Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

RECEIVED: June 15, 2012 ACCEPTED: July 6, 2012 PUBLISHED: July 27, 2012

Light stau phenomenology and the Higgs $\gamma\gamma$ rate

Marcela Carena,^{a,b} Stefania Gori,^{a,c} Nausheen R. Shah,^b Carlos E.M. Wagner^{a,c,d} Lian-Tao Wang^{a,d}

- ^aEnrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, U.S.A.
- ^bFermi National Accelerator Laboratory,¹
- P. O. Box 500, Batavia, IL 60510, U.S.A.
- ^cHEP Division, Argonne National Laboratory,
- 9700 Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439, U.S.A.
- ^dKavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, U.S.A
- *E-mail:* carena@fnal.gov, goris@uchicago.edu, nausheen@fnal.gov, cwagner@hep.anl.gov, liantaow@uchicago.edu

ABSTRACT: Recently, an excess of events consistent with a Higgs boson with mass of about 125 GeV was reported by the CMS and ATLAS experiments. This Higgs boson mass is consistent with the values that may be obtained in minimal supersymmetric extensions of the Standard Model (SM), with both stop masses less than a TeV and large mixing. The apparently enhanced photon production rate associated with this potential Higgs signal may be the result of light staus with large mixing. Large stau mixing and large coupling of the staus to the SM-like Higgs boson may be obtained for large values of tan β and moderate to large values of the Higgsino mass parameter, μ . We study the phenomenological properties of this scenario, including precision electroweak data, the muon anomalous magnetic moment, Dark Matter, and the evolution of the soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters to high energies. We also analyze the possible collider signatures of light third generation sleptons and demonstrate that it is possible to find evidence of their production at the 8 TeV and the 14 TeV LHC. The most promising channel is stau and tau sneutrino associated production, with the sneutrino decaying into a W boson plus a light stau.

KEYWORDS: Higgs Physics, Beyond Standard Model, Supersymmetric Standard Model

ARXIV EPRINT: 1205.5842



 $^{^{1}\}rm http://theory.fnal.gov$

Contents

T	Introduction	1
2	Sfermion effects on the $h o \gamma \gamma$ rate	2
	2.1 EWPTs and the muon anomalous magnetic moment	10
3	Dark matter	12
4	RG evolution to high energies	14
5	Probing the light stau scenario at the LHC	16
	5.1 Status of current LHC stau searches	17
	5.2 Weakly produced staus	17
6	Conclusions	21
\mathbf{A}	Soft parameter evolution	22

1 Introduction

Searches for the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson are ongoing at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN, with an 8 TeV center of mass energy for the proton collisions, recently upgraded from 7 TeV. The relatively modest amount of data accumulated in 2011 has already led to interesting bounds on a SM-like Higgs well beyond those from LEP and from the Tevatron. Higgs boson masses in the (129-539) GeV range are excluded at the 95% C.L. both by ATLAS [1, 2] and CMS [3, 4], unless there is new physics affecting the production and/or decay rates in a relevant way. Additionally, the presence of a SM-like Higgs boson in the allowed mass range is consistent with constraints coming from precision electroweak data [5]-[7], and therefore extensions of the SM which induce weak effects on precision electroweak observables are favored. The ATLAS experiment has further constrained a SM-Higgs boson with mass below 122.5 GeV, apart from a narrow window around 118 GeV. Moreover, an interesting excess of events, consistent with the production of a Higgs boson with a mass of about 125 GeV has been reported by both CMS and ATLAS. Most of the significance comes from the diphoton production rate, which, in spite being consistent with the SM prediction at the $2-\sigma$ level, is somewhat larger than expected from a SM Higgs boson. The Tevatron experiments also see an excess of events, consistent with the production of a (115-135) GeV SM-like Higgs boson in associated production with vector gauge bosons, decaying into bottom quarks [8].

The range of masses at which the Higgs-like signatures are observed is also consistent with the Higgs mass range predicted in the minimal supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model (MSSM) [9–11], with third generation squarks at the TeV scale and a large mixing parameter, A_t . Indeed, due to the relation of the tree-level Higgs quartic coupling with the weak gauge couplings, the MSSM predicts a relatively light SM-like Higgs boson [12, 13] with a mass of the order of the weak gauge boson masses. The precise value of this Higgs mass is strongly dependent on loop corrections which depend quartically on the top quark mass and logarithmically on the scale of the stop masses. The SM-like Higgs mass has also relevant quadratic and quartic dependences on the stop mixing parameter A_t . For both stop masses at the TeV scale, there is a maximal value for the SM-like Higgs mass, which has been computed at the one and two-loop level by different methods, and is about 130 GeV [14]–[25].

There have been many articles interpreting the Higgs mass range of about 125 GeV in minimal supersymmetric extensions of the SM [26]–[43]. Regarding the rates, it is worth noticing that although the best fit value of the diphoton production rate is larger than the one expected for a SM Higgs with a mass close to 125 GeV, the uncertainties are still large and the enhancement of the rate with respect to the SM expectation is only slightly more than a standard deviation at each experiment. In this article, following ref. [30], we shall analyze the possibility that the observed diphoton rate enhancements are not a statistical fluctuation, but a result of the presence of light supersymmetric particles. In ref. [30] it was observed that in minimal supersymmetric models, a large Higgs diphoton decay rate may be obtained in the presence of light staus, with large left-right mixing. Such large mixing is obtained for large values of the ratio of vacuum expectation values, $\tan \beta$, and a moderate to large Higgsino mass parameter, μ . Values of the Higgs diphoton decay rate as large as ~1.5–2 times the expected SM Higgs decay rate may be obtained for stau masses close to the LEP limit [44], without affecting other Higgs production modes in any significant way.

In this article, we shall analyze the phenomenological properties of this scenario, without assuming any particular high energy supersymmetry-breaking structure. In section 2, we review the relevance of light staus and summarize their main properties, including the current experimental limits, their impact on the Higgs rate and on precision electroweak observables. We also discuss the corrections to the muon anomalous magnetic moment in the presence of light sleptons. In section 3 we concentrate on the predictions for the Dark Matter relic density. In section 4 we study the renormalization group evolution of the supersymmetry-breaking parameters, and study the constraints on the messenger scale obtained from demanding flavor universality of the scalar mass parameters at this scale. In section 5 we concentrate on possible searches at the LHC of light, highly mixed staus, which decay promply into a τ lepton and either a light neutralino or a light gravitino. We focus on searches for weakly associated production of staus and sneutrinos and weakly produced pairs of staus, since these are the channels that would probe this scenario independently of the mass of other supersymmetric particles. We reserve section 6 for our conclusion.

2 Sfermion effects on the $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ rate

The three main effects on the $h \to \gamma \gamma$ rate are

• Squark effects on the gluon fusion rate and on the $\gamma\gamma$ Higgs width.

- Light stau effects on the Higgs diphoton decay width due to stau mixing effects controlled by $\mu \tan \beta$.
- Higgs mixing effects controlled by A_{τ} and m_A , modifying the Higgs bb decay width leading to a suppression/enhancement of both the $\gamma\gamma$ and ZZ Higgs rates.

In this section we shall expand on these three different effects.

An enhancement of the branching ratio of the Higgs decay into photons can be obtained through the presence of light third generation stops in the presence of large mixing. However, in general such a modification is overcompensated for by a decrease of the gluon fusion production rate [45]–[49]. Hence for stops at the TeV scale, the production of photons in association with the Higgs tends to be reduced. One could also consider the possibility of increasing the gluon fusion production cross section. However, such an enhancement, in the presence of a very light stop with small mixing, demands that the heaviest stop mass be very large to achieve a Higgs mass in the 125 GeV range. Additionally, this situation also tends to lead to a suppression of the branching ratio of the Higgs decay into two photons [50, 51]. Therefore, the W^+W^- and ZZ production rates tend to be enhanced more than the $\gamma\gamma$, in some tension with current LHC results.¹

On the other hand, relatively light third generation stops, with large mixing parameter, A_t , are consistent with a $m_h \simeq 125 \text{ GeV}$ Higgs mass value. The stop effects on the Higgs mass have been calculated by many authors in the past [26]–[43]. For completeness, we have computed the Higgs mass constraints on the stop mass parameters with FeynHiggs [52, 53], which includes the negative light stau effects. We have also verified the consistency of these results with a modified version of CPsuperH [54, 55], which includes the same effects. The on-shell scheme values of the stop parameters necessary to achieve a Higgs mass in the range (124–126) GeV are shown in figure 1, for $\tan \beta = 10$ (left panel) and for $\tan \beta = 60$ (right panel), with $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{L_3} \simeq m_{e_3} \simeq 280 \text{ GeV}$ and $A_{\tau} = 500 \text{ GeV}$. The comparison of the results for $\tan \beta = 10$ and $\tan \beta = 60$ shows that the slight gain in the Higgs mass that is obtained by the increase in $\tan \beta$ is compensated for by the small negative effects associated with light staus [30] with relatively large values of μ . Both stops can get masses smaller than 1 TeV. On the other hand, one of the stops can acquire a mass as small as about (100–200) GeV, provided that the heaviest stop mass and the stop mixing parameter are somewhat larger than 1 TeV, for example $A_t \simeq m_{Q_3} \gtrsim 1.5 \text{ TeV}$.

