Lightly-strained germanium quantum wells with hole mobility exceeding one million

M. Lodari,^{1,*} O. Kong,^{2,3,*} M. Rendell,^{2,3} A. Tosato,¹ A.

Sammak,⁴ M. Veldhorst,¹ A. R. Hamilton,^{2,3} and G. Scappucci^{*1,†}

¹QuTech and Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology,

PO Box 5046, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands

²School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia

³ARC Centre of Excellence for Future Low-Energy Electronics Technologies,

University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia

⁴QuTech and Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research (TNO), Delft, The Netherlands.

(Dated: February 8, 2022)

We demonstrate that a lightly-strained germanium channel ($\varepsilon_{//} = -0.41\%$) in an undoped Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9} heterostructure field effect transistor supports a 2D hole gas with mobility in excess of 1×10^6 cm²/Vs and percolation density less than 5×10^{10} cm⁻². This low disorder 2D hole system shows tunable fractional quantum Hall effect at low density and low magnetic field. The low-disorder and small effective mass ($0.068m_e$) defines lightly-strained germanium as a basis to tune the strength of the spin-orbit coupling for fast and coherent quantum hardware.

Quantum confined holes in germanium are emerging as a compelling platform for quantum information processing because of several favorable properties.[1] The light hole effective mass (~ $0.05m_e$ at zero density)[2] and the absence of valley degeneracy[3, 4] give rise to large orbital splittings in quantum dots.[5] The intrinsic sizable and tunable spin-orbit coupling (SOC)[6, 7] enables allelectrical fast qubit driving.[8–11] Furthermore, the capability to host superconducting pairing correlations[12–14] is promising for the co-integration of spin-qubits with superconductors in hybrid architectures for spin–spin longdistance entanglement and quantum information transfer between different qubit types.[15–21]

Planar Ge/SiGe heterostructures are promising for scaling up to large quantum processors due to their compatibility with advanced semiconductor manufacturing.[22] The low-disorder in planar Ge quantum wells^[23] enabled the demonstration of a four-qubit quantum processor based on hole spins in a two-by-two array of quantum dots.[24] These heterostructures featured a $Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8}$ strain-relaxed buffer (SRB), resulting in quantum wells with compressive strain $\varepsilon_{//}$ = -0.63% [25] Alternatively, higher strained Ge ($\varepsilon_{//}$ = -1.18 %) on $\rm Si_{0.25}Ge_{0.75}$ SRBs enabled singlet-triplet spin qubits.[26] Lightly-strained Ge/SiGe heterostructures are unexplored and could offer potentially larger SOC because of the reduced energy splitting between heavy-holes (HH) and light holes (LH), [27] which is $\approx 17 \text{ meV}$ for Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9} compared to $\approx 51 \text{ meV}$ for Ge/Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8}, respectively.[3, 4] As such, lightlystrained Ge is interesting for exploring faster spin-qubit driving and for topological devices. In this letter we demonstrate that lightly-strained Ge quantum wells in undoped $Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9}$ support a two-dimensional hole gas (2DHG) with low disorder at low density, a prerequisite for further exploration of lightly-strained Ge quantum devices.

We grow the Ge/SiGe heterostructure by reduced-

pressure chemical vapor deposition on a Si(001) wafer and then we fabricate Hall-bar shaped heterostructure field effect transistors (H-FETs) with the same process as in Refs. [2, 23, 25]. Here, a 16 nm strained Ge (sGe) quantum well (QW) is positioned between two strain-relaxed layers of $Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9}$, at a depth of 66 nm [schematics in Fig. 1(a)].[28] Applying a negative DC bias to the accumulation gate V_q induces a 2DHG at the Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9} interface. The density in the 2DHG p is increased above the percolation density p_p by making V_q more negative. We use standard four-probe low-frequency lock-in techniques for mobility-density and magnetotransport characterization at T = 1.7 K and 70 mK, with excitation source-drain bias of 1 mV and 100 µeV, respectively. We do not measure gate to drain current leakage over the range of applied V_q . Figure 1(b) shows the Raman spectra measured with a 633 nm red laser to determine the strain in the Ge QW. Comparing $Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9}$ (red) to a control $Ge/Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8}$ (black), we observe the Raman peak from the Ge-Ge vibration mode (sGe) in the QW appearing at a lower Raman shift. Conversely, the Raman peak from the SiGe layer is appearing at a higher Raman shift. These observations are consistent with the QW in $Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9}$ being less strained due to a Gericher SiGe SRB.[29] From the position of the Raman shift (301.7 cm^{-1}) , we estimate a light compressive strain of $\varepsilon_{//} = -0.41\%$ for the QW in Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9}. This is significantly lower than in Refs. [25, 26].

