

Lightweight BWT Construction for Very Large String Collections

Markus J. Bauer, Anthony J. Cox and Giovanna Rosone

Computational Biology Group, Illumina Cambridge Ltd., United Kingdom
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, University of Palermo, Palermo, ITALY

Workshop PRIN, 5-7 September 2011

Whole human genome sequencing

- Modern DNA sequencing machines produce a lot of data!
e.g. Illumina HiSeq 2000: > 40Gbases of sequence per day (paired 100-mers)
- Whole human genome sequencing: about 3Gbase genome typically sampled to 20 to 30-fold redundancy to ensure adequate coverage of both copies (i.e. each position in the genome sampled 30 times, on average)
- Datasets of 100 Gbases or more are common
- Applications: Comparing of genomes, assembl, alignment, ...

The BWT

- The BWT is a reversible transformation that produces a permutation $bwt(v)$ of an input sequence v , defined over an ordered alphabet Σ , so that occurrences of a given symbol tend to occur in clusters in the output sequence.
- Traditionally the major application of the Burrows-Wheeler Transform has been for Data Compression. The BWT represents for instance the heart of the BZIP2 algorithm.
- Today, there are reports of the application of the BWT in bio-informatics, full-text compressed indexes, prediction and entropy estimation, and shape analysis in computer vision, etc.

The BWT

- The BWT is a reversible transformation that produces a permutation $bwt(v)$ of an input sequence v , defined over an ordered alphabet Σ , so that occurrences of a given symbol tend to occur in clusters in the output sequence.
- Traditionally the major application of the Burrows-Wheeler Transform has been for Data Compression. The BWT represents for instance the heart of the BZIP2 algorithm.
- Today, there are reports of the application of the BWT in bio-informatics, full-text compressed indexes, prediction and entropy estimation, and shape analysis in computer vision, etc.

The BWT

- The BWT is a reversible transformation that produces a permutation $bwt(v)$ of an input sequence v , defined over an ordered alphabet Σ , so that occurrences of a given symbol tend to occur in clusters in the output sequence.
- Traditionally the major application of the Burrows-Wheeler Transform has been for Data Compression. The BWT represents for instance the heart of the BZIP2 algorithm.
- Today, there are reports of the application of the BWT in bio-informatics, full-text compressed indexes, prediction and entropy estimation, and shape analysis in computer vision, etc.

How does BWT work?

- BWT takes as input a text v , append $\$$ to the end of v ($\$$ is unique and smaller than any other character) and produces:
 - a permutation $bwt(v)$ of the letters of $v\$$.
 - the index I , that is useful in order to recover the original word v .
- Example: $v = abraca$

- Each row of M is a conjugate of $v\$$ in lexicographic order.
- $bwt(v)$ coincides with the last column L of the BW-matrix M .
- The index I is the row of M containing the original sequence followed by $\$$.

| | | M | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----|----|----|----|----|--------------|----|
| | F | | | | | | L | |
| | \downarrow | | | | | | \downarrow | |
| | 0 | \$ | a | b | r | a | c | a |
| | 1 | a | \$ | a | b | r | a | c |
| $I \rightarrow$ | 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| | 3 | a | c | a | \$ | a | b | r |
| | 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | a |
| | 5 | c | a | \$ | a | b | r | a |
| | 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | a | b |

How does BWT work?

- BWT takes as input a text v , append $\$$ to the end of v ($\$$ is unique and smaller than any other character) and produces:
 - a permutation $bwt(v)$ of the letters of $v\$$.
 - the index I , that is useful in order to recover the original word v .
- Example: $v = abraca$

- Each row of M is a conjugate of $v\$$ in lexicographic order.
- $bwt(v)$ coincides with the last column L of the BW-matrix M .
- The index I is the row of M containing the original sequence followed by $\$$.

| | | M | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----|----|----|----|----|--------------|----|
| | F | | | | | | L | |
| | \downarrow | | | | | | \downarrow | |
| | 0 | \$ | a | b | r | a | c | a |
| | 1 | a | \$ | a | b | r | a | c |
| $I \rightarrow$ | 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| | 3 | a | c | a | \$ | a | b | r |
| | 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | a |
| | 5 | c | a | \$ | a | b | r | a |
| | 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | a | b |

How does BWT work?

