Supporting Information

LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄: a 4d transition-metal oxide-hydride containing metal hydride sheets.

Lun Jin, Michael Lane, Dihao Zeng, Franziska K. K. Kirschner, Franz Lang, Pascal Manuel, Stephen J. Blundell, John E. McGrady and Michael A. Hayward*

Table of Contents

1. Synthesis of LaSr₃NiRuO₈

2. Structural characterization of LaSr₃NiRuO₈.

Table S1. Structural parameters from the refinement $LaSr_3NiRuO_8$ againstsynchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data.

Figure S1. Observed, calculated and difference plots from the structural refinement of $LaSr_3NiRuO_8$ (space group *I4/mmm*) against synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data collected at 300 K.

Table S2. Selected bond lengths from the refined structure of $LaSr_3NiRuO_8$.

3. Magnetic characterisation of LaSr₃NiRuO₈.

Figure S2. Zero-field-cooled and field-cooled magnetisation data collected from $LaSr_3NiRuO_8$ in an applied field of 100 Oe. Inset shows fit to the Curie-Weiss law. **Figure S3.** Magnetisation data collected as a function of applied field from $LaSr_3NiRuO_8$.

4. Topochemical reduction of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ with CaH₂.

5. Preparation of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄

6. Structural and chemical characterization of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄.

Table S3. Structural parameters from the refinement of $LaSr_3NiRuO_4H_4$ against neutron powder diffraction data collected at 300 K.

Table S4. Anisotropic displacement factors from the structural the refinement of $LaSr_3NiRuO_4H_4$ against neutron powder diffraction data collected at 300 K.

Table S5. Selected bond lengths from the refined structure of LaSr₃NiRuO₈.

Figure S4. Observed calculated and difference plots from the refinement of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄ against neutron powder diffraction data collected at 300 K. Lower tick marks indicate peak positions of the majority phase, upper tick marks CaO. Arrows marks a series of weak reflections which can be indexed using a cubic cell, a = 8.25 Å, due to an unidentified impurity phase

7. Magnetic characterization of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄

Figure S5. Magnetisation of a $LaSr_3NiRuO_4H_4$ sample measured as a function of applied field at 300 K. A linear fit to high-field region (H > 20000 Oe) yields a gradient which is the paramagnetic susceptibility of the sample, and an intercept which is the saturated ferromagnetic moment of the sample.

8. μ⁺SR experimental details

9. Computational details

Figure S6. $2\sqrt{2} \times 2\sqrt{2} \times 1$ expanded unit cell used in the computational model. Grey: La; Brown: Sr; Green: Ni; Yellow: Ru; Red: O; Purple: H.

Figure S7. Structures, total energies and Ni/Ru DOS for the chequerboard, striped and double-striped arrangements of Ni/Ru in the unit cell.

Figure S8. DOS for the chequerboard structure, including H^- and O^{2-} DOS as well as Ru and Ni.

1. Synthesis of LaSr₃NiRuO₈

Samples of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ were prepared by a high-temperature ceramic method. Suitable stoichiometric ratios of La₂O₃ (99.999%, dried at 900°C), SrCO₃ (99.994%), RuO₂ (99.99%, dried at 800°C) and NiO (99.998%) were ground together using an agate mortar and pestle, transferred into an alumina crucible, and then heated at a rate of 1 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ to 1000°C in air to decompose the carbonate. The sample was then reground, pressed into pellets and then heated for 3 periods of 48 hours at 1300°C in air, with grinding between heating periods.

2. Structural characterization of LaSr₃NiRuO₈.

Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data were collected from a sample of LaSr₃NiRuO₈, contained within a 0.3mm capillary, using the I11 diffractometer at the Diamond Light Source, UK. These data could be readily indexed using a body-centred tetragonal unit cell with lattice parameters: a = 3.89044(2) Å and c = 12.62974(7) Å, consistent with an n = 1 Ruddlesden-Popper structure. A structural model was constructed, based on an n = 1, A₂BO₄ Ruddlesden-Popper phase with a 1:3 La:Sr solid-solution on the A-site and a 1:1 Ni:Ru solid-solution on the B-site. This model was refined against the data to achieve a good statistical fit. A full description of the refined structural parameters is given in Table S1, with a plot of the observed and calculated data shown in Figure S1. Selected bond lengths are tabulated in Table S2.

