

Local universality for real roots of random trigonometric polynomials

Alexander Iksanov* Zakhar Kabluchko† Alexander Marynych‡

Abstract

Consider a random trigonometric polynomial $X_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$X_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k \sin(kt) + \eta_k \cos(kt)),$$

where $(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2), \dots$ are independent identically distributed bivariate real random vectors with zero mean and unit covariance matrix. Let $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of real numbers. We prove that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the number of real zeros of X_n in the interval $[s_n + a/n, s_n + b/n]$ converges in distribution to the number of zeros in the interval $[a, b]$ of a stationary, zero-mean Gaussian process with correlation function $(\sin t)/t$. We also establish similar local universality results for centered random vectors (ξ_k, η_k) having an arbitrary covariance matrix or belonging to the domain of attraction of a two-dimensional α -stable law.

Keywords: random trigonometric polynomials; real zeros; local universality; stationary processes; random analytic functions; functional limit theorem; stable processes.

AMS MSC 2010: Primary 26C10, Secondary 30C15; 42A05; 60F17; 60G55.

Submitted to EJP on June 1, 2016, final version accepted on October 5, 2016.
Supersedes arXiv:1601.05740.

1 Introduction

We are interested in random trigonometric polynomials $X_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$X_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k \sin(kt) + \eta_k \cos(kt)), \tag{1.1}$$

where the coefficients $\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2, \dots$ are real random variables. In a recent paper, Azaïes et al. [3] conjectured that if $\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2, \dots$ are independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) with zero mean and finite variance, then the number of real zeros of X_n in the interval

*Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine. E-mail: iksan@univ.kiev.ua

†Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany. E-mail: zakhar.kabluchko@uni-muenster.de

‡Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine. E-mail: marynych@unicyb.kiev.ua

$[a/n, b/n]$ converges in distribution (without normalization) to the number of zeros in the interval $[a, b]$ of a stationary Gaussian process $Z := (Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with zero mean and

$$\text{Cov}(Z(t), Z(s)) = \text{sinc}(t - s), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\text{sinc } t = \begin{cases} (\sin t)/t, & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

The limit distribution does not depend on the distribution of ξ_1 , a phenomenon referred to as local universality. Azaï's et al. [3] proved their conjecture assuming that ξ_1 has an infinitely smooth density that satisfies certain integrability conditions. However, as they remarked, even the case of the Rademacher distribution $\mathbb{P}[\xi_1 = \pm 1] = 1/2$ remained open. Our aim is to prove the conjecture of Azaï's et al. [3] in full generality (Theorem 2.1 below). The method of proof proposed in the present paper is very different from the one used in [3]. Let us briefly sketch our approach assuming that $(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2), \dots$ are i.i.d. random vectors such that ξ_1 and η_1 are centered uncorrelated random variables with unit variance. We start by proving a functional limit theorem (Theorem 3.1 below) stating that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_n \left(s_n + \frac{\cdot}{n} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} Z(\cdot) \tag{1.2}$$

weakly on some suitable space of *analytic* functions. Then, we use the continuous mapping theorem to deduce the convergence of the real zeros. The basic fact underlying this part of the proof is the Hurwitz theorem stating that the complex zeros of an analytic function do not change “too much” under a slight perturbation of the function. Essentially, Hurwitz’s theorem tells us that the functional which maps an analytic function to the point process of its complex zeros is continuous. Since we are interested in real zeros, we have to ensure that real zeros remain real after a small perturbation. If we restrict ourselves to analytic functions which are real on \mathbb{R} , then non-real zeros come in complex conjugated pairs, and a *simple* real zero cannot become complex under a small perturbation of the function. These considerations, see Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, justify the use of the continuous mapping theorem.

Our method is quite general and allows us to establish the corresponding local universality result in the case when (ξ_1, η_1) has a non-zero correlation (Theorem 2.3) or even does not have finite second moments but is in the domain of attraction of some stable two-dimensional law (Theorem 2.5).

Closing the introduction, we mention that the scope of our approach is not restricted to trigonometric polynomials. The same method can be applied to various ensembles of random algebraic polynomials. A similar method was used in [20], [15] for complex zeros of random Taylor series near the circle of convergence, in [19] for Dirichlet series with random coefficients and some other sums of analytic functions with random coefficients, and in [12, 13] for complex zeros of the partition function of the (Generalized) Random Energy Model. Unlike in these works, we investigate real zeros. Let us also mention that the asymptotics of $\mathbb{E}N_n[a, b]$ (that is, the expected number of real zeros in an interval whose length does not go to 0) was studied in the recent works [1] and [8], where more references on random trigonometric polynomials can be found.

The structure of the paper is as follows. The main results are stated in Section 2. Functional limit theorems for X_n and their proofs are given in Section 3. In Section 4 the proofs of the main theorems are presented. Some auxiliary technical lemmas are collected in the Appendix.

As usual, \xrightarrow{d} denotes convergence in distribution of random variables and vectors. The notation \xrightarrow{w} is used to denote weak convergence of random elements with values in a metric space, while \xrightarrow{v} denotes vague convergence of locally finite measures.

2 Main results

2.1 Coefficients with finite second moments

For a real analytic function f which does not vanish identically denote by $N_f[a, b]$ the number of zeros of f in the interval $[a, b]$. It will become clear from our proofs that the results hold independently of whether the zeros are counted with multiplicities or not. Theorem 2.1, which is our first main result, proves the conjecture of [3], weakens the original assumptions of [3] on the distribution of the coefficients and allows for an arbitrary sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as the location of the scaling window.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2), \dots$ be i.i.d. random vectors with zero mean and unit covariance matrix, that is,*

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_1 = \mathbb{E}\eta_1 = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi_1^2] = \mathbb{E}[\eta_1^2] = 1, \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi_1\eta_1] = 0.$$

Let $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of real numbers and $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ a finite interval. Then,

$$N_{X_n} \left[s_n + \frac{a}{n}, s_n + \frac{b}{n} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N_Z[a, b],$$

where $(Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the stationary Gaussian process defined in Section 1.

We can also prove the weak convergence of point processes of zeros. Given a locally compact metric space \mathbb{X} , denote by $M_p(\mathbb{X})$ the space of locally finite point measures on \mathbb{X} endowed with the vague topology. A random element with values in $M_p(\mathbb{X})$ is called a point process on \mathbb{X} . We refer to [17] for the information on point processes and their weak convergence. For a real analytic function f which does not vanish identically denote by $\mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ the locally finite point measure on \mathbb{R} counting the real zeros of f with multiplicities. The next theorem is stronger than Theorem 2.1 in view of the continuous mapping theorem and Lemma 4.2 below.

Theorem 2.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.1 we have*

$$\mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(X_n \left(s_n + \frac{\cdot}{n} \right) \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(Z(\cdot))$$

on $M_p(\mathbb{R})$.

