

LOCAL FORMULAS FOR MULTIPLICATIVE FORMS

A. CABRERA

Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal do
Rio de Janeiro
Rio de Janeiro RJ 21941-909, Brasil
alejandror@matematica.ufrj.br

I. MĂRCUȚ

Radboud University Nijmegen
IMAPP
6500 GL, Nijmegen
The Netherlands
i.marcut@math.ru.nl

M. A. SALAZAR

Instituto de
Matemática e Estatística, GMA
Universidade Federal Fluminense
Rua Professor Marcos Waldemar
de Freitas Reis s/n
Gragoatá Niterói
Rio de Janeiro 24.210-201, Brasil
mariasalazar@id.uff.br

Abstract. We provide explicit formulas for integrating multiplicative forms on local Lie groupoids in terms of infinitesimal data. Combined with our previous work [8], which constructs the local Lie groupoid of a Lie algebroid, these formulas produce concrete integrations of several geometric structures defined infinitesimally. In particular, we obtain local integrations and non-degenerate realizations of Poisson, Nijenhuis–Poisson, Dirac, and Jacobi structures by local symplectic, symplectic-Nijenhuis, presymplectic, and contact groupoids, respectively.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the study of Poisson, symplectic and related geometries has been connected at a fundamental level to the underlying Lie theory of algebroids and groupoids [9], [11], [5], [16], [2]. In these contexts, Lie groupoids come endowed with additional geometric structures which are compatible with the groupoid structure, called *multiplicative*. A paradigmatic example of this interplay is the correspondence between Poisson manifolds and *symplectic groupoids* ([9]): Lie groupoids endowed with a multiplicative symplectic structure.

In general, a *multiplicative form* on a Lie groupoid is a differential form satisfying a certain cocycle-type condition. Multiplicative forms can be differentiated to the

so-called *infinitesimally multiplicative* (or *IM-*) forms on the corresponding Lie algebroid, or more generally to *Spencer operators* when the multiplicative form has coefficients in a representation. For source one-connected Lie groupoids, the differentiation procedure gives a one-to-one correspondence [1], [4], [14]. In this paper we prove the local version of this result, namely, differentiation gives a one-to-one correspondence between germs of multiplicative forms on a local Lie groupoid and IM-forms/Spencer operator on the corresponding Lie algebroid. Moreover, our main result consists in providing an explicit formula for an integration in terms of the IM-data, which depends on a chosen tubular neighborhood of the identity section of the groupoid (Theorem 2). In our previous work [8], we presented an explicit construction of a local Lie groupoid integrating a given Lie algebroid, called the spray groupoid, and in this case, the integration of IM-forms and Spencer operators procedure simplifies the construction substantially (Theorem 3).

We also apply these integration results in the context of Poisson, Nijenhuis–Poisson, Dirac and Jacobi geometries, as mentioned above. The key point is that each of these geometries can be encoded by a Lie algebroid together with an IM-form. At the groupoid level, these correspond to geometric structures of a similar nature, which are multiplicative differential forms satisfying a specific non-degeneracy condition. By using the spray construction [8], and the integration procedure, we construct explicit local symplectic, symplectic–Nijenhuis, presymplectic, and contact groupoids; and since the source map of these local Lie groupoids is a morphism in the same category, we obtain concrete non-degenerate realizations for several types of structures: Poisson, Nijenhuis–Poisson (including holomorphic Poisson), generalized complex, twisted Dirac, and Jacobi. This yields new proofs of the main results in the recent works [3], [13], [19], [28].

We explain now our main result in the case of the spray groupoid [8] and for trivial coefficients (Theorem 1). First, we recall some terminology and results from [4]. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold M . An *IM- k -form* on A consists of a pair of vector bundle maps

$$(l, \nu) : A \rightarrow \wedge^{k-1} T^*M \oplus \wedge^k T^*M$$

satisfying, for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$, the compatibility relations

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([a, b]) &= \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)}\nu(b) - i_{\rho(b)}d\nu(a), \\ l([a, b]) &= \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)}l(b) - i_{\rho(b)}dl(a) - i_{\rho(b)}\nu(a), \\ i_{\rho(a)}l(b) &= -i_{\rho(b)}l(a). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid integrating A . The structure maps are denoted as follows: source and target maps $\sigma, \tau : G \rightarrow M$, unit map $u : M \rightarrow G$, inversion $\iota : G \rightarrow G$, and multiplication map $\mu : G_\sigma \times_\tau G \rightarrow G$. A *multiplicative k -form* on G is a differential form $\omega \in \Omega^k(G)$ satisfying the compatibility relation

$$\mu^*\omega = \text{pr}_1^*\omega + \text{pr}_2^*\omega \tag{2}$$

on the space of composable arrows $G_\sigma \times_\tau G$. Multiplicative k -forms can be differentiated to IM- k -forms: the *differentiation* of ω is the pair (l, ν) given by

$$l(a) := u^*(i_a\omega), \quad \nu(a) := u^*(i_a d\omega), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(A). \tag{3}$$

If the source fibers of G are one-connected, then the differentiation map is a bijection between multiplicative forms on G and IM-forms on A [1], [4].

Not every Lie algebroid is integrable by a Lie groupoid, but every Lie algebroid is integrable by a local Lie groupoid [11] (regarding local Lie groupoids, we use the conventions from [8]). If G is only a local Lie groupoid integrating A , one can still talk about local multiplicative forms, i.e., differential forms defined around the unit section of G which satisfy (2) around the unit section, and moreover, the differentiation operation is still defined and produces IM-forms on A . In this setting, our main result shows that differentiation gives a bijection from germs around the unit section of local multiplicative forms on G to IM-forms on A , with the aid of an explicit formula. We explain this for spray groupoids. Recall from [8], that a *Lie algebroid spray* for A is a one-homogenous vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ satisfying $dq(V_a) = \rho(a)$, for all $a \in A$, where $q : A \rightarrow M$ denotes the bundle projection. Using a spray V , in [8] we build a local Lie groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ integrating A , with total space an open neighborhood $G_V \subset A$ of the zero-section, with $u(m) = 0_m$, with $\sigma = q|_{G_V}$, with $\tau = q \circ \phi_V^1$, and with $\iota = -\phi_V^1$, where ϕ_V^t denotes the local flow of V . To define the multiplication μ , we first described the Maurer–Cartan form of G_V which allows us to write $\mu(a, b)$ as the solution to an ODE. We state now our main result in this particular case:

Theorem 1. *Differentiation is a bijection between germs of locally defined multiplicative forms on the spray groupoid G_V and IM-forms on its Lie algebroid A , with inverse $(l, \nu) \mapsto \omega$ given by the integral formula:*

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \Lambda_{(l, \nu)} dt,$$

where $\Lambda_{(l, \nu)} := l^*(d\alpha_{\text{can}}^{k-1}) + \nu^*(\alpha_{\text{can}}^k)$, and $\alpha_{\text{can}}^p \in \Omega^p(\wedge^p T^*M)$ denotes the tautological p -form.

Finally, let us illustrate our result for the problem of locally integrating a Poisson manifold (M, π) . Using an ordinary connection ∇ on M , we can construct the spray V on T^*M defined at $a \in T^*M$ as the horizontal lift of $\pi^\sharp(a)$ with respect to ∇ . The resulting *local symplectic groupoid* constructed here, which integrates the cotangent Lie algebroid T_π^*M , is given by

$$(G_V, \omega) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi), \quad \omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \omega_0 dt,$$

where $G_V \subset T^*M$ is a neighborhood of M , and ω_0 is the canonical symplectic structure on T^*M ; thus ω is the multiplicative 2-form integrating the IM-2 form $(-\text{id}, 0)$. Since the Maurer–Cartan form of G_V is induced by the symplectic structure, the multiplication can be described more explicitly: $\mu(a, b) = k_1$, where $k_t \in T_{q(b)}^*M$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is the solution of the ODE:

$$i_{dk_t/dt} \omega = -\tau^*(\phi_V^t(a)), \quad k_0 = b.$$

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Marius Crainic, Pedro Frejlich, Rui Loja Fernandes and Eckhard Meinrenken for useful discussions. A.C. was supported by CNPq grants 305850/2018-0 and 429879/2018-0 and by FAPERJ grant JCNE E26/203.262/2017. I.M. was supported by the NWO Veni grant 613.009.031 and the NSF grant DMS 14-05671. M.A.S. was a Post-Doctorate at IMPA, funded by CAPES-Brazil, during part of this project, and was partly supported by the CNPq Universal grant 409552/2016-0.

2. Differentiation and integration of multiplicative forms

2.1. Local multiplicative forms with coefficients

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a *local Lie groupoid*. We follow the conventions from [8] about local Lie groupoids. In order to ease notation, we use a dashed arrow \dashrightarrow to indicate that the domain of a map is an open neighborhood of the unit section $u : M \rightarrow G$; for example, the structure maps are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{source and target } \sigma, \tau : G &\dashrightarrow M, & \text{inversion } \iota : G &\dashrightarrow G, \\ \text{multiplication } \mu : G_\sigma \times_\tau G &\dashrightarrow G, \end{aligned}$$

and the axioms of a Lie groupoid are satisfied locally.

The *Lie algebroid* $A \rightarrow M$ of G is constructed exactly as in the case of ordinary Lie groupoids: as a vector bundle $A = \ker(d\sigma)|_M$, the anchor of A is $\rho = d\tau|_A : A \rightarrow TM$, and the Lie bracket is obtained by identifying sections of A with (germs of) right invariant vector fields on G .

The *Maurer–Cartan* form θ_G of G refers to the vector bundle map covering the target map, given by right translation of vector field tangent to the source fibers to the Lie algebroid:

$$\theta_G : T^\sigma G \rightarrow A, \quad \theta_G \left(\frac{d}{dt} g_t \Big|_{t=0} \right) := \frac{d}{dt} g_t g_0^{-1} \Big|_{t=0} \in A_{\tau(g_0)},$$

where $T^\sigma G := \ker(d\sigma)$, and $t \mapsto g_t$ is any path so that $\sigma(g_t) = x$ is fixed.

The *general linear groupoid* $\text{GL}(E) \rightrightarrows M$ of a vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M$ consists of linear isomorphism $E_x \xrightarrow{\sim} E_y, x, y \in M$. A representation of a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ on E is defined as a local Lie groupoid map $F : G \dashrightarrow \text{GL}(E)$ covering the identity map on M . Thus, for any arrow $g \in G$ (which is close enough to M), one has an associated linear isomorphism

$$F(g) : E_{\sigma(g)} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\tau(g)}, \quad e \mapsto g \cdot e,$$

satisfying $(gh) \cdot e = g \cdot (h \cdot e)$, for all composable arrows (g, h) close enough to the identity section.

Given a representation E of G , a *local E -valued k -form* on G is an ordinary differential k -form on an open neighborhood U of M in G with values in the vector bundle $\sigma^*(E)$:

$$\omega \in \Omega^k(U; \sigma^*(E)|_U).$$

We say that ω is *multiplicative* if it satisfies the following equation

$$\mu^*(\omega_{gh}) = h^{-1} \cdot \text{pr}_1^*(\omega_g) + \text{pr}_2^*(\omega_h), \tag{4}$$

for (g, h) in a neighborhood of M in $G_\sigma \times_\tau G$. For the trivial representation on $E = \mathbb{R} \times M$, we recover the notion of multiplicative forms (2) without coefficients from the introduction.

2.2. Spencer operators

A *representation* of a Lie algebroid A over M on the vector bundle E is a flat A -connection ∇ on E , i.e., a bilinear map

$$\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E), \quad (a, e) \mapsto \nabla_a e$$

satisfying

$$\nabla_{fa}e = f\nabla_a e, \quad \nabla_a f e = f\nabla_a e + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)}(f)e, \quad \nabla_a \nabla_b - \nabla_b \nabla_a = \nabla_{[a,b]},$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$.

