

# Applications of Mathematics

---

Hua Shui Zhan

Large time behavior of solutions to a class of doubly nonlinear parabolic equations

*Applications of Mathematics*, Vol. 53 (2008), No. 6, 521–533

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140337>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO A CLASS OF  
DOUBLY NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS\*

HUASHUI ZHAN, Xiamen

(Received July 21, 2006, in revised version September 5, 2007)

*Abstract.* We study the large time asymptotic behavior of solutions of the doubly degenerate parabolic equation  $u_t = \operatorname{div}(u^{m-1}|Du|^{p-2}Du) - u^q$  with an initial condition  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ . Here the exponents  $m, p$  and  $q$  satisfy  $m + p \geq 3$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $q > m + p - 2$ .

*Keywords:* degenerate parabolic equation, large time asymptotic behavior

*MSC 2010:* 35K55, 35K65, 35B40

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this article is to study the large time asymptotic behavior of weak solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations of the type

$$(1.1) \quad u_t = \operatorname{div}(u^{m-1}|Du|^{p-2}Du) - u^q \quad \text{in } S = \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Here  $p > 1$ ,  $m(p-1) > 1$ ,  $q > 1$ ,  $N \geq 1$  and  $u_0(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a nonnegative function. Equation (1.1) has been suggested as a mathematical model for a variety of problems in mechanics, physics and biology, one can see [3], [5], [1] etc. The existence of a nonnegative solution of (1.1)–(1.2), defined in some weak sense, is well established (see [12] and [8]). In this paper we are interested in the behavior of solutions as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The elliptic method was used in several papers (see e.g. [4], [9]) to study the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the porous media and the  $p$ -Laplacian equations. Also by the elliptic method, J. Manfredi and V. Vespri studied the large

---

\* The paper was supported by NSF of China (10571144), NSF for youth of Fujian province in China (2005J037) and NSF of Jimei University in China.

time behavior of the solution of the initial boundary problem without absorption  $-u^q$  in [7]. In details the large time behavior of the solution of the problem

$$(1.3) \quad u_t = \operatorname{div}(u^{m-1}|Du|^{p-2}Du) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.4) \quad u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.5) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N$$

was considered in [7].

In our paper we will study problem (1.1)–(1.2) in a way different from the elliptic method which is used in [7], namely, we will compare the large time behavior of the general solution of (1.1)–(1.2) to the Barenblatt-type solution of (1.1)–(1.2).

We begin with some preliminaries.

It is not difficult to verify that

$$E_c = t^{-l/\mu} \left\{ \left[ b - \frac{m(p-1)-1}{mp} (N\mu)^{-1/(p-1)} (|x|t^{-l/\mu})^{p/(p-1)} \right]_+ \right\}^{(p-1)/(m(p-1)-1)}$$

is the Barenblatt-type solution of the Cauchy problem

$$(1.6) \quad u_t = \operatorname{div}(u^{m-1}|Du|^{p-2}Du) \quad \text{in } S = \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.7) \quad u(x, 0) = c\delta(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N$$

where  $l = (1 + (m-1)/(p-1))^{1-p}$ ,  $\mu = m + p - 3 + p/N$ ,  $c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(x) dx$ ,  $b$  is a constant such that  $b = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E_c(x, t) dx$ , and  $\delta$  denotes the Dirac mass centered at the origin.

Let

$$B_R(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| < R\}, \quad B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}.$$

**Definition 1.1.** A nonnegative function  $u(x, t)$  is called a solution of (1.1)–(1.2) if  $u$  satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad u \in C(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times (\tau, T)), \quad u^{(m-1)/(p-1)} Du \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)),$$

$$u_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times (\tau, T)), \quad \forall \tau > 0;$$

$$(1.9) \quad \int_S [u(x, t)\varphi_t(x, t) - u^{m-1}|Du|^{p-2}Du \cdot D\varphi - u^q\varphi] dx dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^1(S);$$

$$(1.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_R} |u(x, t) - u_0(x)| dx = 0.$$