Note that a light stop is related to a light sbottom only when m_{Q_3} is small. Therefore, to render the light stop scenario independent of present experimental lower bounds on the sbottom mass [56], one should consider the scenario where m_{Q_3} is large. As can be seen from figure 1, a light stop with mass in the few hundred GeV range, with the large mixing necessary for a Higgs mass ~ 125 GeV, can only be obtained for large m_{Q_3} when m_{u_3} is small. The heavy stop mass is then associated with approximately the left handed soft mass, m_{Q_3} , which must be larger than about 1 TeV.

¹Similar arguments can also be applied to third generation down-type squarks. Light sbottoms with small mixing can enhance the diphoton rate, due to the enhancement of the gluon fusion production rate, however the W^+W^- and ZZ channels would be even more enhanced than the $\gamma\gamma$ channel.



Figure 1. Contours of the stop mixing parameter, A_t , necessary for a Higgs mass ~ 125 GeV given in the plane of the left- and right-handed stop soft supersymmetry-breaking mass parameters, m_{Q_3} , m_{u_3} for $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$, $m_A = 1500 \text{ GeV}$ and $A_{\tau} = 500 \text{ GeV}$. Left: $\tan \beta = 10$. Right: $\tan \beta = 60$, which is where stau effects can be relevant for the diphoton production rate.

A positive contribution to the $\gamma\gamma$ production rate, without modifying the gluon fusion rate, may only be due to loops of sleptons and charginos. Due to the fact that charginos couple with $\tan\beta$ suppressed weak coupling strength to the Higgs, their impact on the branching fraction of the Higgs decay to photons is very small, of the order of a few percent at values for $\tan\beta \geq 5$ [57]. Similarly, first and second generation sleptons do not induce a relevant change of this branching ratio. However, at large values of $\tan\beta$, staus have an enhanced coupling to the SM-like Higgs, induced by the Higgsino mass parameter, μ . Large values of μ and $\tan\beta$ induce large mixing in the stau sector leading to an enhancement of the branching ratio of the Higgs decay into photons. This behavior can be understood by looking at the stau mass matrix, given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \simeq \begin{bmatrix} m_{L_3}^2 + m_{\tau}^2 + D_L & h_{\tau} v (A_{\tau} \cos \beta - \mu \sin \beta) \\ h_{\tau} v (A_{\tau} \cos \beta - \mu \sin \beta) & m_{E_3}^2 + m_{\tau}^2 + D_R \end{bmatrix} , \qquad (2.1)$$

where $h_{\tau} \simeq m_{\tau}/(v \cos \beta)$ and D_L and D_R are the D-term contributions to the slepton masses [9–11]. At large values of $\tan \beta$ these are approximately given by $D_i \simeq -(T_3^i - Q^i \sin^2 \theta_W) m_Z^2$, where T_3^i and Q^i are the SU(2) isospin component and electromagnetic charge of the corresponding taus.

However, for the mixing effects to be relevant, another condition must be fulfilled: the lightest stau has to be rather light, with a mass close to the LEP limit.

An intuitive way of understanding the effects of light staus with large mixing on the $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ rate is related to the fact that the contribution to the diphoton amplitude including scalar particles with masses comparable or larger than the Higgs mass is approximately

proportional to [58, 59]

$$A_{\gamma\gamma}^{\rm SM} + \Delta A_{\gamma\gamma} \propto -13 + \frac{Q_S^2}{3} \frac{\partial \log\left[\det M_S^2(v)\right]}{\partial \log(v)} , \qquad (2.2)$$

where -13 comes from the SM contribution. Here Q_S are the charges of the scalar particles and M_S^2 is the mass matrix. A relevant contribution to $\Delta A_{\gamma\gamma}$ must be negative and of order one to contribute in a significantly constructive way to the dominant W^{\pm} amplitude. For staus, ignoring subleading terms, the above expression is approximately given by

$$\Delta A_{\gamma\gamma} \propto -\frac{2(\mu \tan \beta)^2 m_{\tau}^2}{3 \left[m_{L_3}^2 m_{e_3}^2 - m_{\tau}^2 (\mu \tan \beta)^2 \right]}.$$
 (2.3)

For equal soft breaking masses for the left and right-handed staus, this simplifies to

$$\Delta A_{\gamma\gamma} \propto -\frac{m_{\tilde{\tau}_2^2}}{6 m_{\tilde{\tau}_1^2}} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\tilde{\tau}_1^2}}{m_{\tilde{\tau}_2^2}}\right)^2.$$
(2.4)

In order to get a sizable contribution, the splitting of the stau masses should then be such that $m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}/m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \gtrsim 3$. Since we assume $m_{L_3} = m_{e_3}$ and $\tan\beta = 60$, for a light stau mass of order 100 GeV this can only be obtained for $\mu \gtrsim 300$ GeV (or, in general, $\mu \gtrsim$ 300 GeV(60/tan β)). Larger values of $\mu \tan \beta$ lead to stronger effects, and for values of $\mu \simeq 1$ TeV and $\tan \beta \simeq 60$, enhancements of the rate of order 2 may be obtained. In this article, we will work with more moderate values of $\mu \simeq 650$ GeV, and $\tan \beta \simeq 60$, for which enhancements of order 50% may be obtained (see figure 2).² Note that in our analysis we are always taking m_A beyond the current exclusion bound coming from $A, H \to \tau \bar{\tau}$ searches [60]–[63].³

The dependence of $\sigma(gg \to h) \times BR(h \to \gamma\gamma)$ in the $m_{L_3}-m_{e_3}$ plane, for $\tan \beta = 60$, $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$, $A_{\tau} = 0 \text{ GeV}$, $m_A = 1 \text{ TeV}$, as well as in the $m_{L_3}-\mu$ plane for $m_{L_3} = m_{e_3}$ is shown in figure 2. Solid lines represent contours of the diphoton rate, normalized to the SM value. Dashed lines represent contours of the lightest stau mass. The squark sector is fixed at $m_{Q_3} = m_{u_3} = 850 \text{ GeV}$ and $A_t = 1.4 \text{ TeV}$, consistent with a Higgs mass of about 125 GeV. A clear enhancement of the order of 50% in the total photon rate production is observed in the region of parameters leading to light staus, close to the LEP limit. For this set of parameters the Higgs mixing effects, as well as the effects coming from the stop sector, are small. Hence, both the production rate of weak gauge bosons, shown in figure 2, and the branching ratio of the Higgs decay into bottom quarks, remain very close to the SM ones.

As discussed briefly in ref. [30], the mixing parameter A_{τ} and m_A play a relevant role in the Higgs mixing and therefore in the width of the Higgs decay into bottom quarks. In

²In ref. [30], we noticed that there was a small discrepancy in the computation of the Higgs diphoton rate between the results obtained by FeynHiggs and CPsuperH [54, 55]. This has been resolved and we display the corrected results.

³We recently noted that the bound on m_A may be modified analyzing the $A, H \to b\bar{b}$ channel with a Higgs produced in association with a *b* quark at the LHC [64].



JHEP07 (2012)175

Figure 2. Contour plots of the ratio of the $\sigma(gg \to h) \times \text{BR}(h \to VV)$ to its SM value, in the (a) & (c): $\mu - m_{L_3}$ plane with $m_{e_3} = m_{L_3}$, and (b) & (d): $m_{e_3} - m_{L_3}$ plane with $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$. $\tan \beta = 60, m_A = 1 \text{ TeV}$ and $A_{\tau} = 0 \text{ GeV}$ are kept fixed for all the plots. The relevant squark parameters are $A_t = 1.4 \text{ TeV}$ and $m_{Q_3} = m_{u_3} = 850 \text{ GeV}$ giving $m_h \sim 125 \text{ GeV}$. Red dashed lines are contours of lightest stau masses. The yellow shaded area denotes the region satisfying the LEP bound on the lightest stau mass. Enhanced branching ratios are obtained for values of μ for which the lightest stau mass is close to its experimental limit, of about (85–90) GeV.



Figure 3. Contour plots of the ratio of BR $(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ to its SM value, in the $m_A - A_\tau$ plane with $\tan \beta = 60$, $m_{e_3} = m_{L_3} = 250$ GeV. We fix $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} = 90$ GeV, hence μ varies in the range 500–550 GeV. The relevant squark parameters are $A_t = 1.8$ TeV and $m_{Q_3} = m_{u_3} = 1.5$ TeV corresponding to $m_{\tilde{t}_{1,2}} \sim 1.4, 1.6$ TeV and $m_h \sim 125$ GeV.

particular, the mixing in the Higgs mass matrix is given by $\sim -m_A^2 \sin\beta\cos\beta + Loop_{12}$, where $Loop_{12}$ includes the dependence on A_{τ} [30]. Large negative (positive) values of A_{τ} and moderate values of m_A can lead to an enhancement (suppression) of the width of the Higgs decay into bottom quarks, and a subsequent suppression (enhancement) of the photon and weak gauge boson production rates. Contours of the $BR(h \to b\bar{b})$ normalized to the SM, are presented in figure 3 in the m_A - A_{τ} plane. The squark masses are all heavy so that they have a minimal impact, and therefore the effects shown in the plot are dominantly due to the Higgs mixing effects. We fix $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} = 90$ GeV, with $\tan\beta = 60$ and $m_{e_3} = m_{L_3} = 250$ GeV and hence μ varies in the range 500–550 GeV. As can be seen, smaller (larger) values of m_A allow for a larger (smaller) variation of the $h \to b\bar{b}$ branching ratio due to A_{τ} . Since the $b\bar{b}$ decay width is the dominant one for a Higgs with a mass of 125 GeV, the variation of $BR(h \to b\bar{b})$ is relatively small. We verified that a 5% change in $BR(h \to b\bar{b})$ corresponds to approximately a 20% variation of $\Gamma(h \to b\bar{b})$ with respect to the SM quantities.

