Lessons Learned from the Anaerobe Survey: Historical Perspective and Review of the Most Recent Data (2005–2007)

David R. Snydman,^{1,2} Nilda V. Jacobus,¹ Laura A. McDermott,¹ Yoav Golan,¹ David W. Hecht,³ Ellie J. C. Goldstein,⁴ Lizzie Harrell,⁶ Stephen Jenkins,^{7,8} Duane Newton,¹⁰ Carl Pierson,¹⁰ John D. Rihs,¹¹ Victor L. Yu,¹¹ Richard Venezia,^{9,12} Sydney M. Finegold,⁵ Jon E. Rosenblatt,¹³ and Sherwood L. Gorbach²

¹Tufts Medical Center and ²Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts; ³Loyola University, Chicago, Illinois; ⁴R. M. Alden Research Laboratories, Santa Monica, and ⁵Wadsworth Veterans Administration, Los Angeles, California; ⁶Duke University Medical Center, Durham, and ⁷Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, North Carolina; ⁸Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York, and ⁹Albany Medical Center, Albany, New York; ¹⁰University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan; ¹¹Pittsburgh Veterans Affairs Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; ¹²University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, Maryland; and ¹³Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

Background. The rationale and lessons learned through the evolution of the National Survey for the Susceptibility of *Bacteroides fragilis* Group from its initiation in 1981 through 2007 are reviewed here. The survey was conceived in 1980 to track emerging antimicrobial resistance in *Bacteroides* species.

Methods. Data from the last 11 years of the survey (1997–2007), including 6574 isolates from 13 medical centers, were analyzed for in vitro antimicrobial resistance to both frequently used and newly developed antianaerobic agents. The minimum inhibitory concentrations of the antibiotics were determined using agar dilution in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute recommendations.

Results. The analyses revealed that the carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem, ertapenem, and doripenem) and piperacillin-tazobactam were the most active agents against these pathogens, with resistance rates of 0.9%-2.3%. In the most recent 3 years of the survey (2005–2007), resistance to some agents was shown to depend on the species, such as ampicillin-sulbactam against *Bacteroides distasonis* (20.6%) and tigecycline against *Bacteroides uniformis* and *Bacteroides eggerthii* (~7%). Very high resistance rates (>50%) were noted for moxifloxacin and trovafloxacin, particularly against *Bacteroides vulgatus*. During that period of study, non–*B. fragilis Bacteroides* species had >40% resistance to clindamycin. Metronidazole-resistant *Bacteroides* strains were also first reported during that period.

Conclusions. In summary, resistance to antibiotics was greater among non–*B. fragilis Bacteroides* species than among *B. fragilis* and was especially greater among species with a low frequency of isolation, such as *Bacteroides* caccae and *B. uniformis*. The emergence of resistance among the non–*B. fragilis Bacteroides* species underscores the need for speciation of *B. fragilis* group isolates and for clinicians to be aware of associations between species and drug resistance.

In 1980, Francis P. Tally and Sherwood L. Gorbach conceived of a national survey of testing susceptibility of *Bacteroides fragilis* and related species to various antimicrobials. The reasons for the survey were the recognition that plasmid-mediated transferable antibiotic resistance to clindamycin could be shown [1], concern

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2010; 50:S26-33

that such transferable resistance might render clindamycin to be ineffective, recognition that susceptibility of *Bacteroides* species to antimicrobials was associated with an improved outcome [2–5], and evidence that different susceptibilities of *Bacteroides* species to antimicrobials depended on geographic location [6]. Finally, because hospital laboratories usually lack the capacity to test *Bacteroides* species for susceptibility, clinicians needed information about regional or national patterns, to choose appropriate agents for empirical therapy.

Approximately 9 health care centers and a number of investigators have been involved in the survey since

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. David R. Snydman, Div. of Geographic Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Tufts Medical Center, 800 Washington St., Box 238, Boston, MA 02111 (dsnydman@tuftsmedicalcenter.org).

