Long-read metabarcoding of the eukaryotic rDNA operon to phylogenetically and taxonomically resolve environmental diversity

Running title: Long-read metabarcoding of protists

Mahwash Jamy[1], Rachel Foster[2], Pierre Barbera[3], Lucas Czech[3], Alexey Kozlov[3], Alexandros Stamatakis[3,4], Gary Bending[5], Sally Hilton[5], David Bass[2,6*], Fabien Burki[1,*]

[1]Science for Life Laboratory, Program in Systematic Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

[2]Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK

[3]Computational Molecular Evolution Group, Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies, Heidelberg, Germany

[4]Institute of Theoretical Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

[5]School of Life Sciences, The University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

[6]Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas), Weymouth, Dorset, UK

Corresponding authors:

fabien.burki@ebc.uu.se

d.bass@nhm.ac.uk

Abstract

High-throughput DNA metabarcoding of amplicon sizes below 500 bp has revolutionized the analysis of environmental microbial diversity. However, these short regions contain limited phylogenetic signal, which makes it impractical to use environmental DNA in full phylogenetic inferences. This lesser phylogenetic resolution of short amplicons may be overcome by new long-read sequencing technologies. To test this idea, we amplified soil DNA and used PacBio Circular Consensus Sequencing (CCS) to obtain a ~4500 bp region spanning most of the eukaryotic SSU (18S) and LSU (28S) ribosomal DNA genes. We first treated the CCS reads with a novel curation workflow, generating 650 high-quality OTUs containing the physically linked 18S and 28S regions. In order to assign taxonomy to these OTUs, we developed a phylogeny-aware approach based on the 18S region that showed greater accuracy and sensitivity than similarity-based methods. The taxonomically-annotated OTUs were then combined with available 18S and 28S reference sequences to infer a well-resolved phylogeny spanning all major groups of eukaryotes, allowing to accurately derive the evolutionary origin of environmental diversity. A total of 1019 sequences were included, of which a majority (58%) corresponded to the new long environmental OTUs. The long-reads also allowed to directly investigate the relationships among environmental sequences themselves, which represents a key advantage over the placement of short reads on a reference phylogeny. Altogether, our results show that long amplicons can be treated in a full phylogenetic framework to provide greater taxonomic resolution and a robust evolutionary perspective to environmental DNA.

Keywords: metabarcoding, taxonomy, phylogeny, protists, rDNA operon, PacBio

Introduction

Sequencing of environmental DNA (eDNA), here encompassing DNA contained in cells as well as cell-free DNA, is a popular approach to study the diversity and ecology of microbial eukaryotes, including small animals, fungi, and protists. eDNA has catalyzed the discoveries of novel lineages at all taxonomic ranks from abundant to rare taxa, and revealed that most, if not all, known groups of microbes are genetically much more diverse than anticipated (de Vargas et al., 2015; Heger et al., 2018; Massana et al., 2015; Pawlowski et al., 2012). For protists, recent global molecular surveys revealed that they can account for up to 80% of the total diversity of eukaryotes in the environments (de Vargas et al., 2015; Logares et al., 2014; Massana et al., 2015; Pawlowski et al., 2012). Initially, these molecular environmental studies relied on cloning the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene (18S rDNA) followed by Sanger sequencing, thereby generating reads of sufficient length to enable reasonably accurate phylogenetic interpretation of the results (Amaral Zettler et al., 2002; Bass & Cavalier-Smith, 2004; Dawson & Pace, 2002; Diez et al., 2001; Edgcomb, Kysela, Teske, de Vera Gomez, & Sogin, 2002; Lopez-Garcia, Philippe, Gail, & Moreira, 2003; López-García, Rodríguez-Valera, Pedrós-Alió, & Moreira, 2001; Massana, Balagué, Guillou, & Pedrós-Alió, 2004; Massana, Castresana, et al., 2004; Moon-Van Der Staay, De Wachter, & Vaulot, 2001; Stoeck & Epstein, 2003; Stoeck, Taylor, & Epstein, 2003). Today, however, the overwhelming majority of eDNA data corresponds to much shorter reads produced by Illumina, which routinely generates several millions of reads (e.g. Bates *et al.*, 2013; de Vargas *et al.*, 2015; Geisen, 2016). This enables sequencing a large fraction of the species present in an environment, even including extremely rare organisms (de Vargas et al., 2015; Logares et al., 2014). The drawback of this method is that only genetic regions limited to a few hundred nucleotides (typically <500) can be sequenced at a time, for example the hypervariable V4 or

V9 regions of the 18S rDNA or the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) (Mahé et al., 2015; Pawlowski et al., 2012; Stoeck et al., 2010).