Figure 4 summarizes the above discussed effects on the diphoton rates. In this figure we present the diphoton and weak vector boson production rates as a function of the slepton masses, with $m_{L_3} = m_{e_3}$. Each plot shows the dependence on three different values of the stau mixing parameter A_{τ} . We fix $\tan \beta = 60$ and vary μ such that the lightest stau mass is 90 GeV. The CP-odd Higgs mass has been fixed to 1.5 TeV in figure 4(a) and to 1 TeV in figure 4(b). Moreover, in figure 4(a) we have chosen a case in which one stop is very light while the other is heavy, while in figure 4(b), instead, the stops are heavier.

The effect of the sleptons on the diphoton rate depends on the ratio of μ/m_{e_3} (see eq. (2.3)). To keep the stau mass constant at 90 GeV, μ is very small for small values of m_{e_3} (~ 150 GeV) and hence the sleptons have no effect on the Higgs decay width into



(a)



Figure 4. Ratio of the $\sigma(gg \to h) \times \text{BR}(h \to VV)$ to its SM value, for both $V = \gamma$ and V = Z as a function of $m_{e_3} = m_{L_3}$, for $\tan \beta = 60$ varying μ such that $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} = 90 \text{ GeV}$ for different values of A_{τ} . The Higgs mass varies with m_{e_3} , but remains ~ 125 GeV. (a): $m_A = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$, $A_t = 2 \text{ TeV}$, $m_{Q_3} = 2.5 \text{ TeV}$, $m_{u_3} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ leading to $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \sim 140 \text{ GeV}$. (b): $m_A = 1 \text{ TeV}$, $A_t = 1.4 \text{ TeV}$, $m_{Q_3} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$, $m_{u_3} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ leading to $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \sim 500 \text{ GeV}$.

photons in this regime. Therefore, the values of the rates shown for the smallest values of m_{e_3} in both the plots in figure 4 determined only by the squark sector effects. In figure 4(a), since the lightest stop is very light and A_t is smaller than the heaviest stop mass, $A_t < m_{Q_3}$, the gluon fusion rate is somewhat enhanced. Instead in figure 4(b), the gluon fusion rate is close to the SM one, which is the generic expectation in the regime of squark parameters necessary to achieve a 125 GeV SM-like Higgs boson. Stops have the opposite effect on the diphoton width as on the gluon fusion rate. Therefore the light stop in figure 4(a) leads to a slight suppression of the Higgs diphoton width compared to figure 4(b). This is seen as the gap in figure 4(b) at $m_{e_3} \sim 150 \,\text{GeV}$, which is missing in figure 4(a), between the $\gamma\gamma$ and ZZ rates.

Since we keep the mass of the lightest stau and $\tan \beta$ fixed, as we increase m_{e_3} , μ/m_{e_3} is increasing for each constant value of A_{τ} . Thus the enhancement of the $\gamma\gamma$ rate with increasing values of $\mu \tan \beta/m_{e_3}$ is illustrated in the figure.

The parameter A_{τ} directly affects the CP-even Higgs mixing, and therefore the Higgs $b\bar{b}$ decay width. Positive values suppress this width and negative values enhance it. The change in the $b\bar{b}$ width impacts both the $\gamma\gamma$ and ZZ branching ratios in the same way, through a variation of the total Higgs width. Additionally, the CP-even Higgs mixing effects depend on $A_{\tau}\mu^3$ [30] and hence, larger values of μ lead to a larger enhancement/suppression of the $\gamma\gamma/ZZ$ Higgs rates. Finally, mixing effects are suppressed by m_A (see, for example, ref. [65]). We note that if one has smaller values of m_A for the same value of $\mu \tan \beta$, one should expect a larger difference for the rates between negative and positive values of A_{τ} . This can be seen by comparing the spread in the rates from $A_{\tau} = -1.5$ to 1.5 TeV, in both plots in figure 4 for a given value of m_{e_3} . As can be clearly seen, the spread in (a), corresponding to $m_A = 1.5$ TeV is much smaller than in (b) where $m_A = 1$ TeV.

One interesting observation is that for negative values of A_{τ} and light staus, one can obtain an enhancement of the bottom quark width, resulting in a ZZ and WW weak boson production rate that is of the order or smaller than the SM values, while keeping an enhanced Higgs diphoton rate. Such modified branching ratios would be in qualitative agreement with the signals observed by the Tevatron and LHC experiments [1, 3, 8].

A word of caution is in order here. Large values of the stau mixing may lead to the presence of additional minima in the scalar potential, spoiling the stability of the electroweak vacuum. In such a case, one should demand that the physical vacuum has a lifetime larger than the one of the Universe. A first study of the metastability condition has been done in ref. [66] at the tree level, leading to an interesting constraint on $\mu \tan \beta$ which is satisfied by approximately

$$|\mu \tan \beta| \lesssim 40 \left(\sqrt{m_{L_3}} + \sqrt{m_{E_3}}\right)^2 - 10^4 \text{ GeV}.$$
 (2.5)

This constraint would disallow the possibility of a light stau, with a mass close to the LEP limit for mass parameters $m_{e_3} \simeq m_{L_3} \gtrsim 250 \text{ GeV}$, and therefore enhancements of the diphoton rate due to staus larger than about 50%. The analysis of figure 4 shows that enhancements of the diphoton rate up to 80 % may be obtained in region of parameters where the above bound is violated by $\leq 15\%$. Hence, it would be relevant to study the stability of the above bounds by analyzing the full, one-loop stau-Higgs effective potential.

2.1 EWPTs and the muon anomalous magnetic moment

Light staus may have a relevant effect on precision electroweak measurements. For instance, they modify the predicted value of m_W [67, 68] (or analogously of $\Delta \rho$), the central measured value of which is somewhat above the predicted value in the SM for a light Higgs with a mass of about 125 GeV [6, 7]. Figure 5 shows the predicted value of m_W in the MSSM, in the region of light staus, for third generation squark values consistent with a SM-like 125 GeV Higgs. These values have been obtained from the prediction for $\Delta \rho$, which is related to the dominant contribution to m_W by the relation [69]

$$\Delta m_W \simeq \frac{m_W}{2} \frac{\cos^2 \theta_W}{\cos^2 \theta_W - \sin^2 \theta_W} \Delta \rho \,, \tag{2.6}$$

where θ_W is the weak mixing angle.

In general, the squark sector gives a very small contribution to the W mass in the region of parameters consistent with $m_h \simeq 125 \,\text{GeV}$. On the other hand, as shown in figure 5, light staus consistent with the enhanced Higgs diphoton decay rate, lead to an enhancement up to 40 MeV compared the SM value, $m_W^{SM} \simeq 80.36$ GeV. The composition of these light staus is important for the determination of the m_W corrections. The larger the left-handed component (the smaller m_{L_3}), the larger the effect (see right panel of figure 5). Since the light stau effects on m_W are positive, and of the order of the current experimental uncertainties, the present measurement, $m_W \simeq 80.385 \pm 0.015 \,\text{GeV}$, places only a marginal constraint on this scenario. For instance, in the example of figure 5, models with $m_{L_3} \simeq m_{e_3} \gtrsim 350 \,\text{GeV}$ and large values of μ may lead to values of m_W larger than 80.40 GeV, which is 1 σ above the current experimental bounds. On the other hand, for values of $m_{e_3} \simeq m_{L_3} \lesssim 350 \,\text{GeV}$, and a light stau mass close the LEP bound as required to enhance the Higgs diphoton width, one obtains values of m_W that are in good agreement with current experimental constraints, and actually in better agreement than the SM predicted values. The same is true for non-equal values of the slepton masses, for $\mu = 650 \,\text{GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = 60$, as shown in the right panel of figure 5.

Light sleptons may also affect the predicted value of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ [70]–[74]. The anomalous magnetic moment is of interest since its current measured value differs by more than 3 standard deviations from the predicted value in the SM [75, 76]

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} \simeq (3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-9} \,. \tag{2.7}$$

Although $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ is not sensitive to the stau masses, it is interesting to investigate what would be the necessary value of the smuon masses in order to obtain a predicted value for $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ consistent with the experimental value at the 1- σ level. The most important contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment comes from a diagram including charginos and muon sneutrinos. Their effect is proportional to $(M_2 \ \mu \tan \beta)$ and inversely proportional to the square of the slepton and chargino masses running in the loop. This contribution is given by the approximate expression [72]

$$\frac{(g_{\mu} - 2)^{\text{MSSM}}}{2 \times 10^{-9}} = \frac{a_{\mu}^{\text{MSSM}}}{1 \times 10^{-9}} \approx 1.5 \left(\frac{\tan\beta}{10}\right) \left(\frac{300 \text{ GeV}}{m_{\tilde{\ell}}}\right)^2 \text{sign}(\mu M_2), \quad (2.8)$$



Figure 5. Contour plots of m_W Light blue fill denotes regions experimentally consistent within 1- σ for the W mass (80.385 ± 0.015 GeV), with darker blue contours specifying the values of m_W . Light green fill denotes allowed region for the lightest stau mass ($m_{\tilde{\tau}} > 90 \text{ GeV}$), with red lines denoting contours of the stau mass. In the right panel, we present results for $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = 60$, while in the left panel $\tan \beta = 60$ and $m_{L_3} = m_{e_3}$. All the other soft parameters are fixed to 2 TeV.



