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## Binary Field

$\mathbb{F}_{q}$ : Binary extension field of order $q=2^{m}$. Constructed by a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $m$ irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.
$\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ : Quadratic extension of a binary field.
Constructed by a polynomial $g(u)$ of degree 2 irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
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$\mathbb{F}_{q}$ : Binary extension field of order $q=2^{m}$. Constructed by a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $m$ irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.
$\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ : Quadratic extension of a binary field.
Constructed by a polynomial $g(u)$ of degree 2 irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
A careful selection of $f(x)$ and $g(u)$ is important for an efficient implementation.
Our choices: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{127}+x^{63}+1\right)$
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\mathbb{F}_{2^{254}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}[u] /\left(u^{2}+u+1\right)
$$

## Binary Field Arithmetic

Base Field: Multiplication and Reduction
Given $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, calculate $c=a \cdot b \bmod f(x)$.

## Binary Field Arithmetic

Base Field: Multiplication and Reduction
Given $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, calculate $c=a \cdot b \bmod f(x)$.
The 127 -bit elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}$ can be packed into two 64 -bit words.

## Binary Field Arithmetic

Base Field: Multiplication and Reduction
Given $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, calculate $c=a \cdot b \bmod f(x)$.
The 127 -bit elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}$ can be packed into two 64 -bit words.
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\end{aligned}
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$$
\begin{aligned}
a \cdot b & =\left(a_{1} x^{64}+a_{0}\right) \cdot\left(b_{1} x^{64}+b_{0}\right) \\
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In $\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}$, this operation can be implemented with three carry-less multiplication instructions.

```
MUL(r1,r0,ma,mb)
t0 = _mm_xor_si128(_mm_unpacklo_epi64(ma,mb), _mm_unpackhi_epi64(ma,mb));
r0 = _mm_clmulepi64_si128(ma, mb, 0x00);
r1 = _mm_clmulepi64_si128(ma, mb, 0x11);
t0 = mm_clmulepi64_si128(t0, t0, 0x10);
t0 = _mm_xor_si128(t0, _mm_xor_si128(r0,r1));
r0 = _mm_xor_si128(r0, _mm_slli_si128(t0, 8));
r1 = mm_xor_si128(r1, _mm_srli_si128(t0, 8));
```
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## Binary Field Arithmetic

Base Field: Multiplication and Reduction

Modular reduction can be efficiently computed due to the special form of the trinomial $f(x)=x^{127}+x^{63}+1$.

After one polynomial multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}$ we have a polynomial of degree 253 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{192+i} \equiv x^{128+i}+x^{65+i}, i \in\{0, \ldots, 61\} \\
& \begin{array}{llllll}
191 & 128 & 127 & 64 & 63 & 0 \\
& & & & \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \gg 63
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Binary Field Arithmetic

Base Field: Multiplication and Reduction

Modular reduction can be efficiently computed due to the special form of the trinomial $f(x)=x^{127}+x^{63}+1$.

After one polynomial multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}$ we have a polynomial of degree 253 .
The reduction can be performed in eleven instructions.

```
REDUCE(t0, m1, m0)
t0 = mm_alignr_epi8(m1,m0,8);
t0 = mm_xor_si128(t0, m1);
m1 = _mm_slli_epi64(m1, 1);
m0 = mm_xor_si128(m0,m1);
m1 = mm_unpackhi_epi64(m1, t0);
m0 = _mm_xor_si128(m0,m1);
t0 = mm_srli_epi64(t0, 63);
m0 = mm_xor_si128(m0, t0);
m1 = _mm_unpacklo_epi64(t0, t0);
m0 = mm_xor_si128(m0, mm_slli_epi64(m1, 63));
```

After squaring: Taking advantage of the sparcity of the polynomial square operation, the result of this operation can be reduced using just six instructions.
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Base Field: Other operations

Multisquaring: Performed via look-up tables of $2^{4} \cdot\left\lceil\frac{m}{4}\right\rceil$ field elements.
Inversion: Can be done via the Itoh-Tsujii algorithm using the following addition chain of length 9: $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 24 \rightarrow 48 \rightarrow 96 \rightarrow 120 \rightarrow 126$.

