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#### Abstract

In rough stochastic PDE theory of Hairer type, rough path lifts with respect to the space variable of two-parameter continuous Gaussian processes play a main role. A prominent example of such processes is the solution of the stochastic heat equation under the periodic condition. The main objective of this paper is to show that the law of the spatial lift of this process satisfies a Schilder type large deviation principle on the continuous path space over a geometric rough path space.


1. Introduction and main result. In rough path theory of $T$. Lyons, the notion of paths is generalized to a great extent and so is that of ordinary differential equations. They are called rough paths and rough differential equations (RDEs), respectively. The solution map of an RDE is called an Itô map, which is defined for every rough path and, moreover, is continuous with respect to the topology of rough path space (Lyons' continuity theorem). As a result, stochastic differential equations (SDEs) in the usual sense are made deterministic or "dis-randomized".

Even though Itô maps are deterministic, the probabilistic aspect of the theory is still very important undoubtedly. In a biased view of the author, a large deviation principle of Schilder type is a central issue in stochastic analysis on rough path spaces. This kind of large deviations was first shown by Ledoux, Qian, and Zhang [15] for the law of Brownian rough path. Combined with Lyons' continuity theorem, this result immediately recovers well-known Freidlin-Wentzell type large deviations for solutions of SDEs. Since then many papers have been published on this topic $[2,3,5,6,12,13,18]$.

Naturally, one would like to apply rough path theory to stochastic PDEs. There have been some successful attempts. In this paper, we focus on M. Hairer's theory [8, 10, 11], which is based on M. Gubinelli's controlled path formalism. In this theory, rough path integration is used for the space variable $x \in S^{1}=\mathbf{R} / \mathbf{Z}$ for each fixed time variable $t>0$. This is surprising because almost everyone regarded solutions of stochastic PDEs as processes indexed by $t$-variable that take values in function spaces of $x$-variable and then modify and apply infinite dimensional rough path theory. Not only this point of view is novel, but this theory also turned out to be very powerful when he rigorously solved KPZ equation in the periodic case for the first time [9].

[^0]Under these circumstances, it seems natural and necessary to develop stochastic analysis in this framework. In this paper we will prove a large deviation principle of Schilder type for the spatial lift of the (scaled) solution $\psi$ of the stochastic heat equation on $S^{1}$. This process $\psi$ plays a crucial role in [10, 11]. To our knowledge, a large deviation principle is new in rough stochastic PDE theories of any kind.

Now we introduce our setting. We will give precise definitions and detailed explanations in later sections. Let us recall the stochastic heat equation on $S^{1}$. As usual $S^{1}=\mathbf{R} / \mathbf{Z}$ is regarded as $[0,1]$ with the two end points identified and $\Delta=\Delta_{S^{1}}$ stands for the periodic Laplacian. Let $\xi^{i}=\xi(t, x)^{i}(1 \leq i \leq d)$ be independent copies of the space-time white noise associated with $L^{2}\left([0, T] \times S^{1}\right)$ with the (formal) covariance $\mathbb{E}\left[\xi(t, x)^{i} \xi(s, y)^{j}\right]=$ $\delta_{i j} \cdot \delta_{t-s} \cdot \delta_{x-y}$. Let $\psi=\psi(t, x)$ be a unique solution of the following $\mathbf{R}^{d}$-valued stochastic PDE.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \psi=\Delta_{x} \psi+\xi, \quad \text { with } \quad \psi(0, x) \equiv 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\psi=(\psi(t, x))_{0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq 1}$ is a two-parameter continuous Gaussian process. It was shown in [10] that, (i) for each $t, x \mapsto \psi(t, x)$ admits a natural lift to a geometric rough path $(x, y) \mapsto \Psi(t ; x, y)$ a.s. and (ii) there exists a modification of $\Psi$ such that $t \mapsto \Psi(t ; \bullet, \star)$ is continuous in the geometric rough path space a.s. In this theory, a solution of a rough stochastic PDE is obtained from $\Psi$. Therefore, it is important to analyze (the law of) $\Psi$.

Let $1 / 3<\alpha<1 / 2$. We denote by $G \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ the $\alpha$-Hölder geometric rough path space over $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. The first level path of $X \in G \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is a usual path in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ which starts at 0 . Let $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \cong \mathbf{R}^{d} \times G \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be the $\alpha$-Hölder geometric rough path space in an extended sense so that information of the initial values of the first level paths are added. For each $t$, the random variable $\Psi(t ; \bullet, \star)$ takes values in this Polish space $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)=C\left([0, T], G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ be the continuous path space over $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Its topology is given by the uniform convergence in $t$ as usual. The random variable $\Psi$ takes values in this Polish space and hence its law is a probability measure on this space.

Introduce a small parameter $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon \Psi$ is the dilation of $\Psi$ by $\varepsilon$, which is equal to the natural lift of $\varepsilon \psi$, anyway. Denote by $\nu_{\varepsilon}$ the law of $\varepsilon \Psi$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Our main result is the following:

MAIN RESULT. For any $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$, the family $\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ of probability measures on $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ satisfies a large deviation principle as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ with a good rate function I.

See Theorem 5.6 below for a precise statement of our main result. A concrete expression of $I$ will be given in Section 5. A comment on a Freidlin-Wentzell type large deviation principle for solutions of rough stochastic PDEs will also be given after the main theorem.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce several kind of path spaces over geometric rough path spaces and show basic properties between them. In Section 3 we prove a few properties of the covariance of $\psi$ in one-dimensional case. We use them in the following section to prove convergence of the dyadic polygonal approximations.

In Section 4 we prove that the spatial lift of the dyadic polygonal approximations of $\psi$ converge with respect to a Besov type topology which is stronger than the topology of $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Unlike preceding examples in [4, 8, 11, 10, 21], in which Kolmogorov's continuity criterion is used to obtain regularity in $t$-variable, we directly prove a.s. and $L^{p_{-}}$ convergence in function spaces of space-time variables. In this respect, the argument in this section may be new.

In Section 5 we prove our main result, namely, large deviations for the law of $\varepsilon \Psi$. Our method is based on Friz and Victoir's in [6]. Their method is general and moreover is available even when regularity of Cameron-Martin paths is not understood very well. (Sometimes, lifting a Gaussian process may be easier than lifting its Cameron-Martin paths.) Neither in our case do we know much about (the lift of) Cameron-Martin elements of $\psi$. So we use their method.

Throughout this paper, $c$ denotes an unimportant positive constant which may change from line to line.
2. Path space over geometric rough path space. In this section we recall the definitions and some basic facts of the geometric rough path spaces, which are endowed with Hölder, Besov, and the uniform norms. Then we consider continuous path spaces over geometric rough path spaces and introduce several kinds of norms on them. All the ingredients of this section are either known or easily derived from known facts. We refer to Appendix A. 2 in Friz and Victoir [7] for basic information on Hölder and Besov norms.

Let us first recall Hölder and Besov norms on continuous path space over a real Banach space. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a real Banach space. The set of continuous path $f:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is denoted by $C([0, T], \mathcal{V})$. It is a real Banach space with the usual uniform norm $\|f\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|f_{t}\right| \mathcal{V}$. The subspace of all the continuous paths which start at 0 is denoted by $C_{0}([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{V}})$.

For $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and $f \in C([0, T], \mathcal{V})$, we define $\alpha$-Hölder norm of $f$ by

$$
\|f\|_{H ; \alpha}:=\left|f_{0}\right| \mathcal{V}+\sup _{0 \leq s<t \leq T} \frac{\left|f_{t}-f_{s}\right| \mathcal{V}}{|t-s|^{\alpha}}
$$

The subspace of all the paths with $\|f\|_{H ; \alpha}<\infty$ is denoted by $C^{H ; \alpha}([0, T], \mathcal{V})$. Next we introduce Besov norm. For $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and $m \geq 1$ with $\alpha>1 / m$, we define ( $\alpha, m$ )-Besov norm of $f$ by

$$
\|f\|_{B ; \alpha, m}:=\left|f_{0}\right| \mathcal{V}+\left\{\iint_{\mathcal{S}(T)} \frac{\left|f_{t}-f_{s}\right|^{m}}{|t-s|^{1+\alpha m}} d s d t\right\}^{1 / m}
$$

Here, $\mathcal{S}(T)=\{(s, t) \mid 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$. (When $T=1$ we write $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}(1)$ for simplicity). The subspace of all the paths with $\|f\|_{B ; \alpha, m}<\infty$ is denoted by $C^{B ; \alpha, m}([0, T], \mathcal{V})$. It is obvious that $\|f\|_{B ; \alpha, m} \leq c\|f\|_{H ; \alpha^{\prime}}$ for some constant $c=c_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, m}>0$ if $\alpha<\alpha^{\prime}$. By Besov-Hölder embedding theorem (e.g. Corollary A.2, [7]), it also holds that $\|f\|_{H ; \alpha-1 / m} \leq c^{\prime}\|f\|_{B ; \alpha, m}$ for some constant $c^{\prime}=c_{\alpha, m}^{\prime}>0$. In particular, $C^{B ; \alpha, m}([0, T], \mathcal{V})$ is continuously imbedded in $C^{H ; \alpha-1 / m}([0, T], \mathcal{V})$. The subspace of all the Besov or Hölder paths which start at 0 is denoted with the subscript " 0 ".

Let us next recall geometric rough path spaces. Throughout this paper, the parameter interval for rough paths is $[0,1]$ and we set $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}(1)=\{(x, y) \mid 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1\}$. Let $A \in C\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ vanish on the diagonal. (The totality of such $A$ 's will be denoted by $C_{0}\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.) We set

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\|A\|_{H ; \alpha} & =\sup _{0 \leq x<y \leq 1} \frac{\left|A_{x, y}\right|}{|y-x|^{\alpha}} & (0<\alpha \leq 1), \\
\|A\|_{B ; \alpha, m} & =\left(\iint_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\left|A_{x, y}\right|^{m}}{|y-x|^{1+m \alpha}} d x d y\right)^{1 / m} & (0<1 / m<\alpha \leq 1) .
\end{array}
$$

These are called $\alpha$-Hölder norm and ( $\alpha, m$ )-Besov norm, respectively.
Let $T^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)=\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{d} \oplus\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes 2}$ be the truncated tensor algebra of step 2 . The set of elements of the form $(1, \bullet, \star)$ forms a non-abelian group under the tensor multiplication $\otimes$. The unit element is $\mathbf{1}=(1,0,0)$. A scalar action $\left(1, a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(1, \lambda a_{1}, \lambda^{2} a_{2}\right)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ is called the dilation.

A continuous map $A=\left(1, A^{1}, A^{2}\right): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is called multiplicative if it satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x, y}^{1}=A_{x, z}^{1}+A_{z, y}^{1}, \quad A_{x, y}^{2}=A_{x, z}^{2}+A_{z, y}^{2}+A_{x, z}^{1} \otimes A_{z, y}^{1}, \quad(x \leq z \leq y) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is called Chen's identity and can also be written as $A_{x, y}=A_{x, z} \otimes A_{z, y}$, where $\otimes$ stands for the multiplication of $T^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. In particular, $A_{x, y}$ is a "difference" of a group-valued path, since $A_{x, y}=\left(A_{0, x}\right)^{-1} \otimes A_{0, y}$. Note that $A^{1}$ and $A^{2}$ vanish on the diagonal if they are multiplicative. We denote by $\Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ the set of such continuous multiplicative functionals. A distance on $\Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is given by $d(A, B)=\left\|A^{1}-B^{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|A^{2}-B^{2}\right\|_{\infty}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the sup-norm over $\mathcal{S}$ as usual.