**Definition 1.2.** A nonnegative function  $U \in C(\bar{S} \setminus (0))$ ,  $U \neq 0$  is called a very singular solution of (1.1), if  $U$  satisfies (1.1) in the sense of distributions in  $S$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_R} U(x, t) dx = 0, \quad \forall R > 0.$$

Let  $U(x, t) = t^{1/(q-1)} f(|x|t^{-1/\beta})$ . Suppose  $f$  is the solution of the ordinary equation

$$(f^{m-1}|f'|^{p-2}f')' + \frac{1}{\eta}f^{m-1}|f'|^{p-2}f' + \frac{1}{\beta}\eta f' + \frac{1}{q}f - f^q = 0,$$

$$f(\eta) \geq 0, \quad f'(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{p/(q-(m+p-2))} f(\eta) = 0.$$

Then we can prove that  $U(x, t)$  is a very singular solution of (1.1); we will publish this result in another paper.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $m(p-1) > 1$ ,  $q > m+p-2$ . If  $E_c$  is a unique solution of (1.6)–(1.7), then the solution  $u$  of (1.1)–(1.2) satisfies*

$$(1.11) \quad t^{l/\mu}|u(x, t) - E_c(x, t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on the sets  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < at^{-l/\mu N}, a > 0\}$ , where

$$c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(x) dx - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^q(x, t) dx dt.$$

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose  $m(p-1) > 1$ ,  $q > m+p-2$  and*

$$|x|^\alpha u_0(x) \leq B, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^\alpha u_0(x) = C,$$

where  $\alpha, B$  and  $C$  are constants with  $\alpha \in (0, p/(q-(m+p-2)))$ . Then the solution of (1.1)–(1.2) satisfies

$$t^{1/(q-1)}u(x, t) \rightarrow C^* \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on the sets

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq at^{1/\beta}, a > 0\},$$

where  $C^* = (1/(q-1))^{1/(q-1)}$  and  $\beta = (q-1)/(q-(m+p-2))$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Suppose  $1 < m(p-1)$ ,  $m+p-2 < q < m+p-2+p/N$  and*

$$|x|^\alpha u_0(x) \leq B, \quad a > \frac{p}{q-(m+p-2)}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(x) dx > 0.$$

Assume that (1.1) has a unique very singular solution. Then the solution of (1.1)–(1.2) satisfies

$$t^{1/(q-1)}|u(x, t) - U(x, t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on the sets

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq at^{1/\beta}\},$$

where  $\beta = (q - 1)/(q - (m + p - 2))$ .

**Remark 1.6.** For  $m = 1$ , the uniqueness of solutions of (1.6)–(1.7) is known (see [2]). For  $m = 1, p = 2$ , the uniqueness of the very singular solution of (1.1) is known, too (see [11]).

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let  $u$  be a solution of (1.1). We define the family of functions

$$u_k = k^N u(kx, k^N \mu t), \quad k > 0.$$

It is easy to see that they are solutions of the problems

$$(2.1) \quad u_t = \operatorname{div}(u^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) - k^{-v} u^q \quad \text{in } S = \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_{0k}(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $\mu = m + p - 3 + p/N$  as before and  $v = q - m - p + 2 - p/N$ ,  $u_{0k}(x) = k^N u_0(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For any  $s \in (0, m + p - 2)$ ,  $u_k$  satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^T \int_{B_R} \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1 + u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq c(s, R, |u_0|_{L^1}),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^T \int_{B_R} u_k^{m+p-2+p/N-s} \, dx \, dt \leq c(s, R, |u_0|_{L^1}).$$

**Proof.** From Definition 1.1, we are able to deduce (see [10]):  $\forall \varphi \in C^1(\bar{S})$ ,  $\varphi = 0$  when  $|x|$  is large enough,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k(x, t) \varphi \, dx - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_k \varphi_t - u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k \cdot D\varphi) \, dx \, dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{0k}(x) \varphi(x, 0) \, dx.$$