Half-trace (quadratic solver): Performed via look-up tables of $2^{8} \cdot\left\lceil\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{8}\right\rceil$ field elements by exploiting the linear property:
$H(c)=H\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_{i} x^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_{i} H\left(x^{i}\right)$.
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| $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ | Multiplication | Square-Root | Squaring | Inversion | Half-Trace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ | 3 mult +4 add | 2 sqrt + add | 2 sqr + add | inv +3 mult + <br> 2 sqr +3 add | $2 \mathrm{ht}+2$ add |
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## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates
$\lambda$-affine representation: Given a point $P=(x, y) \in E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with $x \neq 0$, represent $P=(x, \lambda)$, where $\lambda=x+\frac{y}{x}$.

We must have efficient formulas for addition, doubling, halving and doubling-and-addition.
$\lambda$-projective point: $P=(X, L, Z)$ corresponds to the $\lambda$-affine point $\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{L}{Z}\right)$. The lambda-projective form of the Weierstrass equation is:

$$
\left(L^{2}+L Z+a \cdot Z^{2}\right) \cdot X^{2}=X^{4}+b \cdot Z^{4} .
$$

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Doubling
Let $P=\left(X_{P}, L_{P}, Z_{P}\right)$ be a point in a non-supersingular curve $E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then the formula for $2 P=\left(X_{2 P}, L_{2 P}, Z_{2 P}\right)$ using the $\lambda$-projective representation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =L_{P}^{2}+\left(L_{P} \cdot Z_{P}\right)+a \cdot Z_{P}^{2} \\
X_{2 P} & =T^{2} \\
Z_{2 P} & =T \cdot Z_{P}^{2} \\
L_{2 P} & =\left(X_{P} \cdot Z_{P}\right)^{2}+X_{2 P}+T \cdot\left(L_{P} \cdot Z_{P}\right)+Z_{2 P}
\end{aligned}
$$

Four multiplications, one multiplication by the a-coefficient and four squarings.

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Doubling
Let $P=\left(X_{P}, L_{P}, Z_{P}\right)$ be a point in a non-supersingular curve $E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then the formula for $2 P=\left(X_{2 P}, L_{2 P}, Z_{2 P}\right)$ using the $\lambda$-projective representation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =L_{P}^{2}+\left(L_{P} \cdot Z_{P}\right)+a \cdot Z_{P}^{2} \\
X_{2 P} & =T^{2} \\
Z_{2 P} & =T \cdot Z_{P}^{2} \\
L_{2 P} & =\left(X_{P} \cdot Z_{P}\right)^{2}+X_{2 P}+T \cdot\left(L_{P} \cdot Z_{P}\right)+Z_{2 P}
\end{aligned}
$$

Four multiplications, one multiplication by the a-coefficient and four squarings.
If the multiplication by the b-coefficient is fast, there is an alternative formula.
$L_{2 P}=\left(L_{P}+X_{P}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\left(L_{P}+X_{P}\right)^{2}+T+Z_{P}^{2}\right)+\left(a^{2}+b\right) \cdot Z_{P}^{4}+X_{2 P}+(a+1) \cdot Z_{2 P}$.
Three multiplications, one multiplication by the a-coefficient, one multiplication by the $b$-coefficient and four squarings.

