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## SP Network
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## Differential Distinguishers

## Distinguisher for a cipher

A Distinguisher $\mathcal{D}$ for a block cipher is a randomized algorithm interacting with two primitives: an Ideal Cipher $\mathcal{I C}$ and the analysed block cipher $E_{K}$, and in polynomially bounded time decides which primitive is $E_{K}$, where $K$ is an encryption key.
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Based on construction of differential trails $\Delta_{P} \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}$ for the block cipher $E_{K}$.

- Standard Differential Distinguisher - encryption key $K$ is random,
- Open-key Differential Distinguishers - encryption key $K$ is known or chosen and we consider trails $\left(\Delta_{P}, \Delta_{K}\right) \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}$, where $\Delta_{P}=P_{1} \oplus P_{2}, \Delta_{K}=K_{1} \oplus K_{2}$ for pairs of plain-texts $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and keys $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=E_{K_{1}}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus E_{K_{2}}\left(P_{2}\right)$.


## Why Open-key Model For Block Cipher?

## Cryptographic Hash Function

A Cryptographic Hash Function $F:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$ is a transformation that maps arbitrary length input into fixed-length output and is designed to achieve certain security properties, such as: preimage resistance, second preimage resistance, collision resistance.
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Merkle-Damgård structure


## Hash Modes

## Single Block

| mode $(1)$ | $h^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $E_{h}(m) \oplus m$ |
| 2 | $E_{h}(h \oplus m) \oplus h \oplus m$ |
| 3 | $E_{h}(m) \oplus h \oplus m$ |
| 4 | $E_{h}(h \oplus m) \oplus m$ |
| 5 | $E_{m}(h) \oplus h$ |
| 6 | $E_{m}(h \oplus m) \oplus h \oplus m$ |
| 7 | $E_{m}(h) \oplus h \oplus m$ |
| 8 | $E_{m}(h \oplus m) \oplus h$ |
| 9 | $E_{h \oplus m}(m) \oplus m$ |
| 10 | $E_{h \oplus m}(h) \oplus h$ |
| 11 | $E_{h \oplus m}(m) \oplus h$ |
| 12 | $E_{h \oplus m}(h) \oplus m$ |

## Double Block

| mode | $\left(h^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A-DM | $h^{\prime}=E_{g, m}(h) \oplus h$ |
|  | $g^{\prime}=E_{m, h}(\bar{g}) \oplus g$ |$|$| T-DM | $h^{\prime}=E_{g, m}(h) \oplus h$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $g^{\prime}=E_{m, E_{g, m}(h)}(g) \oplus g$ |
| DBL | $h^{\prime}=E_{h \\| m}(g \oplus c) \oplus g \oplus c$ |
|  | $g^{\prime}=E_{h \\| m}(g) \oplus g$ |
|  | $h^{\prime}=\left(E_{h}(m) \oplus m\right)^{L}$ |
| MDC-2 | $\\|\left(E_{g}(m) \oplus m\right)^{R}$ <br>  <br> $g^{\prime}=\left(E_{g}(m) \oplus m\right)^{L}$ |
| $\\|\left(E_{h}(m) \oplus m\right)^{R}$ |  |

## Differential Trail

## Example of a differential trail: Square



## Rebound Attack

$\mathrm{H}_{b w} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{in}} \xrightarrow{ } \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{fw}}$
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## Results

## Truncated differential trails

Crypton, Hierocript-3, Square

## Example: Square
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The total probability of the differential trail is $2^{-48}$.

## Results

## Standard differential trail for 6.5 rounds of SAFER ++ for

 chosen-key distinguisher and 128 -bit key with probability $2^{-112}$

## Results

## Lemma

Let $D_{I}, D_{O}$ denote subsets of $\{0,1\}^{n}$, which are closed under $\oplus$, i.e. $x \oplus y \in D_{l}$ (respectively $D_{O}$ ) for $x, y \in D_{l}$ (resp. $D_{O}$ ). For any attacker making queries to a random $n$-bit permutation $\pi$ and its inverse $\pi^{-1}$, the complexity (measured in expected number of oracle queries) of finding a pair of inputs $(x, y)$, where $x \oplus y \in D_{l},\left|D_{l}\right|=2^{c_{l}}$, such that $\pi(x) \oplus \pi(y) \in D_{O},\left|D_{O}\right|=2^{c_{0}}$,

$$
A_{1} \cup A_{2}=B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{4}=\{0,1\}^{n}
$$ is lower bounded as

