



## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

---

**Citation:** van der Merwe, M., Bowers, L., Jones, J., Simpson, A. & Haglund, K. (2009). Locked doors in acute inpatient psychiatry: a literature review. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 16(3), pp. 293-299. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2850.2008.01378.x

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

---

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/7241/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2850.2008.01378.x>

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

---

---

---

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

[publications@city.ac.uk](mailto:publications@city.ac.uk)

---

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## LOCKED DOORS IN ACUTE INPATIENT PSYCHIATRY: A LITERATURE REVIEW

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Many acute inpatient psychiatric wards in the UK are permanently locked, although this is contrary to the current Mental Health Act Code of Practice.

**Aim:** To conduct a literature review of empirical articles concerning locked doors in acute psychiatric inpatient wards.

**Method:** An extensive literature search was performed in SAGE Journals Online, EBM Reviews, BNI, CINAHL, EMBASE Psychiatry, International Bibliography of the Social Sciences, Ovid MEDLINE(R), PsycINFO and Google, using the search terms “open”, “close”, “lock”, “door”, “ward”, “hospital”, “psychiatr”, “mental health”, “inpatient” and “asylum”.

**Findings:** A total of 11 empirical papers were included in the review. Both staff and patients reported advantages (e.g. preventing illegal substances from entering the ward and preventing patients from absconding and harming themselves or others) and disadvantages (e.g. making patients feel depressed,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 confined and creating extra work for staff) regarding locked  
10 doors. Locked wards were associated with increased patient  
11 aggression, poorer satisfaction with treatment and more severe  
12 symptoms.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 **Conclusion:** The limited literature available showed the urgent  
19 need for research to determine the real effects of locked doors in  
20 inpatient psychiatry.  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26  
27  
28 **Keywords:** acute psychiatric inpatient, closed ward, locked  
29 door, locked door policy, psychiatric inpatient ward, ward door.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, psychiatric inpatient wards in the UK went through several phases, where doors were either open or locked. Asylums of the nineteenth century were located outside of a town or city, with large buildings, widespread grounds and few patients (Boardman 2005). During World War I (1914-1918) the majority of staff were drafted for war, bigger asylums were used as military hospitals and displaced patients overcrowded the remaining asylums (Jones 1960). Lack of resources and poor treatment was common and patients were kept under lock and key (Clarke 1993; Ryan 1956; Sacks et al. 1982).

After WWI, the eventual understanding given to soldiers suffering from shell shock (Barham 2004), led directly to the first proposal for the Mental Health Act 1930. This act made provision for voluntary admission to mental hospitals, so asylums started to build or open wards, which were not locked, for patients who were treated voluntarily (Jones 1960).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 The Second World War (1939-1945) again transformed  
11 psychiatric hospitals into custodial, locked places (Cherry 2003;  
12 Clarke 1993), but after WWII, almost all hospitals embraced the  
13 “open door” policy (Murphy 1991). This “open door” policy  
14 included quick admission, vigorous medical treatment and early  
15 discharge. The harmful consequences of total institutions and  
16 fear of “institutionalisation” encouraged mental health staff to  
17 seek alternative ways to care for the mentally ill (Murphy 1991).  
18  
19 The early 1960s saw the beginning of the community care era  
20 and this has remained official policy ever since (Department of  
21 Health 2008; Gostin 1986; Jones 1960). By the 1970s all non-  
22 forensic psychiatric ward doors were open, and the first locked  
23 psychiatric intensive care units (PICU’s) were created for  
24 patients unmanageable on open wards (Crowhurst & Bowers  
25 2002).  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Today, many modern inpatient wards have become permanently  
locked, although this is contrary to the current Mental Health  
Act Code of Practice (Department of Health and Welsh Office  
2007). A survey of London wards in 2001 found 25% to be

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 permanently locked (Bowers et al. 2002), and by 2005 a national  
10 survey found 'frequent' use of door locking on 37% of inpatient  
11 psychiatric wards (Garcia et al. 2005). More recently, the  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

permanently locked (Bowers et al. 2002), and by 2005 a national survey found 'frequent' use of door locking on 37% of inpatient psychiatric wards (Garcia et al. 2005). More recently, the Mental Health Act Commission reported that patients admitted to acute wards in the UK were more likely to be held in a locked ward than an open ward – regardless of their legal status (Mental Health Act Commission 2008). Informal patients on a locked ward may leave the ward at request, although this is not always the case, so more and more informal patients are subjected to *de facto* detention. Internationally, this trend towards a locked environment is reflected in a paper presenting data obtained in a one-day census investigation in five European countries (Austria, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia). The census forms were filled in for 4191 psychiatric inpatients and 21.4% were treated in a ward with locked doors (Rittmannsberger et al. 2004).