Short amplicons contain relatively low phylogenetic signal (Dunthorn et al., 2014), which complicates taxonomic identification especially when environmental reads are only distantly related to reference sequences. To address the issue of low phylogenetic signal in high-throughput data, a range of tools has been developed to provide reasonable taxonomic identification of environmental OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Units). Given the mass number of reads available, the most straightforward approach is to use pairwise sequence similarity searches against reference databases (e.g. as done in de Vargas et al., 2015; Mahé et al., 2017). While fast, this approach is highly sensitive to the taxon sampling and annotation accuracy of the reference database. If a taxonomic group is absent or sequences are misannotated in the reference database, the corresponding queries will be only approximately annotated, remain unidentified, or worse, wrongly identified (Berger, Krompass, & Stamatakis, 2011). Recognizing the limitations of similarity-based methods, new tools have been developed that place short sequences into a phylogenetic context. The Evolutionary Placement Algorithm (EPA; implemented in RAxML, or more recently in EPA-ng) (Barbera et al., 2019; Berger et al., 2011) or pplacer (Matsen, Kodner, & Armbrust, 2010) are two such tools. They are becoming popular methods that use a reference tree of carefully selected (often long) sequences to successively score the optimal insertion position of every query sequence or OTU. These methods perform well, and have contributed to the discovery of novel eukaryotic lineages from environments where poor references exist (Bass et al., 2018; Mahé et al., 2017). However, the phylogenetic placement of short reads still requires the independent construction of a reference dataset, which by definition does not include the short reads themselves. Thus, methods like EPA rely on the availability of reference sequences

generally produced by the less efficient and more expensive Sanger sequencing, or on genome or transcriptome sequencing projects. Furthermore, references are often based on cell cultures, which are available only for a small fraction of the diversity.

To better exploit the phylogenetic signal of the rDNA operon in environmental metabarcoding studies, newer long-read sequencing technologies such as the Pacific Biosciences platform (PacBio) hold great promise. PacBio has lower throughput and higher error rates than Illumina but can produce reads that are over 20kb long at a fraction of the cost of Sanger sequencing. In the last two years, PacBio sequencing has started to be applied to metabarcoding studies, primarily on prokaryotic 16S rDNA (Mosher et al., 2014; Schloss, Jenior, Koumpouras, Westcott, & Highlander, 2016; Wagner et al., 2016) and most recently on larger amplicons also including the 23S rDNA (Martijn et al., 2017). For eukaryotes, the 18S rDNA was nearly fully sequenced for targeted microbial groups (Orr et al., 2018), whilst longer regions also spanning the ITS and the 28S gene were used to analyze fungal diversity (Heeger et al., 2018; Tedersoo & Anslan, 2019; Tedersoo, Tooming-Klunderud, & Anslan, 2018). These studies showed that in spite of the high error rates of PacBio, when applying a corrective process based on multiple sequence passes (Circular Consensus Sequences - CCS) together with rigorous quality filtering, long-amplicon sequencing is emerging as a robust approach for studying environmental diversity.

Here, we used soil eDNA samples to generate broad eukaryote amplicons of about 4500 bp spanning the 18S rDNA, ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2, and the 28S rDNA regions. We used PacBio-CCS to sequence these long-amplicons and applied several filtering steps to retain only high-quality sequences. We then followed a full phylogenetic workflow to accurately annotate long-sequences with taxonomy even in the absence of close references. These annotated sequences were combined with available references to infer a well-resolved global

eukaryotic phylogeny from a concatenated 18S-28S alignment. Altogether, this study represents an important step forward to use the full power of phylogenetics to derive the accurate evolutionary origins of known and novel lineages present in the environment, as well as expanding rDNA sequence databases for metabarcoding of eukaryotes.