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Addition

Let $P=\left(X_{P}, L_{P}, Z_{P}\right)$ and $Q=\left(X_{Q}, L_{Q}, Z_{Q}\right)$ be points in $E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with $P \neq \pm Q$. Then the addition $P+Q=\left(X_{P+Q}, L_{P+Q}, Z_{P+Q}\right)$ can be computed by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =L_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}+L_{Q} \cdot Z_{P} \\
B & =\left(X_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}+X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right)^{2} \\
X_{P+Q} & =A \cdot\left(X_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}\right) \cdot\left(X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right) \cdot A \\
L_{P+Q} & =\left(A \cdot\left(X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right)+B\right)^{2}+\left(A \cdot B \cdot Z_{Q}\right) \cdot\left(L_{P}+Z_{P}\right) \\
Z_{P+Q} & =\left(A \cdot B \cdot Z_{Q}\right) \cdot Z_{P}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eleven multiplications and two squarings.

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Addition

Let $P=\left(X_{P}, L_{P}, Z_{P}\right)$ and $Q=\left(X_{Q}, L_{Q}, Z_{Q}\right)$ be points in $E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with $P \neq \pm Q$. Then the addition $P+Q=\left(X_{P+Q}, L_{P+Q}, Z_{P+Q}\right)$ can be computed by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & L_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}+L_{Q} \cdot Z_{P} \\
B= & \left(X_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}+X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right)^{2} \\
X_{P+Q}= & A \cdot\left(X_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}\right) \cdot\left(X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right) \cdot A \\
L_{P+Q}= & \left(A \cdot\left(X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right)+B\right)^{2}+\left(A \cdot B \cdot Z_{Q}\right) \cdot\left(L_{P}+Z_{P}\right) \\
Z_{P+Q}= & \left(A \cdot B \cdot Z_{Q}\right) \cdot Z_{P} \\
& \left.\quad \quad \quad \text { For } Z_{Q}=1 \text { (mixed addition) }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Addition

Let $P=\left(X_{P}, L_{P}, Z_{P}\right)$ and $Q=\left(X_{Q}, L_{Q}, Z_{Q}\right)$ be points in $E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with $P \neq \pm Q$. Then the addition $P+Q=\left(X_{P+Q}, L_{P+Q}, Z_{P+Q}\right)$ can be computed by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =L_{P}+L_{Q} \cdot Z_{P} \\
B & =\left(X_{P}+X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right)^{2} \\
X_{P+Q} & =A \cdot X_{P} \cdot\left(X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right) \cdot A \\
L_{P+Q} & =\left(A \cdot\left(X_{Q} \cdot Z_{P}\right)+B\right)^{2}+(A \cdot B) \cdot\left(L_{P}+Z_{P}\right) \\
Z_{P+Q} & =(A \cdot B) \cdot Z_{P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Eight multiplications and two squarings.

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Doubling and Addition

Let $P=\left(x_{P}, \lambda_{P}\right)$ and $Q=\left(X_{Q}, L_{Q}, Z_{Q}\right)$ be points in the curve $E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then the operation $2 Q+P=\left(X_{2 Q+P}, L_{2 Q+P}, Z_{2 Q+P}\right)$ can be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =L_{Q}^{2}+L_{Q} \cdot Z_{Q}+a \cdot Z_{Q}^{2} \\
A & =X_{Q}^{2} \cdot Z_{Q}^{2}+T \cdot\left(L_{Q}^{2}+\left(a+1+\lambda_{P}\right) \cdot Z_{Q}^{2}\right) \\
B & =\left(x_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}^{2}+T\right)^{2} \\
X_{2 Q+P} & =\left(x_{P} \cdot Z_{Q}^{2}\right) \cdot A^{2} \\
Z_{2 Q+P} & =\left(A \cdot B \cdot Z_{Q}^{2}\right) \\
L_{2 Q+P} & =T \cdot(A+B)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{P}+1\right) \cdot Z_{2 Q+P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ten multiplications, one multiplication by the a-constant and six squarings.
Two multiplications are saved against computing first a doubling followed by a point addition ( $R=2 P, R=R+Q$ ).