Some using the closed ward system believed that it might seem like a backwards step in modern psychiatry, but that a carefully planned policy actually results in better patient care (Adams

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

2000). Other commentators suggested that England is entering an era of reinstitutionalisation (Priede et al. 2005), because although there is a decrease in psychiatric hospital beds, there is an increase in involuntary admissions, forensic beds, places in supported housing and the prison population in England. Quirk, Lelliot and Seale (2006) offered a modern look on the total institution model and state that despite the increase in locked hospitals, modern institutions are more permeable and showed little evidence of institutionalisation. More permeable hospitals do however forfeit some beneficial “asylum functions”, e.g. patient experienced continued personal responsibility, such as bills and family matters, and unwanted persons and illegal drugs could enter the hospital more easily (Quirk et al. 2006).

Despite guidelines from the Department of Health, many acute inpatient units still struggle to define how they operate (Boardman 2005). This is echoed in a recent study where nurses were unable to clearly recall any guidelines or policies available to aid their decision regarding locking the ward door (Ashmore 2008). Mental health service managers are, at present, being



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 forced into taking decisions on door locking policy, without any  
10 modern central guidance from the Department of Health  
11 (Bowers et al. 2005). A discussion paper by Rae (2007)  
12 highlights this need to review the current open door policy by  
13 listing arguments for and against locked doors. He suggests that  
14 further research is urgently needed to create a modern Code of  
15 Practice to assist mental health services to provide safe care.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## 28 METHOD

29  
30  
31  
32  
33 A search, using a combination of the search terms “open\$”/  
34 “close\$”/“\$lock\$”, “door”/“ward”/“hospital” and  
35 “psychiatr”/“mental health”/“inpatient”/“asylum”, were  
36 conducted on EBM Reviews, British Nursing Index (BNI),  
37 CINAHL 1982 to 2007, EMBASE Psychiatry 1997 to 2007,  
38 International Bibliography of the Social Sciences 1951 to 2007,  
39 Ovid MEDLINE(R) 1950 to 2007, and PsycINFO 1806 to 2007  
40 and yielded 66 hits. A second search was conducted on SAGE  
41 Journals Online (History of Psychiatry Journal) (129 hits) and  
42 on Google and Google Scholar (because of an overwhelming  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 number of hits, only the first 500 items of both were inspected).  
10  
11 Inclusion criteria included English empirical papers concerning  
12 locked doors in acute inpatient psychiatry. Exclusion criteria  
13 included open inpatient wards, PICU wards, older patient wards,  
14 adolescent wards, forensic wards, and non-English language  
15 papers. Resulting titles and abstracts were inspected for  
16 relevance. Where there was ambiguity, the original paper was  
17 obtained and inspected. The resulting list of 78 abstracts was  
18 then individually sifted. This resulted in a small core of papers  
19 from which a few further references on the topic were identified  
20 by following up citations.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 38 RESULTS

39  
40  
41  
42 A total of 11 empirical papers were found on studies concerning  
43 locked doors in acute inpatient psychiatry (see table 1). Seven  
44 studies were from Europe, three from America and one from  
45 China. The studies were predominantly descriptive and six  
46 papers were recent (post - 1999) contributions to the literature.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55 Five studies reported interviews; four papers reported  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

questionnaire surveys, one paper described a focus group and one study was a randomised control trial. Eight out of the eleven studies involved patients.