Let $1 / 3<\alpha \leq 1 / 2$. The space of $\mathbf{R}^{d}$-valued $\alpha$-Hölder rough path is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)= & \left\{A=\left(1, A^{1}, A^{2}\right) \in C\left(\mathcal{S}, T^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \text { | multiplicative and } \left.\left\|A^{1}\right\|_{H ; \alpha}<\infty,\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{H ; 2 \alpha}<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The topology of this space is naturally induced by the following distance: $d(A, B)=\| A^{1}-$ $B^{1}\left\|_{H ; \alpha}+\right\| A^{2}-B^{2} \|_{H ; 2 \alpha}$. In the same way, $(\alpha, m)$-Besov rough path is defined for $m \geq 2$ and $1 / 3<\alpha \leq 1 / 2$ with $\alpha-m^{-1}>1 / 3$ as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{m, \alpha}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)= & \left\{A=\left(1, A^{1}, A^{2}\right) \in C\left(\mathcal{S}, T^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\mid \text { multiplicative and }\left\|A^{1}\right\|_{B ; \alpha, m}<\infty,\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \alpha, m / 2}<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The topology of this space is naturally induced by the following distance: $d(A, B)=\| A^{1}-$ $B^{1}\left\|_{B ; \alpha, m}+\right\| A^{2}-B^{2} \|_{B ; 2 \alpha, m / 2}$. In what follows, we will often write $A=\left(A^{1}, A^{2}\right)$ for simplicity, since the 0 th component " 1 " is obvious.

A Lipschitz continuous path (i.e., 1-Hölder continuous path) $x \in C_{0}^{H ; 1}\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ admits a natural lift to a rough path by setting

$$
A_{x, y}^{1}:=a_{y}-a_{x}, \quad A_{x, y}^{2}:=\int_{x}^{y}\left(a_{z}-a_{x}\right) \otimes d a_{z}, \quad(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} .
$$

It is easy to see that $A \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \cap \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. We call a rough path $A$ obtained in this way a smooth rough path lying above $a$, or the natural lift of $a$. The natural lift map is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{2}$, i.e., $A=\mathcal{L}_{2}(a)$.

Now we introduce geometric rough path spaces. Let $G \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ be the closure of the set of smooth rough paths in $\Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. This is called the geometric rough path space with $\alpha$-Hölder norm. The geometric rough path space $G \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with ( $\alpha, m$ )-Besov norm is similarly defined. Hence, we have the following inclusions;

$$
G \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subset \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), \quad G \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subset \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)
$$

Moreover, by Besov-Hölder embedding in Proposition 2.1 below, we also have

$$
G \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subset G \Omega_{\alpha-1 / m}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), \quad \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \subset \Omega_{\alpha-1 / m}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right),
$$

if $1 / 3<\alpha \leq 1 / 2, m \geq 2$, and $\alpha-1 / m>1 / 3$. Note that these continuous inclusions are bounded (in the sense that they map any bounded set to a bounded set).

Proposition 2.1. Assume $1 / 3<\alpha \leq 1 / 2, m \geq 2$, and $\alpha-1 / m>1 / 3$. Then, $\Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $\Omega_{\alpha-1 / m}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Consequently, (i) $G \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $G \Omega_{\alpha-1 / m}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and (ii) $\Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is a complete metric space and $G \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is a Polish space.

Proof. Recall the following inequalities for Besov-Hölder embedding: For some positive constant $c=c_{\alpha, m}$ independent of $A, B \in \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A^{1}-B^{1}\right\|_{H ; \alpha-1 / m} \leq & c\left\|A^{1}-B^{1}\right\|_{B ; \alpha, m} \\
\left\|A^{2}-B^{2}\right\|_{H ; 2 \alpha-2 / m} \leq & c\left(\left\|A^{1}-B^{1}\right\|_{B ; \alpha, m}+\left\|A^{2}-B^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \alpha, m / 2}\right) \\
2.4) \quad & \times\left(\left\|A^{1}\right\|_{B ; \alpha, m}+\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \alpha, m / 2}+\left\|B^{1}\right\|_{B ; \alpha, m}+\left\|B^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \alpha, m / 2}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The first one has already been explained. The second one is found in Proposition A.10, pp. 576-579, [7]. (In the proof, only multiplicativity of $A$ and $B$ is used. In other words, $t \mapsto A_{0, t}, B_{0, t}$ need not take their values in the free nilpotent group of step 2. See [7] for details.) From these inequalities, we can easily see that $\Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $\Omega_{\alpha-1 / m}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.

Now we prove the rest of the proposition. The only non-trivial part is completeness of $\Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $A(n)(n=1,2, \ldots)$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\alpha, m)$-Besov topology. In the Besov topology, there exists a limit $A(\infty)$. But, $A(\infty)$ is continuous and multiplicative on $\mathcal{S}$ since convergence is also in $(\alpha-1 / m)$-Hölder topology. Hence, $\Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is complete.

In the above definition, the first level path of a rough path is naturally identified with a path in the usual sense which starts at origin. Now we slightly modify the definition so that the first level path can start at any point.

Set $\hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)=\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. The distance on it is the natural one for a product space. The path $[0,1] \ni x \mapsto v+A_{0, x}^{1}$ is said to be the first level path of $(v, A) \in \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) . v$ is called the initial value The dilation naturally extends on this space by $\lambda(v, A)=(\lambda v, \lambda A)$. In the same way, $\hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ are defined.

Conversely, for $a \in C^{H ; 1}\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, we set $\mathcal{L}_{2}(a)=\left(a_{0}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(a .-a_{0}\right)\right) \in \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and call it a natural lift of $a$ or a smooth rough path lying above $a$. Geometric rough path spaces $G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right), G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, and $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ in this extended sense are defined as the closure of the set of smooth rough paths as before.

REMARK 2.2. (i) For $(v, A)=\left(v, A^{1}, A^{2}\right) \in \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, we will sometimes write $\left(a, A^{2}\right)$, where $a_{x}=v+A_{0, x}^{1}$. In particular, for $b \in C^{H ; 1}\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}^{d}\right),\left(b, B^{2}\right)$ stands for the smooth rough path lying above $b$.
(ii) Almost all the results for $G \Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ etc. also hold for $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ etc. with trivial modifications.
(iii) $\Omega_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is basically the same rough path space as in $[8,10,11,9]$, etc., in which the rough path space is denoted by $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. The only difference is that in this paper a rough path is defined on the simplex $\mathcal{S}$, while in these papers it is defined on the simplex $[0,1]^{2}$. However, under Chen's identity values of rough paths on $[0,1]^{2} \backslash \mathcal{S}$ are automatically determined. Hence, there is essentially no difference.

Now we consider several kind of path spaces over geometric rough path space. Let $T>0$ and assume $1 / 3<\alpha \leq 1 / 2, m \geq 2$, and $\alpha-1 / m>1 / 3$. We first define continuous path spaces over geometric rough path spaces with the usual sup-distance. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)=$ $C\left([0, T], G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ be a continuous path space over $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. An element of this set is of the form $\left(v_{t}, A^{1}(t ; x, y), A^{2}(t ; x, y)\right)$. (We often write $A^{i}(t ; x, y)=A_{t}^{i}(x, y)$ for simplicity.) The distance on this space is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(v, A^{1}, A^{2}\right),\left(u, B^{1}, B^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|v_{t}-u_{t}\right|+\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|A_{t}^{1}-B_{t}^{1}\right\|_{H ; \alpha}+\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|A_{t}^{2}-B_{t}^{2}\right\|_{H ; 2 \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This space is the one used in M. Hairer's rough stochastic PDE theory (see [8, 10, 11, 9]). In the same way, we define $\mathcal{P}{ }_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ as well as their distances.

Let $0<\beta \leq 1$. Set

Of course, $t \mapsto A_{t}^{i}$ is $i \beta$-Hölder continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H ; i \alpha}$ for $i=1,2$, that is, $\sup _{0 \leq s<t \leq T}\left\|A_{t}^{i}-A_{s}^{i}\right\|_{H ; i \alpha} /(t-s)^{i \beta}<\infty$. The distance on this space is defined by

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(v, A^{1}, A^{2}\right),\left(u, B^{1}, B^{2}\right)\right)
$$

$$
=\|v-u\|_{H ; \beta}+\sum_{i=1,2} \mathcal{N}\left[A^{i}-B^{i} ; C^{H ; i \beta}\left([0, T], C_{0}^{H ; i \alpha}\left(\mathcal{S},\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes i}\right)\right)\right]
$$

$$
=\|v-u\|_{H ; \beta}+\sum_{i=1,2}\left\|A_{0}^{i}-B_{0}^{i}\right\|_{H ; i \alpha}
$$

$$
+\sum_{i=1,2} \sup _{s<t, x<y} \frac{\left|\left(A_{t}^{i}(x, y)-B_{t}^{i}(x, y)\right)-\left(A_{s}^{i}(x, y)-B_{s}^{i}(x, y)\right)\right|}{(t-s)^{i \beta}(y-x)^{i \alpha}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{\beta}^{H} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{\left(v, A^{1}, A^{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \mid t \mapsto v_{t},\right. \\
& A_{t}^{1} \text { is } \beta \text {-Hölder continuous, } A_{t}^{2} \text { is } 2 \beta \text {-Hölder continuous \} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathcal{N}[\cdot ; \mathcal{V}]$ denotes the norm of a Banach space $\mathcal{V}$. In the same way, $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}^{H} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}^{H} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ can be defined, but details are omitted.

Assume in addition that $\beta>1 / m$. We set $(\beta, m)$-Besov path spaces over geometric rough path spaces as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{\left(v, A^{1}, A^{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \mid t \mapsto v_{t}\right. \\
& \left.A_{t}^{1} \text { is }(\beta, m) \text {-Besov continuous, } A_{t}^{2} \text { is }(2 \beta, m / 2) \text {-Besov continuous }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course, $t \mapsto A_{t}^{i}$ is $(i \beta, m / i)$-Besov continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{B ; i \alpha, m^{\prime} / i}$ for $i=1,2$. The spaces $\mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, and the distances on these spaces are also defined in a similar way. The distance on $\mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ has the following form;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(v, A^{1}, A^{2}\right),\left(u, B^{1}, B^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\|v-u\|_{B ; \beta, m}+\sum_{i=1,2} \mathcal{N}\left[A^{i}-B^{i} ; C^{B ; i \beta, m / i}\left([0, T], C_{0}^{B ; i \alpha, m / i}\left(\mathcal{S},\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes i}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\|v-u\|_{B ; \beta, m}+\sum_{i=1,2}\left\|A_{0}^{i}-B_{0}^{i}\right\|_{B ; i \alpha, m / i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1,2}\left\{\iint_{\mathcal{S}(T)} d s d t \iint_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\left|\left(A_{t}^{i}(x, y)-B_{t}^{i}(x, y)\right)-\left(A_{s}^{i}(x, y)-B_{s}^{i}(x, y)\right)\right|^{m / i}}{(t-s)^{1+\beta m}(y-x)^{1+\alpha m}} d x d y\right\}^{i / m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, note that all of these $\mathcal{P} G \hat{\Omega}$ 's introduced above are complete.
There are of course natural inclusions between these $\mathcal{P} G \hat{\Omega}$ 's. Now we discuss two of them for later use.

Proposition 2.3. Let $1 / 3<\alpha^{\prime}<\alpha \leq 1 / 2,0<\beta \leq 1, m \geq 2$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}<$ $\alpha-1 / m$ and $\beta>1 / m$. Then, we have the following bounded, continuous inclusions;

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)
$$

Moreover, the left inclusion is compact in the sense that it maps any bounded subset to a precompact subset.

Proof. The right inclusion is obvious. Now we consider the left one. From (2.4) and Besov-Hölder embedding in $t$-variable, we easily obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t}\left\|A_{t}^{1}-B_{t}^{1}\right\|_{H ; \alpha^{\prime}} \leq c\left\|A^{1}-B^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}, \\
& \sup _{t}\left\|A_{t}^{2}-B_{t}^{2}\right\|_{H ; 2 \alpha^{\prime}} \leq c\left(\left\|A^{1}-B^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}+\left\|A^{2}-B^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2}\right) \\
& \times\left(\left\|A^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}+\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2}+\left\|B^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}+\left\|B^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the norms stand for $\mathcal{N}\left[\cdot ; C^{B ; i \beta, m / 2}\left([0, T], C_{0}^{B ; i \alpha, m / i}\left(\mathcal{S},\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes i}\right)\right)\right]$ for $i=1,2$. Inequalities (2.5) show that the left map is a continuous inclusion.

We prove compactness. First, the inclusion $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \hookrightarrow G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is compact. Second, if $\left\{\left(v(n), A(n)^{1}, A(n)^{2}\right)\right\}_{n=1,2, \ldots}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, they
are bounded and uniformly continuous $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$-valued paths since $\beta>1 / m$ and BesovHölder embedding. By (2.4) they are also bounded and uniformly continuous as $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ valued paths.