The infinitesimal version of E -valued multiplicative k -forms are *Spencer operators* of degree k on a Lie algebroid A , with values in a representation (E, ∇) of A [14], [29]. These consist of a vector bundle map l and a linear operator D :

$$l : A \rightarrow \wedge^{k-1} T^*M \otimes E, \quad D : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M; E)$$

satisfying the Leibniz identity

$$D(fa) = fD(a) + df \wedge l(a), \tag{5}$$

and the three compatibility conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} D([a, b]) &= \mathcal{L}_a D(b) - \mathcal{L}_b D(a), \quad l([a, b]) = \mathcal{L}_a l(b) - i_{\rho(b)} D(a), \\ i_{\rho(a)} l(b) &= -i_{\rho(b)} l(a), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$; the operator \mathcal{L}_a acts on $\Omega^k(M, E)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_a \omega(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \nabla_a(\omega(v_1, \dots, v_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k \omega(v_1, \dots, [\rho(a), v_i], \dots, v_k), \tag{7}$$

for $v_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

In order to describe integration and differentiation operations, we introduce the *linear differential form* associated to Spencer operators. Let $q_1, q_2: F_1, F_2 \rightarrow N$ be two vector bundles. By a *linear F_2 -valued k -form* on the manifold F_1 we mean a differential form $\Lambda \in \Omega^k(F_1; q_1^*(F_2))$ satisfying

$$m_t^*(\Lambda) = t\Lambda, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{8}$$

where $m_t: F_1 \rightarrow F_1$ denotes the multiplication by $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The space of all linear F_2 -valued differential forms will be denoted by $\Omega_{\text{lin}}^\bullet(F_1; F_2) \subset \Omega^\bullet(F_1; q_1^*F_2)$.

To any F_2 -valued linear differential k -form Λ we associate a pair (l, D) consisting of a vector bundle map $l: F_1 \rightarrow \wedge^{k-1} T^*N \otimes F_2$ and an operator $D: \Gamma(F_1) \rightarrow \Omega^k(N; F_2)$ via the formulas

$$l(a) = j^*(i_{\vec{a}}\Lambda), \quad D(a) = a^*(\Lambda), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(F_1), \tag{9}$$

where, \vec{a} denotes a regarded as a constant vertical vector field on F_1 and $j: N \rightarrow F_1$ denotes the zero-section. The pair (l, D) satisfies the Leibniz identity (5); in fact, the following holds:

Lemma 1. *The relation (9) gives a one-to-one correspondence between:*

- pairs (l, D) consisting of a vector bundle map $l: F_1 \rightarrow \wedge^{k-1}T^*N \otimes F_2$ and an operator $D: \Gamma(F_2) \rightarrow \Omega^k(N; F_2)$ satisfying the Leibniz identity (5);
- elements $\Lambda \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^k(F_1; F_2)$, i.e., F_2 -valued linear differential forms on the manifold F_1 .

Proof. We only sketch the proof by writing the correspondence in local coordinates. Let x^i denote local coordinates on $U \subset N$, f_j a frame of $F_1|_U$ with induced dual coordinates y^j on the fibers of $F_1|_U$, and r_l a local frame on $F_2|_U$. The correspondence is such that, if

$$D(f_j) = u_{I_{k,j}}^l(x) dx^{I_k} \otimes r_l, \quad l(f_j) = v_{I_{k-1,j}}^l(x) dx^{I_{k-1}} \otimes r_l,$$

where I_p denotes an index set $I_p = \{i_1 < \dots < i_p\}$ and $dx^{I_p} := dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_p}$, then

$$\Lambda = y^j u_{I_{k,j}}^l(x) dx^{I_k} \otimes r_l + v_{I_{k-1,j}}^l(x) dy^j \wedge dx^{I_{k-1}} \otimes r_l. \quad \square$$

For a Spencer operator (l, D) of degree k on a Lie algebroid A with values in a representation E , we denote the corresponding linear E -valued differential form by

$$\Lambda_{(l,D)} \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^k(A; E).$$

Let $E = \mathbb{R} \times M$ be the trivial representation (i.e., given by the anchor). Then the Leibniz identity (5) for a degree k Spencer operator (l, D) is equivalent to the fact that D decomposes

$$D(a) = dl(a) + \nu(a), \quad a \in \Gamma(A),$$

where $\nu: A \rightarrow \wedge^k T^*M$ is a vector bundle map. Writing the compatibility conditions (6) in terms of (l, ν) , we obtain the relations (1). Thus, Spencer operators on the trivial representation are the same as IM-forms on a Lie algebroid A , which were discussed in the introduction. The associated linear differential form will be denoted by

$$\Lambda_{(l,\nu)} := \Lambda_{(l,D)} \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}(A)$$

and can be written directly in terms of (l, ν) as follows:

$$\Lambda_{(l,\nu)} = dl^*(\alpha_{\text{can}}^{k-1}) + \nu^*(\alpha_{\text{can}}^k) \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^k(A), \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha_{\text{can}}^p \in \Omega^p(\wedge^p T^*M)$ denotes the tautological p -form on $\wedge^p T^*M$.

2.3. Differentiation

The *differentiation procedure* [29], which associates to a local E -valued multiplicative k -form ω on local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ an E -valued Spencer operator (l, D) of degree k on its Lie algebroid A , is simply given by the relations

$$l(a) = u^*(i_a \omega), \quad D(a) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} u^*((\phi_{aR}^t)^* \omega) \tag{11}$$

for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$, where $a^R \in \Gamma(T^\sigma G)$ denotes the local right-invariant vector field on G corresponding to a which, using the Maurer–Cartan form, is given by $\theta_G(a^R) = a$. If (l, D) is given by (11), we say that ω *integrates* the Spencer operator (l, D) .

In the case of trivial coefficients $E = \mathbb{R} \times M$, using Cartan’s formula $\mathcal{L}_{a^R} = di_{a^R} + i_{a^R}d$ we have that $D(a) = u^*(i_a d\omega) + dl(a)$, thus under the correspondence between Spencer operators and IM-forms, we recover the differentiation (3) from the Introduction.

The linear form corresponding to the Spencer operator can be thought of as the linearization of the multiplicative form. To make this precise, we recall some terminology from [8]. A *tubular structure* on a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ with Lie algebroid A is a (locally defined) tubular neighborhood of M in G along the source fibers, i.e., an open embedding of bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G \\
 q \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M} & M
 \end{array} \quad , \tag{12}$$

such that: $\varphi(0_x) = x$, for $x \in M$, and $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(ta)|_{t=0} = (0, a) \in T_x M \oplus A_x = T_x G$, for $a \in A_x$.

Lemma 2. *Let ω be a local E -valued multiplicative k -form on the local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, and let (l, D) be the Spencer operator on the Lie algebroid A of G to which ω differentiates. For any tubular structure $\varphi: A \rightarrow G$, the linear form associated with (l, D) is given by:*

$$\Lambda_{(l,D)} = \frac{d}{dt} m_t^*(\varphi^*(\omega))|_{t=0} \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^k(A; E),$$

where $m_t: A \rightarrow A$ denotes multiplication by $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda := \frac{d}{dt} m_t^*(\varphi^*(\omega))|_{t=0}$. First, note that Λ is indeed a linear form:

$$m_s^*(\Lambda) = m_s^* \frac{d}{dt} m_t^*(\varphi^*(\omega))|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} m_{st}^*(\varphi^*(\omega))|_{t=0} = s\Lambda.$$

By the correspondence (9) from Lemma 1, and the description of differentiation (11), it suffices to check the following two relations:

$$j^*(i_{\vec{a}}\Lambda) = u^*(i_a\omega), \quad a^*(\Lambda) = u^*(\mathcal{L}_{a^R}\omega), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(A).$$

Since $(m_t)_*(\vec{a}) = t\vec{a}$, $m_t \circ j = j$, $d\varphi(\vec{a}|_{j(M)}) = a|_{u(M)}$, and $\varphi \circ j = u$, we have that

$$j^*(i_{\vec{a}} m_t^*(\varphi^*(\omega))) = j^*(m_t^*(ti_{\vec{a}}\varphi^*(\omega))) = j^*(ti_{\vec{a}}\varphi^*(\omega)) = tj^*(\varphi^*(i_a\omega)) = tu^*(i_a\omega),$$

which implies the first relation. Denote $\alpha_1^t = \phi_{a^R}^t \circ u$ and $\alpha_2^t = \varphi(ta)$. Note that the families $\alpha_i^t: M \rightarrow G$ are sections of σ , i.e., $\sigma \circ \alpha_i^t = \text{id}_M$, and they both satisfy

$\alpha_i^0 = u$ and $\frac{d}{dt}\alpha_i^t|_{t=0} = a$. It is easy to check (e.g., by a local calculation) that for any two such families of maps, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(\alpha_1^t)^*\omega = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(\alpha_2^t)^*\omega.$$

The left-hand side of this equation is $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}u^*(\phi_{aR}^t)^*\omega$, and since $\varphi \circ m_t \circ a = \alpha_2^t$, the right-hand side is $a^*(\Lambda)$. This proves the second relation. \square

2.4. Integration

We are ready to state the main result of this paper, which provides a formula for the inverse of the differentiation of multiplicative forms on a local Lie groupoid. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid, let A be the Lie algebroid of G and θ_G be the Maurer–Cartan form of G . Fix also a tubular structure $\varphi: A \dashrightarrow G$ on G . The tubular structure induces a scalar multiplication on G along the source fibers

$$\mathbb{R} \times G \dashrightarrow G, \quad (t, g) \mapsto tg := \varphi(t\varphi^{-1}(g)),$$

which is defined on a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R} \times u(M)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times G$. Next, we consider the map

$$\lambda: \mathbb{R} \times G \dashrightarrow A, \quad (t, g) \mapsto \lambda_t(g) := \theta_G\left(\frac{d}{dt}tg\right). \tag{13}$$

Note that $\lambda_t(g) \in A_{\tau(tg)}$. The following will be proven in the following subsections:

Theorem 2. *Given a Spencer operator (l, D) of degree k on A with coefficients in E , with corresponding linear form $\Lambda = \Lambda_{(l, D)}$, the formula*

$$g \mapsto \omega_g = \int_0^1 (tg)^{-1} \cdot \lambda_t^*(\Lambda_{\lambda_t(g)}) dt \in \wedge^k T_g^*G \otimes E_{\sigma(g)} \tag{14}$$

defines a local E -valued multiplicative k -form ω on G which integrates (l, D) . Moreover, the germ around M of such an integration is unique.

Note the following consequence of the theorem (see also [25, Prop. 8]):

Corollary 1. *Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A , and E a local representation of G . Differentiation gives a one-to-one correspondence between germs around M of E -valued multiplicative forms and E -valued Spencer operators.*

2.5. The case of cocycles

We discuss now Theorem 2 in the case of cocycles and trivial coefficients. A *local cocycle* on a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a degree zero multiplicative form on G , i.e., a smooth map $f: G \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$f(gh) = f(g) + f(h),$$

for all arrows g and h closed enough to the identities. This is equivalent to G being a Lie groupoid homomorphism. A *cocycle* on the Lie algebroid A is a degree zero IM-form on A , which is given by a linear map $\delta: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\delta([a, b]) = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)}\delta(b) - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(b)}\delta(a),$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. This is equivalent to δ being a Lie algebroid homomorphism. In this case, differentiation becomes $\delta(a) = i_a(df)$, and $\Lambda_{(0,\delta)} = \delta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ is the underlying (1-)cocycle.

By [8, Thm. 2.4], Lie algebroid maps can always be integrated to local Lie groupoid maps, and the germ of the integration around the unit section is unique. Moreover, [8, Thm. 2.4] gives the following recipe to construct the integration of morphisms. Namely, if $f: G \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ integrates $\delta: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then for $g \in G$, we have that $f(tg) = x_t$ is determined by the ODE

$$x_0 = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt}x_t = \delta\left(\theta_G\left(\frac{d}{dt}tg\right)\right),$$

which has as solution

$$x_t = \int_0^t \delta(\lambda_s(g)) \, ds.$$

Thus $f(g) = \int_0^1 \delta(\lambda_t(g))dt$, and so this proves Theorem 2 in degree zero, for trivial coefficients.