Moving on to electrical characterisation, we operate the H-FET as following. We turn on the device at $V_g \sim -0.4$ V and sweep V_g to larger negative voltages ($V_g \approx -9$ V) to saturate the traps at the semiconductor/dielectric interface via charge tunneling from the quantum well, similarly to what observed in shallow Ge/Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8} H-FETs.[25] At these large gate voltages, the density reaches saturation (p_{sat}) when the Fermi level crosses the surface quantum well at the Si_{0.1}SiGe_{0.9}/dielectric interface,[30] thereby screen-



Figure 1. (a) Schematic of a Ge/SiGe heterostructure field effect transistor. The strained Ge QW (sGe) is grown with the same lattice parameter to a Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9} SRB obtained by reverse grading, and it is separated from the native-SiO_x/Al₂O₃ dielectric and from the Ti/Au metallic gate stack by a 66 nm thick Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9} layer. (b) Intensity spectra as a function of Raman shift from a Ge/Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8} (black) and Ge/Si_{0.1}Ge_{0.9} (red) heterostructures. (c) Mobility μ as a function of density p at T = 1.7 K from five Hall bar devices from the same wafer. The inset shows the maximum mobility μ_{max} from all the devices and average value \pm standard deviation (black). (d) Conductivity σ_{xx} as a function of density regime (solid lines). The inset shows the percolation density p_p from all the devices and average value \pm standard deviation (black).

ing the electric field at the sGe QW.[31] Fig. 1(c) shows the mobility μ as a function of Hall density p from five H-FETs fabricated on a 2 × 2 cm² coupon from the center of the 100 mm wafer and measured at T = 1.7 K. The mobility increases steeply with p due to the increasing screening of scattering from remote charged impurities.[31–33] At higher density ($p \ge 7 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻²), short range scattering from impurities within and/or in proximity of the quantum well becomes the mobility-



Figure 2. Longitudinal resistivity ρ_{xx} (black) and transverse Hall resistivity ρ_{xy} (red) as a function of perpendicular magnetic field *B* at 70 mK, at a density of $p = 7.2 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻² and mobility $\mu = 8.1 \times 10^5$ cm²/Vs. (a) Low-field Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations from B = 0 to 0.75 T, and (b) in a expanded magnetic field range from B = 0 to 9 T. Onset of Landau levels (B_L) and Zeeman splitting (B_Z) are reported. Integer and fractional Landau levels labels are reported.

limiting scattering mechanism.[31] We observe a maximum mobility μ_{max} in the range of $0.8-1.2 \times 10^6$ cm²/Vs for p_{sat} in the range of $9.43-9.64 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻² over the five investigated H-FETs. The inset in Fig. 1(c) shows a box plot of μ_{max} across the devices, with an average value of $(1.03 \pm 0.15) \times 10^6$ cm²/Vs (black), setting a benchmark for holes in buried channel transistors. Crucially, such high mobility is measured at very low density below $p = 1 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻², a significant improvement compared to previous studies in Ge/SiGe.[23, 25]

Beyond μ_{max} , p_p is a key metric for characterizing the disorder potential landscape at low density, the regime relevant for quantum dot qubits. Figure 1(d) shows the conductivity σ_{xx} (circles) as a function of density p for all the investigated devices and their fit to percolation theory (lines) $\sigma \sim (p - p_p)^{1.31}$, where the exponent 1.31 is fixed for 2D systems.[34] p_p ranges from 3.5 to 4.8 × 10^{10} cm⁻². Figure 1(d) inset shows a box plot of the percolation density p_p across the devices with an average value of $p_p = (4.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻² (black). We take these values as an upper bound for p_p , since we observed smaller values of p_p [(1.76 ± 0.04) × 10¹⁰ cm⁻² at T = 70 mK] if the range of applied gate voltage is restricted to small voltages above the turn-on threshold.

