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## Executive's summary

The correct generation of a second order wave field in a laboratory wave tank is of importance in several experimental investigations, particularly those of nonlinear evolutions and sediment transport. In the present work, which was carried out under the MLTP (medium long-term planning) of DELFT HYDRAULICS for improving experimental techniques, expressions are found for the motion of a waveboard to generate a correct second order wave field. These expressions are valid for both regular and irregular waves. Two important features of the procedure adopted here are that the computing time for the motion of the waveboard is signifcantly smaller compared to a method based on the frequency domain and that the accuracy of the physical representation increases with decreasing spectral width. The assumption of a narrow band spectrum is sufficient for realistic sea states described by spectral shapes of JONSWAP and Pierson-Moskowitz types.

Results of some experimental investigations into the performance of the software based on the wave generation theory are also included in the report. Although the overall agreement between the theory and the experiment is good, some discrepancies are apparent from the limited analysis carried out so far, all of which cannot be attributed to the wave generation theory. Further analysis (and possibly a set of new experiments) is required in order to resolve all the discrepancies.
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## 1 Introduction

A wave generation theory correct up to second order is presented here for both regular and irregular waves. Wave-board motion based only on the first order theory creates a second order spectrum different from the one that exists in nature. The second order spectrum referred to here consists of both the superharmonic and subharmonic parts. In addition to the bound second order components (bound to the first order components through the inherent free surface nonlinearity) spurious waves, termed also as the free waves, are generated in the tank (see, for example, Buhr-Hansen \& Svendsen, 1974; Flick \& Guza, 1980) unless the motion of the waveboard calculated from the first order theory is corrected in order to minimize the generation of the spurious waves.

Second order corrections to the motion of a waveboard for reducing spurious waves have been proposed by Barthel et. al (1983) at the subharmonic range and by Sand \& Mansard (1986) at the range of superharmonics. The analysis procedure used by them is based on the frequency domain. In the approach based on the frequency domain, the second order displacement $\chi^{2}$ for irregular waves is expressed as a sum of the terms arising out of each combination of two first order components, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \sum_{q=p+1}^{N} \chi_{0(p, q)}^{2}+\sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=p}^{N} \chi_{2(p, q)}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{0(p, q)}^{2}$ and $\chi_{2(p, q)}^{2}$ respectively represent the subharmonic and superharmonic part associated with components $p$ and $q, N$ being the total number of components. The required computing time for the generation of irregular waves (correct up to second order) is proportional to the square of the number of components compared to the time necessary for the first order signal. The computing time (specially on a PC) for the generation of second-order waves can sometimes be a critical factor since the determination of the coefficients associated with each $\chi_{(p, q)}^{2}$ is time consuming.

The theory presented here is based on a different mathematical approach. Instead of adopting a frequency domain analysis, we consider the time signal to be periodic with a slowly varying amplitude. We make use of the concepts of multiple-scale variations in space $x$ and time $t$ which have been earlier illustrated by Agnon \& Mei (1985) in the study of the slow drift of an object subject to waves. Klopman and Van Leeuwen (1990) have shown the relevance of this approach for realistic sea-spectrum of the JONSWAP and Pierson-Moskowitz types in addition to presenting the subharmonic correction to the waveboard based on the multiple-scale perturbation approach. In this report, a complete second order solution (subharmonic, superharmonic and second order modulation of the first order field) is presented based on the same approach. The computing time necessary for the second order control signal is longer than that necessary for the first order only by a fraction.

## 2 Basic formulations

We consider a wavemaker of the piston type in translatory motion near $x=0$. The waves are assumed to propagate from left to right over water of constant depth $h$. The wave generation problem in the velocity potential $\phi$, surface elevation $\zeta$ and the wavemaker displacement $\mathcal{X}$ is given by the set:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}=0 & (-h<z<\zeta) \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}=0 & (z=-h) \\
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} & (z=\zeta) \\
g \zeta+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right)^{2}\right]=0 & (z=\zeta) \\
\frac{d \mathcal{X}}{d t}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} & (x=\mathcal{X}(t))
\end{array}
$$

requiring further that the waves are outgoing at infinity. The conditions, given by (2.3)-(2.5), are satisfied on the instanteneous position of the boundary (the free surface or the wave board). To express these conditions about the still water level and the zero position of the waveboard, we assume an expansion in the form of a perturbation series

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi, \zeta, \mathcal{X})=\varepsilon\left(\phi_{1}, \zeta_{1}, \mathcal{X}_{1}\right)+\varepsilon^{2}\left(\phi_{2}, \zeta_{2}, \mathcal{X}_{2}\right)+\cdots \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the parameter $\varepsilon=k_{0} a, k_{0}$ and $a$ being the typical wave number and amplitude respectively. Taylor expansions about $z=0$ of (2.3) and (2.4) gives:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}+g \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z \partial t}\right) \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) & (z=0) \\
\zeta=-\frac{1}{g}\left(\phi_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{x}^{2}+\phi_{z}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{g}\left(\phi_{t} \phi_{z t}\right)\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) & (z=0) \tag{2.8}
\end{array}
$$

Taylor expansion about $x=0$ of (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathcal{X}}{d t}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}+\mathcal{X} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \quad(x=0) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume the motions to be nearly periodic of angular frequency $\omega$ with slowly modulated amplitudes. The time and the length scales of the amplitude envelope are assumed to be $\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ times that of $(2 \pi) / \omega$ and $(2 \pi) / k_{0}$, with $\omega^{2}=g k_{0} \tanh \left(k_{0} h\right)$. Following a procedure similar to that in Agnon \& Mei (1985) (here after referred to as ' $A \& M$ '), we define the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=x, x_{1}=\varepsilon x, t_{0}=t, t_{1}=\varepsilon t, \cdots \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and express explicitly that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi^{(n)}=\phi^{(n)}\left(x_{0}, z, t_{0}, x_{1}, t_{1}\right), \\
& \zeta^{(n)}=\zeta^{(n)}\left(x_{0}, z, t_{0}, x_{1}, t_{1}\right), \\
& \mathcal{X}^{(n)}=\mathcal{X}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.1) we now find:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1)}+\phi_{z z}^{(1)}=0  \tag{2.12}\\
& \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2)}+\phi_{z z}^{(2)}=-2 \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1)} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
(-h<z<0)
$$

$$
(-h<z<0)
$$

At the bottom we find from (2.2) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{z}^{(n)}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
(z=-h)
$$

At the free surface we get from (2.7) :

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{t_{0} t_{0}}^{(1)}+g \phi_{z}^{(1)} & =0  \tag{2.15}\\
\phi_{t_{0} t_{0}}^{(2)}+g \phi_{z}^{(2)} & =-2 \phi_{t_{0} t_{1}}^{(1)}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1) 2}+\phi_{z}^{(1) 2}\right)+\frac{1}{g}\left(\phi_{t_{0}}^{(1)} \phi_{z}^{(1)}\right)_{t_{0}}\right)_{t_{0}}+ \\
& \quad(z=0) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

At the wave board we find from (2.9)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{X}_{t_{0}}^{(1)}=\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1)} & (x=0) \\
\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1)}+\mathcal{X}_{t_{0}}^{(2)}=\phi_{x_{1}}^{(1)}+\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2)}+\mathcal{X}^{(1)} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1)} & (x=0) \tag{2.18}
\end{array}
$$

We seek solutions of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi^{(n)}, \zeta^{(n)}, \mathcal{X}^{(n)}\right)=\sum_{m=-n}^{n}\left(\phi^{(n, m)}, \zeta^{(n, m)}, \mathcal{X}^{(n, m)}\right) \exp \left(-i m \omega t_{0}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the short scale temporal variation is expressed by $\exp \left(-i \omega t_{0}\right)$. The long scale temporal variation with respect to $t_{1}$ and the spatial variation, both short and long scales, are contained in the terms $\phi^{(n, m)}$ 's. In expressing the series from $m=-n$ to $m=n$ in (2.19), it is assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(n, m)}=\operatorname{conj}\left(\psi^{(n,-m)}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the resulting physical variable $\psi^{(n)}$ etc. is real, where $\psi$ represents $\phi, \zeta$ or $\mathcal{X}$.

Using (2.19) in (2.8) and grouping together the terms of the same order $\varepsilon$ and same harmonic $m$, the following relations are established for $\zeta^{(n, m)}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\zeta^{(1,0)} & =0 & & (z=0) \\
\zeta^{(1,1)} & =\frac{i \omega}{g} \phi^{(1,1)} & (z=0) \\
\zeta^{(2,0)} & =-\frac{1}{g}\left[\phi_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}+\left|\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi_{z}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}-\sigma\left(\left|\phi^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{z}\right] & & (z=0) \\
\zeta^{(2,1)} & =-\frac{1}{g}\left[-i \omega \phi^{(2,1)}+\phi_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)}\right] & & (z=0) \\
\zeta^{(2,2)} & =-\frac{1}{g}\left[-2 i \omega \phi^{(2,2)}+\right. & & \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) 2}+\phi_{z}^{(1,1) 2}\right)+\sigma \phi^{(1,1)} \phi_{z}^{(1,1)}\right] & & (z=0) \tag{2.25}
\end{array}
$$

with

$$
\sigma=\frac{\omega^{2}}{g} .
$$

## 3 Order (1,1) solution

For the first order and first harmonic we find:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+\phi_{z z}^{(1,1)}=0  \tag{3.1}\\
& -\omega^{2} \phi^{(1,1)}+g \phi_{z}^{(1,1)}=0  \tag{3.2}\\
& \phi_{z}^{(1,1)}=0  \tag{3.3}\\
& -i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}=\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-h<z<0) \\
& (z=0) \\
& (z=-h) \\
& (x=0,-h<z<0)
\end{aligned}
$$

