# RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

BULLETIN (New Series) OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 26, Number 1, January 1992

## LIFTING OF COHOMOLOGY AND UNOBSTRUCTEDNESS OF CERTAIN HOLOMORPHIC MAPS

#### ZIV RAN

ABSTRACT. Let f be a holomorphic mapping between compact complex manifolds. We give a criterion for f to have unobstructed deformations, i.e. for the local moduli space of f to be smooth: this says, roughly speaking, that the group of infinitesimal deformations of f, when viewed as a functor, itself satisfies a natural lifting property with respect to infinitesimal deformations. This lifting property is satisfied e.g. whenever the group in question admits a 'topological' or Hodge-theoretic interpretation, and we give a number of examples, mainly involving Calabi-Yau manifolds, where that is the case.

One of the most important objects associated to a compact complex manifold X is its versal deformation or Kuranishi family

$$\pi: \mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Def}(X)$$
;

this is a holomorphic mapping onto a germ of an analytic space (Def(X), 0) (the Kuranishi space) with the universal property that  $\pi^{-1}(0) = X$  and that any sufficiently small deformation of X is induced by pullback from  $\pi$  by a map unique to 1st order. In general, Def(X) is singular and even nonreduced; in case Def(X) is smooth, i.e. a germ of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , we say that X is unobstructed. In an analogous fashion, a holomorphic mapping

$$f: X \to Y$$

also possesses a versal deformation, which in this case is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{f} \colon \mathscr{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{Y} \\
& \searrow & & \swarrow \\
& \operatorname{Def}(f) & & & & & & \\
\end{array}$$

with a similar universal property. Again we say that f is unobstructed if Def(f) is smooth.

Received by the editors August 27, 1990.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 32G05, 32J27; Secondary 32G20, 14J40.

Supported in part by NSF and IHES.

114 ZIV RAN

Now in [R3], we gave a criterion which deduces the unobstructedness of a compact complex manifold X from a lifting property (in particular, deformation invariance) of certain cohomology groups associated to X; this implies in particular the unobstructedness of Calabi-Yau manifolds, i.e. Kähler manifolds with trivial canonical bundle  $K_X$  (theorem of Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov [B, Ti, To]), as well as that of certain manifolds with "big" anticanonical bundle  $-K_X$ . In this note we announce an extension of our criterion to the case of holomorphic maps of manifolds and discuss some applications, mainly to maps whose source is a Calabi-Yau manifold.

## 1. GENERALITIES

Given a holomorphic map

$$f: X \to Y$$

of complex manifolds, we defined in [R1] certain groups  $T_f^i$ ,  $i \ge 0$ , which are related to deformations of f; in particular,  $T_f^1$  is the group of 1st-order deformations of f. For our present purposes, it will be necessary to consider the corresponding relative groups  $T_{\hat{f}/S}^i$ , which are associated to a diagram

$$\tilde{f}: \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{Y}$$

with  $\mathcal{X}/S$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}/S$  smooth (we call such a map  $\tilde{f}$  an S-map, or a deformation of f). In the notation of [R1, R2], we have

$$T^i_{\tilde{f}/S} = \operatorname{Ext}^i(\delta_1, \, \delta_0)$$

where  $\delta_0: f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{Y}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}}$ ,  $\delta_1: f^*\Omega_{\mathscr{Y}/S} \to \Omega_{\mathscr{X}/S}$  are the natural maps. As in [R1], we have an exact sequence

$$(1.1) 0 \to T^{0}_{\tilde{f}/S} \to T^{0}_{\mathscr{X}/S} \oplus T^{0}_{\mathscr{Y}/S} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{f}}(\Omega_{\mathscr{Y}/S}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) \\ \to T^{1}_{\hat{f}/S} \to T^{1}_{\mathscr{X}/S} \oplus T^{1}_{\mathscr{Y}/S} \to \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\hat{f}}(\Omega_{\mathscr{Y}/S}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}}) \to \cdots$$

where  $T^i_{\mathscr{Z}/S} = H^i(T_{\mathscr{Z}/S})$ ,  $T_{\mathscr{Z}/S}$  being the relative tangent bundle and similarly for  $T^i_{\mathscr{Z}/S}$ ,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{f}}(\cdot,\cdot) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{Z}}(\tilde{f}^*\cdot,\cdot)$  and  $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\tilde{f}}(\cdot,\cdot)$  are its derived functors.

