

Localization of Matrix Factorizations

Tim Wertz
University of California, Davis

October 26, 2013

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

- The organizers

Acknowledgements

- The organizers
- Ilya Krishtal (Northern Illinois University)

Acknowledgements

- The organizers
- Ilya Krishtal (Northern Illinois University)
- Thomas Strohmer (UC Davis)

Acknowledgements

- The organizers
- Ilya Krishtal (Northern Illinois University)
- Thomas Strohmer (UC Davis)
- NSF, DARPA, UC Davis, Northern Illinois

“Nearsightedness” and off-diagonal decay

“Nearsightedness” and off-diagonal decay

- Communications channels in digital and wireless communication

“Nearsightedness” and off-diagonal decay

- Communications channels in digital and wireless communication
- Correlation matrices in statistics

“Nearsightedness” and off-diagonal decay

- Communications channels in digital and wireless communication
- Correlation matrices in statistics
- Approximate diagonalization of pseudodifferential operators

“Nearsightedness” and off-diagonal decay

- Communications channels in digital and wireless communication
- Correlation matrices in statistics
- Approximate diagonalization of pseudodifferential operators
- Physics, i.e. the Anderson model

“Nearsightedness” and off-diagonal decay

- Communications channels in digital and wireless communication
- Correlation matrices in statistics
- Approximate diagonalization of pseudodifferential operators
- Physics, i.e. the Anderson model
- Density matrices in quantum chemistry

Wiener's Lemma

Definition

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ the Banach algebra of functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k|.$$

Wiener's Lemma

Definition

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ the Banach algebra of functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k|.$$

Theorem (Wiener's Lemma, 1932)

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ and $f(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then $1/f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$.

Wiener's Lemma and Matrices

Wiener's Lemma and Matrices

- Let $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikt} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$.

Wiener's Lemma and Matrices

- Let $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikt} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$.
- Construct a matrix $A_f = (a_{jk})$ by setting $a_{jk} = a_{j-k}$.

Wiener's Lemma and Matrices

- Let $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikt} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$.
- Construct a matrix $A_f = (a_{jk})$ by setting $a_{jk} = a_{j-k}$.
- If $|f(t)| \geq \delta > 0$, then $1/f \in \mathcal{A}$ (Wiener's Lemma).

Wiener's Lemma and Matrices

- Let $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikt} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$.
- Construct a matrix $A_f = (a_{jk})$ by setting $a_{jk} = a_{j-k}$.
- If $|f(t)| \geq \delta > 0$, then $1/f \in \mathcal{A}$ (Wiener's Lemma).
- $(A_f)^{-1} = A_{1/f}$.

Wiener's Lemma and Matrices

- Let $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikt} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$.
- Construct a matrix $A_f = (a_{jk})$ by setting $a_{jk} = a_{j-k}$.
- If $|f(t)| \geq \delta > 0$, then $1/f \in \mathcal{A}$ (Wiener's Lemma).
- $(A_f)^{-1} = A_{1/f}$.
- $\{a_k\} \in \ell^1$ means that A_f satisfies some off-diagonal decay condition.

Inverse-closedness

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be two Banach algebras with common identity. We say that \mathcal{A} is inverse-closed in \mathcal{B} if

$$a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } a^{-1} \in \mathcal{B} \implies a^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be two Banach algebras with common identity. We say that \mathcal{A} is inverse-closed in \mathcal{B} if

$$a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } a^{-1} \in \mathcal{B} \implies a^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Inverse-closedness is also known as: \mathcal{A} is a spectral/local/full subalgebra of \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{A} is invariant under the holomorphic calculus in \mathcal{B} , spectral invariance.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be two Banach algebras with common identity. We say that \mathcal{A} is inverse-closed in \mathcal{B} if

$$a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } a^{-1} \in \mathcal{B} \implies a^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Inverse-closedness is also known as: \mathcal{A} is a spectral/local/full subalgebra of \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{A} is invariant under the holomorphic calculus in \mathcal{B} , spectral invariance.

Theorem (Wiener's Lemma)

The Banach algebra of functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ is inverse closed in the Banach algebra of continuous functions $C(\mathbb{T})$.