- BWT takes as input a text v , append $\$$ to the end of v ($\$$ is unique and smaller than any other character) and produces:
 - a permutation $bwt(v)$ of the letters of $v\$$.
 - the index I , that is useful in order to recover the original word v .
- Example: $v = abra\color{green}{ca}$

- Each row of M is a conjugate of $v\$$ in lexicographic order.
- $bwt(v)$ coincides with the last column L of the BW-matrix M .
- The index I is the row of M containing the original sequence followed by $\$$.

| | | M | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-----|----|----|----|----|--------------|----|
| | F | | | | | | L | |
| | \downarrow | | | | | | \downarrow | |
| | 0 | \$ | a | b | r | a | c | a |
| | 1 | a | \$ | a | b | r | a | c |
| $I \rightarrow$ | 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| | 3 | a | c | a | \$ | a | b | r |
| | 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | a |
| | 5 | c | a | \$ | a | b | r | a |
| | 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | a | b |

Properties

The following properties hold:

- 1 For all $i = 0, \dots, |v|$, $i \neq I$, the character $F[i]$ follows $L[i]$ in the original string;
- 2 for each character c , the r -th occurrence of c in F corresponds to the r -th occurrence of c in L .

Ferragina and Manzini (2000) noticed the following connection:

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1) \quad M$$

For instance:

if $i = 5$ then $L[i] = a$ and

$$LF[5] = C[a] + \text{rank}(a, 4) = 1 + 2 = 3$$

| | F | | L |
|---|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | \downarrow | | \downarrow |
| 0 | \$ a b r a c a | | a |
| 1 | a \$ a b r a c | | c |
| 2 | a b r a c a \$ | $I \rightarrow$ | a |
| 3 | a c a \$ a b r | | r |
| 4 | b r a c a \$ a | | a |
| 5 | c a \$ a b r a | | a |
| 6 | r a c a \$ a b | | b |

Properties

The following properties hold:

- 1 For all $i = 0, \dots, |v|$, $i \neq I$, the character $F[i]$ follows $L[i]$ in the original string;
- 2 for each character c , the r -th occurrence of c in F corresponds to the r -th occurrence of c in L .

Ferragina and Manzini (2000) noticed the following connection:

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1) \quad M$$

For instance:

if $i = 5$ then $L[i] = a$ and

$$LF[5] = C[a] + \text{rank}(a, 4) = 1 + 2 = 3$$

| | F | | | | | | L |
|-------------------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| | ↓ | | | | | | ↓ |
| 0 | \$ | a | b | r | a | c | a |
| 1 | a | \$ | a | b | r | a | c |
| $I \rightarrow$ 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| 3 | a | c | a | \$ | a | b | r |
| 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | a |
| 5 | c | a | \$ | a | b | r | a |
| 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | a | b |

Properties

The following properties hold:

- 1 For all $i = 0, \dots, |v|$, $i \neq I$, the character $F[i]$ follows $L[i]$ in the original string;
- 2 for each character c , the r -th occurrence of c in F corresponds to the r -th occurrence of c in L .

Ferragina and Manzini (2000) noticed the following connection:

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1) \quad M$$

For instance:

if $i = 5$ then $L[i] = a$ and

$$LF[5] = C[a] + \text{rank}(a, 4) = 1 + 2 = 3$$

| | F | | | | | | L |
|-------------------|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|
| | \downarrow | | | | | | \downarrow |
| 0 | \$ | a | b | r | a | c | a |
| 1 | a | \$ | a | b | r | a | c |
| $I \rightarrow 2$ | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| 3 | a | c | a | \$ | a | b | r |
| 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | a |
| 5 | c | a | \$ | a | b | r | a |
| 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | a | b |

Properties

The following properties hold:

- 1 For all $i = 0, \dots, |v|$, $i \neq I$, the character $F[i]$ follows $L[i]$ in the original string;
- 2 for each character c , the r -th occurrence of c in F corresponds to the r -th occurrence of c in L .