Now we can use Ascoli-Arzela type argument as follows. By the diagonalization argument, there exists a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{k=1,2, \ldots}$ such that $\left\{\left(v\left(n_{k}\right)_{t}, A\left(n_{k}\right)_{t}^{1}, A\left(n_{k}\right)_{t}^{2}\right)\right\}_{k=1,2, \ldots}$ converges in $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for any $t \in[0, T] \cap \mathbf{Q}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary. By the uniform continuity and compactness of $[0, T]$, there exists finitely many (relatively) open intervals $\mathcal{U}_{j}(1 \leq j \leq l)$ such that (i) $[0, T]=\cup_{j=1}^{l} \mathcal{U}_{j}$ and (ii) it satisfy that

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\left(v(n)_{t}, A(n)_{t}^{1}, A(n)_{t}^{2}\right),\left(v(n)_{s}, A(n)_{s}^{1}, A(n)_{s}^{2}\right)\right)<\varepsilon \quad\left(s, t \in \mathcal{U}_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq l\right)
$$

Here, d denotes the distance on $G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Next choose $t_{j} \in \mathcal{U}_{j} \cap \mathbf{Q}(1 \leq j \leq l)$. Since $l$ is finite, there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that if $k, m \geq k_{0}$ then

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\left(v\left(n_{k}\right)_{t_{j}}, A\left(n_{k}\right)_{t_{j}}^{1}, A\left(n_{k}\right)_{t_{j}}^{2}\right),\left(v\left(n_{m}\right)_{t_{j}}, A\left(n_{m}\right)_{t_{j}}^{1}, A\left(n_{m}\right)_{t_{j}}^{2}\right)\right)<\varepsilon \quad(1 \leq j \leq l)
$$

The $3 \varepsilon$-argument implies that if $k, m \geq k_{0}$ then

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathrm{~d}\left(\left(v\left(n_{k}\right)_{t}, A\left(n_{k}\right)_{t}^{1}, A\left(n_{k}\right)_{t}^{2}\right),\left(v\left(n_{m}\right)_{t}, A\left(n_{m}\right)_{t}^{1}, A\left(n_{m}\right)_{t}^{2}\right)\right)<3 \varepsilon,
$$

which shows that this subsequence is Cauchy in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.
3. Covariance. The most important data for Gaussian processes are their covariances. In this section we will calculate the covariance of $\psi$. Throughout this section, the dimension is always $d=1$.

Let $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R} / \mathbf{Z} \cong S^{1}$. As usual, we identify $S^{1}$ with $[0,1] . \Delta=\Delta_{S^{1}}$ denotes the periodic Laplacian. $\xi=\xi(t, x)$ is the space-time white noise with the formal covariance $\mathbb{E}[\xi(t, x) \xi(s, y)]=\delta_{t-s} \cdot \delta_{x-y}$. Let $\psi=\psi(t, x)$ be a unique solution of the following real-valued stochastic PDE.

$$
\partial_{t} \psi=\Delta_{x} \psi+\xi, \quad \text { with } \quad \psi(0, x) \equiv 0 .
$$

$\psi$ is a two-parameter Gaussian process and can be written down as follows;

$$
\psi(t, x)=\left[\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} \xi(s, \cdot) d s\right](x)=\int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{p}_{t-s}(x-y) \xi(s, y) d y
$$

Here,

$$
\tilde{p}_{t}(x)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p_{t}(x+n), \quad p_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}\right)
$$

are the heat kernels of $S^{1}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, respectively.
First, we give an explicit expression of the covariance. Observe that it has a few kinds of symmetries.

Lemma 3.1. For any $s, t \geq 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[\psi(s, x) \psi(t, y)]=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{|s-t|}^{s+t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-y-n)^{2}}{4 l}\right) d l .
$$

Proof. From the explicit expression of $\psi$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}[\psi(s, x) \psi(t, y)] \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} d r d r^{\prime} \int_{S^{1}} \int_{S^{1}} d z d z^{\prime} \tilde{p}_{s-r}(x-z) \tilde{p}_{t-r^{\prime}}\left(y-z^{\prime}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\xi(r, z) \xi\left(r^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{s \wedge t} d r \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{p}_{s-r}(x-z) \tilde{p}_{t-r}(y-z) d z \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{s \wedge t} d r \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{s-r}(x-z) p_{t-r}(y+n-z) d z \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

A well-known calculation for the heat kernel $p_{t}$ yields;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{s \wedge t} d r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(s-r)(t-r)}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-z)^{2}}{4(s-r)}-\frac{(-z)^{2}}{4(t-r)}\right) d z \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{s \wedge t} d r(s+t-2 r)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4(s+t-2 r)}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{|s-t|}^{s+t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4 l}\right) d l
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Here, we performed completing the square for the first equality and changed variables by $s+t-2 r=l$ for the second equality. From this, (3.1), and translation invariance, we prove the lemma.

In the above argument, we used the space-time white noise to calculate the covariance. However, some readers may think this is not so mathematically rigorous. Hence, we will give another proof by using Fourier series. Remember that both Fourier analysis and Gaussian measure theory work perfectly in $L^{2}$-setting.

Set $v_{0}(x)=1$. For $n>0$, set also $v_{n}(x)=\sqrt{2} \cos (2 \pi n x)$ and $v_{-n}(x)=\sqrt{2} \sin (2 \pi n x)$. Then, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}\left(S^{1}\right)$. For each $n, v_{n}$ is an eigenfunction of $\triangle$ with eigenvalue $4 \pi^{2} n^{2}$. The heat kernel admits Fourier expansion as follows;

$$
\tilde{p}_{t}(x-y)=\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-4 \pi^{2} n^{2} t} v_{n}(x) v_{n}(y) .
$$

Using these, we can easily check that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \tilde{p}_{s-r}(x-z) \tilde{p}_{t-r}(y-z) d z=\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-4 \pi^{2} n^{2}(s-r)} e^{-4 \pi^{2} n^{2}(t-r)} v_{n}(x) v_{n}(y) .
$$

In a similar way, since $\xi(t, x) d t$ is the increment of an $L^{2}\left(S^{1}\right)$-cylindrical Brownian motion, we have

$$
\xi(t, x) d t=\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} d b_{n}(t) v_{n}(x)
$$

where $\left(b_{n}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ are independent copies of the standard real-valued Brownian motion.

If we expand $\psi(t, x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \hat{\psi}_{n}(t) v_{n}(x)$, then we see the Fourier coefficient $\hat{\psi}_{n}(t)$ satisfies the following SDE;

$$
d \hat{\psi}_{n}(t)=4 \pi^{2} n^{2} \hat{\psi}_{n}(t) d t+d b_{n}(t), \quad \hat{\psi}_{n}(0)=0
$$

This SDE has an explicit solution, that is, $\hat{\psi}_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-4 \pi^{2} n^{2}(t-r)} d b_{n}(r)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\psi(s, x) \psi(t, y)] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n, m \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{0}^{s} e^{-4 \pi^{2} n^{2}(s-r)} d b_{n}(r) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} e^{-4 \pi^{2} m^{2}(t-r)} d b_{m}(r) \cdot v_{n}(x) v_{m}(y)\right] \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{0}^{s \wedge t} d r e^{-4 \pi^{2} n^{2}(s-r)} e^{-4 \pi^{2} n^{2}(t-r)} v_{n}(x) v_{n}(y) \\
& =\int_{0}^{s \wedge t} d r \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{p}_{s-r}(x-z) \tilde{p}_{t-r}(y-z) d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

This coincides with (3.1). Thus, we have obtained the covariance of $\psi$ via Fourier analysis, too.

Next we calculate variance for the two-parameter increment of $\psi$. Set

$$
D(s, x ; t, y):=\mathbb{E}\left[|\psi(t, y)-\psi(t, x)-\psi(s, y)+\psi(s, x)|^{2}\right]
$$

This quantity plays a very important role in this paper.
Lemma 3.2. For any $T>0$ and $\kappa \in(0,1)$, there exists a positive constant $c=$ $c(T, \kappa)$ such that

$$
D(s, x ; t, y) \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2} \operatorname{dist}_{S^{1}}(x, y)^{1-\kappa}
$$

for all $s, t \in[0, T]$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$. Here, $\operatorname{dist}_{S^{1}}(x, y)=\inf _{n \in \mathbf{Z}}|x-y+n|$ is the distance on $S^{1} .\left(I f|x-y| \leq 1 / 2\right.$, then $\left.\operatorname{dist}_{S^{1}}(x, y)=|x-y|.\right)$

Proof. Due to the periodicity, the invariance under the translation and the inversion $x \mapsto-x$, we may assume that $s \leq t, x=0$ and $0<y \leq 1 / 2$ without loss of generality. In this proof, the positive constant $c$ may change from line to line.

Set $v_{t}=\psi(t, y)-\psi(t, 0)$. Then, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[v_{t} v_{s}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{|s-t|}^{s+t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(y+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right] d l
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(s, 0 ; t, y)=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(v_{t}-v_{s}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\left\{\int_{0}^{2 t}-2 \int_{|s-t|}^{s+t}+\int_{0}^{2 s}\right\} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(y+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right] d l .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two cases.
CASE (i), $t-s \leq 2 s$. Then, up to a Lebesgue zero set,

$$
I_{[0,2 t]}-2 I_{[t-s, t+s]}+I_{[0,2 s]}=2 I_{[0, t-s]}-I_{[2 s, t+s]}+I_{[t+s, 2 t]}
$$

where $I_{A}$ stands for the indicator function of $A \subset \mathbf{R}$. Note that length of all the intervals on the right hand side is dominated by $t-s$.

CASE (ii), $t-s \geq 2 s$. Then, $2 t \leq 3(t-s)$ and

$$
\left|I_{[0,2 t]}-2 I_{[t-s, t+s]}+I_{[0,2 s]}\right| \leq 4 I_{[0,3(t-s)]}
$$

Either way, it is sufficient to prove an inequality of the following form;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{u}^{u+\delta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left|\exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(y+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right| d l \leq c \delta^{\kappa / 2} y^{1-\kappa} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$ such that $u+\delta \leq 3 T$.
First, we estimate the 0 th term in the sum (3.2). We will change variables from $l$ to $z$ by $y^{2} / l=z$ below, then $d l=-(y / z)^{2} d z$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{u}^{u+\delta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right) d l & \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right) d l \\
& \leq y \int_{y^{2} / \delta}^{\infty} z^{-3 / 2}\left(1-e^{-z / 4}\right) d z \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} z^{-3 / 2}\left(1-e^{-z / 4}\right) d z \leq c r^{-\kappa / 2} \quad(0<r<\infty) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c=c_{\kappa}>0$. When $r \searrow 0$, there is no problem since the integral is convergent. When $r \rightarrow \infty$, the integral is dominated by $\int_{r}^{\infty} z^{-3 / 2} d z=O\left(r^{-1 / 2}\right)=O\left(r^{-\kappa / 2}\right)$. This proves (3.4). From (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$
\int_{u}^{u+\delta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right) d l \leq c \delta^{\kappa / 2} y^{1-\kappa}
$$

Here, the constant $c>0$ does not depend on $y, \delta, u$.
Next, we estimate the $\sum_{n>0}$ part of the sum (3.2). By $\left(e^{-x^{2} / 4 l}\right)^{\prime}=-e^{-x^{2} / 4 l}(x / 2 l)$ and the mean value theorem,

$$
\exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(y+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right) \frac{(n+y) y}{2 l} \leq \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right) \frac{n y}{l},
$$

where we used $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq 1 / 2$.
By Schwarz's inequality and change of variables by $n^{2} / l=z$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{u}^{u+\delta} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(n+y)^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right) d l \\
& \leq y n \int_{u}^{u+\delta} l^{-3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right) d l \\
& \leq y n\left\{\int_{u}^{u+\delta} l^{\kappa-1} d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_{u}^{u+\delta} l^{-(\kappa+2)} \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{2 l}\right) d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c y \delta^{\kappa / 2} n\left\{\int_{u}^{u+\delta} l^{-(\kappa+2)} \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{2 l}\right) d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq c y \delta^{\kappa / 2} n \cdot n^{-(1+\kappa)}\left\{\int_{n^{2} / 3 T}^{\infty} z^{\kappa} e^{-z / 2} d z\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c y \delta^{\kappa / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{24 T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since there exists $c>0$ such that $z^{\kappa} e^{-z / 2} \leq c e^{-z / 4}$ for all $z \geq 0$. Since

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{24 T}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{24 T}\right) d x \leq \sqrt{6 \pi T}
$$

we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{u}^{u+\delta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{4 l}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{(n+y)^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right) d l \leq c y \delta^{\kappa / 2}
$$

Using the condition that $0 \leq y \leq 1 / 2$, we can also estimate the $\sum_{n<0}$ part of (3.2) with a slight modification. Thus we have shown (3.2) and consequently Lemma 3.2.