Materials and Methods:

All new scripts listed below are available on Github (https://github.com/Pbdas/long-reads)

Environmental samples and DNA extraction

We used three environmental soil samples for this study: (1) soil from Tibet, China, collected in summer 2011 from alpine meadows and coniferous forests; (2) rape seed rhizosphere samples from Newbald, Nuneaton, York and Morden in the UK, collected in March 2015; and (3) pooled set-aside agricultural soils from Wellesbourne, UK, collected in September 2010 as described in in (Gosling, van der Gast, & Bending, 2017). Rhizosphere samples were collected as follows: loosely adhering soil was removed from the roots leaving no more than 2 mm rhizosphere soil. Roots were washed sequentially in 4 x 25 ml sterile distilled water to release the rhizosphere soil which was then centrifuged and the excess water drained to leave a pellet of rhizosphere soil. All soil samples were extracted using PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories) following manufacturer's instructions with the following modifications: (1) rhizosphere soil samples were homogenized in the TissueLyser II (Qiagen) at 20 Hz for 2 x 10 minutes with a 180º rotation of the plates in-between; (2) set-aside

144 agricultural soils were processed using a Precellys 24 homogenizer (Bertin Technologies) for
145 the initial mechanical lysis step.
146
147 **PCR and PacBio sequencing**
148 We used two sets of eukaryotic universal primers to amplify a region covering the 18S, ITS1,
149 5.8S, ITS2, and 28S (Table 1). One 18S internal forward primer, 3NDf (which anneals to the
150 conserved region adjoining the 5' end of the V4 region, *E. coli* position 505) was used in
151 conjunction with two 28S internal reverse primers 21R (*E. coli* position 1926) and 22R (*E.*
152 *coli* position 1952) to amplify a ca. 4500 bp region. The forward and reverse primers are
153 described in (Cavalier-Smith *et al.*, 2009) and (Schwelm, Berney, Dixelius, Bass, &
154 Neuhauser, 2016), respectively and were chosen to maximize the eukaryotic diversity
155 obtained. Taxon coverage of the primers was checked *in silico* using SILVA TestProbe 3.0
156 (Quast et al., 2013): primers 3NDf, 21R and 22R matched against 91.5%, 88.1% and 87.2%
157 of all eukaryotic sequences in SILVA release 132, respectively. For each sample, two PCRs
158 were carried out (one for each combination of forward and reverse primers), and the PCR
159 products were subsequently pooled.
160 PCRs were carried out using the Takara PrimeSTAR GXL high fidelity DNA
161 polymerase, selected for its capacity to amplify long fragments, in 25 µl reactions with 10-20
162 ng of template DNA. The following cycling conditions were used: denaturation at 98 °C for
163 10 s, primer annealing at 60 °C for 15 s, and extension at 68 °C for 90s. A final extension
164 time of 60 s was used after 30 cycles. This protocol corresponds to the rapid PCR protocol of
165 Takara GXL where extension time was shortened by adding twice as much polymerase. PCR
166 products were purified by polyethylene glycol and ethanol precipitation and were pooled and
167 concentrated using Amicon 0.5ml 50K columns (Merck, Germany). Amplicon sizes were

checked using TapeStation (Agilent Technologies) before SMRTbell library preparations. Three SMRT cells (one per soil sample) on the PacBio Sequel instrument with v2 chemistry were used for sequencing. Additionally, one RSII SMRT cell was used to sequence a constructed sample with known diversity (see below). Sequencing and library preparation were carried out at Uppsala Genome Center, Science for Life Laboratory, SE-75237 Uppsala.

Sequencing of a known community

To validate our curation pipeline and to assess error rates, we constructed a small community of three fungal samples: two unidentified isolates of Agaricomycetes species (BOR77 and BOR79) as well as the species *Phaeosphaeria luctuosa*. We amplified the 18S gene using two sets of primers (Table 1): AU2 and AU4 for BOR77 and BOR79 (Vandenkoornhuyse, Baldauf, Leyval, Straczek, & Young, 2002), and 3NDf and 1510R (Amaral-Zettler, McCliment, Ducklow, & Huse, 2009) for *Phaeosphaeria*. All PCRs were conducted in 20 µl final volumes with 1 µl of template DNA and a final concentration of 0.5 µM of each primer, 0.4 mM dNTPs, 2.5 mM of $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mg bovine serum albumin (BSA), 1x Promega Green Buffer and 0.5 U of Promega GoTaq. Amplicons were sequenced with Sanger sequencing to obtain reference sequences against which the PacBio sequences could be compared. To assess error rate, curated PacBio sequences were searched against the 18S reference sequences with VSEARCH v2.3.4 (Rognes *et al.*, 2016) using the --usearch_global option with the following settings: --id 0.9 --strand both --maxaccepts 0 --top_hits_only --fulldp --userfields query+target+id+alnlen+mism+gaps. The error rate was calculated as (mismatches + indels)/length of alignment.