Since $Ge/S_{0.1}Ge_{0.9}$ is characterized by such low level of disorder, we further explored the quantum transport



Figure 3. (a) Normalized longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{xx}/\rho_{xx,0}$ as a function of magnetic field *B* and Hall density *p* at T = 70 mK. Labels of integer and fractional ν assigned from the quantum Hall effect are reported. Amplitude color scale: 0 to 60. Dashed lines correspondent to the line cuts ρ_{xx} vs. *B* at different densities are reported for (b) p = 7.1, (c) 5.9, and (d) 4.2×10^{10} cm⁻². Labels of Landau levels (B_L) and Zeeman splitting (B_Z) onset are reported.

proprieties of the 2DHG at 70 mK. Figure 2(a) shows the longitudinal resistivity ρ_{xx} (black) and transverse Hall resistivity ρ_{xy} (red) as a function of perpendicular magnetic field *B* up to 0.75 T and at a Hall density of 7.2×10^{10} cm⁻² and $\mu = 8.1 \times 10^5$ cm²/Vs. We observe clear Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) resistivity oscillations above 80 mT. The onset for resolving the spindegeneracy by Zeeman splitting is 0.17 T and ρ_{xx} minima reach zero already at 0.5 T. We do not observe beatings in the SdH oscillations associated with increased Rashba spin-splitting. We speculate that such beatings are more likely to be visible at higher densities, that require the quantum well to be closer to the dielectric interface.[2]

Fig. 3(b) shows the SdH oscillations at higher magnetic fields: strong minima are developed for filling factors ν with integer and fractional values. Clear plateaus are visible in ρ_{xy} for $\nu = 2/3$ and 1/3, where correspondingly ρ_{xx} vanishes. Such high quality fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) has previously only been reported holes in modulation-doped systems at higher carrier density and, hence, at larger magnetic fields.[35–37] Here, in undoped heterostructure, we use the top-gate to follow the evolution of FQHE states down to low density, providing avenues for studying the underlying physics.

The color map in Fig. 3(a), measured at T = 70 mK, shows ρ_{xx} (normalized to the value $\rho_{xx,0}$ at zero magnetic field) as a function of magnetic field *B* and Hall density *p* in the range of $4.1 - 7.1 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻². Yellow and blue regions in the color map correspond to peaks and dips in the normalized ρ_{xx} , highlighting the density-dependent evolution of integer and fractional filling factors. All filling factors fan out towards higher magnetic field and density, and fractional filling factors are well resolved across the full investigated range of density and magnetic field. Three line cuts from the color map are shown in Fig. 3(bd), at decreasing density p = 7.1 (blue), 5.9 (green), and 4.2×10^{10} cm⁻² (red), respectively. We observe that the minima associated with fractional ν become shallower as the density is decreased, possibly because of increased level broadening by unscreened disorder and because of weaker Coulomb interactions and correlation effects. [36, 37] We also observe the distance between the onset of Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations (B_L) and Zeeman splitting (B_Z) reducing from Fig. 3(b) to Fig. 3(c). In Fig. 3(d), B_L and B_Z have crossed, meaning that at $p = 4.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ the Zeeman gap is larger than the cyclotron gap and therefore the spin susceptibility $(g^*m^*)/m_e \geq 1,[38]$ where m^* is the effective mass and g^* the effective g-factor out of plane. Indeed, from thermal activation measurement (Supplementary Material) we estimate $m^* = (0.068 \pm 0.001)m_e$ and $q^* = 13.95 \pm 0.18$ at a density of 5.8×10^{10} cm⁻², corresponding to a spin susceptibility of ≈ 1 . We note that similar values of m^* and g^* were reported in Ge/Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8}[2, 5] albeit at much higher density, pointing to higher HH-LH intermixing in the lightly strained quantum wells at lower density, as expected from theory.[3]