**Table 1: Summary of review papers**

Author	Country	Methodology	Sample	Setting
Adams, B. (2000)	UK	Audit of official records Focus group Questionnaire survey	6 patients (focus group) 23 discharged patients (survey)	58-bed acute psychiatric hospital. 7 adult acute care wards across three NHS Trusts.
Ashmore, R. (2008)	UK	Semi-structured interviews	11 qualified nurses	1 female disturbed ward.
Folkard, S. (1960)	UK	Systematic record analysis	45 female patients	7 Swedish psychiatric inpatient wards.
Haglund, K. & Von Essen, L. (2005)	Sweden	Semi-structured interviews	20 voluntary admitted patients	All psychiatric inpatient wards in Sweden (except forensic and private wards).
Haglund, K., et al. (2007)	Sweden	Cross-sectional questionnaire survey	193 ward managers	7 Swedish psychiatric inpatient wards.
Haglund, K., et al. (2006)	Sweden	Semi-structured interviews	20 nurses and 20 mental health nurse assistants	172-bed psychiatric wing of a Veterans hospital.
Ryan, J. H. (1962)	USA	Interviews	100 male patients	2 locked and 2 open units of a psychiatric clinic in a state hospital.
Sacks, M. H., et al. (1982)	USA	Questionnaire survey	85 members of staff and 65 patients	19 bed unit (11 in closed section and 8 in open section separated by a locked steel door).
Dumont, M., et al. (1960)	USA	Official record analysis Observations Unstructured interviews Written opinions	Patients (interviews) Staff (written opinions)	1 locked female ward in a community psychiatric hospital.
Jin, Z. (1994)	China	Single-blind randomised control trial	50 female patients suffering from schizophrenia	2 wards of a psychiatric university hospital.
Muller, M.J. (2002)	Germany	Self-rating questionnaire	135 male and female patients	

Six studies investigated advantages and disadvantages reported by staff with regards to locked doors (Adams 2000; Ashmore 2008; Dumont et al. 1960; Haglund et al. 2007; Haglund et al. 2006; Sacks et al. 1982). Five studies investigated advantages and disadvantages reported by patients regarding locked doors (Adams 2000; Dumont et al. 1960; Haglund & Von Essen 2005; Ryan 1962; Sacks et al. 1982). One paper focussed on

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 aggression in inpatient wards (Folkard 1960), one on patients'  
10 satisfaction with treatment and door status (Muller et al. 2002)  
11 and another investigated the effects of the door status on  
12 patients' residual symptoms (Jin 1994).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

### 19 20 21 Advantages of locked doors reported by patients 22 23 24 25

26 Four studies reviewed reported patient support for locked doors  
27 (Adams 2000; Haglund & Von Essen 2005; Ryan 1962; Sacks et  
28 al. 1982). Patients indicated that locked doors made them feel  
29 safe and made those patients, who were unable to control  
30 themselves, feel more secure (Adams 2000; Sacks et al. 1982).  
31 Locked doors provided them and staff with protection against  
32 unwanted visitors, stealing and the import of alcohol or illegal  
33 substances (Haglund & Von Essen 2005). This protection was  
34 seen as the main therapeutic advantage of a locked ward (Ryan  
35 1962). Patients also indicated that locked doors offered  
36 protection to the outside community, by preventing patients  
37 from leaving the ward and harming others (Ryan 1962).  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Some patients reported that locked doors gave staff the necessary control to provide efficient care (Haglund & Von Essen 2005). Others stated that they think relatives feel more relieved when the door was locked (Sacks et al. 1982).

#### Disadvantages of locked doors reported by patients

In four studies patients indicated that being on a locked ward was a discouraging experience (Adams 2000; Dumont et al. 1960; Haglund & Von Essen 2005; Sacks et al. 1982). Locked doors made them feel trapped, confined, and passive (Dumont et al. 1960; Haglund & Von Essen 2005; Sacks et al. 1982). Patients also felt that locked doors highlighted the staffs' power and made them feel depressed and anxious. One study indicated that the patients on an open unit required more sedative medication and were more anxious before their ward was temporarily merged with a locked unit (Dumont et al. 1960). After the redivision patients on the locked unit showed a peak in sedation orders.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Lastly, some patients reported that locked doors created extra work for staff, because they have to open and lock doors constantly (Haglund & Von Essen 2005). Others stated that the rattling of keys in locks reminded them of prison (Adams 2000; Haglund & Von Essen 2005).

#### Advantages of locked doors reported by staff

Five studies indicated that the main reason given by staff for locking the ward door was to create safety and security (Adams 2000; Ashmore 2008; Haglund et al. 2007; Haglund et al. 2006; Sacks et al. 1982). Staff felt locked doors prevented patients from absconding and of harming themselves or others (Adams 2000; Ashmore 2008; Haglund et al. 2007) and made patients, who were unable to control themselves, feel more secure (Haglund et al. 2007; Haglund et al. 2006; Sacks et al. 1982).