The disturbances generated by the wave maker must be outgoing at infinity. The solution that satisfies equations (3.1)-(3.3) and the radiation condition can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(1,1)}=a_{0} f_{0}(z) \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} f_{n}(z) \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right) \quad(x>0) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}(z)=\frac{\sqrt{2} \cosh Q_{0}}{\sqrt{h+\left(g / \omega^{2}\right) \sinh ^{2} q_{0}}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& f_{n}(z)=\frac{\sqrt{2} \cos Q_{n}}{\sqrt{\left.h-\left(g / \omega^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} q_{n}\right)}}
\end{align*}
$$

with the definitions

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{m} & =k_{m} h \\
Q_{m} & =k_{m}(z+h)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the relations

$$
\omega^{2}=g k_{0} \tanh q_{0}
$$

and for $n>0$ with $k_{n}>0$ :

$$
\omega^{2}=-g k_{n} \tan q_{n}
$$

The real valued functions ( $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$ ) constitute an orthonormal set with regard to the inner product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \cdot g)=\int_{-h}^{0} f(z) g(z) d z \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore we have $a_{0}=a_{0}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $b_{n}=b_{n}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$. From eq.(3.4) we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}\left(t_{1}\right)=-\frac{k_{0}}{\omega} a_{0}\left(0, t_{1}\right) f_{0}(z)-\frac{i}{\omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n} b_{n}\left(0, t_{1}\right) f_{n}(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplication with $f_{m}(z)$ and integrating from $-h$ to 0 yields for $\mathrm{m}=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}\left(t_{1}\right)=-\frac{k_{0}}{F_{0} \omega} a_{0}\left(0, t_{1}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $m>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}\left(t_{1}\right)=-i \frac{k_{m}}{F_{m} \omega} b_{m}\left(0, t_{1}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}=\left(1 \cdot f_{0}\right)$ and $F_{m}=\left(1 \cdot f_{m}\right)$. This leads to the conclusion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}\left(0, t_{1}\right)=-i \frac{F_{n} k_{0}}{F_{0} k_{n}} a_{0}\left(0, t_{1}\right) \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $a_{0}$ is related to the 1 st order complex surface amplitude $A$ of the propagating mode through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=-\frac{i g}{2 \omega f_{0}(0)} A \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, each $b_{n}$ is related to the surface amplitude of the evanescent mode $n$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=-\frac{i g}{2 \omega f_{n}(0)} B_{n} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $A$ and $B_{n}$ 's, (3.5) expressing the potential $\phi^{(1,1)}\left(x_{0}, z, x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{(1,1)}\left(x_{0}, z, x_{1}, t_{1}\right)= & -\frac{i g \cosh Q_{0}}{2 \omega \cosh q_{0}} A\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right) \\
& -\frac{i g}{2 \omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos Q_{n}}{\cos q_{n}} B_{n}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.14) and (2.22), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{(1,1)}=\frac{1}{2} A\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The slow variations of the variables $A$ and $B_{n}$ 's with respect to ( $x_{1}, t_{1}$ ) in (3.14) are still implicit. We postpone this discussion to a later section.

Equations (3.9) and (3.10) can be modified to express $\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}$ in terms of $A$ and $B_{n}$ 's:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)} & =i I_{0} \frac{g k_{0}}{2 \omega^{2}} A\left(0, t_{1}\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
& =-I_{n} \frac{g k_{n}}{2 \omega^{2}} B_{n}\left(0, t_{1}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{0}=\frac{\int_{-h}^{0}\left(\frac{f_{0}(z)}{f_{0}(0)}\right)^{2} d z}{\int_{-h}^{0} \frac{f_{0}(z)}{f_{0}(0)} d z}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{2 q_{0}}{\sinh 2 q_{0}}\right],  \tag{3.18}\\
I_{n}=\frac{\int_{-h}^{0}\left(\frac{f_{n}(z)}{f_{n}(0)}\right)^{2} d z}{\int_{-h}^{0} \frac{f_{n}(z)}{f_{n}(0)} d z}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{2 q_{n}}{\sin 2 q_{n}}\right] \tag{3.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4 Order (2,1) problem

The equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{(2,1)}=-\frac{1}{g}\left[-i \omega \phi^{(2,1)}+\phi_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)}\right]_{z=0} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla^{2} \phi^{(2,1)}=-2 \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1,1)} & {[-h \leq z \leq 0],} \\
-\omega^{2} \phi^{(2,1)}+g \phi_{z}^{(2,1)}=2 i \omega \phi_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)} & (z=0), \\
\phi_{z}^{(2,1)}=0 ; & (z=-h), \\
\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,1)}=-i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}-\phi_{x_{1}}^{(1,1)}+\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)}-\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1) ;} ; & (x=0)
\end{array}
$$

Only those solutions of $\phi^{(2,1)}$ which are outgoing are permitted.
The nonhomogenity introduced in (4.2) and (4.3) require that certain solvability conditions be satisfied. This, in turn, determines the slow variation of $\phi^{(1,1)}$ with respect to $x_{1}$ and $t_{1}$. One may proceed to obtain the solvability conditions for the system (4.2) - (4.5) by using Green's theorem. However, this leads to a rather unwieldy form. Here, a different procedure is followed. We consider the problem in two parts.

## Part 1:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla^{2} \phi_{a}^{(2,1)}=-2 \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1,1)} ; & {[-h \leq z \leq 0]} \\
-\omega^{2} \phi_{a}^{(2,1)}+g\left(\phi_{a}^{(2,1)}\right)_{z}=2 i \omega \phi_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)} ; & (z=0) \\
\left(\phi_{a}^{(2,1)}\right)_{z}=0 ; & (z=-h) \tag{4.8}
\end{array}
$$

with no specified condition at $x=0$.

## Part 2:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla^{2} \phi_{b}^{(2,1)}=0 ; & {[-h \leq z \leq 0]} \\
-\omega^{2} \phi_{b}^{(2,1)}+g\left(\phi_{b}^{(2,1)}\right)_{z}=0 ; & (z=0) \\
\left(\phi_{b}^{(2,1)}\right)_{z}=0 ; & (z=-h) \\
\frac{\partial \phi_{b}^{(2,1)}}{\partial x_{0}}=-i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}+\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)}-\phi_{x_{1}}^{(1,1)} & \\
-\left(\phi_{a}^{(2,1)}\right)_{x_{0}}-\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1)} ; & (x=0)
\end{array}
$$

It is immediately clear that (4.9)-(4.12) represent the usual linearised wave maker problem and there exists a solution for any arbitrary function on the right hand of (4.12). We further note that $\left[\phi_{a}^{(2,1)}+\phi_{b}^{(2,1)}\right]$ satifies the complete problem given by (4.2)-(4.5).

### 4.1 Solution of Part 1

From the first order solution one has

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1,1)}= & -\frac{g k_{0}}{\omega} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\cosh k_{0}(h+z)}{\cosh k_{0} h} \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right)+ \\
& -\frac{i g}{\omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n} \frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\cos k_{n}(h+z)}{\cos k_{n} h} \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to facilitate the solution we consider $\phi_{a}^{(2,1)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{a, n}^{(2,1)}$ where the mode $n=0$ satisfies the forcing due to the propagating mode of $\phi^{(1,1)}$ and each other mode $n$, for $n \geq 1$, satisfies the corresponding evanescent mode of $\phi^{(1,1)}$.

The solution to $\phi_{a, n}^{(2,1)}$ satisfying the bottom condition and the Poisson equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{a, n}^{(2,1)} & =-\frac{g}{2 k_{0} \omega} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}}\left(\frac{Q_{0} \sinh Q_{0}}{\cosh q_{0}}\right) \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right), \quad(n=0)  \tag{4.14}\\
& =-\frac{i g}{2 \omega} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k_{n}} \frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(\frac{Q_{n} \sin Q_{n}}{\cos q_{n}}\right) \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right), \quad(n \geq 1) . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The solvability conditions can now be obtained by requiring (4.15) to satisfy the free surface condition (4.7) for each $n$. The resulting conditions after some manipulations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}+C_{g} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}} & =0  \tag{4.16}\\
\frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial t_{1}}-i C_{g_{n}} \frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}} & =0 ; n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$


with $C_{g}$ as the group velocity [i.e., $C_{g}=C I_{0}$, and $C=\omega / k_{0}$ ] and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{g_{n}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{k_{n}}\left[1+\frac{2 q_{n}}{\sin 2 q_{n}}\right] . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressions (4.16) and (4.17) govern the slow variations of $A\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $B_{n}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ 's respectively. We further note that the slow variations of $A\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $B_{n}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ 's at $x=0$ with respect to $t_{1}$ are also related to the first order wave maker motion $\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}\left(t_{1}\right)$ through (3.16) and (3.17).