Figure 6. Contour plots of a_{μ}^{MSSM} , for $M_1=35 \text{ GeV}$, $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = 60$. On the right panel, $M_2 = 400 \text{ GeV}$, and on the left panel $\tan \beta = 60$ and $m_{L_2} = m_{e_2}$. Green fill denotes regions consistent with the experimental measurement within $1-\sigma$.

valid under the hypothesis that the charginos and second generation sleptons are degenerate in mass. From eq. (2.8) we see that for $\tan \beta = 60$, charginos and sneutrinos with masses of about 500 GeV are necessary to get a value of $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ close to its current measured central value.

Figure 6 shows the predicted values of a_{μ}^{MSSM} for different values of the smuon soft supersymmetry-breaking masses, for the values of μ and $\tan\beta$ for which light staus lead to an enhancement of the Higgs diphoton rate, for instance, for equal values of $m_{L_3} \simeq$ $m_{e_3} \simeq 280 \,\mathrm{GeV}, \, \tan\beta \simeq 60 \,\mathrm{and} \,\mu \simeq 650 \,\mathrm{GeV}.$ We see from figure 6 that the values of the smuon soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters do not differ significantly from the analogous stau values. Since the chargino-sneutrino loop gives the dominant contribution to $(g_{\mu}-2)$, the result is mostly sensitive to the left-handed smuon mass parameter and only weakly sensitive to the right-handed smuon mass parameter, as shown in the right panel of figure 6. For instance, for the values of $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = 60$ represented in figure 6, and for relatively small values of M_2 , values of $m_{L_2} \simeq (500 \pm 200) \,\text{GeV}$ are necessary to obtain a value of $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ within 1 σ of the experimental value. On the other hand, for large values of the chargino masses, there is still a subdominant contribution that is governed by smuon neutralino exchange proportional to $(M_1 \ \mu \tan \beta)$. This contribution is important only for small values of the left- and right-handed soft mass parameters and becomes relevant in determining the asymptotic value of the slepton soft mass parameters for large values of M_2 shown in the left panel of figure 6.

Observe that due to the small muon mass, the smuon mass eigenvalues are of the order of the soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters. Hence smuons, at least left-handed ones, tend to be heavier than the lightest stau.

3 Dark matter

An interesting possibility in the light stau scenario is the generation of the proper dark matter relic density through the light stau co-annihilation with the LSP, generically considered to be the light neutralino (χ_1) [77, 78]. In order to compute these effects we have used the public programs DarkSUSY[79] and MicrOMEGAs[80], which give consistent results.

The top two plots in figure 7 show the dependence of the mass difference between the neutralino and the stau as a function of the neutralino mass to get the correct relic abundance. In the bottom panels of figure 7 the same results are represented in the stauneutralino mass planes. Light staus are obtained by varying the left- and right-handed stau soft supersymmetry-breaking masses, keeping $m_{L_3} \simeq m_{e_3}$. We look at two examples keeping $\mu \tan \beta$ a constant, but with different values of μ and $\tan \beta$.

The mass difference between the stau and the neutralino parametrizes the strength of the co-annihilation contribution. Light staus can co-annihilate with neutralinos leading to a neutral gauge boson, (Z/γ) , and a τ lepton in the final state. In the region of parameters under study, the stau is relatively strongly coupled to the Higgs and therefore the coannihilation into a light Higgs and a τ through the t-channel exchange of a stau becomes very relevant,

$$\chi_1 \; \tilde{\tau}_1 \to h \; \tau, \tag{3.1}$$



JHEP07 (2012) 175

Figure 7. (a) & (b): Difference between the lightest stau and the lightest neutralino masses, and (c) & (d): lightest stau mass necessary to obtain the observed Dark Matter density as a function of the neutralino mass, for (a) & (c): $\mu = 1300 \text{ GeV}$, $\tan \beta = 30$ and (b) & (d): $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$, $\tan \beta = 60$. The 1st and 2nd generation soft slepton masses are 500 GeV to be consistent with $(g_{\mu}-2)$. The relevant squark parameters are: $m_{Q_3} = m_{u_3} = 850 \,\text{GeV}, A_t = 1.4 \,\text{TeV}$. All other parameters are 2 TeV, apart from $M_2 = 400 \,\text{GeV}$.

and in fact turns out to be the dominant channel for most of the range of light neutralino masses under consideration. Since in our analysis we fixed a sizable value of M_2 (400 GeV) and we have relatively large values of μ , χ_1 will tend to be mostly a bino. Therefore, the $\tau \tilde{\tau}_1 \chi_1$ coupling is dominated by the hypercharge coupling, but receives small modifications depending on the values of μ , M_2 and $\tan \beta$. Hence, the amplitude of this annihilation channel is approximately proportional to $\mu \tan \beta$ due to the $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1 h$ coupling, but will have small variations with the explicit values of μ and tan β individually (we have kept M_2 the same for all the plots). This is clearly seen by noting that the maximum mass difference between the stau and the neutralino decreases by about $5 \,\text{GeV}$ when comparing figure 7(a)and (b), where $\mu \tan \beta$ is constant. For relatively large values of the neutralino and stau masses, for both plots, a proper Dark Matter density requires mass differences of the order of 20 GeV. As the neutralino mass goes below about 80 GeV, the co-annihilation cross section starts to increase due to the proximity of the energy threshold for the production of Higgs and tau. At some point, the neutralino and stau masses become sufficiently small, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1} \lesssim 30 \,\text{GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \lesssim 90 \,\text{GeV}$, so that the Higgs and tau can no longer be produced by annihilation of the stau and the neutralino. At this point the mass difference starts decreasing from a maximum value of order 50–60 GeV at the threshold energy for Higgs and tau production.

There are also the Higgs and Z induced s-channel contributions that grow for smaller values of μ due to the increase of the Higgsino component of the neutralino, and lead to the presence of small peaks in the mass difference, as is most apparent in figure 7(b).

It is clear from figures 7(c) and (d) that for stau masses close to the LEP limit, the neutralino mass is of order 30 to 40 GeV, associated with the mass difference of about 50 to 60 GeV mentioned above. Additionally we checked that for large values of the stau soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters, m_{L_3} and m_{e_3} , for which larger values of μ are necessary for the same tan β to obtain a stau mass ~ 90 GeV, the stau-neutralino mass difference required to get the proper relic density increases by a few GeV, but the results remain qualitatively the same. Hence, in general, a proper relic density may be obtained in the region where the diphoton Higgs decay width is enhanced, for stau masses close to the LEP limit and neutralino masses of about 30 to 40 GeV.

4 RG evolution to high energies

As we have discussed in the previous sections, the values of the third generation squarks and sleptons are constrained by the requirement of a 125 GeV Higgs with an enhanced diphoton decay rate. Although most of the interesting low energy physics properties are governed by the coupling of the light staus to the Higgs, which is proportional to $\mu \tan \beta$, the renormalization group (RG) evolution of the soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters [81] depends strongly on the τ Yukawa coupling and weakly on the exact value of μ . Large values of $\tan \beta$ lead to large τ and bottom Yukawa couplings. The effect of strong Yukawas has been extensively discussed in the literature (see, for instance [82]–[86]). They tend to suppress the value of the scalar masses at low energies, and therefore large RG effects on the slepton soft supersymmetry-breaking masses are expected in their evolution from



Figure 8. m_L evolution from the TeV to the Messenger scale, M. Blue: 1st/2nd generation, Red: 3rd generation. $\tan \beta = 60$ is associated with $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = 30 \text{ with } \mu = 1300 \text{ GeV}$.

the messenger scale. These effects would be stronger for large values of the messenger scale, close to the GUT scale, and become weaker for values of the messenger scale of order 10^5 GeV. It is important to stress that the hypercharge D-term contributions to the RG evolution are also important, in particular due to the large values of the Higgs and squark soft supersymmetry-breaking masses compared to the slepton ones. Moreover, the τ -Yukawa coupling effects depend on the value of the H_d soft supersymmetry-breaking square mass parameter, which at large values of tan β tends to increase with energy due to the large bottom Yukawa effects.

One may also require that the first and second generation sleptons are in the range consistent with a relevant contribution to $(g_{\mu} - 2)$. In the previous section, we have seen that an explanation of the observed anomalous magnetic moment of the muon may be obtained by assuming left-handed smuon masses that are of order 500 GeV, only somewhat larger than the third generation ones.

If, in order to suppress dangerous flavor effects, we demand flavor independence of the soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters at the messenger scale, we can obtain relevant information on the value of the messenger scale. In order to do that, we shall take a bottom-up approach, noting that the first and second generations have negligible Yukawas and therefore small RG running, governed by the weak gaugino masses and couplings. At large $\tan \beta \simeq 60$ and relatively large values of the stop masses and mixing, the CP-odd Higgs mass and the Higgsino mass parameters required in this scenario, flavor universality can only be obtained in a natural way for low values of the messenger scale. Such low values of the messenger scale are associated with light gravitinos, for which our previous computation of the Dark Matter density would be invalid.