	X	y	Z	Fraction	U _{iso} (Å ²)
La/Sr(1)	0	0	0.35709(2)	0.25/0.75	0.0090(1)
Ni/Ru(1)	0	0	0	0.5/0.5	0.0040(2)
O(1)	0	0	0.1656(1)	1	0.0133(4)
O(2)	1⁄2	0	0	1	0.0060(6)
LaSr ₃ NiRuO ₈ space group <i>I</i> 4/ <i>mmm</i> (#139)					
Formula weight : 689.53 g mol ⁻¹ , $Z = 2$					
<i>a</i> = 3.8904(1) Å, <i>c</i> = 12.6297(1) Å, Volume = 191.16(1) Å ³					
Radiation source: Synchrotron X-ray, $\lambda = 0.82626(1)$					
Temperature: 298 K					
$\chi^2 = 9.87$; wRp = 5.67 %; Rp = 3.63 %.					

Table S1. Structural parameters from the refinement LaSr₃NiRuO₈ against synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data.



Figure S1. Observed, calculated and difference plots from the structural refinement of $LaSr_3NiRuO_8$ (space group *I*4/*mmm*) against synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data collected at 300 K.

Cation	Anion	length (Å)
La/Sr(1)	O(1)	2.418(1) × 1
	O(1)	2.766(1) × 4
	O(2)	2.654(1) × 4
Ni/Ru(1)	O(1)	2.091(1) × 2
	O(2)	1.945(1) × 4

Table S2. Selected bond lengths from the refined structure of LaSr₃NiRuO₈.

3. Magnetic characterisation of LaSr₃NiRuO₈.

Zero-field-cooled and field-cooled magnetisation data collected from LaSr₃NiRuO₈ as a function of temperature in an applied field of 100 Oe, using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer, are shown in Figure S2. Data in the range 150 < T/K < 300can be fitted to the Curie-Weiss law ($\chi = C/(T - \theta)$) to yield values of C = 2.73(1) cm³ K mol⁻¹, $\theta = -91.5(2)$ K. The zero-field-cooled and field cooled data diverge below T = 55 K, consistent with the onset of canted antiferromagnetic order. Magnetisation data collected at 300 K as a function of applied field (Figure S3) are linear and pass through the origin. Analogous data collected at 5 K after cooling in an applied field of 50000 Oe exhibit hysteresis consistent with weak ferromagnetism.



Figure S2. Zero-field-cooled and field-cooled magnetisation data collected from $LaSr_3NiRuO_8$ in an applied field of 100 Oe. Inset shows fit to the Curie-Weiss law.



Figure S3. Magnetisation data collected as a function of applied field from LaSr₃NiRuO₈.

4. Topochemical reduction of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ with CaH₂.

Topochemical reduction of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ was performed using CaH₂ as a solid-state reducing agent. Small scale test reactions were performed by mixing ~300 mg of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ with 8 mole equivalents of CaH₂ in an argon-filled glove box. The mixtures were then sealed under vacuum in silica ampoules and then heated for two day periods in the range 300 - 500 ^oC.

X-ray powder diffraction data indicated that at temperatures below 350 °C there was no reaction. Heating at 400 °C lead to the conversion of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ into a bodycentred orthorhombic phase (a = 3.907 Å, b = 3.789 Å, c = 12.689 Å) determined by thermogravimetric reoxidation to be LaSr₃NiRuO₇. Raising the temperature to 425 °C led to further reaction to form another body-centred orthorhombic phase (a = 3.853Å, b = 3.593 Å, c = 13.011 Å) determined by thermogravimetric reoxidation to be LaSr₃NiRuO₆. Raising the temperature to 450 °C led to a final reaction to from a body-centred tetragonal phase (a = 3.622 Å, c = 13.317 Å) which will be shown to be LaSrNiRuO₄H₄. Reactions performed at temperatures above 450 °C led to decomposition to form Ni, Ru, La₂O₃ and SrO.