Ferragina and Manzini (2000) noticed the following connection:

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1) \quad M$$

For instance:

if $i = 5$ then $L[i] = a$ and

$$LF[5] = C[a] + \text{rank}(a, 4) = 1 + 2 = 3$$

| | F | | | | | | L |
|-------------------|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|
| | \downarrow | | | | | | \downarrow |
| 0 | \$ | a | b | r | a | c | a |
| 1 | a | \$ | a | b | r | a | c |
| $I \rightarrow$ 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| 3 | a | c | a | \$ | a | b | r |
| 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | a |
| 5 | c | a | \$ | a | b | r | a |
| 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | a | b |

The BWT in bioinformatics

- BWT-based text indexes are the core of popular mapping programs
 - 1 Bowtie (Langmead et al., Genome Biology 2009)
 - 2 BWA (Li and Durbin, Bioinformatics 2009, 2010)
 - 3 SOAP2 (Li et al., Bioinformatics 2009)
- Create index from reference genome (e.g. human)
create once, use many times
- Simpson and Durbin, Bioinformatics 2010: FM-index of a set of DNA sequences for overlap detection stage of de novo assembly
See also Valimaki et al., CPM 2010

The BWT in bioinformatics

- BWT-based text indexes are the core of popular mapping programs
 - 1 Bowtie (Langmead et al., Genome Biology 2009)
 - 2 BWA (Li and Durbin, Bioinformatics 2009, 2010)
 - 3 SOAP2 (Li et al., Bioinformatics 2009)
- Create index from reference genome (e.g. human)
create once, use many times
- Simpson and Durbin, Bioinformatics 2010: FM-index of a set of DNA sequences for overlap detection stage of de novo assembly
See also Valimaki et al., CPM 2010

The BWT in bioinformatics

- BWT-based text indexes are the core of popular mapping programs
 - ① Bowtie (Langmead et al., Genome Biology 2009)
 - ② BWA (Li and Durbin, Bioinformatics 2009, 2010)
 - ③ SOAP2 (Li et al., Bioinformatics 2009)
- Create index from reference genome (e.g. human)
create once, use many times
- Simpson and Durbin, Bioinformatics 2010: FM-index of a set of DNA sequences for overlap detection stage of de novo assembly
See also Valimaki et al., CPM 2010

BWT of a collection of strings

- BWT extended to set of strings by S. Mantaci et al. (CPM 2005, TCS 2007) by using a different ordering of the conjugates of the strings.
- original BWT of concatenated strings

- Straightforward to compute BWT from suffix array.
- Lots of work on efficient linear time SA generation methods.

- But, need to hold SA in RAM (complexity of SA estimate $\approx O(n \log n)$ for n strings of length m).
- They called

“[Space-Saving BWT](#)” (also called [Space-Saving BWT](#)).

“[Space-Saving BWT](#)” (also called [Space-Saving BWT](#)).

“[Space-Saving BWT](#)”

BWT of a collection of strings

- BWT extended to set of strings by S. Mantaci et al. (CPM 2005, TCS 2007) by using a different ordering of the conjugates of the strings.
- original BWT of concatenated strings
 - Straightforward to compute BWT from suffix array.
 - Lots of work on efficient linear time SA generation methods.
 - But: need to hold SA in RAM (Simpson et al. estimate 700Gbytes RAM for SA of 60 Gbases of data)
 - Other options:
 - Siren, SPIRE 2009: divide collection into batches, compute BWT of each then merge
 - Ferragina et al., Latin 2010: partition string T into blocks T_1, \dots, T_k , create SA of each in turn