^{© 2009} by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2010/5003S1-0006\$15.00 DOI: 10.1086/647940

Variable	1981	1997–2007
No. of health care centers	9	9–10
Method	Tufts Anaerobic Laboratory supplemented brain-heart infusion agar with 1×10^6 inoculum	CLSI (NCCLS before 1997) supplemented brucella blood agar with 1 \times 108 inoculum
Antibiotics tested	Piperacillin, cephalosporins, cephamycins, tetracycline, clindamycin, metronidazole, chloramphenicol	Inhibitor combinations, carbape- nems, cefoxitin, tigecycline, clindamycin, fluoroquinolones, metronidazole, chloramphenicol
Program used for statistical analysis	TRS/80 Model I (Radio Shack)	SAS for Windows (SAS Institute)

Table 1. Overview of the Survey on Bacteroides Species (1981-2007)

NOTE. The Principal Investigators of the study were Francis P. Tally (1981–1986), George J. Cuchural, Jr. (1986–1990), and David R. Snydman (1986–2007). CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; NCCLS, National Committee on Clinical Laboratory Standards.

its beginning (Table 1). In the method used from 1981 through 1997, supplemented brain-heart infusion agar was given an inoculum of 1×10^6 [7]. In 1997, the survey adopted the method recommended that year by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI; formerly, National Committee on Clinical Laboratory Standards); with this method, supplemented brucella blood agar used an inoculum of 1×10^8 [8]. The antibiotics tested are listed in Table 1. Over the last 27 years of the survey, the test antibiotics changed as newer agents were introduced. The agents that were continuously tested were clindamycin, cefoxitin, metronidazole, and chloramphenicol. Statistical analysis was first performed using a TRS/80 Model 1 computer from Radio Shack. There is some irony that contemporary wristwatches probably have more computing power than this early version of the TRS/80. Current analyses are performed using SAS, version 8.01, for Windows (SAS Institute).

In the early 1980s, among isolates of the *Bacteroides* species (which were analyzed as a group), 6% were resistant to clindamycin and 8% were resistant to cefoxitin [9, 10]. In contrast, by 2004, clindamycin resistance had increased to 31.6%, although cefoxitin resistance remained relatively constant at 8% [11]. Table 2 shows the changes in susceptibility that occurred over the 3 decades of the study.

The survey group has been responsible for >15 publications [6, 9–21], and a number of themes and principles have emerged. One is that the susceptibility of *Bacteroides* species to antimicrobials is important to outcome, even in the presence of mixed infections. For instance, in the context of *Bacteroides* bacteremia due to mixed infection, the survey group revealed that outcome and susceptibility are related [5]. The survey group has been instrumental in establishing susceptibility criteria for certain antibiotics for the CLSI. For drug development studies, the survey has provided a framework for activity that provides pharmaceutical companies with data for further clinical development [22]. In addition, the group has made major

contributions toward the use of different media and testing methods [23]. For this symposium in honor of Frank Tally, MD, we analyzed the data on drug susceptibility of *Bacteroides* species from 1997 through 2007, to examine trends over time for certain drug and organism combinations, as well as regional variation.

METHODS

Medical centers. From 1997 through 2007, isolates were referred from the following medical centers representing various regions of the United States: Albany Medical Center, Albany, New York (1997–2003); Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, North Carolina (1997, 1998, 2003, and 2004); Danbury Hospital, Danbury, Connecticut (1997); Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina (1997–2006); Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, Illinois (1997–2006); New England Medical Center, New York, New York (2000–2006); Pittsburgh Veterans Administration Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (1997–2005); R. M. Alden Research Laboratories, Santa Monica, California (1997–2006); University of Maryland

Table 2.	Percent Resistance of All Species of the Bactero	oides
fragilis G	oup to Select Antibiotics from 1981 through 2007	

	Resistance, %	
1981 - 1989 (<i>n</i> = 1229)	1990–1999 (<i>n</i> = 2080)	2000-2007 ($n = 3140$)
5–6	23	31 to >35
4–8	~12	9
<1	<1	<1
Not tested	<1	<1
Not tested	16	>40
None	None	2 isolates ^a
None	None	None
	1981–1989 (n = 1229) 5–6 4–8 <1 Not tested Not tested None None	Resistance, %1981–1989 $(n = 1229)$ 1990–1999 $(n = 2080)$ 5–6234–8~12<1

^a Not expressed as a percentage because of the small number of isolates.

Medical Center, Baltimore, Maryland (2004–2006); University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1997– 2006); Wadsworth Veterans Administration Hospital, Los Angeles, California (1997–2002); and Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota (2007).