### 4.2 Solution of Part 2

The solution to $\phi_{b}^{(2,1)}$ is forced by $\phi^{(1,1)}$ and $\phi_{a}^{(2,1)}$ and the wave maker motions $\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}$. The complete solution is of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{b}^{(2,1)}= & -\frac{i g}{2 \omega} A^{(2,1)}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \frac{\cosh k_{0}(h+z)}{\cosh k_{0} h} \exp \left(i k x_{0}\right)- \\
& \frac{i g}{2 \omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}^{(2,1)}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \frac{\cos k_{n}(h+z)}{\cos k_{n} h} \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

$A^{(2,1)}\left(0, t_{1}\right)$ and $B_{n}^{(2,1)}\left(0, t_{1}\right)$ 's are explicitly obtained from the condition (4.12) at the wave maker, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
i k_{0} A^{(2,1)} \frac{\cosh Q_{0}}{\cosh q_{0}}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n} B_{n}^{(2,1)} \frac{\cos Q_{n}}{\cos q_{n}}= & \\
\frac{2 i \omega}{g}\left[-i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}+\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)}\right]+ & \frac{\cosh Q_{0}}{\cosh q_{0}}\left[-\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}}+\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} k_{0}^{2} A\right]+ \\
& \frac{Q_{0} \sinh Q_{0}}{\cosh q_{0}}\left[-\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}}\right] \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{0} \frac{\cos Q_{n}}{\cos q_{n}}\left[-\frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}-\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} k_{n}^{2} B_{n}\right]+ \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{n} \sin Q_{n}}{\cos q_{n}}\left[\frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\right] \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

$A^{(2,1)}$ and $B_{n}^{(2,1)}$,s can be obtained from (4.20) by utilizing the orthogonalities of $\cosh Q_{0}$ and $\cos Q_{n}$ 's over the interval $[-h \leq z \leq 0]$. An interesting feature of (4.20) is that the amplitude of the propagating mode $A^{(2,1)}$ depends on the slow variation of the evanescent modes $B_{n}^{(1,1)}$ 's (since $\cosh Q_{0}$ and $Q_{n} \sin Q_{n}$ are not orthogonal). Explicitly, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(2,1)} & =2 \frac{C}{C_{g}} \tanh q_{0}\left[-i \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}+\frac{1}{\omega} \mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)}\right]-\frac{i}{k_{0}}\left[-\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}}+\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} k_{0}^{2} A\right]+ \\
& \frac{i}{2 k_{0}}\left[1+\frac{C}{C_{g}}\left(q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-1\right)\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}}-i \frac{C}{C_{g}} \sum\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right] \frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}},(x=0) \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ET}_{n}= & \frac{q_{n}}{k_{0}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}}\left(k_{0} \tan q_{n}-\frac{k_{n}}{\tanh q_{0}}\right)-\frac{k_{n} k_{0}^{2}}{\left(k_{0}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{\tan q_{n}}{\tanh q_{0}} \\
& +2 \frac{k_{n}^{2} k_{0}}{\left(k_{0}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{k_{n}^{3}}{\left(k_{0}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{\tan q_{n}}{\tanh q_{0}} \\
= & \frac{k_{0}}{k_{0}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\omega^{2} h}{g}\left(1+\frac{g^{2} k_{n}^{2}}{\omega^{4}}\right)\right] \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

It is convenient to express $A^{(2,1)}$ in terms of $A_{t_{1}}$. This is done as follows. The solvability condition (4.17) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}=-\frac{i}{C_{g_{n}}} \frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial t_{1}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from the conditions (3.16) and (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}\left(0, t_{1}\right)=-i \frac{k_{0}}{k_{n}} \frac{I_{0}}{I_{n}} A\left(0, t_{1}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.23) and (4.24) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(0, t_{1}\right)=-\frac{k_{0} I_{0}}{k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}\left(0, t_{1}\right)=-\frac{\omega C_{g}}{C^{2}} \frac{1}{k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}\left(0, t_{1}\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in terms of $A_{t_{1}}$, (4.21) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(2,1)}=\frac{C}{C_{g}}\left[-2 i\left(\tanh q_{0}\right) \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}+i \frac{I_{0}}{\omega} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}\right]-\frac{i}{k_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{C_{g}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} k_{0}^{2} A\right] \\
& -\frac{i}{2 C_{g} k_{0}}\left[1+\frac{C}{C_{g}}\left(q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-1\right)\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}+i k_{0} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right]}{k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}},(x=0) \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for the term $\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right] /\left(k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}\right)$ in (4.26) can be simplified to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right]}{k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}}=\frac{2 h}{\omega} \frac{q_{0}}{I_{n}\left(q_{0}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is numerically more accurate to compute $\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right] /\left(k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}\right)$ through (4.27) than through computing $\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right]$ and $\left(k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}\right)$ separately.

### 4.3 Far field solution

The complete far field solution to $\phi^{(2,1)}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(2,1)}=-\left(\frac{i g}{2 \omega}\right)\left[A^{(2,1)} \frac{\cosh k_{0}(h+z)}{\cosh k_{0} h}-\frac{i}{k_{0}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{Q_{0} \sinh Q_{0}}{\cosh q_{0}}\right] \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{(2,1)}$ is given by (4.26). From (4.1), the second order surface elevation $\zeta^{(2,1)}$ far away from the wavemaker is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{(2,1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A^{(2,1)}-\frac{i}{k_{0}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}} q_{0} \tanh q_{0}\right] \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right)+\frac{i}{2 \omega} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 Determination of $\mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}$

Since the free surface shape near the carrier frequency is assumed to be given by $\zeta^{(1,1)}$, we set the far-field condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{(2,1)}=0 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (4.30), (4.29) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(2,1)}=\frac{i}{k_{0}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}} q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-\frac{i}{\omega} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A^{(2,1)}$ can be expressed in terms of $A_{t_{1}}$ by using (4.16) in (4.31):

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(2,1)}=-\frac{i}{\omega}\left[\frac{C}{C_{g}} q_{0} \tanh q_{0}+1\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wave maker motion $\mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}$ is now determined by using the condition (4.32) in (4.26):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 i \frac{C}{C_{g}} \tanh q_{0} \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}= \\
& \quad \frac{i}{\omega}\left[\frac{C}{C_{g}} q_{0} \tanh q_{0}+1\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}+i \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}-\frac{i}{k_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{C_{g}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} k_{0}^{2} A\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{i}{2 C_{g} k_{0}}\left[1+\frac{C}{C_{g}}\left(q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-1\right)\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}+i k_{0} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right]}{k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}}, \quad(x=0)(4.33)
\end{aligned}
$$

Or, in a slightly modified form as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}^{(2, \mathbf{1})}= & {\left[\frac{g}{2 \omega^{2} C}\left(q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-\frac{3}{2}\right)-\frac{g}{4 \omega^{2} C_{g}}\left(q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-1\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{g C_{g}}{\omega^{2} C^{2}}+\frac{g C_{g}}{2 C^{3}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right]}{k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}}\right)\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \\
& -\frac{g C_{g}}{2 C^{3}} \mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} A \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.5 Surface elevation $\zeta^{(2,1)}$

Surface elevation $\zeta^{(2,1)}$ is governed by (4.1). Because of the condition of $\zeta^{(2,1)}$ vanishing in the far-field, the coefficient of the term $\exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right)$ is identically zero. From (4.1) one thus has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{(2,1)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{n}^{(2,1)}+\frac{i}{2 \omega}\left(\frac{\omega^{2} h}{g I_{n}}+1\right) \frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial t_{1}}\right] \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right), \quad x \geq 0 . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient $B_{n}\left(0, t_{1}\right)$ is known in terms of $A$ at the wave maker." The coefficient $B_{n}^{(2,1)}$ can be determined from (4.20) using the orthogonality of $\cos Q_{n}$ 's and $\cosh Q_{0}$ over $[-h \leq z \leq 0]$. After long, but fairly straightforward, operations one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{n}^{(2,1)}=\left[i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}-\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,1)}\right] \frac{2 i}{C_{g_{n}}} \frac{\tan q_{n}}{k_{n}}+\frac{1}{k_{n}}\left[-\frac{i}{C_{g_{n}}} \frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} k_{n}^{2} B_{n}\right] \\
& +\frac{2 k_{0}}{C_{g_{n}}\left(k_{0}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\right)} A_{l_{1}}-\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{\omega}{k_{n}} \frac{1}{C_{g} C_{g_{n}} \tan q_{n}} \frac{k_{0}}{k_{0}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}}\left[\tanh q_{0}+q_{0}\left(1-\tanh ^{2} q_{0}\right)\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \\
\\
+i \frac{\omega}{k_{n} C_{g_{n}} \tan q_{n}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Cb}_{n m}}{C_{g_{m}}} \frac{\partial B_{m}}{\partial t_{1}}
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Cb}_{n m}=\frac{1}{4 k_{n}}\left[-q_{n}\left(1-\tan ^{2} q_{n}\right)+\tan q_{n}\right] ; \quad(m=n) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Cb}_{n m}= & -\frac{q_{m}}{\left(k_{m}-k_{n}\right)^{2}}\left[k_{m}+k_{n} \tan q_{n} \tan q_{m}\right]+ \\
& \frac{k_{m}}{\left(k_{m}^{2}-k_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(k_{m}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\right) \tan q_{m}-2 k_{m} k_{n} \tan q_{n}\right] ;(m \neq n) . \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the relations (3.16) and (3.17), $\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}$ and $B_{n}$ can be expressed in terms of $A$ respectively in the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}=i \frac{g k}{2 \omega^{2}} I_{0} A\left(0, t_{1}\right)=\frac{i}{2} \frac{I_{0}}{\tanh q_{0}} A\left(0, t_{1}\right) \\
B_{n}=i \frac{I_{0}}{I_{n}} \frac{\tan q_{n}}{\tanh q_{0}} A\left(0, t_{1}\right) \tag{4.40}
\end{array}
$$

Substitutions of the above two expressions in (4.36) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}^{(2 ; 1)}\left(0, t_{1}\right)= & 2 \frac{\tan q_{n}}{I_{n}} \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}-i k_{0} \frac{I_{0}}{I_{n}} \mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} A \\
& +\frac{k_{0} I_{0}}{k_{n} I_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{\omega}-\frac{1}{k_{n} C_{g_{n}}}\right) \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \\
& \frac{k_{0} \omega I_{0}}{k_{n} C_{g_{n}} \tan q_{n}}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{m} I_{m}} \frac{\mathrm{Cb}_{n m}}{C_{g_{m}}}\right) \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \tag{4.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing the term containing $B_{n}$ in (4.35) an expression for $\zeta^{(2,1)}$ at $x=0$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{(2,1)}= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{n}^{(2,1)}\left(0, t_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2 \omega} \frac{k_{0} I_{0}}{k_{n} I_{n}}\left(\frac{\omega^{2} h}{g I_{n}}+1\right) \frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}}\right] ; x=0 . \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{(2,1)}\left(0, t_{1}\right)= & \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tan q_{n}}{I_{n}}\right) \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}-\frac{i k_{0} I_{0}}{2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{I_{n}}\right) \mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} A \\
& +\frac{\partial A}{\partial t_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[-\frac{1}{g}\left(-\frac{I_{0} \omega}{I_{n} k_{n} \tanh q_{0}}+\frac{\omega^{2} I_{0}}{k_{n}^{2} \tanh q_{0} C_{g_{n}} I_{n}}\right)\right. \\
- & \left.\frac{\omega I_{0}}{2 \tanh q_{0} C_{g_{n}}}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{m} I_{m}} \frac{\mathrm{Cb}_{n m}}{C_{g_{m}}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \omega} \frac{k_{0} I_{0}}{k_{n} I_{n}}\left(\frac{\omega^{2} h}{g I_{n}}+1\right)\right] \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 Subharmonic solution