In the next theorem we consider i.i.d. random vectors with arbitrary covariance matrix. In particular, this theorem covers random trigonometric polynomials of the form $\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \sin(kt)$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \cos(kt)$ involving \sin or \cos terms only.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2), \dots$ be i.i.d. random vectors with*

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_k = \mathbb{E}\eta_k = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi_k^2] = \sigma_1^2 < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E}[\eta_k^2] = \sigma_2^2 < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi_k\eta_k] = \rho,$$

where $0 < \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 < \infty$. Then, for every fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(X_n \left(s + \frac{\cdot}{n} \right) \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(G(\cdot))$$

on $M_p(\mathbb{R})$, where $(G(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a centered Gaussian process with covariance

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[G(t_1)G(t_2)] & (2.1) \\ = & \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2), & s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2) - \frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 + t_2) + \rho \frac{1 - \cos(t_1 + t_2)}{t_1 + t_2}, & s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $x \mapsto (1 - \cos x)/x$ equals 0 at $x = 0$.

Remark 2.4. In Theorem 2.3 we can replace the fixed s by a general sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as in Theorem 2.1, but then we have to replace the condition $s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$ (respectively, $s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$) with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \operatorname{dist}(s_n, \pi\mathbb{Z}) = +\infty$ (respectively, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \operatorname{dist}(s_n, \pi\mathbb{Z}) = 0$). Here, we used the notation $\operatorname{dist}(s_n, \pi\mathbb{Z}) = \min\{|s_n - \pi k| : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

2.2 Coefficients from a stable domain of attraction

Let $(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2), \dots$ be i.i.d. random vectors from the *strict* domain of attraction of a two-dimensional α -stable distribution, $0 < \alpha < 2$. This means that there exist numbers $b_n > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{b_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k, \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathbf{S}_{\alpha, \nu}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha, \nu}$ is a non-degenerate two-dimensional α -stable random vector with Lévy measure ν and shift parameter 0. The adjective “strict” is used to highlight that convergence (2.2) holds without centering, in particular, it is assumed that $\mathbb{E}\xi_1 = \mathbb{E}\eta_1 = 0$ if $\alpha > 1$. We refer to [18] for details on multivariate stable distributions and stable processes. Note that ν is a locally finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ which has the homogeneity property

$$\nu(\lambda B) = \lambda^{-\alpha} \nu(B)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and all Borel sets $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. In what follows we identify \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{C} via the canonical isomorphism and consider \mathbb{R}^2 -valued processes as \mathbb{C} -valued and vice versa.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that (2.2) holds and let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Then*

$$\mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(X_n \left(s + \frac{\cdot}{n} \right) \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(Z_{\nu}(\cdot))$$

on $M_p(\mathbb{R})$, where $(Z_{\nu}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a stochastic process given by

$$Z_{\nu}(t) = \text{Im} \int_0^1 e^{itu} dL(u) = \int_0^1 \sin(tu) d \text{Re} L(u) + \int_0^1 \cos(tu) d \text{Im} L(u), \tag{2.3}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $(L(u))_{u \in [0,1]}$ is a \mathbb{C} -valued α -stable Lévy process with zero drift, no Gaussian component, and the Lévy measure $\tilde{\nu}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\nu}(B) := \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \nu(e^{2\pi iy} B) dy, & \text{if } s \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}, \\ \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^q \nu(e^{2\pi ik/q} B), & \text{if } s = 2\pi p/q, \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N} \text{ coprime,} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

for all Borel sets $B \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, with ν being the Lévy measure of $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha, \nu}$ in (2.2).

Remark 2.6. The integral in (2.3) (which need not exist in the Lebesgue–Stieltjes sense because L has finite variation a.s. in the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ only) is defined via integration by parts:

$$\int_0^1 e^{itu} dL(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L(1)e^{it} - it \int_0^1 L(u)e^{itu} du. \tag{2.5}$$

See, e.g., [10, 18] for the properties of such stochastic integrals.

Remark 2.7. An interesting feature of Theorem 2.5 is that the behavior of the zeros near s depends on whether $\tilde{s} := s/(2\pi)$ is rational or not. To see why such arithmetic effects show up, assume for a moment that ξ_k and η_k are independent and symmetric α -stable. Then, $X_n(s)$ is also symmetric α -stable with scaling parameter σ_n , where

$$\sigma_n^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^n |\cos(ks)|^\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^n |\sin(ks)|^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^n |\cos(2\pi\{k\tilde{s}\})|^\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^n |\sin(2\pi\{k\tilde{s}\})|^\alpha$$

and $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part. If \tilde{s} is irrational, then the sequence $(\{k\tilde{s}\})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed on the interval $[0, 1]$ by Weyl’s equidistribution theorem, see, for example Theorem 2.1 and Example 2.1 in [14], whereas for rational $\tilde{s} = p/q$ it is uniformly distributed on the finite set $\{0, \frac{1}{q}, \dots, \frac{q-1}{q}\}$, whence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sigma_n^\alpha = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 (|\cos(2\pi u)|^\alpha + |\sin(2\pi u)|^\alpha) du, & \text{if } s \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}, \\ \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^q (|\cos(2\pi k/q)|^\alpha + |\sin(2\pi k/q)|^\alpha), & \text{if } s = 2\pi p/q. \end{cases}$$

Note that for $\alpha = 2$ (which corresponds to the finite variance case studied in Section 2.1), there is no difference between the rational and irrational cases because $\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t = 1$.

Remark 2.8. In Theorem 2.5 it is possible to replace the fixed s by a sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{R}}$ assuming that $s := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ exists and either $s \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}$ (the first case in (2.4)) or $s \in \pi\mathbb{Q}$ and $|s_n - s| = o(1/n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (the second case in (2.4)).

3 Convergence of random trigonometric polynomials as random analytic functions

3.1 Spaces of analytic functions and analytic continuations of the processes Z , G and Z_ν

Let \mathcal{H} be the space of functions which are analytic on the entire complex plane. We endow \mathcal{H} with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. This topology is generated by the complete separable metric

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{\|f - g\|_{\bar{\mathbb{D}}_k}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{\bar{\mathbb{D}}_k}},$$

where $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_r = \{|z| \leq r\}$ is the closed disk or radius $r > 0$ around the origin, and $\|f\|_K = \sup_{z \in K} |f(z)|$ is the sup-norm of f on a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$; see [6, pp. 151–152]. A random analytic function is a random element taking values in the space \mathcal{H} endowed with the Borel σ -algebra. We refer to [9] and [19] for more information on random analytic functions.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} consisting of all functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ which take real values on \mathbb{R} . Note that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have $f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Indeed, the functions $f(z)$ and $\overline{f(\bar{z})}$ are analytic and coincide on \mathbb{R} . Hence, they must coincide everywhere on \mathbb{C} by the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions. The space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is endowed with the induced topology and metric.

Following the approach outlined in the introduction, we shall show that convergence (1.2) and its counterparts in the case of correlated (ξ_1, η_1) and in the stable case hold weakly on the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. But, first of all, we have to construct analytic continuations of the limit processes Z , G and Z_ν appearing in Theorems 2.1, Theorems 2.3 and 2.5, respectively.

3.1.1 The process Z

The stationary Gaussian process $(Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ appearing in Theorem 2.1 can be extended analytically to the complex plane using the representation

$$Z(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sinc}(t - \pi k) N_k, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are i.i.d. real standard Gaussian random variables. The series in (3.1) converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} because so does the series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\text{sinc}(t - \pi k)|^2$; see [9, Lemma 2.2.3]. It follows that $(Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ is an analytic function on \mathbb{C} with probability 1.