By the previous lemma and Kolmogorov-Čencov's continuity criterion, we can obtain the regularity of sample sheet of $\psi$.

Corollary 3.3. (i) For any $T>0$, there exists a positive constant $c=c_{T}$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[|\psi(t, x)-\psi(s, x)|^{2}\right] \leq c|t-s|^{1 / 2}, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[|\psi(t, x)-\psi(t, y)|^{2}\right] \leq c|y-x|
$$

holds for all $s, t \in[0, T]$ and $x, y \in[0,1]$.
(ii) For any $\beta<1 / 4$ and $\beta^{\prime}<1 / 2,(t, x) \mapsto \psi(t, x)$ is $\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)$-Hölder continuous almost surely. That is,

$$
\|\psi\|_{H ;\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)}:=\sup \left\{\left.\frac{|\psi(s, x)-\psi(t, y)|}{|t-s|^{\beta}+|x-y|^{\beta^{\prime}}} \right\rvert\,(s, x) \neq(t, y)\right\}<\infty \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Here, sup runs over all $(s, x),(t, y) \in[0, T] \times[0,1]$ such that $(s, x) \neq(t, y)$.
PROOF. By repeating a similar calculation as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can prove the first assertion. (This is actually easier than Lemma 3.2. Note that one should not take limit ( $\kappa \searrow 0$ or $\kappa \nearrow 1$ ) in Lemma 3.2, since the constant $c$ may depend on $\kappa$.)

To prove the second assertion, we use Kolmogorov-Čencov's continuity criterion (see e.g. Theorem 1.4.4, p. 36, Kunita [14]). From the first assertion and Lemma 3.2, we see that, for any fixed $\kappa \in(0,1) \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{E}\left[|\psi(t, x)-\psi(s, x)|^{\gamma}\right] \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa \gamma / 4}, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[|\psi(t, x)-\psi(t, y)|^{\gamma}\right] \leq c|y-x|^{(1-\kappa) \gamma / 2}, \\
\mathbb{E}\left[|\psi(t, y)-\psi(t, x)-\psi(s, y)+\psi(s, x)|^{\gamma}\right] \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa \gamma / 4}|x-y|^{(1-\kappa) \gamma / 2} .
\end{array}
$$

Here, $c>0$ may depend on $\gamma$. Hence, by the continuity criterion, there exists a modification of $\psi$ (which is again denoted by the same symbol) which is a.s. $\left(\delta, \delta^{\prime}\right)$-Hölder continuous for any $\left(\delta, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\delta<\frac{\kappa}{4}-\frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad \delta^{\prime}<\frac{1-\kappa}{2}-\frac{1}{\gamma} .
$$

First choose $\kappa_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ so that $\beta<\kappa_{1} / 4-1 / \gamma_{1}$. We set $\delta_{1}=\beta$ and take $\delta_{1}^{\prime}$ so that $0<\delta_{1}^{\prime}<$ $\left(1-\kappa_{1}\right) / 2-1 / \gamma_{1}$. Next choose $\kappa_{2}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ so that $\beta^{\prime}<\left(1-\kappa_{2}\right) / 2-1 / \gamma_{2}$. We set $\delta_{2}^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}$ and take $\delta_{2}$ so that $0<\delta_{2}<\kappa_{2} / 4-1 / \gamma_{2}$. We now have two modifications, but they coincide a.s. anyway. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi(s, x)-\psi(t, y)| & \leq|\psi(s, x)-\psi(t, x)|+|\psi(t, x)-\psi(t, y)| \\
& \leq\|\psi\|_{H ;\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{1}^{\prime}\right)}|t-s|^{\beta}+\|\psi\|_{H ;\left(\delta_{2}, \delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)}|x-y|^{\beta^{\prime}}, \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the second assertion.
Now we check that $\psi$ satisfies a condition of Coutin-Qian type (see Definition 4.4.1, Lyons and Qian [17]). Ours is slightly weaker than the one in Definition 4.4.1, [17], but practically there is no problem. This kind of condition implies that the lift of the dyadic piecewise linear approximation of Gaussian process converges in the geometric rough path space.

LEMMA 3.4. For any $T>0$, there exists a positive constant $c=c_{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbb{E}[\{\psi(t, x+h)-\psi(t, x)\}\{\psi(s, y+h)-\psi(s, y)\}]| \leq \frac{c h^{2}}{y-x} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $s, t \in[0, T]$ and all $0 \leq x<y \leq 1, h>0$ such that $2 h \leq y-x \leq 1 / 2$.
Proof. In this proof, the constant $c>0$ may change from line to line. As before, it is sufficient to prove the lemma when $x=0$.

Let $h>0$ and let $g$ be a real-valued, $C^{2}$-function defined on a certain interval which includes $[y-h, y+h]$. By Taylor's theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
|g(y+h)+g(y-h)-2 g(y)| & =h^{2}\left|\int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta)\left\{g^{\prime \prime}(y+\theta h)+g^{\prime \prime}(y-\theta h)\right\} d \theta\right| \\
& =h^{2}\left|\int_{-1}^{1}\{(1-\theta) \wedge(1+\theta)\} g^{\prime \prime}(y+\theta h) d \theta\right| \\
& \leq h^{2} \sup _{y-h \leq \eta \leq y+h}\left|g^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\right| . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We will use (3.6) for $g(y)=\exp \left(-y^{2} / 4 l\right)$. It is easy to see that $g^{\prime}(y)=-(y / 2 l) \exp \left(-y^{2} / 4 l\right)$ and $g^{\prime \prime}(y)=\left\{\left(y^{2} / 4 l^{2}\right)-(1 / 2 l)\right\} \exp \left(-y^{2} / 4 l\right)$.

From the covariance formula for $\psi$ in Lemma 3.1, the left hand side of (3.5) is dominated by a constant multiple of

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{0}^{2 T} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left|\exp \left(-\frac{(y+h+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{(y-h+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)-2 \exp \left(-\frac{(y+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right| d l .
$$

We will denote by $A(n)$ the $n$th summand in the above sum.
First we estimate $A(0)$. From (3.6) and $0 \leq h \leq y / 2$, we see that

$$
A(0) \leq h^{2} \int_{0}^{2 T} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left\{\frac{(3 y / 2)^{2}}{4 l^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 l}\right\} \exp \left(-\frac{(y / 2)^{2}}{4 l}\right) d l
$$

$$
\leq c h^{2} \int_{0}^{2 T} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left(\frac{y^{2}}{l^{2}}+\frac{1}{l}\right) e^{-y^{2} / 16 l} d l
$$

Change variables from $l$ to $z$ by $y^{2} / l=z$. Then, $d l=-\left(y^{2} / z^{2}\right) d z$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(0) \leq \frac{c h^{2}}{y} \int_{y^{2} / 2 T}^{\infty}\left(z^{1 / 2}+z^{-1 / 2}\right) e^{-z / 16} d z \leq \frac{c h^{2}}{y} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the integral above is convergent on $(0, \infty)$.
Next we estimate $A(n)$ for $n>0$. Using (3.6) with $y+n$ instead of $y$ and repeating a similar computation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A(n) & \leq h^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 T} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left\{\frac{(3 y / 2+n)^{2}}{4 l^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 l}\right\} \exp \left(-\frac{(y / 2+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right) d l \\
& \leq c h^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 T} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{l^{2}}+\frac{1}{l}\right) e^{-n^{2} / 4 l} d l \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c h^{2}}{n} \int_{n^{2} / 2 T}^{\infty}\left(z^{1 / 2}+z^{-1 / 2}\right) e^{-z / 4} d z \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c h^{2}}{n} \int_{n^{2} / 2 T}^{\infty} z^{-1 / 2} e^{-z / 8} d z \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c h^{2}}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z / 8} d z \leq c h^{2} \leq \frac{c h^{2}}{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we used $z+1 \leq c e^{z / 8}(z>0)$ for some $c>0$ and $1 \leq(2 y)^{-1}$.
Using the assumption that $y \leq 1 / 2$, we can also prove $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A(-n)<c h^{2} / y$ essentially in the same way. Combining this with (3.7) and (3.8), we have shown the lemma.

The following is a generalized version of Coutin-Qian's condition in the sense that the regularity with respect to $t$-variable is also taken into account. This is a key technical lemma and will play a crucial role in the next section when we prove convergence of dyadic polygonal approximation on the path space over the geometric rough path space.

Lemma 3.5. For any $T>0$ and $\kappa \in(0,1)$, there exists a positive constant $c=$ $c(T, \kappa)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \mathbb{E}[ & \{(\psi(t, x+h)-\psi(t, x))-(\psi(s, x+h)-\psi(s, x))\} \\
& \times\{(\psi(t, y+h)-\psi(t, y))-(\psi(s, y+h)-\psi(s, y))\}] \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2} h^{2}}{(y-x)^{1+\kappa}}\right. \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $s, t \in[0, T]$ and all $0 \leq x<y \leq 1, h>0$ such that $2 h \leq y-x \leq 1 / 2$.
Proof. In this proof, the constant $c>0$ may change from line to line. As before, it is sufficient to prove the lemma when $x=0$.

From the covariance formula in Lemma 3.1, the left hand side of (3.5) is dominated by a constant multiple of $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}|B(n)|$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(n)=\left\{\int_{0}^{2 t}-2 \int_{|s-t|}^{s+t}+\int_{0}^{2 s}\right\} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious from (3.10) that we may assume $s \leq t$ without loss of generality.
For the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 (see Cases (i)(ii)), it is sufficient to prove the following inequality: There exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} C(n) \leq \frac{c \delta^{\kappa / 2} h^{2}}{y^{1+\kappa}} \quad(u \geq 0, \delta \geq 0 \text { with } u+\delta \leq 3 T) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C(n)=\int_{u}^{u+\delta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left|\exp \left(-\frac{(y+h+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{(y-h+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)-2 \exp \left(-\frac{(y+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right)\right| d l .
$$

Using (3.6), we calculate $C(0)$ in the same way as for $A(0)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(0) & \leq h^{2} \int_{u}^{u+\delta} l^{-1 / 2}\left\{\frac{(3 y / 2)^{2}}{4 l^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 l}\right\} \exp \left(-\frac{(y / 2)^{2}}{4 l}\right) d l \\
& \leq c h^{2} \int_{u}^{u+\delta} l^{-3 / 2}\left(\frac{y^{2}}{l}+1\right) e^{-y^{2} / 16 l} d l \\
& \leq c h^{2}\left\{\int_{u}^{u+\delta}\left(l^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}}\right)^{2} d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_{u}^{u+\delta}\left(l^{-\frac{\kappa+2}{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{y^{2}}{l}+1\right)^{2} e^{-y^{2} / 8 l} d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c h^{2} \delta^{\kappa / 2}\left\{\frac{1}{y^{2+2 \kappa}} \int_{y^{2} / 3 T}^{\infty} z^{\kappa}(z+1)^{2} e^{-z / 8} d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{c h^{2} \delta^{\kappa / 2}}{y^{1+\kappa}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last line above, we changed variables by $y^{2} / l=z$ again.
Let us estimate $C(n)$ for $n>0$. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n) & \leq h^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{u}^{u+\delta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{l}}\left\{\frac{(3 y / 2+n)^{2}}{4 l^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 l}\right\} \exp \left(-\frac{(y / 2+n)^{2}}{4 l}\right) d l \\
& \leq c h^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{u}^{u+\delta} l^{-3 / 2}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{l}+1\right) e^{-n^{2} / 4 l} d l \\
& \leq c h^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{u}^{u+\delta}\left(l^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\right)^{2} d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_{u}^{u+\delta}\left(l^{-\frac{\kappa+2}{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{l}+1\right)^{2} e^{-n^{2} / 4 l} d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c h^{2} \delta^{\kappa / 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{n^{2+2 \kappa}} \int_{n^{2} / 3 T}^{\infty} z^{\kappa}(z+1)^{2} e^{-z / 4} d l\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c h^{2} \delta^{\kappa / 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\kappa}} \leq c h^{2} \delta^{\kappa / 2} \leq \frac{c h^{2} \delta^{\kappa / 2}}{y^{1+\kappa}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the condition $y \leq 1 / 2$, we can also prove the same estimate for $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(-n)$. Thus, we have shown (3.11).
4. Dyadic polygonal approximation. In this section we again consider the multidimensional case of $(1.1) ; \psi=\left(\psi^{1}, \ldots, \psi^{d}\right)$. Here, $\psi^{1}, \ldots, \psi^{d}$ are independent copies of the one-dimensional process studied in the previous section. For the dyadic partition $\mathcal{P}_{k}=$ $\left\{i / 2^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2^{k}\right\}$ of $[0,1]$ with $k=1,2, \ldots$, we denote by $\psi(k)(t, x)$ the piecewise linear approximation in $x$ associated with $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ for each fixed $t$. That is, for all $t$ and $i, \psi(k)\left(t, i 2^{-k}\right)=$ $\psi\left(t, i 2^{-k}\right)$ and $x \mapsto \psi(k)(t, x)$ is linear on each $\left[(i-1) 2^{-k}, i 2^{-k}\right]$. The main objective of this section is to prove that the spatial lift $\Psi(k)=\mathcal{L}_{2}(\psi(k))$ of $\psi(k)$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for suitable parameters $\beta, \alpha, m$.