Some staff also indicated that locked doors prevented unwelcome visitors or illegal substances from entering the ward (Adams 2000; Ashmore 2008; Haglund et al. 2007; Haglund & Von Essen 2005) and others indicated that locked doors

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 provided staff with control to manage the ward properly  
10  
11 (Haglund et al. 2006; Haglund et al. 2007).  
12  
13

14  
15  
16 A locked ward created a more therapeutic ward atmosphere,  
17  
18 because staff can spend more time with patients instead of  
19  
20 constantly watching the door (Ashmore 2003). Staff stated that  
21  
22 locking and unlocking the ward door created opportunities to do  
23  
24 a quick assessment of a patient's mental health before they go  
25  
26 out or created time to reassure family members that their loved  
27  
28 one is in safe hands (Ashmore 2008; Haglund et al. 2006).  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34  
35 Other reasons why staff locked the ward door was because of a  
36  
37 pending forensic assessment, staff shortages or legislation  
38  
39 (Adams 2000; Haglund et al. 2007). Staff also indicated that  
40  
41 locked doors reduced the need for special constant observation,  
42  
43 as well as the need to section or restraint patients who are at risk  
44  
45 of absconding (Ashmore 2003).  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51  
52 Disadvantages of locked doors reported by staff  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 In four papers members of staff expressed concerns regarding  
11 locking ward doors (Ashmore 2008; Dumont et al. 1960;  
12 Haglund et al. 2006; Sacks et al. 1982). Some staff complained  
13 about the extra work the unlocking and locking of doors created  
14 (Ashmore 2003; Dumont et al. 1960; Haglund et al. 2006). Staff  
15 also indicated that locked doors created a more volatile ward  
16 environment, because patients became upset, and staff as well as  
17 patients felt frustrated (Ashmore 2003; Haglund et al. 2006;  
18 Sacks et al. 1982).

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Staff stated that locked doors reinforced the stigma surrounding  
34 mental illness and restricted the movement of informal patients  
35 (Ashmore 2003). Staff reported that some patients may  
36 experience the locked door as punishment (Dumont et al. 1960)  
37 and that the locked door emphasised the power imbalance  
38 between staff and patients (Haglund et al. 2006). Lastly, staff  
39 felt the locked door made visitors feel unwelcome.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 Aggressive incidents and the door status  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 One paper focussed on aggression with regards to locked doors.  
10 Folkard (1960) monitored the incidents of aggressive behaviour  
11 for 20 consecutive weeks: the first 10 weeks the door was kept  
12 locked, and the last 10 weeks the door was opened for the first  
13 time.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23 During the locked phase there were 249 aggressive occurrences  
24 and during the unlocked phase only 193. This reduction in  
25 aggressive incidents coincided with the decrease in actions taken  
26 by the staff (from 57 during the locked phase to 46 during the  
27 unlocked phase). Staff actions included sedation, E.C.T. or  
28 putting the patient to bed. Folkard (1960) noted that staffing  
29 levels may also influence aggression (Folkard 1960).  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

#### 42 Patients' satisfaction with treatment and the door status

43  
44  
45

46  
47 One study reported on patients' satisfaction with treatment and  
48 the door status (Muller et al. 2002). At admission, patients in a  
49 closed ward were significantly less satisfied with ward  
50 equipment and regulations for going out than patients admitted  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

to an open ward. At discharge patients from the locked ward were significantly less satisfied in general, with medication, ward equipment, visiting opportunities and with regulations for going out than patients discharged from open wards. There were no differences in satisfaction at discharge or admission between patients from a locked or open ward in satisfaction with medical staff, nursing care, nursing staff, social programme on the ward, food, or in mood ratings. The influences of patients' individual diagnosis and treatment were not considered.