The \mathbb{R}^2 -valued process $((\text{Re } Z(t), \text{Im } Z(t)))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ is jointly real Gaussian in the sense that for all $t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathbb{C}$, the $2d$ -dimensional random vector

$$(\text{Re } Z(t_1), \text{Im } Z(t_1), \dots, \text{Re } Z(t_d), \text{Im } Z(t_d))$$

is real Gaussian. Clearly, $\mathbb{E}Z(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$. The covariance structure of $(Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)Z(s)] = \operatorname{sinc}(t - s), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)\overline{Z(s)}] = \operatorname{sinc}(t - \bar{s}), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.3}$$

For instance, in the case when $t, s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z(t)\overline{Z(s)}] &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\sin(t - \pi k)}{t - \pi k} \overline{\left(\frac{\sin(s - \pi k)}{s - \pi k} \right)} \\ &= (\sin t)(\sin \bar{s}) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(t - \pi k)(\bar{s} - \pi k)} \\ &= \frac{(\sin t)(\sin \bar{s})}{\bar{s} - t} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{t - \pi k} - \frac{1}{\bar{s} - \pi k} \right) \\ &= \frac{(\sin t)(\sin \bar{s})}{\bar{s} - t} (\cot t - \cot \bar{s}) \\ &= \frac{\sin(t - \bar{s})}{t - \bar{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the partial fraction expansion of the cotangent. In the case when $t = \pi j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)\overline{Z(s)}] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sinc}(t - \pi k) \overline{\operatorname{sinc}(s - \pi k)} = \overline{\operatorname{sinc}(s - \pi j)} = \operatorname{sinc}(t - \bar{s})$$

because $\operatorname{sinc}(t - \pi k) = 1$ for $k = j$ and 0 for $k \neq j$. The proof of (3.2) is similar.

Representation (3.1) appeared, for example, in [2]. Note that the analytically continued process $(Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ is stationary with respect to shifts along the real axis, but it is not stationary with respect to shifts along the imaginary axis.

3.1.2 The process G

In the case $s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$ we can simply take

$$G(t) := \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{2}} Z(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the process $(Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ is the same as in Section 3.1.1. In the case $s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$ take a centered \mathbb{C} -valued Brownian motion $(W(u))_{u \in [0,1]}$ with covariance structure

$$\mathbb{E}[(\operatorname{Re} W(1))^2] = \sigma_1^2, \quad \mathbb{E}[(\operatorname{Im} W(1))^2] = \sigma_2^2, \quad \mathbb{E}[(\operatorname{Im} W(1))(\operatorname{Re} W(1))] = \rho,$$

and put

$$U(t) = \int_0^1 e^{itu} dW(u), \quad t \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the integral is defined via the formal integration by parts, as in (2.5). Clearly, this defines U as a random analytic function on \mathbb{C} . Now put¹

$$G(t) = \frac{U(t) - \overline{U(\bar{t})}}{2i} = \int_0^1 \sin(tu) d \operatorname{Re} W(u) + \int_0^1 \cos(tu) d \operatorname{Im} W(u), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

¹Here the following observation is used: if f is an analytic function, so is $g(z) := (f(z) - \overline{f(\bar{z})})/(2i)$. Moreover, $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $g(t) = \operatorname{Im} f(t)$. In particular, $G(t) = \operatorname{Im} U(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, but, generally speaking, this relation fails for $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Integrating by parts and using the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sin(t_1 u) \sin(t_2 u) du &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 + t_2), \\ \int_0^1 \cos(t_1 u) \cos(t_2 u) du &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 + t_2), \\ \int_0^1 \sin(t_1 u) \cos(t_2 u) du &= \frac{1 - \cos(t_1 + t_2)}{2(t_1 + t_2)} + \frac{1 - \cos(t_1 - t_2)}{2(t_1 - t_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to check that the covariance function of $(G(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is given by the second line in (2.1).

3.1.3 The process Z_ν

Let $(L(u))_{u \in [0,1]}$ be a \mathbb{C} -valued α -stable Lévy process defined in Theorem 2.5. As in the construction of G above, put

$$U_\nu(t) = \int_0^1 e^{itu} dL(u), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the integral is understood as in (2.5). Obviously, U_ν is a random analytic function on \mathbb{C} and we can take

$$Z_\nu(t) = \frac{U_\nu(t) - \overline{U_\nu(\bar{t})}}{2i} = \int_0^1 \sin(tu) d \operatorname{Re} L(u) + \int_0^1 \cos(tu) d \operatorname{Im} L(u), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

3.2 Functional limit theorems for random trigonometric polynomials

Now we are ready to prove convergence (1.2) and its counterparts corresponding to Theorems 2.3 and 2.5.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2), \dots$ be i.i.d. random vectors with zero mean and unit covariance matrix. Fix any sequence of real numbers $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and consider a random process $(Y_n(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n(t) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_n \left(s_n + \frac{t}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\xi_k \sin \left(k \left(s_n + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) + \eta_k \cos \left(k \left(s_n + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Then $Y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} Z$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. The proof consists of two steps.

Convergence of finite-dimensional distributions. Take any $t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathbb{C}$. We have the representation $Y_n(t) = V_{n,1}(t) + \dots + V_{n,n}(t)$, where

$$V_{n,k}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\xi_k \sin \left(k \left(s_n + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) + \eta_k \cos \left(k \left(s_n + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) \right).$$

The d -dimensional complex random vector $\mathbf{Y}_n := (Y_n(t_1), \dots, Y_n(t_d))$ can be represented as a sum of independent, zero mean random vectors $(V_{n,k}(t_1), \dots, V_{n,k}(t_d))$ over $k = 1, \dots, n$. To show that \mathbf{Y}_n converges in distribution to $\mathbf{Y} := (Z(t_1), \dots, Z(t_d))$, we shall use the Lindeberg central limit theorem. First we need to check that for all $i, j = 1, \dots, d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n(t_i) Y_n(t_j)] &= \mathbb{E}[Z(t_i) Z(t_j)] = \operatorname{sinc}(t_i - t_j), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n(t_i) \overline{Y_n(t_j)}] &= \mathbb{E}[Z(t_i) \overline{Z(t_j)}] = \operatorname{sinc}(t_i - \bar{t}_j). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.5) that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n(t_i)Y_n(t_j)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos \frac{k(t_i - t_j)}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \cos(u(t_i - t_j)) du = \text{sinc}(t_i - t_j)$$

and, similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n(t_i)\overline{Y_n(t_j)}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos \frac{k(t_i - \bar{t}_j)}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \cos(u(t_i - \bar{t}_j)) du = \text{sinc}(t_i - \bar{t}_j).$$

It remains to verify the Lindeberg condition. Take some $t \in \mathbb{C}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we need to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|V_{n,k}(t)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|V_{n,k}(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}}] = 0.$$

Using the inequalities $|z_1 + z_2|^2 \leq 2|z_1|^2 + 2|z_2|^2$ and $|\sin z| \leq \cosh(\text{Im } z)$, $|\cos z| \leq \cosh(\text{Im } z)$, we obtain that for all $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$|V_{n,k}(t)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} (\cosh^2(\text{Im } t)) \cdot (\xi_k^2 + \eta_k^2).$$

With $C = 2 \cosh^2(\text{Im } t)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|V_{n,k}(t)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|V_{n,k}(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}}] &\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [(\xi_k^2 + \eta_k^2) \mathbb{1}_{\{C(\xi_k^2 + \eta_k^2) \geq n\varepsilon^2\}}] \\ &= C \mathbb{E} [(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2) \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2 \geq n\varepsilon^2/C\}}] \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2] < \infty$.