Let

$$(2.6) \quad \psi_R \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}), \quad 0 \leq \psi_R \leq 1, \quad \psi_R = 1 \text{ on } B_R, \quad |D\psi_R| \leq cR^{-1}.$$

By an approximate procedure we can choose  $\varphi = (u_k^s/(1 + u_k^s))\psi_R^p$  in (2.5); then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^{u_k(x,t)} \frac{z^s}{1+z^s} dz \psi_R^p(x) dx \\
 & + s \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p \psi_R^p(x) dx d\tau \\
 & \leq -p \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_k^{s+m-1}}{1+u_k^s} |Du_k|^{p-2} \psi_R^{p-1}(x) Du_k \cdot D\psi_R dx d\tau \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^{u_k(x,h)} \frac{z^s}{1+z^s} dz \psi_R^p(x) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < h < t$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \left| \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_k^{s+m-1}}{1+u_k^s} |Du_k|^{p-2} \psi_R^{p-1}(x) Du_k \cdot D\psi_R dx d\tau \right| \\
 & \leq \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \varepsilon \left( \frac{u_k^{(s+m-2) \cdot (p-1)/p}}{(1+u_k^s)^{2(p-1)/p}} |Du_k|^{p-1} \psi_R^{p-1} \right)^{p/(p-1)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + c(\varepsilon) \left( \frac{u_k^{(s+m-1-(s+m-2) \cdot (p-1)/p}}{(1+u_k^s)^{1-2(p-1)/p}} |D\psi_R| \right)^p \right] dx dt \\
 & = \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \varepsilon \left( \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p \psi_R^p + c(\varepsilon) \frac{u_k^{p+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^{2-p}} |D\psi_R|^p \right) \right] dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^{u_k(x,h)} \frac{z^s}{1+z^s} dz \psi_R^p(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, k^{N\mu} h) dx,$$

hence by (2.7)–(2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & \sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^{u_k(x,t)} \frac{z^s}{1+z^s} dz dx + \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p \psi_R^p dx d\tau \\
 & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x, k^{N\mu} h) dx + c \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_k^{p+s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^{2-p}} |D\psi_R|^p dx d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because  $u_k \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times (h, T)) \cap L^1(S_T)$ ,  $p + m - 2 > 0$ , we have

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_h^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_k^{p+s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^{2-p}} |D\psi_R|^p dx d\tau = 0.$$

Let  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$  in (2.10). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^{u_k(x,t)} \frac{z^s}{1+z^s} dz dx + \iint_{S_t} \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p dx d\tau \\
 & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.13) \quad \sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{B_{2R}} u_k(x, t) \, dx + \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p \, dx \, d\tau \leq c(R).$$

Let

$$u_1 = \max\{u_k(x, t), 1\}, \quad w = u_1^{(m+p-2-s)/p}.$$

By Sobolev's imbedding inequality (see [6]), for  $\xi \in C_0^1(B_{2R})$ ,  $\xi \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \xi^p w^r \, dx \right)^{1/r} \\ & \leq c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |D(\xi w)|^p \right)^{s/p} \left( \int_{B_{2R}} w^{p/(m+p-2-s)} \, dx \right)^{((1-\theta)(m+p-2-s))/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \left( \frac{m+p-2-s}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{m+p-2-s}{p} \right)^{-1}, \\ r &= \frac{p(m+p-2+p/N-s)}{m+p-2-s}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \iint_{S_T} \xi^p w^r \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq c \iint_{S_T} |D(\xi w)|^p \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad \times \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \left( \int_{B_{2R}} w^{p/(m+p-2-s)} \, dx \right)^{(r-p)(m+p-2-s)/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|Dw|^p \leq c \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p \quad \text{a.e. on } \{u_k \geq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad |Dw| = 0 \quad \text{on } \{u_k \leq 1\},$$

we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \iint_{S_T} |D(\xi w)|^p \, dx \, dt & \leq c \iint_{S_T} (\xi^p |Dw|^p + w^p |D\xi|^p) \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq c \left[ \iint_{S_T} |D\xi|^p u_1^{p+m-2-s} \, dx \, dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p \, dx \, dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.14), (2.15) and (2.13), we get

$$\iint_{S_T} \xi^p u_1^{m+p-2+p/N-s} dx dt \leq c(s, R, |u_0|_{L^1}) \left( 1 + \iint_{S_T} |D\xi|^p u_1^{p+m-2-s} dx dt \right).$$