Antimicrobial agents. Standard powders of the antibiotics were obtained from the following manufacturers: cefoxitin, ertapenem, and imipenem from Merck; ampicillin and sulbactam from Pfizer; piperacillin, tazobactam, and tigecycline from Wyeth-Ayerst Research; meropenem from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; doripenem (tested only during 2006–2007) from Johnson & Johnson; moxifloxacin from Bayer Pharmaceuticals; and clindamycin, metronidazole, and chloramphenicol from Sigma-Aldrich.

Bacterial isolates. A total of 6544 nonduplicated clinical isolates of the *B. fragilis* group were referred for susceptibility testing to the Special Studies Laboratory at Tufts Medical Center (Boston, MA) by the medical centers participating in the survey. The isolates were shipped on prereduced agar slants and were stored until the time of testing. Identification of the isolates was confirmed using rapid methodology (API 20A [bio-Mèrieux], RapID Ana II [Remel], or An-IDENT [bioMèrieux]). If results obtained by rapid methods were inconclusive, identification was confirmed by standard methods described in the *Wadsworth Anaerobic Bacteriology Manual* and/or in the *Anaerobic Laboratory Manual* of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute [24–26].

Susceptibility testing. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by agar dilution method in accordance with CLSI recommendations [8, 27, 28]. The plates were prepared on the day of the test with use of enriched brucella agar (brucella agar supplemented with 5% lysed defibrinated sheep red blood cells and 1 µg/mL vitamin K). For the preparation of the inocula, the organisms were grown to logarithmic phase, and the turbidity was adjusted to that of a 0.5 McFarland standard ($\sim 1 \times 10^8$ colony-forming units/mL). The inocula were delivered to the surface of the agar plate with use of a Steers replicator, resulting in an organism concentration of 1×10^5 colony-forming units/spot. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C in an anaerobic chamber for 48 h. B. fragilis American Type Culture Collection 25285 and Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron American Type Culture Collection 29741 were used as controls in all tests. Tests were repeated when the MICs of the control organisms were outside the range specified by the National Committee on Clinical Laboratory Standards (1997-2004) or CLSI (2005-2007) recommendations [28, 29].

Data analysis. Data were stored in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS for Windows, version 8.01 (SAS Institute). Trends for increased or decreased drug resistance over the 10-year study period were determined using the Cochran-Armitage test [30]. Breakpoints for resistance to the antibiotics were those recommended by the CLSI [29]. Breakpoints established by the US Food and Drug Administration for resistance in anaerobes were used for tigecycline [31]. Trends for increased or decreased MICs over time were evaluated using linear regression analysis on the log₁₀ MIC results.

RESULTS

Table 3 shows the distribution, by species, of the 6574 isolates included in the study. *B. fragilis* continued to be the most frequently isolated species during the 10 years of our study; however, there was a trend toward a reduction in the frequency of isolation from a mean of 52% during the first 8 years to a mean of 48% during the final 2 years, in conjunction with an increase to 52% in the frequency of isolation of the non–*B. fragilis Bacteroides* species. *B. thetaiotaomicron* was the second most frequently isolated organism (19.3%). Isolates of *B. ovatus* comprised 10.3% of the total isolates, and 6% were *Bacteroides vulgatus*. *Bacteroides caccae*, a species previously included among the "other" *Bacteroides* category, was isolated at higher frequency, similar to that of *Bacteriodes distasonis* (3.9%) and *Bacteroides uniformis* (4.4%); thus, it is listed separately during the last 2 years of the study.

Understandably, the majority of blood isolates were *B. fragilis.* The majority of the nonblood isolates were found in samples from patients with intra-abdominal infection.

Table 4 shows a summary of the susceptibilities of 1351 isolates referred from 2005 through 2007. Percent resistance was calculated using breakpoints recommended for the respective antibiotic by the CLSI or Food and Drug Administration [28, 30]. For the carbapenems, low resistance was observed in *B. distasonis* and *B. uniformis*. In this class of agents, ertapenem also showed low resistance in *B. ovatus* and *B. thetaiotaomicron*. The activity of piperacillin-tazobactam was similar to that of the carbapenems and higher than that of ampicillin-sulbactam, which continued to show increased resistance in *B. distasonis*. Cefoxitin activity against *B. distasonis* was higher from 2005 through 2007 (11.1%) than it was from 1997 through 2004 (29.9%; data not shown). Resistance of *B. ovatus* to cefoxitin during this period (~18%) was similar to that during previous years.