Tightness. In order to prove that the sequence $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight on \mathcal{H} , it suffices to show that for every $R > 0$,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{|t| \leq R} \mathbb{E}|Y_n(t)|^2 < \infty, \tag{3.6}$$

see [12, Lemma 4.2] or the remark after Lemma 2.6 in [19]. For all $|t| \leq R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_n(t)|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos \frac{k(t - \bar{t})}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cosh \frac{2k(\text{Im } t)}{n} \leq \cosh(2R) < \infty$$

because $-R \leq \frac{k}{n} \text{Im } t \leq R$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$.

It follows that Y_n converges to Z weakly on \mathcal{H} , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a closed subset of \mathcal{H} and all processes under consideration have their sample paths in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the convergence holds weakly on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, as well. \square

The next theorem provides convergence of random trigonometric polynomials under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed and define a random process $(Y_n(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ by*

$$Y_n(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_n \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right).$$

Under assumptions of Theorem 2.3 we have $Y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} G$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. We use the same idea as in the proof Theorem 3.1. We have $Y_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n V_{n,k}(t)$ where

$$V_{n,k}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\xi_k \sin \left(k \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) + \eta_k \cos \left(k \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) \right).$$

We shall need the standard trigonometric identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \cos(k\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sin\left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \sin(k\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\cos\left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (3.7)$$

where the case $\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is understood by continuity. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 the subsequent argument is divided into two steps.

Convergence of finite-dimensional distributions. First we prove that the covariances of Y_n converge to those of G . For all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_n(t_1)Y_n(t_2)] &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sin\left(k\left(s + \frac{t_1}{n}\right)\right) \sin\left(k\left(s + \frac{t_2}{n}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos\left(k\left(s + \frac{t_1}{n}\right)\right) \cos\left(k\left(s + \frac{t_2}{n}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sin\left(k\left(2s + \frac{t_1+t_2}{n}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Denote the three terms on the right-hand side by $S_1(n), S_2(n), S_3(n)$. Using the formula $2 \sin x \sin y = \cos(x - y) - \cos(x + y)$ and then the first identity in (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(n) &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos\left(k \frac{t_1 - t_2}{n}\right) - \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos\left(k \left(2s + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{n}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2) - \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2n} \frac{\sin\left((2n + 1) \left(s + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2n}\right)\right)}{2 \sin\left(s + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2n}\right)} + o(1), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Sending $n \rightarrow \infty$ and considering the cases $\sin s \neq 0$ and $\sin s = 0$ separately, we infer

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_1(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2), & \text{if } s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2) - \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 + t_2), & \text{if } s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, using the formula $2 \cos x \cos y = \cos(x - y) + \cos(x + y)$ for the second sum we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_2(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2), & \text{if } s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 - t_2) + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \operatorname{sinc}(t_1 + t_2), & \text{if } s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, in view of the second formula in (3.7),

$$S_3(n) = \frac{\rho}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cot\left(s + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2n}\right) - \frac{\cos\left((2n + 1) \left(s + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2n}\right)\right)}{2 \sin\left(s + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2n}\right)} \right).$$

Sending $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_3(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}, \\ \rho \frac{1 - \cos(t_1 + t_2)}{t_1 + t_2}, & \text{if } s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Taking everything together and recalling the definition of the process G , see (2.1), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n(t_1)Y_n(t_2)] = \mathbb{E}[G(t_1)G(t_2)]. \quad (3.9)$$

Similar computation (with t_2 replaced by \bar{t}_2), yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n(t_1)\overline{Y_n(t_2)}] = \mathbb{E}[G(t_1)\overline{G(t_2)}]. \quad (3.10)$$

Fix $t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathbb{C}$. In view of the convergence of the covariances established in (3.9) and (3.10), to prove that $(Y_n(t_1), \dots, Y_n(t_d))$ converges in distribution to $(G(t_1), \dots, G(t_d))$ it is enough to verify the Lindeberg condition:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|V_{n,k}(t)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|V_{n,k}(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}}] = 0,$$

for every fixed $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. This can be done exactly in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Tightness. It is sufficient to check condition (3.6). Starting with the equality $\mathbb{E}|Y_n(t)|^2 = \mathbb{E}[Y_n(t)Y_n(\bar{t})]$ and applying (3.8) with $t_1 = t = \bar{t}_2$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|Y_n(t)|^2 &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \sin \left(k \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) \right|^2 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \cos \left(k \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sin \left(k \left(2s + \frac{2\operatorname{Re} t}{n} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Together with the inequalities $|\sin z| \leq \cosh(\operatorname{Im} z)$ and $|\cos z| \leq \cosh(\operatorname{Im} z)$, this implies condition (3.6). Combining pieces together, we see that $Y_n \rightarrow G$ weakly on \mathcal{H} and hence, also on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. \square

In the case of attraction to a stable law we have the following functional limit theorem. Since its proof is more involved than in the previous cases, it is given in the separate Section 3.3.

Theorem 3.3. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.5,

$$\left(\frac{1}{b_n} X_n \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right)_{t \in \mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} (Z_\nu(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$$

on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.3

We start with a well-known observation, see [16], that (2.2) implies that the distribution of (ξ_1, η_1) varies regularly in \mathbb{R}^2 with the limit measure ν , which, in turn, is equivalent to the vague convergence

$$n\mathbb{P}[b_n^{-1}(\xi + i\eta) \in \cdot] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{v} \nu(\cdot) \tag{3.11}$$

on $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$. Here, $\overline{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{+\infty\}$ denotes the Riemann sphere, and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$ is the Riemann sphere with the punctured south pole. These spaces can be identified with $\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} := \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ (the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R}^2) and $\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \setminus \{0\}$, respectively. The measure ν is thought of as a measure on $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$ by setting $\nu(\{\infty\}) = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 3.3 is presented in the series of lemmas. Let $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B}$ denote the standard Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 3.4. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and define a sequence of point processes on $[0, \infty) \times (\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\})$ as follows:

$$N_n := \sum_{k \geq 1} \delta_{\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{\xi_k + i\eta_k}{b_n} e^{iks} \right)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$N_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{v} N_\infty \tag{3.12}$$

on $M_p([0, \infty) \times (\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}))$, where N_∞ is a Poisson point process on $[0, \infty) \times (\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\})$ with intensity measure $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B} \times \tilde{\nu}$, and $\tilde{\nu}$ is as in (2.4).