2.6. Forms as cocycles on \mathbb{G}_k

Following [4], [7], [17], we will prove Theorem 2 by embedding degree k multiplicative forms and Spencer operators as cocycles on suitable larger groupoids \mathbb{G}_k and algebroids \mathbb{A}_k , respectively, which we now introduce.

The first ingredient is the tangent lift construction. Given a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, the application of the tangent functor to its structure maps defines a local Lie groupoid

$$TG \rightrightarrows TM$$

called the local *tangent groupoid* associated to G . Note that TG is more than a local Lie groupoid, because its structure maps are vector bundle maps, covering the structure maps of G , and their domains are vector bundles over the domains of the structure maps of G ; in fact, such an object will be called a *local VB-groupoid*, following the terminology of [20], see also [27]. The infinitesimal counterpart of this construction is the *tangent Lie algebroid* structure on $dq: TA \rightarrow TM$ associated to a Lie algebroid $q: A \rightarrow M$ (see [27] for further information).

If A is the Lie algebroid of G , i.e., $A = T^\sigma G|_M$, then the Lie algebroid of $TG \rightrightarrows TM$ is naturally isomorphic to $TA \rightarrow TM$ (see [27]). The identification between the vector bundles $T^{d\sigma}(TG)|_{TM} \rightarrow TM$ and $TA = T(T^\sigma G|_M) \rightarrow TM$ is realized by the natural involution of $T(TG)$ which switches second order derivatives:

$$\psi: T^{d\sigma}(TG)|_{TM} \xrightarrow{\sim} T(T^\sigma G|_M), \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} g_\epsilon(t) \mapsto \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \frac{d}{dt} g_\epsilon(t), \tag{15}$$

where $g_\epsilon(t) \in G$ is a smooth two-parameter family, such that $\sigma(g_\epsilon(t)) = x_\epsilon = g_\epsilon(0)$. For later use, we record the following characterization of the Maurer–Cartan form on TG .

Lemma 3. *The Maurer–Cartan form of TG is given by:*

$$\theta_{TG}\left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} g_\epsilon(t)\right) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \theta_G\left(\frac{d}{dt} g_\epsilon(t)\right),$$

where $g_\epsilon(t) \in G$ is a smooth two-parameter family, such that $\sigma(g_\epsilon(t)) = x_\epsilon$ is independent of t .

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{TG} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} g_\epsilon(t) \right) &= \psi \circ \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} d\mu \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon} g_\epsilon(s), d\iota \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon} g_\epsilon(t) \right) \right) \\ &= \psi \circ \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mu(g_\epsilon(s), \iota(g_\epsilon(t))) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} \mu(g_\epsilon(s), \iota(g_\epsilon(t))) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \theta_G \left(\frac{d}{dt} g_\epsilon(t) \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The second ingredient is given by the action construction on a given representation. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid, and let E be a representation of G . The dual representation of E is defined by the action of G on E^* given by $(g \cdot \xi)(e) := \xi(g^{-1} \cdot v)$, for $\xi \in E^*_{\sigma(g)}$, $v \in E_{\tau(g)}$. The associated *local action groupoid* $G \ltimes E^* = G \times_M E^* \rightrightarrows E^*$ is defined by the structure maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}(g, \xi) &= \xi, & \tilde{\tau}(g, \xi) &= g \cdot \xi, & \tilde{u}(\xi) &= (u(p(\xi)), \xi), \\ \tilde{\mu}((g, h \cdot \xi), (h, \xi)) &= (gh, \xi), & (g, \xi)^{-1} &= (g^{-1}, g \cdot \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Infinitesimally, let A be the Lie algebroid of G and ∇ the A -connection on E differentiating the representation. The Lie algebroid of $G \ltimes E^*$ is the *action Lie algebroid* $A \ltimes E^* = A \times_M E^* \rightarrow E^*$; its structure maps are determined by two conditions: the canonical inclusion $\Gamma(A) \subset \Gamma(A \ltimes E^*)$ preserves the Lie bracket, and the anchor $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\rho}(a)} \tilde{e} = \widetilde{\nabla}_a e, \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(A), e \in \Gamma(E), \tag{16}$$

where for $f \in \Gamma(E)$, we denote by $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(E^*)$ the corresponding linear function on E^* . Notice that $G \ltimes E^*$ is a local VB-groupoid and that $A \ltimes E^*$ is its VB-algebroid.

By taking sums of the underlying local VB-groupoid structure maps (see, e.g., [4]), we get a local (VB-)groupoid structure on

$$\mathbb{G}_k := \overbrace{TG \oplus \dots \oplus TG}^k \oplus (G \ltimes E^*) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{M}_k := \overbrace{TM \oplus \dots \oplus TM}^k \oplus E^*.$$

Its algebroid is given by the following sum of VB-algebroids

$$\mathbb{A}_k := \overbrace{TA \oplus \dots \oplus TA}^k \oplus (A \ltimes E^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_k,$$

where in the sum, we regard $A \ltimes E^*$ as a (pull-back) bundle over A . The algebroid structure can be found in [4] for trivial representations and in [7] in the case of non-trivial E . The isomorphism between the Lie algebroid of \mathbb{G}_k and \mathbb{A}_k is given by $\psi \oplus \dots \oplus \psi \oplus id_{E^*}$.

The embedding trick ([4], [7]) consists of regarding E -valued k -forms on G and A as functions on \mathbb{G}_k and \mathbb{A}_k , as follows. Given an E -valued k -form ω on G , we consider the induced function (or local 1-cochain) $f_\omega: \mathbb{G}_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_\omega(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi) = \xi(\omega(v_1, \dots, v_k)), \quad v_i \in T_g G, \xi \in E^*_{\sigma(g)}. \tag{17}$$

Similarly, given a linear E -valued k -form $\Lambda_{(l,D)} \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^k(A, E)$ defined by a pair (l, D) satisfying the Leibniz property (cf. Lemma 1), we define the induced function (or algebroid 1-cochain) $\delta_{(l,D)}: \mathbb{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\delta_{(l,D)}(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi) = \xi(\Lambda_{(l,D)}(v_1, \dots, v_k)), \quad v_i \in T_a A, \xi \in E_{p(a)}^*. \tag{18}$$

Note that the linearity of $\Lambda_{(l,D)}$ implies that $\delta_{(l,D)}$ is linear for the projection $\mathbb{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_k$, so that it can be seen as a section of $\mathbb{A}_k^* \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_k$ (i.e., an algebroid 1-cochain).

Finally, we summarize the main properties of the embedding construction in the following compilation of results from [17].

Proposition 1 ([17]). *Let ω be an E -valued k -form on G and (l, D) be a pair satisfying the Leibniz property defining a linear E -valued k -form on A .*

- (1) ω is multiplicative if and only if f_ω is a cocycle in \mathbb{G}_k .
- (2) (l, D) defines a Spencer operator if and only if $\delta_{(l,D)}$ is a cocycle in \mathbb{A}_k .
- (3) Let ω be multiplicative and (l, D) the induced Spencer operator through (11).

Then, differentiation of the cocycle f_ω from \mathbb{G}_k to \mathbb{A}_k yields $\delta_{(l,D)}$.

The results of [17] hold in a generalized context in which $G \times E^*$ and $A \times E^*$ are replaced by the duals of a general VB-groupoid \mathcal{V} and of its algebroid \mathfrak{v} , respectively. The specialization to our case comes from considering² $\mathcal{V} = \tau^* E \rightrightarrows M$ with the groupoid structure:

$$\bar{\sigma}(g, e) = \sigma(g), \quad \bar{\tau}(g, e) = \tau(g), \quad \bar{\mu}((g_1, e_1), (g_2, e_2)) = (\mu(g_1, g_2), e_1 + g_1 \cdot e_2).$$

See [17, Prop. 5.9] for item (2) above, and [17, proof of Thm. 3.9 – Differentiation] for item (3) above; see also [17, Example 3.6] for the specialization to our case and the link to Spencer operators. The analogue of the above proposition for forms with trivial coefficients can be found in [4]. The application of the structures on \mathbb{G}_k and \mathbb{A}_k to the description of higher order cocycles and the van Est map can be found in [7].

2.7. The proof of Theorem 2

The strategy will be to reduce the proof to the case of cocycles (cf. Section 2.5) by means of the embedding trick described in the previous section.

To this end, we need to endow \mathbb{G}_k with a tubular structure. Given a tubular structure $\varphi: A \dashrightarrow G$, consider the map $\widehat{\varphi}: \mathbb{A}_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}_k$ given by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi) = (d\varphi(v_1), \dots, d\varphi(v_k), \xi) \in T_{\varphi(a)} A \oplus \dots T_{\varphi(a)} A \oplus (\sigma^* E^*)_{\varphi(a)}$$

where $a \in A_x$, $v_i \in T_a A$ and $\xi \in E_x^*$.

Lemma 4. *The map $\widehat{\varphi}$ defined above defines a tubular structure for \mathbb{G}_k . The associated family $\widehat{\lambda}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k$ defined by (13) is given by*

$$\widehat{\lambda}_t(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi) = (d\lambda_t(v_1), \dots, d\lambda_t(v_k), (tg) \cdot \xi), \quad v_i \in T_a A, \xi \in E_{q(a)}^*$$

where $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \times A \dashrightarrow G$ is defined from φ on G via (13).

²In the terminology of VB-groupoids, the side-bundle of $\mathcal{V} = \tau^* E$ has zero fibers and E sits as the core-bundle. This implies that \mathcal{V}^* has E^* as side-bundle and trivial core.

Proof. Since \mathbb{G}_k is given as a k -fold sum of TG 's and of $G \times E^*$, the proof reduces to the analogous statements for each summand. First, $(a, \xi) \mapsto (\varphi(a), \xi)$ clearly defines a tubular structure on $G \times E^*$ whose associated map $\mathbb{R} \times (G \times E^*) \dashrightarrow A \times E^*$ is fully characterized by the property

$$\theta_{G \times E^*} \left(\frac{d}{dt}(g_t, \xi) \right) = \left(\theta_G \left(\frac{d}{dt}g_t \right), g_t \cdot \xi \right).$$

For the TG summand, we need to show that the map $d\varphi: TA \dashrightarrow TG$ defines a tubular structure and that the associated map $\lambda_t^{d\varphi}$ via equation (13) coincides with $d\lambda_t: TG \dashrightarrow TA$. As φ is an open embedding then $d\varphi$ is an open embedding as well; since $\sigma \circ \varphi = q$, we have that $d\varphi \circ d\sigma = dq$; and since $\varphi|_M = \text{id}_M$, we have that $d\varphi|_{TM} = \text{id}_{TM}$. Consider a path $a_\epsilon \in A$, and let $v := \frac{d}{d\epsilon}a_\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0} \in TA$. Since $\sigma(\varphi(ta_\epsilon)) = q(ta_\epsilon) = q(a_\epsilon)$, is independent of t , by using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\theta_{TG} \left(\frac{d}{dt}d\varphi \circ dm_t(v) \right) = \theta_{TG} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi(ta_\epsilon) \right) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \theta_G \left(\frac{d}{dt} \varphi(ta_\epsilon) \right).$$

For $t = 0$, since φ is a tubular structure, we obtain

$$\tau \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} d\varphi \circ dm_t(v) \right) = v,$$

which concludes the proof that $d\varphi$ is a tubular structure (the use of τ is necessary, because TA is only isomorphic to the Lie algebroid of TG as a vector bundle). For arbitrary t , we obtain $\theta_{TG}(\frac{d}{dt}d\varphi \circ dm_t(v)) = d\lambda_t \circ d\varphi(v)$, hence taking $v = d\varphi^{-1}(w)$ we obtain $\lambda_t^{d\varphi} = d\lambda_t$ as desired. \square