5. Preparation of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄

A sample of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄ suitable for analysis by neutron powder diffraction was prepared by grinding together approximately 1.5 g of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ with 0.4 g of CaH₂ in an argon filled glovebox using an agate mortar and pestle. The resulting powders were sealed in a silica ampoule and heated at 450 °C for 3 periods of 7 days, with the sample being reground between heating periods. Once the reaction was deemed complete, the reaction mixture was washed, under nitrogen, with 8 × 25 ml of 0.1 M solution of NH₄Cl in methanol in order to remove the CaO formed as a by-product of the reaction and any unreacted CaH₂. This was then followed by washing with 5 × 25 ml of clean methanol to dissolve NH₄Cl residues. The sample was then dried under vacuum.

6. Structural and chemical characterization of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄.

Time-of-flight neutron powder diffraction data (WISH, ISIS) collected from LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄ could be indexed using a body-centred tetragonal unit cell with lattice parameters a = 3.6226(2) Å, c = 13.317(1) Å. A model based on the structure of LaSr₃NiRuO₈ was refined against the data but gave a poor fit until the occupancies of the anion sites were refined. It was observed that the 'equatorial' anion site occupancy rapidly refined to a negative value, indicating the presence of hydrogen at this position (H^1 neutron scattering length = -3.73 fm). Once the atom on this site had been changed to a hydride ion, the occupancy refined to unity, within error, confirming the stoichiometry of the phase as LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄. Close inspection of the data revealed weak diffraction features corresponding to a small amount of CaO which had not been removed during the washing procedure, so this was added to the model as a second phase. Contributions from a very small quantity of an unidentified cubic impurity phase (a = 8.25 Å) were also observed in the data, but these were too weak to model. The refinement converged smoothly to give a good statistical fit (χ^2 = 3.855), and it was possible to refine anisotropic displacement ellipsoids for all atoms. The refined structural parameters are detailed in Tables S3 and S4, with a plot of the observed and calculated data shown in Figure S4.

	X	У	Z	Fraction	U _{iso}
					equiv.(Å ²)
La/Sr(1)	0	0	0.3528(1)	0.25/0.75	0.011
Ni/Ru(1)	0	0	0	0.5/0.5	0.034
O(1)	0	0	0.1658(2)	1	0.027
H(1)	1/2	0	0	1	0.069
	LaSr ₃ NiRuO ₄ H ₄ space group <i>I</i> 4/ <i>mmm</i> (#139)				
Formula weight : $629.57 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, Z = 2					
Mass fraction: 97.3(2)%					
<i>a</i> = 3.6226(2) Å, <i>c</i> = 13.317(1) Å, Volume = 174.77(4) Å ³					
CaO space group Fm-3m (#225)					
Formula weight : 56.07 g mol ⁻¹ , $Z = 4$					
Mass fraction: 2.7(2)%					
<i>a</i> = 4.8129(4) Å, Volume = 111.48(2) Å ³					
Radiation source: Neutron time of flight					
Temperature: 298 K					
$\chi^2 = 3.855; \ wRp = 1.78 \ \%; \ Rp = 1.36 \ \%.$					

Table S3. Structural parameters from the refinement of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄ against neutron powder diffraction data collected at 300 K.

	U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃
La/Sr(1)	0.0068(11)	0.0068(11)	0.0194(25)
Ni/Ru(1)	0.0333(16)	0.0333(16)	0.0354(37)
O(1)	0.0205(10)	0.0205(10)	0.0409(23)
H(1)	0.0595(33)	0.0899(32)	0.0584(31)

Table S4. Anisotropic displacement factors from the structural the refinement of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄ against neutron powder diffraction data collected at 300 K.

Cation	Anion	length (Å)
La/Sr(1)	O(1)	2.490(3) × 1
	O(1)	2.574(1) × 4
	H(1)	2.669(1) × 4
Ni/Ru(1)	O(1)	2.208(3) × 2
	H(1)	1.811(1) × 4

Table S5. Selected bond lengths from the refined structure of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄.