#### Patients' symptoms and the door status

One study investigated the effects of the door status and structured activities programme on patients' symptoms (Jin 1994). Fifty female patients on the same locked ward were randomly assigned to control and experimental groups. The experimental group were given as much freedom as possible and were encouraged to take part in structured activities. The control group were not permitted to leave the ward and did not take part in the activities.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 After six months, the experimental group, but not the control  
13  
14 group, showed improvement in physical energy, psychomotor  
15  
16 activation and hostility-suspiciousness symptoms (Jin 1994).  
17

18  
19 Overall, the experimental group had less severe symptoms at the  
20  
21 end of the intervention than the control group. Although the  
22  
23 effects of the structural activities programme cannot be  
24  
25 separated from the effects of the open door treatment, there was  
26  
27 a significant improvement in residual symptoms of those  
28  
29 patients receiving open door treatment.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

### 35 **DISCUSSION**

36  
37  
38  
39  
40 The literature on locked doors in inpatient psychiatric wards was  
41  
42 inconclusive regarding the effects of locked doors. While there  
43  
44 were ample empirical (Scott 1956; Wake 1961; Wisebord et al.  
45  
46 1958) and non-empirical literature (Ryan 1956; Snow 1958;  
47  
48 Koltes 1956) from the 1950s and 1960s regarding the open door,  
49  
50 there was very little on locked doors.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 From this review it was clear that staff and patients felt a locked  
11 door would prevent patients from absconding and harming  
12 others. Yet in a large retrospective study of absconding at All  
13 Saints Hospital in Birmingham, just under half of all absconds  
14 occurred from locked wards (Antebi 1967). Somewhat similarly,  
15 Coleman (1966) reports 20% of absconds, and Richmond et al.  
16 (1991) 21%, at Veterans Hospitals in the US were from locked  
17 wards. However Swindall and Molnar (1985) reported that on  
18 the opening of a locked ward in 1981, absconds rose from 2.5%  
19 of admissions to 7% (Swindall & Molnar 1985).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 Both staff and patients felt that locked doors would prevent  
36 patients from harming themselves. However, two studies on  
37 suicide showed that suicides were no more likely to occur with  
38 locked ward patients than open ward patients (Deisenhammer et  
39 al. 2000; Niskanen 1974).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Staff and patients reported that locked doors offered protection  
from the outside community by preventing illegal substance  
from entering the ward; however replies to a more dated

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 questionnaire surveying 483 open Canadian psychiatric wards  
11 indicated that the smuggling of alcohol and drugs did not  
12 increase with the opening of ward doors (Wake 1961). There  
13 was no empirical evidence found on substance misuse and door  
14 status for wards in the UK, although there was evidence that  
15 83% of inner-London psychiatric inpatients with a history of  
16 alcohol or drug use reported that they continued to use illegal  
17 substances in inpatients psychiatric wards during their admission  
18 (Phillips & Johnson 2003).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Both staff and patients agreed that the locked door offered staff  
34 the necessary control to provide efficient care and management  
35 of the ward; however other patients and staff saw this control as  
36 derogatory, because it highlighted the staff's power over the  
37 patients. Both experienced the locked door as restrictive and  
38 compared it to a prison-like environment where patients may  
39 feel punished, instead of cared for. According to Muller et al.  
40 (2002), patients treated on a locked ward were less satisfied with  
41 regulations of going out than patients treated on an open ward.  
42 Patient treated on a locked ward also felt more passive and did  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 not show a significant improvement in their physical energy  
11 levels, compared to patients receiving open door treatment (Jin  
12 1994). Both staff and patients recognised the need for staff to be  
13  
14 in control, but they felt this control came at the expense of the  
15  
16 patients' freedom.  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23 Some staff and patients agreed that locked doors offered relief to  
24  
25 relatives and friends, while others indicated that locked doors  
26  
27 may make visitors feel unwelcome. Although patients discharge  
28  
29 from a locked ward were less satisfied with the visiting  
30  
31 opportunities from relatives and friends than those on an open  
32  
33 ward (Muller et al. 2002), there was no empirical evidence to  
34  
35 indicate how acceptable locking the door is to family and  
36  
37 friends.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Staff and patients blamed the locked door for creating extra  
46  
47 work for staff, because they have to open and lock the door  
48  
49 constantly. Other members of staff did not mind the opening and  
50  
51 locking of the door and saw it as a therapeutic opportunity to  
52  
53 have interaction with patients. They also believed locked doors  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 reduced the need for constant observation, the use of restraint  
11 and is a solution to staffing shortages. No other empirical study  
12 was found on the subject of door policy and staff workload.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 Some staff believed that locked doors created a more volatile  
20 work environment, because patients became upset, and staff as  
21 well as patients felt frustrated. Folkard (1960) reported an  
22 increase of aggressive incidents when doors were locked. In a  
23 more recent study, Jin (1994) indicated that patients treated on a  
24 locked ward did not experience a significant improvement in  
25 hostility-suspiciousness scores and overall had more severe  
26 symptoms, compared to those treated on an open ward. Some  
27 patients reported that they felt more depressed and anxious when  
28 treated in a locked ward; however Muller et al. (2002) reported  
29 no difference in mood ratings, and Jin (1994) no difference in  
30 depression and anxiety scores, between patients treated on a  
31 locked ward and those treated on an open ward.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 The small amount of literature available, the majority being  
53 descriptive, showed that there is a huge shortage of research into  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 the effects of locked doors in inpatient psychiatry, both  
11 nationally and internationally. Only six papers were recent  
12 (post-1999) contributions to the literature, opinions of staff were  
13 poorly represented, sample sizes were small and the majority of  
14 patients interviewed were females suffering from schizophrenia.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23 Studies using larger, well represented populations are urgently  
24 needed to provide a better understanding of the effects of  
25 different door policies on inpatient care. The actual impact of  
26 the door policy on absconds, substance misuse, suicides or  
27 patient safety should also be examined. The relative effects of an  
28 entirely locked ward, an entirely open ward, a ward that is  
29 sometime locked and a ward with an available PICU should be  
30 explored. Studies designs that would give a more global and in-  
31 depth observation of the effects of different door policies in  
32 psychiatric inpatient care would include quasi-experimental  
33 design studies, longitudinal studies or cross-sectional studies.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