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Comparison

|  | Coordinate systems |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lopez-Dahab | Lambda |  |
| Full-addition | $13 \tilde{m}+4 \tilde{s}$ | $11 \tilde{m}+2 \tilde{s}$ | $-2 \tilde{m}-2 \tilde{s}$ |
| Mixed-addition | $8 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+5 \tilde{s}$ | $8 \tilde{m}+2 \tilde{s}$ | $-\tilde{m}_{\mathrm{a}}-3 \tilde{s}$ |
| Doubling | $3 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+\tilde{m}_{b}+5 \tilde{s}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+4 \tilde{s} \\ 3 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+\tilde{m}_{b}+4 \tilde{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} +\tilde{\mathrm{m}}-\tilde{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{b}}-\tilde{\mathrm{s}} \\ -\tilde{\mathrm{s}} \end{gathered}$ |
| Doubling and addition | $11 \tilde{m}+2 \tilde{m}_{a}+\tilde{m}_{b}+10 \tilde{s}^{*}$ | $10 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+6 \tilde{s}$ | $-\tilde{m}-\tilde{m}_{a}-\tilde{m}_{b}-4 \tilde{s}$ |

*When compared with LD doubling + mixed-addition.

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

Lambda Projective Coordinates - Comparison

|  | Coordinate systems |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lopez-Dahab | Lambda |  |
| Full-addition | $13 \tilde{m}+4 \tilde{s}$ | $11 \tilde{m}+2 \tilde{s}$ | $-2 \tilde{m}-2$ s̃ |
| Mixed-addition | $8 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+5 \tilde{s}$ | $8 \tilde{m}+2 \tilde{s}$ | $-\tilde{m}_{\mathrm{a}}-3 \tilde{s}$ |
| Doubling | $3 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+\tilde{m}_{b}+5 \tilde{s}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+4 \tilde{s} \\ 3 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+\tilde{m}_{b}+4 \tilde{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} +\tilde{\mathrm{m}}-\tilde{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{b}}-\tilde{\mathrm{s}} \\ -\tilde{\mathrm{s}} \end{gathered}$ |
| Doubling and addition | $11 \tilde{m}+2 \tilde{m}_{a}+\tilde{m}_{b}+10 \tilde{s}^{*}$ | $10 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+6 \tilde{s}$ | $-\tilde{m}-\tilde{m}_{a}-\tilde{m}_{b}-4 \tilde{s}$ |

*When compared with LD doubling + mixed-addition.

## Lambda Coordinates Aftermath

More benefits and improvements derived from the lambda coordinates will be presented in the next slides.

## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

## GLS Curves

The GLS curves is a large family of elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ that admit efficiently computable endomorphisms. We can use the GLV method to improve significantly the point scalar multiplication by exploiting the endomorphism:

$$
\psi: \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}, \quad(x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{2^{m}}, y^{2^{m}}+s^{2^{m}} x^{2^{m}}+s x^{2^{m}}\right)
$$
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\psi: \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}, \quad(x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{2^{m}}, y^{2^{m}}+s^{2^{m}} x^{2^{m}}+s x^{2^{m}}\right)
$$

For our choice of elliptic curve $E$ defined over the quadratic field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}[u] /\left(u^{2}+u+1\right)$ we have,

$$
\psi(P)=\psi\left(x_{0}+x_{1} u, y_{0}+y_{1} u\right) \mapsto\left(\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right)+x_{1} u,\left(y_{0}+y_{1}+1\right)+\left(y_{1}+1\right) u\right)
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## Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

## GLS Curves

The GLS curves is a large family of elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ that admit efficiently computable endomorphisms. We can use the GLV method to improve significantly the point scalar multiplication by exploiting the endomorphism:

$$
\psi: \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}, \quad(x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{2^{m}}, y^{2^{m}}+s^{2^{m}} x^{2^{m}}+s x^{2^{m}}\right)
$$

For our choice of elliptic curve $E$ defined over the quadratic field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{127}}[u] /\left(u^{2}+u+1\right)$ we have,

$$
\psi(P)=\psi\left(x_{0}+x_{1} u, y_{0}+y_{1} u\right) \mapsto\left(\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right)+x_{1} u,\left(y_{0}+y_{1}+1\right)+\left(y_{1}+1\right) u\right)
$$

## Lambda Coordinates Aftermath

For points in $\lambda$-affine representation, the endomorphism is computed as $\psi\left(x_{0}+x_{1} u, \lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1} u\right) \mapsto\left(\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right)+x_{1} u,\left(\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right) u\right)$.