The stau effects on the Higgs spectrum and properties depend only on the product $\mu \tan \beta$. One can soften the RG evolution of the slepton mass parameters while keeping the Higgs properties intact by decreasing the value of $\tan \beta$ and simultaneously increasing the value of μ . Figure 8 shows the evolution of the left-handed slepton soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters as one raises the energy towards the GUT scale, for low energy values

of the left-handed stau soft breaking parameter $m_{L_3} \simeq 320 \,\text{GeV}$ (the evolution of the other soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters is shown in appendix A). Values of $\tan \beta = 60$ are associated with $\mu = 650 \,\text{GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = 30$ with $\mu = 1300 \,\text{GeV}$. The previously described properties are clear in these figures. For $\tan \beta = 60$, flavor independent values close to the ones necessary to obtain the muon anomalous magnetic moment can only be naturally obtained for relatively small messenger scales M (of order $10^7 \,\text{GeV}$ for the example given in figure 8), as can be seen by comparing the RG evolution in figure 8(a) and (b) for $\tan \beta = 60$. However, for $\tan \beta = 30$, the τ Yukawa effects become significantly weaker and the weak scale slepton masses consistent with $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ can acquire flavor independent values at the GUT scale, shown in figure 8(b). Moreover, as we have shown in the previous section, a proper Dark Matter relic density can be also obtained for these conditions.

Let us stress in closing that we have not performed a detailed scanning of the parameter space consistent with a 125 GeV Higgs with enhanced diphoton decay widths. Neither have we considered variations of the finite threshold corrections to the bottom Yukawa coupling at low energies [87, 88]–[89]. Modifications of the specific values of the squark and Higgs mass parameters, and of the gluino mass, may induce relevant changes in the running of the different parameters of the theory. Therefore, one cannot exclude the possibility of obtaining flavor independent parameters at energies of the order of the GUT scale even for large values of tan $\beta \sim 60$. Finally, the most relevant flavor violation effects are related to the first and second generation squark and slepton mass parameters. Therefore even if the first and second generation masses do not unify with the third generation at the messenger scale, there is still the possibility of avoiding problems in the flavor sector.

5 Probing the light stau scenario at the LHC

Light staus, with masses of order a 100 GeV and large mixing are interesting since they predict the presence of a light sneutrino and an additional heavier stau. Therefore, although the staus are only produced weakly, the collider signatures associated with this scenario may have a very complex and rich structure.

In this work we will focus on the direct weak production of staus (and tau sneutrinos) through an s-channel exchange of Z (or W) gauge bosons. We further restrict ourselves to the most generic possibility, where the lightest stau decays promptly to a tau + LSP: If the lightest neutralino is the LSP, the staus decay promptly into a tau and a neutralino. Observe that even when the gravitino is the LSP, there is a large region of parameter space where the stau NLSP decays without a detectably displaced vertex. We give an estimate of the discovery reach at the LHC for both 8 TeV and 14 TeV center of mass energies. These channels turn out to be quite model independent, since they depend only on the masses and mixings of staus (and sneutrinos) and would be open even in the scenario of very heavy squarks and gluinos. As shown in the second column of table 1, the typical signature will be multi-taus, missing energy and weak gauge bosons, giving rise to additional leptons.

In our analysis, we used parton level results obtained from Madgraph 5 [90]. We emphasize that a more realistic simulation would necessarily include parton showering, hadronization, and detector simulation. A properly matched matrix element plus parton

shower simulation can be particularly important for the estimation of W+jets background. However, our simplified analysis is suitable for our goal of obtaining a rough order of magnitude estimate of the discovery reach.

5.1 Status of current LHC stau searches

At present, the ATLAS collaboration is investigating the presence of third generation promptly decaying sleptons produced through cascade decays. They analyze final states containing taus, leptons, hard jets and large missing energy, arising from (relatively light) squarks/gluinos decaying directly or through cascades into the $\tilde{\tau}$ NLSP [91, 92]. This channel is complementary to the ones we investigate, but is more model dependent.

On the other hand, final states similar to the ones we are interested in have been already investigated by CMS [93] in the context of searches for charginos and neutralinos. However, comparing the cross sections listed in the third column of table 1 to the CMS results, we note that the CMS multilepton searches are still not sensitive to our scenario.⁴

Recently CMS has also performed a search for long lived staus [94], putting stringent bounds on their mass, $m_{\tilde{\tau}} \geq 223 \text{ GeV}$. However, the most stringent constraint on the mass of a stau which decays promptly into a τ and a neutralino, is given by the LEP bound which is around (85-90) GeV when there is a large mass difference between the stau and the neutralino [44]. In the following, we will propose search strategies which are optimized to enhance the sensitivity to the particular light stau scenario considered in this paper.

5.2 Weakly produced staus

We propose searches for the direct production of staus, with

$$\tilde{\tau}_1 \to \chi_1 \tau$$
, or $\tilde{\tau}_1 \to \tilde{G} \tau$. (5.1)

Dark Matter relic density, associated with large messenger scales and hence a neutralino DM, tends to predict a large mass difference between the stau and the DM candidate (see section 3). Alternatively, we could have a low messenger scale and a very light gravitino. In both cases, the missing energy tends to be sizable, which could facilitate searches for light staus. To simplify our presentation, we choose $m_{\rm LSP} = 35 \,{\rm GeV}$, as preferred by the neutralino LSP scenario. We have checked that lowering the neutralino mass does not significantly alter our conclusions.

Possible channels to look for stau and sneutrino direct production are shown in table 1. In particular, we show the possible signatures of several channels at the LHC and the production cross sections for an example point in parameter space where $m_{L_3} = m_{e_3} = 280 \text{ GeV}$, $\tan \beta = 60$, $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_1 = 35 \text{ GeV}$, giving a light stau, $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \sim 95 \text{ GeV}$, a very light LSP, $m_{\chi_1} \sim 35 \text{ GeV}$ and a light sneutrino, $m_{\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}} \sim 270 \text{ GeV}$. Typically, at the 8 TeV LHC, we expect cross sections of the order of tens of fb only for the $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$ and $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ channels.

⁴The most promising channel ($\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ production) would produce at most only ~ 4 events at the 5 fb⁻¹ 7 TeV LHC. This rate is below the CMS uncertainty on the number of expected events in the two taus/one lepton channel (see their table 2) [93].

	Signature	$8 \mathrm{TeV}$ LHC (fb)	$14 \mathrm{TeV}$ LHC (fb)
$pp \to \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$	$2 au, ensuremath{E_T}$	55.3	124.6
$pp \to \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_2$	$2 au, Z, ot\!$	1.0	3.2
$pp \to \tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\tau}_2$	$2 au, 2Z, ot\!\!\!/ E_T$	0.15	0.6
$pp \to \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$	$2 au, W, ot\!$	14.3	38.8
$pp \to \tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\nu}_\tau$	$2\tau, W, Z, E_T$	0.9	3.1
$pp \to \tilde{\nu}_\tau \tilde{\nu}_\tau$	$2 au, 2W, ot\!$	1.6	5.3

Table 1. Possible stau and sneutrino direct production channels with their signatures at the LHC. The cross sections shown are computed for $m_{L_3} = m_{e_3} = 280 \text{ GeV}$, $\tan \beta = 60$, $\mu = 650 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_1 = 35 \text{ GeV}$.

The most promising channel seems to be $pp \to \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ because of the additional W boson in the final state. More specifically, for the relatively large mass difference between the sneutrino and the lightest stau obtained in the region consistent with an enhanced diphoton rate, the dominant production and decay mode is expected to be

$$pp \to \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_\tau \to \tilde{\tau}_1 (W \tilde{\tau}_1) \to \tau \chi_1 W \tau \chi_1$$
 (5.2)

The final state is two hadronic taus, missing energy and the W decaying leptonically, which leads to a much cleaner signal than the $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$ production. The competing mode would be the direct decay of the sneutrino into a neutrino and a neutralino, which, however, tends to have a smaller branching ratio due to the relative smallness of the hypercharge gauge coupling. In the following, we shall concentrate on this channel at the 8 TeV LHC.

We generate events for the signal, physical background and fake background requiring taus (jets) with a p_T threshold, $p_T^{\tau(j)} > 10 \text{ GeV}$, $\Delta R > 0.4$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$. We demand two loose τ -tags: the efficiency of the boosted decision tree (BDT) hadronic tau identification is about 60%, independent of p_T , while achieving a jet background rejection factor of 20 -50 [95]. The cross sections for signal and backgrounds associated with these requirements are given in the second column of table 2.⁵

Due to the sizable mass splitting between the sneutrino and the stau, the lepton coming from the W decay in the signal is expected to be more boosted than the one from background. For this reason, strong cuts on the p_T of the lepton and on the missing energy can significantly improve the signal over background ratio. In the third column of table 2, labeled "Basic", we show our results after imposing $p_T^{\ell} > 70 \text{ GeV}$ and $\not E_T > 70 \text{ GeV}$. As we can see from the table, this set of basic cuts can efficiently suppress the $W + Z/\gamma^*$ background to a rate comparable to the one of the signal. In addition, we note that the two taus coming from the physical background are typically expected to have an invariant

⁵For the W+ jets background we generated events with up to 4 jets in the final state. In the table we are presenting the sum of Wjj, Wjjj and Wjjjj backgrounds.

	Total (fb)	Basic (fb)	Hard Tau (fb)
Signal	0.6	0.16	0.07
Physical background, $W + Z/\gamma^*$	15	0.25	$\lesssim 10^{-3}$
W+ jets background	4×10^3	26	0.3

Table 2. Cross sections for the signal and the physical and fake backgrounds after τ -tags at the 8 TeV LHC: after imposing acceptance cuts $p_T^{\tau(j)} > 10 \text{ GeV}$, $\Delta R > 0.4$ and and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (second column); with the additional requirement $p_T^{\ell} > 70 \text{ GeV}$ and $\not{E}_T > 70$ (third column); imposing that the τ is not too boosted $p_T^{\tau} < 75 \text{ GeV}$ (fourth column).