BWT of a collection of strings

- BWT extended to set of strings by S. Mantaci et al. (CPM 2005, TCS 2007) by using a different ordering of the conjugates of the strings.
- original BWT of concatenated strings
 - Straightforward to compute BWT from suffix array.
 - Lots of work on efficient linear time SA generation methods.
 - **But:** need to hold SA in RAM (Simpson et al. estimate 700Gbytes RAM for SA of 60 Gbases of data)
 - Other options:
 - Siren, SPIRE 2009: divide collection into batches, compute BWT of each then merge
 - Ferragina et al., Latin 2010: partition string T into blocks $T_r \cdots T_1$, create SA of each in turn

BWT of a collection of strings

- BWT extended to set of strings by S. Mantaci et al. (CPM 2005, TCS 2007) by using a different ordering of the conjugates of the strings.
- original BWT of concatenated strings
 - Straightforward to compute BWT from suffix array.
 - Lots of work on efficient linear time SA generation methods.
 - **But:** need to hold SA in RAM (Simpson et al. estimate 700Gbytes RAM for SA of 60 Gbases of data)
 - Other options:
 - Siren, SPIRE 2009: divide collection into batches, compute BWT of each then merge
 - Ferragina et al., Latin 2010: partition string T into blocks $T_r \cdots T_1$, create SA of each in turn

BWT of a collection of strings

- BWT extended to set of strings by S. Mantaci et al. (CPM 2005, TCS 2007) by using a different ordering of the conjugates of the strings.
- original BWT of concatenated strings
 - Straightforward to compute BWT from suffix array.
 - Lots of work on efficient linear time SA generation methods.
 - **But**: need to hold SA in RAM (Simpson et al. estimate 700Gbytes RAM for SA of 60 Gbases of data)
 - Other options:
 - Siren, SPIRE 2009: divide collection into batches, compute BWT of each then merge
 - Ferragina et al., Latin 2010: partition string T into blocks $T_r \cdots T_1$, create SA of each in turn

Observations

Let S be a collection of m strings of length k on an alphabet of σ letters. Our algorithm computes the BWT of S

- without concatenating the strings belonging to S and without needing to compute their suffix array.
- incrementally via k iterations. At each of the iterations $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the algorithm computes a partial BWT string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ by inserting the symbols preceding the j -suffixes of S at their correct positions into $\text{bwt}_{j-1}(S)$. Each iteration j simulates the insertion of the j -suffixes in the suffix array.
- The string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ is a 'partial BWT' in the sense that the addition of m end markers in their correct positions would make it the BWT of the collection $\{S_1[k-j-1, k], S_2[k-j-1, k], \dots, S_m[k-j-1, k]\}$.
- *This insertion does not affect the relative ordering of symbols inserted during previous iterations.*

Observations

Let S be a collection of m strings of length k on an alphabet of σ letters. Our algorithm computes the BWT of S

- without concatenating the strings belonging to S and without needing to compute their suffix array.
- incrementally via k iterations. At each of the iterations $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the algorithm computes a partial BWT string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ by inserting the symbols preceding the j -suffixes of S at their correct positions into $\text{bwt}_{j-1}(S)$. Each iteration j simulates the insertion of the j -suffixes in the suffix array.
- The string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ is a 'partial BWT' in the sense that the addition of m end markers in their correct positions would make it the BWT of the collection $\{S_1[k-j-1, k], S_2[k-j-1, k], \dots, S_m[k-j-1, k]\}$.
- *This insertion does not affect the relative ordering of symbols inserted during previous iterations.*

Observations

Let S be a collection of m strings of length k on an alphabet of σ letters. Our algorithm computes the BWT of S

- without concatenating the strings belonging to S and without needing to compute their suffix array.
- incrementally via k iterations. At each of the iterations $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the algorithm computes a partial BWT string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ by inserting the symbols preceding the j -suffixes of S at their correct positions into $\text{bwt}_{j-1}(S)$. Each iteration j simulates the insertion of the j -suffixes in the suffix array.
- The string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ is a 'partial BWT' in the sense that the addition of m end markers in their correct positions would make it the BWT of the collection $\{S_1[k-j-1, k], S_2[k-j-1, k], \dots, S_m[k-j-1, k]\}$.
- *This insertion does not affect the relative ordering of symbols inserted during previous iterations.*