Let  $\xi = \psi_R^b$ , where  $\psi_R$  is the function satisfying (2.6) and  $b = N(m + p - 2 - s)/p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{S_T} \psi_R^{pb} u_1^{m+p-2+p/N-s} dx dt \\ & \leq c(s, R, |u_0|_{L^1}) \left( 1 + \iint_{S_T} \psi_R^{pb} u_1^{p+m-2+p/N-s} dx dt \right)^{(m+p-2-s)/(m+p-2+p/N-s)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.4) is true.  $\square$

Let  $Q_\varrho = B_\varrho(x_0) \times (t_0 - \varrho^p, t_0)$  with  $t_0 > (2\varrho)^p$  and  $u_{k1} = \max\{u_k, 1\}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Each  $u_k$  satisfies*

$$(2.16) \quad \sup_{Q_\varrho} u_k \leq c(\varrho, s_1) \left( \iint_{Q_{2\varrho}} u_{k1}^{p+m-3+s_1} dx dt \right)^{1/s_1},$$

where  $c(\varrho, s_1)$  depends on  $\varrho$  and  $s_1$ , and  $s_1$  can be any number satisfying  $0 < s_1 < 1 + p/N$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Each  $u_k$  satisfies*

$$(2.17) \quad \int_\tau^T \int_{B_R} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^p dx dt \leq c(\tau, R), \quad \int_\tau^T \int_{B_R} |u_{kt}|^p dx dt \leq c(\tau, R).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 and 2.2,  $u_k$  are uniformly bounded on every compact set  $K \subset S_T$ . Let  $\psi_R$  be a function satisfying (2.6) and let  $\xi \in C_0^1(0, T + 1)$  with  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ ,  $\xi = 1$  if  $t \in (\tau, T)$ . We choose  $\eta = \psi_R^p \xi u_k$  in (2.5) to obtain

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k^2(x, T) \psi_R^p dx + \iint_{S_T} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^p \psi_R^p \xi dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{S_T} u_k^2 \xi' \psi_R^p dx dt - p \iint_{S_T} u_k^m |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k \cdot D\psi_R \psi_R^{p-1} \xi dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \iint_{S_T} u_k^m |Du_k|^{p-1} |D\psi_R| \psi_R^{p-1} \xi dx dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon \iint_{S_T} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^p \psi_R^p \xi dx dt + c(\varepsilon) \iint_{S_T} u_k^{p+m-1} |D\psi_R|^p \xi dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.18), (2.19), one knows that the first inequality of (2.17) is true.



Now we will prove the second inequality of (2.17). Let

$$v(x, t) = u_{kr}(x, t) = ru_k(x, r^{m+p-3}t), \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

Then

$$(2.20) \quad v_t(x, t) = \operatorname{div}(v^{m-1}|Dv|^{p-2}Dv) - r^{m+p-2-q}k^{-v}v^q,$$

$$(2.21) \quad v(x, 0) = ru_k(x, 0).$$

Notice that  $r^{m+p-2-q}k^{-v} > k^{-v}$  using the argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1 of [12], one can prove

$$u_k \geq u_{kr}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{u_k(x, r^{m+p-3}t) - u_k(x, t)}{(r^{m+p-3} - 1)t} \geq \frac{r - 1}{(1 - r^{m+p-3})t} u_k(x, r^{m+p-3}t).$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we get

$$(2.22) \quad u_{kt} \geq -\frac{u_k}{(m+p-3)t}.$$

Denote  $w = t^\beta u_k(x, t)$ ,  $\beta = 1/(m+p-3)$ . By (2.22),  $w_t \geq 0$ . By (2.1),