Because $\phi^{(1,0)}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,0)}+\phi_{z z}^{(1,0)}=0 & (-h<z<0) \\
\phi_{z}^{(1,0)}=0 & (z=0,-h) \\
\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,0)}=0 & (x=0)
\end{array}
$$

we conclude that $\phi^{(1,0)}=\phi^{(1,0)}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ is independent of short scales. For the second order, zeroth harmonic we find the equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2,0)}+\phi_{z z}^{(2,0)}=0 & (-h<z<0) \\
\phi_{z}^{(2,0)}=0 & (z=-h) \\
g \phi_{z}^{(2,0)}=\omega\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{\left.(1,1) * \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right)_{x_{0}}}\right. & (z=0) \\
\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}=\phi_{x_{1}}^{(1,0)}+\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,0)}+\left(\mathcal{X}^{(1,1) *} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right) & (x=0)
\end{array}
$$

Our interest is the description of correct $\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}$ which is related to $\phi_{x_{1}}^{(1,0)}$ and $\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,0)}$ in addition to the first order quantities through (5.7). It is shown in Appendix B that an alternative formulation for $\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}$ is possible without explicit dependence on $\phi^{(2,0)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}=\phi_{x_{1}}^{(1,0)}+\left.\frac{\omega}{g h}\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right)\right|_{z=0, x_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the long scale variation of $\phi^{(1,0)}$ is discussed in Appendix A. Allowing only the form that corresponds to a propagating bound long wave (i.e. we assume the motion of the waveboard is such that spurious long waves are absent), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(1,0)}=\frac{g^{2}}{4 \omega^{2}} \frac{2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)}{C_{g}^{2}-g h}\left[B\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+S \cdot\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+P\right] \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\theta)=\int_{0}^{\theta}|A(\psi)|^{2} d \psi \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S$ and $P$ are constants. After substituting (5.9) and (3.14) in (5.8) and integrating with respect to $t_{1}$, an expression for $\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}$ results:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}\left(t_{1}\right)= & \left(\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}+\frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega h}\right) \cdot\left[-\frac{1}{C_{g}} B\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+K\right] \\
& +\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)} S t_{1} \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

with two unknown constants $S$ and $K$.
We set $K$ to be zero corresponding to the initial position of the waveboard being at zero and determine $S$ such that the the time average of $\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}$ tends to zero. We recognize that $|A(\psi)|$ is a slowly modulated function making $B(\theta)$ to be oscillating about a linearly increasing function of time:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)=-<|A|^{2}>C_{g} t_{1}+\text { oscillating function } \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\left.\langle | A\right|^{2}\right\rangle$ denotes the time average of $|A|$. We require therefore that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\left(1+\frac{2 \omega\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}{\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)\right]} \frac{k_{0}}{g h}\right)<|A|^{2}> \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subharmonic waveboard motion is then given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=-\frac{1}{C_{g}}\left(\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}+\frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega h}\right) \\
\int_{0}^{-C_{g} t_{1}}\left(A^{2}(\theta)-<|4|^{2}>\right) d \theta \tag{5.14}
\end{array}
$$

The constant $P$ in (5.9) can remain as an arbitrary additive constant. Using ' : ' to indicate that the expression is taken at $z=0$, we find from eqs.(2.23) and (3.2) that

$$
\zeta^{(2,0)}=-\frac{1}{g}\left[\phi_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}+\left|\hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}-\sigma^{2}\left|\hat{\phi}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

leading finally to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta^{(2,0)}=-\frac{1}{g}\left[-C_{g}\left(\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}\right)\left(|A|^{2}-<\left|A^{2}\right\rangle\right)+\right. \\
\left.C_{g} \frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega h}<|A|^{2}>+\frac{g^{2}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)}{4 \omega^{2}}|A|^{2}\right] \tag{5.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 6 Order $(2,2)$ solution

The equations for $\phi^{(2,2)}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2,2)}+\phi_{z z}^{(2,2)}=0 & (-h<z<0) \\
-4 \omega^{2} \phi^{(2,2)}+g \phi_{z}^{(2,2)}=i \omega\left(\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) 2}+\phi_{z}^{(1,1) 2}\right)+ & \\
+2 i \frac{\omega^{3}}{g} \phi^{(1,1)} \phi_{z}^{(1,1)}+i \omega\left(\phi^{(1,1)} \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}\right)_{x_{0}} & (z=0) \\
\phi_{z}^{(2,2)}=0 & (z=-h) \\
\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,2)}=-2 i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,2)}-\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1)} & (x=0)
\end{array}
$$

If we write $\phi^{(1,1)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}\left(x_{0}, z, x_{1}, t_{1}\right)=-\frac{i g f_{0}(z)}{2 \omega f_{0}(0)} A\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \exp \left(i k_{0} x_{0}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
c_{n}\left(x_{0}, z, t_{1}\right)=-\frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega f_{0}(0) F_{0}} A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \frac{F_{n}}{k_{n}} f_{n}(z) \exp \left(-k_{n} x_{0}\right) \\
c_{0 x_{0}}=i k_{0} c_{0} & n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \\
c_{n x_{0}}=-k_{n} c_{n} & n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \\
c_{0 z}=k_{0} \tanh Q_{0} c_{0} & n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \\
c_{n z}=-k_{n} \tan \left(Q_{n}\right) c_{n} & n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \\
c_{0 x_{0} x_{0}}=-k_{0}^{2} c_{0} & \\
c_{n \tilde{u}_{0} \bar{x}_{0}}=k_{n}^{2} c_{n} &
\end{array}
$$

The expression for the second equation for $\phi^{(2,2)}$ becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -4 \omega^{2} \phi^{(2,2)}+g \phi_{z}^{(2,2)}= \\
& -3 i \omega k_{0}^{2}\left(1-\tanh ^{2} q_{0}\right) C_{00} A^{2}\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \exp \left(2 i k_{0} x_{0}\right) \\
& +i \omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[k_{n}^{2}-4 i k_{0} k_{n}-k_{0}^{2}+6 \sigma^{2}\right] C_{0 n} A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) . \\
& A\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \exp \left(\left(-k_{n}+i k_{0}\right) x_{0}\right) \\
& +i \omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left[2 k_{n} k_{p}+k_{p}^{2}+3 \sigma^{2}\right] C_{n p} A^{2}\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \exp \left(-\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right) x_{0}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{00}=\frac{-g^{2}}{4 \omega^{2}} \\
& C_{0 n}=-\frac{i g^{2} k_{0}^{3}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right) \cos ^{2} q_{n}}{4 \omega^{2} k_{n}^{3}\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sin ^{2} q_{n}\right) \cosh ^{2} q_{0}} \\
& C_{n p}=\frac{g^{2} k_{0}^{6}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2} q_{n} \cos ^{2} q_{p}}{4 \omega^{2} k_{n}^{3} k_{p}^{3}\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sin ^{2} q_{n}\right)\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sin ^{2} q_{p}\right) \cosh ^{4} q_{0}} \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $f$ and $F$ have been eliminated using definition (3.6) and the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{0}=\left(1 \cdot f_{0}\right)=\frac{\sigma f_{0}(0)}{k_{0}^{2}} & \\
F_{n}=\left(1 \cdot f_{n}\right)=\frac{-\sigma f_{n}(0)}{k_{n}^{2}} & n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \tag{6.9}
\end{array}
$$

Functions that satisfy both eq.(6.1) and (6.3) look like:

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{n p}= & \left(\exp \left(i \alpha_{n p}(z+h)\right)+\exp \left(-i \alpha_{n p}(z+h)\right)\right) \\
& \left(D_{n p 1} \exp \left(\alpha_{n p} x_{0}\right)+D_{n p 2} \exp \left(-\alpha_{n p} x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{n p 1}$ and $D_{n p 2}$ can be arbitrary functions of the slow variables, $\alpha_{n p}$ is a constant. Let us assume that for the particular solution we have $\phi^{(2,2) P}=\phi^{(2,2) Q}+\phi^{(2,2) R}$ where $\phi^{(2,2) R}$ satisfies eqs. $(6.1),(6.2)$ and (6.3), and $\phi^{(2,2) Q}$ satisfies the following four equations, given by $(6.1),(6.3)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-4 \omega^{2} \phi^{(2,2) Q}+g \phi_{z}^{(2,2) Q}=0 & (z=0) \\
\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,2) Q}=-2 i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,2)}-\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1)}-\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,2) R} & (x=0) \tag{6.12}
\end{array}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(2,2) R}=S_{00}^{R}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n 0}^{R}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} S_{n p}^{R} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eq.(6.6) we see that the choice:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{n p}^{R}=-k_{n}-k_{p} & (n>0, p>0) \\
\alpha_{n 0}^{R}=\alpha_{0 n}^{R}=i k_{0}-k_{n} & (n>0) \\
\alpha_{00}^{R}=2 i k_{0} & \\
D_{i j 2}^{R}=0 & (i, j \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\})
\end{array}
$$

is imperative.