Now put  $S_j = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^j)$ . Our main general result, which is an analogue for maps of a result given in [R3] for manifolds, is the following

**Theorem-Construction 1.1.** Suppose given  $X_j/S_j$ ,  $Y_j/S_j$  smooth and  $f_j: X_j \to Y_j$  an  $S_j$ -map, for some  $j \ge 2$ , and let  $X_{j-1}/S_{j-1}$ ,  $Y_{j-1}/S_{j-1}$ ,  $f_{j-1}: X_{j-1} \to Y_{j-1}$  be their respective restrictions via the natural inclusion  $S_{j-1} \hookrightarrow S_j$ . Then

- (i) associated to  $f_j$  is a canonical element  $\alpha_{j-1} \in T^1_{f_{j-1}/S_{j-1}}$ ;
- (ii) given any element  $\alpha_j \in T^1_{f_j/S_j}$  which maps to  $\alpha_{j-1}$  under the natural restriction map  $T^1_{f_j/S_j} \to T^1_{f_{j-1}/S_{j-1}}$ , there are canonically associated to  $\alpha_j$  deformations  $X_{j+1}/S_{j+1}$ ,  $Y_{j+1}/S_{j+1}$  and an  $S_{j+1}$ -map  $f_{j+1} \colon X_{j+1} \to Y_{j+1}$ , extending  $X_j/S_j$ ,  $Y_j/S_j$  and  $f_j \colon X_j \to Y_j$  respectively.

The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1 in [R3] and will be presented elsewhere. In view of this theorem it makes sense to give the following

**Definition 1.2.** A map  $f: X \to Y$  is said to satisfy the  $T^1$ -lifting property if for any deformation  $f_j: X_j/S_j \to Y_j/S_j$  of f and its restriction  $f_{j-1}: X_{j-1}/S_{j-1} \to Y_{j-1}/S_{j-1}$ , the natural map

$$T^1_{f_i/S_i} \to T^1_{f_{i-1}/S_{i-1}}$$

is surjective.

Abusing terminology somewhat, we will say that  $T_f^1$  is deformation-invariant if the groups  $T_{f_j/S_j}^1$  are always free  $S_j$ -modules and their formation commutes with base-change. Note, trivially, that whenever  $T_f^1$  is deformation-invariant, f satisfies the  $T^1$ -lifting property. As an easy consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have the following

**Criterion 1.3.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is a map of compact complex manifolds satisfying the  $T^1$ -lifting property (e.g.  $T^1_f$  is deformation-invariant); then f is unobstructed.

Remark 1.4. Various variants of this criterion are possible, e.g. for deformations of maps  $f: X \to Y$  with fixed target Y. In the special case that f is an embedding, with normal bundle N, we obtain that the Hilbert scheme of submanifolds of Y is smooth at the point corresponding to f(X) provided  $H^0(N)$  satisfies the lifting property (e.g. is deformation-invariant). Also, the converse to Criterion 1.3 is trivially true, though we shall not need this.

## 2. APPLICATIONS

Unless otherwise specified, all spaces X, Y considered here are assumed smooth.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let X be a Calabi-Yau manifold and  $f: Y \hookrightarrow X$  the inclusion of a smooth divisor. Then f is unobstructed and moreover the image and fibre of the natural map  $Def(f) \to Def(X)$  are smooth.