## Scalar multiplication

Problem: Compute $Q=k P$, where $P \in E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$ is a generator of prime order $r$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{r}$ is a scalar of bitlength $n=|r| \approx 2 m-1$. $P$ is not known in advance.
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Problem: Compute $Q=k P$, where $P \in E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$ is a generator of prime order $r$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{r}$ is a scalar of bitlength $n=|r| \approx 2 m-1$. $P$ is not known in advance.

## Methods:

- Left-to-right double-and-add:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \\
& \text { for } i \text { from } \mathbf{n}-1 \text { downto } 0 \\
& \quad Q \leftarrow 2 Q \\
& \quad \text { if } k_{i}=1 \text { then } Q \leftarrow Q+P
\end{aligned}
$$

- Right-to-left halve-and-add:
$Q \leftarrow \mathcal{O}$
$k^{\prime} \equiv 2^{n-1} k \bmod r$ for $i$ from $\mathbf{n}-1$ downto 0 if $k_{i}^{\prime}=1$ then $Q \leftarrow Q+P$
$P \leftarrow P / 2$
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\begin{aligned}
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& \text { for } i \text { from } \mathbf{n}-1 \text { downto } 0 \\
& Q \leftarrow 2 Q \\
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\end{aligned}
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- Right-to-left halve-and-add:
$Q \leftarrow \mathcal{O}$
$k^{\prime} \equiv 2^{n-1} k \bmod r$
for $i$ from $\mathbf{n}-1$ downto 0
if $k_{i}^{\prime}=1$ then $Q \leftarrow Q+P$
$P \leftarrow P / 2$


## Lambda Coordinates Aftermath

Point halving function returns point $P$ in lambda coordinates: $P=(x, \lambda)$.
Lopez-Dahab coordinate system: for the next point addition, it is necessary to return the point $P$ to affine coordinates: $y \leftarrow(\lambda+x) \cdot x$. Multiplication penalty. Lambda coordinate system: no multiplication needed: $\lambda$-affine coordinates are already in the input format required for the mixed-addtion function.

## Scalar multiplication

Problem: Compute $Q=k P$, where $P \in E_{a, b}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$ is a generator of prime order $r$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{r}$ is a scalar of bitlength $n=|r| \approx 2 m-1$. $P$ is not known in advance.

## Methods:

- GLV

Split the scalar $k$ in two parts. Then $k P=k_{1} P+k_{2} \psi(P)$ can be performed by simultaneous multiple point techniques.

- Left-to-right double-and-add:
$Q \leftarrow \mathcal{O}$
$k \equiv k_{1}+k_{2} \delta \bmod r$
for $i$ from $\mathrm{n} / 2$ downto 0
$Q \leftarrow 2 Q$
if $k_{1, i}=1$ then $Q \leftarrow Q+P$
if $k_{2, i}=1$ then $Q \leftarrow Q+\psi(P)$
- Right-to-left halve-and-add:
$Q \leftarrow \mathcal{O}$
$k^{\prime} \equiv 2^{n / 2} k \bmod r$
$k^{\prime} \equiv k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime} \delta \bmod r$
for $i$ from ( $\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$ )/2 downto 0
if $k_{1, i}^{\prime}=1$ then $Q \leftarrow Q+P$
if $k_{2, i}^{\prime}=1$ then $Q \leftarrow Q+\psi(P)$ $P \leftarrow P / 2$