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_\tau^T \int_{B_{2R}} t^\beta w_t \psi_R \, dx \, dt \\ &= - \int_\tau^T \int_{B_{2R}} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k \cdot D\psi_R \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_\tau^T \int_{B_{2R}} k^{-v} u_k^q \psi_R \, dx \, dt + \beta \int_\tau^T \int_{B_{2R}} t^{-1} u_k(x) \psi_R \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq \frac{\beta}{\tau} \int_\tau^T \int_{B_{2R}} u_k \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + \left( \int_\tau^T \int_{B_{2R}} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^p \, dx \, dt \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_\tau^T \int_{B_{2R}} |D\psi_R|^p \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.13), (2.16) and (2.23) we obtain (2.17).  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

By Lemmas 2.1–2.3 and [2], there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}$  of  $\{u_k\}$  and a function  $v$  such that on every compact set  $K \subset S$

$$u_{k_j} \rightarrow v \text{ in } C(K), \quad Du_{k_j}^m \rightharpoonup Dv^m \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^p(S_T), \quad |u_{kt}|_{L_{\text{loc}}^1(S_T)} \leq c.$$

Similar to what was done in the proof of Theorem 2 in [12], we can prove that  $v$  satisfies (1.1) in the sense of distributions.

We now prove  $v(x, 0) = c\delta(x)$ . Let  $\chi \in C_0^1(B_R)$ . Then we have

$$(2.24) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k(x, t)\chi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_k \chi \, dx \\ = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k \cdot D\chi \, dx \, ds - k^{-\nu} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k^q \chi \, dx \, ds.$$

To estimate  $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k \cdot D\chi \, dx \, ds$ , without losing generality, one can assume that  $u_k > 0$ . By Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.25) \quad \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k \cdot D\chi \, dx \, ds \right| \\ \leq c \left( \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} \frac{u_k^{s+m-2}}{(1+u_k^s)^2} |Du_k|^p \, dx \, dt \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\ \times \left( \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} (1+u_k^s)^{2(p-1)} u_k^{(p-1)(2-s-m)} \, dx \, d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ \leq c \left( \int_0^t \int_{B_{2R}} (u_{k1}^{(p-1)(2-s-m)} + u_{k1}^{(p-1)(2+s-m)}) \, dx \, d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ \leq c \left( \int_0^t \int_{B_{2R}} (u_{k1}^{(p-1)(2-s-m)})^{\frac{m+p-2+p/N-s}{(p-1)(s+2-m)}} \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{(p-1)(s-2-m)}{m+p-2+p/N-s} \frac{1}{p}} t^d,$$

where  $s \in (0, 1/N)$ ,  $d = ((m-s-1)Np + (s-2)N + p - s + 2) / ((m+p-2)N + p - s) < 1$  because  $p > (N+3)/(2N+1)$ ,  $u_{k1} = \max(u_k, 1)$ .

Hence from (2.24) we get

$$(2.26) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k(x, t)\chi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_k \chi \, dx + k^{-\nu} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k^q \chi \, dx \, ds \right| \\ = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k(x, t)\chi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_k \chi(k^{-1}x) \, dx + \int_0^{N\mu t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_k^q \chi(k^{-1}x) \, dx \, d\tau \right| \leq ct^d.$$

Letting now  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(x, t)\chi \, dx = \chi(0) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) \, dx - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^q \, dx \, dt \right).$$

Thus

$$v(x, 0) = c\delta(x), \quad c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) \, dx - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^q \, dx \, dt,$$