Real roots of random trigonometric polynomials

Proof. Define the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of measures on $[0, \infty) \times (\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\})$ as follows:

$$\lambda_n(dx, dz) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \delta_{k/n}(dx) \mathbb{P}[b_n^{-1}(\xi_k + i\eta_k)e^{iks} \in dz], \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and let us show that

$$\lambda_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{v} \mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B} \times \tilde{\nu}$$

on $M_p([0, \infty) \times (\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}))$. To this end, fix a continuous function $f : [0, \infty) \times (\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with compact support and pick $a, r > 0$ such that $f(x, z) = 0$ if $x > a$ or $|z| < r$.

Case $s \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}$. We have to check that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{|z| \geq r} f(k/n, z) \mathbb{P}[b_n^{-1}(\xi_k + i\eta_k)e^{iks} \in dz] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \int_{|z| \geq r} \int_0^a f(x, z) dx \tilde{\nu}(dz).$$

The left-hand side of the latter relation equals

$$\int_{|z| \geq r} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k/n, e^{iks} z) \right) (n \mathbb{P}[b_n^{-1}(\xi_1 + i\eta_1) \in dz]),$$

and, in view of equation (5.1) in Lemma 5.1 in the Appendix and (3.11), converges to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z| \geq r} \int_0^a \int_0^1 f(x, e^{2\pi iy} z) dy dx \nu(dz) &= \int_0^1 \int_{|z| \geq r} \int_0^a f(x, z) dx \nu(e^{-2\pi iy} dz) dy \\ &= \int_{|z| \geq r} \int_0^a f(x, z) dx \tilde{\nu}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Case $s = 2\pi p/q$ follows analogously from (5.2) in Lemma 5.1.

The rest of the proof mimics the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [16]. The only place which has to be checked is relation (3.3) of the cited paper, which in our situation reads

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}[b_n^{-1}(\xi_1 + i\eta_1)e^{iks} \in A] = 0,$$

where A is a compact subset of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$. But this is obvious, since, by (3.11),

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}[b_n^{-1}(\xi_1 + i\eta_1)e^{iks} \in A] \leq \mathbb{P}[b_n^{-1}|\xi_1 + i\eta_1| \in \{|z| : z \in A\}] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.4 is complete. □

In what follows $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ is the Skorokhod space of complex-valued functions defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ which are right-continuous on $[0, 1)$ and have finite limits from the left on $(0, 1]$. The space $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ is endowed with the usual J_1 -topology; see [4].

Lemma 3.5. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and define a sequence of \mathbb{C} -valued processes

$$L_n(t) := \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} (\xi_k + i\eta_k)e^{iks}, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.13)$$

Then,

$$(L_n(t))_{t \in [0, 1]} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} (L(t))_{t \in [0, 1]} \quad (3.14)$$

on $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$, where the Lévy process L is the same as in Theorem 2.5.

Proof. If $s \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, then (3.14) is just a functional limit theorem for i.i.d. vectors corresponding to (2.2). Let us assume that $s \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, which means that the vectors are independent but not identically distributed. We shall use a criterion for functional convergence given in Theorem 3.1 in [21]. In view of Lemma 3.4 we need to check that, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} (\xi_k + i\eta_k) e^{iks} \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi_k + i\eta_k| \leq b_n \varepsilon\}} + t \int_{\varepsilon < |z| \leq 1} z \tilde{\nu}(dz) \right| \geq \delta \right] = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

It follows from the definition of $\tilde{\nu}$ that it is invariant under the transformations $z \mapsto ze^{2\pi i\theta}$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ (if $s \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}$) and $\theta \in q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ (if $s = 2\pi p/q$). Since we assume $s \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, this transformation group contains at least one non-trivial rotation which implies that

$$\int_{\{\varepsilon < |z| \leq 1\}} z \tilde{\nu}(dz) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

The next step is to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \Delta_{n,k} \right| \geq b_n \delta \right] = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\Delta_{n,k} = \Delta_{n,k}(s) := ((\xi_k + i\eta_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi_k + i\eta_k| \leq b_n \varepsilon\}} - \mathbb{E}[(\xi_k + i\eta_k) \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi_k + i\eta_k| \leq b_n \varepsilon\}}]) e^{iks}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{n,k}] = 0$. Since $(\sum_{k=1}^m \Delta_{n,k})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-negative submartingale, we can apply Doob's inequality:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \Delta_{n,k} \right| \geq b_n \delta \right] \leq (\delta b_n)^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_{n,k} \right|^2 \right].$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta b_n)^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_{n,k} \right|^2 \right] &= (\delta b_n)^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var} \Delta_{n,k} \\ &\leq (\delta b_n)^{-2} n \mathbb{E} \left[(\xi^2 + \eta^2) \mathbb{1}_{\{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \eta^2} \leq b_n \varepsilon\}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where (ξ, η) is a distributional copy of (ξ_1, η_1) . Assumption (3.11) implies that $x \mapsto \mathbb{P}[\sqrt{\xi^2 + \eta^2} > x]$ is regularly varying. Hence by Karamata's theorem in the form given by formula (5.22) on p. 579 in [7],

$$(\delta^2 b_n^{-2}) n \mathbb{E} \left[(\xi^2 + \eta^2) \mathbb{1}_{\{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \eta^2} \leq b_n \varepsilon\}} \right] \sim c \delta^{-2} \varepsilon^2 n \mathbb{P}[\sqrt{\xi^2 + \eta^2} > \varepsilon b_n], \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some $c > 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \Delta_{n,k} \right| \geq b_n \delta \right] &\leq c \delta^{-2} \varepsilon^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mathbb{P}[\sqrt{\xi^2 + \eta^2} > \varepsilon b_n] \\ &= c \delta^{-2} \varepsilon^2 \int_{|z| > \varepsilon} \tilde{\nu}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

The last expression tends to zero, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, since $\tilde{\nu}$ is a Lévy measure, whence (3.17).

Combining (3.16), (3.17) and the trivial bound

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[(\xi + i\eta) \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi+i\eta| \leq b_n \varepsilon\}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} e^{iks} \right] \right| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon b_n}{|1 - e^{is}|},$$

we see that (3.15) holds. □

Lemma 3.6. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and define a sequence of processes

$$Y_n(t) := \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k + i\eta_k) \exp \left(ik \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.18}$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 we have

$$(Y_n(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} (U_\nu(t))_{t \in \mathbb{C}} \tag{3.19}$$

on \mathcal{H} , where the process U_ν is defined in (3.4).

Proof. Define a mapping $\mathcal{F} : D([0, 1], \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$(\mathcal{F}(f))(z) := \int_{[0, 1]} e^{izx} df(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(1)e^{iz} - f(0) - iz \int_0^1 f(x)e^{izx} dx, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.20}$$

Since $f \in D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ ensures $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t)| < \infty$, the function $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is analytic on the entire complex plane. Thus, \mathcal{F} is indeed a well-defined mapping from $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ to \mathcal{H} . By Lemma 5.2 in the Appendix the mapping \mathcal{F} is everywhere continuous on $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$.