## Scalar multiplication

Comparison

|  |  | Double-and-add |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-GLV-GLS | pre/post | $1 D+\left(2^{w-2}-1\right) A+2^{w-2} \psi$ |
| $($ LD $)$ | sc. mult. | $\frac{n}{w+1} A+\frac{n}{2} D$ |

## Scalar multiplication

Comparison

|  |  | Double-and-add | Halve-and-add |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-GLV-GLS | pre/post | $1 D+\left(2^{w-2}-1\right) A+2^{w-2} \psi$ | $1 D+\left(2^{w-1}-2\right) A$ |
| $($ LD $)$ | sc. mult. | $\frac{n}{w+1} A+\frac{n}{2} D$ | $\frac{n}{w+1}(A+\tilde{m})+\frac{n}{2} H+\frac{n}{2(w+1)} \psi$ |

## Lambda Coordinates Aftermath

|  |  | Double-and-add | Halve-and-add |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 2-GLV-GLS | pre/post | $1 D+\left(2^{w-2}-1\right) A+2^{w-2} \psi$ | $1 D+\left(2^{w-1}-2\right) A$ |
| $(\lambda)$ | sc. mult. | $\frac{(2(w+1)}{2(w+1)^{2}} D A+\frac{w^{2}}{2(w+1)^{2}} D+\frac{n}{2(w+1)^{2}} A$ | $\frac{n}{w+1} A+\frac{n}{2} H+\frac{n}{2(w+1)} \psi$ |

* 4-NAF, $n=254, \tilde{m}_{b}=\frac{2}{3} \tilde{m}, H=2.48 \tilde{m}$


## Scalar multiplication

Parallel
Compute $k^{\prime \prime} \equiv 2^{t} k \bmod r$. Parameter $t$ controls how many bits are processed by each method (double-and-add, halve-and-add) in different cores.

$$
k P=\sum_{i=t}^{n-1} k_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(2^{i-t} P\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{2^{-(t-i)}} P\right)
$$
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Also, the GLV method can be combined with the parallel technique, which implies that the loop length in each core reduces to $\approx n / 4$.

## Scalar multiplication

Parallel
Compute $k^{\prime \prime} \equiv 2^{t} k \bmod r$. Parameter $t$ controls how many bits are processed by each method (double-and-add, halve-and-add) in different cores.
$k P=\sum_{i=t}^{n-1} k_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(2^{i-t} P\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1}{2^{-(t-i)}} P\right)$
Also, the GLV method can be combined with the parallel technique, which implies that the loop length in each core reduces to $\approx n / 4$.

```
Algorithm 3 Parallel scalar multiplication with GLV method
Require: \(P \in E\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{2 m}}\right)\), scalars \(k_{1}, k_{2}\) of bitlength \(d \approx n / 2, w\), constant \(t\)
Ensure: \(Q=k P\)
    \(Q \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \quad\) Initialize \(Q_{0} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}\)
    for \(i=d\) downto \(t\) do
        \(Q \leftarrow 2 Q\)
        if \(k_{1, i}=1\) then \(Q \leftarrow Q+P\)
        if \(k_{2, i}=1\) then \(Q \leftarrow Q+\psi(P)\)
    end for
    \{Barrier\}
    return \(Q \leftarrow Q+Q_{0}\)
```

```
for \(i=t-1\) downto 0 do
```

for $i=t-1$ downto 0 do
$P \leftarrow P / 2$
$P \leftarrow P / 2$
if $k_{1, i}=1$ then $Q_{0} \leftarrow Q_{0}+P$
if $k_{1, i}=1$ then $Q_{0} \leftarrow Q_{0}+P$
if $k_{2, i}=1$ then $Q_{0} \leftarrow Q_{0}+\psi(P)$
if $k_{2, i}=1$ then $Q_{0} \leftarrow Q_{0}+\psi(P)$
end for
end for
\{Barrier\}

```
\{Barrier\}
```


## Implementation

Code: C code compiled with GCC 4.7 .0 (64-bit). Optimized for the Sandy Bridge architechture (SSE and AVX instructions, PCLMULQDQ (carry-less multiplication instruction)).
Program code publicly available at http://bench.cr.yp.to.
Benchmarking: Intel Xeon E31270 3.4 GHz (Sandy Bridge) and Intel Core i5 3570 3.4 GHz (Ivy Bridge). Turbo Boost and Hyper-Threading disabled.