Observations

Let S be a collection of m strings of length k on an alphabet of σ letters. Our algorithm computes the BWT of S

- without concatenating the strings belonging to S and without needing to compute their suffix array.
- incrementally via k iterations. At each of the iterations $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the algorithm computes a partial BWT string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ by inserting the symbols preceding the j -suffixes of S at their correct positions into $\text{bwt}_{j-1}(S)$. Each iteration j simulates the insertion of the j -suffixes in the suffix array.
- The string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ is a 'partial BWT' in the sense that the addition of m end markers in their correct positions would make it the BWT of the collection $\{S_1[k-j-1, k], S_2[k-j-1, k], \dots, S_m[k-j-1, k]\}$.
- *This insertion does not affect the relative ordering of symbols inserted during previous iterations.*

Observations

Let S be a collection of m strings of length k on an alphabet of σ letters. Our algorithm computes the BWT of S

- without concatenating the strings belonging to S and without needing to compute their suffix array.
- incrementally via k iterations. At each of the iterations $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the algorithm computes a partial BWT string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ by inserting the symbols preceding the j -suffixes of S at their correct positions into $\text{bwt}_{j-1}(S)$. Each iteration j simulates the insertion of the j -suffixes in the suffix array.
- The string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ is a ‘partial BWT’ in the sense that the addition of m end markers in their correct positions would make it the BWT of the collection $\{S_1[k-j-1, k], S_2[k-j-1, k], \dots, S_m[k-j-1, k]\}$.
- *This insertion does not affect the relative ordering of symbols inserted during previous iterations.*

Observations

Let S be a collection of m strings of length k on an alphabet of σ letters. Our algorithm computes the BWT of S

- without concatenating the strings belonging to S and without needing to compute their suffix array.
- incrementally via k iterations. At each of the iterations $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the algorithm computes a partial BWT string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ by inserting the symbols preceding the j -suffixes of S at their correct positions into $\text{bwt}_{j-1}(S)$. Each iteration j simulates the insertion of the j -suffixes in the suffix array.
- The string $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ is a 'partial BWT' in the sense that the addition of m end markers in their correct positions would make it the BWT of the collection $\{S_1[k-j-1, k], S_2[k-j-1, k], \dots, S_m[k-j-1, k]\}$.
- *This insertion does not affect the relative ordering of symbols inserted during previous iterations.*

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | | | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | | | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | | | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non-\$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | | C | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | | C | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | | T | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non-\$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non-\$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | A | A | C | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | C | T | C | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | C | T | T | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non-\$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| S_1 | | | | C | A | A | C | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | G | C | T | C | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | G | C | T | T | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non- $\$$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | <i>C</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | <i>A</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | <i>C</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non-\$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | <i>G</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non- $\$$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | <i>T</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | <i>A</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | <i>G</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>C</i> | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non- $\$$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Example

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| S_1 | T | G | C | C | A | A | C | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | A | G | A | G | C | T | C | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | G | T | C | G | C | T | T | $\$3$ |

We suppose that $\$1 < \$2 < \$3 < A < C < G < T$.

j -suffix of S_i is the last j non- $\$$ symbols of that string and 0-suffix of S_i is $\$i$.

At stage j , insert the characters associated with the j -suffixes into the partial BWT.

