1) \le C_5(1-t)I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) + C_6.$$
From (5.9) and (5.12), we deduce
$$(5.12)$$

 $(1-t)I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) \ge c_3 \operatorname{osc}_M(\varphi_t - \varphi_1)^{1/4n+5} - c_4.$

Then as in (5.7), we prove (cf. [TZ1]),

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) \\
\geq \varepsilon \varepsilon'(1-t)I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) - (1-t)\operatorname{osc}_{M}(\varphi_{t}-\varphi_{1}) \\
\geq \varepsilon \varepsilon'(1-t)I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) - (1-t)(c_{3}^{-1})^{4n+5} ((1-t)I_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) + c_{4})^{4n+5} \\
\geq cI_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi)^{1/4n+5} - C,$$
(5.13)

for some small positive number c and large number C. Thus Theorem 0.2 is proved. $\hfill \Box$

$$\int_{M} e^{-\psi} \omega_{KS}^{n} \le C \exp\left\{\tilde{J}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) - c\tilde{J}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi)^{1/4n+5} - \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \psi \omega_{KS}^{n}\right\}$$

for some positive numbers c and C.

REMARK 5.2. In view of results in [T] and [TZ1], one should be able to generalize Theorem 0.2 by proving the following:

$$\tilde{F}_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi) \ge cI_{\omega_{KS}}(\psi)^{1/4n+5} - C$$

holds for any $\psi \in \Lambda_1(M, \omega_{KS})^{\perp}$. In the proof of Theorem 0.2, we used a technical assumption on subgroup $G(\subseteq K_0)$ in order to apply the implicit function theorem. We also notice from Theorem 0.2 that (5.13) holds for any almost plurisubharmonic function on a Kähler–Einstein manifold without any nontrivial holomorphic vector field.

References

- [A] T. AUBIN, Réduction du cas positif de l'equation de Monge-Ampère sure les varieétés Kählerinnes compactes à la démonstration d'un intégralité, J. Func. Anal. 57 (1984), 143–153.
- [BM] S. BANDO, T. MABUCHI, Uniqueness of Kähler–Einstein metrics modulo connected group actions, Algebraic Geometry, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. Sendai, Japan, 10 (1987).
- [C] H.D. CAO, Limits of solutions of Kähler–Ricci flow, J. Diff. Geom. 45 (1997), 257–272.
- [FM] A. FUTAKI, T. MABUCHI, Bilinear forms and extremal Kähler vector fields associated with Kähler classes, Math. Ann. 301 (1995), 199–210.
- [H] R.S. HAMILTON, Formation of singularities in the Ricci-flow, Surveys in Diff. Geom. International Press, Boston, 2 (1995), 7–136.
- [K] N. KOISO, On rationally symmetric Hamilton's equation for Kähler– Einstein metrics, Algebraic Geometry, Adv. Studies in Pure Math., Sendai, Japan 18-1 (1990).
- [LY] P. LI, S.T. YAU, On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator, Acta Math. 156 (1986), 153–201.
- [M1] T. MABUCHI, Heat kernel estimates and the Green functions on Multiplier hermitian manifolds, Tohöku Math. J. 54 (2002), 259–275.
- [M2] T. MABUCHI, Multiplier hermitian structures on Kähler manifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 170 (2003), 73–115.
- [T] G. TIAN, Kähler–Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, Invent. Math. 130 (1997), 1–39.

Vol. 15, 2005 KÄHLER-RICCI SOLITONS ON COMPACT COMPLEX MANIFOLDS 719

- [TZ1] G. TIAN, X.H. ZHU, A nonlinear inequality of Moser-Trudinger type, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 10:4 (2000), 349–354.
- [TZ2] G. TIAN, X.H. ZHU, Uniqueness of Kähler–Ricci solitons, Acta Math. 184 (2000), 271–305.
- [TZ3] G. TIAN, X.H. ZHU, A new holomorphic invariant and uniqueness of Kähler–Ricci solitons. Comm. Math. Helv. 77 (2002), 297–325.
- [WZ] X.J. WANG, X.H. ZHU, Kähler–Ricci solitons on toric manifolds with positive first Chern class, Adv. in Math. 188 (2004), 87–103.
- [Y] S.T. YAU, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler mainfold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation, 1*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978), 339–441.
- [Z] X.H. ZHU, Kähler–Ricci soliton typed equations on compact complex manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$, J. Geometric Analysis 10 (2000), 747–762.

HUAI-DONG CAO, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA cao@math.tamu.edu

GANG TIAN, Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA tian@math.mit.edu and

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China *Current address:*

Department of Mathematics, Princeton NJ 08544-1000 USA

XIAOHUA ZHU, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871 China xhzhu@math.pku.edu.cn

> Received: October 2004 Revised: February 2005 Accepted: February 2005