Trends in Cell Biology LncRNAs in human cancers: signal from noise --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	TCB-D-21-00196R1					
Article Type:	Opinion					
Keywords:	LncRNAs; biomolecular condensates; stochasticity; LLPS; membraneless bodies; molecular crowding					
Corresponding Author:	Eleonora Leucci Leuven, BELGIUM					
First Author:	Eleonora Leucci					
Order of Authors:	Eleonora Leucci					
	Sara Adnane					
	Alessandro Marino					
Abstract:	Given the biochemical reactions stochasticity, the mechanisms leading to conservation of biological functions from noise are obscure. Pervasive transcription of non- conserved genomic regions, generates lowly-expressed cancer-specific IncRNAs. How such poorly expressed transcripts, often undetectable in normal tissues, consistently modulate the activity of multiple abundant proteins leading to cancer phenotypes is unclear. Biochemical reaction compartmentalization in response to environmental oscillations through liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) may explain the emergence of order from molecular noise. LncRNAs contain repetitive sequences and as such contribute to molecular crowding and LLPS. We propose that IncRNAs mediate cancer stress signals by regulating aberrant LLPS. This emerging model and its consequences for stoichiometry and specificity may lead to the development of diagnostic tools and cancer-specific drugs.					

Highlights

- The human (cancer) genome is pervasively transcribed into a plethora of noncoding transcripts that are mostly not-conserved, lowly expressed and consistently derepressed in cancer.
- 2. LncRNAs exert multiple key molecular functions in cancer, converging towards the regulation of epigenetic and post- transcriptional events.
- 3. The lack of conservation and the low expression of IncRNAs are in striking contrast with their key role in all epigenetic and post- transcriptional processes in the cell thus exposing the CC (Conservation and Concentration) paradox.
- 4. LncRNA are able to drive aberrant LLPS in cancer in response to stressors.
- 5. A better understanding of IncRNA driven-cancer aberrant compartmentalisation may lead to the development of new diagnostic and therapeutic tools and to the re-evaluation of basic concepts in RNA-protein interactions.

1	LncRNAs in human cancers: signal from noise
2	
3	Sara Adnane ¹ , Alessandro Marino ¹ and Eleonora Leucci ^{1,2,*}
4	
5	¹ Laboratory for RNA Cancer Biology, Department of Oncology, KULeuven,
6	Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, Belgium.
7	² Trace, LKI Leuven Cancer Institute, KU Leuven, Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven,
8	Belgium.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Keywords: IncRNAs; biomolecular condensates; stochasticity; LLPS; membraneless
15	bodies; molecular crowding.
16	
17	
18	
19	*Corresponding Author
20	
21	Prof. Eleonora Leucci,
22	Laboratory for RNA Cancer Biology
23	Department of Oncology, KU Leuven
24	Campus Gasthuisberg, Onderwijs en Navorsing I
25	Herestraat 49, bus 818
26	3000 LEUVEN
27	eleonora.leucci@kuleuven.be
28	Phone: +32 16 32 32 16
29	https://gbiomed.kuleuven.be/english/research/50488876/54502087
30	Twitter: @LeucciLRCB
31	
32	
33	
34	

35 Abstract

Given the biochemical reactions stochasticity, the mechanisms leading to conservation of biological functions from noise are obscure. Pervasive transcription of non-conserved genomic regions, generates lowly-expressed cancer-specific IncRNAs. How such poorly expressed transcripts, often undetectable in normal tissues, consistently modulate the activity of multiple abundant proteins leading to cancer phenotypes is unclear. Biochemical reaction compartmentalization in response to environmental oscillations through liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) may explain the emergence of order from molecular noise. LncRNAs contain repetitive sequences and as such contribute to molecular crowding and LLPS. We propose that IncRNAs mediate cancer stress signals by regulating aberrant LLPS. This emerging model and its consequences for stoichiometry and specificity may lead to the development of diagnostic tools and cancer-specific drugs.

67 A role for stochasticity and IncRNAs in the organisation of cancer cells

The increasing use of single cell and single molecule techniques has revealed that all biochemical reactions in cells are intrinsically **stochastic** (see Glossary) [1, 2]. Even a process that has thus far been considered deterministic and unidirectional such as gene expression is permeated by low affinity interactions and stochasticity at all stages from transcription (**pervasive transcription**) to translation (pervasive translation).

74 Within this overt randomness however, structural organization arises to preserve key 75 biological functions. A recent study has shown that in oscillating systems, random 76 heterogeneity consistently promotes organization and outperforms design in network organization [3]. Accordingly, stochasticity is essential to ensure plasticity of 77 78 biological processes in face of changing environmental conditions and, as such, its 79 role in both the acceleration or impairment of evolutionary processes have been long 80 debated [4]. Aside from being an essential component of all the developmental 81 programs, plasticity underlies tumour development and progression, and it follows 82 therefore that stochasticity may play an important role in a cancer-related context 83 where chaos and unwonted interactions are predominant. However, the mechanisms 84 leading to the emergence of patterns and conservation of biological functions from 85 this noise remains elusive and the source of much debate. Pervasive transcription of 86 the human cancer genome produces a multitude of lowly expressed long non-coding RNA (IncRNAs) often non-conserved and expressed at low copy number/cell [5]. 87 88 Despite the above, these transcripts have been shown to impact key biological 89 processes, such as cell viability, drug responses and/or tumour progression, by 90 influencing multiple and highly expressed targets [6]. In this way they promote 91 aberrant interactions and contribute to cancer interactome rewiring. Here we discuss 92 recent findings in the field of RNA and condensate biology supporting a role for 93 IncRNAs in cancer cell compartmentalization through regulation of aberrant phase 94 separation. By way of this process, IncRNAs demonstrate their key role in converting 95 stochastic signals arising from pervasive transcription into cellular organization, thus explaining their contribution to the aberrant cancer interactome (Table 1). 96 97