Tigecycline was the most active antibiotic among the non– β -lactam agents (clindamycin, linezolid, tigecycline, moxifloxacin, and trovafloxacin). The highest resistance to tigecycline was observed among the "other" *Bacteroides* species group. The second most active agent among this group was linezolid, with a resistance ranging from 0% for *B. uniformis* to 11.2% for *B. distasonis* and *B. ovatus*. Resistance of *Bacteroides* species to clindamycin ranged from 14.3% for *B. distasonis* to 49.2% for *B. uniformis*.

More than half of the B. vulgatus isolates were resistant to

						No. (%) (of isolates					
Species	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	AII
Bacteroides caccae ^a	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	21 (4.6)	16 (4.0)	9 (3.0)	46 (3.9)
Bacteroides distasonis	41 (6.4)	52 (6.2)	35 (5.8)	36 (6.1)	17 (3.1)	32 (5.7)	28 (3.9)	33 (4.5)	18 (4.0)	16 (4.0)	26 (5.2)	334 (5.1)
B. fragilis	336 (52.5)	434 (51.5)	314 (52.2)	288 (48.9)	286 (51.7)	277 (49.6)	378 (53.2)	408 (56.0)	203 (44.8)	198 (49.4)	249 (50.1)	3371 (51.3)
Bacteroides ovatus	67 (10.5)	120 (14.3)	57 (9.5)	61 (10.4)	73 (13.2)	45 (8.1)	71 (10.0)	51 (7.0)	45 (9.9)	32 (8.0)	56 (11.3)	678 (10.3)
Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron	102 (15.9)	128 (15.2)	118 (19.6)	136 (23.1)	98 (17.7)	123 (22.0)	152 (21.4)	121 (16.6)	111 (24.5)	83 (20.7)	96 (19.3)	1268 (19.3)
Bacteroides uniformis	44 (6.9)	28 (3.3)	9 (1.5)	11 (1.9)	16 (2.9)	24 (4.3)	23 (3.2)	42 (5.8)	20 (4.4)	21 (5.2)	17 (3.4)	255 (3.9)
Bacteroides vulgatus	32 (5.0)	45 (5.3)	45 (7.5)	35 (5.9)	40 (7.2)	31 (5.6)	29 (4.1)	49 (6.7)	27 (6.0)	27 (6.7)	33 (6.6)	393 (6.0)
Other ^b	18 (2.8)	35 (4.2)	24 (4.0)	22 (3.7)	23 (4.2)	26 (4.7)	29 (4.1)	25 (3.4)	8 (1.8)	8 (2.0)	11 (2.2)	229 (3.5)
All in B. fragilis group	640 (10.4)	842 (13.7)	602 (9.8)	589 (9.6)	553 (9.0)	558 (9.1)	710 (11.6)	729 (12.4)	453 (6.9)	401 (6.1)	497 (7.6)	6574 (100)
Non-B. fragilis Bacteroides species	304 (47.5)	408 (48.5)	288 (47.8)	301 (51.1)	267 (48.3)	281 (50.4)	332 (46.8)	321 (44.0)	250 (55.2)	203 (50.6)	248 (49.9)	3203 (48.7)
^a <i>B. caccae</i> was listed as a separate si	pecies during	2005–2007 o	nlv; durina 19	97–1004, it w	as included i	n the "other"	catedory.					

(1997–2007)
Group
fragilis
Bacteroides
the
Ξ.
Species
e
of ti
Distribution
ň
Table

^b During 1997–2004, "other" includes 150 *B. caccae* isolates, 1 *Bacteroides eggerthi* isolates, 2 *Bacteroides meridae* isolates, 15 *Bacteroides stercoris* isolates, and 1 unidentified isolate. During 2005–2007, "other" includes 14 *B. eggerthi* isolates, 4 *B. meridae* isolates, 1 *Bacteroides nordi* isolate, and 8 other *Bacteroides* isolates.