In conclusion, we demonstrated a lightly-strained Ge/SiGe heterostrucure supporting a 2DHG with mobility in excess of one million and low percolation density (less than $5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). Such low disorder enables measurement of FQHE at tunable low density and low magnetic fields. To mitigate the effect of traps at the interface and to suppress tunneling from the quantum well to the surface, we speculate that lightly-strained Ge channels could be positioned deeper compared to more strained channels[23, 30] because of the smaller band offset ($\approx 66 \text{ meV Ge/Si}_{0.2}\text{Ge}_{0.9} \text{ vs.} \approx 130 \text{ meV}$ in Ge/Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8}). Further measurements in quantum dots, where confinement increases the HH-LH mixing, will help to elucidate the effect of reduced strain on the spin-orbit coupling in the system. The demonstration that holes can be defined in QWs with varying strain provides avenues to explore the opportunities in the germanium quantum information route.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is provided with measurements of the effective g-factor and effective mass.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

G.S. and M.V. acknowledge support through a projectruimte grant associated with the Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research (NWO). This work is partially funded by the ARC Centre of Excellence for Future Low Energy Electronics Technologies (N0. CE170100039).

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sets supporting the findings of this study are available at doi.org/10.4121/17306906.v1.

* These authors contributed equally

- [†] g.scappucci@tudelft.nl
- G. Scappucci, C. Kloeffel, F. A. Zwanenburg, D. Loss, M. Myronov, J.-J. Zhang, S. De Franceschi, G. Katsaros, and M. Veldhorst, Nature Reviews Materials 6, 926 (2021).
- [2] M. Lodari, A. Tosato, D. Sabbagh, M. A. Schubert, G. Capellini, A. Sammak, M. Veldhorst, and G. Scappucci, Physical Review B 100, 041304 (2019).
- [3] L. A. Terrazos, E. Marcellina, Z. Wang, S. N. Coppersmith, M. Friesen, A. R. Hamilton, X. Hu, B. Koiller, A. L. Saraiva, D. Culcer, and R. B. Capaz, Phys. Rev. B 103, 125201 (2021).
- [4] P. Del Vecchio, M. Lodari, A. Sammak, G. Scappucci, and O. Moutanabbir, Phys. Rev. B 102, 115304 (2020).
- [5] N. W. Hendrickx, D. P. Franke, A. Sammak, M. Kouwenhoven, D. Sabbagh, L. Yeoh, R. Li, M. L. Tagliaferri, M. Virgilio, G. Capellini, G. Scappucci, and