98 Cellular compartmentalization: order emerging from chaos

99 Biochemical oscillations in time and space control every aspect of cellular and 100 organismal physiology (e.g. cell cycle, circadian rhythms, etc.) [7] and are 101 responsible for the formation of spatial patterns such as vertebrate segmentation 102 and/or skin organization [8] . Conserved structural features (or compartments) in 103 human cells and tissues and their perturbation (e.g. cellular and tissue patterns and 104 recurrent mutations), have been used for centuries to classify diseases including 105 cancer (e.g. nucleoli). Compartmentalization underlies the difference between 106 eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells and provides spatiotemporal control over a number 107 of cellular activities and biochemical reactions. While a handful of organelles are 108 delimited by a lipid bilayer, many of them are membraneless (i.e. the P-bodies, the 109 nucleolus, the nuclear speckles) and rely on a principle called phase separation 110 (BOX1) or liquid unmixing for their formation [9]. Membraneless bodies phase-111 separate and become immiscible when their components reach the solubility limit 112 [10] and their origin is therefore dependent on physical properties, such as 113 temperature, concentration and pH. As such, they can adjust the rate of intracellular 114 reactions in an environmentally-tunable fashion [11] and thus in response to 115 biochemical oscillations. Essential for the nucleation of several membraneless 116 bodies are specific combinations of RNAs [12, 13] and RNA-binding proteins 117 containing Prion-Like Domains (PLDs) and/or Intrinsically Disordered Regions 118 (IDR) which are generally prone to multivalent interactions. More than 30% of the 119 eukaryotic proteome contains IDRs [14] and the motifs are particularly enriched in 120 proteins with a role in cytoskeleton assembly and signal transduction [15], indicating 121 that a larger number of molecular processes essential for (cancer) cell survival, 122 differentiation and migration may be directly regulated by phase separation.

The process of phase separation and its consequences for gene expression have been better studied in the nucleus, but membraneless compartments exist also in the cytoplasm where they are essential in conveying environmental stress signals to the nucleus. Examples of membraneless bodies in the cytosol are Stress Granules (SG) and P-Bodies (PBs). (Box 2)

128

129 LncRNAs: the CC (concentration and conservation) paradox

RNA is considered a key molecule in all the theories of the origins of life and it is certainly the central player in the "RNA world hypothesis" that posits that 4 billion years ago life began on earth starting from primitive RNA molecules. The theory is based on the observation that, compared to DNA and proteins, RNA is a more flexible molecule capable of storing the genetic information as does DNA (e.g. RNA viruses), but also possessing catalytic abilities like proteins (e.g. ribozymes). Additionally, RNA is notably highly responsive to environmental changes, riboswitches in prokaryotes for instance, can detect specific metabolites and modify their conformation to activate/inactivate gene expression in response to these changes [16]. It therefore not surprising that beside being a client, RNA can also actively participate to the enucleation of membraneless bodies [12].

141 It is now widely accepted that the human genome is pervasively transcribed into a 142 plethora of highly processed and regulated transcripts that are mostly non-protein-143 coding [5]. The non-coding genome hosts the vast majority of recurrent somatic 144 mutations [17], copy number alterations [18] and cancer-related SNPs [19] and 145 encodes for a class of transcripts -longer than 200 nucleotides- called lncRNAs [20] 146 Interestingly, while only a minority are widely expressed, evolutionary conserved such as NEAT1 [21] or MALAT1 [22] the vast majority of IncRNAs are primate-147 148 specific (80%) and display low and cancer-restricted expression (Fig.1) [20, 23]. 149 Primate and (cancer) cell specificity have been linked to the enrichment in 150 Transposable Elements (TE), which occupy almost half of the human genome, at 151 IncRNA loci. TE contain cis-regulatory sequences that can act as promoter and 152 enhancers [24]. In particular, TE belonging to the class of Endogenous RetroViruses 153 (ERVs) [25] are enriched at the Transcriptional Start Site (TSS) of human lincRNA 154 (long-intergenic non-coding RNAs) genes. TE are often methylated and kept silent, 155 however hypomethylation and reactivation have been detected in cancer cells [26]; additionally somatic mutations and chromosomal rearrangement can also contribute 156 157 to reactivation of these sequences [27] and thus to the evolution and emergence of 158 IncRNA sequences [24] during the course of cancer. The lack of overt sequence 159 conservation -and consequently of genetic models- has been used to undermine the 160 importance of IncRNAs, however they still display important evolutionary conserved 161 functions [28] (conservation paradox) which converge towards the regulation of 162 epigenetic and post-transcriptional events [20]. One outstanding question in the 163 IncRNA field is how these molecules, expressed at low copy number/cell, can impact 164 key biological processes by influencing multiple and highly expressed targets. As 165 already proposed in 2018 [6], emerging evidence suggests that the induction of 166 phase separation may underlie a common mechanism exploited by IncRNAs to exert 167 their functions [29-31] . Indeed, 75% of human long non-coding transcripts contain at least a partial retroviral insertion and thus repetitive sequences [24] that may 168 naturally act as molecular crowders to rewire cellular compartmentalization in 169 170 response to environmental cues. Such a model may solve the conservation and 171 concentration paradox by explaining how stochastic events such as the aberrant 172 expression of IncRNAs can give rise to conserved functions even at low 173 concentrations [32]. Supporting this hypothesis, it was recently demonstrated that 174 two copies of Xist, a IncRNA necessary for X inactivation in placental mammals and implicated in the development of haematological cancers in Mus musculus [33], are 175 176 sufficient to enucleate 50 macromolecular foci, containing the critical silencing 177 protein SPEN [32]. Overall, these observations suggest that a re-evaluation of the 178 concepts of specificity and stoichiometry in RNA-protein interactions, may be 179 necessary. Furthermore, the consequences of the above on the potential of aberrant 180 IncRNAs expression in cancer need to be considered [34].