## Implementation

Timing attacks
Protection against timing attacks is achieved through regular recoding (5-NAF).
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## Penalties:

- Higher scalar density: $\frac{1}{w-1}$ against $\frac{1}{w+1}$ of unprotected version.
- Pre/post computation are more expensive.
- To avoid cache-timing attacks, linear passes must be executed for every point addition.
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- Pre/post computation are more expensive.
- To avoid cache-timing attacks, linear passes must be executed for every point addition.


## Which method?

- Right-to-left halve-and-add uses multiple accumulators, hence two linear passes per addition are necessary.
- Half-trace uses look-up tables and therefore needs linear passes.

Left-to-right Double-and-add is more promising.
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## Which method?

- Right-to-left halve-and-add uses multiple accumulators, hence two linear passes per addition are necessary.
- Half-trace uses look-up tables and therefore needs linear passes.

Left-to-right Double-and-add is more promising.

## Lambda Coordinates Aftermath

One multiplication can be saved by doing doubling-and-addition and addition: $2 Q+P_{i}+P_{j}\left(17 \tilde{m}+\tilde{m}_{a}+8 \tilde{s}\right)$. Also, only one linear pass for two points.

## Results

## Scalar Multiplication

| Scalar multiplication | Curve | Security | Method | SCR | Cycles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taverne et al. | NIST-K233 | 112 | No-GLV ( $\tau$-and-add) | no | 67,800 |
| Bos et al. | BK/FKT | 128 | 4-GLV (double-and-add) | no | 156,000 |
| Aranha et al. | NIST-K283 | 128 | 2-GLV ( $\tau$-and-add) | no | 99,200 |
| Longa and Sica | GLS | 128 | 4-GLV (double-and-add) | no | 91,000 |
| Taverne et al. | NIST-K233 | 112 | No-GLV, parallel (2 cores) | no | 46,500 |
| Longa and Sica | GLS | 128 | 4-GLV, parallel (4 cores) | no | 61,000 |
| Bernstein | Curve25519 | 128 | Montgomery ladder | yes | 194,000 |
| Hamburg | Montgomery | 128 | Montgomery ladder | yes | 153,000 |
| Longa and Sica | GLS | 128 | 4-GLV (double-and-add) | yes | 137,000 |
| Bos et al. | Kummer | 128 | Montgomery ladder | yes | 117,000 |
| This work | GLS | 128 | 2-GLV (double-and-add) (LD) | no | 117,500 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) ( $\lambda$ ) | no | 93,500 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (halve-and-add) (LD) | no | 81,800 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (halve-and-add) ( $\lambda$ ) | no | 72,300 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV, parallel (2 cores) ( $\lambda$ ) | no | 47,900 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) ( $\lambda$ ) | yes | 114,800 |

Single core non-protected version: $17 \%$ and $27 \%$ faster than state-of-the-art implementations over prime and binary curves.