The W+jets background is still significant at this stage. As shown by the blue distribution in figure 9, the leading fake tau will recoil against the lepton and hence will also be rather hard. On the other hand, in the signal process, the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ only receives a small boost even if it is one of the decay products of the $\tilde{\nu}$. The p_T of the leading tau is always largely determined by $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} - m_{\text{LSP}}$ and remains sufficiently soft (see black dashed distribution in figure 9). Consequently, a veto on hard τ s can reduce the fake background, while keeping the signal almost unchanged. In the fourth column of table 2, labeled "Hard Tau", we show our results for signal and backgrounds, after requiring the leading τ to have $p_T^{\tau_1} < 75 \text{ GeV}$. Due to this veto on hard taus, signal and (fake) background are approximately the same order of magnitude.

In spite of low statistics, we believe that this channel deserves attention, especially in view of the possible $200 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ of luminosity expected from the 14 TeV LHC run.⁶

We would like to briefly discuss the $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$ channel. As shown in table 1, the total production cross section for two staus is a factor of four larger than the direct production cross section of a stau and a sneutrino at the 8 TeV LHC. However, the present double

⁶Note that reducing the mass of the sneutrino sizably increases the direct production cross section of sneutrino - stau pairs. However, the mass splitting between the sneutrino and the stau would decrease, reducing the boost of the W boson coming from the sneutrino decay. Therefore lighter sneutrinos will not necessarily enhance the LHC reach for the $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}\tilde{\tau}_{1}$ channel.



Figure 9. p_T distribution for the leading jet faking a tau of the W+ jets background (in blue) and for the leading tau of the signal (black dashed) at the 8 TeV LHC. The events shown satisfy the basic set of cuts ($p_T^{\ell} > 70 \text{ GeV}$ and $\not{E}_T > 70 \text{ GeV}$). The signal has been scaled by a factor of 100 for visibility.

	Total (fb)	Basic (fb)	Hard Tau (fb)
Signal	1.6	0.26	0.11
Physical background, $W + Z/\gamma^*$	27	0.32	$\lesssim 10^{-3}$
W+ jets background	10^{4}	39	0.25

Table 3. Cross sections for the signal and the physical and fake background after τ -tags at the 14 TeV LHC: after imposing $p_T^{\tau(j)} > 10 \text{ GeV}$, $\Delta R > 0.4$ and and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (second column); with the additional requirement $p_T^{\ell} > 85 \text{ GeV}$ and $\not{\!\!E}_T > 85$ (third column); imposing that the τ is not too boosted $p_T^{\tau} < 80 \text{ GeV}$ (fourth column).

hadronic τ trigger is rather demanding: the p_T thresholds are 29 GeV and 20 GeV for the leading and sub-leading hadronic τ s [96]. Imposing this basic requirement to trigger and asking for two loose taus decreases the cross section of the $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$ channel from the 55.3 fb presented in table 1 to 7 fb at the 8 TeV LHC.

However, the real challenge for this channel is the background from jets faking taus. Such fake background is dominated by W + 1 jet, which has the jet faking a tau and the W decaying to an additional tau. In comparison, Z + jets, where the jets fake taus and the Z decays invisibly, is subdominant. Even after the set of cuts mentioned above, the signal over background ratio is still ~1% (due to the large W + 1 jet background: 57fb), with signal and fake background having very similar distributions for the kinematical observables. For this reason, we believe that the $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ is a more promising channel than $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$. However, the latter channel could have room for further improvements. An enhancement of jet rejection power could significantly improve the sensitivity. In addition, polarization of the two final τ s could be a very important discriminant between the $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$ signal and the W + 1jet background [97]. Further enhancement of the signal cross sections quoted above may be achieved by considering the contributions coming from *b*-quark annihilation and gluon fusion to the production cross section of staus, as recently shown in ref. [98].

Finally, an additional very interesting channel is $pp \to \tilde{\tau}_2 \tilde{\tau}_1 \to h \tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$ since it would directly probe the coupling between the Higgs and the two staus entering in the Higgs to diphoton rate. However, as shown in table 1, the cross section is rather small for this channel to be relevant at the LHC.

6 Conclusions

Recent Higgs searches are consistent with the production of a light Higgs, with a mass of about 125 GeV, and a somewhat enhanced $\sigma(gg \to h)BR(h \to \gamma\gamma)$. No such enhancement is observed in other Higgs production channels, suggesting that this effect can at least in part be due to an increase of the Higgs decay branching ratio into photons.

Within the MSSM, light staus, close to the LEP limit of ~ 85-90 GeV, with large mixing may produce an enhancement of the Higgs diphoton rate without affecting the Higgs ZZ rates. In this article, we have studied the phenomenological properties of this light stau scenario.

We have shown that in general one should expect an increase of $\Delta \rho$, which leads to an enhancement of m_W by 10 to 40 MeV with respect to the value predicted in the SM. Moreover, values of $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ may be obtained for smuon masses slightly larger than, but of the order of, the required stau supersymmetry-breaking parameters.

The RG evolution to high energies demonstrates that to obtain the weak scale parameters consistent with the light stau scenario and flavor universality at M_{GUT} , large values of the soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters, of the order of a few TeV, may be required. However, this requirement maybe softened by lowering tan β or by lowering the messenger scale.

The model is consistent with the observed Dark Matter relic density, provided the neutralino is a few tens of GeV lighter than the light stau. For instance $35 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_{\tilde{\chi}_1} \lesssim 55 \text{ GeV}$ when $90 \text{ GeV} \lesssim m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV}$.

Finally, the light stau coupled with a relatively light sneutrino presents distinctive collider signatures. We propose possible strategies to probe this scenario through direct weak production of stau and tau sneutrino. We demonstrate that the associated production of $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ is within reach at the next run of the LHC at 8 TeV. While this could be the first signal of this scenario, $\tilde{\tau}_1 \tilde{\tau}_1$ production may also prove to be useful with improvement of τ -identification and further optimization of the cuts. A dedicated study of the search potential is necessary and highly motivated.

Acknowledgments

We thank T. Han and T. LeCompte for useful discussions. Fermilab is operated by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy. Work at ANL is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Div. of HEP, Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work was supported in part by the DOE under Task TeV of contract DE-FGO2-96-ER40956. L.T.W. is supported by the NSF under grant PHY-0756966 and the DOE Early Career Award under grant DE-SC0003930.

A Soft parameter evolution

We present here the RG evolution of the soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters that lead to flavor independent mass parameters at the messenger scale for the light stau scenario. The running of all the soft parameters for the three cases discussed in section 4, are shown figures 10, 11, 12.

As stressed in the text, we have not performed a detailed scanning of the parameters consistent with a 125 GeV Higgs boson with an enhanced diphoton decay rate. We are interested in showing the qualitative behavior of the running of the soft supersymmetrybreaking masses in the region of parameters under study. For this analysis, the threshold corrections to the bottom and tau Yukawa couplings [83, 87–89] have been neglected, since in a bottom-up approach they depend strongly on parameters which are not fixed in a direct way by the Higgs sector. The gluino mass is kept at 1.2 TeV, while $M_2 \simeq 400 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_1 \simeq 200 \text{ GeV}$. Variations of M_1 lead to only a small modification of the RG running of the other parameters. The gluino mass, however, has a strong impact on the running and also modifies the threshold corrections to the Yukwas in a strong way.

We see that values of the messenger scale close to the GUT scale imply boundary conditions for the squark and slepton mass parameters of a few TeV for the example given in figure 8(a). One interesting effect is that one can obtain a large hierarchy between the third generation and first and second generation mass parameters, with low energy values for the third generation slepton and squark mass parameters that are of the order of the weak scale. This hierarchy of masses would be induced by the running and would not signal a breakdown of flavor universality at large energies [84, 85].

The gaugino masses at the messenger scale may be an order of magnitude smaller than the scalar masses. Large values of the third generation Yukawa couplings also lead to the interesting property that the value of the stau mixing parameter, A_{τ} , tends to be driven to small values. On the other hand, for the relatively large values of the top and bottom Yukawa couplings that are obtained for $\tan \beta \simeq 60$, the large values of A_t that are necessary to obtain a 125 GeV Higgs require large values at the messenger scale [31].



Figure 10. Mass parameter evolution from the TeV to the Messenger scale $M \simeq 10^7 \,\text{GeV}$ for $\tan \beta = 60$.



Figure 11. Mass parameter evolution from the TeV to the Messenger scale $M \simeq 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ for $\tan \beta = 60$.