Decay Algebras

$$\mathbf{M} = (m_{jk}), j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\mathbf{M} = (m_{jk}), j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- $\mathcal{B}_b := \{\mathbf{M} : \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N}, m_{jk} = 0 \text{ when } |j - k| > n\}$.

$$\mathbf{M} = (m_{jk}), j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- $\mathcal{B}_b := \{\mathbf{M} : \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N}, m_{jk} = 0 \text{ when } |j - k| > n\}.$
- $\mathcal{B}_c := \overline{\mathcal{B}_b}$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{op}.$

Decay Algebras, cont.

- $\mathcal{A}_V := \{\mathbf{M} : |m_{jk}| \leq Cv^{-1}(j - k)\}$.

- $\mathcal{A}_v := \{ \mathbf{M} : |m_{jk}| \leq Cv^{-1}(j-k) \}$.

- $\mathcal{A}_v^1 := \left\{ \mathbf{M} : \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |m_{jk}| v(j-k), \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |m_{jk}| v(j-k) < \infty \right\}$

Decay Algebras, cont.

- $\mathcal{A}_v := \{ \mathbf{M} : |m_{jk}| \leq C v^{-1}(j - k) \}$.
- $\mathcal{A}_v^1 := \left\{ \mathbf{M} : \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |m_{jk}| v(j - k), \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |m_{jk}| v(j - k) < \infty \right\}$
- $\mathcal{C}_v := \left\{ \mathbf{M} : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |m_{k, k-j}| v(j) < \infty \right\}$.

Some Algebraic Properties

Some Algebraic Properties

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra of matrices and let \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}_0^* = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ be the sub-algebras of lower- and strictly-upper-triangular matrices, respectively. Then, we say that \mathcal{A} is *strongly decomposable* if there exists a bounded projection \mathcal{P} which maps \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{L} parallel to \mathcal{L}_0^* . Let $\mathcal{Q} = I - \mathcal{P}$.

Some Algebraic Properties

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra of matrices and let \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}_0^* = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ be the sub-algebras of lower- and strictly-upper-triangular matrices, respectively. Then, we say that \mathcal{A} is *strongly decomposable* if there exists a bounded projection \mathcal{P} which maps \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{L} parallel to \mathcal{L}_0^* . Let $Q = I - \mathcal{P}$.

Definition

An invertible matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a *canonical factorization* in \mathcal{A} if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}$ where $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^*$.

Abstract Harmonic Analysis

Definition (Fourier Series of an Operator)

Definition (Fourier Series of an Operator)

① $M(\theta) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $M(\theta)x(n) = \theta^n x(n)$.

Definition (Fourier Series of an Operator)

- 1 $M(\theta) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $M(\theta)x(n) = \theta^n x(n)$.
- 2 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) := M(\theta)\mathbf{A}M(\theta^{-1})$.

Definition (Fourier Series of an Operator)

- 1 $M(\theta) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $M(\theta)x(n) = \theta^n x(n)$.
- 2 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) := M(\theta)\mathbf{A}M(\theta^{-1})$.
- 3 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) \sim \sum_k \theta^k \mathbf{A}_k$.

Definition (Fourier Series of an Operator)

- 1 $M(\theta) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $M(\theta)x(n) = \theta^n x(n)$.
- 2 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) := M(\theta)\mathbf{A}M(\theta^{-1})$.
- 3 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) \sim \sum_k \theta^k \mathbf{A}_k$.

Remark

- 1 $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}_c$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ is continuous.

Definition (Fourier Series of an Operator)

- 1 $M(\theta) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $M(\theta)x(n) = \theta^n x(n)$.
- 2 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) := M(\theta)\mathbf{A}M(\theta^{-1})$.
- 3 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) \sim \sum_k \theta^k \mathbf{A}_k$.

Remark

- 1 $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}_c$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ is continuous.
- 2 $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_c$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ has a holomorphic extension to \mathbb{D} which is continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Definition (Fourier Series of an Operator)

- 1 $M(\theta) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $M(\theta)x(n) = \theta^n x(n)$.
- 2 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) := M(\theta)\mathbf{A}M(\theta^{-1})$.
- 3 $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) \sim \sum_k \theta^k \mathbf{A}_k$.

Remark

- 1 $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}_c$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ is continuous.
- 2 $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_c$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ has a holomorphic extension to \mathbb{D} which is continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
- 3 $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathcal{B}_c$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ has a bounded holomorphic extension outside of \mathbb{D} which is continuous in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$.