Before we calculate the dyadic polygonal approximations, we provide a proposition on equivalence of a Banach space-valued Wiener chaos. This proposition is quite useful for our purpose and will be used frequently. It is well-known that, on a fixed real-valued Wiener chaos, all $L^{p}$-norm are equivalent $(1<p<\infty)$. This is still true in the case of a Banach space-valued Wiener functionals. For instance, see Friz and Victoir [6] or Maas [19]. (By the way, large deviations for the elements of Wiener chaos indexed by the time parameter was already studied in [20], though we do not use it in this paper.)

The following is a quantified version of this fact (Lemmas 2 and 3, [6]). It is worth noting that it holds for any real Banach space $\mathcal{V}$ without any additional condition.

Proposition 4.1. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ be an abstract Wiener space. For a real Banach space $\mathcal{V}$, denote by $\mathcal{C}_{n}(\mathcal{V})$ the $\mathcal{V}$-valued nth inhomogeneous Wiener chaos.
(i) Restricted on a fixed Wiener chaos $\mathcal{C}_{n}(\mathcal{V})$, all $L^{p}$-norm are equivalent $(1<p<\infty)$.
(ii) For any $n$, there exists a positive constant $c=c_{n}$ such that

$$
\|Z\|_{L^{p}} \leq\|Z\|_{L^{q}} \leq c_{n}(q-1)^{n / 2}\|Z\|_{L^{p}}
$$

holds for all $2 \leq p \leq q<\infty$ and $Z \in \mathcal{\mathcal { C } _ { n }}(\mathcal{V})$.
Let $g \in C_{0}([0, T], \mathcal{X})$, where $\mathcal{X}=C^{B ; \alpha, m}\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. As before, we write $G^{1}(t ; x, y)=$ $G_{t}^{1}(x, y)=g(t, y)-g(t, x)$. By slightly abusing notations, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}^{m} & =\left\|G^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}^{m} \\
& =\iint_{\mathcal{S}(T)} d s d t \iint_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{|g(t, x)-g(s, x)-g(t, y)+g(s, y)|^{m}}{|t-s|^{1+\beta m}|x-y|^{1+\alpha m}} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that we assumed $g(0, x) \equiv 0$.
Assume that $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$ and $\beta>0$ with $4 \beta<1-2 \alpha$. Then, we can find $\kappa \in(0,1)$ such that $\alpha<(1-\kappa) / 2$ and $\beta<\kappa / 4$ hold. Assume further that $m \geq 1, \beta>1 / m$, and $a-1 / m>1 / 3$.

By Corollary 3.3, we can easily estimate ( $\beta, m$ )-Besov norm of $t \mapsto \psi(t, 0)$. It holds that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|_{B ; \beta, m}^{m}\right]<\infty$ and hence $\|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|_{B ; \beta, m}<\infty$, a.s. Note that $\psi(t, 0)=$ $\psi(k)(t, 0)$ for all $t$ and $k$.

By Lemma 3.2, we have $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\psi\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}^{m}\right]<\infty$ and hence $\|\psi\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}<\infty$, a.s. In a similar way, $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\psi(k)\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}^{m}\right]<\infty$ for each fixed $k$. As a result, the laws of $\psi$ and $\psi(k)$ are Gaussian measures on $C_{0}^{B ; \beta, m}([0, T], \mathcal{X})$ with $\mathcal{X}=C^{B ; \alpha, m}\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.

Now we prove the convergence of $\{\psi(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $(\beta, \alpha, m)$-Besov norm.
Lemma 4.2. Assume $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$ and $0<4 \beta<1-2 \alpha$. In addition, assume $m>1$ satisfies that $\alpha-(1 / m)>1 / 3$ and $\beta>1 / m$. Then, there are constants $c>0$, $\eta \in(0,1)$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\psi(k+1)-\psi(k)\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}^{m}\right]^{1 / m} \leq c \eta^{k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k$. In particular, $\{\psi(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\psi$ in $(\beta, \alpha, m)$-Besov topology almost surely and in $L^{p}$ for all $p \in(1, \infty)$.

Proof. First, we give an integration formula for later use. This is useful when we estimate Besov norms. For any $T>0$, there exists a constant $c_{T}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\varepsilon \wedge|x-y|^{a}}{|x-y|^{b}} d x d y \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{(a-b+1) / a}}{(a-b+1)(b-1)}, \quad(0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1, a>b-1>0) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To check this formula, change variables by $u=s, v=t-s$. Then, the integral domain becomes $\{0<u<1,0<v<1, u+v<1\}$. The rest is easy.

In this proof the positive constant $c$ may change from line to line. For $k=1,2, \ldots$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2^{k}$, we set $\Delta_{j}^{k} \psi_{t}=\psi\left(t, j / 2^{k}\right)-\psi\left(t,(j-1) / 2^{k}\right)$. We write $\lambda(k)=\psi(k+1)-\psi(k)$ for simplicity.

When $(j-1) / 2^{k} \leq x \leq j / 2^{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(k)(t, x) & =2^{k}\left\{\left(x-\frac{j-1}{2^{k}}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{j}{2^{k}}-x\right)\right\}\left\{2 \psi\left(t, \frac{2 j-1}{2^{k+1}}\right)-\psi\left(t, \frac{j-1}{2^{k}}\right)-\psi\left(t, \frac{j}{2^{k}}\right)\right\} \\
& =2^{k}\left\{\left(x-\frac{j-1}{2^{k}}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{j}{2^{k}}-x\right)\right\}\left(\Delta_{2 j-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}-\Delta_{2 j}^{k+1} \psi_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is just a product of functions in $t$ and in $x$. Hence, when $(j-1) / 2^{k} \leq x, y \leq j / 2^{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(k)(t, y)-\lambda(k)(t, x)-\lambda(k)(s, y)+\lambda(k)(s, x) \\
& =2^{k}\left\{\left(y-\frac{j-1}{2^{k}}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{j}{2^{k}}-y\right)-\left(x-\frac{j-1}{2^{k}}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{j}{2^{k}}-x\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \times\left\{\left(\Delta_{2 j-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}-\Delta_{2 j-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}\right)-\left(\Delta_{2 j}^{k+1} \psi_{t}-\Delta_{2 j}^{k+1} \psi_{s}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Lemma 3.2, we can estimate the variance of this Gaussian random variable as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[|\lambda(k)(t, y)-\lambda(k)(t, x)-\lambda(k)(s, y)+\lambda(k)(s, x)|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq c 2^{2 k}|x-y|^{2}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{1-\kappa}|t-s|^{\kappa / 2} \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}|x-y|^{1-\kappa} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we consider the case that $x$ and $y$ are in distinct subintervals. We may assume there exist $j<l$ such that $(j-1) / 2^{k} \leq x \leq j / 2^{k} \leq(l-1) / 2^{k} \leq y \leq l / 2^{k}$. Since $\lambda(k)\left(t, i / 2^{k}\right)=0$ for all $i$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(k)(t, y)-\lambda(k)(t, x)-\lambda(k)(s, y)+\lambda(k)(s, x) \\
&=\left\{\lambda(k)(t, y)-\lambda(k)\left(t,(l-1) / 2^{k}\right)-\lambda(k)(s, y)+\lambda(k)\left(s,(l-1) / 2^{k}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+\left\{\lambda(k)\left(t, j / 2^{k}\right)-\lambda(k)(t, x)-\lambda(k)\left(s, j / 2^{k}\right)+\lambda(k)(s, x)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can use the result for the previous case to obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[|\lambda(k)(t, y)-\lambda(k)(t, x)-\lambda(k)(s, y)+\lambda(k)(s, x)|^{2}\right] \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{1-\kappa} .
$$

From these we can easily see that, for all $s, t$ and $x, y$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[|\lambda(k)(t, y)-\lambda(k)(t, x)-\lambda(k)(s, y)+\lambda(k)(s, x)|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{1}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}(x, y)-\Psi(k+1)_{s}^{1}(x, y)+\Psi(k)_{s}^{1}(x, y)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}\left\{\left(2^{-k}\right)^{1-\kappa} \wedge|x-y|^{1-\kappa}\right\} . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.2) and choosing $\kappa \in(0,1)$ so that $\beta<\kappa / 4$ and $\alpha<(1-\kappa) / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\|\psi(k+1)-\psi(k)\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha, m}^{m}\right] \\
& \leq c \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{t}|t-s|^{(\kappa m / 4)-(1+\beta m)} d s d t \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\left(2^{-k}\right)^{(1-\kappa) m / 2} \wedge|x-y|^{(1-\kappa) m / 2}}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha m}} d x d y \\
& \leq c\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(1-\kappa-2 \alpha) m k / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have shown (4.1) with $\eta=2^{-(1-\kappa-2 \alpha) / 2} \in(0,1)$. Almost sure convergence and $L^{m}$-convergence are immediate from (4.1). By Proposition 4.1, convergence is also in $L^{p}$ for all $p(1<p<\infty)$.

As usual we define the second level path of $\psi(k)$ as follows. For all $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$, we set

$$
\Psi(k)^{2}(t ; x, y):=\int_{x}^{y}\{\psi(k)(t, u)-\psi(k)(t, x)\} \otimes d_{u} \psi(k)(t, u) .
$$

We will often write $\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)$ for the left hand side when there is no possibility of confusion. By a slight abuse of notation, $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ also stands for this natural spatial lift, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\psi(k))=$ $\Psi(k)=\left(\psi(k), \Psi(k)^{2}\right)=\left(\psi(\cdot, 0), \Psi(k)^{1}, \Psi(k)^{2}\right)$.

For an appropriate choice of the parameters, $\Psi(k)^{2}$ is a random variable taking its values in $C_{0}^{B ; 2 \beta, m / 2}([0, T], \mathcal{X})$. Here, $\mathcal{X}$ is the closure of $C_{0}^{B ; 2 \alpha, m / 2}\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{R}^{d} \otimes \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with respect to $(2 \alpha, m / 2)$-Besov norm. Recall that, for $G \in C_{0}^{B ; 2 \beta, m / 2}([0, T], \mathcal{X})$, its $(2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2)$-Besov norm is given by

$$
\|G\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2}^{m / 2}:=\iint_{\mathcal{S}(T)} d s d t \iint_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{|G(t ; x, y)-G(s ; x, y)|^{m / 2}}{|t-s|^{1+\beta m}|x-y|^{1+\alpha m}} d x d y
$$

Our purpose in this section is to prove that $\left\{\Psi(k)^{2}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in ( $2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2$ )-Besov norm for suitable parameters $m, \alpha, \beta$.