*Proof.* In this case we may identify  $T_f^1$  with  $H^1(T')$  where T' is defined by the exact sequence

$$(2.1) 0 \rightarrow T' \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow N_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0,$$

and it will suffice to prove deformation invariance of  $H^1(T')$ . Now identifying  $T_X \cong \Omega_X^{n-1}$ ,  $N_{Y/X} \cong \Omega_Y^{n-1}$ ,  $n = \dim X$ , we may write the cohomology sequence of (2.1) as

$$0 \to H^{n-1,0}(Y) \to H^1(T') \to H^{n-1,1}(X) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^{n-1,1}(Y) \cdots$$

As  $H^{n-1,0}(Y)$  and  $\ker(f^*)$  are both deformation-invariant, so is  $H^1(T')$ , hence f is unobstructed, and since moreover the former groups are the respective tangent spaces to the fibre and image of  $\operatorname{Def}(f) \to \operatorname{Def}(X)$ , the latter are smooth. Q.E.D.

A similar argument can be used to reprove a recent theorem of C. Voisin [V] (see op. cit. for examples and further results):

116 ZIV RAN

**Theorem 2.2** (Voisin). Let X be a Kähler symplectic manifold, with (everywhere nondegenerate) symplectic form  $\omega \in H^0(\Omega_X^2)$ , and  $f: Y \to X$  a Lagrangian embedding, i.e.  $f^* \omega = 0$  and  $\dim Y = \frac{1}{2} \dim X$ . Then f is unobstructed and the image and fibre of the natural map  $\operatorname{Def}(f) \to \operatorname{Def}(X)$  are smooth.

*Proof.* In this case we may identify  $T_X \cong \Omega_X$ ,  $N_{Y/X} \cong \Omega_Y$ , and we may argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (note that this property of being Lagrangian is *open*).

Next we consider deformations of fibre spaces  $f: X^n \to Y^m$  with X Calabi-Yau (i.e. f is a flat map whose fibres are reduced and connected). Note that for a fibre space f, its general fibre is clearly a Calabi-Yau manifold. Also, it follows easily from the sequence (1.1) that  $Def(f) \hookrightarrow Def(X)$ . When  $R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X = 0$ , the morphism  $Def(f) \to Def(X)$  is an isomorphism by a theorem of Horikawa [H], hence in that case unobstructedness of f follows from that of f we will consider here two extreme cases: namely f and f and f and f is a fibre of the follows from that of f is a fibre of the fibre of the fibre of f is an isomorphism by a theorem of f is a fibre of f follows from that of f is a fibre of f is a fibre of f follows from that of f is a fibre of f is a fibre of f follows from that of f is a fibre of f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from the f fibre of f follows from the f fibre of f follows from that f is a fibre of f follows from the f fibre of f follows from the f fibre of f follows from f fibre of f fibr

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be an elliptic fibre space (i.e. general fibre elliptic curve) with X Calabi-Yau. Then f is unobstructed.

*Proof.* Using the usual exact sequence (1.1) and Criterion 1.3, it suffices to prove the deformation invariance of

$$\ker(H^1(T_X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(Y, R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X \otimes T_Y))$$
.

Now by relative duality we have

$$R^1 f_* \mathscr{O}_X \cong \omega_{X/Y}^{-1} \cong \omega_Y,$$

hence we may identify  $\alpha$  with the push-forward map (or "integration over the fibre")

$$H^{n-1,1}(X) \to H^{n-2,0}(Y)$$
,

and in particular  $\ker \alpha$  is deformation-invariant. (Note that we have  $\operatorname{Def}(f) \cong \operatorname{Def}(X)$  whenever  $\alpha = 0$ , e.g.  $H^{n-2,0}(Y) = 0$ , which holds whenever  $H^{n-2,0}(X) = 0$ .)

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f: X \to C$  be a fibre space from a Calabi-Yau manifold to a smooth curve. Then f is unobstructed.