Sequence curation and clustering pipeline

To address PacBio's high error rate, we used a stringent sequence curation pipeline (Fig 1A; Supp. Fig 1A). Circular Consensus Sequences (CCS) were generated from raw reads by SMRT Link v4.0.0.190159 using a minimum number of two passes and Minimum Predicted Accuracy of 0.99 with all other settings set to default. The latter was shown in (Schloss *et al.*, 2016) to be the most important factor in decreasing error rate. At this stage, we pooled sequences from the three samples, resulting in one fastq file. A fasta file was generated using the fastq.info command (pacbio=T) in mothur v1.39.5 (Schloss et al., 2009). Sequences at this step of the pipeline still include non-specific PCR amplicons, PCR artifacts such as chimeras and some sequencing errors such as long homopolymer runs. These were filtered out using the trim.seqs command in mothur using the following settings: minlength=2500, maxlength=6000 (to discard non-specific and incomplete PCR amplicons), maxhomop=6 (to stringently discard sequences with a homopolymer run of more 6 nucleotides), and qwindowsize=50 and qwindowaverage=30 (to trim the few sequences with a stretch of low quality sequence). The remaining non-specific PCR amplicons were filtered out by using Barrnap v0.7 (--reject 0.4 --kingdom euk) (https://github.com/tseemann/barrnap), which predicts the presence and location of 18S and 28S genes in the sequences. Reads with unexpected structure (more than one 18S, 28S, 5.8S) or incomplete/non-specific reads (missing 18S and/or 28S) were discarded. An in-house perl script was used to identify sequences represented by reverse strand (using the Barrnap output) and subsequently reverse complement them so that all sequences are in the same direction.

The sequences were then denoised by pre-clustering as described in Martijn et al., (2019) in order to curate the remaining sequencing errors that are randomly distributed. Briefly, sequences were clustered at 99% similarity using VSEARCH v2.3.4 (Rognes, Flouri, Nichols, Quince, & Mahé, 2016) (--cluster_fast --id 0.99). For each resulting pre-cluster with

three or more reads, we aligned the reads with mafft v7.271 (--auto) (Katoh & Standley, 2013) and generated a majority-rule consensus sequence using the consensus.seqs (cutoff=51) option in mothur. Gaps were removed to yield final consensus sequences.

The denoised sequences as well as sequences from pre-clusters of size one and two were subjected to *de novo* chimera detection using Uchime (Edgar, Haas, Clemente, Quince, & Knight, 2011) (as implemented in mothur) (chunks=40, abskew=1; abundance of the denoised sequences was taken as the number of sequences in their respective pre-clusters). Our PCR primers amplified a few archaea ribosomal genes, and these were filtered out by removing sequences with BLAST hits (Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990) to prokaryotic sequences in the SILVA SSU Ref NR 99 database v132 (Quast et al., 2013). Finally, we used in-house perl scripts to extract the 18S and 28S sequences from the cleaned reads, and aligned them with mafft-auto v7.271 (Katoh & Standley, 2013). Poorly aligned sequences were removed after manual inspection.

We used the canonical 97% similarity threshold for 18S to cluster sequences into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) using an average-linkage hierarchical clustering method. This was done by first generating a distance matrix using the dist.seqs (cutoff=0.2) command in mothur and then clustering sequences using the cluster command. We used the get.oturep command (label=0.03, method=distance) in mothur to obtain as representative sequence of each OTU the sequence with the smallest distance to all other sequences in the cluster, and extracted the same sequences from the 28S sequence set as OTU representatives. From the total set of 1154 OTUs, we discarded all singletons to be conservative, and obtained a final set of 650 OTUs (hereon referred to as queries).

Taxonomic annotation

240

241 Several datasets were constructed for phylogeny-aware taxonomic annotation and accuracy
242 assessment. These are summarized in Table 2 and described below.
243