Species (no. [%] of isolates)	Doripenem ^a	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Amp-Sul	Pip-Tzb	Tigecycline	Cefoxitin	Clindamycin	Moxifloxacin	Trovafloxacin	Linezolid
Bacteroides caccae (46 [35])												
MIC range, mg/mL	0.25-4	0.25–8	<0.125 to 4	<0.125 to 4	1–16	0.5-16	0.25-16	4-128	<0.5 to >128	0.5-64	0.25-16	2–8
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	4	-	2	16	1	4	2	4
MIC _{so} , mg/mL	0.5	2	1	1	16	8	80	32	>128	32	8	4
Resistance, %	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.1	6.1	36.7	40.8	30.6	6.1
Bacteroides distasonis (60 [4.5])												
MIC range, mg/mL	<0.125 to 2	0.25-8	0.25-4	<0.125 to 8	<0.5 to 64	<0.25 to 16	0.25-32	4-32	<0.5 to >128	42.9	0.5-16	28
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	0.5	-	0.5	0.25	œ	00	2	16	1	1	2	4
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	2	2	2	2	32	16	00	32	128	16	00	00
Resistance, %	0	0	0	0	20.6	0	3.2	11.1	14.3	42.9	38.1	11.2
Bacteroides fragilis (650 [47.6])												
MIC range, mg/mL	<0.125 to 16	0.25 to >16	<0.125 to >16	1.1	<0.5 to 128	<0.25 to 512	0.25–32	2-128	<0.5 to >128	0.125-64	0.5-32	1-8
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	4	0.5	1	16	1	1	1	4
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	2	2	0.5	1	16	2	00	32	128	16	œ	4
Resistance, %	1.3	1.4	0.3	1.2	2.8	0.6	4.7	4.1	23.9	32.1	27.9	5.6
Bacteroides ovatus (133 [9.5])												
MIC range, mg/mL	0.25-8	0.25-16	<0.125 to 8	<0.125 to 8	1-64	0.5-32	0.25-16	8-128	<0.5 to >128	0.5-128	0.5-16	1-8
MIC _{so} , mg/mL	0.5	1	0.25	0.25	4	4	1	32	2	4	2	4
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	1	4	1	1	16	00	00	64	>128	32	00	00
Resistance, %	0	2.2	0	0	4.5	0	5.2	17.9	45.5	38.8	16.4	11.2
Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron (290 [22.0])												
MIC range, mg/mL	<0.125 to 8	<0.125 to 16	<0.125 to 8	<0.125 to 8	1–64	0.5 to >128	0.125-32	4 to >128	<0.5 to >128	0.25-64	0.25-128	1-16
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	0.5	1	0.25	0.25	4	80	1	32	4	2	1	4
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	1	4	1	1	16	16	00	32	>128	32	80	ω
Resistance, %	0	1.3	0	0	4.9	0.6	5.8	6.8	39.8	33.0	21.3	10.0
Bacteroides uniformis (58 [4.2])												
MIC range, mg/mL	<0.125 to 2	<0.125 to 32	0.25-16	<0.125 to 32	1–32	0.5-16	0.125-16	2-64	<0.5 to >128	0.5-128	1-16	1-4
MIC ₅₀ , mg/mL	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	4	2	-	16	4	80	2	2
MIC _{so} , mg/mL	1	2	1	1	16	80	00	32	>128	32	00	4
Resistance, %	0	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	0	6.8	10.2	49.2	40.7	33.9	0
Bacteroides vulgatus (87 [6.7])												
MIC range, mg/mL	<0.125 to 2	<0.125 to 4	<0.125 to 8	<0.125 to 4	1–32	0.5 to >128	0.258	2-64	<0.5 to >128	0.25- 128	0.25-64	1-8
MICso, mg/mL	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	4	4	-	œ	1	16	4	2
MIC _{so} , mg/mL	2	2	2	2	16	16	4	32	>128	64	16	4
Resistance, %	0	0	0	0	4.3	1.1	0	7.4	42.6	56.4	54.3	7.4
NOTE Trucatu convec athor Doctoralidae on	ii too ooo ooo	odt ai hohulo	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	toroidoo oco	orthii in olot oo	1 Destoration	o mordon in	10+00 1 Bac	toroidoo pordii	o pag otologi		

Table 4. Susceptibilities of 1351 Isolates in the Bacteroides fragilis Group (2005-2007)

tazobactam (Pip-Tzb); ≥ 32 mg/mL for ampicillin-sulbactam (Amp-Sul); ≥ 64 mg/mL for cefoxitin; and ≥ 8 mg/mL for cilindamycin, moxifloxacin, and trovafloxacin. The breakpoint for resistance for dorigenem was the same as that recommended for other carbapenems (≥ 16 mg/mL), and the breakpoint for resistance for tigecycline was ≥ 16 mg/mL, as recommended by the US Food and Drug Administration. MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MIC₅₀, MIC required to inhibit the growth of 90% of organisms. ^a Doripenem data are for isolates from 2006 and 2007 only.



