Mé-By John \$10.00 GRESHAM CHAPMAN. Translated by ROBERTO GÓMEZ CIRIZA. Tables. Pp. 197. Paper. (1837–1880). mexicano1975. SepSetentas. Maps. del ferrocarril construcción xico, M.N. La

Chapman's study of the Mexican Railway Company, Ltd., Mexico's first major railroad construction project, describes the history of that enterprise from the first concession in 1837 to the completion of the line from Veracruz to Mexico City in 1873. A brief chapter covers the initial period of operation from 1873 to 1880. The book is a transof Texas in 1972. It is one of the best studies available of Mexican business enterprise in the nineteenth century and compares very well 5 Pletcher's accounts of foreign mining and railroad entrepreneurs in lation into Spanish of a Ph.D. dissertation submitted to the University mine with Randall's valuable account of the Real del Monte the period of the restored republic.

occurred, however, until after Antonio Escandón traveled to London Although years, Chapman shows that most of the funds came from the Escandóns and the Mexican government. Work was suspended with the fall of Maximillian, but renewed when the Juárez government, despite vo-ciferous opposition, granted a new concession and new construction The book is organized chronologically to follow the history of the acruz-Mexico City enterprise. Only a few miles of track were actually laid, despite various federal and state government efforts at promoters of the project in Mexico were members of the Escandón family who acquired the concession in 1856. Little progress construction proceeded rapidly for the first time during the next two promotion, until the French invasion and the arrival of Maximillian. in 1864 to form an English company to carry out the project. Veracruz-Mexico City enterprise. subsidies in 1867. The chief

Much of Chapman's work deals with the complex relations between Historians of Mexico will find this a useful case study of the traumas faced and by entrepreneurs under conditions of extreme political, social promoters. governments and the railroad's international conflict. Mexican changing

Chapman does not pretend to discuss the economic, social or political impact of his railroad, although he does essay some judicious 191) that this "was the only railroad in the nineteenth century to have mainly Mexican employees." All the railroads employed more Aside from this error (and (pp. 190-Mexicans than foreigners (and a few employed no foreigners at all) His only significant error lies in the assertion mainly in unskilled or semi-skilled jobs. comments.

more about the Escandón family, their other activities, and the place of complain only of the narrowness of the book's focus. Readers will want to know railroad enterprise in their collective portfolio. Or about entrepreneurs, the impact of the railroad on the region it transversed, or its effects on social and economic life more generally. There is little in Chapman's work of analytical (as opposed to descriptive) inter-It is nonetheless a welcome addition to the still very sparse literature on business conditions in Mexico before the Porfiniato. omission of Chapman's 15 page bibliography) one can the est.

University of Chicago

JOHN COATSWORTH

Sep-BERN-Translated by ROBERTO GÓMEZ CIRIZA. México, 1974. By DIETER GEORGE Bibliography. Pp. 198. Paper. \$10.00 M.N. La inmigración en México (1821–1857). Setentas. INGER.

tracting immigrants to Mexico and the characteristics all such immi-grants should possess. The author disavows any intent of studying however, that immigration to Mexico was inconsequential prior to of This is a Wisconsin dissertation, unrevised for publication. Its purpose is to explore "criollo opinion" concerning the desirability of atimmigration itself; existing data is too limited for that. He is certain, congressional debates, editorials, U.S. and British diplomatic correspondence, foreign travel accounts and correspondence of the Mex-His sources are ministerial memorias, periodical accounts ican Foreign Ministry. 1860.

rural even Berninger searches for the origins of the idea of the desirability of immigrants, stressing the first decade as formative. Here he pays too little attention to the Spanish question and fails to note that Spaniards were prevented by Mexican law from entering Mexico (1823-1835). Early colonization projects are described, especially that of development or contribute to the defense of the frontiers is analyzed. The author also discusses the problem of the treatment received by distress concerning the prevailing xenophobia. Berninger focuses particularly on the question of religious aborted several colonization schemes negotiated in Europe. He then follows the course of the debate from the war with the U.S. to the and Stephen Austin, and the failure of these schemes to promote migration tolerance which, he demonstrates, inhibited and "criollo" immigrants

130