244 *Phylogeny-aware annotation*: The 18S gene alone was used for taxonomic annotation as the
245 reference database for this gene is much more comprehensive than its 28S counterpart. The
246 basis for this pipeline is an 18S rDNA tree constructed with both labelled references and the
247 (yet unlabeled) queries. Known reference sequences (RS) were obtained from SILVA SSU
248 Ref NR 99 release 132 (Quast et al., 2013). The RS set comprised two subsets: (1) 504 RS
249 representative of global eukaryotic diversity—these were derived from the 512 taxa dataset
250 used in Mahe et al. 2017; and (2) two to five nearest neighbors of each query in the SILVA
251 database. To obtain these, each query sequence was aligned (mafft --auto) with the top 50
252 BLAST hits against high quality (pintail > 0) eukaryotic SILVA SSU sequences, and pairwise
253 ML distances were computed in RAxML (option -f x) (Stamatakis, 2014) under the
254 GTR+GAMMA model of substitution (Yang, 1994). RS with the lowest pairwise ML
255 distances with the query were selected as the nearest neighbors, resulting in 1157 RS after
256 removing duplicates. Combining the two subsets resulted in a total of 1661 RS, which
257 covered all major eukaryotic groups and, when available, included sequences closely related
258 to queries. The final dataset thus comprised the 650 queries plus the 1661 RS (2311
259 sequences in total; Table 2). These 2311 sequences were aligned with mafft (--retree 2 –
260 maxiterate 1000) and trimmed with trimal (-gt 0.3 -st 0.001), resulting in a multiple sequence
261 alignment (referred to as MSA) with 1589 alignment sites. The best unconstrained maximum
262 likelihood (ML) tree was selected from 20 tree searches run using RAxML-NG (v. 0.6.0)
263 (Kozlov, Darriba, Flouri, Morel, & Stamatakis, 2018). We assumed that the SILVA taxonomy

is correct and consistent with the exception of a few cases—preliminary tree searches detected several potentially mislabeled RS, which were relabeled after careful inspection.

Based on this tree, a consensus taxonomy was derived using a combination of two strategies (Fig 1B). Strategy 1: Use a custom program written with the Genesis library (Czech, Barbera, & Stamatakis, 2019) to propagate the taxonomy of the closest related reference to each query. Specifically, the program propagates the taxonomic annotation up the tree (where one exists), solving conflicts at inner nodes by taking the intersection of the taxonomic annotation (i.e. lowest common ancestor). Once complete, it propagates that information down to the non-labeled taxa (queries). Strategy 2: Queries were first removed from the tree before being placed back one at a time using EPA-ng (v0.2.1-beta; Barbera et al., 2019). The location and likelihood weights of the placements are then used to compute the taxonomic assignment and the confidence associated with each taxonomic rank as in SATIVA (Kozlov *et al.*, 2016). This last step is implemented in the gappa tool "assign" (Czech et al., 2019) (https://github.com/lczech/gappa). Finally, the consensus taxonomy for all queries was produced by a perl script that calculates the intersection of taxonomic paths from strategies 1 and 2 (when the SATIVA-derived confidence score for a rank is 0.51 or above). Taxonomic annotations assigned to each query were propagated to their 28S counterparts as they are physically linked on the same molecule.

Comparison with short reads

We evaluated the effect of query sequence length by running the taxonomic annotation pipeline with short Illumina reads that were generated *in silico*. We focused on the V4 region (~ 500 bp) of the SSU gene, which is commonly used in barcoding studies, for example in Mahé *et al.*, 2017. This dataset (MSA-V4) was derived from the original MSA by using the

V4 flanking primers (Table 1), TAReuk454FWD1 and TAReukREV3 (Stoeck *et al.*, 2010), to trim only the query sequences (median length ~ 340 nucleotides), leaving the rest of the MSA untouched (Table 2). After running the taxonomic annotation pipeline, we performed the following analyses:

(1) The accuracy of placement is crucial for correct taxonomic annotation and we compared that for the long and short queries using two metrics. (i) LWR (likelihood weight ratio) of the most probable placement for each query—this is computed as the ratio of the likelihood of the tree with the query at branch x to the sum over the likelihoods of all other possible placements (Matsen et al., 2010). (ii) EDPL (Expected Distance between Placement Locations) shows how far the placements are spread across the tree. It is computed as the sum of the distances between placements along the branches of the tree, weighted by their probability (LWR) (Matsen et al., 2010).

(2) We conducted pairwise comparisons of the taxonomic assignments and the confidence for taxonomic ranks given to each query based on MSA and MSA-V4.