4

M. Veldhorst, Nature Communications 9, 1 (2018), arXiv:1801.08869.

- [6] F. N. M. Froning, M. J. Rančić, B. Hetényi, S. Bosco, M. K. Rehmann, A. Li, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, F. A. Zwanenburg, D. Loss, D. M. Zumbühl, and F. R. Braakman, Physical Review Research 3, 013081 (2021).
- [7] S. Bosco, M. Benito, C. Adelsberger, and D. Loss, Physical Review B 104, 115425 (2021).
- [8] H. Watzinger, J. Kukučka, L. Vukušić, F. Gao, T. Wang, F. Schäffler, J.-J. Zhang, and G. Katsaros, Nature Communications 9, 3902 (2018).
- [9] N. W. Hendrickx, D. P. Franke, A. Sammak, G. Scappucci, and M. Veldhorst, Nature 577, 487 (2020), arXiv:1904.11443.
- [10] F. N. M. Froning, L. C. Camenzind, O. A. H. van der Molen, A. Li, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, D. M. Zumbühl, and F. R. Braakman, Nature Nanotechnology 16, 308 (2021).
- [11] K. Wang, G. Xu, F. Gao, H. Liu, R.-L. Ma, X. Zhang, Z. Wang, G. Cao, T. Wang, J.-J. Zhang, D. Culcer, X. Hu, H.-W. Jiang, H.-O. Li, G.-C. Guo, and G.-P. Guo, Nature Communications 13, 206 (2022), arXiv: 2006.12340.
- [12] R. Mizokuchi, R. Maurand, F. Vigneau, M. Myronov, and S. De Franceschi, Nano Letters 18, 4861 (2018).
- [13] N. W. Hendrickx, M. L. V. Tagliaferri, M. Kouwenhoven, R. Li, D. P. Franke, A. Sammak, A. Brinkman, G. Scappucci, and M. Veldhorst, Phys. Rev. B 99, 075435 (2019).
- [14] K. Aggarwal, A. Hofmann, D. Jirovec, I. Prieto, A. Sammak, M. Botifoll, S. Martí-Sánchez, M. Veldhorst, J. Arbiol, G. Scappucci, J. Danon, and G. Katsaros, Phys. Rev. Research 3, L022005 (2021).
- [15] M.-S. Choi, C. Bruder, and D. Loss, Physical Review B 62, 13569 (2000).
- [16] X. Hu, Y.-x. Liu, and F. Nori, Physical Review B 86, 035314 (2012).
- [17] M. Leijnse and K. Flensberg, Physical Review B 86, 104511 (2012).
- [18] M. Leijnse and K. Flensberg, Physical Review Letters 111, 060501 (2013).
- [19] F. Hassler, G. Catelani, and H. Bluhm, Physical Review B 92, 235401 (2015).
- [20] S. Hoffman, C. Schrade, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, Physical Review B 94, 045316 (2016).
- [21] M. J. Rančić, S. Hoffman, C. Schrade, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, Physical Review B 99, 165306 (2019).
- [22] R. Pillarisetty, Nature **479**, 324 (2011).
- [23] M. Lodari, N. W. Hendrickx, W. I. L. Lawrie, T.-K. Hsiao, L. M. K. Vandersypen, A. Sammak, M. Veldhorst, and G. Scappucci, Materials for Quantum Technology 1, 011002 (2021), arXiv:2007.06328.
- [24] N. W. Hendrickx, W. I. L. Lawrie, M. Russ, F. van Riggelen, S. L. de Snoo, R. N. Schouten, A. Sammak, G. Scappucci, and M. Veldhorst, Nature **591**, 580 (2021).
- [25] A. Sammak, D. Sabbagh, N. W. Hendrickx, M. Lodari, B. Paquelet Wuetz, A. Tosato, L. R. Yeoh, M. Bollani, M. Virgilio, M. A. Schubert, P. Zaumseil, G. Capellini, M. Veldhorst, and G. Scappucci, Advanced Functional Materials 29, 1 (2019).
- [26] D. Jirovec, A. Hofmann, A. Ballabio, P. M. Mutter, G. Tavani, M. Botifoll, A. Crippa, J. Kukucka, O. Sagi, F. Martins, J. Saez-Mollejo, I. Prieto, M. Borovkov, J. Arbiol, D. Chrastina, G. Isella, and G. Katsaros, Nature Materials **20**, 1106 (2021).

- [27] R. Moriya, K. Sawano, Y. Hoshi, S. Masubuchi, Y. Shiraki, A. Wild, C. Neumann, G. Abstreiter, D. Bougeard, T. Koga, and T. Machida, Physical Review Letters **113**, 086601 (2014).
- [28] The chemical composition of the layers is measured by secondary ion mass spectroscopy.
- [29] F. Pezzoli, E. Bonera, E. Grilli, M. Guzzi, S. Sanguinetti, D. Chrastina, G. Isella, H. Von Känel, E. Wintersberger, J. Stangl, and G. Bauer, Journal of Applied Physics 103 (2008), 10.1063/1.2913052.
- [30] Y.-H. Su, Y. Chuang, C.-Y. Liu, J.-Y. Li, and T.-M. Lu, Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 044601 (2017).
- [31] D. Laroche, S. H. Huang, Y. Chuang, J. Y. Li, C. W. Liu, and T. M. Lu, Applied Physics Letters **108** (2016), 10.1063/1.4953399.
- [32] D. Monroe, Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B: Microelectronics and Nanometer Structures 11, 1731

(1993).