*Proof.* Note that for any fibre Y of f we have

$$h^0(\mathscr{O}_Y(Y)) = h^0(\mathscr{O}_Y) = 1$$
,

and it follows that the scheme  $\mathrm{Div}^0(X)$  parametrizing reduced connected effective divisors of X is smooth and 1-dimensional locally at the point corresponding to Y. Consequently if we denote by

$$p: Z \to \operatorname{Div}^0(X)$$

the universal family and  $q: Z \to X$  the natural map, then we have in fact a 1-1 correspondence between morphisms  $f: X \to C$  as above and smooth compact connected 1-dimensional components  $C \subset \operatorname{Div}^0(X)$  such that  $q|p^{-1}(C)$  is an isomorphism. Now it follows from Theorem 2.1 and its proof that for any smooth fibre Y of f, the locus  $D' \subset \operatorname{Def}(X)$  of deformations over which Y extends is smooth and *independent* of Y. It follows that almost all, hence all, of C as component of  $\operatorname{Div}^0(X)$  in fact extends over D', hence so does f, so that  $D' = \operatorname{Def}(f)$ , proving the theorem.

In the intermediate cases, we have only much weaker results:

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a smooth morphism and assume either

- (i)  $K_X$  is trivial; or
- (ii)  $K_{X/Y}$  is trivial.

Then  $Def(f) \rightarrow Def(Y)$  has smooth fibres.

*Proof.* We will prove (ii), as (i) is similar. It suffices to prove the deformation invariance of  $H^1(T_{X/Y})$ , where  $T_{X/Y}$  is the relative (vertical) tangent bundle. Now we have

$$T_{X/Y} \cong \Omega_{X/Y}^{n-1} \otimes K_{X/Y}^{-1} \cong \Omega_{X/Y}^{n-1} \qquad n = \dim(X/Y).$$

By relative Hodge theory,  $H^1(\Omega_{X/Y}^{n-1})$  is a direct summand of  $H^n(f^{-1}\mathscr{O}_Y)$ , and it will suffice to prove the deformation invariance of the latter. We have a Leray spectral sequence

$$(2.2) H^p(Y, R^q f_* f^{-1} \mathscr{O}_Y) \Rightarrow H^n(f^{-1} \mathscr{O}_Y).$$

However  $H^p(Y, R^q f_* f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^{p,0}(Y, R^q f_* \mathbb{C}_X)$  is a direct summand of  $H^p(Y, R^q f_* \mathbb{C}_X)$ , hence the degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence of  $\mathbb{C}_X$  implies that of (2.2), hence the deformation invariance of  $H^n(f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to P. Deligne for some helpful comments concerning [R3], and to the IHES and Tel-Aviv University, in particular Professor M. Smorodinski, for their hospitality.

#### ADDED IN PROOF

The above ideas are pursued further in the author's preprints, *Hodge theory* and the Hilbert scheme (September 1990) and Hodge theory and deformations of maps (January 1991).

## REFERENCES

- [B] F. A. Bogomolov, Hamiltonian Kähler manifolds, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 243 (1978), 1101-1104.
- [H] E. Horikawa, Deformations of holomorphic maps. III, Math. Ann. 222 (1976), 275-282.
- [R1] Z. Ran, Deformations of maps, Algebraic Curves and Projective Geometry (E. Ballico and C. Ciliberto, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1389, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [R2] \_\_\_\_, Stability of certain holomorphic maps, J. Differential Geom. 34 (1991), 37-47.
- [R3] \_\_\_\_\_, Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle, J. Algebraic Geom. (to appear).
- [Ti] G. Tian, Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric, Math. Aspects of String Theory (S. T. Yau, ed.), pp. 629-646, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987.
- [To] A. N. Todorov, The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of  $SU(n \ge 3)$  (Calabi-Yau) manifolds, preprint IHES, November, 1988.
- [V] C. Voisin, Sur la stabilité des sous-variétés Lagrangiennes des variétés symplectiques holomorphes, Orsay, preprint, April, 1990.

### INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, PARIS, FRANCE

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, California 92521