In view of the representation $Y_n = \mathcal{F}(L_n)$, with L_n as in (3.13), convergence (3.19) follows from the continuous mapping theorem. □

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Recalling the definition of X_n , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b_n} X_n \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) &= \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\xi_k \sin \left(k \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) + \eta_k \cos \left(k \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{Y_n(t) - \overline{Y_n(\bar{t})}}{2i} \end{aligned}$$

with Y_n as in (3.18). It follows from Lemma 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{b_n} X_n \left(s + \frac{t}{n} \right) \right)_{t \in \mathbb{C}} &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} \left(\frac{U_\nu(t) - \overline{U_\nu(\bar{t})}}{2i} \right)_{t \in \mathbb{C}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \sin(tu) d \operatorname{Re} L(u) + \int_0^1 \cos(tu) d \operatorname{Im} L(u) \right)_{t \in \mathbb{C}} \end{aligned}$$

on \mathcal{H} . Since the processes under consideration have their sample paths in $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$ (which is a closed subset of \mathcal{H}), the convergence holds weakly on $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$, too. □

4 Convergence of zeros

Take some interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and consider a mapping $N : \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots\}$ which assigns to each function $f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$ the number of real zeros of f in the interval $[a, b]$. Although this will be irrelevant, let us agree that the zeros are counted with multiplicities.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A = A[a, b] \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the set consisting of all $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which do not have multiple real zeros in $[a, b]$ and satisfy $f(a) \neq 0, f(b) \neq 0$. Then, the set A is open and the mapping N is locally constant on A (that is, for every $f \in A$ there is an open neighborhood of f in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ on which N is constant).*

Proof. Consider any sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which converges to $f \in A$ locally uniformly. We need to show that for sufficiently large n we have $f_n \in A$ and $N(f_n) = N(f)$. Let $R > 0$ be so large that $[a, b]$ is contained in the open disk $\mathbb{D}_R = \{|z| < R\}$. Let z_1, \dots, z_d be the collection of all zeros of f in \mathbb{D}_R with corresponding multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_d . Assume without loss of generality that f has no zeros on the boundary of \mathbb{D}_R (just increase R , otherwise). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be so small that the open ε -disks $z_1 + \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon, \dots, z_d + \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon$ do not intersect each other, the boundary of \mathbb{D}_R , and the real axis (except when the zero is itself real). By Hurwitz's theorem [6, p. 152], for all sufficiently large n , the function f_n has exactly m_k zeros (with multiplicities) in the disk $z_k + \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon$, for all $k = 1, \dots, d$, and there are no other zeros of f_n in \mathbb{D}_R . If $z_k \in (a, b)$, then $m_k = 1$ (in view of $f \in A$) and the corresponding zero of f_n in the disk $z_k + \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon$ is also real because otherwise f_n would have two different complex conjugated zeros (recall that $f_n(\bar{z}) = \overline{f_n(z)}$), which is a contradiction. It follows that all real zeros of f_n in (a, b) are simple and their number is $N(f)$. Clearly, $f_n(a) \neq 0$ and $f_n(b) \neq 0$ for sufficiently large n . Hence, $f_n \in A$ and $N(f_n) = N(f)$ for large n . \square

Recall that $\mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ is a locally finite measure on \mathbb{R} counting the real zeros of $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{0\}$ with multiplicities.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which do not have multiple real zeros. Consider a mapping $f \mapsto \mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \{0\}$ to the space $M_p(\mathbb{R})$ of locally finite point measures on \mathbb{R} endowed with the vague topology. Then, this mapping is continuous on $A(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a sequence which converges to $f \in A(\mathbb{R})$ locally uniformly. Fix $R > 0$. Let z_1, \dots, z_l be the real zeros of f in $[-R, R]$ and assume there are no zeros at $-R$ and R . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can show that for sufficiently large n , the function f_n has exactly one real zero in any of the disks $z_1 + \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon, \dots, z_l + \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon$ and there are no further real zeros of f_n in $[-R, R]$. But this means that $\mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_n)$ converges to $\mathbf{Zeros}_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ vaguely. \square

4.1 Proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.5

In view of the last two lemmas, Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 and the continuous mapping theorem, convergence of zeros in Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 2.5 follows, if we can show that

$$\mathbb{P}[Z \in A(\mathbb{R})] = \mathbb{P}[G \in A(\mathbb{R})] = \mathbb{P}[Z_\nu \in A(\mathbb{R})] = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Analogously, Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of

$$\mathbb{P}[Z \in A([a, b])] = 1, \tag{4.2}$$

for every $a < b$. In order to verify these statements, we need the following result due to E. V. Bulinskaya [5]. It provides general conditions which ensure that a stochastic process (which need not be Gaussian) does not have multiple zeros, with probability 1.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(Q(t))_{t \in [a, b]}$ be a stochastic process with continuously differentiable sample paths. Assume that the random variables $Q(t)$ are absolutely continuous with densities which are bounded uniformly in $t \in [a, b]$. Then, with probability 1 there is no $t \in [a, b]$ such that $Q(t) = Q'(t) = 0$.*

The parts of (4.1) and (4.2) regarding the Gaussian processes Z and G (in the case $s \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$) follow immediately from Lemma 4.3 (see also [23] or [22] for further work on the absence of multiple zeros of Gaussian processes). Indeed, the variances of both Z and G are non-zero constants which implies that there are uniform upper bounds on the densities of $Z(t)$ and $G(t)$. Note also that the sample paths of Z and G are analytic functions; see Section 3.1. Let us consider $G(t)$ in the case $s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $s \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$. Then, with probability 1 there is no $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $G(t) = G'(t) = 0$.*

Proof. We consider only $t \geq 0$ because the case $t < 0$ is similar. For all $t > 0$ we have

$$\text{Var } G(t) = \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{2} - \frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2}{2} \text{sinc}(2t) + \rho \frac{1 - \cos(2t)}{2t}.$$

The function $t \mapsto t \text{Var } G(t)$ is non-decreasing since

$$\frac{d}{dt}(2t \text{Var } G(t)) = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) - (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2) \cos(2t) + 2\rho \sin(2t) \geq 0,$$

where in the latter inequality we used that $|\rho| \leq \sigma_1\sigma_2$ (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality) and the maximum of the function $a \cos(2t) + b \sin(2t)$ is $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$.

Case $\text{Var } G(0) = \sigma_2^2 > 0$. We can find $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\text{Var } G(t) > \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2 > 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$. For $t \geq \varepsilon$, we obtain $\text{Var } G(t) \geq \varepsilon\sigma_2^2/(2t)$ and consequently $\text{Var } G(t)$ is bounded below on compact sets, thus justifying the use of Lemma 4.3.