$v(x, t)$  is a solution of (1.3)–(1.4). By the assumption on uniqueness of solution, we have  $v(x, t) = E_c(x, t)$  and the whole sequence  $\{u_k\}$  converges to  $E_c$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Set  $t = 1$ . Then

$$u_k(x, 1) = k^N u(kx, k^{N\mu}) \rightarrow E_c(x, 1)$$

uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Thus writing  $kx = k'$ ,  $k^{N\mu} = t'$ , and dropping the prime again, we see that

$$t^{1/\mu} u(x, t) \rightarrow E_c(xt^{1/(N\mu)}, 1) = t^{1/\mu} E_c(x, t)$$

uniformly on the sets  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq at^{1/(N\mu)}\}$ ,  $a > 0$ . Thus Theorem 1.3 is true.  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.4 AND 1.5

Let  $u$  be a solution of (1.1)–(1.2) and let  $u_k(x, t) = k^\delta u(kx, k^\beta t)$ ,  $k > 0$ . If  $\delta = 1/(q - (m + p - 2))$ ,  $\beta = (q - 1)/(q - (m + p - 2))$ , then

$$(3.1) \quad u_{kt} = \operatorname{div}(u_k^{m-1} |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k) - u_k^q,$$

$$(3.2) \quad u_k(x, 0) = \varphi_k(x) = k^\delta \varphi(kx).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *The solution  $u_k$  of (3.1)–(3.2) satisfies*

$$(3.3) \quad u_k(x, t) \leq C^* t^{-1/(q-1)}, \quad C^* = \left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)^{1/(q-1)}.$$

*Proof.* We consider the regularized problem of (3.1), namely,

$$(3.4) \quad u_{kt} = \operatorname{div}((u_k^{m-1} + \varepsilon)(|Du_k|^2 + \varepsilon)^{(p-2)/2} Du_k) - u_k^q.$$

By the uniqueness of the solution of (3.1)–(3.2), we can prove that

$$u_{k\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_k \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } C(K)$$

on every compact set  $K \subset S$ , where  $u_{k\varepsilon}$  are the solutions of (3.4), (3.2). By computation, it is easy to show that  $C^*(t - t_0)^{-1/(q-1)}$  is a solution of (3.4) in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times (t_0, \infty)$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ . For any  $\delta_1 > 0$ , we choose  $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta_1)$  such that

$$|u_{k\varepsilon}(x, \delta_1)|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C^*(\delta_1 - \delta_0)^{-1/(q-1)}.$$

Hence by the comparison principle, we have

$$u_{k\varepsilon}(x, t) \leq C^*(t - t_0)^{-1/(q-1)}, \quad t > \delta_1.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed by letting  $\delta_1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Each  $u_k$  satisfies*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\tau}^T \int_{B_R} |Du_k|^p \leq c(\tau, R), \quad \int_{\tau}^T \int_{B_R} |u_{kt}| \, dx \, dt \leq c(\tau, R),$$

where  $\tau \in (0, T)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of Lemma 3.2 is similar to that of Lemma 2.3. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* By Lemma 3.1,  $\{u_k\}$  are uniformly bounded on every compact set of  $S$ . Hence by [2], there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}$  and a function  $U \in C(S)$  such that

$$u_{k_j} \rightarrow U \quad \text{in } C(K)$$

and

$$U(x, t) \leq C^*t^{-1/(q-1)}.$$

We now prove that  $U(x, t) = C^*t^{-1/(q-1)}$ . Let us introduce the function

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi_k^A = \min\{\varphi_k, A\}$$

and denote by  $V_{K\varepsilon}^A$  the solution of (3.4) with initial value (3.6). By the comparison principle,

$$(3.7) \quad V_{K\varepsilon}^A \leq u_{k\varepsilon},$$

where  $u_{k\varepsilon}$  is the solution of (3.4), (3.2).