Lemma 4.3. Assume $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$ and $0<4 \beta<1-2 \alpha$. In addition, assume $m>2$ satisfies that $\alpha-(1 / m)>1 / 3$ and $\beta>1 / m$. Then, there are constants $c>0$, $\eta \in(0,1)$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\Psi(k+1)^{2}-\Psi(k)^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2}^{m / 2}\right]^{2 / m} \leq c \eta^{k} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k$. In particular, $\left\{\Psi(k)^{2}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in ( $2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2$ )-Besov norm almost surely and in $L^{p}$ for all $p \in(1, \infty)$. Consequently, under the assumptions of the lemma, $\{\Psi(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges a.s. in $\mathcal{P}_{\beta, m}^{B} G \Omega_{\alpha, m}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof. In this proof the positive constant $c$ may change from line to line. We will use Proposition 4.1 which states that, on a fixed inhomogeneous Wiener chaos, all $L^{p}$-norms $(1<p<\infty)$ are equivalent.

Consider the case $(j-1) / 2^{k} \leq x \leq y \leq j / 2^{k}$. Since $\psi(k)(t, \cdot)$ is linear on $[x, y]$, it is obvious that $\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)=\left(2^{2 k} / 2\right)(y-x)^{2} \Delta_{j}^{k} \psi_{t} \otimes \Delta_{j}^{k} \psi_{t}$. From this and Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{s}^{2}(x, y)\right|^{2}\right] & \leq c 2^{4 k}|y-x|^{4}|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{1-\kappa} 2^{-k} \\
& \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}|y-x|^{2-\kappa} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $(j-1) / 2^{k} \leq x \leq j / 2^{k} \leq y \leq(j+1) / 2^{k}$. From Chen's identity, we have

$$
\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)=\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(x, j / 2^{k}\right)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(j / 2^{k}, y\right)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(x, j / 2^{k}\right) \otimes \Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(j / 2^{k}, y\right)
$$

This implies the inequality (4.5) in this case, too (for different $c>0$ ). Thanks to repeated use of Chen's identity, a similar inequality still holds even if $\Psi(k)$ is replaced with $\Psi(k+1)$. Combining these we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi(k+1)_{s}^{2}(x, y)+\Psi(k)_{s}^{2}(x, y)\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}|y-x|^{2-\kappa} \quad\left(\text { if }|y-x| \leq 1 / 2^{k}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{array}
$$

Now we estimate the left hand side of (4.5), when $x=I / 2^{k}$ and $y=J / 2^{k}$ with $I<J$. For simplicity we will write $z_{i}^{k}=i / 2^{k}$. In the same way as in pp. 69-71, Lyons and Qian [17],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right) & =\sum_{l=I+1}^{J} \Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(z_{l-1}^{k}, z_{l}^{k}\right)+\sum_{I+1 \leq r<l \leq J} \Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(z_{r-1}^{k}, z_{r}^{k}\right) \otimes \Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(z_{l-1}^{k}, z_{l}^{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J} \Delta_{l}^{k} \psi_{t} \otimes \Delta_{l}^{k} \psi_{t}+\sum_{I+1 \leq r<l \leq J} \Delta_{r}^{k} \psi_{t} \otimes \Delta_{l}^{k} \psi_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we used Chen's identity and the fact that $\psi(k)(t, \cdot)$ is linear on each $\left[z_{l-1}^{k}, z_{l}^{k}\right]$ as a function of $x$. Since $\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)=\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)=\sum_{l=I+1}^{J} \Delta_{l}^{k} \psi_{t}$, we have

$$
\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)^{\otimes 2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I+1 \leq r<l \leq J}\left(\Delta_{r}^{k} \psi_{t} \otimes \Delta_{l}^{k} \psi_{t}-\Delta_{l}^{k} \psi_{t} \otimes \Delta_{r}^{k} \psi_{t}\right)
$$

We can compute $\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{2}\left(z_{2 I}^{k+1}, z_{2 J}^{k+1}\right)=\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{2}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)$ in the same way. By subtracting them, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(k & +1)_{t}^{2}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J}\left(\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t} \otimes \Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}-\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t} \otimes \Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since ( $i, i$ )-components of the right hand side of (4.7) vanish, we have only to compute ( $i, j$ )components for distinct $i, j$. It is immediate from (4.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(k+ & 1)_{t}^{2 ; i, j}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2 ; i, j}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)-\Psi(k+1)_{s}^{2 ; i, j}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right)+\Psi(k)_{s}^{2 ; i, j}\left(z_{I}^{k}, z_{J}^{k}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J}\left(\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i} \Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}-\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i} \Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{j}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J}\left(\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i} \Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}-\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i} \Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{j}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J}\left(\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i}-\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\right) \Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J} \Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\left(\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}-\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{j}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J}\left(\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i}-\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\right) \Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=I+1}^{J} \Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\left(\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}-\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{j}\right) \\
(4.8)= & \frac{1}{2} A_{1}^{i, j}-\frac{1}{2} A_{2}^{i, j}-\frac{1}{2} A_{3}^{i, j}+\frac{1}{2} A_{4}^{i, j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the independence of $i$ th and $j$ th components, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|A_{1}^{i, j}\right|^{2}\right]= & \sum_{l, m=I+1}^{J} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i}-\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{2 m-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i}-\Delta_{2 m-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j} \Delta_{2 m}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}\right] \\
= & 2 \sum_{I+1 \leq l<m \leq J} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i}-\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{2 m-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i}-\Delta_{2 m-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j} \Delta_{2 m}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}\right]+\sum_{l=I+1}^{J} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{i}-\Delta_{2 l-1}^{k+1} \psi_{s}^{i}\right|^{2}\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta_{2 l}^{k+1} \psi_{t}^{j}\right|^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 3.2 and $y-x=(J-I) 2^{-k}$, the second term on the right hand side of (4.9) is dominated by

$$
c(J-I)|t-s|^{\kappa / 2} 2^{-(k+1)(1-\kappa)} \cdot 2^{-(k+1)} \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}(y-x) 2^{-k(1-\kappa)} .
$$

Assume that $y-x=(J-I) 2^{-k} \leq 1 / 2$. Then, we may use Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 to see that the first term on the right hand side of (4.9) is dominated by

$$
\begin{align*}
& c \sum_{I+1 \leq l<m \leq J} \frac{|t-s|^{\kappa / 2} 2^{-2(k+1)}}{\left\{(m-l) 2^{-k}\right\}^{1+\kappa}} \cdot \frac{2^{-2(k+1)}}{(m-l) 2^{-k}} \\
& \quad \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2} 2^{-k(2-\kappa)} \sum_{I+1 \leq l<m \leq J} \frac{1}{(m-l)^{2+\kappa}} \\
& \quad \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2} 2^{-k(1-\kappa)} \frac{J-I}{2^{k}} \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}(y-x) 2^{-k(1-\kappa)} . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have estimated $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|A_{1}^{i, j}\right|^{2}\right]$. We can also estimate $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|A_{p}^{i, j}\right|^{2}\right]$ for $p=2,3,4$ in the same way.

To sum up, we have obtained the following inequality: For $x=I 2^{-k}, y=J 2^{-k}$ with $0 \leq y-x \leq 1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mid \Psi(k+1)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi( \right. & \left.k+1)_{s}^{2}(x, y)+\left.\Psi(k)_{s}^{2}(x, y)\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leq & c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}|y-x|\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)^{1-\kappa} . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We will check that the above estimate (4.11) also holds when $y-x>1 / 2$. In this case, divide the interval as $\left[I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right]=[x, y]=[x, 1 / 2] \cup[1 / 2, y]$ and use Chen's identity. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y) & =\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, 1 / 2)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(1 / 2, y)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}(x, 1 / 2) \otimes \Psi(k)_{t}^{1}(1 / 2, y) \\
& =\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, 1 / 2)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(1 / 2, y)+\Psi_{t}^{1}(x, 1 / 2) \otimes \Psi_{t}^{1}(1 / 2, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since a similar equality holds for $\Psi(k+1)^{2}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(k & +1)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y) \\
& =\left\{\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{2}(x, 1 / 2)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, 1 / 2)\right\}+\left\{\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{2}(1 / 2, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(1 / 2, y)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can easily see from this that (4.11) holds (for a possibly different constant $c>0$ ) even when $y-x=(J-I) / 2^{k}>1 / 2$.

Now, let us consider the general case $0 \leq x<y \leq 1$ with $y-x \geq 2^{-k}$. Take two integers $I$ and $J$ with $I \leq J$ so that $0 \leq I 2^{-k}-x<2^{-k}$ and $0 \leq y-J 2^{-k}<2^{-k}$ hold. Let us again divide the interval as $[x, y]=\left[x, I 2^{-k}\right] \cup\left[I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right] \cup\left[J 2^{-k}, y\right]$ and use Chen's identity. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)= & \Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}\left(J 2^{-k}, y\right) \\
& +\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right) \otimes \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right)+\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right) \otimes \Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(J 2^{-k}, y\right) \\
& +\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right) \otimes \Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(J 2^{-k}, y\right) \\
= & B_{1}(k ; t)+\cdots+B_{6}(k ; t)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.6) and (4.11), we can easily estimate $B_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ as follows;

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mid B_{i}(k+1 ; t)-B_{i}(k ; t)\right. & \left.-B_{i}(k+1 ; s)+\left.B_{i}(k ; s)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}|y-x|\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)^{1-\kappa} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1,2,3$.
Estimates for $B_{i}(4 \leq i \leq 6)$ are similar, but slightly more complicated. For example, $B_{4}$ can be calculated as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{4}(k+1 ; t)-B_{4}(k ; t)-B_{4}(k+1 ; s)+B_{4}(k ; s) \\
&=\left\{\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)\right\} \otimes \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right) \\
&-\left\{\Psi(k+1)_{s}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)-\Psi(k)_{s}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)\right\} \otimes \Psi_{s}^{1}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right) \\
&=\left\{\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\Psi(k+1)_{s}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)+\Psi(k)_{s}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)\right\} \otimes \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right) \\
&-\left\{\Psi(k+1)_{s}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)-\Psi(k)_{s}^{1}\left(x, I 2^{-k}\right)\right\} \otimes\left\{\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right)-\Psi_{s}^{1}\left(I 2^{-k}, J 2^{-k}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.3) and Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|B_{4}(k+1 ; t)-B_{4}(k ; t)-B_{4}(k+1 ; s)+B_{4}(k ; s)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq c(t-s)^{\kappa / 2}\left(I 2^{-k}-x\right)^{1-\kappa} \cdot(J-I) 2^{-k} \\
&+c\left(I 2^{-k}-x\right) \cdot(t-s)^{\kappa / 2}\left\{(J-I) 2^{-k}\right\}^{1-\kappa} \\
& \leq c(t-s)^{\kappa / 2}\left(\frac{y-x}{\left(2^{k}\right)^{1-\kappa}}+\frac{(y-x)^{1-\kappa}}{2^{k}}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

If $2^{-k} \leq y-x$, the right hand side is dominated by $c(t-s)^{\kappa / 2}(y-x) 2^{-k(1-\kappa)} . B_{5}$ and $B_{6}$ are dominated in the same way. Hence, the inequality (4.11) holds for all $t, s$ and all $x, y$ with $y-x \geq 2^{-k}$.

From this and (4.6), we finally obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Psi(k+1)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi(k+1)_{s}^{2}(x, y)+\Psi(k)_{s}^{2}(x, y)\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leq c|t-s|^{\kappa / 2}|y-x|\left\{|y-x|^{1-\kappa} \wedge\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)^{1-\kappa}\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{array}
$$

for all $t, s \in[0, T], k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$.
From (4.14) and Proposition 4.1 in the finite dimensional case, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\Psi(k+1)^{2}-\Psi(k)^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha, m / 2}^{m / 2}\right] \\
& \leq c \iint_{\mathcal{S}(T)} d s d t \iint_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{|t-s|^{\kappa m / 8}|y-x|^{m / 4}\left\{|y-x|^{(1-\kappa) m / 4} \wedge\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)^{(1-\kappa) m / 4}\right\}}{|t-s|^{1+\beta m}|y-x|^{1+\alpha m}} d x d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\kappa \in(0,1)$ is chosen so that $4 \beta<\kappa / 2<1-2 \alpha$, then the integral on the right hand side converges. Moreover, we can see from (4.2) that the inequality (4.4) holds with $\eta=$ $2^{-\{1-2 \alpha-(\kappa / 2)\}} \in(0,1)$. From the inequality in the lemma, almost sure and $L^{m / 2}$ convergences of $\left\{\Psi(k)^{2}\right\}$ are immediate. Using Proposition 4.1, we finish the proof of the lemma.