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let (l, D) be a Spencer operator of degree k on A with values in E and $\Lambda = \Lambda_{(l, D)} \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^k(A, E)$ the associated linear k -form (cf. Lemma 1). Denote by $\delta = \delta_{(l, D)}: \mathbb{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the induced function via (18). By Proposition 1 (item (2)), δ is a cocycle on \mathbb{A}_k . As explained in Section 2.5, given the tubular structure $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{A}_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}_k$ described above, δ integrates to a local cocycle $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{G}_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$\tilde{f}(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi) = \int_0^1 \delta(\hat{\lambda}_t(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi)) dt, \quad v_i \in T_g G, \quad \xi \in E_{\sigma(g)}^*.$$

On the other hand, let ω be the E -valued k -form on G defined by the equation (14) in the statement of the Theorem and denote by $f_\omega: \mathbb{G}_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the associated function via (17). We claim that $\tilde{f} = f_\omega$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi) &= \int_0^1 \delta(d\lambda_t(v_1), \dots, d\lambda_t(v_k), (tg) \cdot \xi) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 ((tg) \cdot \xi) (\Lambda(d\lambda_t(v_1), \dots, d\lambda_t(v_k))) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \xi((tg)^{-1} \cdot \Lambda(d\lambda_t(v_1), \dots, d\lambda_t(v_k))) dt \\ &= f_\omega(v_1, \dots, v_k, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

In the first line above we used the characterization of $\widehat{\lambda}_t$ given in Lemma 4; in the second line we used the definition (18) of δ in terms Λ ; in the third line we used the definition of the dual representation of G on E^* ; in the last line we used the definition (17) of f_ω in terms of ω . Thus, since \widetilde{f} is a cocycle on \mathbb{G}_k , so is f_ω . By Proposition 1 (item (1)), we conclude that ω is multiplicative. By Proposition 1 (item (3)) and Lemma 1, we conclude that the Spencer operator associated to ω via (11) coincides with the given (l, D) , i.e., that ω integrates (l, D) . Finally, to address the uniqueness, suppose that $\widetilde{\omega}$ is another integration of (l, D) . By Proposition 1 (item (3)), both f_ω and $f_{\widetilde{\omega}}$ are cocycles on \mathbb{G}_k that integrate the same $\delta: \mathbb{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As discussed in Section 2.5, the germ of these two cocycles around $\mathbb{M}_k \subset \mathbb{G}_k$ must coincide, and this directly implies that $\omega = \widetilde{\omega}$ on points near $M \subset G$. The theorem is thus proven. \square

2.8. Integration to the spray groupoid

We discuss the integration procedure for spray groupoids. Let A be a Lie algebroid and $V \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ be a Lie algebroid spray for A . Consider the associated local spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ [8]. Recall that G_V is an open subset of A containing the zero-section in A , which is the unit map, the source map is the bundle projection $\sigma = q$, the target is $\tau = q \circ \phi_V^1$, the inversion is $\iota = -\phi_V^1$. To define the multiplication, we first constructed the Maurer–Cartan form of G_V

$$\theta: T^\sigma G_V \dashrightarrow A,$$

which had already been introduced in [31]. In particular, θ is characterized by the property that it is a Lie algebroid map, by the relation (see [31], [8])

$$\theta\left(\frac{d}{dt}ta\right) = \phi_V^t(a). \tag{19}$$

Finally, $\mu: G_V \times_\sigma G_V \dashrightarrow G_V$ is defined as $\mu(a, b) = k_1$, where $k_t \in A_{q(b)}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is the solution of the ODE:

$$\frac{dk_t}{dt} = \theta_{k_t}^{-1}(\phi_V^t(a)), \quad k_0 = b.$$

Note that the identity map $\text{id}: A \dashrightarrow G_V$ induces a tubular structure on G_V . By equation (19), the corresponding map λ_t (13) becomes the flow of V

$$\lambda_t(a) = \phi_V^t(a). \tag{20}$$

Consider a representation (E, ∇) of A . The spray condition implies that for any $a \in A$, we have that $t \mapsto \phi_V^t(a)$ is an A -path [11], i.e., it satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}q \circ \phi_V^t(a) = dq(V_{\phi_V^t(a)}) = \rho(\phi_V^t(a)).$$

Therefore one can define the parallel transport [11, 18] of the A -connection ∇ along $\phi_V^\bullet(a)$:

$$T_{\phi_V^\bullet(a)}^{t,0}: E_{q(a)} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{q(\phi_V^t(a))},$$

for all t in the domain of the flow $\phi_V^\bullet(a)$. We review the details of this construction in the proof of the following result, which describes integration of representations to the spray groupoid:

Lemma 5. *The representation (E, ∇) of A integrates to the following local representation of G_V*

$$F: G_V \dashrightarrow \text{GL}(E), \quad a \mapsto T_{\phi_V^{\bullet,0}(a)}^{1,0}: E_{\sigma(a)} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\tau(a)}.$$

Proof. The Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ of $\text{GL}(E)$ can be described as follows: as a vector bundle, the fiber of $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ over $x \in M$ consists of linear vector fields along E_x , namely:

$$\mathfrak{gl}(E)_x := \{v \in \Gamma(TE|_{E_x}) : dm_t(v_e) = v_{te}, \quad \forall t \neq 0, \forall e \in E_x\},$$

where $m_t: E \rightarrow E$ denotes multiplication by $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Sections of $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ can be seen as linear vector fields on E , and the Lie algebroid bracket comes from the inclusion $\Gamma(\mathfrak{gl}(E)) \subset \mathfrak{X}(E)$. The Maurer–Cartan form of $\text{GL}(E)$ is described as follows: given a smooth family of linear isomorphisms $g_t: E_x \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{x_t}$, then

$$\theta_{\text{GL}(E)}\left(\frac{d}{dt}g_t\right) = \frac{d}{dt}g_s g_t^{-1}\Big|_{s=t} \in \mathfrak{gl}(E)_{x_t}.$$

The representation of A can be viewed as a Lie algebroid map $f: A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(E)$ covering the identity; the corresponding flat A -connection on E (see [12, Lem. 2.33]) is determined by

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla_a}(e) = [f \circ a, \vec{e}], \quad a \in \Gamma(A), \quad e \in \Gamma(E),$$

where $\vec{e} \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ denoted the constant vertical vector field corresponding to a section $e \in \Gamma(E)$.

The parallel transport $T_{\phi_V^{\bullet,0}(a)}^{t,0}$ along $\phi_V^t(a)$ is the solution to the ODE:

$$k_0 = \text{id}_{E_{q(a)}}, \quad \theta_{\text{GL}(E)}\left(\frac{d}{dt}k_t\right) = f(\phi_V^t(a)).$$

By [8, Cor. 3.17], f integrates to a local Lie groupoid map $F: G_V \dashrightarrow \text{GL}(E)$, determined by the condition that $F(ta)$ is the solution to the same ODE; hence the conclusion. \square

By the proof above, we obtain that the action of G_V on E is given by

$$(ta) \cdot e = T_{\phi_V^{\bullet,0}(a)}^{t,0}(e). \tag{21}$$

Using this and equation (20), Theorem 2 takes the following form in the case of spray groupoids, which for trivial coefficients specializes to Theorem 1 from the Introduction:

Theorem 3. *Let $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ be the spray groupoid of a spray V on A . Given an E -valued Spencer operator (l, D) of degree k on A , with corresponding linear form $\Lambda = \Lambda_{(l,D)}$, the formula*

$$a \mapsto \omega_a = \int_0^1 T_{\phi_V^{\bullet,0}(a)}^{0,t} \cdot (\phi_V^t)^* \Lambda_{\phi_V^t(a)} dt \in \wedge^k T_a^* A \otimes E_{q(a)} \tag{22}$$

defines a local E -valued multiplicative k -form ω on G_V which integrates (l, D) . Moreover, the germ around M of such an integration is unique.

2.9. Some remarks

Remark 1 (Chain map). For later use let us remark the following: in the case of trivial coefficients, the differentiation procedure (3) is a chain map, where the differential on multiplicative forms is simply the de Rham differential, and the differential on IM-forms acts as

$$d_{IM}(l, \nu) = (\nu, 0).$$

Remark 2 (Multiplicative forms at units). Consider a multiplicative form ω which integrates the IM-form (l, ν) . At points $x \in M \subset G$ on the unit section, under the natural decomposition $T_x G = T_x M \oplus A_x$, by using multiplicativity of ω one can show that (see [14, Lem. 4.2]):

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j}) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i-1} \omega(a_i, \rho a_1, \dots, \widehat{\rho a_i}, \dots, \rho a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j})$$

where $v_i \in T_x M$, $a_i \in A_x$. Therefore, by relation (3), ω is determined by l at the unit section:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(a_1, \dots, a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j}) &= \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i-1} l(a_i)(\rho a_1, \dots, \widehat{\rho a_i}, \dots, \rho a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j}). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Applying this formula to $d_{IM}(l, \nu) = (\nu, 0)$ and Remark 1, we obtain a similar result for $d\omega$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(a_1, \dots, a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k+1-j}) &= \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i-1} \nu(a_i)(\rho a_1, \dots, \widehat{\rho a_i}, \dots, \rho a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k+1-j}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3 (Exact multiplicative forms). For the Lie algebroid TM any IM- k -form is of the form $(\varpi^b, (d\varpi)^b)$, for a unique k -form $\varpi \in \Omega^k(M)$, where for $\eta \in \Omega^k(M)$, we denote $\eta^b : TM \rightarrow \wedge^{k-1} T^*M$, $v \mapsto \iota_v \eta$. For any Lie algebroid A over M , one pulls back this IM-form via the anchor and obtains the IM- k -form $(\varpi^b \circ \rho, (d\varpi)^b \circ \rho)$ on A . The corresponding global construction is as follows. If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a local Lie groupoid integrating A , then, for $\varpi \in \Omega^k(M)$, $\omega := \tau^* \varpi - \sigma^* \varpi$ is a local multiplicative form which integrates $(\varpi^b \circ \rho, (d\varpi)^b \circ \rho)$. Let us verify that this is what Theorem 2 predicts. First, note that, for any $\eta \in \Omega^p(M)$ and any spray V on A , we have that:

$$i_V q^*(\eta) = (\eta^b \circ \rho)^*(\alpha_{\text{can}}^{p-1}).$$

This implies that the linear form corresponding to $(\varpi^b \circ \rho, (d\varpi)^b \circ \rho)$ is

$$\Lambda = di_V q^* \varpi + i_V q^* d\varpi = \mathcal{L}_V q^* \varpi.$$

Therefore, we obtain the expected result:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \Lambda dt = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \mathcal{L}_V q^* \varpi dt = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (\phi_V^t)^* q^* \varpi dt = \\ &= (q \circ \phi_V^1)^* \varpi - q^* \varpi = \tau^* \varpi - \sigma^* \varpi. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4 (Multiplicative forms on the space of A -paths). Recall from [11] that the *Weinstein groupoid* associated to a Lie algebroid A is the quotient $G(A) = P(A)/\mathcal{F} \rightrightarrows M$, where $P(A)$ denotes the space of C^1 - A -paths, and \mathcal{F} is the A -homotopy foliation. To a spray V on A one associates an exponential map

$$\exp_V : A \dashrightarrow P(A), \quad a \mapsto (t \mapsto \phi_V^t(a))_{t \in [0,1]}. \tag{24}$$

The spray groupoid G_V is such that \exp_V induces a local groupoid map. The origin of the formula for integrating IM-forms becomes transparent using this example. Namely, an IM- k -form (l, ν) on A induces naturally a differential k -form on $P(A)$:

$$\widehat{\omega} = \int_0^1 ev^* \Lambda_{(l, \nu)} dt,$$

where $ev : P(A) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow A$ denotes $(a, t) \mapsto a_t$. It follows that the local form ω on G_V from Theorem 1 coincides with $\exp_V^* \widehat{\omega}$. The fact that ω is multiplicative and integrates (l, ν) can be seen as a consequence of the fact that $\widehat{\omega}$ is basic relative to A -homotopy foliation \mathcal{F} on $P(A)$ (see also [4, Rem. 4 after Thm. 2]). This argument is explained in detail in [3]. However, the proof of Theorem 1 that we provide here is independent of these observations, and does not involve any infinite-dimensional differential geometry; it is based on the general properties of the spray groupoid construction.