Two useful results

Theorem (Baskakov, Krishtal, 2005)

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_c$. Then $\mathbf{A}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Two useful results

Theorem (Baskakov, Krishtal, 2005)

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_c$. Then $\mathbf{A}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $f_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Lemma (Gohberg, Laiterer, 1972)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_c \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ be a strongly decomposable inverse-closed sub-algebra that satisfies $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)} \leq C\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, if $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)} < 1$, \mathbf{A} admits a canonical factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}$ in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\mathbf{L}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} - \mathcal{P}\mathbf{V} + \mathcal{P}[\mathbf{V}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{V}] - \mathcal{P}[\mathbf{V}\mathcal{P}[\mathbf{V}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{V}]] + \dots, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} - \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{V} + \mathcal{Q}[[\mathcal{Q}\mathbf{V}]\mathbf{V}] - \mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{Q}[[\mathcal{Q}\mathbf{V}]\mathbf{V}]\mathbf{V}] + \dots, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}$ and the series converge in \mathcal{A} .

Main Result

Theorem (Krishtal, Strohmer, W., 2013)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_c \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ be an strongly decomposable inverse-closed sub-algebra that satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)} \leq C \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Then, if \mathbf{A} admits a canonical factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}$ in \mathcal{B}_c , we have $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Idea of the proof

Idea of the proof

- 1 Define the holomorphic extensions

$$f_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{L}_k, z \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\mathbf{U}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{U}_k, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Idea of the proof

- 1 Define the holomorphic extensions

$$f_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{L}_k, z \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\mathbf{U}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{U}_k, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

- 2 Choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $\| [f_{\mathbf{L}}(\varepsilon)]^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} [f_{\mathbf{U}}(1/\varepsilon)]^{-1} - I \|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)} < 1$.

Idea of the proof

- 1 Define the holomorphic extensions

$$f_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{L}_k, z \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\mathbf{U}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{U}_k, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

- 2 Choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $\| [f_{\mathbf{L}}(\varepsilon)]^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} [f_{\mathbf{U}}(1/\varepsilon)]^{-1} - I \|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)} < 1$.
- 3 Then $\mathbf{A}' = [f_{\mathbf{L}}(\varepsilon)]^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} [f_{\mathbf{U}}(1/\varepsilon)]^{-1} = \mathbf{L}' \mathbf{U}'$.

Idea of the proof

- 1 Define the holomorphic extensions

$$f_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{L}_k, z \in \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\mathbf{U}}(z) = \sum_k z^k \mathbf{U}_k, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

- 2 Choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|[f_{\mathbf{L}}(\varepsilon)]^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} [f_{\mathbf{U}}(1/\varepsilon)]^{-1} - I\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)} < 1$.
- 3 Then $\mathbf{A}' = [f_{\mathbf{L}}(\varepsilon)]^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} [f_{\mathbf{U}}(1/\varepsilon)]^{-1} = \mathbf{L}' \mathbf{U}'$.
- 4 So $(\mathbf{L}')^{-1} [f_{\mathbf{L}}(\varepsilon)]^{-1} \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{U}' f_{\mathbf{U}}(1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{U}^{-1}$.

Corollaries

Corollary

Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a Cholesky factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C}^* \mathbf{C}$. Then, $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^* \in \mathcal{A}$.

Corollary

Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a Cholesky factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C}^* \mathbf{C}$. Then, $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^* \in \mathcal{A}$.

Any two LU factorizations differ by an invertible diagonal matrix.

Corollary

Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a Cholesky factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C}^* \mathbf{C}$. Then, $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^* \in \mathcal{A}$.

Any two LU factorizations differ by an invertible diagonal matrix.

Corollary

Suppose that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a QR factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}$. Then $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Corollary

Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a Cholesky factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{C}$. Then, $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^* \in \mathcal{A}$.

Any two LU factorizations differ by an invertible diagonal matrix.

Corollary

Suppose that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a QR factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$. Then $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Consider $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{Q}^*\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}$ and apply the previous corollary.

Next steps

Next steps

- Eigenvector localization

Next steps

- Eigenvector localization
- More general decay patterns

Thanks!