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | | | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | | | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | | | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|-----|
| C |
| C |
| T |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Iteration 0

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{TGCCAAC, AGAGCTC, GTCGCTT\}$ be a collection of $m = 3$ strings of length $k = 7$ on an alphabet of $\sigma = 4$ letters.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|-------|
| S_1 | | | | | | <i>A</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$1$ |
| S_2 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>C</i> | $\$2$ |
| S_3 | | | | | | <i>T</i> | <i>T</i> | $\$3$ |

We obtain:

| |
|----------|
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>C</i> |
| <i>T</i> |

Observation

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1)$$

We can think of $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ as being partitioned into $\sigma + 1$ strings $B_j(0), B_j(1), \dots, B_j(\sigma)$, with the symbols in $B_j(h)$ being those that are associated with the suffixes of S that are of length j or less and begin with $c_0 = \$$ and $c_h \in \Sigma$, for $h = 1, \dots, \sigma$.

| | | F | | | | | | L |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | ↓ | | | | | | ↓ |
| $B(0)$ | 0 | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(1)$ | 1 | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> |
| | 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| | 3 | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> |
| $B(2)$ | 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> |
| $B(3)$ | 5 | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(4)$ | 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> |

We do not need the array C . We only need the rank function.

We note that $B_j(0)$ is constant for all j and, at each iteration j , we store $B_j(h)$ in $\sigma + 1$ external files that are sequentially read one-by-one.

Observation

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1)$$

We can think of $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ as being partitioned into $\sigma + 1$ strings $B_j(0), B_j(1), \dots, B_j(\sigma)$, with the symbols in $B_j(h)$ being those that are associated with the suffixes of S that are of length j or less and begin with $c_0 = \$$ and $c_h \in \Sigma$, for $h = 1, \dots, \sigma$.

| | | F | | | | | | L |
|--------|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| | | \downarrow | | | | | | \downarrow |
| $B(0)$ | 0 | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(1)$ | 1 | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> |
| | 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| | 3 | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> |
| $B(2)$ | 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> |
| $B(3)$ | 5 | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(4)$ | 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> |

We do not need the array C . We only need the rank function.

We note that $B_j(0)$ is constant for all j and, at each iteration j , we store $B_j(h)$ in $\sigma + 1$ external files that are sequentially read one-by-one.

Observation

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1)$$

We can think of $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ as being partitioned into $\sigma + 1$ strings $B_j(0), B_j(1), \dots, B_j(\sigma)$, with the symbols in $B_j(h)$ being those that are associated with the suffixes of S that are of length j or less and begin with $c_0 = \$$ and $c_h \in \Sigma$, for $h = 1, \dots, \sigma$.

| | | F | | | | | | L |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | ↓ | | | | | | ↓ |
| $B(0)$ | 0 | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(1)$ | 1 | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> |
| | 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| | 3 | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> |
| $B(2)$ | 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> |
| $B(3)$ | 5 | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(4)$ | 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> |

We do not need the array C . We only need the rank function.

We note that $B_j(0)$ is constant for all j and, at each iteration j , we store $B_j(h)$ in $\sigma + 1$ external files that are sequentially read one-by-one.

Observation

$$LF[i] = C[L[i]] + \text{rank}(L[i], i - 1)$$

We can think of $\text{bwt}_j(S)$ as being partitioned into $\sigma + 1$ strings $B_j(0), B_j(1), \dots, B_j(\sigma)$, with the symbols in $B_j(h)$ being those that are associated with the suffixes of S that are of length j or less and begin with $c_0 = \$$ and $c_h \in \Sigma$, for $h = 1, \dots, \sigma$.

| | | F | | | | | L | |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | ↓ | | | | | ↓ | |
| $B(0)$ | 0 | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(1)$ | 1 | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> | <i>c</i> |
| | 2 | a | b | r | a | c | a | \$ |
| | 3 | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> |
| $B(2)$ | 4 | b | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> |
| $B(3)$ | 5 | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>a</i> |
| $B(4)$ | 6 | r | a | c | a | \$ | <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> |

We do not need the array C . We only need the rank function.

We note that $B_j(0)$ is constant for all j and, at each iteration j , we store $B_j(h)$ in $\sigma + 1$ external files that are sequentially read one-by-one.