- [33] A. Gold, Journal of Applied Physics 108 (2010), 10.1063/1.3482058.
- [34] L. A. Tracy, E. H. Hwang, K. Eng, G. A. Ten Eyck, E. P. Nordberg, K. Childs, M. S. Carroll, M. P. Lilly, and S. Das Sarma, Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics **79**, 1 (2009).
- [35] Q. Shi, M. A. Zudov, C. Morrison, and M. Myronov, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 241303 (2015).
- [36] O. A. Mironov, N. d'Ambrumenil, A. Dobbie, D. R. Leadley, A. V. Suslov, and E. Green, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 176802 (2016).
- [37] I. B. Berkutov, V. V. Andrievskii, Y. A. Kolesnichenko, and O. A. Mironov, Low Temperature Physics 45, 1202 (2019).
- [38] T. M. Lu, L. A. Tracy, D. Laroche, S.-H. Huang, Y. Chuang, Y.-H. Su, J.-Y. Li, and C. W. Liu, Scientific Reports 7, 2468 (2017).

Supplemental material: Lightly-strained germanium quantum wells with hole mobility exceeding one million

M. Lodari,^{1,*} O. Kong,^{2,3,*} M. Rendell,^{2,3} A. Tosato,¹ A.

Sammak,⁴ M. Veldhorst,¹ A. R. Hamilton,^{2,3} and G. Scappucci^{*1,†}

¹QuTech and Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology.

PO Box 5046, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands

²School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia

³ARC Centre of Excellence for Future Low-Energy Electronics Technologies,

University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia

⁴QuTech and Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research (TNO), Delft, The Netherlands.

(Dated: February 8, 2022)



Figure 1. Temperature dependent longitudinal resistivity ρ_{xx} as a function of filling factor ν in the temperature range from T = 68 mK (blue) to 1.2 K (orange).

We extrapolate the effective g^* factor and the effective mass m^* from the temperature-dependent decay of the longitudinal resistivity ρ_{xx} at different filling factors $\nu = ph/eB_{\nu}$, where h is the Planck constant, e is the electron charge, and B_{ν} is the magnetic field at integer values of ν . Figure 1 shows the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations as a function of ν measured at a density of $p = 5.8 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻² in the temperature range from T = 68 mK to 1.2 K. Filling factors $\nu = 2$ is resolved at a magnetic field $B \sim 1.2$ T, and both even and odd filling factors are resolved up to $\nu = 26$ and 15 at lower field at the coldest temperature, respectively.

The activation energy gap Δ_{ν} of each filling factor can be obtained from the thermally activated dependence of the SdH oscillation minimum for a given filling factor, as reported in the Arrhenius plot of the $ln(\rho_{xx,\nu})$ vs. 1/T (black circles, inset Fig. 2). Following the Boltzmann statistics, the longitudinal magnetoresistance of a specific minima can be described via the relation $ln(\rho_{xx,\nu}) \propto -\Delta_{\nu}/(2k_BT)$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The activation energy of the filling factors Δ_{ν} can be extrapolated from the slope of a linear fit (red). Since the even and odd filling factors corresponds to the cyclotron frequency and the Zeeman splitting, respectively, and a linear relation links activation energy Δ_{ν} and the magnetic field B_{ν} at which each ν occur, the effective mass m^* and the effective g^* can be



Figure 2. Activation energy gap Δ_{ν} as a function of magnetic field *B* for even (red) and odd (green) filling factors ν , along with linear fits. The inset shows the Arrhenius plot The inset shows the Arrhenius plot and fit to extract Δ_{ν} for $\nu = 5$.

extrapolated from a linear fit of the $\Delta_{\nu}(B_{\nu})$ dependence. Figure 2 shows the extrapolated activation energy Δ_{ν} as a function of magnetic field *B* for all the investigated even and odd filling factors (red and green, respectively). The effective g^* can be extrapolated from the slope of a linear fit $\Delta_{\nu,odd} = g^* \mu_B B$ (green line), where μ_B is the Bohr magnetron. Once the g^* is know, then the effective mass m^* can be obtained from the slope of the linear fit $\Delta_{\nu,even} = \hbar e B/m^* - g^* \mu_B B$ (red line).

For a density of $p = 0.58 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, we found an effective g^* factor of 13.95 ± 0.18 and an effective mass m^* of 0.068 ± 0.001 .

^{*} These authors contributed equally

[†] g.scappucci@tudelft.nl