181

182 Cancer: apocalypse now

183 What happens when a lncRNA shows up in the cancer process?

184 Relaying on the interplay between individual genetic background, epigenetics and 185 environmental factors, cancer development and progression is, by definition, a 186 stochastic event [35] . The aberrant expression of a lncRNA in this context, may therefore eventually bring a new order to the chaos of the cancer cell by reshaping 187 188 biochemical reactions (Fig. 2). The melanoma-specific IncRNA SAMMSON, for instance, coordinates to boost rRNA maturation and protein synthesis in the 189 190 mitochondria and in the cytoplasm, by trapping the nuclear protein CARF in the 191 cytoplasm in complex with the mitochondrial protein p32 [36]. Additionally 192 paraspeckles, nuclear membraneless bodies assembled around the IncRNA NEAT1 193 [37], essential for cancer initiation and progression [20, 38, 39] can affect responses 194 to therapy by sequestering an essential molecular complex like the Integrator 195 Complex, necessary for the processing of the 3'-end of all RNAs [40].

Although these concepts have only recently gained traction in the literature, the role of RNA and more specifically of lncRNAs as scaffolds [41] and the role of aberrant membraneless compartmentalisation in cancer has been widely accepted for far longer. An Italian pathologist G. Pianese (in 1896) realized that shape and number of the nucleoli- nuclear structures that form upon phase separation following the interaction of rRNAs and Alu B1-related RNAs with the intrinsically disordered
proteins fibrillarin, nucleolin and nucleophosmin [42, 43] - is altered in carcinomas.
Since this discovery, this parameter has been used as a cancer biomarker [44].

It is arguably important to ask therefore, whether the role of molecular crowders such as IncRNAs in cancer phenotypes has been overlooked, by restriction of investigations to conserved IncRNAs with a well-established role in physiology, instead of looking for gain of function of aberrantly expressed molecules.

208

209 Concluding Remarks

210 The discovery of a regulatory role played by RNA in the biogenesis of condensates 211 [45] and the established role of some of them in cancer, open new exciting 212 possibilities not only for the specific targeting of these membraneless bodies, but 213 also for a better understanding of biology of these somewhat enigmatic molecules. 214 Whether phase separation is a common mechanism exploited by IncRNAs has yet 215 to be determined, however this possibility would allow us to reconcile many difficult 216 to explain findings. In this opinion article, we have summarized some unanswered 217 questions in the field of IncRNAs and highlighted how some of these could be 218 explained by a model involving molecular crowding and phase separation as the 219 main mediator of IncRNA molecular functions. In this sense IncRNAs may convert 220 the oscillatory signals coming from the tumour microenvironment into clear compartments and contribute to the organized chaos of cancer cells. As such 221 222 IncRNAs would be at the crossroad of stochastic and **deterministic** events. The 223 above considerations necessitate a full revaluation of the concepts of specificity and 224 stoichiometry in RNA-protein interactions. To achieve this, the effect of physical 225 changes in the Tumour MicroEnvironment (TME) on RNA compartmentalization and 226 RNA-dependent protein interactions (transcriptome-wide) should be determined. 227 Whether or not a correlation exists the identification and in-dept characterisation of 228 the biology of specific IncRNAs implicated in LLPS would be an important step in our 229 understanding of the basis of these interactions. Towards this, studies on the 230 structure and modification of IncRNAs would therefore certainly be important, since 231 the knowledge of the mechanism could then inform the design of synthetic RNAs that 232 can promote LLPS and/or of cancer-specific inhibitors of selected membraneless 233 bodies. Additionally, the patterns produced by specific IncRNAs could be used to

- 234 design sophisticated diagnostic test based on high-content imaging of cancer235 samples (see Outstanding Question box).

237 Acknowledgements

- 238 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 239 We would like to thank M. Leucci for manuscript proofreading. The cartoon in figure
- **3** was prepared using Somersault libraries available from <u>www.somersault1824.com</u>.
- 241 E.L. is funded by the Melanoma Research Alliance (MRA) young investigator
- https://doi.org/10.48050/pc.gr.80542, by Stichting Tegen Kanker (FAF-F/2018/1184)
- and by a KU Leuven C1 grant (no. #C16/19/006).

- *3*1

- 267 Box 1: Liquid-Liquid Phase separation (LLPS)

The term LLPS describes the spontaneous demixing of a homogenous solution into two or more phases when homotypic interactions are energetically favored over the entropic tendency of the solution to remain mixed. Demixing occurs when molecules in solution reach their solubility limit and the process is therefore obeying to polymer physics' principles rather than to classical stoichiometry rules.

273 Once the threshold for the formation of condensates has been reached under specific 274 physico-chemical conditions (e.g critical concentration, temperature, salinity, pH 275 and/or electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions) [46], the membraneless bodies 276 establish a dynamic equilibrium with the surrounding environment allowing them to 277 grow or dissolve without a net change in concentration. In a physiological context, 278 LLPS leads to the formation of a dense phase, where proteins, DNA and RNA are 279 10-100 folds more concentrated than the surrounding dilute phase[47].

280 The molecules initiating LLPS are called scaffolds as they are necessary for the 281 formation of specific condensates. The molecules recruited to the condensate but 282 not necessarily to engage LLPS are known as clients [13]. For instance, under 283 specific stress conditions, stalled PreInitiation Complex (PIC) mRNPs and the two 284 RNA Binding Proteins (RBPs) Ras-GTPase-activating protein SH3-domain-Binding-285 Protein 1 (G3BP1) and T-cell-restricted Intracellular Antigen-1(TIA-1) are crucial 286 nucleators triggering LLPS of stress granules [48-50]. Other proteins with various 287 functions are subsequently recruited to the core, allowing dynamic RNA-protein exchange, such as Caprin1 and Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 10 (USP10), two G3BP 288 289 competitive binders that promote or inhibit SG condensation, respectively [51-53].