Substitution in eq.(6.6) yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{001}^{R}=\frac{3 i\left(k_{0}^{4}-\sigma^{4}\right) C_{00} A^{2}\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right)}{8 \omega \sigma^{2} \cosh \left(2 q_{0}\right)}  \tag{6.14}\\
& D_{n 01}^{R}=\frac{-i k_{0} k_{n}\left[k_{n}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+6 \sigma^{2}-4 i k_{0} k_{n}\right] C_{0 n} A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) A\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right)}{2 \omega\left[2 k_{0} k_{n}+i\left(k_{0}^{2}-k_{n}^{2}-4 \sigma^{2}\right)\right] \cos q_{n} \cosh q_{0}} \\
& \cdots \quad(n>0)  \tag{6.15}\\
& D_{n p 1}^{R}=\frac{i k_{n} k_{p}\left[2 k_{n} k_{p}+k_{p}^{2}+3 \sigma^{2}\right] C_{n p} A^{2}\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)}{2 \omega\left[4 \sigma^{2}+\left(k_{n}-k_{p}\right)^{2}\right] \cos q_{n} \cos q_{p}} \quad(n>0, p>0)( \tag{6.16}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take:

$$
\phi^{(2,2) Q}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{Q}
$$

with

$$
S_{n}^{Q}=\left(\exp \left(i \alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)+\exp \left(-i \alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)\right)\left(D_{n 1}^{Q} \exp \left(\alpha_{n} x_{0}\right)+D_{n 2}^{Q} \exp \left(-\alpha_{n} x_{0}\right)\right)
$$

we find that in order to satisfy eqs.(6.1), (6.11) and (6.3) combined with a radiation condition at infinity we must require:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
4 \sigma=-\alpha_{n} \tan \left(\alpha_{n} h\right) & \text { and } D_{n 1}^{Q}=0 \text { for } n>0 \\
\alpha_{0}=i \beta_{0} \quad \text { with } 4 \sigma=\beta_{0} \tanh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) & \text { and } D_{02}^{Q}=0
\end{array}
$$

Together with the boundednes of the solutions and the radiation condition at infinity. this yields:

$$
\phi^{(2,2) Q}=2 D_{01}^{Q} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) \exp \left(i \beta_{0} x_{0}\right)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n 2}^{Q} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) \exp \left(-\alpha_{n} x_{0}\right)
$$

From eq.(6.12) we now find in $x=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,2) Q}= & 2 i \beta_{0} D_{01}^{Q} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \alpha_{n} D_{n 2}^{Q} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)= \\
= & -2 i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,2)}-i \frac{k_{0}^{3} g^{2}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right) A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)}{4 \omega^{4} \cosh ^{2} q_{0}}\left[-k_{0}^{2} c_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n}^{2} c_{n}\right] \\
& -4 i k_{0} D_{001}^{R} \cosh \left(2 k_{0}(z+h)\right)+ \\
& -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\left(i k_{0}-k_{n}\right) D_{n 01}^{R}\left[\cos Q_{n} \cosh Q_{0}+i \sin Q_{n} \sinh Q_{0}\right]+ \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 2\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right) D_{n p 1}^{R} \cos \left(\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right)(z+h)\right) \tag{6.17}
\end{align*}
$$

After multiplication of this equation with $\cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right)$ and integrating from $-h$ to 0 we find after some manipulations where we make use of the integrals given in Appendix C:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \beta_{0}\left(h+\frac{1}{2 \beta_{0}} \sinh \left(2 \beta_{0} h\right)\right) D_{01}^{Q}=-2 i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,2)} \frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \sinh \left(\beta_{0} h\right)+ \\
& -i \frac{k_{0}^{3} g^{2}\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right) A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)}{4 \omega^{4} \cosh ^{2} q_{0}}\left[-\frac{3}{2} i \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \omega A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+\right. \\
& +\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{0}^{3}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right)}{\cosh ^{2} q_{0}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \omega A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \times \\
& \left.\times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos ^{2} q_{n}}{k_{n}\left(k_{n}^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sin ^{2} q_{n}\right)}\right]+ \\
& -\left[4 i k_{0} D_{001}^{R} \frac{-4 \sigma^{3}}{k_{0}^{2}\left(4 k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}\right)} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \cosh ^{2} q_{0}+\right. \\
& +\frac{2 \sigma}{k_{0}} \cosh q_{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i k_{0}-k_{n}}{k_{n}} D_{n 01}^{R} \frac{-2 k_{0} k_{n}+i\left(k_{n}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}\right)}{k_{0}^{2}-k_{n}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}+2 i k_{0} k_{n}} \cos q_{n}+ \\
& \left.+2 \sigma \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_{n}+k_{p}}{k_{n} k_{p}} D_{n p 1}^{R} \frac{\left(k_{n}-k_{p}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}}{\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right)^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}} \cos q_{n} \cos q_{p}\right] \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

From this expression we can directly find $D_{01}^{Q}$. In order to avoid the occurance of waves with frequency $2 \omega$ we should choose $\mathcal{X}^{(2,2)}$ such that $D_{01}^{Q}=0$. This yields in $x=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{X}^{(2,2)}=\frac{\beta_{0}}{2 i \omega \sinh \left(\beta_{0} h\right)} * \\
& \left\{i \frac { k _ { 0 } ^ { 3 } g ^ { 2 } ( h + \sigma ^ { - 1 } \operatorname { s i n h } ^ { 2 } q _ { 0 } ) A ( - C _ { g } t _ { 1 } ) } { 4 \omega ^ { 4 } \operatorname { c o s h } ^ { 2 } q _ { 0 } } \left[\frac{3}{2} i \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \omega A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+\right.\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{0}^{3}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right)}{\cosh ^{2} q_{0}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \omega A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \times \\
& \left.\times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos ^{2} q_{n}}{k_{n}\left(k_{n}^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sin ^{2} q_{n}\right)}\right]+ \\
& -\left[4 i k_{0} D_{001}^{R} \frac{-4 \sigma^{3}}{\left(4 k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) k_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \cosh ^{2} q_{0}+\right. \\
& +\frac{2 \sigma}{k_{0}} \cosh q_{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i k_{0}-k_{n}}{k_{n}} D_{n 01}^{R} \frac{-2 k_{0} k_{n}+i\left(k_{n}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}\right)}{k_{0}^{2}-k_{n}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}+2 i k_{0} k_{n}} \cos q_{n} \\
& \left.\left.+2 \sigma \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} D_{n p 1}^{R} \frac{k_{n}+k_{p}}{k_{n} k_{p}} \frac{\left(k_{n}-k_{p}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}}{\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right)^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}} \cos q_{n} \cos q_{p}\right]\right\}(6.19) \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplication of equation (6.17) with $\cos \left(\alpha_{m}(z+h)\right)$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, and integrating from $-h$ to 0 (again making use of Appendix C), yields in $x=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\alpha_{m}\left(h+\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{m}} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{m} h\right)\right) D_{m 2}^{Q}=-2 i \omega \mathcal{X}^{(2,2)} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m}} \sin \left(\alpha_{m} h\right)- \\
& i \frac{k_{0}^{3}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right) A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)}{4 \sigma^{2} \cosh ^{2} q_{0}}\left[-\frac{3}{2} i \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{k_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{m}^{2}} \omega A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{m} h\right)+\right. \\
& +\frac{3}{2} \frac{\omega k_{0}^{3}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh q_{0}\right)}{\cosh ^{2} q_{0}} A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{m} h\right) \cdot \\
& \left.\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos ^{2} q_{n}}{k_{n}\left(k_{n}^{2}-\alpha_{m}^{2}\right)\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sin ^{2} q_{n}\right)}\right]+ \\
& -\left[i D_{001}^{R} \frac{-16 \sigma^{3}}{k_{0}\left(4 k_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{m}^{2}\right)} \cos \left(\alpha_{m} h\right) \cosh ^{2} q_{0}+\right. \\
& \frac{2 \sigma}{k_{0}} \cos \left(\alpha_{m} h\right) \cosh q_{0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i k_{0}-k_{n}}{k_{n}} D_{n 01}^{R} \frac{-2 k_{0} k_{n}+i\left(k_{n}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}\right)}{k_{0}^{2}-k_{n}^{2}+\alpha_{m}^{2}+2 i k_{0} k_{n}} \cos q_{n}+ \\
& \left.-2 \sigma \cos \left(\alpha_{m} h\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_{n}+k_{p}}{k_{n} k_{p}} D_{n p 1}^{R} \frac{4 \sigma^{2}+\left(k_{n}-k_{p}\right)^{2}}{\alpha_{m}^{2}-\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right)^{2}} \cos q_{n} \cos q_{p}\right] \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

This yields an explicit expression for $D_{m 2}^{Q}$, for $m=1(1) \infty$. With equation (6.17) we can now determine $\phi^{(2,2) Q}$, from (6.13) we find $\phi^{(2,2) R}$; the sum of both should satisfy eqs. (6.1) to (6.4). Solutions of the homogeneous equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2,2)}+\phi_{z z}^{(2,2)}=0 & (-h<z<0) \\
-4 \omega^{2} \phi^{(2,2)}+g \phi_{z}^{(2,2)}=0 & (z=0) \\
\phi_{z}^{(2,2)}=0 & (z=-h) \\
\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,2)}=0 & (x=0)
\end{array}
$$

that are of the form

$$
\phi^{(2,2) H}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{H}
$$

with

$$
S_{n}^{H}=\left(\exp \left(i \alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)+\exp \left(-i \alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)\right)\left(D_{n 1}^{H} \exp \left(\alpha_{n} x_{0}\right)+D_{n 2}^{H} \exp \left(-\alpha_{n} x_{0}\right)\right)
$$

satisfy (6.21) and (3.5). Equation (6.22) supplies us with the conditions:

$$
4 \sigma=\alpha_{n} \tan \left(\alpha_{n} h\right), D_{n 1}^{H}=0 \text { for } n=1(1) \infty
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{0}=i \beta_{0}, 4 \sigma=\beta_{0} \tanh \left(\beta_{0} h\right), D_{02}^{H}=0
$$

Furthermore we assume a radiation condition at infinity as well as boundednes of the solution for all $x_{0}>0$, whence:

$$
\phi^{(2,2) H}=2 D_{01}^{H} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) \exp \left(i \beta_{0} x_{0}\right)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n 2}^{H} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) \exp \left(-\alpha_{n} x_{0}\right)
$$

From boundary condition (6.24) we find:

$$
\left.\phi_{x_{0}}^{(2,2) H}\right|_{x=0}=2 i \beta_{0} D_{01}^{H} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right)-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} D_{n 2}^{H} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)=0
$$

By making use of the orthogonality of the functions $\cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right)$ and $\cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)$ for $n=1(1) \infty$ on the interval from $-h$ to 0 , as given in Appendix $C$, we find that:

$$
\phi^{(2,2) H}=0
$$

for all $x_{0}$ and $z$.