Case $\text{Var } G(0) = \sigma_2^2 = 0$. Then $\rho = 0$ and $\text{Var } G(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2(1 - \text{sinc}(2t))$ is still uniformly bounded away from zero on $[a, b]$ for all $0 < a < b < \infty$. By Lemma 4.3 there are no multiple zeros of G on $(0, \infty)$, with probability 1. To see that 0 is a.s. not a multiple zero, note that although $G(0) = 0$, we have $G'(0) = \int_0^1 u d \text{Re } W(u)$ which is a Gaussian variable with strictly positive variance (because $\text{Var } \text{Re } W(1) = \sigma_1^2 > 0$) and hence, non-vanishing a.s. □

Let us check that $\mathbb{P}[Z_\nu \in A(\mathbb{R})] = 1$ using Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.5. *With probability 1, there is no $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z_\nu(t) = Z'_\nu(t) = 0$.*

Proof. Recall from Section 3.1 that Z_ν is a random analytic function. We intend to show that $Z_\nu(t)$ have densities which are bounded uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon < |t| < \varepsilon^{-1}$ for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 4.3 this implies that the process Z_ν almost surely does not have multiple zeros in any interval bounded away from zero. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. It is enough to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{E} e^{iaZ_\nu(t)} \right| da \leq C, \tag{4.3}$$

where C does not depend on $\varepsilon < |t| < \varepsilon^{-1}$. This means that the characteristic function of the random variable $Z_\nu(t)$ has bounded L^1 -norm which, by Fourier inversion, implies that this random variable has Lebesgue density, say p_t , and

$$p_t(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iax} \mathbb{E} e^{iaZ_\nu(t)} da \right| \leq \frac{C}{2\pi}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varepsilon < |t| < \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

We prove (4.3). Recall that

$$aZ_\nu(t) = \int_0^1 a \sin(tu) d \text{Re } L(u) + \int_0^1 a \cos(tu) d \text{Im } L(u).$$

By a formula for the characteristic function of such stochastic integral (see, for example, formula (6) in [10]), we have

$$\log \mathbb{E} e^{iaZ_\nu(t)} = \int_0^1 \psi(a \sin(tu), a \cos(tu)) du, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\psi(x, y) = \log \mathbb{E} e^{i(x \operatorname{Re} L(1) + y \operatorname{Im} L(1))}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The random vector $(\operatorname{Re} L(1), \operatorname{Im} L(1))$ is α -stable. Denote its spectral measure by Γ (which is a finite measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ that can be easily expressed in terms of $\tilde{\nu}$). We have, see Theorem 2.3.1 in [18],

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \psi(a \sin(tu), a \cos(tu)) &= -|a|^\alpha \int_{[0, 2\pi)} |\operatorname{Im} e^{i(tu + \phi)}|^\alpha \Gamma(d\phi) \\ &= -|a|^\alpha \int_{[0, 2\pi)} |\sin(tu + \phi)|^\alpha \Gamma(d\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Putting this into (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \log \mathbb{E} e^{iaZ_\nu(t)} &= -|a|^\alpha \int_{[0, 2\pi)} \int_0^1 |\sin(tu + \phi)|^\alpha du \Gamma(d\phi) \\ &= -|a|^\alpha \int_{[0, 2\pi)} t^{-1} \int_\phi^{t+\phi} |\sin v|^\alpha dv \Gamma(d\phi). \end{aligned}$$

The function $(\phi, t) \mapsto t^{-1} \int_\phi^{t+\phi} |\sin v|^\alpha dv$ is continuous and strictly positive on the compact set $[0, 2\pi] \times [\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$, hence attains its minimal value, say $\delta > 0$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \log \mathbb{E} e^{iaZ_\nu(t)} \leq -\delta \Gamma([0, 2\pi)) |a|^\alpha, \quad a \in \mathbb{R},$$

yielding (4.3) and proving that there are no multiple zeros in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

It remains to show that $t = 0$ is not a multiple zero almost surely. Note that if the spectral measure Γ is supported by $\{0, \pi\}$, then $\operatorname{Im} L(u) = 0$ and hence $Z_\nu(0) = 0$ almost surely. Otherwise, $Z_\nu(0) = \operatorname{Im} L(1)$ is non-degenerate stable random variable, hence $\mathbb{P}[Z_\nu(0) = 0] = 0$. So let us assume that Γ is concentrated on $\{0, \pi\}$. We have

$$Z'_\nu(0) = \int_0^1 u d \operatorname{Re} L(u)$$

implying that $Z'_\nu(0)$ has a non-degenerate stable law, hence $\mathbb{P}[Z'_\nu(0) = 0] = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

5 Appendix

The first statement of the next lemma is closely related to the classical Weyl equidistribution theorem, see, for example, [14], which states that for every irrational α ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{1 \leq k \leq n : (k\alpha) \bmod 1 \leq y\} = y, \quad y \in [0, 1].$$

It is used in the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f : [0, \infty) \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function with compact support.*

(i) *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi\mathbb{Q}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k/n, e^{ik\alpha} z) - \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 f(x, e^{2\pi iy} z) dy dx \right| = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

(ii) *If $\alpha = 2\pi p/q$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k/n, e^{ik\alpha} z) - \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^q \int_0^\infty f(x, e^{2\pi ik/q} z) dx \right| = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Fix $a, r > 0$ such that $f(x, z) = 0$ if $x > a$ or $|z| < r$. Consider a family $(f_z) \subset C([0, a] \times [0, 2\pi])$, where $f_z(x, y) := f(x, ze^{iy})$, $y \in [0, 2\pi]$, $x \in [0, a]$, indexed by the complex variable z such that $|z| \geq r$. Trivially, this family is uniformly bounded. Let us check that (f_z) is also equicontinuous and therefore, by the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, precompact in $C([0, a] \times [0, 2\pi])$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty) \times (\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\})$ we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for every $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$, $|z_1 - z_2| < \delta$ and every $x_1, x_2 \in [0, \infty)$, $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$, we have $|f(x_1, z_1) - f(x_2, z_2)| < \varepsilon/3$. Further, by the uniform continuity of f , there exists a finite limit

$$f(x, \infty) = \lim_{|z| \rightarrow +\infty} f(x, z)$$

and moreover the convergence is uniform for $x \in [0, a]$. Pick $R = R(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|f(x, z_1) - f(x, z_2)| < \varepsilon/3$ for $|z_1| > R$, $|z_2| > R$ and all $x \in [0, \infty)$. We have, for all $y_1, y_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $x_1, x_2 \in [0, a]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|z| \geq r} |f_z(x_1, y_1) - f_z(x_2, y_2)| &= \sup_{|z| \geq r} |f(x_1, ze^{iy_1}) - f(x_2, ze^{iy_2})| \\ &\leq \sup_{r \leq |z| \leq R} |f(x_1, ze^{iy_1}) - f(x_1, ze^{iy_2})| \\ &\quad + \sup_{|z| > R} |f(x_1, ze^{iy_1}) - f(x_1, ze^{iy_2})| \\ &\quad + \sup_{|z| \geq r} |f(x_1, ze^{iy_2}) - f(x_2, ze^{iy_2})|. \end{aligned}$$

The first and the third summands are $< \varepsilon/3$ whenever $|y_1 - y_2| < \delta/R$ and $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$, respectively. The second is $< \varepsilon/3$ by the choice of R . Hence,

$$\sup_{|z| \geq r} |f_z(x_1, y_1) - f_z(x_2, y_2)| < \varepsilon$$

if $|y_1 - y_2| < \delta/R$ and $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$, yielding the equicontinuity.