LLPS relies on weak cooperative interactions, and/or strong interactions reversible in a short timescale [54]. Therefore, poorly structured biomolecules and those containing repetitive elements, such has IDRs, are more prone to phase separation [55]. As such, arginine-and glycine-rich (RGG/RG) repeats and PLDs are two important classes of stickers found in proteins driving biocondensates' assembly [56, 57].

Additionally, RNA which is a negatively charged molecule, containing posttranscriptional modifications, often provides stickers for the binding of multiple RBPs making it a key regulator of condensates' formation, properties and dynamics.

- 300

301 Box 2: Cytoplasmic RNA Granules

RNA granules are membraneless condensates composed of protein-enriched RNA
 species that contribute to all steps of RNA metabolism namely: processing; transport;
 storage; translation and/or degradation [58]. Among them, PBs and SGs are to date
 the most well studied mRNA silencing *foci*[53].

PBs and SGs are cytoplasmic foci ranging in size from 400 to 500nm[59] and 100 to
2000nm[50], respectively. Differently from SGs that arise upon exposure to stress,
PBs are constitutive but their size and number increase under stress [60, 61].

309 SGs' assembly requires two steps. First, the inhibition of translation initiation either 310 through phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2), through mTOR 311 inhibition, or through interference with the eIF4F complex, all of which lead to the disassembly of polysomes[62, 63]. Secondly, the condensation of stalled pre-312 313 initiation complexes and their associated RBPs into distinct phase-separated 314 granules[63] regulated by a variety of proteins such as G3BP, PolyA-Binding Protein (PABP) and TIA-1 called SG nucleators for their ability to nucleate SGs in the 315 316 absence of stress when overexpressed in vitro[49, 50, 60]. All of them are 317 characterized by their IDRs and RNA-Binding Domains (RBDs) favoring multivalent 318 interactions and thus macromolecular aggregates formation[63]. Similarly to SGs 319 and nuclear condensates, PBs rely on complex RNA - protein interactions, IDR-320 enriched protein sequences and LLPS for their formation [42, 60, 63].

321 In line with their assembly process, SGs enclose mainly proteins associated with 322 translation initiation such as the 40S ribosomal subunit, PABP and eiF4G1[60, 61] 323 and the RBP G3BP1 with its two crucial partners Caprin1 and USP10 [64]. PBs are, 324 on the other hand, predominantly composed of mRNA decay proteins such as the 325 deadenylation complex CNOT1, mRNA DeCaPping enzyme subunits 1a and 2 326 (DCP1a, DCP2) and decapping activators such as EDC3 and EDC4[60]. Although 327 different from one another, SGs and PBs share many common proteins such as TIA-328 1, FASTK including those promoting association between both granules such as 329 TTP, BRF1 and elF4E[60, 61].

In general, both SGs and PBs assemble and disassemble rapidly (within minutes) upon stress induction and removal respectively[60]. Despite this, different types of environmental stress (amino acid starvation, UV irradiation, oxidative and/or osmotic stress, ER stress, etc.) can lead to distinct RNA granules subtypes discernible by their protein composition and dynamics[63, 65]. To date, at least three different subtypes of SGs have been identified[53, 60, 63]: type I SGs form upon stressinduced phosphorylation of eiF2 α (e.g. oxidative stress, ER stress and viral infection) and require G3BP and 48S PICs for their assembly; type II SGs still require G3BP however, they form independently from eiF2 α phosphorylation; type III SGs lack eiF3, differently from the other two subtypes, and their assembly is triggered by UV, glucose and starvation, nitric oxide and other chemical compounds [63].

While SGs assembly is unnecessary for translational repression during stress, it may enhance the translational rewiring process[63, 66] by segregating translationally stalled mRNAs, that mostly encode for housekeeping proteins such as GAPDH and B-Actin [63, 67, 68]. Likewise, PBs are not the sites of mRNA degradation, but rather for the storage of repressed mRNAs awaiting either translation or decay[60, 65].

Formation of PBs and SGs in tumor cells in response of stress is important for adaptation [69]. In keeping with this, SGs assembly has been significantly observed in many cancer types (e.g., pancreatic cancer, glioblastoma) and often associated with drug tolerance[69, 70].

350

351

352

353354

355

356

357

358

359

360361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

370 **References**

- 371
- 1 Chen, J., et al. (2020) Pervasive functional translation of noncanonical human open reading
- 373 frames. *Science* 367, 1140-1146
- 2 Eling, N., et al. (2019) Challenges in measuring and understanding biological noise. Nature
- 375 *reviews. Genetics* 20, 536-548
- 376 3 Zhang, Y., et al. (2021) Random heterogeneity outperforms design in network
- 377 synchronization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 378 *America* 118
- 4 Matic, I. (2019) Mutation Rate Heterogeneity Increases Odds of Survival in Unpredictable
- 380 Environments. *Molecular cell* 75, 421-425
- 381 5 Carninci, P., *et al.* (2005) The transcriptional landscape of the mammalian genome. *Science*382 309, 1559-1563
- 383 6 Leucci, E. (2018) Long Noncoding RNA as Novel Cancer Diagnostic and Effective
- 384 Therapeutic Targets. In *Applied RNA Bioscience*, pp. 189-202
- 7 Novák, B. and Tyson, J.J. (2008) Design principles of biochemical oscillators. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* 9, 981-991
- 387 8 Quiroz, F.G., et al. (2020) Liquid-liquid phase separation drives skin barrier formation.
- 388 *Science* 367
- 389 9 Boeynaems, S., et al. (2018) Protein Phase Separation: A New Phase in Cell Biology.
- *Trends in cell biology* 28, 420-435
- 391 10 Banani, S.F., *et al.* (2017) Biomolecular condensates: organizers of cellular biochemistry.
- 392 Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology 18, 285-298
- 393 11 Gomes, E. and Shorter, J. (2019) The molecular language of membraneless organelles.
- 394 *The Journal of biological chemistry* 294, 7115-7127
- 395 12 Polymenidou, M. (2018) The RNA face of phase separation. Science 360, 859-860
- 396 13 Roden, C. and Gladfelter, A.S. (2021) RNA contributions to the form and function of
- 397 biomolecular condensates. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* 22, 183-195
- 398 14 Bergeron-Sandoval, L.P., et al. (2016) Mechanisms and Consequences of Macromolecular
- 399 Phase Separation. Cell 165, 1067-1079
- 400 15 Miao, Y., et al. (2018) Phospho-regulation of intrinsically disordered proteins for actin
- 401 assembly and endocytosis. *The FEBS journal* 285, 2762-2784
- 402 16 Ray, S., et al. (2019) Kinetics coming into focus: single-molecule microscopy of
- 403 riboswitch dynamics. RNA biology 16, 1077-1085