Looking in detail at iteration 6

| | $B_5(0)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | C | $\$1$ |
| 1 | C | $\$2$ |
| 2 | T | $\$3$ |

| | $B_5(1)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | C | AAC $\$1$ |
| 1 | A | AC $\$1$ |
| 2 | G | AGCTC $\$2$ |

| | $B_5(2)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | A | C $\$1$ |
| 1 | T | C $\$2$ |
| 2 | C | CAAC $\$1$ |
| 3 | G | CCAAC $\$1$ |
| 4 | T | CGCTT $\$3$ |
| 5 | G | CTC $\$2$ |
| 6 | G | CTT $\$3$ |

| | $B_5(3)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | A | GCTC $\$2$ |
| 1 | C | GCTT $\$3$ |

| | $B_5(4)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | T | T $\$3$ |
| 1 | C | TC $\$2$ |
| 2 | C | TT $\$3$ |

T**G**CCAAC $\$1$,
 A**G**AGCTC $\$2$,
 G**T**CGCTT $\$3$.

$P_5(0) = [], N_5(0) = []$ (empty array)
 $P_5(1) = [2], N_5(1) = [2]$
 $P_5(2) = [3, 4], N_5(2) = [1, 3]$
 $P_5(3) = [], N_5(3) = []$
 $P_5(4) = [], N_5(4) = []$

↓

For $h = 0, 3, 4$: nothing
 For $h = 1$:
 $rank(G, 2) = 0$ (sequence = 2)
 For $h = 2$:
 $rank(G, 3) = 1$ (sequence = 1)
 $rank(T, 4) = 2$ (sequence = 3)

↓

$P_6(0) = [], N_6(0) = []$
 $P_6(1) = [], N_6(1) = []$
 $P_6(2) = [], N_6(2) = []$
 $P_6(3) = [0, 1]$ and $N_6(3) = [2, 1]$
 $P_6(4) = [2]$ and $N_6(4) = [3]$

| | $B_6(0)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | C | $\$1$ |
| 1 | C | $\$2$ |
| 2 | T | $\$3$ |

| | $B_6(1)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | C | AAC $\$1$ |
| 1 | A | AC $\$1$ |
| 2 | G | AGCTC $\$2$ |

| | $B_6(2)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | A | C $\$1$ |
| 1 | T | C $\$2$ |
| 2 | C | CAAC $\$1$ |
| 3 | G | CCAAC $\$1$ |
| 4 | T | CGCTT $\$3$ |
| 5 | G | CTC $\$2$ |
| 6 | G | CTT $\$3$ |

| | $B_6(3)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | A | GAGCTC $\$2$ |
| 1 | T | GCCAAC $\$1$ |
| 2 | A | GCTC $\$2$ |
| 3 | C | GCTT $\$3$ |

| | $B_6(4)$ | Associated Suffixes |
|---|----------|---------------------|
| 0 | T | T $\$3$ |
| 1 | C | TC $\$2$ |
| 2 | G | TCGCTT $\$3$ |
| 3 | C | TT $\$3$ |

Position of $GCCAAC\$1$ in G segment = # of G before $CCAAC\$1$ in partial BWT = # of G in $\$$ -segment + # of G in