## 51 **CONCLUSION**

52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

The small amount of literature on locked doors in inpatient psychiatry was inconclusive regarding the effects of locked doors. This lack of research could be the reason why increasingly more modern inpatient wards are permanently locked, although this is contrary to the current Mental Health Act Code of Practice (Department of Health and Welsh Office 2007). Before history repeats itself, it is crucial to undertake further empirical research to understand the real impact of locked doors. These results will help policy makers and managers decide if it is more beneficial to have permanently closed psychiatric wards or wards with permanently open doors to ensure optimal patient care.

#### LIMITATIONS

This review was severely limited by only including studies conducted in locked psychiatric inpatient wards or those comparing locked wards with open wards. A few of the included papers were very old and it is questionable if results could be generalised to the modern institutions of today.

## References

- Boardman, J. 2005, "Beyond the Water Towers - The unfinished revolution in mental health services," The Sainsbury Centre for Mental Health.
- Jones, K. 1960, *Mental Health and Social Policy, 1845-1959* London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Clarke, L. 1993, "The opening of doors in British mental hospitals in the 1950's", *History of Psychiatry*, vol. 4, pp. 527-551.
- Ryan, J. P. A. 1956, "The open door system in the mental hospital", *Journal of the Irish Medical Association*, vol. 39, no. 234, pp. 175-179.
- Sacks, M. H., Nininger, J. E., & La Torre, V. 1982, "Patient and Staff Attitudes Toward Locked and Unlocked Units", *Hospital & Community Psychiatry* pp. 152-153.
- Barham, P. 2004, *Forgotten Lunatics of the Great War* Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Cherry, S. 2003, *Mental health care in modern England*, The Boydell Press, Suffolk.
- Murphy, E. 1991, *After the Asylums - Community care for people with mental illness* Faber and Faber Ltd., London.
- Department of Health 2008, *Refocusing the Care Programme Approach: Policy and Positive Practice Guidance* London.
- Gostin, L. 1986, *Institutions observed : towards a new concept of secure provision in mental health* King Edward's Hospital Fund for London, London.
- Crowhurst, N. & Bowers, L. 2002, "Philosophy, care and treatment on the psychiatric intensive care unit: themes, trends and future practice", *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, vol. 9, pp. 689-695.
- Holloway, F., Carson, J., & Davis, S. 2002, "Rehabilitation in the United Kingdom: Research, policy, and practice", *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 628-634.
- Department of Health and Welsh Office 2007, *Code of Practice: Mental Health Act 2007* HMSO, London.
- Bowers, L., Alexander, J., Callaghan, P., Eales, S., Guy, S., McCann, E., & Ryan, C. 2002, "Safety and security