- 404 17 Melton, C., et al. (2015) Recurrent somatic mutations in regulatory regions of human
- 405 cancer genomes. *Nature genetics* 47, 710-716
- 406 18 Beroukhim, R., et al. (2010) The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across
- 407 human cancers. Nature 463, 899-905
- 408 19 Cheetham, S.W., et al. (2013) Long noncoding RNAs and the genetics of cancer. British
- 409 *journal of cancer* 108, 2419-2425
- 410 20 Leucci, E. (2018) Cancer development and therapy resistance: spotlights on the dark side
- 411 of the genome. *Pharmacology & therapeutics* 189, 22-30
- 412 21 Adriaens, C., et al. (2016) p53 induces formation of NEAT1 lncRNA-containing
- 413 paraspeckles that modulate replication stress response and chemosensitivity. *Nature medicine*
- 414 22, 861-868
- 415 22 Arun, G., *et al.* (2020) MALAT1 Long Non-Coding RNA: Functional Implications. *Non-*416 *coding RNA* 6
- 417 23 Leucci, E., et al. (2016) Melanoma addiction to the long non-coding RNA SAMMSON.
- 418 *Nature* 531, 518-522
- 419 24 Ganesh, S. and Svoboda, P. (2016) Retrotransposon-associated long non-coding RNAs in
 420 mice and men. *Pflugers Archiv : European journal of physiology* 468, 1049-1060
- 421 25 Kelley, D. and Rinn, J. (2012) Transposable elements reveal a stem cell-specific class of
- 422 long noncoding RNAs. Genome biology 13, R107
- 423 26 Szpakowski, S., et al. (2009) Loss of epigenetic silencing in tumors preferentially affects
- 424 primate-specific retroelements. Gene 448, 151-167
- 425 27 Rodriguez-Martin, B., et al. (2020) Pan-cancer analysis of whole genomes identifies driver
- 426 rearrangements promoted by LINE-1 retrotransposition. *Nature genetics* 52, 306-319
- 427 28 Ulitsky, I., et al. (2011) Conserved function of lincRNAs in vertebrate embryonic
- 428 development despite rapid sequence evolution. Cell 147, 1537-1550
- 429 29 Daneshvar, K., et al. (2020) lncRNA DIGIT and BRD3 protein form phase-separated
- 430 condensates to regulate endoderm differentiation. *Nature cell biology* 22, 1211-1222
- 431 30 Elguindy, M.M. and Mendell, J.T. (2021) NORAD-induced Pumilio phase separation is
- 432 required for genome stability. *Nature* 595, 303-308
- 433 31 Quinodoz, S.A., et al. (2021) RNA promotes the formation of spatial compartments in the
- 434 nucleus. Cell 184, 5775-5790.e5730
- 435 32 Markaki, Y., et al. (2021) Xist nucleates local protein gradients to propagate silencing
- 436 across the X chromosome. *Cell* 184, 6174-6192.e6132

- 437 33 Yildirim, E., *et al.* (2013) Xist RNA is a potent suppressor of hematologic cancer in mice.
- 438 *Cell* 152, 727-742
- 439 34 Carlevaro-Fita, J., et al. (2020) Cancer LncRNA Census reveals evidence for deep
- 440 functional conservation of long noncoding RNAs in tumorigenesis. *Communications biology*
- 441 3, 56
- 442 35 Tomasetti, C. and Vogelstein, B. (2015) Cancer etiology. Variation in cancer risk among
 443 tissues can be explained by the number of stem cell divisions. *Science* 347, 78-81
- 444 36 Vendramin, R., et al. (2018) SAMMSON fosters cancer cell fitness by concertedly
- enhancing mitochondrial and cytosolic translation. *Nature structural & molecular biology*25, 1035-1046
- 447 37 Fox, A.H., et al. (2018) Paraspeckles: Where Long Noncoding RNA Meets Phase
- 448 Separation. *Trends in biochemical sciences* 43, 124-135
- 449 38 Mello, S.S., et al. (2017) Neat1 is a p53-inducible lincRNA essential for transformation
- 450 suppression. Genes & development 31, 1095-1108
- 451 39 Naveed, A., et al. (2021) NEAT1 polyA-modulating antisense oligonucleotides reveal
- 452 opposing functions for both long non-coding RNA isoforms in neuroblastoma. Cellular and
- 453 molecular life sciences : CMLS 78, 2213-2230

454 40 Barra, J., et al. (2020) Integrator restrains paraspeckles assembly by promoting isoform

- switching of the lncRNA NEAT1. *Science advances* 6, eaaz9072
- 456 41 Wang, K.C. and Chang, H.Y. (2011) Molecular mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs.
- 457 Molecular cell 43, 904-914
- 458 42 Feric, M., et al. (2016) Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar Subcompartments.
- 459 *Cell* 165, 1686-1697
- 460 43 Verheyden, Y., et al. (2018) Control of nucleolar stress and translational reprogramming
- 461 by lncRNAs. *Cell stress* 3, 19-26
- 462 44 Stamatopoulou, V., et al. (2018) Use of the iNo score to discriminate normal from altered
- 463 nucleolar morphology, with applications in basic cell biology and potential in human disease
- diagnostics. *Nature protocols* 13, 2387-2406
- 465 45 Maharana, S., et al. (2018) RNA buffers the phase separation behavior of prion-like RNA
- 466 binding proteins. *Science* 360, 918-921
- 467 46 Alberti, S., et al. (2019) Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase

468 Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. Cell 176, 419-434

- 469 47 Li, P., et al. (2012) Phase transitions in the assembly of multivalent signalling proteins.
- 470 Nature 483, 336-340

- 471 48 Yang, P., et al. (2020) G3BP1 Is a Tunable Switch that Triggers Phase Separation to
- 472 Assemble Stress Granules. *Cell* 181, 325-345.e328
- 473 49 Tourrière, H., et al. (2003) The RasGAP-associated endoribonuclease G3BP assembles
- 474 stress granules. *The Journal of cell biology* 160, 823-831
- 475 50 Gilks, N., et al. (2004) Stress granule assembly is mediated by prion-like aggregation of
- 476 TIA-1. Molecular biology of the cell 15, 5383-5398
- 477 51 Solomon, S., et al. (2007) Distinct structural features of caprin-1 mediate its interaction
- 478 with G3BP-1 and its induction of phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor
- 479 2alpha, entry to cytoplasmic stress granules, and selective interaction with a subset of
- 480 mRNAs. *Molecular and cellular biology* 27, 2324-2342
- 481 52 Campos-Melo, D., et al. (2021) The Integral Role of RNA in Stress Granule Formation
- 482 and Function. *Frontiers in cell and developmental biology* 9, 621779
- 483 53 Ivanov, P., et al. (2019) Stress Granules and Processing Bodies in Translational Control.
- 484 Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology 11
- 485 54 Alberti, S. and Dormann, D. (2019) Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Disease. *Annual*486 *review of genetics* 53, 171-194
- 487 55 Dignon, G.L., et al. (2020) Biomolecular Phase Separation: From Molecular Driving
- 488 Forces to Macroscopic Properties. Annual review of physical chemistry 71, 53-75
- 489 56 Chong, P.A., *et al.* (2018) RGG/RG Motif Regions in RNA Binding and Phase Separation.
- 490 *Journal of molecular biology* 430, 4650-4665
- 491 57 Alberti, S., et al. (2009) A systematic survey identifies prions and illuminates sequence
- 492 features of prionogenic proteins. *Cell* 137, 146-158
- 493 58 Moujaber, O. and Stochaj, U. (2018) Cytoplasmic RNA Granules in Somatic Maintenance.
- 494 Gerontology 64, 485-494
- 495 59 Ayache, J., et al. (2015) P-body assembly requires DDX6 repression complexes rather
- 496 than decay or Ataxin2/2L complexes. *Molecular biology of the cell* 26, 2579-2595
- 497 60 Riggs, C.L., *et al.* (2020) Mammalian stress granules and P bodies at a glance. *Journal of*498 *cell science* 133
- 61 Kedersha, N., *et al.* (2005) Stress granules and processing bodies are dynamically linked
 sites of mRNP remodeling. *The Journal of cell biology* 169, 871-884
- 501 62 Panas, M.D., et al. (2016) Mechanistic insights into mammalian stress granule dynamics.
- 502 The Journal of cell biology 215, 313-323
- 503 63 Hofmann, S., et al. (2021) Molecular mechanisms of stress granule assembly and
- disassembly. Biochimica et biophysica acta. Molecular cell research 1868, 118876

505	64 Kedersha, N., et al. (2016) G3BP-Caprin1-USP10 complexes mediate stress granule
506	condensation and associate with 40S subunits. The Journal of cell biology 212, 845-860

- 507 65 Tian, S., et al. (2020) RNA Granules: A View from the RNA Perspective. *Molecules*508 (*Basel, Switzerland*) 25
- 509 66 Advani, V.M. and Ivanov, P. (2020) Stress granule subtypes: an emerging link to
- 510 neurodegeneration. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS 77, 4827-4845
- 511 67 Anderson, P. and Kedersha, N. (2009) Stress granules. *Current biology : CB* 19, R397512 398
- 513 68 Kedersha, N. and Anderson, P. (2002) Stress granules: sites of mRNA triage that regulate
- 514 mRNA stability and translatability. *Biochemical Society transactions* 30, 963-969
- 515 69 Gao, X., et al. (2019) Stress granule: A promising target for cancer treatment. British
- 516 *journal of pharmacology* 176, 4421-4433
- 517 70 Vilas-Boas Fde, A., et al. (2016) Impairment of stress granule assembly via inhibition of
- 518 the eIF2alpha phosphorylation sensitizes glioma cells to chemotherapeutic agents. *Journal of*
- 519 *neuro-oncology* 127, 253-260
- 520 71 Lee, B.T., *et al.* (2021) The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2022 update. *Nucleic acids*521 *research*
- 522 72 Verfaillie, A., et al. (2015) Decoding the regulatory landscape of melanoma reveals
- 523 TEADS as regulators of the invasive cell state. *Nature communications* 6, 6683
- 524
- 525
- 526
- 527 5**[**28

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

539	Glossary (500 words)
540	
541	Deterministic: the term refers to events that develop according to a plan (non-
542	random) and thus are predictable.
543	IDR: also called Intrinsically Disordered Regions, are domains in proteins that do
544	not contain a defined 3D structure in physiological conditions. They are often found
545	at flexible linkers and loops connecting different domains. IDRs contains amino
546	acids with high net charge and low hydrophobicity.
547	Pervasive Transcription: the term refers to the finding that in most species the
548	genome is almost entirely transcribed including area before considered as purely
549	regulatory.
550	Specificity: the property of a certain molecules to interact with selected partners.
551	Specificity is often conferred by complementary 3D structural or sequence motifs.
552	Stochastic: the term refers to a process fitting a random distribution and thus
553	lacking a plan.
554	Stoichiometry: is the numerical relationship between reactants and products in a
555	chemical reaction.
556	
557	
558	
559	
560	
561	
562	
563	
564	
565	
566	
567	
568	
569	
570	
571	
572	