As a corollary, we obtain convergence of $\{\Psi(k)\}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ by using BesovHölder embedding.

Corollary 4.4. Assume $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$. Then, $\{\Psi(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\Psi$ a.s. as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}-\Psi_{t}^{1}\right\|_{H ; \alpha}+\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}-\Psi_{t}^{2}\right\|_{H ; 2 \alpha}
$$

converges to 0 in $L^{p}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for all $1<p<\infty$.
Proof. Take $\hat{\alpha} \in(\alpha, 1 / 2)$. Choose $\beta>0$ and $m>2$ so that $\beta>1 / m, \hat{\alpha}-1 / m>\alpha$ and $4 \beta<1-2 \hat{\alpha}$. Then, the corollary is immediate from Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, and Propoition 2.3 (in particular, Eq. (2.5)).
5. Large deviation principle. In this section we will state and prove our main theorem (Theorem 5.6), using the method developed by Friz and Victoir in [6]. First, we will study the regularity of a generic element of Cameron-Martin space. Next, we will prove a large deviation principle for a weaker topology. Finally, we will strengthen the topology by using the inverse contraction principle and exponential tightness.

We denote by $\mu$ the law of the two parameter process $\psi=(\psi(t, x))_{0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq 1}$. For $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2), \beta>0$, and $m \geq 1$ such that $\alpha-1 / m>1 / 3, \beta>1 / m$, and $4 \beta<1-2 \alpha, \mu$ is a Gaussian measure on $C_{0}^{B ; \beta, m}([0, T], \mathcal{X})$ with $\mathcal{X}=C^{B ; \alpha, m}\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}$ Cameron-Martin space of $\mu$.

First we investigate the regularity of $x \mapsto h(t, x)$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ to make sure that $h$ admits a spatial lift $\mathcal{L}_{2}(h)$. (Lemma 5.1 below also follows from a fact that the Cameron-Matin space $x \mapsto \psi(t, x)$ is quite similar to that of the pinned Brownian motion. But, we use a rough path argument here.)

Lemma 5.1. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in[0, T]$.
(i) $h(t, \cdot)$ is $1 / 2$-Hölder continuous and its $1 / 2$-Hölder norm is dominated by $c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for some positive constant $c=c_{T}$ independent of $h$ and $t$.
(ii) $h(t, \cdot)$ is of finite $q$-variation for any $q \in(4 / 3,2)$ and its $q$-variation norm is dominated by $c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for some positive constant $c=c_{T, q}$ independent of $h$ and $t$.

Proof. We may assume $d=1$. Let $Z$ be the measurable linear functional (i.e., the element in the first order Wiener chaos) associated with $h . Z$ is a mean-zero Gaussian random variable with variance $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$. Then, from the general theory of abstract Wiener spaces and the fact that the evaluation map at $(t, x)$ is a continuous linear functional, we see that $\mathbb{E}[Z \psi(t, x)]=h(t, x)$. Here, $\mathbb{E}$ stands for the expectation with respect to $\mu$.

By Corollary 3.3 and Schwarz' inequality, we can easily see that

$$
|h(t, y)-h(t, x)| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \mathbb{E}\left[|\psi(t, y)-\psi(t, x)|^{2}\right] \leq c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}|t-s|^{1 / 2} .
$$

Thus we have proved the first assertion.
Now we show the second assertion, following p. 783, Friz and Victoir [6]. Recall that Wick's formula implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[U^{2} V^{2}\right]=2 \mathbb{E}[U V]^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left[U^{2}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[V^{2}\right] \quad \text { or } \quad \operatorname{Cov}\left(U^{2}, V^{2}\right)=2 \mathbb{E}[U V]^{2}
$$

for two mean-zero Gaussian random variables $U, V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{k}: & =\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}}\left|h\left(t, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right)-\left(t, \frac{i-1}{2^{k}}\right)\right|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}} \mathbb{E}\left[Z \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}}+\sum_{i=2^{k-1}+1}^{2^{k}}\right\} \mathbb{E}\left[Z \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right)\right]^{2}=: Q_{k}^{(1)}+Q_{k}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term $Q_{k}^{(1)}$ on the right hand side is dominated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{k}^{(1)} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\left\{\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right)^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{4}\right]^{1 / 2}\left\{\sum_{i, l=1}^{2^{k-1}} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right)^{2}, \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{l-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{l}{2^{k}}\right)^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& =c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\left\{\sum_{i, l=1}^{2^{k-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right) \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{l-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{l}{2^{k}}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{i, l=1}^{2^{k-1}} & \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right) \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{l-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{l}{2^{k}}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{1 \leq i, l \leq 2^{k-1}:|i-l| \leq 1}+\sum_{1 \leq i, l \leq 2^{k-1}:|i-l| \geq 2}\right\} \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{i-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right) \Psi_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{l-1}{2^{k}}, \frac{l}{2^{k}}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \leq c 2^{k-1}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{2}+c \sum_{1 \leq i, l \leq 2^{n-1}:|i-l| \geq 2}\left|\frac{\left(2^{-k}\right)^{2}}{i 2^{-k}-l 2^{-k}}\right|^{2} \leq c 2^{-k}
\end{array}
$$

Here, we have used $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{-2}<\infty$. The second term $Q_{k}^{(2)}$ can be dominated in the same way. Consequently, $Q_{k} \leq c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} 2^{-k / 2}$.

We use the following inequality (see Proposition 4.1.1 in p. 62, [17]): for $\gamma>q-1$, there is a positive constant $c=c_{q, \gamma}$ such that

$$
\|h(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; q}^{q} \leq c_{q, \gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}}\left|h\left(t, \frac{i}{2^{k}}\right)-h\left(t, \frac{i-1}{2^{k}}\right)\right|^{q} .
$$

The right hand side is dominated by

$$
c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma} Q_{k}^{q / 2} 2^{k(1-q / 2)} \leq c\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma} 2^{k\{1-(3 q) / 4\}}
$$

which is convergent if $q>4 / 3$. This proves the second assertion of Lemma 5.1.
By Lemma 5.1, the spatial lift $H=\mathcal{L}_{2}(h)$ exists for all $h$. A natural question is whether and in which topology $H(k)=\mathcal{L}_{2}(h(k))$ converges to $\mathcal{L}_{2}(h)$. Actually, it seems rather difficult to prove the convergence with respect to Hölder or Besov topology. However, it is much easier to prove it with respect to a weaker topology, namely, the uniform topology.

Lemma 5.2. For any $L>0$ and $i=1,2$,

$$
\sup \left\{\left|H(k)_{t}^{i}(x, y)-H_{t}^{i}(x, y)\right| \mid(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}, t \in[0, T], h \in \mathcal{H} \text { with }\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq L\right\}
$$

converges to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. From Lemma 5.1 (i),

$$
|h(t, x)-h(k)(t, x)| \leq 2\|h(t, \cdot)\|_{H ; 1 / 2}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq c L 2^{-k / 2} .
$$

The constant $c$ on the right hand side is independent of $t, x, k, L$. Taking a difference we can easily prove the case $i=1$.

Next we consider the case $i=2$. Let $4 / 3<q<r<2$. From Lemma 1.12 in p. 8, Lyons, Caruana, and Levy [16] and Lemma 5.1 (ii) above, we see that

$$
\|h(k)(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; q} \leq\|h(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; q} \leq c L
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|h(k)(t, \cdot)-h(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; r}^{r} \\
& \leq c\|h(k)(t, \cdot)-h(t, \cdot)\|_{\infty}^{r-q}\left\{\|h(k)(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; q}^{q}+\|h(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; q}^{q}\right\} \leq c L^{r} 2^{-k(r-q) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the left hand side converges to zero uniformly in $t$ and $h$ with $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq L$. By the theory of Young integral, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|H(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-H_{t}^{2}(x, y)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{x}^{y}\left\{H(k)_{t}^{1}(x, z)-H_{t}^{1}(x, z)\right\} \otimes d_{z} h(k)(t, z)\right| \\
& \quad \quad+\left|\int_{x}^{y} H_{t}^{1}(x, z) \otimes d_{z}\{h(k)(t, z)-h(t, z)\}\right| \\
& \leq c\|h(k)(t, \cdot)-h(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; r}\left\{\|h(k)(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; r}+\|h(t, \cdot)\|_{v a r ; r}\right\} \leq c L^{2} 2^{-k(1-q / r) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant $c$ on the right hand side is independent of $t, x, y, k, L$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we prove the case $i=2$.

We will show below that $\{\varepsilon \Psi(k)\}$ is an exponentially good approximation of $\varepsilon \Psi$ with respect to the uniform topology.

LEmmA 5.3. There exists a distance dist $_{\infty}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ which induces the same uniform topology on this set and satisfies the following property: For any $\delta>0$, it holds that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(\varepsilon \Psi, \varepsilon \Psi(k))>\delta\right)=-\infty
$$

Proof. A geometric rough path is a continuous path that takes values in the free nilpotent group $G^{2}=G^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ of step 2. We take this viewpoint, following p. 776, [6] and Chapters $7-9,[7] . G^{2}$ is a subset of $\left\{\left(1, g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \mid g_{1} \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, g_{2} \in\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes 2}\right\} \subset T^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. The multiplication of $G^{2}$ is the tensor product $\otimes$ in $T^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, which will be suppressed. On $G^{2}$ there exists a homogeneous and symmetric norm $\|\cdot\|$. It satisfies that $\|g\|=\left\|g^{-1}\right\|$ and $\|\lambda g\|=|\lambda|\|g\|$ for the dilation by $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c \geq 1$ such that

$$
c^{-1}\|g\| \leq\left|g_{1}\right|_{\mathbf{R}^{d}}+\left|g_{2}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes 2}}^{1 / 2} \leq c\|g\|
$$

for all $g=\left(1, g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in G^{2}$. A distance $\mathrm{d}_{G^{2}}$ is defined by $\mathrm{d}_{G^{2}}(g, \hat{g}):=\left\|g^{-1} \hat{g}\right\|=\left\|\hat{g}^{-1} g\right\|$.
It is known that, for $A \in G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right),[0,1] \ni x \mapsto\left(1, A_{0, x}^{1}, A_{0, x}^{2}\right)$ is a continuous path in $G^{2}$ which starts at the unit element. Conversely, for a continuous path $a$ in $G^{2}$ which starts at the unit element, $A_{x, y}=a_{x}^{-1} a_{y}$ defines an element in $G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Hence, these spaces sets $G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and $C_{\text {unit }}\left([0,1], G^{2}\right)$ can be identified as sets. Topology of uniform convergence is induced by the following distance; $\hat{\mathrm{d}}(a, b)=\sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1} \mathrm{~d}_{G^{2}}\left(a_{x}, b_{x}\right)$. It is easy to see that for some constant $c \geq 1$
$c^{-1} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}(a, b) \leq \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left\{\left|B_{0, x}^{1}-A_{0, x}^{1}\right|+\left|\left(B_{0, x}^{2}-A_{0, x}^{2}\right)-A_{0, x}^{1} \otimes\left(B_{0, x}^{1}-A_{0, x}^{1}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}\right\} \leq c \hat{\mathrm{~d}}(a, b)$.
Note that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} A(k)=A$ in $G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ (that is, $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}}\left|A(k)_{x, y}^{i}-A_{x, y}^{i}\right|$ for $i=1,2)$ is equivalent to $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}(a(k), a)=0$.