Figure 12. Mass parameter evolution from the TeV to the Messenger scale $M \simeq 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = 30$.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., Combined search for the Standard Model Higgs boson using up to 4.9 fb⁻¹ of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. **B** 710 (2012) 49 [arXiv:1202.1408] [INSPIRE].
- [2] ATLAS collaboration, ATLAS experiment public result, Atlas Twiki, https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/Publications.
- [3] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Combined results of searches for the standard model Higgs boson in pp collisions at √s = 7 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 710 (2012) 26 [arXiv:1202.1488] [INSPIRE].
- [4] CMS collaboration, CMS Higgs Physics Results, CMS Twiki, https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsHIG.
- [5] ALEPH, CDF, D0, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD, LEP ELECTROWEAK WORKING GROUP, TEVATRON ELECTROWEAK WORKING GROUP, SLD ELECTROWEAK AND HEAVY FLAVOUR GROUPS collaborations, *Precision Electroweak Measurements and Constraints on* the Standard Model, arXiv:1012.2367 [INSPIRE].
- [6] CDF, D0 collaborations, T.E.W. Group, 2012 Update of the Combination of CDF and D0 Results for the Mass of the W Boson, arXiv:1204.0042 [INSPIRE].
- [7] CDF AND D0 collaboration, R. Lopes de Sa, Precise measurements of the W mass at the Tevatron and indirect constraints on the Higgs mass, arXiv:1204.3260 [INSPIRE].
- [8] TEVNPH (TEVATRON NEW PHENOMINA AND HIGGS WORKING GROUP), CDF, D0 collaborations, Combined CDF and D0 Search for Standard Model Higgs Boson Production with up to 10.0 fb⁻¹ of Data, arXiv:1203.3774 [INSPIRE].
- [9] H.P. Nilles, Supersymmetry, Supergravity and Particle Physics, Phys. Rept. 110 (1984) 1 [INSPIRE].
- [10] H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, The Search for Supersymmetry: Probing Physics Beyond the Standard Model, Phys. Rept. 117 (1985) 75 [INSPIRE].
- [11] S.P. Martin, A Supersymmetry primer, hep-ph/9709356 [INSPIRE].
- P.W. Higgs, Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 508 [INSPIRE].
- [13] P.W. Higgs, Spontaneous Symmetry Breakdown without Massless Bosons, Phys. Rev. 145 (1966) 1156 [INSPIRE].
- [14] Y. Okada, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, Upper bound of the lightest Higgs boson mass in the minimal supersymmetric standard model, Prog. Theor. Phys. 85 (1991) 1 [INSPIRE].
- [15] J.R. Ellis, G. Ridolfi and F. Zwirner, Radiative corrections to the masses of supersymmetric Higgs bosons, Phys. Lett. B 257 (1991) 83 [INSPIRE].
- [16] H.E. Haber and R. Hempfling, Can the mass of the lightest Higgs boson of the minimal supersymmetric model be larger than m_Z ?, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 1815 [INSPIRE].

- [17] J. Casas, J. Espinosa, M. Quirós and A. Riotto, The Lightest Higgs boson mass in the minimal supersymmetric standard model, Nucl. Phys. B 436 (1995) 3 [Erratum ibid. B 439 (1995) 466] [hep-ph/9407389] [INSPIRE].
- [18] M.S. Carena, J. Espinosa, M. Quirós and C. Wagner, Analytical expressions for radiatively corrected Higgs masses and couplings in the MSSM, Phys. Lett. B 355 (1995) 209 [hep-ph/9504316] [INSPIRE].
- [19] M.S. Carena, M. Quirós and C. Wagner, Effective potential methods and the Higgs mass spectrum in the MSSM, Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996) 407 [hep-ph/9508343] [INSPIRE].
- [20] H.E. Haber, R. Hempfling and A.H. Hoang, Approximating the radiatively corrected Higgs mass in the minimal supersymmetric model, Z. Phys. C 75 (1997) 539 [hep-ph/9609331] [INSPIRE].
- [21] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik and G. Weiglein, FeynHiggs: A Program for the calculation of the masses of the neutral CP even Higgs bosons in the MSSM, Comput. Phys. Commun. 124 (2000) 76 [hep-ph/9812320] [INSPIRE].
- [22] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik and G. Weiglein, The Masses of the neutral CP even Higgs bosons in the MSSM: Accurate analysis at the two loop level, Eur. Phys. J. C 9 (1999) 343 [hep-ph/9812472] [INSPIRE].
- [23] M.S. Carena, H. Haber, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, C. Wagner and G. Weiglein, Reconciling the two loop diagrammatic and effective field theory computations of the mass of the lightest CP even Higgs boson in the MSSM, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 29 [hep-ph/0001002] [INSPIRE].
- [24] S.P. Martin, Complete two loop effective potential approximation to the lightest Higgs scalar boson mass in supersymmetry, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 095012 [hep-ph/0211366] [INSPIRE].
- [25] G. Degrassi, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, P. Slavich and G. Weiglein, Towards high precision predictions for the MSSM Higgs sector, Eur. Phys. J. C 28 (2003) 133 [hep-ph/0212020] [INSPIRE].
- [26] A. Arbey, M. Battaglia, A. Djouadi, F. Mahmoudi and J. Quevillon, Implications of a 125 GeV Higgs for supersymmetric models, Phys. Lett. B 708 (2012) 162
 [arXiv:1112.3028] [INSPIRE].
- [27] L.J. Hall, D. Pinner and J.T. Ruderman, A Natural SUSY Higgs Near 125 GeV, JHEP 04 (2012) 131 [arXiv:1112.2703] [INSPIRE].
- [28] S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal and G. Weiglein, Interpreting the LHC Higgs Search Results in the MSSM, Phys. Lett. B 710 (2012) 201 [arXiv:1112.3026] [INSPIRE].
- [29] J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev and D. Sanford, Focus Point Supersymmetry Redux, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 075007 [arXiv:1112.3021] [INSPIRE].
- [30] M. Carena, S. Gori, N.R. Shah and C.E. Wagner, A 125 GeV SM-like Higgs in the MSSM and the $\gamma\gamma$ rate, JHEP 03 (2012) 014 [arXiv:1112.3336] [INSPIRE].
- [31] P. Draper, P. Meade, M. Reece and D. Shih, Implications of a 125 GeV Higgs for the MSSM and Low-Scale SUSY Breaking, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 095007 [arXiv:1112.3068]
 [INSPIRE].
- [32] U. Ellwanger, A Higgs boson near 125 GeV with enhanced di-photon signal in the NMSSM, JHEP 03 (2012) 044 [arXiv:1112.3548] [INSPIRE].

- [33] G. Kane, P. Kumar, R. Lu and B. Zheng, Higgs Mass Prediction for Realistic String/M Theory Vacua, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 075026 [arXiv:1112.1059] [INSPIRE].
- S. Akula, B. Altunkaynak, D. Feldman, P. Nath and G. Peim, Higgs Boson Mass Predictions in SUGRA Unification, Recent LHC-7 Results and Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 075001 [arXiv:1112.3645] [INSPIRE].
- [35] H. Baer, V. Barger and A. Mustafayev, Implications of a 125 GeV Higgs scalar for LHC SUSY and neutralino dark matter searches, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 075010
 [arXiv:1112.3017] [INSPIRE].
- [36] M. Kadastik, K. Kannike, A. Racioppi and M. Raidal, Implications of the 125 GeV Higgs boson for scalar dark matter and for the CMSSM phenomenology, JHEP 05 (2012) 061 [arXiv:1112.3647] [INSPIRE].
- [37] J.F. Gunion, Y. Jiang and S. Kraml, The Constrained NMSSM and Higgs near 125 GeV, Phys. Lett. B 710 (2012) 454 [arXiv:1201.0982] [INSPIRE].
- [38] S. King, M. Muhlleitner and R. Nevzorov, NMSSM Higgs Benchmarks Near 125 GeV, Nucl. Phys. B 860 (2012) 207 [arXiv:1201.2671] [INSPIRE].
- [39] J. Cao, Z. Heng, J.M. Yang, Y. Zhang and J. Zhu, A SM-like Higgs near 125 GeV in low energy SUSY: a comparative study for MSSM and NMSSM, JHEP 03 (2012) 086 [arXiv:1202.5821] [INSPIRE].
- [40] L. Aparicio, D. Cerdeno and L. Ibáñez, A 119-125 GeV Higgs from a string derived slice of the CMSSM, JHEP 04 (2012) 126 [arXiv:1202.0822] [INSPIRE].
- [41] M.A. Ajaib, I. Gogoladze, F. Nasir and Q. Shafi, Revisiting mGMSB in light of a 125 GeV Higgs, arXiv:1204.2856 [INSPIRE].
- [42] N.D. Christensen, T. Han and S. Su, MSSM Higgs Bosons at The LHC, arXiv:1203.3207 [INSPIRE].
- [43] F. Brummer, S. Kraml and S. Kulkarni, Anatomy of maximal stop mixing in the MSSM, arXiv:1204.5977 [INSPIRE].
- [44] ALEPH collaboration, A. Heister et al., Search for scalar leptons in e⁺e⁻ collisions at center-of-mass energies up to 209-GeV, Phys. Lett. B 526 (2002) 206 [hep-ex/0112011]
 [INSPIRE].
- [45] M. Spira, A. Djouadi, D. Graudenz and P. Zerwas, *Higgs boson production at the LHC*, *Nucl. Phys.* B 453 (1995) 17 [hep-ph/9504378] [INSPIRE].
- [46] F. Maltoni et al., Higgs cross sections at hadron colliders (SM Higgs production cross-sections), http://maltoni.home.cern.ch/maltoni/TeV4LHC/.
- [47] C. Anastasiou, R. Boughezal and F. Petriello, Mixed QCD-electroweak corrections to Higgs boson production in gluon fusion, JHEP 04 (2009) 003 [arXiv:0811.3458] [INSPIRE].
- [48] D. de Florian and M. Grazzini, Higgs production through gluon fusion: Updated cross sections at the Tevatron and the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 674 (2009) 291 [arXiv:0901.2427]
 [INSPIRE].
- [49] M. Grazzini et al., *Higgs production at hadron colliders: online calculators (SM ggH prediction)*, http://theory.fi.infn.it/grazzini/hcalculators.html.
- [50] A. Djouadi, Squark effects on Higgs boson production and decay at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 435 (1998) 101 [hep-ph/9806315] [INSPIRE].