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 policies on psychiatric acute admission wards: results  
11 from a London-wide survey", *Journal of Psychiatric and*  
12 *Mental Health Nursing*, vol. 9, pp. 427-433.
- 13 Garcia, I., Kennett, C., Quraishi, M., & Durcan, G. 2005, *Acute*  
14 *Care 2004. A national survey of adult psychiatric wards*  
15 *in England* Sainsbury Centre for Mental Health, London.
- 16 Rittmannsberger, H., Sartorius, N., Brad, M., Burtea, V.,  
17 Capraru, N., Cernak, P., Dernovček, M., Dobrin, I.,  
18 Frater, R., Hasto, J., Hategan, M., Haushofer, M., Kafka,  
19 J., Kasper, S., Macrea, R., Nabelek, L., Nawka, P.,  
20 Novotny, V., Platz, T., Pojar, A., Silberbauer, C., Fekete,  
21 S., Wancata, J., Windhager, E., Zapotoczky, H.-G., &  
22 Zöchling, R. 2004, "Changing aspects of psychiatric  
23 inpatient treatment. A census investigation in five  
24 European countries", *European Psychiatry*, vol. 19, pp.  
25 483-488.
- 26 Ashmore, R. 2008, "Nurses' accounts of locked ward doors:  
27 ghost of the asylum or acute care in the 21st century?",  
28 *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, vol.  
29 15, pp. 175-185.
- 30 Adams, B. 2000, "Locked doors or sentinel nurses?",  
31 *Psychiatric Bulletin*, vol. 24, pp. 327-328.
- 32 Priede, S., Babesconyi, A., Fioritti, A., Hansson, L., Killian, R.,  
33 Torres- Gonzales, F., Turner, T., & Wiersman, D. 2005,  
34 "Reinstitutionalisation in mental health care: comparison  
35 of data on service provision from six European  
36 countries", *British Medical Journal*, vol. 330, pp. 123-  
37 126.
- 38 Quirk, A., Lelliot, P., & Seale, C. 2006, "The permeable  
39 institution: An ethnographic study of three acute  
40 psychiatric wards in London", *Social Science &*  
41 *Medicine*, vol. 63, pp. 205-2117.
- 42 Bowers, L., Simpson, A., Alexander, J., Hackney, D., Nijman,  
43 H., Grange, A., & Warren, J. 2005, "The Nature and  
44 Purpose of Acute Psychiatric Wards: The Tompkins  
45 Acute Ward Study", *Journal of mental Health*, vol. 14,  
46 no. 6, pp. 625-635.
- 47 Mental Health Act Commission 2008, *Risk, Rights, Recovery*.
- 48 Rae, M. Review of open door policy in acute inpatient wards - a  
49 discussion paper. Version 10.
- 50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 <http://www.nimhe.csip.org.uk/silo/files/reviewofopendoorsfinalapril20072doc.doc>