Let us consider $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$, which can be identified with $C\left([0, T], G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ as sets. Uniform convergence on this set is induced by the following distance;

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(a, b)=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \hat{\mathrm{~d}}\left(a_{t}, b_{t}\right)=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1} \mathrm{~d}_{G^{2}}\left(a_{t, x}, b_{t, x}\right),
$$

where $a, b \in C\left([0, T], G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right) \cong C\left([0, T], C_{\text {unit }}\left([0,1], G^{2}\right)\right)$. For some constant $c \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
c^{-1} \operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(a, b) \leq & \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left\{\left|B_{t}^{1}(0, x)-A_{t}^{1}(0, x)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\left(B_{t}^{2}(0, x)-A_{t}^{2}(0, x)\right)-A_{t}^{1}(0, x) \otimes\left(B_{t}^{1}(0, x)-A_{t}^{1}(0, x)\right)\right|^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
\leq & c \operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(a, b) . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Again $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in[0, T],(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}}\left|A(k)_{t}^{i}(x, y)-A_{t}^{i}(x, y)\right|$ for $i=1,2$ is equivalent to convergence with respect to dist $t_{\infty}$. This distance naturally extends to a distance on $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$
with a trivial modification and is again denoted by dist $_{\infty}$. The reason why we introduce this distance is that $\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(\varepsilon a, \varepsilon b)=\varepsilon \operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(a, b)$ for the dilation by $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

Take any $\alpha, \beta, m$ which satisfy the assumptions of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. By Proposition 2.3, $\Psi(k)$ also converges to $\Psi$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \Omega_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ a.s. Moreover, we see from (2.5) and Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 that $\sup _{t \in[0, T],(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}}\left|\Psi(k)_{t}^{i}(x, y)-\Psi_{t}^{i}(x, y)\right|$ converges to 0 in any $L^{p}$-norm $(1<$ $p<\infty)$.

Let $s_{k}$ be the maximum of (i) $L^{4}$-norm of $\sup _{t,(x, y)}\left|\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}(x, y)-\Psi_{t}^{1}(x, y)\right|$, (ii) $L^{2}$-norm of $\sup _{t,(x, y)}\left|\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi_{t}^{2}(x, y)\right|$, and (iii) $L^{2}$-norm of $\sup _{t,(x, y)} \mid \Psi_{t}^{1}(x, y) \otimes$ $\left(\Psi_{t}^{1}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}(x, y)\right) \mid$. Then, $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{k}=0$. By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(\Psi, \Psi(k))\right\|_{L^{4 q}} \leq & c\left\|_{t,(x, y)}\left|\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}(x, y)-\Psi_{t}^{1}(x, y)\right|\right\|_{L^{4 q}} \\
& +c\left\|\sup _{t,(x, y)}\left|\Psi(k)_{t}^{2}(x, y)-\Psi_{t}^{2}(x, y)\right|\right\|_{L^{2 q}}^{1 / 2} \\
& +c\left\|\sup _{t,(x, y)}\left|\Psi_{t}^{1}(x, y) \otimes\left(\Psi_{t}^{1}(x, y)-\Psi(k)_{t}^{1}(x, y)\right)\right|\right\|_{L^{2 q}}^{1 / 2} \leq c^{\prime} s_{k} \sqrt{4 q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $c, c^{\prime}$ are positive constants independent of $q \geq 1$ and $k=1,2, \ldots$.
Therefore, for sufficiently large $q$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(\varepsilon \Psi, \varepsilon \Psi(k))>\delta\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(\Psi, \Psi(k))>\delta / \varepsilon\right) \\
& \leq(\delta / \varepsilon)^{-q} c^{\prime q} s_{k}^{q} \sqrt{q}^{q} \\
& =\exp \left[q \log \left(\frac{\varepsilon c^{\prime}}{\delta} s_{k} \sqrt{q}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $q=1 / \varepsilon^{2}$, we have

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \log \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(\varepsilon \Psi, \varepsilon \Psi(k))>\delta\right) \leq \log \left(\frac{c^{\prime}}{\delta} s_{k}\right)
$$

First taking lim $\sup _{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$ and then letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof.
Using Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we can prove a Schilder-type large deviation principle for $\nu_{\varepsilon}$, which is the laws of $\varepsilon \Psi$, with respect to a weaker topology. Let us define a rate function $I$ by $I(A)=\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} / 2$ if $A=\mathcal{L}_{2}(h)$ for some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $I(A)=\infty$ if $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \backslash \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{H})$. Here, $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the natural lift with respect to the spatial parameter.

Proposition 5.4. Let the notations be as above. Then, $\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with a good rate function $I$.

Proof. The laws of $\varepsilon \psi$ induces scaled Gaussian measures on $C_{0}\left([0, T], C\left([0,1], \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)\right)$. By the general theory of abstract Wiener spaces, they satisfy Schilder's large deviation with a good rate function $J$. Here, $J(h)=\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} / 2$ if $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $J(h)=\infty$ if $h \notin \mathcal{H}$. The map $a \rightarrow A(k)=\mathcal{L}_{2}(a(k))$ is clearly continuous for each fixed $k=1,2, \ldots$. Therefore, the laws of $\varepsilon \Psi(k)$ satisfies a large deviation with a good rate function since it is a continuous image of $\varepsilon \psi$ (see Theorem 4.2.1, [1]).

From Lemma 5.2 and (5.1), it is immediate that $\sup _{\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq L} \operatorname{dist}_{\infty}(H(k), H) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow 0$ for each $L>0$. Combining this with Lemma 5.3 , we can use exponentially good approximation (Theorem 4.2.23, [1]) to finish the proof.

We prove that the laws of $\varepsilon \Psi$ are exponentially tight on the path space over the geometric rough path space. To strengthen the topology, exponential tightness is the key.

Lemma 5.5. $\left(\nu_{\varepsilon}\right)_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ are exponentially tight on $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for any $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$.

Proof. Take $\alpha^{\prime} \in(\alpha, 1 / 2)$ and $\beta>0$ so that $4 \beta<1-2 \alpha^{\prime}$. Take $m>2$ sufficiently large so that $\alpha^{\prime}-1 / m>\alpha$ and $\beta>1 / m$. Then, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 hold.

Set $Z=\|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|_{B ; \beta, m}+\left\|\Psi^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, m}$ or $Z=\left\|\Psi^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha^{\prime}, m / 2}^{1 / 2}$. By Proposition 4.1, $\|Z\|_{L^{q}} \leq c \sqrt{q}$ for some $c>0$. This implies that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\eta Z^{2}}\right]<\infty$ if $\eta \in\left(0,\left(2 c^{2} e\right)^{-1}\right)$. Indeed,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\eta Z^{2}}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2 k}\right]}{k!} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{k} c^{2 k}(2 k)^{k}}{k!}
$$

which converges as a power series of $\eta$ if $|\eta| \leq 1 /\left(2 c^{2} e\right)$. By Chebyshev's inequality, this square exponential integrability implies that for some constant $c>0$ it holds that $\mathbb{P}(Z>r) \leq$ $c e^{-\eta r^{2}}$ for all $r>0$.

For $r>0$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{r}:=\left\{\left(a, A^{2}\right)\right.=\left(a_{0}, A^{1}, A^{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right) \mid \\
&\left.\|a(\cdot, 0)\|_{B ; \beta, m}+\left\|A^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, m} \leq r,\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha^{\prime}, m / 2}^{1 / 2} \leq r\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 2.3, its closure $\bar{B}_{r}$ is compact in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. The complement set $\left(\bar{B}_{r}\right)^{c}$ is contained in $\left\{\|a(\cdot, 0)\|_{B ; \beta, m}+\left\|A^{1}\right\|_{B ; \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, m}>r\right.$ or $\left.\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha^{\prime}, m / 2}^{1 / 2}>r\right\}$. Noting that $\left\|(\varepsilon A)^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha^{\prime}, m / 2}^{1 / 2}=\varepsilon\left\|A^{2}\right\|_{B ; 2 \beta, 2 \alpha^{\prime}, m / 2}^{1 / 2}$, we can easily see that

$$
\underset{\varepsilon \searrow 0}{\limsup } \varepsilon^{2} \log v_{\varepsilon}\left(\left({\overline{B_{r}}}^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right) \leq-\eta r^{2} .
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we prove exponential tightness.
Now we state and prove our main theorem. Thanks to exponential tightness in Lemma 5.5, we can prove a large deviation principle of Schilder type with respect to the desired topology using the inverse contraction principle. It is a little bit interesting that we can show $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ in a rather indirect way like this.

THEOREM 5.6. Let $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$ and let $\nu_{\varepsilon}$ be the law of $\varepsilon \Psi$. Then, $\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ with a good rate function $I$. In particular, the effective domain of I, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{H})$, is a subset of $\mathcal{P} \infty G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, we can use the inverse contraction principle. (See Theorem 4.2.4 and Remarks in pp.127-129, [1].) The inclusion $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ is a part of the inverse contraction principle, but we will prove it now for the reader's convenience.

Suppose $\mathcal{L}_{2}(h) \notin \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $h \in \mathcal{H}$. By exponential tightness, there exists a compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $\lim \sup _{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \nu_{\varepsilon}\left(K^{c}\right)<-\left(1+\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} / 2\right)$. Since the injection is continuous (Proposition 2.3), $K$ is also compact in $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ and, in particular, $K^{c}$ is open. By Proposition 5.4, $\lim \inf _{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^{2} \log \nu_{\varepsilon}\left(K^{c}\right) \geq-\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} / 2$, since $\mathcal{L}_{2}(h) \in K^{c}$. This is a contradiction.

Before we end this section, we give a comment on Freidlin-Wentzell type large deviations. By the well-known contraction principle (Theorem 4.2.1, [1]), the laws of $F(\varepsilon \Psi)$ also satisfies a large deviation principle for any continuous map $F$ from $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$ to any Hausdorff space.

Hairer and Weber [10] considered the following rough stochastic PDE;

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{t} u=\left[\Delta_{x} u+f(u)+g(u) \partial_{x} u\right] d t+\theta(u) d W_{t}, \quad \text { with } u(0, x)=u_{0}(x) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, (i) the solution $u=u(t, x)$ is a function from $[0, T] \times S^{1}$ to $\mathbf{R}^{d}$; (ii) the coefficients $f: \mathbf{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d}, g: \mathbf{R}^{d} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes 2}, \theta: \mathbf{R}^{d} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)^{\otimes 2}$, are sufficiently regular functions; (iii) $u_{0}(x)$ is a given initial condition at $t=0$; (iv) $\left(W_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is an $L^{2}\left(S^{1}, \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$-cylindrical Brownian motion. Note that $u=\psi$ when $f \equiv 0 \equiv g$ and $\theta \equiv \mathrm{Id}$.

In the additive noise case, i.e., $\theta \equiv \mathrm{Id}$, it is proved in [10] that under mild assumptions on the coefficients and the initial condition, stochastic PDE (5.2) is well-defined in rough path sense and has a unique global solution. Moreover, $u$ is a continuous image of $\Psi$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} G \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha}^{H}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$-topology for some $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$. (For precise information on the sufficient conditions for $f, g, u_{0}$ and on the topology of the space in which the solution $u$ lives, see [10, 11], etc.)

As a result, we see from our main theorem and the contraction principle that a large deviation principle of Freidlin-Wentzell type holds in this case for the laws of the solution $u^{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}(t, x)$ of the following rough SPDE;

$$
d_{t} u^{\varepsilon}=\left[\Delta_{x} u^{\varepsilon}+f\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)+g\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{x} u^{\varepsilon}\right] d t+\varepsilon d W_{t}, \quad \text { with } u^{\varepsilon}(0, x)=u_{0}(x) .
$$

Freidlin-Wentzell type large deviations for various stochastic PDEs were extensively studied. In none of them was rough path theory used, however. (Unfortunately, in the multiplicative case, i.e., the case $\theta$ is not a constant, the continuity of the map is not known. So we cannot use the contraction priciple to prove Freidlin-Wentzell type large deviations at present.) We remark here that $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\|\left(\partial_{t}-\Delta_{x}\right) h\right\|_{L^{2}}$ holds for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ since there is a natural unitary correspondence between $\mathcal{H}$ and $L^{2}\left([0, T] \times S^{1}, \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Moreover, the rate function $\hat{I}$ of the large deviation for the law of $u^{\varepsilon}$ can be explicitly written as

$$
\hat{I}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S^{1}}\left|\partial_{t} u-\left\{\Delta_{x} u+f(u)+g(u) \partial_{x} u\right\}\right|^{2} d t d x
$$

for $u$ with $u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)$.
REMARK 5.7. We studied (the lift of) the solution of stochastic heat equation (1.1). In Hairer's theory, however, two-parameter Gaussian processes differ from paper to paper. See
[4, 8, 9]. Therefore, it may be interesting to study whether similar large deviations hold for the lifts of these processes, too.
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