Comparison with sequence similarity-based methods of taxonomic assignment

To assess how our method compares with similarity-based methods, we initially constructed a reference database consisting of high quality (pintail > 0) eukaryotic sequences in SILVA SSU Ref NR99 release 132 and the 504 RS derived from (Mahé *et al.*, 2017). RS were trimmed with the forward primer 3NDf and, both queries and RS were trimmed with the reverse primer 1510R to ensure that they spanned the same region. Queries were searched against this reference set using the global pairwise alignment strategy (--usearch_global option) in VSEARCH v2.3.4 (Rognes et al., 2016) with the following settings: --notrunclabels --userfields query+id1+target --maxaccepts 0 –maxrejects 32 --top_hits_only --output_no_hits

--id 0.5 --iddef 1. Sequences were taxonomically assigned based on the top hit, and in case of multiple top hits, the common ancestor of the hits was computed. For each query, the percentage similarity to the closest reference sequence was recorded and the taxonomic classification to deep-branching lineages was compared to that of the phylogeny-based method.

Phylogenetic analyses

The information on the different alignments used for phylogenetic reconstruction can be found in Table 2.

18S+28S global phylogeny

To phylogenetically resolve the biodiversity in our soil samples, we constructed a phylogeny using a concatenated 18S + 28S dataset. For each of the two genes, queries were aligned with their respective reference sequences using mafft v7.271 (--retree 2 --maxiterate 1000). Alignments were filtered with trimal (-gt 0.3 -st 0.001) and a perl script (https://github.com/iirisarri/phylogm/blob/master/concat_fasta.pl) was used to concatenate the SSU and LSU alignments. The phylogeny was inferred with RAxML v8.2.10 as offered on the Cipres web server (Miller, Pfeiffer, & Schwartz, 2010), with 20 tree searches under the GTR+GAMMA model of substitution and 300 non-parametric bootstrap replicates. The construction of the reference dataset is described below.

Reference sequences were included only when we could easily verify that the 18S and 28S genes originated from the same species or organism. These reference sequences were derived from several public databases, as follows: (i) Searched NCBI nt using the following

search filters: ((ribosomal RNA) AND 4000:9000[Sequence Length]) AND Eukaryota[Organism]. (ii) BLASTed whole queries (18S, ITS, 28S) against nt and retained sequences with a minimum HSP of 2500 bp and 80% similarity. (iii) Obtained all 18S and 28S sequences from SILVA release 132 possessing the same accession number in the SSU Ref NR 99 and LSU Ref databases. (iv) Included the 108 taxa dataset used in an article studying the eukaryote tree with 18S+28S genes (Moreira et al., 2007). And lastly (v) used barrnap to search all "protist" genomes available in Ensembl Release 92 (Zerbino et al., 2018). This resulted in 3479 taxa after removing duplicates, from which we manually selected sequences, in a best effort, to assemble the most representative dataset possible. Initial tree building attempts placed certain cercozoan and apicomplexan lineages aberrantly among Excavata and Amoebozoa due to long branch attraction. To mitigate this effect, we sorted taxa by branch length (as in Heiss *et al.*, 2018) and removed the longest 118 (10.4 %) branches from subsequent analyses. The final dataset contained 589 queries and 430 reference sequences (1019 total) with 4304 alignment sites (Table 2).

Apicomplexa phylogenies

To investigate the effect of query length on resolving environmental diversity in more detail, we constructed additional phylogenies of the fast-evolving group Apicomplexa (Table 2). Reference sequences were obtained by downloading 40 GenBank 18S accessions of which 28 accessions had 28S sequences also available. We constructed concatenated and full-length 18S genes trees by aligning the references and queries separately using mafft v7.271 (--linsi) and trimming alignments with trimal (-gt 0.3 -st 0.001). Trees were inferred with RAxML, using the substitution model GTR+GAMMA from 20 searches and 100 bootstrap runs. Finally, we constructed an 18S tree from reference sequences alone on which queries

shortened to the V4 region (trimmed with universal eukaryotic primers TAReuk454FWD1 and TAReukREV3; Stoeck et al., 2010), were placed with EPA-ng v0.3.5.