- [51] R. Dermisek and I. Low, Probing the Stop Sector and the Sanity of the MSSM with the Higgs Boson at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 035012 [hep-ph/0701235] [INSPIRE].
- [52] Home of FeynHiggs, http://wwwth.mpp.mpg.de/members/heinemey/feynhiggs/cFeynHiggs.html.
- [53] M. Frank, T. Hahn, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, H. Rzehak and G. Weiglein, The Higgs Boson Masses and Mixings of the Complex MSSM in the Feynman-Diagrammatic Approach, JHEP 02 (2007) 047 [hep-ph/0611326] [INSPIRE].
- [54] J. Lee, A. Pilaftsis, M.S. Carena, S. Choi, M. Drees, J. R. Ellis and C. E. M. Wagner, CPsuperH: A Computational tool for Higgs phenomenology in the minimal supersymmetric standard model with explicit CP-violation, Comput. Phys. Commun. 156 (2004) 283 [hep-ph/0307377] [INSPIRE].
- [55] J. Lee, M. Carena, J. Ellis, A. Pilaftsis and C. Wagner, CPsuperH2.0: an Improved Computational Tool for Higgs Phenomenology in the MSSM with Explicit CP-violation, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009) 312 [arXiv:0712.2360] [INSPIRE].
- [56] A. Tua, on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations, ATLAS+CMS searches for SUSY in 3rd generation final states. Implications of LHC results for TeV-scale physics, http://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=22&sessionId=10&resId=0&material Id=slides&confId=162621.
- [57] M.A. Diaz and P. Fileviez Perez, Can we distinguish between hSM and h⁰ in split supersymmetry?, J. Phys. G 31 (2005) 563 [hep-ph/0412066] [INSPIRE].
- [58] J.R. Ellis, M.K. Gaillard and D.V. Nanopoulos, A Phenomenological Profile of the Higgs Boson, Nucl. Phys. B 106 (1976) 292 [INSPIRE].
- [59] M.A. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, M. Voloshin and V.I. Zakharov, Low-Energy Theorems for Higgs Boson Couplings to Photons, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 30 (1979) 711 [INSPIRE].
- [60] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., Search for neutral MSSM Higgs bosons decaying to $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. **B 705** (2011) 174 [arXiv:1107.5003] [INSPIRE].
- [61] ATLAS collaboration, Search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in the $H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ decay mode with 4.7 fb⁻¹ of ATLAS data at 7 TeV, ATLAS-CONF-2012-014.
- [62] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Search for neutral Higgs bosons decaying to tau pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, Phys. Lett. B 713 (2012) 68 [arXiv:1202.4083] [INSPIRE].
- [63] CMS collaboration, Search for Neutral Higgs Bosons Decaying into Tau Leptons in the Dimuon Channel with CMS in pp Collisions at 7 TeV, CMS-PAS-HIG-12-007.
- [64] M. Carena, S. Gori, A. Juste, A. Menon, C.E. Wagner and L. -T. Wang, LHC Discovery Potential for Non-Standard Higgs Bosons in the 3b Channel, arXiv:1203.1041 [INSPIRE].
- [65] M. Carena, P. Draper, T. Liu and C. Wagner, The 7 TeV LHC Reach for MSSM Higgs Bosons, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 095010 [arXiv:1107.4354] [INSPIRE].
- [66] J. Hisano and S. Sugiyama, Charge-breaking constraints on left-right mixing of stau's, Phys. Lett. B 696 (2011) 92 [arXiv:1011.0260] [INSPIRE].
- [67] P.H. Chankowski, A. Dabelstein, W. Hollik, W.M. Mosle, S. Pokorski and J. Rosiek, ΔR in the MSSM, Nucl. Phys. B 417 (1994) 101 [INSPIRE].

- [68] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, D. Stöckinger, A. Weber and G. Weiglein, Precise prediction for M_W in the MSSM, JHEP 08 (2006) 052 [hep-ph/0604147] [INSPIRE].
- [69] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik and G. Weiglein, *Electroweak precision observables in the minimal supersymmetric standard model*, *Phys. Rept.* **425** (2006) 265 [hep-ph/0412214] [INSPIRE].
- T. Moroi, The Muon anomalous magnetic dipole moment in the minimal supersymmetric standard model, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 6565 [Erratum ibid. D 56 (1997) 4424]
 [hep-ph/9512396] [INSPIRE].
- [71] U. Chattopadhyay and P. Nath, Probing supergravity grand unification in the Brookhaven g-2 experiment, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 1648 [hep-ph/9507386] [INSPIRE].
- M.S. Carena, G. Giudice and C. Wagner, Constraints on supersymmetric models from the muon anomalous magnetic moment, Phys. Lett. B 390 (1997) 234 [hep-ph/9610233]
 [INSPIRE].
- [73] S.P. Martin and J.D. Wells, Muon anomalous magnetic dipole moment in supersymmetric theories, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 035003 [hep-ph/0103067] [INSPIRE].
- [74] J.L. Feng and K.T. Matchev, Supersymmetry and the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 3480 [hep-ph/0102146] [INSPIRE].
- [75] F. Jegerlehner and A. Nyffeler, *The Muon g-2*, *Phys. Rept.* 477 (2009) 1
 [arXiv:0902.3360] [INSPIRE].
- [76] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, K. Nakamura et al., Review of particle physics, J. Phys. G 37 (2010) 075021 [INSPIRE].
- [77] J. Edsjo and P. Gondolo, Neutralino relic density including coannihilations, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 1879 [hep-ph/9704361] [INSPIRE].
- J.R. Ellis, T. Falk, K.A. Olive and M. Srednicki, Calculations of neutralino-stau coannihilation channels and the cosmologically relevant region of MSSM parameter space, Astropart. Phys. 13 (2000) 181 [Erratum ibid. 15 (2001) 413] [hep-ph/9905481] [INSPIRE].
- [79] G. Bélanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov and A. Semenov, *MicrOMEGAs: A Tool for dark matter studies*, arXiv:1005.4133 [INSPIRE].
- [80] P. Gondolo, J. Edsjo, P. Ullio, L. Bergstrom, M. Schelke and E. A. Baltz, *DarkSUSY: Computing supersymmetric dark matter properties numerically*, *JCAP* 07 (2004) 008 [astro-ph/0406204] [INSPIRE].
- [81] S.P. Martin and M.T. Vaughn, Two loop renormalization group equations for soft supersymmetry breaking couplings, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 2282 [Erratum ibid. D 78 (2008) 039903] [hep-ph/9311340] [INSPIRE].
- [82] M.S. Carena, M. Olechowski, S. Pokorski and C. Wagner, Radiative electroweak symmetry breaking and the infrared fixed point of the top quark mass, Nucl. Phys. B 419 (1994) 213 [hep-ph/9311222] [INSPIRE].
- [83] M.S. Carena, M. Olechowski, S. Pokorski and C. Wagner, *Electroweak symmetry breaking and bottom top Yukawa unification*, *Nucl. Phys.* B 426 (1994) 269 [hep-ph/9402253] [INSPIRE].
- [84] J.A. Bagger, J.L. Feng, N. Polonsky and R.-J. Zhang, Superheavy supersymmetry from scalar mass: A parameter fixed points, Phys. Lett. B 473 (2000) 264 [hep-ph/9911255]
 [INSPIRE].

- [85] J. Bagger, J.L. Feng and N. Polonsky, Naturally heavy scalars in supersymmetric grand unified theories, Nucl. Phys. B 563 (1999) 3 [hep-ph/9905292] [INSPIRE].
- [86] T. Blazek, R. Dermisek and S. Raby, Yukawa unification in SO(10), Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 115004 [hep-ph/0201081] [INSPIRE].
- [87] L.J. Hall, R. Rattazzi and U. Sarid, The Top quark mass in supersymmetric SO(10) unification, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 7048 [hep-ph/9306309] [INSPIRE].
- [88] R. Hempfling, Yukawa coupling unification with supersymmetric threshold corrections, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 6168 [INSPIRE].
- [89] D.M. Pierce, J.A. Bagger, K.T. Matchev and R.-j. Zhang, Precision corrections in the minimal supersymmetric standard model, Nucl. Phys. B 491 (1997) 3 [hep-ph/9606211] [INSPIRE].
- [90] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer and T. Stelzer, MadGraph 5 : Going Beyond, JHEP 06 (2011) 128 [arXiv:1106.0522] [INSPIRE].
- [91] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., Search for supersymmetry with jets, missing transverse momentum and at least one hadronically decaying tau lepton in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, arXiv:1204.3852 [INSPIRE].
- [92] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., Search for events with large missing transverse momentum, jets and at least two tau leptons in 7 TeV proton-proton collision data with the ATLAS detector, arXiv:1203.6580 [INSPIRE].
- [93] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Search for anomalous production of multilepton events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, JHEP 06 (2012) 169 [arXiv:1204.5341] [INSPIRE].
- [94] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Search for heavy long-lived charged particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, Phys. Lett. **B** 713 (2012) 408 [arXiv:1205.0272] [INSPIRE].
- [95] ATLAS collaboration, Performance of the Reconstruction and Identification of Hadronic Tau Decays with ATLAS, ATLAS-CONF-2011-152 (2011).
- [96] ATLAS collaboration, Search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in the $H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ decay mode with 4.7 fb⁻¹ of ATLAS data at 7 TeV, ATLAS-CONF-2012-014 (2012).
- [97] Q.-H. Cao, S. Kanemura and C. Yuan, Associated production of CP odd and charged Higgs bosons at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 075008 [hep-ph/0311083] [INSPIRE].
- [98] J.M. Lindert, F.D. Steffen and M.K. Trenkel, Direct stau production at hadron colliders in cosmologically motivated scenarios, JHEP 08 (2011) 151 [arXiv:1106.4005] [INSPIRE].