573	Figure	legends
	-	-

- 574
- 575 Figure 1: The Conservation & Concentration paradox: IncRNA SAMMSON as a
- 576 **paradigm**. The IncRNA SAMMSON is hosted downstream of the protein coding gene
- 577 MITF. In contrast with MITF, SAMMSON is primate-specific (like 80% of IncRNAs)
- ⁵⁷⁸ and lowly expressed (5<x<200 copies/cell) in melanoma lines [71, 72]. Furthermore,
- 579 SAMMSON is enriched in repetitive sequences. Table 1 reports key well
- 580 characterized IncRNAs; in blue transcripts phase separating or localizing at
- 581 membraneless bodies.
- 582 Figure 2: Potential role of IncRNA-induced phase separation in Cancer
- 583 The aberrant expression of IncRNAs is induced by extracellular cues during tumour
- 584 development and progression. These IncRNAs act as molecular crowders and thus
- 585 regulate phase separation to induce specific cell states and cancer phenotypes in
- response to changes in the physical properties of the tumour microenvironment.
 Examples of IncRNAs implicated in cancer and/or known to phase separate have
- 588 been highlighted [23, 30, 32].
- 589
- 590
- 591
- 592
- 593
- 594
- 595
- 596
- 597
- 598
- 599
- 600
- 601
- 602
- 603
- 604

Table 1

IncRNA	Localization	GTEx expression (average TPM)	Structure Features	Interactors	Function	Physiopathological process	References ^a
MALAT1	Nucleus	826.9 (ovaries)	tRNA-like small RNA at its 3'end	Transcription factors,Splicing factors, Epigenetic regulators	Regulates the phosphorylation of SR proteins in nuclear speckles, thus, modulates pre-mRNA splicing	Upregulated in many human malignancies. Correlates with poor prognosis and metastasis.	[S1-S4] [22]
NEAT1	Nucleus	671.2 (thyroid)	Repetitive RNA subdomains (long isoform); tRNA-like small RNA at its 3'end (long isoform)	Paraspeckle components (e.g. CARM1, FUS, p54nrb, PSPC1)	Drives LLPS of paraspeckles involved in gene expression regulation	Essential for skin cancer initiation and progression	[21] [S5, S6]
Xist	Nucleus	148.4 (ovaries)	Repetitive RNA subdomains (A-repeats and C-repeats)	Chromatin remodeling factors (e.g. Spen, Rbm15, Wtap)	Mediates the X-chromosome inactivation process by enriching repressive complexes to chromatin, possibly through LLPS in mouse	Upregulated in colorectal cancer and correlates with poor overall survival.	[S7, S8]
HOTAIR	Nucleus	27.1 (arteries)	Not known	PRC2, LSD1	Mediates transcriptional repression OF <i>HOXD</i> gene independently of PRC2	Highly expressed and involved in initiation and progression of different cancers (e.g. breast cancer)	[S9-S11]

SAMMSON	Nucleus & Cytoplasm	1 (arteries)	Not known	CARF, p32	In melanoma: Favors an aberrant interaction between p32 and CARF in the cytosol and sequesters CARF away from its partner XRN2 resulting in an increase in ribosome biogenesis.	Upregulated in melanoma and promotes tumor growth. Its knockdown increases the response of melanoma patient- derived xenografts to targeted therapy.	[23, 36]
TINCR	Cytoplasm	108.5 (skin)	Not known	STAU1	Binds STAU1 to mediate stabilization epidermal differentiation mRNAs (e.g. <i>KRT80</i>). In melanoma, interacts with pro- invasive RNAs such as <i>ATF4</i> , inhibiting their binding to ribosomes and the acquisition of invasive phenotype.	Aberrantly expressed in many cancers. Exerts both tumor- suppressive and oncogenic effects, therefore modulating cancer progression.	[S12-S14]
AGPG	Nucleus and Cytoplasm	Not known	Not known	PFKFB3	Binds and stabilizes PFKFB3 promoting its enrichment in cancer cells, leading to enhanced glycolytic flux and cell cycle progression	Upregulated in many cancers and is associated with poor prognosis (e.g. esophageal squamous cell carcinoma). Its depletion impedes tumor growth in PDX models.	[S15]
NORAD	Cytoplasm	285 (Brain)	Enriched with pumilio response elements (PREs)	Pumilio proteins (PUM1, PUM2)	Inhibits the activity of PUM proteins via nucleation of PUM condensates (NP bodies) to promote genomic stability	Aberrantly expressed in various cancers and involved in carcinogenesis processes (e.g. proliferation, invasion, metastasis, apoptosis)	[30] [S16]

DIGIT	Nucleus	Not known	Not known	BRD3	Promotes phase separation of BRD3 condensates and their recruitment to H3K18ac regions, thus regulating transcription factors of endoderm differentiation.	Not known	[29]
LINC-PINT	Nucleus	33.7 (ovaries)	Two highly conserved short regions	PRC2	Represses genes responsible for cancer cell invasion through its interaction with PRC2	Downregulated in multiple cancers	[S17]
LASTR	Nucleus	Not known	Not known	RNA-splicing factor SART3	Promotes splicing efficiency by regulating SART3 binding to the U4 and U6 snRNPs	Essential for the growth of triple negative breast tumors	[S18]
DilncRNA	Nucleus	Not known	Not known	DNA Damage Response (DDR) RNAs and proteins (e.g. 53BP1)	Synthetized at DNA Double Strand Breaks (DSB), it interacts with DDR proteins to promote phase separation of DDR foci responsible for transcriptional regulation.	DDR dysfunction has been reported in a plethora of human malignancies	[S19, S20]
PNCTR	Nucleus	Not known	Enriched with Short-Tandem Repeats (STRs)	PTBP1	Sequesters PTBP1 to form a phase-separated body named peri-nucleolar compartment (PNC) thus inhibiting PTBP1 splicing activity and promoting cell survival	Highly expressed in a multitude of cancers.	[S21]