Figure 1. *A*, Resistance of *Bacteroides* species to selected antibiotics over time (1997–2007). Blue bars indicate an increase in resistance during 1997–2006, blue circles indicate resistance during 2007, and red bars indicate instances in which resistance was lower in 2006 than in 2007. *B*, Resistance of *Bacteroides* species to tigecycline, clindamycin, and moxifloxacin (2000–2007). Blue bars indicate an increase in resistance during 2000–2006, blue circles indicate resistance during 2007, and red bars indicate instances in which resistance was lower in 2000 than in 2006.

moxifloxacin (56.4%) and trovafloxacin (54.3%). Resistance to these 2 fluoroquinolones increased with time for most of the species.

Isolates in the "other" *Bacteroides* species group (*Bacteroides* eggerthii, *Bacteroides merdae*, and *Bacteroides nordii*) showed a relatively high rate of resistance to tigecycline. In this group, we also observed higher rates of resistance to moxifloxacin (29.6%) and to clindamycin (25.9%).

In general, the MICs required to inhibit the growth of 90% of organisms (MIC₉₀) for the carbapenems, piperacillin-tazobactam, and tigecycline against all the species in the group were below the breakpoints for resistance. By comparison, the MIC₉₀ of clindamycin, moxifloxacin, and trovafloxacin were equal to or greater than the breakpoint for resistance against all species. In addition, the MIC required to inhibit the growth of 50% of organisms for both fluoroquinolones against *B. vulgatus* was equal to the resistance breakpoint of 8 μ g/mL. The MIC₉₀ of ampicillin-sulbactam and cefoxitin was equal to the resistance breakpoint against *B. distasonis* and *B. ovatus*, respectively.

Figure 1A and 1B show the rates of resistance over time (1997-2007) for selected antibiotic-species combinations. Fig-

ure 1*A* shows the variation in resistance for both inhibitor combinations to *B. vulgatus*. The data on *B. vulgatus* for the last year (2007) indicate that there was no resistance to piper-acillin-tazobactam, whereas resistance to ampicillin-sulbactam remained approximately the same during 2006 and 2007 (4.7% and 2.9%, respectively). There was an increase in resistance to ampicillin-sulbactam in *B. distasonis* of ~25% over the 11-year study period. Of interest, resistance to cefoxitin in *B. ovatus, B. thetaiotaomicron,* and *B. distasonis* was considerably reduced during the study period. This trend is particularly notable for *B. distasonis*, in which resistance was reduced by >30%.

Figure 1*B* shows resistance rates to tigecycline, clindamycin, and moxifloxacin from 2000 through 2007. Resistance to tigecycline in *B. fragilis* and *B. uniformis* remained stable, although during 2007, the rate was somewhat higher for *B. fragilis* (6.4%) and lower for *B. uniformis* (5.9%) than during the previous year. Resistance to clindamycin increased by ~15% for *B. fragilis* and *B. thetaiotaomicron* (29% and 36.3%, respectively, during 2006). Resistance to moxifloxacin was quite high; it increased to 42.9% for *B. ovatus* during 2007 and to ~30% for *B. fragilis*.

From 2002 through 2007, 3 metronidazole-resistant isolates were confirmed in our survey; the first of these was isolated in 2002 [11]. Resistance to metronidazole has been increasingly reported in Europe but not in the United States.

Throughout the survey, specifically from 2003 through 2007, susceptibility to select antibiotics varied by health care center and species. For some antibiotics, such as clindamycin or moxifloxacin, the range of resistance varied greatly by health care center. Analysis of year-to-year variation among health care



Figure 2. Tufts New England Medical Center Infectious Diseases Division, June 1979. Bottom row (left to right): Francis P. Tally, Jeffrey A. Gelfand, Sherwood L. Gorbach, Michael Barza, Te-Wen Chang, John G. Bartlett. Middle row (left to right): Ted Butler, David R. Snydman, Katherine McGowan, Gary Simon, Michael Lauerman. Top row (left to right): Stephen Kornfeld, Cesar Elster, Ray Saginur, Keith Joiner.

centers revealed some differences, but most resistance rates remained relatively constant (data not shown).