11 Ref Type: Electronic Citation

- 12 Dumont, M., Daniels, R. S., Margolis, F. M., Carson, R. C., &  
13 Ham, J. 1960, "Patients and staff reaction to a change in  
14 procedure on a psychiatric ward", *Disease of the*  
15 *Nervous System*, vol. 21, pp. 209-212.
- 16 Haglund, K., Van Der Meiden, E., Von Knorring, L., & Von  
17 Essen, L. 2007, "Psychiatric care behind locked doors. A  
18 study regarding the frequency of and the reasons for  
19 locked psychiatric wards in Sweden", *Journal of*  
20 *Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, vol. 14, pp. 49-  
21 54.
- 22 Haglund, K., Von-Knorring, L., & von-Essen, L. 2006,  
23 "Psychiatric wards with locked doors - advantages and  
24 disadvantages according to nurses and mental health  
25 nurse assistants", *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, vol. 15,  
26 pp. 387-394.
- 27 Haglund, K. & Von Essen, L. 2005, "Locked entrance doors at  
28 psychiatric wards - Advantages and disadvantages  
29 according to voluntarily admitted patients", *Nordic*  
30 *Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 511-515.
- 31 Ryan, J. H. 1962, "The therapeutic value of a closed ward",  
32 *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, vol. 134, pp.  
33 256-262.
- 34 Folkard, S. 1960, "Aggressive behaviour in relation to open  
35 wards in a mental hospital.", *Mental hygiene*, vol. 44, pp.  
36 155-161.
- 37 Muller, M. J., Schlosser, R., Kapp-Steen, G., Schanz, B., &  
38 Benkert, O. 2002, "Patients' satisfaction with psychiatric  
39 treatment: comparison between an open and a closed  
40 ward", *Psychiatric Quarterly*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 93-107.
- 41 Jin, Z. 1994, "Effect of an open-door policy combined with a  
42 structural activity programme on the residual symptoms  
43 of schizophrenic in-patients", *British Journal of*  
44 *Psychiatry*, vol. 165, no. 24, pp. 52-57.
- 45 Ashmore, R. 3 A.D., "Mental Health Nurses Perception of  
46 Locked Doors on 'Open' Acute Inpatient Wards in the  
47 UK", Tampere, Finland.
- 48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Scott, D. 1956, "Chronic mental patients' reactions to opening  
11 their ward", *American Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 113, p.  
12 366.
- 13 Wake, F. R. 1961, "Some observations on the "open door" in  
14 Canadian and other hospitals", *Canadian Psychiatric*  
15 *Association Journal*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 96-102.
- 16 Wisebord, N., Denber, C. H., Charatan, F. B., & Travis, J. H.  
17 1958, "Patient reactions to the "open door"", *American*  
18 *Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 115, no. 6, pp. 518-521.
- 19 Snow, H. B. 1958, "The open door hospital", *Canadian Journal*  
20 *of Public Health*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 363-369.
- 21 Koltes, J. A. 1956, "Mental hospitals with open doors",  
22 *American Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 113, pp. 250-253.
- 23 Antebi, R. 1967, "Some characteristics of mental hospital  
24 absconders", *British Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 113, pp.  
25 1087-1090.
- 26 Swindall, L. E. & Molnar, G. 1985, "Open doors and runaway  
27 patients: A management dilemma", *Perspectives in*  
28 *psychiatric nursing*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 146-149.
- 29 Deisenhammer, E., DeCol, C., Honeder, M., & Hinterhuber, H.  
30 2000, "In-patient suicide in psychiatric hospitals", *Acta*  
31 *Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, vol. 102, pp. 290-294.
- 32 Niskanen, P. 1974, "Suicide in Helsinki psychiatric hospitals  
33 1964-1972", *Pschiatra Fennica*, vol. 1974, pp. 275-280.
- 34 Phillips, P. & Johnson, S. 2003, "Drug and Alcohol Misuse  
35 Among In-Patients with Psychotic Illnesses in Three  
36 Inner-London Psychiatric Units", *Psychiatric Bulletin*,  
37 vol. 27, no. 217, p. 220.
- 38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1: Summary of review papers

Author	Country	Methodology	Sample	Setting
Adams, B. (2000)	UK	Audit of official records Focus group Questionnaire survey	6 patients (focus group) 23 discharged patients (survey)	58-bed acute psychiatric hospital.
Ashmore, R. (2008)	UK	Semi-structured interviews	11 qualified nurses	7 adult acute care wards across three NHS Trusts.
Folkard, S. (1960)	UK	Systematic record analysis	45 female patients	1 female disturbed ward.
Haglund, K. & Von Essen, L. (2005)	Sweden	Semi-structured interviews	20 voluntary admitted patients	7 Swedish psychiatric inpatient wards.
Haglund, K., et al. (2007)	Sweden	Cross-sectional questionnaire survey	193 ward managers	All psychiatric inpatient wards in Sweden (except forensic and private wards).
Haglund, K., et al. (2006)	Sweden	Semi-structured interviews	20 nurses and 20 mental health nurse assistants	7 Swedish psychiatric inpatient wards.
Ryan, J. H. (1962)	USA	Interviews	100 male patients	172-bed psychiatric wing of a Veterans hospital.
Sacks, M. H., et al. (1982)	USA	Questionnaire survey	85 members of staff and 65 patients	2 locked and 2 open units of a psychiatric clinic in a state hospital.
Dumont, M., et al. (1960)	USA	Official record analysis Observations Unstructured interviews Written opinions	Patients (interviews) Staff (written opinions)	19 bed unit (11 in closed section and 8 in open section separated by a locked steel door).
Jin, Z. (1994)	China	Single-blind randomised control trial	50 female patients suffering from schizophrenia	1 locked female ward in a community psychiatric hospital.
Muller, M.J. (2002)	Germany	Self-rating questionnaire	135 male and female patients	2 wards of a psychiatric university hospital.