Define

$$V_A = C^* \left( t + \frac{A^{1-q}}{q-1} \right)^{-1/(q-1)},$$

which is the solution of (3.4) with initial value

$$(3.8) \quad V_A(x, 0) = A.$$

Notice that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k^A(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ A, \frac{\varphi(kx)|kx|^\alpha k^{\delta-\alpha}}{|x|^\alpha} \right\} = A.$$

Using the uniqueness of solution of (3.4), (3.8), we can prove (see [6])

$$V_{k\varepsilon}^A \rightarrow V_A \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } C(K),$$

where  $K$  is a compact set in  $S$ . Moreover, by [2] and [12]

$$V_{k\varepsilon}^A \rightarrow V_k^A u_{k\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_k \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } C(K)$$

uniformly in  $K$ , where  $V_k^A$  is the solution of (1.1) with initial value (3.6). It follows that

$$V_k^A \rightarrow V_A \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } C(K).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in turn in (3.7), we get

$$V_A(x, t) \leq V_\infty(x, t) = C^* t^{-1/(q-1)} \quad \text{in } S.$$

Since the lower bound holds for every  $A > 0$ , we conclude that

$$U(x, t) = V_\infty(x, t) = C^* t^{-1/(q-1)} \quad \text{in } S.$$

Thus

$$k^{p/(q-(m+p-2))} u(kx, k^\beta t) \rightarrow C^* t^{-1/(q-1)} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Set  $t = 1$ . Then

$$k^{p/(q-(m+p-2))} u(kx, k^\beta) \rightarrow C^* \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Therefore if we set  $kx = x'$ ,  $k^\beta = t'$ , and omit the primes, we obtain

$$t^{1/(q-1)} u(x, t) \rightarrow C^* \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on sets  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq \alpha t^{1/\beta}\}$  with  $\alpha > 0$  for  $t > 0$  and so Theorem 1.4 is proved.  $\square$

**P r o o f** of Theorem 1.5. By Lemma 3.1 and [2], there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{k_j}\}$  and a function  $U \in C(S)$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad u_{k_j} \rightarrow U \quad \text{in } C(K).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we can prove that  $U$  satisfies (1.1) in the sense of distributions in a manner similar to Theorem 2 of [12].  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author most sincerely thanks his advisor, Professor Zhao Junning, for all his inspiring guidance, constructive discussions, and encouragement in preparation of this paper.

#### References

- [1] *E. Di Benedetto*: Degenerate Parabolic Equations. Springer, New York, 1993.
- [2] *A. V. H. Ivanov*: Hölder estimates for quasilinear doubly degenerate parabolic equations. *J. Sov. Math.* 56 (1991), 2320–2347.
- [3] *A. S. Kalashnikov*: Some problems of nonlinear parabolic equations of second order. *Uspekhi Math. Nauk* 42 (1987), 135–176.
- [4] *S. Kamin, J. L. Vazquez*: Fundamental solutions and asymptotic behaviour for the  $p$ -Laplacian equation. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 4 (1988), 339–354.
- [5] *O. A. Ladyzhenskaya*: New equations for the description of motion of viscous incompressible fluids and solvability in the large of boundary value problem for them. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 102 (1967), 95–118.
- [6] *O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, N. N. Ural'tseva*: Linear and Quasilinear Equation of Parabolic Type. *Trans. Math. Monographs* 23. American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence, 1968.
- [7] *J. Manfredi, V. Vespri*: Large time behavior of solutions to a class of doubly nonlinear parabolic equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 1994/02 (1994), 1–16.
- [8] *T. Masayoshi*: On solutions of some doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations with absorption. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 132 (1988), 187–212.
- [9] *M. Winkler*: Large time behavior of solutions to degenerate parabolic equations with absorption. *NoDEA, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* 8 (2001), 343–361.
- [10] *Z. Wu, J. Zhao, J. Yin, H. Li*: *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [11] *J. Yang, J. Zhao*: The asymptotic behavior of solutions of some doubly degenerate nonlinear parabolic equations. *Northeast. Math. J.* 11 (1995), 241–252.
- [12] *J. Zhao, H. Yuan*: The Cauchy problem of some nonlinear doubly degenerate parabolic equations. *Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. A* 16 (1995), 181–196.

*Author's address:* H. Zhan, School of Sciences, Jimei University, Xiamen 361021, P. R. China, e-mail: [hszhan@jmu.edu.cn](mailto:hszhan@jmu.edu.cn).