CONCLUSIONS

The survey provided several insights with respect to susceptibility of Bacteroides species to drugs. Some drugs had constant or increased activity, whereas others had decreased activity against the species. The trends were not always predictable, varying by region and institution. However, some important species-drug susceptibility patterns were found: B. ovatus was more resistant to the carbapenems than were other Bacteroides species, B. vulgatus was more resistant to piperacillin-tazobactam, B. distasonis was more resistant to ampicillin-sulbactam and cefoxitin, B. ovatus and B. uniformis were highly resistant to moxifloxacin, and moxifloxacin resistance rates among B. vulgatus were >50%. "Other" Bacteroides species were more resistant to tigecycline. In general, B. fragilis is more susceptible to antimicrobials than are other Bacteroides species. These data provide a general guide for the clinician in treating anaerobic infections.

The survey should widen its scope in the future. It would be interesting to cover the molecular relatedness of strains. The relationship between antimicrobial use and resistance to these agents would be another important focus. Are fecal isolates more susceptible than clinical isolates? Molecular detection of metronidazole and carbapenem resistance, as well as other genetic markers, is another area of increasing importance. Finally, Internet-based real-time reporting of drug resistance is becoming more widespread and could be applied to the survey.

The anaerobic susceptibility survey has been ongoing for 29 years, thanks in part to the vision of Francis P. Tally (Figure 2). It has evolved and generated an enormous amount of clinically useful microbiological data for the practicing clinician.

Acknowledgments

We thank the individuals who contributed technical support over the past 10 years, namely, Carolina Baez-Giangreco, Barbara Rapino, and Tara Wozniak.

Potential conflicts of interest. D.R.S. has received research funding from Cubist, Merck, Aston-Fence, Johnson & Johnson, and Wyeth; has been a consultant for Schering-Plough; and has served on the speakers' bureaus for Merck and Cubist. J.E.R. has received royalties from Roche Diagnostics that are unrelated to this study. Y.G. has been an investigator in a clinical trial for Optimer Pharmaceuticals. E.J.C.G. has been on advisory boards of Merck, Schering-Plough, Optimer, Theravance, and Viropharma; has served on the speakers' bureaus for Schering-Plough, Ortho-McNeil, Bayer, and Merck; and has received research grants from Merck, Schering-Plough, Optimer, Theravance, Cubist, Pfizer, Astellas, Cerexa, and Impex. S.J. has been a consultant for Schering-Plough and Johnson & Johnson and has served on the speakers' bureau for Wyeth. All other authors: no conflicts.

Financial support. AstraZeneca, Wyeth-Ayerst, Merck, Johnson & Johnson, Novartis, Bayer, Pfizer, SmithKline Beecham, and Upjohn.

Supplement sponsorship. This article is part of a supplement entitled

"Symposium in Honor of the Memory of Francis P. Tally, MD, Held at Tufts Medical Center and Tufts University School of Medicine, 11 June 2007," which was sponsored by Tufts Medical Center, with an unrestricted grant from Cubist Pharmaceuticals.

