Knowledge and Politics in Plato's Theaetetus

The *Theaetetus* is one of the most widely studied Platonic dialogues because its dominant theme concerns the significant philosophical question, what is knowledge? In a new interpretation of this dialogue, Paul Stern provides the first full-length treatment of its political content, particularly its relationship with the epistemological theme. Stern argues that this approach sheds significant light on the distinctiveness of the Socratic way of life, with respect to its initial justification and its ultimate character. More specifically, he argues that Socrates' revolutionary decision to subject political life to philosophic reflection, the decision that leads directly to his trial and execution, is his awareness of the elusiveness of comprehensive knowledge and the implications of that elusiveness for the validity of philosophic inquiry. This view of Socrates' rationale has important consequences for our understanding of political philosophy and of the desirability of the life of reason.

Paul Stern is professor of politics at Ursinus College. He is the author of *Socratic Rationalism and Political Philosophy: An Interpretation of Plato's "Phaedo."*

Knowledge and Politics in Plato's Theaetetus

PAUL STERN Ursinus College



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

> Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521884297

© Paul Stern 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2008

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Stern, Paul Knowledge and politics in Plato's Theaetetus / Paul Stern. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references (p.) and index. ISBN 978-0-521-88429-7 (hardback) I. Plato. Theaetetus. 2. Knowledge, Theory of. 3. Political science – Philosophy. I. Title. B386.S79 2008

121–dc22 2007027751

ISBN 978-0-521-88429-7 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-88429-7 - Knowledge and Politics in Plato's Theaetetus Paul Stern Frontmatter More information

To Lisa

Contents

Acknowledgments		page ix
I	Knowledge, Politics, and Midwifery	I
	Introduction	Ι
	Interpretations	6
2	The Prologue	I2
	Introduction	12
	The First Strand: The Megarians and the Problem of Knowledge	15
	The Second Strand: Political Life and the Problem of Knowledge	23
	The Third Strand: The Deed of Socrates and the Deed of Plato	28
3	Socratic Midwifery	32
-	Introduction	32
	Two Teachers Talking (143d1–144d7)	33
	Getting Theaetetus to Talk (144d8–146c6)	47
	The State of Theaetetus' Soul (146c7–148e6)	56
	The Midwife (148e7–151d6)	66
4	The Delivery	82
	Introduction	82
	From Perception to Protagoras (151d7–152c7)	84
	Heracleiteanism (152c8–153d7)	90
	Back to Perception (153d8–154b6)	97
	The Wonder-Inducing Dice (154b6–155d5)	98
	Back to Perception, Again (155d5–157b1)	106
	Speech and Opinion (157b1–c1)	109
	Dreams, Disease, and Insanity: The Annihilation	
	of the Self (157c1–160e2)	112
	From Delivery to Assessment	116
5	The Assessment: Part I	119
	Introduction	119

© Cambridge University Press

viii	Contents	
	Self-Sufficiency in Phronesis – Socrates' "Different Way" (160e2–162a1)	120
	(10022–10201) Selecting an Interlocutor (16201–d4)	120 126
	Approaching Protagoras: The Ethics of Inquiry (162d4–165e7)	120 129
	Socrates' Protagoras' "Apology" (165e8–168c5)	138
	Protagoras' Egalitarianism and Inegalitarianism (168c6–171d3)	146
	Nature and Convention (171d3-172c1)	154
6	The Digression	162
	Introduction	162
	The Philosopher's Neglect of Politics (172c2–176a1)	163
	The Imperishability of Evils (176a2–8)	170
	Socratic Philosophy (176a8–177b7)	176
7	The Assessment: Part II	183
	Introduction	183
	Socrates as "Nonknower" (177b7–179d1)	184
	The Shoemaker and the Partisans of Being (179d2–183c3)	191
	The Beautiful and the Soul (183c4–186e12)	200
	Heidegger and the Theaetetus	210
0	From Perception to Opinion	215
8	False Opinion	216
	Introduction	216
	From True Opinion to False Knowing, Being, and Other-Opining (187a1–190e4)	218 222
	Theaetetus' Sentimental Education Continued (19065–19166)	222
	The Wax Block (191a7–195b5)	234
	Garrulity and Shamelessness (195b6–d6)	242
	The Aviary (195d7–200d4)	248
	<i>The Jury</i> (200d5–201c6)	254
	The Realm of Opinion	256
9	True Opinion and Logos	258
	Introduction	258
	The Context of the Dream (201c7–202d7)	259
	Elements and Compounds (202d8–206b12)	266
	Logos (206c1–210b3)	275
10	The Wisdom of the Midwife	292
	Introduction	292
	Back to the Beginning: Midwifery and the Political Context	
	of Knowledge (210b4–d4)	292
Bib	liography	301
Index		309

Acknowledgments

The seeds of this book were planted in a course given by Joseph Cropsey at the University of Chicago some thirty years ago. His illuminating examination of the dialogue provided questions that have ever after guided my reading. My understanding of the issues raised in the dialogue has been shaped since that time in conversations with Don Brand, Paul Franco, and Rick Sorenson. Their friendship has been a lifelong source of encouragement and pleasure.

Many colleagues read and usefully commented on the manuscript. Chief among these is Rick Sorenson, who read the entire manuscript with his characteristic acuity. Jeff Church, Darrell Dobbs, Bernard Dobski, Paul Franco, Paul Kirkland, Eric Petrie, and David Roochnik also provided insightful readings of portions of the manuscript. Thanks also to Scott Hemmenway and an anonymous reviewer for Cambridge University Press for their very helpful advice. Comments from animated audiences at Temple University, The College of the Holy Cross, James Madison College at Michigan State University, and Assumption College helped me sharpen my argument. Cathy Bogusky helped me prepare the manuscript for publication.

I have done all the work on the book while teaching at Ursinus College. I am grateful to the students in my several seminars on the *Theaetetus* for their willingness to engage wholeheartedly with this often befuddling dialogue. I can only hope that our common effort to seek clarity benefited them as much as it did me. At Ursinus I have also found colleagues and friends who inspire by their vision of liberal education. Steve Hood, Robert Dawley, Dallett Hemphill, and Stewart Goetz have fashioned Ursinus into an environment where the Socratic questions are essential to the daily lives of both teachers and students. Such an environment does not occur without the support of an unusually enlightened administration, which we have in President John Strassburger and Dean Judith Levy. Their efforts have helped transform Ursinus into a home for teacherscholars. Thanks to these efforts I received a grant from the Mellon Foundation (administered by Ursinus) that afforded me much-needed time to study the *Theaetetus*.

х

Acknowledgments

Finally, I wish to express my gratitude to my wife, Lisa. Her intense interest in the work and her ceaseless encouragement sustained me in the many years it took to complete the book. More importantly, she created a home for me and for our sons, Ben and Alex, in which thinking about these issues seems a very worthwhile thing to do. I dedicate the book to her.