## 7 Summary of the first- and second-order solution

The waveboard displacement $\mathcal{X}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}+\left[\left(\mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}+\mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}\right) \exp \left(-i \omega_{0} t\right)+*\right]+\left[\mathcal{X}^{(2,2)} \exp \left(-2 i \omega_{0} t\right)+*\right](7.1)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}=-\frac{1}{C_{g}}\left(\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}+\frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega h}\right) . \\
& \left.\cdot \int_{0}^{-C_{g} t}\left(A^{2}(\theta)-<A^{2}\right\rangle\right) d \theta,  \tag{7.2}\\
& \mathcal{X}^{(1,1)}=i I_{0} \frac{g k_{0}}{2 \omega^{2}} A(0, t),  \tag{7.3}\\
& \mathcal{X}^{(2,1)}=\left[\frac{g}{2 \omega^{2} C}\left(q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-\frac{3}{2}\right)-\frac{g}{4 \omega^{2} C_{g}}\left(q_{0} \tanh q_{0}-1\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{g C_{g}}{\omega^{2} C^{2}}+\frac{g C_{g}}{2 C^{3}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\mathrm{ET}_{n}\right]}{k_{n} I_{n} C_{g_{n}}}\right)\right] \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}-\frac{g C_{g}}{2 C^{3}} \mathcal{X}^{(1,0)} A,  \tag{7.4}\\
& \mathcal{X}^{(2,2)}=\frac{\beta_{0}}{2 i \omega \sinh \left(\beta_{0} h\right)} . \\
& \cdot\left\{i \frac { k _ { 0 } ^ { 3 } g ^ { 2 } ( h + \sigma ^ { - 1 } \operatorname { s i n h } ^ { 2 } q _ { 0 } ) A ( - C _ { g } t ) } { 4 \omega ^ { 4 } \operatorname { c o s h } ^ { 2 } q _ { 0 } } \left[\frac{3}{2} i \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \omega A\left(-C_{g} t\right)+\right.\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{0}^{3}\left(h+\sigma^{-1} \sinh ^{2} q_{0}\right)}{\cosh ^{2} q_{0}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \omega A\left(-C_{g} t\right) \times \\
& \left.\times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos ^{2} q_{n}}{k_{n}\left(k_{n}^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)\left(h-\sigma^{-1} \sin ^{2} q_{n}\right)}\right]+ \\
& -\left[4 i k_{0} D_{001}^{R} \frac{-4 \sigma^{3}}{\left(4 k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}\right) k_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \cosh ^{2} q_{0}+\right. \\
& \frac{2 \sigma}{k_{0}} \cosh q_{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i k_{0}-k_{n}}{k_{n}} D_{n 01}^{R} \frac{-2 k_{0} k_{n}+i\left(k_{n}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}\right)}{k_{0}^{2}-k_{n}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}+2 i k_{0} k_{n}} \cos q_{n} \\
& \left.\left.+2 \sigma \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} D_{n p 1}^{R} \frac{k_{n}+k_{p}}{k_{n} k_{p}} \frac{\left(k_{n}-k_{p}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}}{\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right)^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}} \cos q_{n} \cos q_{p}\right]\right\} . \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The surface elevation $\zeta$ at $x=0$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\zeta^{(2,0)}+\left[\left(\zeta^{(1,1)}+\zeta^{(2,1)}\right) \exp \left(-i \omega_{0} t\right)+*\right]+\left[\zeta^{(2,2)} \exp \left(-2 i \omega_{0} t\right)+*\right] \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{(2,0)}= & -\frac{1}{g}\left[-C_{g}\left(\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}\right)\left(|A|^{2}-<A^{2}>\right)\right. \\
& \left.+C_{g} \frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega h}<A^{2}>+\frac{g^{2}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)}{4 \omega^{2}}|A|^{2}\right]  \tag{7.7}\\
\zeta^{(1,1)}= & \frac{1}{2} A+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}, \quad \text { and }  \tag{7.8}\\
\zeta^{(2,1)}= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{n}^{(2,1)}(0, t)+\frac{1}{2 \omega} \frac{k_{0} I_{0}}{k_{n} I_{n}}\left(\frac{\omega^{2} h}{g I_{n}}+1\right) \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}\right] \tag{7.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## 8 Experimental measurements

A series of tests were performed to verify the second order wave generation theory as described earlier in this report. Two different sets of experiments (similar to those reported in Kostense, 1984) were performed, the first set to analyse the generated subharmonic motion due to a bichromatic signal and the second to analyse the superharmonic elevation due to a monochromatic incident field.

The experiments were conducted in a flume (Scheldegoot) which is 1 m wide, 1.2 m deep and 55 m long. During the experiments a beach of slope $1: 5$ existed with its toe about 43 m away from the mean position of the wave maker. Maximum value of the reflection coefficient of the primary waves was found to be $20 \%$ over the entire range of the frequencies tested. Resistance-type wave gauges were used to collect the time record of the surface elevation and a probe was fixed near the wave maker to record the displacement of the wave maker. The wave gauges and the data-acquistion system were tested prior to the experiments to ascertain their reliability. In all cases, waves were generated for sufficiently long time (for about 5 minutes) and the reflection compensation mechanism was activated to reduce reflections from the waveboard.

Detailed measurements of surface elevation were also done during a later test (Klopman; 1993) using the second order wave generation theory. The results of subharmonic analyses of these tests are also included in this report.

### 8.1 Measurements and analyses: Subharmonic elevation

The experiments are described in three groups: 'ba', 'be' and '\#wbo, \#wbn'. Surface elevation was recorded at four locations (table 8.1) during the 'ba' and 'be' tests and at six locations (table 8.2) during the '\#wbo, \#wbn' tests. The analysis procedure is as follows:

1. Amplitudes and phases at the primary frequencies $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and the subharmonic excitation $\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|$ at each location are obtained from the time record of the measured elevation by Fourier analysis.
2. The incident and reflected amplitudes of the carrier waves are determined from the amplitudes at two 'suitably' chosen wave gauges. ('Suitably' chosen wave gauges mean that the relative locations of these wave gauges give the best resolution of the different components). These measured amplitudes of the

| $x_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{3}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{4}(\mathrm{~m})$ | Test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7.00 | 11.25 | 15.50 | 12.50 | ba-1, ba-2 |
| 7.00 | 15.00 | 23.00 | 16.25 | ba-3, ba-4 |
| 7.00 | 11.25 | 15.50 | 12.00 | be-1, be-2, be-3 |
| 7.00 | 15.00 | 23.00 | 15.75 | be-4 |

Table 8.1: Locations of the wave gauges from the wave maker for the 'ba' and the 'be' tests.

| $x_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{3}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{4}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{5}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{6}(\mathrm{~m})$ | Test |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12.50 | 18.50 | 22.15 | 22.85 | 26.32 | 34.50 | \#wbo |
| 10.50 | 16.50 | 22.15 | 22.85 | 28.50 | 34.50 | \#wbn01-19 |

Table 8.2: Locations of the wave gauges from the wave maker for the '\#wbo' and '\#wbn' tests.
incident carrier waves are used in getting the theoretical amplitudes of the bound long waves.
3. The harmonic amplitudes at $\Delta f=\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|$ are analysed to give amplitudes of free long waves and bound long waves assuming that the subharmonic surface elevation consists of the following components:
(a) incident bound wave
(b) incident free wave
(c) reflected free wave

Three wave gauges are needed for the analysis. These three wave gauges are 'suitably' chosen out of the four or six wave gauges used during the experiments.

Bound long waves associated with the reflected primary waves are assumed to be negligible in the present analysis. This is based on the ground that the amplitude of the bound waves under a group is proportional to the product of the 1st-harmonic amplitudes of the carrier waves. Thus, the bound long waves associated with a maximum of $20 \%$ reflection of the primary waves can only be as large as $4 \%$ of the incident bound long waves. Results of the analyses are shown in tables 8.3 and 8.4. $a_{l f}$ represents

|  |  | (expt. measurements) (mm) |  |  |  | $a_{l b}^{\text {th }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| test | $f_{1}, f_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{l b}$ | $a_{l f}$ | $(\mathrm{~mm})$ |
| ba-1 | $0.48,0.33$ | 54.4 | 11.4 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 5.9 |
| ba-2 | $0.48,0.36$ | 54.7 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 5.3 |
| ba-3 | $0.48,0.39$ | 54.3 | 12.4 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 5.3 |
| ba-4 | $0.48,0.42$ | 54.3 | 11.8 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 4.7 |
| be-1 | $0.69,0.54$ | 34.7 | 28.2 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 3.9 |
| be-2 | $0.69,0.57$ | 34.4 | 28.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.7 |
| be-3 | $0.69,0.60$ | 34.5 | 28.4 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 3.5 |
| be-4 | $0.69,0.63$ | 34.1 | 28.3 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 3.3 |

Table 8.3: Measured amplitudes of subharmonic waves due to a bichromatic signal. $h=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$. $a_{l b}^{t h}$ denotes the theoretical value of the amplitude of the bound long waves (Laing, 1986).
the amplitude of the free long waves propagating away from the wavemaker. The amplitudes of the free long waves from the beach are not shown in the tables. In the series 'ba' and 'be', wave gauge 4 was optimally located relative to gauge 2 in order to analyse the the carrier waves. The incident and reflected amplitudes of the carrier waves in table 8.3 are obtained from these two gauges. The amplitudes of the subharmonic surface elevations are obtained from three wave gauges which give the largest determinant of the sytem of equations.