References

- Tally FP, Snydman DR, Gorbach SL, Malamy MH. Plasmid-mediated, transferable resistance to clindamycin and erythromycin in *Bacteroides fragilis*. J Infect Dis **1979**; 139:83–8.
- Cuchural G, Tally FP. Factors affecting the choice of antimicrobial therapy for anaerobic infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 1982; 10(Suppl A):11–22.
- Nichols RL, Smith JW. Wound and intraabdominal infections: microbiological considerations and approaches to treatment. Clin Infect Dis 1993; 16(Suppl 4):S266–72.
- Rosenblatt JE, Brook I. Clinical relevance of susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria. Clin Infect Dis 1993; 16(Suppl 4):S446–8.
- Nguyen MH, Yu VL, Morris AJ, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and clinical outcome of *Bacteroides* bacteremia: findings of a multicenter prospective observational trial. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 30:870–6.
- Cuchural GJ Jr, Tally FP, Jacobus NV, et al. Susceptibility of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group in the United States: analysis by site of isolation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1988**; 32:717–22.
- Jacobus NV, Tally FP, Barza M, Gorbach SL. Susceptibility of anaerobic bacteria to cefoperazone and other beta-lactam antibiotics. Clin Ther 1980; 3:34–8.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria: approved standard, 4th ed. NCCLS document M11-A4. Villanova, PA: NCCLS, 1997.
- Cuchural G, Jacobus N, Gorbach SL, Tally FP. A survey of *Bacteroides* susceptibility in the United States. J Antimicrob Chemother 1981; 8(Suppl D):27–31.
- Tally FP, Cuchural GJ, Jacobus NV, et al. Susceptibility of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group in the United States in 1981. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1983; 23:536–40.
- Snydman DR, Jacobus NV, McDermott LA, et al. National survey on the susceptibility of *Bacteroides fragilis* group: report and analysis of trends in the United States from 1997 to 2004. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007; 51:1649–55.
- 12. Cuchural GJ, Jr., Tally FP, Jacobus NV, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of 1,292 isolates of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group in the United States: comparison of 1981 with 1982. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1984**; 26:145–8.
- Tally FP, Cuchural GJ, Jr., Jacobus NV, et al. Nationwide study of the susceptibility of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group in the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1985; 28:675–7.
- Cornick NA, Cuchural GJ, Jr., Snydman DR, et al. The antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group in the United States, 1987. J Antimicrob Chemother **1990**; 25:1011–9.
- Cuchural GJ Jr, Snydman DR, McDermott L, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group in the United States, 1989. Clin Ther **1992**; 14:122–36.
- Snydman DR, Cuchural GJ; National Anaerobic Susceptibility Study Group. Susceptibility variations in *Bacteroides fragilis*: a national survey. Infect Dis Clin Pract 1994; 3:S34–43.
- Snydman DR, Cuchural GJ Jr, McDermott L, et al. Increasing resistance in the *Bacteroides fragilis* group to β-lactams from 1986–1992. In: Recent Advances in Chemotherapy: Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Chemotherapy. 1994:477–8.
- Snydman DR, McDermott L, Cuchural GJ Jr, et al. Analysis of trends in antimicrobial resistance patterns among clinical isolates of *Bacteroides fragilis* group species from 1990 to 1994. Clin Infect Dis 1996; 23(Suppl 1):S54–65.
- 19. Snydman DR, Jacobus NV, McDermott LA, et al. Multicenter study

of in vitro susceptibility of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group, 1995 to 1996, with comparison of resistance trends from 1990 to 1996. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1999**; 43:2417–22.

- Snydman DR, Jacobus NV, McDermott LA, et al. National survey on the susceptibility of *Bacteroides fragilis* group: report and analysis of trends for 1997–2000. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 35:S126–34.
- Golan Y, McDermott LA, Jacobus NV, et al. Emergence of fluoroquinolone resistance among *Bacteroides* species. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003; 52:208–13.
- Jacobus NV, McDermott LA, Ruthazer R, Snydman DR. In vitro activities of tigecycline against the *Bacteroides fragilis* group. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48:1034–6.
- 23. Snydman DR, Jacobus NV, McDermott LA, Supran SE. Comparative in vitro activities of clinafloxacin and trovafloxacin against 1,000 isolates of *Bacteroides fragilis* group: effect of the medium on test results. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000; 44:1710–2.
- Summanen P, Baron EJ, Citron DM, Strong A, Wexler HM, Finegold SM. Wadsworth anaerobic bacteriology manual. 5th ed. Belmont, CA: Star Publishing, 1993.
- 25. Jousimies-Somer HR, Summanen P, Citron DM, Baron EJ, Wexler HM,

Finegold SM. Wadsworth-KTL anaerobic bacteriology manual. 6th ed. Belmont, CA: Star Publishing, **2002**.

- Holdeman LV, Moore EC. Anaerobic laboratory manual. 4th ed. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1977.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria: approved standard, 5th ed. NCCLS document M11-A5. Villanova, PA: NCCLS, 2000.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria: approved standard, 6th ed. NCCLS document M11-A6. Villanova, PA: NCCLS, 2004.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of anaerobic bacteria: approved standard. CLSI document M11-A7. Wayne, PA: CLSI, 2007.
- 30. Agresti A. Categorical data analysis. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, **1990**.
- Tygacil (tigecycline for injection) [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA: Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, 2007.