

Aplikace matematiky

José S. L. Vitória

Latent roots of lambda-matrices, Kronecker sums and matricial norms

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 25 (1980), No. 6, 395–399

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103877>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LATENT ROOTS OF LAMBDA-MATRICES, KRONECKER SUMS AND MATRICIAL NORMS

JOSÉ VITÓRIA

(Received June 7, 1978)

1. GENERALITIES

In this paper we use the Kronecker sum $C \otimes I + I \otimes C$, where C and the unit matrix I are square matrices of the same order.

We shall partition the sum $C \otimes I + I \otimes C$ in four blocks, the diagonal blocks being square, not of the same order, and we shall take a (scalar) norm $\|\cdot\|_i^1 (i = 1, \infty)$ of each block. In this way, we obtain [4, 12, 13, 14, 15] a matricial norm $\phi_i (i = 1, \infty)$, of the referred-to sum. Then we shall calculate the spectral radius of a 2×2 non-negative matrix.

Given a lambda-matrix

$$(1.1) \quad A(\lambda) = I\lambda^n + A_1\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + A_{n-1}\lambda + A_n,$$

where $I, A_i \in M_{p,p}(K)$, let λ denote any latent root of $A(\lambda)$, that is to say, let λ be a zero of $\det A(\lambda)$. We know [1, 3, 6, 7, 11] that λ is an eigenvalue of the block-companion matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -A_n \\ I & 0 & \dots & 0 & -A_{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & -A_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also know [2, 8] that the eigenvalues of $A \otimes I + I \otimes B$ are the sums of the eigenvalues of A and B .

¹⁾ For $B (\beta_{ij}) \in M_{r,s}(K)$, $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , we let

$$\|B\|_1: \stackrel{(\text{def.})}{=} \text{Max}_{j=1,2,\dots,s} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r |\beta_{ij}| \right\}, \|B\|_\infty: \stackrel{(\text{def.})}{=} \text{Max}_{i=1,2,\dots,r} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^s |\beta_{ij}| \right\}.$$

Also needed is a result stating that, if $\phi(\mathbf{M})$ is a matricial norm of a matrix \mathbf{M} , then [4, 12, 13, 14, 15] $\varrho(\mathbf{M}) \leq \varrho(\phi(\mathbf{M}))$, $\varrho(\mathbf{M})$ being the spectral radius of the matrix \mathbf{M} .

2. IN THIS SECTION WE DETERMINE UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE ABSOLUTE VALUES OF THE LATENT ROOTS λ OF THE LAMBDA-MATRIX (1.1)

Partitioning in the way indicated, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{C} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} \Delta\mathbf{C} & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \dots & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \Delta(-\mathbf{A}_n) \\ \Delta\mathbf{I} & \Delta\mathbf{C} & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \dots & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \Delta(-\mathbf{A}_{n-1}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Delta\mathbf{0} & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \dots & \Delta\mathbf{C} & \Delta(-\mathbf{A}_2) \\ \hline \Delta\mathbf{0} & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \Delta\mathbf{0} & \dots & \Delta\mathbf{I} & \Delta(-\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{I}) + \Delta\mathbf{C} \end{array} \right],$$

where $\Delta\mathbf{A} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{A}, \dots, \mathbf{A})$ with the suitable order. (See also [17].)

Taking the (scalar) norm $\|\cdot\|_i$, ($i = 1, \infty$), of each of the four indicated blocks, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \phi_i(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{C}) \leq \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i & \alpha_i \\ 1 & \|\mathbf{A}_1\|_i + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad (i = 1, \infty),$$

where

$$\alpha_i := \left\| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_n \\ \mathbf{A}_{n-1} \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \end{array} \right\|_i, \quad (i = 1, \infty).$$

The matrix (2.2) is a 2-square, non-negative matrix, and we can calculate its eigenvalues. As we have

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varrho[\phi_i(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{C})],$$

it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \varrho \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i & \alpha_i \\ 1 & \|\mathbf{A}_1\|_i + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad (i = 1, \infty),$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \left\| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_n \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \end{array} \right\|_i, \quad (i = 1, \infty).$$

2.1. Numerical Example

Let us take the lambda-matrix

$$\mathbf{A}(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}\lambda^3 + \mathbf{A}_1\lambda^2 + \mathbf{A}_2\lambda + \mathbf{A}_3,$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -19 & -57 \\ 0 & -76 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & 140 \\ 0 & 160 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\|\mathbf{A}_1\|_\infty = 6, \quad \|\mathbf{A}_2\|_\infty = 76, \quad \|\mathbf{A}_3\|_\infty = 160, \quad \|\mathbf{C}\|_\infty = 160$$

and

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varrho \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 + 160 & 160 \\ 1 & 4 + 160 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

implies

$$|\lambda| \leq 87.62,$$

which is a much better result than that given by $|\lambda| \leq \|\mathbf{C}\|_\infty$ (in our case).

