ences reflect a systematic bias against women and/or personal preferences on the part of individual faculty that align themselves by gender? Academic internal medicine needs to consider these results and scrutinize institutional and departmental policies towards women physicians. Women faculty, likewise, need to evaluate their careers and their priorities among different potential professional activities.

The authors acknowledge the contribution of Ms. Karen Tracey in the preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Bickel J. Women in medical education: a status report. N Engl J Med. 1988;319:1579-84.
- 2. Promotion and tenure of women and minorities on medical school facilities. Ann Intern Med. 1991;114:63-8.
- 3. Dial TH, Bickel J, Lewicki AM. Sex differences in rank attainment among radiology and internal medicine faculty. Acad Med. 1989;64:198-202.
- 4. Wilson MP. The status of women in medicine: background data. J Am Med Wom Assoc. 1981;36:62-79.
- Cole JR. Fair science: women in the scientific community. New York: Free Press, 1979.
- Wallis LA, Gilder H. Thaler H. Advancement of men and women in medical academics: a pilot study. JAMA. 1981;246:2350-3.
- Heins M. Update: women in medicine. J Am Med Wom Assoc. 1985;40:43-9.
- Friedman RH, Pozen JT, Rosencrans AL, Eisenberg JM, Gertman PM. General internal medicine units in academic medical centers: their emergence and functions. Ann Intern Med. 1982;96:233-8.

- 9. Eisenberg JM. Cultivating a new field: development of a research program in general internal medicine. J Gen Intern Med. 1986;1(J/A suppl): S8-S18.
- Beaty HM, Babbott D, Higgins EJ, Jolly P, Leven GS. Research activities of faculty in academic departments of medicine. Ann Intern Med. 1986;104:90-7.
- 11. Lorber J, Ecker, M. Career development of female and male physicians. J Med Educ. 1983;58:447-56.
- Bergquist SR, Duchae BW, Schaim VA, Zastron JF, Barr VL, Borowrecki T. Perceptions of freshman medical students of gender differences in medical specialty choice. J Med Educ. 1985;60:39-83.
- 13. Calkins EV, Willoughby TL, Arnold LM. Gender and psychosocial factors associated with specialty choice. J Am Med Wom Assoc. 1987;42:170-2.
- 14. Zimny GH, Shelton BR. Sex differences in medical specialty preferences. J Med Educ. 1982;57:403-5.
- Babbott D, Baldwin DC, Jolly P, Williams DJ. The stability of early specialty preferences among US medical school graduates in 1983. JAMA. 1988;259:1970-5.
- 16. Zuckerman H. Persistence and change in careers of men and women scientists and engineers: a review of current research. In: Div LS (ed). Women: their underrepresentation and career differentials in science and engineering: proceedings of a conference. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences, 1987.
- 17. Cole JR, Zuckerman H. Marriage, motherhood and research performance in science. Sci Am. 1987;256:119-25.
- 18. Graves PL, Thomas CB. Correlates of midlife career achievement among women physicians. JAMA. 1985;254:781-7.
- Levinson W, Tolle SW, Lewis C. Women in academic medicine combining career and family. N Engl J Med. 1989;321:1511-7.
- Working women in New England, 1988. News, United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. USDL-134. June 15, 1989.
- 21. Bobula JD. Work patterns, practice characteristics and incomes of male and female physicians. J Med Educ. 1980;55:826-33.
- Bowman M, Gross ML. Overview of research on women in medicine—issues for public policy makers. Publ Health Rep. 1986;101:513-21.

Correction

An error occurred in the article, "Laboratory Diagnosis of Iron-deficiency Anemia: An Overview," which appeared in the March/April issue (J Gen Intern Med. 1992;7:145-53). The equation for the likelihood ratio line for the "mixed" population appeared on page 149 as follows:

 $L = e^{(0.65429 - 1.6985 \cdot \ln(x))}$

One of the decimal points was in the wrong place. The correct equation is as follows:

 $L = e^{(6.5429 - 1.6985 \cdot \ln(x))}$