Figure S4. Observed calculated and difference plots from the refinement of $LaSr_3NiRuO_4H_4$ against neutron powder diffraction data collected at 300 K. Lower tick marks indicate peak positions of the majority phase, upper tick marks CaO. Arrows marks a series of weak reflections which can be indexed using a cubic cell, a = 8.25 Å, due to an unidentified impurity phase

7. Magnetic characterization of LaSr₃NiRuO₄H₄

Procedure used to measure the magnetization of samples containing elemental nickel:

The magnetization of elemental nickel is observed to saturate in applied magnetic fields of more than 2 T. Thus the paramagnetic susceptibility of a bulk sample can be measured in the presence of elemental nickel impurities by measuring the gradient of magnetization-field isotherms in applied fields larger than 2 T. As shown in Figure S5.

To this end the magnetization of samples was measured in a series of 7 fields between 2 T and 5 T, using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer. The magnetization vs. field data were fitted to a linear function, the gradient of which is the paramagnetic susceptibility of the bulk sample and the intercept is the saturated ferromagnetic moment of the sample. Data points with large errors were excluded from fits. All fits had at least 5 data points. This procedure was repeated at 5 K intervals between 5 K and 300 K to measure the temperature dependent susceptibility of samples.



Figure S5. Magnetisation of a $LaSr_3NiRuO_4H_4$ sample measured as a function of applied field at 300 K. A linear fit to high-field region (H > 20000 Oe) yields a gradient which is the paramagnetic susceptibility of the sample, and an intercept which is the saturated ferromagnetic moment of the sample.

8. μ⁺SR experimental details

The μ^+SR experiments were carried out at the Swiss Muon Source, PSI, Switzerland. In a μ^+SR experiment, spin-polarised muons were implanted in the bulk of a material and the time-dependence of their polarisation monitored by recording the angular distribution of the subsequent positron decay.

9. Computational details

All density functional calculations were performed using the VASP software package (VASP 5.3). The PBE functional was used, along with Hubbard U values (U_{eff}) of 6.0 eV on Ni and 3.0 eV on Ru to describe the effect of strong correlations. A planewave cutoff of 600 eV was imposed in all cases, and the Brillouin zone was sampled on a 5 x 5 x 3 Γ -centered grid. A 2 $\sqrt{2}$ x 2 $\sqrt{2}$ x 1 expansion of the primitive unit cell was used (Figure S6), which contains eight formula units. Neither the transition metal ions (Ni¹⁺/Ru²⁺) nor the type-A cations (Sr^{2+}/La^{3+}) are distinguished by the modelling of the crystallographic data. We have therefore chosen to impose chequerboard order on the Ni/Ru layer: *i.e.* each Ru is surrounded by four Ni and vice versa, and the positions of Ni and Ru alternate along the *c* axis. The eight La³⁺ ions in the $2\sqrt{2}$ x $2\sqrt{2} \times 1$ expanded cell were positioned such that the distances between them are maximised. Several alternative arrangements were tested, with minimal impact on the lattice parameters or the projected density of states (DOS). The DOS shown in Figure 1 corresponds to a magnetic configuration where all Ni¹⁺ spins are parallel within a Ni/RuH₂ layer, but alternate layers are antiferromagnetically aligned (shown by the red arrows in Figure S6). The DOS for a completely ferromagnetic arrangement was essentially identical. We were unable to converge to a configuration where the spins on Ni¹⁺ are aligned anti-parallel to each other – this probably reflects the weak coupling between next nearest-neighbour Ni¹⁺ ions via the intervening RuH₄ units. Optimized lattice parameters from the calculations are a =3.637 Å, c = 13.418 Å compared to crystallographic values of a = 3.6226 Å and c = 10.622613.317 Å, respectively.



Figure S6. $2\sqrt{2} \times 2\sqrt{2} \times 1$ expanded unit cell used in the computational model. Grey: La; Brown: Sr; Green: Ni; Yellow: Ru; Red: O; Purple: H.



Figure S7. Structures, total energies and Ni/Ru DOS for the chequerboard, striped and double-striped arrangements of Ni/Ru in the unit cell.



Figure S8. DOS for the chequerboard structure, including H^- and O^{2-} DOS as well as Ru and Ni.