$Q \geq \min \left(2^{\frac{n}{2}-2}, 2^{n-\left(c_{1}+c_{0}\right)-3}\right)$.
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## Results

| Cipher | Distinguisher | Rounds | Encryptions | Lower bound |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crypton | Known-key | 7 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{61}$ |
|  | Chosen-key | 9 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{61}$ |
| Hierocrypt-3 | Known-key | 3.5 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{61}$ |
|  | Chosen-key | 4.5 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{61}$ |
| SAFER++ | Known-key | 6.5 | $2^{120}$ | $2^{128}$ |
|  | Chosen-key | 6.5 | $2^{112}$ | $2^{128}$ |
| Square | Known-key | 7 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{61}$ |
|  | Chosen-key | 8 | $2^{48}$ | $2^{61}$ |
| n-bit Feistel | Diff. attack | $r$ | $2^{c}$ |  |
| with $k$-bit key | Known-key | $r+2$ | $2^{c}$ |  |
|  | Chosen-key | $r+\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{n}\right\rfloor$ | $2^{c}$ |  |

## Cryptographic Hash Function

## Collisions

(1) Collisions - for a fixed chaining value $H_{0}$, the adversary tries to find two distinct messages $M_{1}, M_{2}$ such that $f\left(H_{0}, M_{1}\right)=f\left(H_{0}, M_{2}\right)$.
(2) Pseudo collisions - for a message $M$, the adversary wishes to find two distinct chaining values $H_{1}, H_{2}$ such that $f\left(H_{1}, M\right)=f\left(H_{2}, M\right)$.
(3) Semi-free start collisions - the adversary attempts to find two distinct messages $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and a chaining value $H$ such that $f\left(H, M_{1}\right)=f\left(H, M_{2}\right)$.
(4) Free start collisions - the adversary tries to find two distinct chaining values $H_{1}, H_{2}$, and two distinct messages $M_{1}, M_{2}$ such that $f\left(H_{1}, M_{1}\right)=f\left(H_{2}, M_{2}\right)$.

## Semi-Free Start Collision For $E_{h}(m) \oplus m$

## Example: Square



## Results: Hash Modes

| mode <br> $(1)$ | $h^{\prime}$ | plain-text | key | plain-text <br> and key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $E_{h}(m) \oplus m$ | C, SFSC | PC $^{\text {a }}$ | FSC |
| 2 | $E_{h}(h \oplus m) \oplus h \oplus m$ | C, SFSC | PC | PC, FSC |
| 3 | $E_{h}(m) \oplus h \oplus m$ | C, SFSC | PC | FSC |
| 4 | $E_{h}(h \oplus m) \oplus m$ | C, SFSC | PC | PC, FSC |
| 5 | $E_{m}(h) \oplus h$ | PC | C $^{\text {a }}$, SFSC | FSC |
| 6 | $E_{m}(h \oplus m) \oplus h \oplus m$ | PC | FSC | C, SFSC, FSC |
| 7 | $E_{m}(h) \oplus h \oplus m$ | PC | C, SFSC | FSC |
| 8 | $E_{m}(h \oplus m) \oplus h$ | PC | FSC | C, SFSC, FSC |
| 9 | $E_{h \oplus m}(m) \oplus m$ | FSC | PC | C, SFSC, FSC |
| 10 | $E_{h \oplus m}(h) \oplus h$ | FSC | Ca $^{\text {a }}$, SFSC | PC, FSC |
| 11 | $E_{h \oplus m}(m) \oplus h$ | FSC | PC | C, SFSC, FSC |
| 12 | $E_{h \oplus m}(h) \oplus m$ | FSC | C, SFSC | C, PC, FSC |

[^0]
## Results: Double Hash Modes

| mode | $\left(h^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ | plain-text | key | plain-text <br> and key |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-DM | $h^{\prime}=E_{g, m}(h) \oplus h$ <br> $g^{\prime}=E_{m, h}(\bar{g}) \oplus g$ | FSC | C, SFSC | PC, FSC |
| T-DM | $h^{\prime}=E_{g, m}(h) \oplus h$ <br> $g^{\prime}=E_{m, E_{g, m}(h)}(g) \oplus g$ | FSC | C, SFSC | PC, FSC |
| DBL | $h^{\prime}=E_{h \\| m}(g \oplus c) \oplus g \oplus c$ <br> $g^{\prime}=E_{h \\| m}(g) \oplus g$ | PC | C, PC, <br> SFSC, FSC | PC, FSC |
| MDC-2 | $h^{\prime}=\left(E_{h}(m) \oplus m\right)^{L}$ <br> $g^{\prime}=\left(E_{g}(m) \oplus m\right)^{R}$ <br> $\left(E_{g}(m) \oplus m\right)^{L}$ <br> $\\|\left(E_{h}(m) \oplus m\right)^{R}$ | C, SFSC | PC $^{\text {a }}$ | FSC |

${ }^{a}$ When key collisions exist in the cipher.

## Conclusions

## Results

- We have presented differential distinguishers for Crypton, Hierocrypt-3, SAFER++, and Square,
- We have showed lower bound of constructing pair that follows a truncated trail in the case of a random permutation,
- We have examined the application of the differential trails in analysis of ciphers that are used for compression function constructions.


## Open Problems

(1) The area of open-key distinguishers is largely unexplored,
(2) Finding similar distinguishers based on related-key differentials remains an open problem.

## Questions


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ When key collisions exist in the cipher.