Remark 5 (Characterizing global multiplicative forms). Let $H \rightrightarrows M$ be a (global) Lie groupoid which is source connected and simply connected, and let ω be a multiplicative form on H with trivial coefficients (for simplicity). Following [2, Appendix A], the restriction $\omega|_G$ to a source-connected local Lie subgroupoid $G \subset H$ over M fully determines ω . Moreover, any multiplicative form on G extends uniquely to a multiplicative form on H . Thus, the formula of Theorem 1 uniquely characterizes any multiplicative form on any source 1-connected Lie groupoid $H \rightrightarrows M$.

Remark 6 (Integrating multiplicative multivectors). Following [4, Sect. 6], the description of multiplicative multivectors is quite parallel to our discussion of multiplicative forms. In this case, the role of the tangent local groupoid $TG \rightrightarrows TM$ is replaced by the *cotangent local (VB-)groupoid* $T^*G \rightrightarrows A^*$ (with cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*A \rightarrow A^*$). A local multiplicative multivector field Π on $G \rightrightarrows M$ corresponds to a local 1-cocycle on k -copies of T^*G and its infinitesimal counterpart π to a 1-cocycle on k -copies of T^*A (for more details, see [4], [22]). To provide a formula for Π in terms of the infinitesimal π analogous to that of Theorem 2, one can try to proceed as in the case of forms above and fix a tubular structure $\widehat{\varphi} : T^*A \dashrightarrow T^*G$, with associated family $\widehat{\lambda}_t : T^*G \dashrightarrow T^*A$. Unlike the case of TG , there is no natural way of inducing a tubular structure on T^*G from a tubular structure $\varphi : A \dashrightarrow G$ (without making any additional non-canonical choices). Nevertheless, this construction leads to the following formula (recall the integration of cocycles from Subsection 2.5)

$$\Pi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = \int_0^1 \pi(\widehat{\lambda}_t(\alpha_1), \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_t(\alpha_k)) dt, \quad \alpha_i \in T_g^*G,$$

which can be shown to indeed define a local multiplicative multivector Π integrating π . We also remark that the arguments for forms and multivectors can also be combined to yield formulas for *local multiplicative tensor fields* (see [6]).

3. Applications

Many geometric structures, for example Poisson, Dirac, Jacobi, generalized complex structures, etc., can be encoded by a Lie algebroid endowed with a certain IM-form or, more generally, with a Spencer operator. Here we apply our general results to provide explicit local integrations of such structures to local multiplicative forms on the corresponding spray groupoids. As a byproduct, we reprove the global formulas for realizations of the following structures: Poisson [13], Dirac [19], and Nijenhuis–Poisson (including holomorphic Poisson) [3], [28]. We work out the integration of Jacobi structures by local contact groupoids, which involve non-trivial representations.

3.1. Local integration of Poisson structures

In [9], it is proven that a symplectic realization of a Poisson manifold, together with a fixed Lagrangian section, determines a local symplectic groupoid on the total space of the realization that integrates the Poisson manifold. This was one of the first general constructions of local Lie groupoids. By applying the “spray method” to this case, we give an explicit construction of a local symplectic spray groupoid integrating a Poisson manifold.

Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold. The associated *cotangent Lie algebroid* has total space $T^*_\pi M = T^*M \rightarrow M$, has anchor map given by π turned into a bundle map $\pi^\sharp: T^*_\pi M \rightarrow TM$, and has Lie bracket given by $[a, b]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(a)}b - i_{\pi^\sharp(b)}da$, for $a, b \in \Omega^1(M)$.

The cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*_\pi M$ carries a canonical closed IM-2-form given by $(-\text{id}, 0)$, with corresponding Spencer operator $(-\text{id}, -d)$, and with corresponding linear form the canonical symplectic structure ω_0 on T^*M (see, e.g., [4]).

Given a spray V for $T^*_\pi M$, also called a *Poisson spray*, we construct the associated spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$. By Theorem 1, G_V carries a local multiplicative 2-form given by

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \omega_0 dt. \tag{25}$$

We claim that, in a neighborhood of M ,

$$(G_V, \omega) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi)$$

is a local symplectic groupoid integrating the Poisson manifold (M, π) , in the sense of [9]. First, ω is obviously closed, and even exact, with (non-multiplicative) primitive $-\int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \alpha_0 dt$, where α_0 denotes the tautological 1-form on T^*M . At points on the unit-section $x \in M \subset G_V$, using the canonical decomposition $T_x(T^*M) = T_xM \oplus T^*_xM$, equation (23) gives

$$\omega_x(v + a, w + b) = \langle b \mid v \rangle - \langle a \mid w \rangle + \pi(a, b), \tag{26}$$

for all $v + a, w + b \in T_x G_V$. In particular, this formula shows that ω is non-degenerate along M , and hence, it is so in a neighborhood of M . Thus, $(G_V, \omega) \rightrightarrows M$ is indeed a local symplectic groupoid. Following [9], ω^{-1} is q -projectable to a Poisson structure on M , and it follows from the expression (26) at points of M that this Poisson structure is π . This proves the claim.

Being a local symplectic groupoid integrating π implies that the map

$$q: (T^*M, \omega) \dashrightarrow (M, \pi)$$

defines a symplectic realization. This fact already appears in [13] and the proof given there is a direct but tedious calculation, whereas the one given here is Lie theoretic in nature, as it exploits the local Lie groupoid G_V and the multiplicativity of ω (a short, Dirac-geometric proof of the realization property is found in [19]).

On the other hand, as noticed in [13], the local Lie groupoid structure on G_V is determined by the symplectic realization $q: (G_V, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$: first, in a neighborhood of M , the Maurer–Cartan form θ corresponding to the spray V can be given in terms of ω by:

$$\tau^*(\theta_a(v)) = -i_v \omega_a \in T_a^* G_V, \quad v \in T_a^\sigma G_V. \tag{27}$$

This follows by the multiplicativity of ω : for any $w \in T_a G_V$, using (26) and writing $\theta_a(v) = d\mu(v, 0_{a^{-1}})$, and $d\tau(w) = d\mu(w, dl(w))$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^*(\theta_a(v))(w) &= \langle \theta_a(v) \mid d\tau(w) \rangle = \omega_{\tau(a)}(d\tau(w), \theta_a(v)) = \\ &= \omega_a(w, v) + \omega_{a^{-1}}(dl(w), 0_{a^{-1}}) = -\omega_a(v, w), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (27). Using formula (27), we obtain a simpler description of the ODE from [8] describing the multiplication of G_V : for $(a, b) \in G_{V_\sigma} \times_\tau G_V$, close enough to M , the curve $k_t = \mu(ta, b)$, with $t \in [0, 1]$, is the solution of the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} k_t = -\Pi_{k_t}^\sharp(\tau^*(\phi_V^t(a))), \quad k_0 = b, \tag{28}$$

where Π denotes the inverse of ω , which is defined on a neighborhood of M in G_V .

3.2. Closed IM-2-forms on Poisson manifolds

Consider the same setting as in the previous subsection: (M, π) is a Poisson manifold, V is a spray on the cotangent Lie algebroid T_π^*M , and $(G_V, \omega) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi)$ is the corresponding local symplectic spray groupoid.

A closed IM-2-form on T_π^*M , is given by a vector bundle map $l: T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$, such that:

$$\pi(l(a), b) = \pi(a, l(b)), \quad l([a, b]_\pi) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(a)} l(b) - i_{\pi^\sharp(b)} dl(a),$$

for all $a, b \in \Omega^1(M)$.

The linear 2-form associated to $(-l, 0)$, is given by:

$$\Lambda_{(-l, 0)} = l^*(\omega_0) \in \Omega^2(T^*M),$$

where ω_0 is the canonical symplectic structure on T^*M . Thus, the multiplicative closed 2-form on the spray groupoid G_V corresponding to $(-l, 0)$ is given by

$$\omega_{(-l,0)} = \int_0^1 (l \circ \phi_V^t)^* \omega_0 dt.$$

Since the symplectic ω is non-degenerate around M , we can write:

$$\omega_{(-l,0)}(u, v) = \omega_L(u, v) := \omega(Lu, v), \quad u, v \in TG_V,$$

for a unique vector bundle map $L: TG_V \rightarrow TG_V$. The fact that ω and ω_L are multiplicative implies that L is multiplicative, i.e., it is a groupoid morphism (the Lie algebroid morphism induced by L is the *complete lift* of l to the cotangent bundle [3, Def. 7.1], see also [6]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TG_V & \xrightarrow{L} & TG_V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ TM & \xrightarrow{l} & TM \end{array}$$

where the dual of l was denoted by the same symbol $l = l^t: TM \rightarrow TM$. To see that the base map is indeed l , we calculate $\omega_L = \omega_{(-l,0)}$ along the zero-section, using Remark 2, and obtain:

$$\omega_L(v + a, w + b) = \langle v \mid l(b) \rangle - \langle w \mid l(a) \rangle - \pi(l(a), b) = \omega(l(v) + l(a), w + b),$$

for all $v + a, w + b \in T_x G_V, x \in M$. Hence, along the zero-section,

$$L(v + a) = l(v) + l(a). \tag{29}$$

Finally, denote by $\Pi := \omega^{-1}$. For each $k \geq 0$, consider the bivector fields:

$$\Pi_{L^k} \in \mathfrak{X}^2(G_V), \quad \Pi_{L^k}^\sharp := \Pi^\sharp \circ L^k, \quad \pi_{l^k} \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M), \quad \pi_{l^k}^\sharp := \pi^\sharp \circ l^k.$$

Since $\sigma_*(\Pi) = \pi$, and L and l are σ related, it follows that also:

$$\sigma_*(\Pi_{L^k}) = \pi_{l^k} \quad (\text{and similarly, } \tau_*(\Pi_{L^k}) = -\pi_{l^k}).$$

In general, these bivector fields are not Poisson.

The case of invertible IM-forms. We discuss now the case when $l: T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ is invertible. By (29), this is equivalent to L being invertible around M , and is also equivalent to ω_L being symplectic. By the argument above, also for $k < 0$ we have that $\sigma_*(\Pi_{L^k}) = \pi_{l^k}$. Moreover, the following hold:

- $\pi_{l^{-1}}$ is Poisson and $(G_V, \omega_L) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l^{-1}})$ is a symplectic groupoid.
- The vector field $l_*V = dl \circ V \circ l^{-1}$ is a Poisson spray for $\pi_{l^{-1}}$. If

$$(G_{l_*V}, \omega_{l_*V}) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l^{-1}})$$

denotes the local symplectic spray groupoid of l_*V , then

$$l: (G_V, \omega_L) \dashrightarrow (G_{l_*V}, \omega_{l_*V})$$

gives an isomorphism between germs of local symplectic groupoids.

Clearly (G_V, ω_L) is a symplectic groupoid. The first part follows because we have $(\omega_L)^{-1} = \Pi_{L^{-1}}$, and $\sigma_*(\Pi_{L^{-1}}) = \pi_{l^{-1}}$. By a direct verification, l_*V is indeed a Poisson spray for $\pi_{l^{-1}}$, and clearly, its flow is $\phi_{l_*V}^t = l \circ \phi_V^t \circ l^{-1}$. Since l intertwines the two sprays, [8, Example 3.18] implies that l is a local Lie groupoid map between the two spray groupoids $l: G_V \dashrightarrow G_{l_*V}$. Finally, note that l is a local symplectomorphism:

$$\omega_L = \int_0^1 (l \circ \phi_V^t)^* \omega_0 \, dt = \int_0^1 (\phi_{l_*V}^t \circ l)^* \omega_0 \, dt = l^* \left(\int_0^1 (\phi_{l_*V}^t)^* \omega_0 \, dt \right) = l^*(\omega_{l_*V}).$$

This completes the proof of the claims made above.