HSATIII	Nucleus	Not known	Enriches with STRs (GGAAU)n	HNRNPs, STLM, NCOA5, SAFB	Acts as a scaffold for the formation of nuclear stress bodies upon thermal stress, regulating gene expression	Not known	[S22, S23]
TNBL	Nucleus	Not known	Derived from NBL2 repeats	NPM1, SAM68 and CELF1	Upon NBL2 DNA hypomethylation and histone acetylation in colorectal cancer, TNBL expression is increased leading to the formation of aggregates close to NBL2 loci where it interacts with SAM68 involved in splicing regulation	TNBL-SAM68 perinucleolar bodies are cancer-specific aggregates. Their role is still to be determined.	[S24]

^a See the supplemental information online.

Outstanding questions

1. Is phase separation a general mechanism exploited by IncRNAs to exert their functions? If so, can we identify the stickers driving LLPS of IncRNAs?

2. Could specific dynamics of IncRNA driven phase separation be used as markers

of disease?

3. Can we target specific membraneless compartments by targeting the corresponding RNA?

4. Can we engineer specific compartments by using IncRNA modules?

Supplementary references:

S1. Wilusz, J.E., et al. (2008) 3' end processing of a long nuclear-retained noncoding RNA yields a tRNA-like cytoplasmic RNA. Cell 135, 919-932

S2. Tripathi, V., et al. (2010) The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. Molecular cell 39, 925-938

S3. Li, B., et al. (2014) Activation of LTBP3 gene by a long noncoding RNA (IncRNA) MALAT1 transcript in mesenchymal stem cells from multiple myeloma. The Journal of biological chemistry 289, 29365-29375

S4. Zhou, L., et al. (2018) Long non-coding RNA MALAT1 interacts with transcription factor Foxo1 to regulate SIRT1 transcription in high glucose-induced HK-2 cells injury. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 503, 849-855

S5. Yamazaki, T., et al. (2018) Functional Domains of NEAT1 Architectural lncRNA Induce Paraspeckle Assembly through Phase Separation. Molecular cell 70, 1038-1053.e1037 S6. Sunwoo, H., et al. (2009) MEN epsilon/beta nuclear-retained non-coding RNAs are upregulated upon muscle differentiation and are essential components of paraspeckles. Genome research 19, 347-359

S7. Moindrot, B., et al. (2015) A Pooled shRNA Screen Identifies Rbm15, Spen, and Wtap as Factors Required for Xist RNA-Mediated Silencing. Cell reports 12, 562-572

S8. Cerase, A., et al. (2019) Phase separation drives X-chromosome inactivation: a hypothesis. Nature structural & molecular biology 26, 331-334

S9. Rinn, J.L., et al. (2007) Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in human HOX loci by noncoding RNAs. Cell 129, 1311-1323

S10. Portoso, M., et al. (2017) PRC2 is dispensable for HOTAIR-mediated transcriptional repression. The EMBO journal 36, 981-994

S11. Gupta, R.A., et al. (2010) Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature 464, 1071-1076

S12. Kretz, M., et al. (2013) Control of somatic tissue differentiation by the long non-coding RNA TINCR. Nature 493, 231-235

S13. Sharma, U., et al. (2020) Long non-coding RNA TINCR as potential biomarker and therapeutic target for cancer. Life sciences 257, 118035

S14. Melixetian, M., et al. (2021) Long non-coding RNA TINCR suppresses metastatic melanoma dissemination by preventing ATF4 translation. EMBO reports 22, e50852 S15. Liu, J., et al. (2020) Long noncoding RNA AGPG regulates PFKFB3-mediated tumor glycolytic reprogramming. Nature communications 11, 1507

S16. Yang, Z., et al. (2019) Noncoding RNA activated by DNA damage (NORAD): Biologic function and mechanisms in human cancers. Clinica chimica acta; international journal of clinical chemistry 489, 5-9

S17. Marín-Béjar, O., et al. (2017) The human IncRNA LINC-PINT inhibits tumor cell invasion through a highly conserved sequence element. Genome biology 18, 202

S18. De Troyer, L., et al. (2020) Stress-induced IncRNA LASTR fosters cancer cell fitness by regulating the activity of the U4/U6 recycling factor SART3. Nucleic acids research 48, 2502-2517

S19. Michelini, F., et al. (2017) Damage-induced lncRNAs control the DNA damage response through interaction with DDRNAs at individual double-strand breaks. Nature cell biology 19, 1400-1411

S20. Pessina, F., et al. (2019) Functional transcription promoters at DNA double-strand breaks mediate RNA-driven phase separation of damage-response factors. Nature cell biology 21, 1286-1299

S21. Yap, K., et al. (2018) A Short Tandem Repeat-Enriched RNA Assembles a Nuclear Compartment to Control Alternative Splicing and Promote Cell Survival. Molecular cell 72, 525-540.e513

S22. Valgardsdottir, R., et al. (2005) Structural and functional characterization of noncoding repetitive RNAs transcribed in stressed human cells. Molecular biology of the cell 16, 2597-2604

S23. Aly, M.K., et al. (2019) Two distinct nuclear stress bodies containing different sets of RNA-binding proteins are formed with HSATIII architectural noncoding RNAs upon thermal stress exposure. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 516, 419-423 S24. Dumbovic, G., et al. (2018) A novel long non-coding RNA from NBL2 pericentromeric macrosatellite forms a perinucleolar aggregate structure in colon cancer. Nucleic acids research 46, 5504-5524



Figure 1