Remark. The bounds given by (2.3) can be better than other bounds. For example, we have the bound [16]

$$|\lambda| \leq \text{Max}_{i=1, \dots, n} \left\{ \frac{\|\mathbf{A}_{i+1}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{A}_i\|_\infty} \right\} + \|\mathbf{A}_1\|_\infty.$$

For the above numerical example one obtains

$$|\lambda| \leq 89.5.$$

2.2. Particular case: polynomials with complex coefficients.

For the polynomial

$$p(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

we obtain using the same argument, \mathbf{C} being the companion matrix of $p(z)$:

$$(2.2.1) \quad \phi_i(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{C}) \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i & \alpha_i \\ 1 & |a_1| + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad (i = 1, \infty),$$

where

$$\alpha_i := \left\| \begin{array}{c} a_n \\ a_{n-1} \\ \dots \\ a_2 \end{array} \right\|_i, \quad (i = 1, \infty).$$

As

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varrho[\phi_i(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{C})],$$

it follows that

$$(2.2.2) \quad |z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varrho \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i & \alpha_i \\ 1 & |a_1| + \|\mathbf{C}\|_i \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad (i = 1, \infty)$$

with

$$\alpha_i = \left\| \begin{array}{c} a_n \\ a_{n-1} \\ \dots \\ a_2 \end{array} \right\|_i, \quad (i = 1, \infty).$$

Remark. The bound given by (2.2.2) can be better than other known ones. For example, for the polynomial

$$p(z) = z^3 - 3z^2 + z - 2$$

we have

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{C} \otimes I + I \otimes \mathbf{C}) \leq \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\phi_\infty(\mathbf{C} \otimes I + I \otimes \mathbf{C}) \leq \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

which by (2.2.2) yields

$$|z| \leq 3.86.$$

Using the following inequalities for zeros of the polynomial $p(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n$:

Deutsch [4]:

$$|z| \leq \max \{2, |a_n| + |a_1|, |a_{n-1}| + |a_1|, \dots, |a_2| + |a_1|\};$$

Cauchy [4, 9]:

$$|z| \leq \max \{|a_n|, 1 + |a_1|, 1 + |a_2|, \dots, 1 + |a_{n-1}|\};$$

Walsh [10, p. 221]:

$$|z| \leq |a_1| + |a_2|^{1/2} + |a_3|^{1/3} + \dots + |a_n|^{1/n};$$

Kojima [4; 9; 10, p. 221]:

$$|z| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \right|, 2 \left| \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n-2}} \right|, 2 \left| \frac{a_{n-2}}{a_{n-3}} \right| \dots 2 \left| \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right|, 2|a_1| \right\};$$

Carmichael and Mason [15; 10; p. 222]:

$$|z| \leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2};$$

— we have, respectively: $|z| \leq 5.00$; $|z| \leq 4.00$; $|z| \leq 5.41$; $|z| \leq 6.00$; $|z| \leq 3.87$.

References

- [1] *S. Barnett*: Matrices in control theory. Van Nostrand, London 1971.
- [2] *R. Bellman*: Introduction to matrix analysis. 2nd. edit. Mc-Graw Hill, New York, 1970.
- [3] *J. E. Dennis (JR), J. F. Traub, R. P. Weber*: On the matrix polynomial, lambda-matrix and eigenvalue problems. Technical Report 71—109, Depart. Computer Science, Cornell Univ. 1971.
- [4] *E. Deutsch*: Matricial norms. Numer. Math. 16 (1970), 73—84.
- [5] *E. Deutsch*: Matricial norms and the zeros of polynomials. Lin. Alg. Appl. 6 (1973), 143 to 148.
- [6] *F. R. Dias Agudo*: Sobre a equação característica duma matriz. Rev. Fac. Cienc. (Lisboa). Vol. III (1953—1954), 87—136.
- [7] *K. G. Guderley*: On non linear eigenvalue problems for matrices. J. Ind. and Appl. Math. 6 (1958), 335—353.
- [8] *P. Lancaster*: Theory of Matrices. Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [9] *M. Marden*: Geometry of polynomials. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2nd. edition, (Math. Survey n° 3), 1966.
- [10] *D. S. Mitrinovic*: Analytic inequalities. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [11] *M. Parodi*: La localisation des valeurs caractéristiques des matrices et ses applications. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [12] *F. Robert*: Normes vectorielles de vecteurs et de matrices. R.F.T.I. — CHIFFRES, 7, 4 (1964), 261—299.
- [13] *F. Robert*: Sur les normes vectorielles régulières sur un espace de dimension finie. C.R.A.S. Paris, 261 (1965), 5173—5176.
- [14] *F. Robert*: Matrices non-négatives et normes vectorielles. (Cours D.E.A.). Université Scientifique et Médicale, Lyon, 1973.
- [15] *J. Vitória*: Normas vectoriais de vectores e de matrizes. Report. Univ. Lourenço Marques (Mozambique), 1974.
- [16] *J. Vitória*: Matricial norms and lambda-matrices. Rev. Cienc. Mat. (Maputo, Mozambique), 5 (1974—75), 11—30.
- [17] *J. Vitória*: Matricial norms and the differences between the zeros of determinants with polynomial elements. Lin. Alg. its Appl. 28 (1979), 279—283.

Souhrn

LATENTNÍ KOŘENY LAMBDA-MATIC, KRONECKEROVY SOUČTY A MATICOVÉ NORMY

JOSÉ VITÓRIA

Pomocí Kroneckerových součtů a maticových norem je podána metoda určení horní meze pro $|\lambda|$, kde λ je latentní kořen lambda-matic. Speciálně jsou dány horní meze pro $|z|$, kde z je kořen polynomu s komplexními koeficienty. Výsledky jsou porovnány s jinými známými mezemi pro $|z|$.

Author's address: Prof. José Vitória, Centro de Matemática da Universidade de Coimbra, Departamento de Matemática, Coimbra, Portugal.