To check (5.1), consider the measures on $[0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]$ defined by

$$\mu'_n := \frac{1}{[na]} \sum_{k \geq 1} \delta_{(k/n, (k\alpha) \bmod(2\pi))}$$

and note that, for every $x \in (0, a]$ and $y \in [0, 2\pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_n([0, x] \times [0, y]) &= \frac{\#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k/n \leq x, (k\alpha) \bmod(2\pi) \leq y\}}{[na]} \\ &\sim \frac{x \#\{k \leq nx : (k\alpha) \bmod(2\pi) \leq y\}}{nx} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xy}{2\pi a}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where the last passage follows from Weyl’s equidistribution theorem. Therefore, we have weak convergence of probability measures

$$\mu'_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu' := (2\pi a)^{-1} \text{LEB}_{[0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]}, \tag{5.4}$$

since the distribution functions of μ'_n converge pointwise to the distribution function of μ' .

By the Skorokhod representation theorem there exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and random vectors X_n and X on this space such that X_n has distribution μ'_n , X has distribution μ' , and $X_n \rightarrow X$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, almost surely. With this notation we can recast (5.1) as follows:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a \sup_{|z| \geq r} |\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} f_z(X_n) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} f_z(X)| = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

By the precompactness (or just equicontinuity) of the family (f_z) :

$$\sup_{|z| \geq r} |f_z(X_n) - f_z(X)| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0.$$

Recalling the uniform boundedness of (f_z) and invoking the dominated convergence theorem, we arrive at (5.5). Relation (5.2) follows analogously from the observation that

$$\mu''_n := \frac{1}{na} \sum_{k \geq 1} \delta_{(k/n, (2\pi pk/q) \bmod (2\pi))} \rightarrow \mu'' := a^{-1} \text{LEB} \times \mathbb{U}_q,$$

weakly, where \mathbb{U}_q is a uniform measure on the set $\{0, 2\pi/q, 4\pi/q, \dots, 2\pi(q-1)/q\}$. The proof of Lemma 5.1 is complete. \square

The next lemma shows that the mapping $\mathcal{F} : D([0, 1], \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by (3.20) is continuous.

Lemma 5.2. *The mapping \mathcal{F} , defined by (3.20), is everywhere continuous on $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let $f_n \rightarrow f$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, on $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$. Fix a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let us show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in K} |(\mathcal{F}(f_n))(z) - (\mathcal{F}(f))(z)| = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

By the definition of J_1 -topology, $f_n(1) \rightarrow f(1)$ and $f_n(0) \rightarrow f(0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence equation (5.6) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in K} \left| \int_0^1 f_n(x) e^{izx} dx - \int_0^1 f(x) e^{izx} dx \right| = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

It is known that convergence in $D([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ implies convergence in $L_1([0, 1])$, see e.g. Lemma 2.2 in [11]. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx = 0$$

and (5.7) follows from the the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in K} \left| \int_0^1 f_n(x) e^{izx} dx - \int_0^1 f(x) e^{izx} dx \right| &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\sup_{z \in K} |e^{izx}| \right) |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sup_{z \in K} e^{-\text{Im} z} \right) \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 5.2 is complete. \square

References

- [1] J. Angst and G. Poly, *Universality of the mean number of real zeros of random trigonometric polynomials under a weak Cramer condition*, preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.08750>, 2015.
- [2] J. Antezana, J. Buckley, J. Marzo, and J.-F. Olsen, *Gap probabilities for the cardinal sine*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **396** (2012), no. 2, 466–472. MR-2961239
- [3] J.-M. Azaïs, F. Dalmao, J. León, I. Nourdin, and G. Poly, *Local universality of the number of zeros of random trigonometric polynomials with continuous coefficients*, preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.05583>.
- [4] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, 2nd ed., Chichester: Wiley, 1999. MR-1700749

- [5] E. V. Bulinskaya, *On the mean number of crossings of a level by a stationary Gaussian process*, Theory Probab. Appl. **6** (1962), 435–438.
- [6] J. B. Conway, *Functions of one complex variable*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978. MR-0503901
- [7] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. II*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1971.
- [8] H. Flasche, *Expected number of real roots of random trigonometric polynomials*, preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.01841>, 2016.
- [9] J. Ben Hough, M. Krishnapur, Y. Peres, and B. Virág, *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes*, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2009. MR-2552864
- [10] A. Iksanov, *Functional limit theorems for renewal shot noise processes with increasing response functions*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **123** (2013), no. 6, 1987–2010. MR-3038496
- [11] F. Johansson and A. Sola, *Rescaled Lévy–Loewner hulls and random growth*, Bull. Sci. Math. **133** (2009), no. 3, 238–256. MR-2512828
- [12] Z. Kabluchko and A. Klimovsky, *Complex random energy model: zeros and fluctuations*, Probab. Th. Related Fields **158** (2014), no. 1–2, 159–196. MR-3152783
- [13] Z. Kabluchko and A. Klimovsky, *Generalized Random Energy Model at complex temperatures*, preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.2142>, 2014. MR-3152783
- [14] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Wiley-Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, 1974, Pure and Applied Mathematics. MR-0419394
- [15] A. Ledoan, M. Merkli, and S. Starr, *A universality property of Gaussian analytic functions*, J. Theoret. Probab. **25** (2012), no. 2, 496–504. MR-2914439
- [16] S. I. Resnick, *Point processes, regular variation and weak convergence*, Adv. in Appl. Probab. **18** (1986), no. 1, 66–138. MR-0827332
- [17] S. I. Resnick, *Extreme values, regular variation and point processes*, reprint of the 1987 original ed., New York: Springer, 2008. MR-2364939
- [18] G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taqqu, *Stable non-Gaussian random processes. Stochastic models with infinite variance*, Stochastic Modeling, Chapman & Hall, New York, 1994. MR-1280932
- [19] T. Shirai, *Limit theorems for random analytic functions and their zeros.*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B34** (2012), 335–359. MR-3014854
- [20] S. Starr, *Universality of correlations for random analytic functions*, Entropy and the quantum II, Contemp. Math., vol. 552, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 135–144. MR-2868045
- [21] M. Tyran-Kamińska, *Convergence to Lévy stable processes under some weak dependence conditions*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **120** (2010), no. 9, 1629–1650. MR-2673968
- [22] N. D. Ylvisaker, *The expected number of zeros of a stationary Gaussian process*, Ann. Math. Statist **36** (1965). MR-0177458
- [23] N. D. Ylvisaker, *A note on the absence of tangencies in Gaussian sample paths*, Ann. Math. Statist. **39** (1968), 261–262. MR-0226725

Acknowledgments. This work was done while A. Iksanov was visiting Münster in January–February 2016. He gratefully acknowledges hospitality and the financial support by DFG SFB 878 “Geometry, Groups and Actions”. The work of A. Marynych was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

Electronic Journal of Probability

Electronic Communications in Probability

Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS¹)
- Easy interface (EJMS²)

Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS³, BS⁴, ProjectEuclid⁵
- Purely electronic

Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund⁶ (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

¹LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe <http://www.lockss.org/>

²EJMS: Electronic Journal Management System <http://www.vtex.lt/en/ejms.html>

³IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics <http://www.imstat.org/>

⁴BS: Bernoulli Society <http://www.bernoulli-society.org/>

⁵Project Euclid: <https://projecteuclid.org/>

⁶IMS Open Access Fund: <http://www.imstat.org/publications/open.htm>