## Comments on the results

Two aspects of interest in tables 8.3 and 8.4 are the comparison of the analysed and the predicted value of the bound long waves and the amplitude of the incident free long waves. The ratio of amplitude of free waves to that of bound waves is largest in the ba-tests, being about $29 \%$, and smallest in the be-tests, being about $6 \%$. There are a few factors which can contribute to the deviations of the analysed results from the expected values, i.e. amplitude of the bound long wave is as predicted by the second order Laing theory and the incident free wave is zero. These factors are:

1. difficulty in the analysis of long waves.
2. the amplitude of the incident free wave is not only a result of the second order wave-generation, but also depends on the reflection compensation mechanism of the waveboard.
3. higher order effects, more pronounced in ba-tests.

The difficulty in the analysis of long waves in a wave flume can be explained by considering the length scales of modulation $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ associated with the components to be anlysed, i.e.;

- $L_{1}=\lambda_{l f} / 4$ (long free waves from the wavemaker + beach)
- $L_{2}=\lambda_{l f} \lambda_{l b} /\left\{2\left(\lambda_{l f}+\lambda_{l b}\right)\right\}$ (long free waves from the beach + incident long bound waves)
- $L_{3}=\lambda_{l f} \lambda_{l b} /\left\{2\left|\lambda_{l f}-\lambda_{l b}\right|\right\}$ (incident long free waves + incident long bound waves)
where $\lambda_{l f}$ and $\lambda_{l b}$ denote respectively the lengths of the long free waves and the long bound waves. The wave gauges should have separation distances of roughly $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ for a good resolution of the subharmonic components. These length scales for the series 'ba', 'be' and '\#wbo, \#wbn' are presented in table 8.5. It is clear that the wave gauges cannot be ideally located in a wave flume of effective length less than 43 m to resolve the free and bound components for quite a few of the conditions in 'ba' and 'be'. A measure of the soundness of the analysis for various separation distances of the wave gauges can be given by the determinant of the system. We show in table 8.6 the values of the determinant $D$ for a few specific cases.

|  |  | (expt. measurements) (mm) |  |  | $a_{l b}^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| test | $f_{1}, f_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{l b}$ | $a_{l f}$ | (mm) |
| \#wbo03 | $0.588,0.735$ | 48.8 | 35.0 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 5.9 |
| \#wbn17 | $0.606,0.758$ | 48.4 | 35.1 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 5.5 |

Table 8.4: Measured amplitudes of subharmonic waves due to a bichromatic signal. $h=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$. $a_{1 b}^{t h}$ denotes the theoretical value of the amplitude of the bound long waves (Laing, 1986).

| series | $L_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $L_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $L_{3}(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ba-1 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 42.2 |
| ba-4 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 80.5 |
| be-1 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 15.7 |
| be-4 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 32.7 |
| \#wbo, \#wbn | 3.7 | 2.9 | 13.8 |

Table 8.5: Length scales of modulations of subharmonic surface elevation for the series 'ba', 'be', '\#wbo', '\#wbn'.

| test | gauge 1 | gauge 2 | gauge 3 | $D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ba-1 | 7 | 11.25 | 15.5 | 1.14 |
| ba-1 | 7 | 11.25 | 12.5 | 0.49 |
| ba-1 | 11.25 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 0.35 |
| ba-1 $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 7 | 10.6 | 49.0 | 4.25 |
| be-1 | 7 | 11.25 | 15.5 | 2.5 |
| be-1 $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 7 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 4.6 |
| be-4 | 7 | 15.0 | 23.0 | 2.9 |
| be-4 $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 7 | 15.0 | 33.0 | 4.6 |

Table 8.6: Values of the determinant $D$ depending on the locations of the wave gaues (in meters from the wavemaker). The ' $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ ' denotes a sort of ideal configuraton of the gauges based on the length scales of modulations.

### 8.2 Measurements and analyses: Superharmonic elevation

In the tests conducted to analyze the performance of the generated superharmonic field, only monochromatic incident wave field is considered. The nondimensional wave number $k h$ ranges from 2.7 (deep) till 0.5 (intermediate depth). Surface elevation is again recorded at four locations as shown in table 8.7. Amplitudes of the incident and

| $x_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{3}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $x_{4}(\underline{m})$ | Test no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 14.16 | 15.00 | 15.92 | 15.46 | sh-1 |
| 14.58 | 15.00 | 16.30 | 15.65 | sh-2 |
| 14.16 | 15.00 | 17.10 | 16.05 | sh-3 |
| 14.30 | 15.00 | 16.40 | 15.70 | sh-4 |

Table 8.7: Locations of the wave gauges from the wavemaker for the 'sh'-tests.
reflected carrier waves are analyzed from surface elevation at stations $1 \& 2$. Table 8.8 shows the analyzed values of the superharmonic amplitudes, the bound component $a_{2 s}$ and the incident free component $a_{2 f}$, for a given first order amplitude $a$. Magnitudes of the components $a_{2 s} \& a_{2 f}$ show slight variations depending on which three gauges are considered for the analysis. The listed values of the superharmonic components are based on the three locations for which the determinant $D$ of the system is the largest. It is seen from table 8.8 that the wave gauge locations are far from ideal, particularly for the test case sh-4. Further, there is no clear trend of reduction of the amplitude of the free waves. Besides the location of the wave gauges there are a few factors which

|  |  | (expt. measurements)(m) |  | (theoretical) (m) |  | $D$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $k h$ | $a$ | $a_{2 s}$ | $a_{2 f}$ | $a_{2 s}^{t h}$ | $a_{2 f}^{t h}$ |  |
| sh-1 | 2.7 | 0.04878 | 0.008582 | 0.001105 | 0.007423 | 0.002722 | 3.6 |
| sh-2 | 2 | 0.04981 | 0.006472 | 0.002133 | 0.006172 | 0.002263 | 4.3 |
| sh-3 | 1.1 | 0.04091 | 0.004099 | 0.000598 | 0.004125 | 0.001925 | 1.4 |
| sh-4 | 0.5 | 0.02981 | 0.005428 | 0.005310 | 0.005849 | 0.004679 | 0.9 |

Table 8.8: Measurement of the superharmonic components. $a_{2 f}$ denotes the measured amplitude of the superharmonic free waves from the wavemaker and $a_{2 f}^{t h}$ denotes the amplitude produced by a sinusoidally moving piston wavemaker (Flick \& Guza, 1980)...
can contribute to this problem:

1. actual superharmonic correction to the waveboard in the the 'wave generation' software may not have been updated from the old version to the one described in this report.
2. amplitude of the incident free wave depends not only on the wave generation theory, but also on the reflection compensation mechanism.
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## A Derivation of $\phi^{(1,0)}$

Up to the third order in $\varepsilon$, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-h}^{\zeta} u d z=\int_{-h}^{0} \phi_{x} d z+\int_{0}^{\zeta}\left(\hat{\phi}_{x}+z \hat{\phi}_{x z}\right) d z= \\
& \int_{-h}^{0}\left(\varepsilon \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} \phi_{x_{0}}^{(2)}+\varepsilon^{3} \phi_{x_{0}}^{(3)}+\varepsilon^{2} \phi_{x_{1}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{3} \phi_{x_{1}}^{(2)}\right) d z+ \\
& \varepsilon^{2} \zeta^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(2)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{1}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(2)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(1) 2} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} z}^{(1)}+O\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where ' $:$ ' indicates that the expression is taken in $z=0$. This implies that the continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \zeta+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{-h}^{\zeta} u d z=0 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can, up to third order in $\varepsilon$, be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-h}^{0}\left(\varepsilon \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1)}+2 \varepsilon^{2} \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2)}+2 \varepsilon^{3} \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(2)}+\varepsilon^{3} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(3)}+\varepsilon^{3} \phi_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{(1)}\right) d z+ \\
& \varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta_{x_{1}}^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1)}+2 \varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{1}}^{(1)}+ \\
& \varepsilon^{3} \zeta_{x_{0}}^{(2)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(2)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(2)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta^{(1)} \zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} z}^{(1)}+ \\
& \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{3} \zeta_{x_{0} x_{0} z}^{(1) 2} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon \zeta_{t_{0}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{t_{1}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{t_{0}}^{(2)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta_{t_{1}}^{(2)}+\varepsilon^{3} \zeta_{t_{0}}^{(3)}=O\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now have for the first order, zeroth harmonic:

$$
\int_{-h}^{0} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,0)} d z=0
$$

as was to be expected from eqs.(5.1) to (5.3). For the second order zeroth harmonic we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-h}^{0} \phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2,0)} d z+\left(\zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+\left(\zeta^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)=0 \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\zeta^{(1,0)}=0$, and for the third order zeroth harmonic:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{t_{1}}^{(2,0)}+\int_{-h}^{0}\left(2 \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(2,0)}+\phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(3,0)}\right) d z+h \phi_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{(1,0)}+\left(\zeta_{x_{1}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+ \\
& 2\left(\zeta^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+\left(\zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{1}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+\left(\zeta_{x_{0}}^{(2,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+ \\
& \left(\zeta^{(2,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+\left(\zeta^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2,1)}+*\right)+\left(\zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(2,1)}+*\right)=0 \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