In particular we have obtained that the projection $\sigma = q: T^*M = G_V \rightarrow M$ gives two symplectic realizations:

$$\begin{aligned} q: (\mathcal{U}, \omega) &\rightarrow (M, \pi), & q: (\mathcal{U}, \omega_L) &\rightarrow (M, \pi_{l^{-1}}), \\ \omega &= \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \omega_0 \, dt, & \omega_L &= \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* l^*(\omega_0) \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

for some neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset T^*M$ of M . This gives a different proof of [28, Thm. 3.3], but without the assumption that l be a Nijenhuis tensor (!) (see also Subsection 3.2).

Deformations of a multiplicative symplectic structure. A closed IM-2-form l induces a deformation of the multiplicative symplectic structure ω of G_V , namely, the one-parameter family of closed multiplicative 2-form on $G_V \rightrightarrows M$:

$$\omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega + \omega_{\epsilon L},$$

defined for small ϵ , which corresponds to $l_\epsilon = \text{Id} + \epsilon l$, and therefore $L_\epsilon = \text{Id} + \epsilon L$. We will assume that l_ϵ is invertible (which always holds locally on M , for small ϵ), which implies that L_ϵ is invertible, and also that ω_{L_ϵ} is symplectic. As discussed above,

$$(G_V, \omega_{L_\epsilon}) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}})$$

is a symplectic groupoid. Note that Taylor expansion in ϵ of the Poisson structure is given by:

$$\pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}} = \pi - \epsilon \pi_l + \epsilon^2 \pi_{l^2} - \epsilon^3 \pi_{l^3} + \dots$$

Then π_l , the speed at $\epsilon = 0$, is a Poisson-cocycle: $[\pi, \pi_l] = 0$.

Let us discuss the case of *trivial deformations*, i.e., assume that $\pi_l = -[\pi, X]$, for some vector field X . This condition is equivalent to (X, l) being an IM-1-form, i.e., to l being exact; moreover, since the integration of IM-forms is a chain complex isomorphism to germs of multiplicative forms (Remark 1), the condition is also equivalent to ω_L admitting a local multiplicative primitive η . By Theorem 1, this multiplicative 1-form integrating (X, l) is given by

$$\eta = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* (l^* \alpha_0) \, dt + d \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \tilde{X} \, dt,$$

where α_0 is the tautological 1-form on T^*M and $\tilde{X} \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ is X viewed as a linear function. Then $\omega_L = -d\eta$, and so $\omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega - \epsilon d\eta$. Consider the local, time-dependent vector field Y_ϵ , defined by

$$i_{Y_\epsilon} \omega_{L_\epsilon} = \eta.$$

Then Y_ϵ is multiplicative, hence its flow $\phi_{Y_\bullet}^\epsilon$ is a local groupoid map; and by the usual Moser argument, $(\phi_{Y_\bullet}^\epsilon)^* \omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega$. Thus we obtain a local symplectic groupoid isomorphism:

$$\phi_{Y_\bullet}^\epsilon : (G_V, \omega) \dashrightarrow (G_V, \omega_{L_\epsilon}).$$

Since Y_ϵ is multiplicative, it is σ -projectable to a vector field X_ϵ on M whose flow, when defined, is a Poisson isomorphism:

$$\phi_{X_\bullet}^\epsilon : (M, \pi) \rightarrow (M, \pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}}).$$

In fact, by calculating ω_{L_ϵ} and η along the zero-section with the aid of Remark 2,

$$\omega_{L_\epsilon}(v + a, w + b) = \langle v | l_\epsilon b \rangle - \langle w | l_\epsilon a \rangle - \pi(l_\epsilon a, b), \quad \eta(v + a) = \langle X_x | a \rangle,$$

for all $v + a, w + b \in T_x G_V$, $x \in M$, one obtains that $Y_\epsilon|_M = l_\epsilon^{-1} \circ X$. Hence, $X_\epsilon = l_\epsilon^{-1}(X)$.

Another interesting case are *gauge transformations*; i.e., when $l = \varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\sharp$, for a closed 2-form ϖ on M . In this case, as discussed in Remark 3, we have that $(-l, 0)$ integrates to $\omega_L = \sigma^*(\varpi) - \tau^*(\varpi)$. We obtain the deformation of symplectic groupoids $(G_V, \omega_{L_\epsilon}) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}})$,

$$\text{where } \omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega + \epsilon(\sigma^*(\varpi) - \tau^*(\varpi)) \text{ and } \pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}}^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ (\text{Id} + \epsilon \varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\sharp)^{-1}$$

is the gauge transformation of π by the closed 2-form $\epsilon \varpi$.

Local integration of Poisson–Nijenhuis structures. Here, we recover the results on local integrations and realizations of Poisson–Nijenhuis structures [3], [28], [30] using the spray groupoid perspective.

As before, let l be a closed IM-2-form on T_π^*M . The *Nijenhuis torsion* of l is defined by:

$$T_l \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes TM),$$

$$T_l(u, v) := [l(u), l(v)] - l([l(u), v] + [u, l(v)]) + l^2([u, v]), \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

where l and its transposed are denoted the same. In our setting, this tensor appears as follows (the result below is inspired by results in [10]):

Lemma 6. *Consider the multiplicative 2-form on G_V , $\omega_{L^2}(u, v) = \omega(L^2u, v)$. The IM-2-form corresponding to ω_{L^2} is $(-l^2, -T_l)$; moreover, the following three equations are equivalent:*

$$(1) \quad d\omega_{L^2} = 0; \quad (2) \quad T_l = 0; \quad (3) \quad T_L = 0.$$

Proof. The result is based on the calculations from [10]. First, we note a general formula: for any 2-form $\Omega \in \Omega^2(N)$ and any $(1, 1)$ tensor $A: TN \rightarrow TN$ which satisfy $\Omega(AX, Y) = \Omega(X, AY)$, we have that [10, Lem. 2.9]

$$i_{T_A(u,v)}\Omega = (i_v \circ i_{Au} + i_{Av} \circ i_u)d\Omega_A - i_{Av} \circ i_{Au}d\Omega - i_v \circ i_u d\Omega_{A^2}, \quad (30)$$

for all $u, v \in TN$, where $\Omega_{A^k}(u, v) := \Omega(A^k u, v)$. Applying (30) to the multiplicative symplectic structure ω on G_V , and to the $(1, 1)$ tensor L , we obtain that:

$$i_{T_L(u,v)}\omega = -i_v \circ i_u d\omega_{L^2}.$$

In particular, this shows that (1) and (3) are equivalent. Denote by $(\underline{l}, \underline{\nu})$ the IM-2-form corresponding to ω_{L^2} . By the differentiation procedure (3), and by the explicit description of ω and L along the zero-section, we have that:

$$\underline{l}(a)(v) = \omega_{L^2}(a, v) = \omega(l^2(a), v) = -\langle l^2 a \mid v \rangle,$$

$$\underline{\nu}(a)(v, w) = d\omega_{L^2}(a, v, w) = -\omega(T_L(v, w), a) = -\omega(T_l(v, w), a) = -T_l(a)(v, w),$$

where we have also used that $T_L(u, v) = T_l(u, v)$ for u, v tangent to the unit section. This implies that ω_{L^2} integrates $(-l^2, -T_l)$. Finally, by Remark 1, ω_{L^2} is closed iff $T_l = 0$. \square

Assume that (π, l) is a *Poisson–Nijenhuis structure*, i.e., π is a Poisson structure and l is a closed IM-2-form on T_π^*M whose Nijenhuis torsion vanishes. The above shows that

$$(G_V, \omega, L) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi, l)$$

is a *local symplectic Nijenhuis groupoid* [30], i.e., (G_V, ω) is a local symplectic groupoid, L is multiplicative and $(\Pi = \omega^{-1}, L)$ is a Poisson–Nijenhuis structure inducing on the base the Poisson–Nijenhuis structure (π, l) . By the general theory of Poisson Nijenhuis structures [24], the bivector fields π_{l^k} , $k \geq 0$, are all Poisson structures, which pairwise commute; and similarly, at the groupoid level, the local multiplicative bivector fields Π_{L^k} , $k \geq 0$, are all Poisson structures, which pairwise commute, and by the discussion in the beginning of Section 3.2, the source map is a Poisson map for all these structures (resp. the target map is anti-Poisson):

$$\sigma: (G_V, \Pi_{L^k}) \rightarrow (M, \pi_{l^k}), \quad k \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, by using relation (30) for $\Omega = \omega_{L^k}$, one proves inductively that $d\omega_{L^k} = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. So, all these forms are multiplicative and closed, and their infinitesimal IM-forms are $(-l^k, 0)$. This shows that:

$$\omega_{L^k} = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^*(l^k)^* \omega_0 dt.$$

If l is invertible, the above discussion holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we obtain symplectic realizations:

$$q = \sigma: (G_V, \omega_{L^k}) \rightarrow (M, \pi_{l^{-k}}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 7. In [3], the authors consider further *holomorphic Poisson structures*, i.e., Poisson Nijenhuis structures (M, π, j) such that $j^2 = -\text{Id}$. In this case, the local symplectic Nijenhuis groupoid (G_V, ω, J) which is obtained by the above procedure is automatically a local *holomorphic symplectic* groupoid. This follows by integrating the closed IM-2-form $(-j^2, 0) = (\text{Id}, 0)$, and conclude that $\omega_{j^2} = -\omega$, hence $J^2 = -\text{Id}$.

Local integration of generalized complex structures. Another setting where closed IM-2-forms on Poisson manifolds appear are generalized complex manifolds [21]. Let us describe the integration of these structures to the corresponding spray groupoid, in the spirit of [10] (see also [2] for a more complete discussion of integration of generalized complex structures).

Following [10], a *generalized complex structure* can be described by a triple (π, l, ϖ) , where π is a Poisson structure on M , l is a closed IM-2-form on T_π^*M , and ϖ is a 2-form on M satisfying:

$$l^2 + \pi^\# \varpi^\flat = -\text{Id}, \quad T_l(u, v) = \pi^\#(i_v i_u d\varpi),$$

$$l \circ \varpi = \varpi \circ l, \quad d\varpi_l(u, v, w) = d\varpi(lu, v, w) + d\varpi(u, lv, w) + d\varpi(u, v, lw),$$

where, as usual, $\varpi_l(u, v) := \varpi(l(u), v)$, and l and its transpose are denoted by the same symbol.

Consider the local integration (G_V, ω, ω_L) of (M, π, l) , as in the beginning of Section 3.2. Following [10], the first set of equations has an interpretation in terms of IM-2-forms (for the second, we are not aware of such an interpretation); namely, the IM forms

$$-(\text{Id}, 0), \quad -(l^2, T_l) \quad \text{and} \quad (\varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\#, d\varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\#)$$

integrate to the multiplicative forms ω, ω_{L^2} (Lemma 6) and $\tau^*(\varpi) - \sigma^*(\varpi)$ (Remark 3), respectively; therefore the first conditions are equivalent to:

$$\omega + \omega_{L^2} = \tau^*(\varpi) - \sigma^*(\varpi).$$

The triple $(\Pi = \omega^{-1}, L, \sigma^*(\varpi) - \tau^*(\varpi))$ is a local multiplicative generalized complex structure on the spray groupoid G_V , and which is a local integration in the sense of [10] of (π, l, ϖ) .

3.3. Local integration of twisted Dirac structures

Generalizing Poisson structures, Dirac structures provide another example of Lie algebroids which carry natural IM 2-forms [4], [5]. We discuss their local integrations obtained from sprays.