## Results

## Scalar Multiplication

| Scalar multiplication | Curve | Security | Method | SCR | Cycles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taverne et al. | NIST-K233 | 112 | No-GLV ( $\tau$-and-add) | no | 67,800 |
| Bos et al. | BK/FKT | 128 | 4-GLV (double-and-add) | no | 156,000 |
| Aranha et al. | NIST-K283 | 128 | 2-GLV ( $\tau$-and-add) | no | 99,200 |
| Longa and Sica | GLS | 128 | 4-GLV (double-and-add) | no | 91,000 |
| Taverne et al. | NIST-K233 | 112 | No-GLV, parallel (2 cores) | no | 46,500 |
| Longa and Sica | GLS | 128 | 4-GLV, parallel (4 cores) | no | 61,000 |
| Bernstein | Curve25519 | 128 | Montgomery ladder | yes | 194,000 |
| Hamburg | Montgomery | 128 | Montgomery ladder | yes | 153,000 |
| Longa and Sica | GLS | 128 | 4-GLV (double-and-add) | yes | 137,000 |
| Bos et al. | Kummer | 128 | Montgomery ladder | yes | 117,000 |
| This work | GLS | 128 | 2-GLV (double-and-add) (LD) | no | 117,500 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) ( $\lambda$ ) | no | 93,500 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (halve-and-add) (LD) | no | 81,800 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (halve-and-add) ( $\lambda$ ) | no | 72,300 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV, parallel (2 cores) ( $\lambda$ ) | no | 47,900 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) ( $\lambda$ ) | yes | 114,800 |

Two core non-protected version: $21 \%$ faster than state-of-the-art four-core implementation over prime curves.

## Results (ongoing work)

## Intel Haswell processor

Latency of PCLMULQDQ (carry-less multiplication instruction) dropped from 14 (Sandy Bridge) to 7. Point operations which require more field multiplications were benefited (eg. doubling, addition).

| Scalar <br> multiplication | Curve | Security | Method | SCR | Cycles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| This work | GLS | 128 |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) $(\lambda)$ | no |
|  |  |  | n9,455 |  |  |
|  |  |  | no | 44,653 |  |
|  |  | 2-GLV, parallel $(2$ cores $)(\lambda)$ | no | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 5 0}$ |  |

Timings measured in a Core i $7400 \mathrm{MQ}, 2.40 \mathrm{GHz}$.
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Latency of PCLMULQDQ (carry-less multiplication instruction) dropped from 14 (Sandy Bridge) to 7. Point operations which require more field multiplications were benefited (eg. doubling, addition).

| Scalar <br> multiplication | Curve | Security | Method | SCR | Cycles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| This work | GLS | 128 |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) $(\lambda)$ | no |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (halve-and-add) $(\lambda)$ | no | 44,653 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV, parallel $(2$ cores $)(\lambda)$ | no | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 5 0}$ |
|  |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) $(\lambda)$ | yes | $\mathbf{6 5 , 8 2 0}$ |  |

Timings measured in a Core i $4700 \mathrm{MQ}, 2.40 \mathrm{GHz}$.
The difference between double-and-add and halve-and-add was reduced from $24,400 \mathrm{cc}$ (Sandy Bridge) to $4,800 \mathrm{cc}$.

## Results (ongoing work)

Intel Haswell processor
Latency of PCLMULQDQ (carry-less multiplication instruction) dropped from 14 (Sandy Bridge) to 7. Point operations which require more field multiplications were benefited (eg. doubling, addition).

| Scalar <br> multiplication | Curve | Security | Method | SCR | Cycles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| This work | GLS | 128 |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) $(\lambda)$ | no |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV (halve-and-add) $(\lambda)$ | no | 44,653 |
|  |  |  | 2-GLV, parallel $(2$ cores $)(\lambda)$ | no | 29,450 |
|  |  | 2-GLV (double-and-add) $(\lambda)$ | yes | $\mathbf{6 5 , 8 2 0}$ |  |

Timings measured in a Core i $4700 \mathrm{MQ}, 2.40 \mathrm{GHz}$.
The difference between double-and-add and halve-and-add was reduced from $24,400 \mathrm{cc}$ (Sandy Bridge) to $4,800 \mathrm{cc}$. The parallel version may soon achieve a speedup close to 2 x .

## Conclusion Remarks

The Lambda Coordinates system provides simple and efficient formulas for binary elliptic curve artithmetic. Combined with other techniques we could achieve a fast scalar multiplication.


More applications for the coordinates will be considered, stay tuned!

## Thank you!