because $\phi^{(1,0)}$ is independent of the short scales. With the use of eq.(2.23) we find the following wave equation for $\phi^{(1,0)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{t_{1} t_{1}}^{(1,0)}-g h \phi_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{(1,0)}=-\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1}}-\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{z}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1}}+\sigma\left(\left|\hat{\phi}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{z t_{1}} \\
& +g \int_{-h}^{0}\left(2 \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(2,0)}+\phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(3,0)}\right) d z+g\left(\zeta_{x_{1}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+ \\
& 2 g\left(\zeta^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+g\left(\zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{1}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+g\left(\zeta_{x_{0}}^{(2,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)+ \\
& g\left(\zeta^{(2,1)} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(1,1) *}+*\right)+g\left(\zeta^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2,1)}+*\right)+g\left(\zeta_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(2,1)}+*\right) \\
& =-\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1}}-\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{z}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1}}+\sigma\left(\left|\hat{\phi}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1} z}+ \\
& g \int_{-h}^{0}\left(2 \phi_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(2,0)}+\phi_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(3,0)}\right) d z-2 \omega\left(i \hat{\phi}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)-\omega\left(i \hat{\phi}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{0}}^{(2,1)}+*\right) \\
& -\omega\left(i \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(2,1)}+*\right)+g\left(\zeta^{(2,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)_{x_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After the terms in the right-hand side that are third order in the wave height have been neglected, the wave equation becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{t_{1} t_{1}}^{(1,0)}-g h \phi_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{(1,0)}= & -\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1}}-\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{z}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1}}+\sigma\left(\left|\hat{\phi}^{(1,1)}\right|^{2}\right)_{t_{1} z}+ \\
& -2 \omega\left(i \hat{\phi}^{(1,1) *} \hat{\phi}_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{(1,1)}+*\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By neglecting the higher order terms in the wave height, we have reintroduced the $x_{0}$ dependence of the right-hand side. In order to restore this we only take that part that does not depend on $x_{0}$, so we leave out the influence of the evanescent modes. The equation now becomes with the use of (3.14):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t_{1} t_{1}}^{(1,0)}-g h \phi_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{(1,0)}=\frac{g^{2}}{4 \omega^{2}}\left[C_{g}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)+2 \omega k_{0}\right]\left(|A|^{2}\right)_{x_{1}} . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the solutions of this equation that only depend on ( $x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}$ ) we can use the fact that the right-hand side is a function of $\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right)$. We find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right) \phi_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{(1,0)}=\frac{g^{2}}{4 \omega^{2}}\left[C_{g}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)+2 \omega k_{0}\right]\left(|A|^{2}\right)_{x_{1}} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the definition $B(\theta)=\int_{0}^{\theta}|A(\psi)|^{2} d \psi$, we find the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{(1,0)}=\frac{g^{2}}{4 \omega^{2}} \frac{2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left(k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)}{C_{g}^{2}-g h}\left(B\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+S \cdot\left(x_{1}-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+P\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where S and P are constants.

## B Derivation of $\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}$

If we apply Green's theorem on $\phi^{(1,0)}$ and $\phi^{(2,0)}$ we find, with

$$
G=\left\{\left(x_{0}, z\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{2} \mid 0<x_{0}<L \wedge-h<z<0\right\}
$$

and $\nabla$ as the gradient operator in $x_{0}$ and $z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\iint_{G} \phi^{(1,0)} \nabla^{2} \phi^{(2,0)}-\phi^{(2,0)} \nabla^{2} \phi^{(1,0)} d x d z= \\
& \int_{\partial G} \phi^{(1,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \phi^{(2,0)}-\phi^{(2,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \phi^{(1,0)} d l= \\
& \left.\phi^{(1,0)} \int_{x_{0}=0}^{L} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0} d x_{0}+\left.\phi^{(1,0)} \int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=L} d z+ \\
& \quad-\left.\phi^{(1,0)} \int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=0} d z= \\
& \phi^{(1,0)}\left(\left.\int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=L} d z-\left.\int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=0} d z+\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{\omega}{g} \int_{x_{0}=0}^{L}\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{\left.\left.(1,1) * \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right)\left._{x_{0}}\right|_{z=0} d x_{0}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

resulting in

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=0} d z+\left.\frac{\omega}{g}\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right)\right|_{z=0, x_{0}=0}= \\
\left.\frac{\omega}{g}\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right)\right|_{z=0, x_{0}=L} \tag{B.1}
\end{array}
$$

after we discarded $\left.\int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=L} d z$ for $L \rightarrow \infty$.
This is allowed as for large $x_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1)} \rightarrow i k_{0} \phi^{(1,1)} \text { for } x_{0} \rightarrow \infty \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right) \rightarrow 2 k_{0}\left|\phi^{(1,1)}\right|^{2} \quad \text { for } x_{0} \rightarrow \infty \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is a function of $x_{1}$ and $t_{1}$ only! From eqs. (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) we now find that for $x_{0} \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\phi^{(2,0)}=\phi^{(2,0)}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and this implies that $\left.\int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=L} d z \rightarrow$ 0 for $x_{0} \rightarrow \infty$.

Integration of eq.(5.7) yields using eqs. (3.4) and (3.2)

$$
h \mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}=h \phi_{x_{1}}^{(1,0)}+\left.\int_{z=-h}^{0} \frac{\partial \phi^{(2,0)}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=0} d z+\left.\frac{\omega}{g}\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right)\right|_{z=0, x_{0}=0}
$$

with eq.(B.1) we can now find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}=\phi_{x_{1}}^{(1,0)}+\left.\frac{\omega}{g h}\left(i \phi_{x_{0}}^{(1,1) *} \phi^{(1,1)}+*\right)\right|_{z=0, x_{0} \rightarrow \infty} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding with eqs. (5.9), (3.14) and (4.16)

$$
\mathcal{X}_{t_{1}}^{(1,0)}=\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}\left(\left|A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+S\right)+\frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega h}\left|A\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

With this result we can find an expression for $\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}^{(1,0)}\left(t_{1}\right)= & \left(\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)}+\frac{k_{0} g}{2 \omega h}\right) * \\
& \left(-\frac{1}{C_{g}} B\left(-C_{g} t_{1}\right)+K\right)+\frac{g^{2}\left[2 \omega k_{0}+C_{g}\left[k_{0}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]\right]}{4 \omega^{2}\left(C_{g}^{2}-g h\right)} S t_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## C Some integral expressions

In the derivation of the expression for $\phi^{(2,2)}$ the several integrals have to be determined. With the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =k_{0} \tanh q_{0} \\
\sigma & =-k_{n} \tan q_{n} \\
4 \sigma & =\beta_{0} \tanh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \\
4 \sigma & =-\alpha_{n} \tan \left(\alpha_{n} h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the integrals become:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) d z=\frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \sinh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \\
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh ^{2}\left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) d z=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\frac{1}{2 \beta_{0}} \sinh \left(2 \beta_{0} h\right)\right) \\
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) d z=0 \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right) \\
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) \cos Q_{n} d z=\frac{3 \sigma}{k_{n}^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \cos q_{n} \\
& \left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right) \\
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) \cosh Q_{0} d z=\frac{-3 \sigma}{k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \cosh q_{0} \\
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) \cosh \left(2 Q_{0}\right) d z=\frac{-4 \sigma^{3}}{k_{0}^{2}\left(4 k_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}\right)} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \cosh ^{2} q_{0} \\
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right)\left[\cosh Q_{0} \cos Q_{n}+i \sinh Q_{0} \sin Q_{n}\right] d z= \\
& \frac{\sigma}{k_{0} k_{n}} \frac{-2 k_{0} k_{n}+i\left(k_{n}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}\right)}{k_{0}^{2}-k_{n}^{2}-\beta_{0}^{2}+2 i k_{0} k_{n}} \cosh q_{0} \cos q_{n} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \\
& \left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right) \\
& \int_{-h}^{0} \cosh \left(\beta_{0}(z+h)\right) \cos \left(Q_{n}+Q_{p}\right) d z= \\
& -\frac{\sigma}{k_{n} k_{p}} \frac{\left(k_{n}-k_{p}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}}{\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right)^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2}} \cosh \left(\beta_{0} h\right) \cos q_{n} \cos q_{p} \quad\left(n, p \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{-h}^{0} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) d z=\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}} \sin \left(\alpha_{n} h\right) & \left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right) \\
\int_{-h}^{0} \cos ^{2}\left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) d z=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{n}} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{n} h\right)\right) & \left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right) \\
\int_{-h}^{0} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{p}(z+h)\right) d z=0 & \left(n, p \in \mathbb{N}^{+}, n \neq p\right) \\
\int_{-h}^{0} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) \cosh Q_{0}=\frac{-3 \sigma}{\alpha_{n}^{2}+k_{0}^{2}} \cos \left(\alpha_{n} h\right) \cosh q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)
$$

$\int_{-h}^{0} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) \cos Q_{p} d z=\frac{3 \sigma}{k_{p}^{2}-\alpha_{n}^{2}} \cos \left(\alpha_{n} h\right) \cos q_{p}$

$$
\left(n, p \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)
$$

$\int_{-h}^{0} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right) \cosh \left(2 Q_{0}\right) d z=\frac{-4 \sigma^{3}}{k_{0}^{2}\left(4 k_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2}\right)} \cos \left(\alpha_{n} h\right) \cosh ^{2} q_{0}$ $\left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)$
$\int_{-h}^{0} \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(z+h)\right)\left[\cosh Q_{0} \cos Q_{p}+i \sinh Q_{0} \sin Q_{p}\right] d z=$ $\frac{\sigma}{k_{0} k_{p}} \frac{-2 k_{0} k_{p}+i\left(k_{p}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}\right)}{k_{0}^{2}-k_{p}^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2}+2 i k_{0} k_{p}} \cos \left(\alpha_{n} h\right) \cosh q_{0} \cos q_{p}$

$$
\left(n, p \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)
$$

$\int_{-h}^{0} \cos \left(\alpha_{m}(z+h)\right) \cos \left(Q_{n}+Q_{p}\right) d z=$
$\frac{\sigma}{k_{n} k_{p}} \frac{4 \sigma^{2}+\left(k_{n}-k_{p}\right)^{2}}{\alpha_{m}^{2}-\left(k_{n}+k_{p}\right)^{2}} \cos \left(\alpha_{m} h\right) \cos q_{n} \cos q_{p} \quad\left(n, m, p \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)$