Fix a closed 3-form $H \in \Omega^3(M)$. Recall [5] that an *H-twisted Dirac structure* is a subbundle $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M =: \mathbb{T}M$ over M which is lagrangian with respect to the natural symmetric pairing on $\mathbb{T}M$ and whose space of sections $\Gamma(L)$ is involutive with respect to the *H-twisted Courant bracket* on $\Gamma(\mathbb{T}M) = \mathfrak{X}^1(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M)$:

$$[[v + \alpha, w + \beta]]_H = [v, w] + \mathcal{L}_v \beta - i_w d\alpha + i_w i_v H, \quad v, w \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M), \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M).$$

Then $(L, [\cdot, \cdot]_H, \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid with anchor $\rho(v + \alpha) = v$, which carries the canonical IM-2-form $(-\bar{\rho}, H^b \circ \rho)$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}: L &\rightarrow T^*M, & v + \alpha &\mapsto \alpha, \\ H^b \circ \rho: L &\rightarrow \wedge^2 T^*M, & v + \alpha &\mapsto i_v H. \end{aligned}$$

The linear form on L corresponding to $(-\bar{\rho}, H^b \circ \rho)$ is given by

$$\Lambda_{(-\bar{\rho}, H^b \circ \rho)} = \bar{\rho}^* \omega_0 + \Lambda_H \in \Omega_{\text{lin}}^2(L), \quad \text{where } \Lambda_H := \widetilde{H^b \circ \rho}.$$

Let V be a spray on L with corresponding local spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$. Consider the local multiplicative 2-form on G_V integrating $(-\bar{\rho}, H^b \circ \rho)$:

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* (\bar{\rho}^* \omega_0 + \Lambda_H) dt.$$

Since integration is a chain map (Remark 1), the multiplicative 3-form $d\omega$ integrates the IM-3-form $(H^b \circ \rho, 0)$, therefore, by Remark 3, we obtain that ω is *relatively H-closed*, i.e.,

$$d\omega = \tau^* H - \sigma^* H.$$

Using the canonical decomposition $T_x L = T_x M \oplus L_x$, for $x \in M \subset L$, equation (23) and that L is isotropic, we obtain that

$$\omega_x((v_1, w_1 + \alpha_1), (v_2, w_2 + \alpha_2)) = \langle \alpha_2 \mid v_1 \rangle - \langle \alpha_1 \mid v_2 + w_2 \rangle, \tag{31}$$

for all $(v_1, w_1 + \alpha_1), (v_2, w_2 + \alpha_2) \in T_x G_V$. This formula can be used to show that, along the unit section, ω satisfies the following so-called *robustness condition* [5]

$$\ker(\omega_a) \cap \ker(d_a \sigma) \cap \ker(d_a \tau) = 0, \quad a \in G_V.$$

This condition is open, therefore it is satisfied around the unit section. Thus, $(G_V, \omega, H) \rightrightarrows M$ defines a local version of the *presymplectic groupoids* defined in [5, Def. 2.1]. The local groupoid version of [5, Thm. 2.2] also holds, and therefore σ pushes the graph of ω forward to an H -twisted Dirac structure on M , and this Dirac structure is L^3 , as it can be straightforwardly checked at points of the unit section using (31), just as in the Poisson case. Moreover, the source-target map becomes a *presymplectic realization* [5]:

$$\sigma \times \tau : (G_V, \omega) \dashrightarrow (M, L) \times (M, -L), \tag{32}$$

i.e., $\sigma \times \tau$ is a forward Dirac map and $\ker(\sigma \times \tau) \cap \ker(\omega) = 0$. Here G_V is endowed with the graph of ω , which is $(\sigma \times \tau)^*(H, -H)$ -twisted, and $M \times M$ with the product Dirac structure $L \times -L$, where $-L := \{v - \alpha : v + \alpha \in L\}$ is the opposite Dirac structure, which is $(H, -H)$ -twisted.

For $H = 0$, we have that $\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \bar{\rho}^* \omega_0 dt$. In this case, the fact that (32) is a presymplectic realization was proven also in [19], with a direct Dirac-geometric argument.

³Our conventions differ slightly from [5]: in loc. cit. the presymplectic structure of a presymplectic groupoid is the negative of our presymplectic structure, and this makes the target map forward Dirac.

3.4. Local integration of multiplicative distributions

We start by recalling the local groupoid version of multiplicative distributions; as a reference to multiplicative distributions on Lie groupoids, see [14].

By a *local distribution* on a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ we mean a vector subbundle $H \subset TG$ supported on a neighborhood $U \subset G$ of M . A local distribution $H \subset TG$ is called *multiplicative* if it defines a local Lie subgroupoid over TM of the tangent groupoid $TG \rightrightarrows TM$, i.e., $TM \subset H$ and there are open neighborhoods $U_1 \subset G$ and $U_2 \subset G_\sigma \times_\tau G$ of M such that

$$d\iota(H|_{U_1}) \subset H \quad \text{and} \quad d\mu((H_{d\sigma} \times_{d\tau} H)|_{U_2}) \subset H.$$

Any pointwise surjective E -valued local multiplicative 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1_{\text{loc}}(G; \sigma^*(E))$ (E any representation of G) gives rise to a multiplicative distribution: $H := \ker(\omega)$. In fact, every local multiplicative distribution is associated with a surjective multiplicative one-form as above, and this gives the recipe to construct the infinitesimal counterpart of multiplicative distributions. To see this, let $H \subset TG$ be a local multiplicative distribution, and denote

$$H^\sigma := T^\sigma G \cap H \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g} := H^\sigma|_M \subset A.$$

There is a canonical adjoint-like local action of G on the vector bundle A/\mathfrak{g} , defined as follows:

$$g \cdot [v] := [\theta_G(d\mu(w, v))] \in A_{\tau(g)}/\mathfrak{g}_{\tau(g)}, \quad [v] \in A_{\sigma(g)}/\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma(g)},$$

where $w \in H_g$ is any element satisfying $d\sigma(w) = d\tau(v)$ and θ_G is the Maurer–Cartan form of G . The existence of w is ensured by the fact that $d\sigma: H \rightarrow TM$ is onto, at least in a neighborhood of M , and that the action is well-defined is a consequence of the fact that H is a subgroupoid. The associated local multiplicative 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(G; \sigma^*(A/\mathfrak{g}))$ is given by:

$$\omega_g(v) = g^{-1} \cdot [\theta_G(v - u)] \in A_{\sigma(g)}/\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma(g)}, \quad v \in T_g G,$$

where $u \in H_g$ is any element such that $d\sigma(v) = d\sigma(u)$. Again, using that H is a subgroupoid, one can prove that, in a small enough neighborhood of M , ω is well-defined, that it is multiplicative, and that $\ker(\omega) = H$.

The infinitesimal counterpart of multiplicative distributions are the following objects on a Lie algebroid A : a subbundle $\mathfrak{g} \subset A$ and a Spencer operator of the form

$$D: \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M; A/\mathfrak{g}), \quad l = \text{pr}: A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{g}.$$

The action of A on A/\mathfrak{g} is recovered from the relations (6) as the flat A -connection:

$$\nabla: \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A/\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Gamma(A/\mathfrak{g}), \quad \nabla_a(\text{pr}(b)) = \iota_{\rho(b)}D(a) + \text{pr}([a, b]).$$

The differentiation procedure is as follows. Given a local multiplicative distribution $H \subset TG$, the corresponding Spencer operator is explicitly given by $\mathfrak{g} = (H \cap T^\sigma G)|_M = H \cap A$, and

$$\iota_v D(a) = [\tilde{v}, a^R] \pmod{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{33}$$

for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $a^R \in \Gamma(T^\sigma G)$ is the right invariant vector field induced by a , and $\tilde{v} \in \Gamma(H)$ is any extension of v in H around M satisfying that $d\sigma(\tilde{v}) = v$. In this case, we say that H integrates D .

For a fixed subbundle $\mathfrak{g} \subset A$ and a fixed local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, the above constructions give one-to-one correspondences between:

- (1) germs around M of multiplicative distributions $H \subset TG$ satisfying $H \cap A = \mathfrak{g}$;
- (2) pairs consisting of a germ of a local representation of G on A/\mathfrak{g} and a germ of a local multiplicative 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1_{\text{loc}}(G; \sigma^*(A/\mathfrak{g}))$ satisfying $\omega|_A = \text{pr}: A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{g}$;
- (3) pairs consisting of a representation of A on A/\mathfrak{g} and a degree one Spencer operator of the form (pr, D) on A with values in A/\mathfrak{g} .

For a spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$, Theorem 3 makes the integration procedure, i.e., the passage from item 3 to item 2 above, also explicit. Namely, given a representation of A on A/\mathfrak{g} and a compatible Spencer operator (pr, D) as above, then the corresponding local multiplicative 1-form is given by

$$\omega(v) = \int_0^1 T_{\phi_V^\bullet(a)}^{0,t} \cdot \Lambda_{(\text{pr}, D)}((\phi_V^t)_* v), \quad v \in T_a G_V,$$

where $\Lambda_{(\text{pr}, D)} \in \Omega^1_{\text{lin}}(A; A/\mathfrak{g})$ is the linear form associated to (pr, D) (Lemma 1), and $T_{\phi_V^\bullet(a)}^{0,t}$ is the parallel transport with respect of ∇ from t to 0 along the path $\phi_V^\bullet(a)$ (Subsection 2.8).

3.5. Local integration of Jacobi structures

Another type of geometric structures which can be encoded by Spencer operators are Jacobi structures. These were first considered independently by Kirillov [23] and Lichnerowicz [26]; here we follow the approach to Jacobi manifolds in terms of Spencer operators developed in [15].

A Jacobi manifold $(M, L, \{, \})$ consists of a line bundle L over the manifold M together with a Lie bracket $\{, \}$ on the space of sections of L which is local:

$$\text{supp}\{u, v\} \subset \text{supp}(u) \cap \text{supp}(v), \quad u, v \in \Gamma(L).$$

The Jacobi bracket induces a Lie algebroid structure on the first jet bundle of L

$$q: J^1 L \rightarrow M.$$

The structure maps of this Lie algebroid are uniquely defined by the relations

$$\{u, f v\} = f \{u, v\} + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(j^1 u)}(f)v, \quad [j^1 u, j^1 v] = j^1 \{u, v\}, \quad f \in C^\infty(M), u, v \in \Gamma(L). \quad (34)$$

Further, the Lie algebroid $J^1 L$ carries a degree one Spencer operator

$$D: \Gamma(J^1 L) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M; L), \quad l = \text{pr}: J^1 L \rightarrow L,$$

which is uniquely determined by the condition $D(j^1 u) = 0$, $u \in \Gamma(L)$, and the Leibniz identity with respect to pr . The linear one-form associated to (pr, D) is the canonical contact form $\Lambda \in \Omega^1_{\text{lin}}(J^1 L; L)$

$$\Lambda_{j^1_x u} = d_x(\text{pr} - u \circ q): T_{j^1_x u}(J^1 L) \rightarrow L_x,$$

where we have used the canonical identification $L_x \cong T_{u(x)}(L_x)$.

Finally, the underlying representation $\nabla: \Gamma(J^1 L) \times \Gamma(L) \rightarrow \Gamma(L)$ is defined by

$$\nabla_{j^1 u}(v) = \{u, v\}. \quad (35)$$

Example 1 (*Contact structures as Jacobi brackets*). Just as symplectic structures correspond to non-degenerate Poisson structures, contact structures correspond to non-degenerate Jacobi structures. Let H be a contact structure on M , i.e., $H \subset TM$ is a codimension-one distribution which is maximally non-integrable, in the sense that the pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot): H_x \times H_x \rightarrow L_x := T_x M / H_x, \quad (X, Y) \mapsto [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_x \pmod{H_x} \tag{36}$$

is non-degenerate, where \tilde{X} and $\tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(H)$ denote extensions of X and Y , respectively. The Lie algebra of *Reeb vector fields* of the contact structure H , denoted $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{Reeb}}(M, H) \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)$, consists of vector fields $R \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ satisfying $[R, \Gamma(H)] \subset \Gamma(H)$. The projection $TM \rightarrow L$ induces a linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{Reeb}}(M, H) \cong \Gamma(L)$. This endows L with a canonical Jacobi bracket $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}_H$.