Results

Sequence curation

A total of 113,362 long rDNA Circular Consensus Sequences (CCS), all containing two or more passes, were generated with PacBio Sequel. These CCS reads were filtered by a series of stringent quality controls including the removal of non-specific amplicons and prokaryotic sequences, as well as chimera detection (Fig 1A; Supp. Fig 1A). At the end of the curation pipeline, the amplicons had on average 9.95 CCS passes (stdev=2.9) (Supp. Fig 1B). The mean error rate was estimated to be 0.17% based on comparisons between CCS reads curated by our pipeline and known Sanger sequences of the same species of fungi (see materials and methods). OTUs were generated using a 97% similarity threshold based on the 18S region only, leading to 650 high-quality clusters after removing singletons. These OTUs ranged in length from 2501 to 5956 bp (Supp. Fig 1C). Most OTUs contained less than 10 reads, but some were much larger and likely represented the most abundant organisms in the samples; the largest OTU (6416 sequences) corresponded to *Brassica napus*, the main crop species cultivated in one of the samples, while the second largest OTU (1322 sequences) belonged to the gregarines (Apicomplexa), a group of parasites of various invertebrates that has been shown to be particularly abundant in some soil environments (Mahé *et al.*, 2017).

Phylogeny-aware taxonomic annotation

In order to annotate the environmental queries with taxonomy, we developed a phylogeny-aware approach that takes advantage of the increased sequence length (Fig 1B). We used only the 18S part of the queries since the taxon sampling of 18S reference sequences is considerably denser than that of 28S sequences. The taxonomic assignments were based on an 18S tree (Supp. Fig 2) inferred from the 650 queries together with 1661 full-length references from the SILVA SSU database (i.e., a total of 2311 taxa; Table 2). A consensus taxonomy was then derived from two strategies (Fig 1B; Materials and Methods).

Using this approach, we could confidently assign a majority of queries (627/650, or 96.5%) to deep-branching eukaryotic lineages (Supp. Fig 3), including queries with similarity to references below 80%. The remaining 23 queries that were not assigned to any of the recognized major lineages were all highly-divergent; of these, 18 could be classified with confidence only to higher-rank assemblages that roughly correspond to the so-called supergroups—the most inclusive established groups of eukaryotes (Burki, Roger, Brown & Simpson, in press). For the remaining five queries, two were ambiguous even at the level of supergroups, thus possibly representing novel deeply-branching lineages and/or sparsely sampled taxonomic groups in the reference database, whilst three proved to be chimeras that had escaped automated filtering. Interestingly, our method also performed well for low-rank taxa, since 226 queries could be reliably annotated down to the genus and species levels (Supp. Table 1).

We further investigated the performance of our method by comparing it to a commonly used similarity-based taxonomic annotation tool (VSEARCH, Rognes *et al.*, 2016), which revealed several discrepancies. As expected, the most divergent sequences (i.e. <80% similar to known references) showed the highest level of conflicts in taxonomic assignment (Fig 2); 43.7% of these divergent sequences (21/48 queries) were assigned to

different deep-branching eukaryotic lineages, and even to different supergroups in four cases. These conflicting assignments became less pronounced for more similar sequences (i.e., between 80 and 90% similarity), where we observed only 9 (1.6%) conflicts, while there was no conflict for queries >90% similar to a reference (Fig 2).

To explore the conflicts between the different approaches in more detail, we focused on the 10 most abundant lineages in our data (Fig 3A). For each lineage, taxonomic assignments by VSEARCH was used as a reference and compared to the assignment derived from our phylogeny-aware method, both using the full-length sequence or the V4 region. Several differences were observed: false negatives, i.e. sequences assigned to the lineage by phylogeny but not by similarity; false positives, i.e. sequences assigned to the lineage by similarity but not by phylogeny; and higher-rank assignments (but not conflicting), i.e. sequences assigned by phylogeny only to a more inclusive rank in the same taxonomic path. The amount and type of differences were to a large extent group-specific. Three groups contained no conflicting taxonomy (Ciliophora, Phytomyxea and Tubulinea), only a small number of higher-rank assignments by V4 phylogenetic assignment. All other groups, however, showed some levels of conflicts. Apicomplexa and Zoopagomycota displayed the highest number of false negatives, with both phylogeny-based approaches classifying more queries to these groups than VSEARCH (42.5% and 100% more queries respectively, blue bars in Fig 3A). False positives were relatively more abundant in Colpodellida, where ~40% of queries assigned to this group by VSEARCH was assigned to a different group by one or the other phylogenetic method (pink bars in Fig 3A).