A -segment + # of G before $CCAAC\$1$ in C -segment

Looking in detail at iteration 6

| | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------|--|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| $B_5(0)$ | Associated Suffixes | T G CCAAC\$ ₁ , | $B_6(0)$ | Associated Suffixes | |
| 0 | C | A G AGCTC\$ ₂ , | 0 | C | \$ ₁ |
| 1 | C | G T CGCTT\$ ₃ . | 1 | C | \$ ₂ |
| 2 | T | | 2 | T | \$ ₃ |
| | | $P_5(0) = [], N_5(0) = []$ (empty array) | | | |
| $B_5(1)$ | Associated Suffixes | $P_5(1) = [2], N_5(1) = [2]$ | $B_6(1)$ | Associated Suffixes | |
| 0 | C | $P_5(2) = [3, 4], N_5(2) = [1, 3]$ | 0 | C | AAC\$ ₁ |
| 1 | A | $P_5(3) = [], N_5(3) = []$ | 1 | A | AC\$ ₁ |
| 2 | G | $P_5(4) = [], N_5(4) = []$ | 2 | G | AGCTC\$ ₂ |
| | | ↓ | | | |
| $B_5(2)$ | Associated Suffixes | For $h = 0, 3, 4$: nothing | $B_6(2)$ | Associated Suffixes | |
| 0 | A | For $h = 1$: | 0 | A | C\$ ₁ |
| 1 | T | $rank(G, 2) = 0$ (sequence = 2) | 1 | T | C\$ ₂ |
| 2 | C | For $h = 2$: | 2 | C | CAAC\$ ₁ |
| 3 | G | $rank(G, 3) = 1$ (sequence = 1) | 3 | G | CCAAC\$ ₁ |
| 4 | T | $rank(T, 4) = 2$ (sequence = 3) | 4 | T | CGCTT\$ ₃ |
| 5 | G | | 5 | G | CTC\$ ₂ |
| 6 | G | | 6 | G | CTT\$ ₃ |
| | | ↓ | | | |
| $B_5(3)$ | Associated Suffixes | T GCCAAC\$ ₁ , | $B_6(3)$ | Associated Suffixes | |
| 0 | A | A G AGCTC\$ ₂ , | 0 | A | G AGCTC\$ ₂ |
| 1 | C | G T CGCTT\$ ₃ . | 1 | T | G CCAAC\$ ₁ |
| | | ↓ | 2 | A | GCTC\$ ₂ |
| $B_5(4)$ | Associated Suffixes | $P_6(0) = [], N_6(0) = []$ | 3 | C | GCTT\$ ₃ |
| 0 | T | $P_6(1) = [], N_6(1) = []$ | | | |
| 1 | C | $P_6(2) = [], N_6(2) = []$ | $B_6(4)$ | Associated Suffixes | |
| 2 | C | $P_6(3) = [0, 1]$ and $N_6(3) = [2, 1]$ | 0 | T | T\$ ₃ |
| | | $P_6(4) = [2]$ and $N_6(4) = [3]$ | 1 | C | TC\$ ₂ |
| | | | 2 | G | T CGCTT\$ ₃ |
| | | | 3 | C | TT\$ ₃ |

Position of $GCCAAC$₁$ in G segment = # of G before $CCAAC$₁$ in partial BWT = # of G in $\$$ -segment + # of G in

A -segment + # of G before $CCAAC$₁$ in C -segment

Two versions of our algorithm: BCR vs. BCRext

| | BCR | BCRext |
|------------------|---|--|
| CPU time | $O(k\text{sort}(m))$ | $O(km)$ |
| RAM usage (bits) | $O((m + \sigma^2)\log(mk))$ | $O(\sigma^2\log(mk))$ |
| I/O (bits) | $O(mk^2\log(s))$ (partial BWT) $O(mk\log(\sigma))$ (sequence slices) | $O(mk^2\log(\sigma))$ (partial BWT) $O(mk^2\log(\sigma))$ (<i>sequences</i>) $O(mk\log(mk))$ (<i>P - array</i>) $O(mk\log(m))$ (<i>N - array</i>) |

Performance on human DNA sequence data

| <i>Dataset size (millions of 100-mers)</i> | <i>Program Program</i> | <i>Wallclock time (μs per input base)</i> | <i>CPU efficiency (%)</i> | <i>Max RAM (Gbyte)</i> |
|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 85 | bwte | 7.99 | 99 | 4.00 |
| | rlcsa | 2.44 | 99 | 13.40 |
| | BCR | 1.01 | 83 | 1.10 |
| | BCRext | 4.75 | 27 | negligible |
| 1000 | BCR | 5.74 | 19 | 13.00 |
| | BCRext | 5.89 | 21 | negligible |

Further works

- Able to compute BWT of 1 billion 100-mers in under 24 hours
- Ongoing work:
 - Further optimizations to construction, parallelization
 - Software library for construction/querying of BWT of large string collections
 - Algorithm can be adapted to allow sets of strings to be added/removed from collection
 - Applications of BWT of string collection to bioinformatics