A *Jacobi map* between Jacobi manifolds $(M_1, L_1, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{L_1})$ and $(M_2, L_2, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{L_2})$ consists of a smooth map $\psi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, and a line bundle isomorphism $\Psi: \psi^* L_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} L_1$ such that the map induced on sections $\Gamma(L_2) \rightarrow \Gamma(L_1)$, $u \mapsto \Psi(u \circ \psi)$ is a Lie algebra map. Following the previous example, a *contact realization* of a Jacobi manifold $(M, L, \{ \cdot, \cdot \})$ is a contact manifold (Σ, H) and a Jacobi map

$$(\psi, \Psi): (\Sigma, L_H := T\Sigma/H, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_H) \rightarrow (M, L, \{ \cdot, \cdot \})$$

such that ψ is a surjective submersion.

A *local contact groupoid* consists of a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ together with a local multiplicative distribution $H \subset TG$ which is contact. Let (G, H) be a local contact groupoid with Lie algebroid A . As discussed in the previous subsection, G has a canonical local action on the line bundle $L := A/(H \cap A)$ and a canonical multiplicative 1-form $\omega: TG \rightarrow L$, which satisfies $H = \ker \omega$, and so, it induces a fibre-wise invertible line bundle map

$$\bar{\omega}: L_H := TG/H \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma^* L.$$

By adapting the arguments from [15] to this local setting, one can prove that:

- There is a unique Jacobi structure $(L, \{ \cdot, \cdot \})$ such that $(\sigma, \bar{\omega})$ is a contact realization. This holds because: the Jacobi bracket corresponding to H comes from the isomorphism $\Gamma(L_H) \cong \mathfrak{X}^{\text{Reeb}}(G, H)$; and we have the following equality around M

$$\omega(\mathfrak{X}_{L\text{-inv}}^{\text{Reeb}}(G, H)) = \sigma^*(\Gamma(L)) \subset \Gamma(\sigma^*(L)),$$

where $\mathfrak{X}_{L\text{-inv}}^{\text{Reeb}}(G, H)$ denotes the space of local Reeb vector fields on G that are left-invariant (and so, tangent to the τ -fibres).

- There is a canonical isomorphism of Lie algebroids $\Phi: J^1 L \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, between the Lie algebroid $J^1 L$ corresponding to the Jacobi structure on L and the Lie algebroid A of G , which is uniquely determined by the relation:

$$\Phi(j^1 u) = d\iota(a_u^L)|_M \in \Gamma(A), \quad u \in \Gamma(L),$$

where $a_u^L \in \mathfrak{X}_{L\text{-inv}}^{\text{Reeb}}(G, H)$ denotes the left-invariant Reeb vector field satisfying $\omega(a_u^L) = u \circ \sigma$, and ι denotes the inversion of G .

• Under the isomorphism $\Phi: J^1L \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, the multiplicative form ω differentiates to the canonical Spencer operator (pr, D) on J^1L .

Next, let us explain what our spray method gives in the case of Jacobi manifolds. Consider a Jacobi structure $(M, L, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$. Let V be a spray on the associated Lie algebroid J^1L , and let $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ be the corresponding local spray groupoid. By the discussion in the previous section, the multiplicative L -valued form integrating the Spencer operator (pr, D) is given by:

$$\omega \in \Omega^1(G_V, \sigma^*(L)), \quad \omega_a = \int_0^1 (ta)^{-1} \cdot (\phi_V^t)^* \Lambda_{(\text{pr}, D)} dt.$$

Moreover, the corresponding local multiplicative distribution $H := \ker(\omega) \subset TG_V$ is contact. For this note that

- $\ker(\text{pr}) \subset J^1L$ can be canonically identified with $T^*M \otimes L$;
- along the unit section, we have that $H|_M = TM \oplus \ker(\text{pr}) \cong TM \oplus T^*M \otimes L$; and, under this isomorphism, for $a \in \Omega^1(M, L)$ we have that $D(a) = -a$;
- along the unit section, by (33), the pairing (36) satisfies:

$$(X, a) = \text{pr}([\tilde{X}, a^R])_x = i_X D(a) = -i_X a \in \Gamma(L),$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $a \in \Omega^1(M, L)$, where $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(H)$ is an extension of X such that $d\sigma(\tilde{X}) = X$, and $a^R \in \Gamma(T^\sigma G)$ is the right invariant vector field induced by a .

The last item implies that the pairing is non-degenerate along M ; hence it is so in a neighborhood of M , which can be taken to be the domain of H . So $(G_V, H) \rightrightarrows M$ is a local contact groupoid. Finally, by the discussion above, we have that $(G_V, H) \rightrightarrows M$ integrates the Jacobi manifold $(M, L, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, in the sense that the the source map $\sigma: G_V \rightarrow M$ together with $\bar{\omega}: TG_V/H \rightarrow \sigma^*L$ define a contact realization (the proof of [15, Thm. 2] applies directly in this local case).

Example 2. Assume that L is trivializable (or restrict to an open set over which L is trivializable) and fix an isomorphism $L \cong \mathbb{R} \times M$. Then there exist a bivector field $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ and a vector field $R \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that [23]

$$\{u, v\} = \pi(du, dv) + \langle R, du \rangle v - u \langle R, dv \rangle, \quad u, v \in \Gamma(L) \cong C^\infty(M).$$

The Jacobi identity for $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is equivalent to the equations:

$$[\pi, \pi] = 2R \wedge \pi, \quad [\pi, R] = 0.$$

In this case, we have the identification

$$J^1L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M, \quad j_x^1 u \mapsto (u(x), d_x u).$$

The canonical Spencer operator acts as follows:

$$D: C^\infty(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M), \quad D(u, a) = du - a.$$

The corresponding linear 1-form is the contact form:

$$\Lambda = \text{dpr}_1 - \text{pr}_2^* \alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R} \times T^*M),$$

where $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(T^*M)$ is the tautological 1-form.

For the Lie algebroid structure on $J^1L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M$, see e.g., [16]; let us just recall the anchor:

$$\rho(u, a) = \pi^\sharp(a) - uR,$$

and the action of $J^1L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M$ on $L \cong \mathbb{R} \times M$

$$\nabla_{(u,a)} v = \rho(u, a)(v) + \langle R, a \rangle v,$$

i.e., the action corresponding to the Lie algebroid cocycle

$$\tilde{R}: J^1L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (u, a) \mapsto \langle R_{q(a)}, a \rangle.$$

Let V be a spray for $J^1L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M$, with spray groupoid G_V . By Subsection 2.5, the above cocycle integrates to the groupoid cocycle

$$G_V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (u, a) \mapsto \int_0^1 \langle R, a_t \rangle dt = \int_0^1 \tilde{R}(\phi_V^t) dt,$$

where a_t is the second component of the flow of V , i.e., $\phi_V^t(u, a) = (u_t, a_t)$. Therefore, the action of G_V on $L \cong \mathbb{R} \times M$ is:

$$(u, a) \cdot (v, x) = (e^{\int_0^1 \tilde{R}(\phi_V^t) dt} v, \tau(u, a)) = (e^{\int_0^1 \langle R, a_t \rangle dt} v, q(a_1)), \quad a \in T_x^*M, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\phi_V^s(tu, ta) = t\phi_V^{st}(u, a)$, note that $(tu, ta) \cdot (v, x) = (e^{\int_0^t \langle R, a_s \rangle ds} v, \tau(tu, ta))$. Thus, the multiplicative 1-form integrating (pr, D) becomes:

$$\omega_{(u,a)} = \int_0^1 e^{-\int_0^t \langle R, a_s \rangle ds} (\phi_V^t)^*(\text{dpr}_1 - \text{pr}_2^* \alpha_0) dt.$$

References

- [1] C. Arias Abad, M. Crainic, *The Weil algebra and the Van Est isomorphism*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **61** (2011), no. 3, 927–970.
- [2] M. Bailey, M. Gualtieri, *Integration of generalized complex structures*, arXiv: 1611.03850 (2016).
- [3] D. Broka, P. Xu, *Symplectic realizations of holomorphic Poisson manifolds*, arXiv: 1512.08847 (2015).
- [4] H. Bursztyn, A. Cabrera, *Multiplicative forms at the infinitesimal level*, Math. Ann. **353** (2012), no. 5, 663–705.
- [5] H. Bursztyn, M. Crainic, A. Weinstein, C. Zhu, *Integration of twisted Dirac brackets*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 549–607.

- [6] H. Bursztyn, T. Drummond, *Lie theory of multiplicative tensors*, Math. Ann. **375** (2019), 1489–1554.
- [7] A. Cabrera, T. Drummond, *Van est isomorphism for homogeneous cochains*, Pac. J. Math. **287** (2017), no. 2, 297–336.
- [8] A. Cabrera, I. Mărcuț, M. A. Salazar, *On local integration of Lie brackets*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **760** (2020), 267–293.
- [9] A. Coste, P. Dazord, A. Weinstein, *Groupoïdes symplectiques*, Publications du Département de Mathématiques de Lyon **2A** (1987), 1–62.
- [10] M. Crainic, *Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **42** (2011), no. 4, 559–578.
- [11] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, *Integrability of Lie brackets*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 2, 575–620.
- [12] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, *Lectures on integrability of Lie brackets*, Geom. Topol. Monogr. **17** (2011), 1–107.
- [13] M. Crainic, I. Mărcuț, *On the existence of symplectic realizations*, J. Symp. Geom. **9** (2011), no. 4, 435–444.
- [14] M. Crainic, M. A. Salazar, I. Struchiner, *Multiplicative forms and Spencer operators*, Math. Z. **279** (2015), 939–979.
- [15] M. Crainic, M. A. Salazar, *Jacobi structures and Spencer operators*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **103** (2015), no. 2, 504–521.
- [16] M. Crainic, C. Zhu, *Integrability of Jacobi and Poisson structures*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **57** (2007), 1181–1216.
- [17] T. Drummond, L. Egea, *Differential forms with values in VB-groupoids and its Morita invariance*, J. Geom. Phys. **135** (2019), 42–69.
- [18] R. L. Fernandes, *Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes*, Adv. Math. **170** (2002), no. 1, 119–179.
- [19] P. Frejlich, I. Mărcuț, *On dual pairs in Dirac geometry*, Math. Z. **289** (2018), no. 1-2, 171–200.
- [20] A. Gracia-Saz, R. Mehta, *Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), 1236–1275.
- [21] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 75–123.
- [22] D. Iglesias Ponte, C. Laurent-Gangoux, P. Xu, *Universal lifting theorem and quasi-Poisson groupoids*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. JEMS **14** (2012), no. 3, 681–731.
- [23] A. A. Кириллов, *Локальные алгебры Ли*, УМН **31** (1976), вып. 4(190), 57–76. Engl. transl.: A. A. Kirillov, *Local Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **31** (1976), no. 4, 55–75.
- [24] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, F. Magri, *Poisson–Nijnehuis structures*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **53** (1990), 35–81.
- [25] D. Li-Bland, E. Meinrenken, *On the van Est homomorphism for Lie groupoids*, Enseign. Math. **61** (2015), no. 1–2, 93–137.
- [26] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Jacobi et leurs algèbres de Lie associés*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **57** (1978), 453–488.

- [27] K. Mackenzie, *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 213, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [28] F. Petalidou, *On the symplectic realization of Poisson–Nijenhuis manifolds*, **arXiv: 1501.07830** (2015).
- [29] M. A. Salazar, *Pfaffian Groupoids*, Ph.D. thesis, Utrecht University, 2013.
- [30] M. Stiénon, P. Xu, *Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **270** (2007), no. 3, 709–725.
- [31] O. Yudilevich, *The role of the Jacobi identity in solving the Maurer–Cartan structure equation*, *Pacific J. Math.* **282** (2016), no. 2, 487–510.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publishers Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.