We found that a key difference between similarity and phylogeny is that the latter approach is much more flexible in the level of taxonomic resolution without requiring subjective decisions. For instance, ~23% of the queries with <90% similarity to known

sequences were conservatively classified to higher taxonomic ranks by our approach compared to VSEARCH (Fig 2; Supp. Fig 4). A comparison of the lowest rank assignments by all three methods illustrates this behavior (Fig 3B). The similarity method always classified queries to the same predetermined rank, here corresponding to one of the 10 most abundant lineages in our data. In sharp contrast, both phylogenetic methods displayed a broader range of taxonomy, from higher to lower ranks (sometimes to species-level), depending on the confidence in the assignment. Interestingly, the added information from the longer sequences (long versus V4) translated into increased taxonomic resolution, i.e. more assignments towards lower ranks (for example Phytomyxea in Fig 3B; Supp. Fig 5). Furthermore, in the absence of closely-related references, our method can correctly propose no specific annotation. A good test for this case was for the recently suggested supergroup-level lineage Hemimastigophora was a good test case (Lax et al., 2018). One query with 85% sequence similarity to Streptophyta in SILVA was labelled as an "unidentified eukaryote" by our method, whilst it was logically annotated as a land plant by VSEARCH. When using GenBank instead, this query revealed to be 98% similar to a newly added hemimastigote sequence, thus not a land plant but indeed no specific grouping in the absence of that sequence.

Combined 18S-28S rDNA phylogeny of environmental DNA

The availability of long queries allows, in principle, to better resolve the origin of environmental sequences due to increased phylogenetic signal. We assembled a concatenated 18S-28S dataset including the annotated queries and reference sequences mined from various public databases. The references were selected such that it could be verified that both the 18S and 28S rDNA sequences originated from the same species (see material and method). We

included representatives of all major eukaryotic lineages where possible. In addition, preliminary tree searches were used to identify long-branching taxa which were removed in downstream analyses to reduce potential long branch attraction artifacts. This yielded a final dataset of 1019 taxa, of which a majority (589 taxa = 58%) represented new environmental queries. Importantly, because the 18S sequences are physically linked to their 28S counterpart on the CCS reads, the taxonomic annotation inferred with our phylogeny-aware method could be transferred to the combined 18S-28S reads. This provided a diverse set of taxonomically annotated environmental queries in otherwise sparsely populated reference sequences (Fig 4).

Figure 4 shows a Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the 1019 taxa dataset. The phylogenetic relationships were in general agreement with previous phylogenies based on the 18S and 28S (Moreira et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2012), even recovering several well-established supergroups that were first proposed based on substantially larger concatenated protein datasets such as Sar (including the subclades Stramenopila, Alveolata, and Rhizaria) or Opisthokonta (including the subclade Holomycota and Holozoa) (Baldauf, Roger, Wenk-Siefert, & Doolittle, 2000; Burki et al., 2007). Overall, more than half of the newly sequenced diversity (345 queries; 53% of all queries) corresponded to microbial taxa other than fungi or animals (Fig 4). Members of Alveolata and Rhizaria accounted for nearly 70% of these protist queries—the most dominant lineages in decreasing number of queries were Ciliophora, Apicomplexa, Cercomonadida, Phytomyxea, Glissomonadida, and Vampyrellida. The remaining sequenced diversity was dominated by fungal lineages, accounting for 203 queries (31% of all queries) that equally represented dikarya (Ascomycota and Basidiomycota) and the so-called early-diverging fungi (EDF). Of these EDF, Cryptomycota and Chytridiomycota were particularly diverse. The remaining 16% of the queries corresponded to various animal lineages as well as land plants.

480

481 **Comparison to 18S-only and V4-based phylogenetic classification of environmental**

482 **DNA**

483 The combined 18S-28S tree described above (Fig 4) provides a new solution for

484 obtaining a taxonomically annotated and well-resolved phylogenetic framework from high-

485 throughput environmental sequencing. To assess to which extent the added information of the

486 28S gene improved the phylogenetic resolution, we first compared the combined tree to the

487 18S-only tree constructed for the taxonomic assignment. Interestingly, both trees were largely

488 in agreement, suggesting that the ~1000bp-fragment sequenced for the 18S gene combined

489 with the substantially denser reference sampling available for this gene provided sufficient

490 phylogenetic signal to recover many groupings. However, the combined tree received

491 generally higher bootstrap support values: 54.3% of the bipartitions (552/1016) received ≥

492 75% bootstrap support in the combined tree compared to 43.1% bipartitions (994/2308) in the

493 18S tree. The combined tree also supported (bootstrap > 75%) more specific phylogenetic

494 position for a few queries that were taxonomically annotated only to high-rank taxa based on

495 the 18S tree. For example, two queries labelled as Opisthokonta could be assigned more

496 precisely to Aphelidea and as sister to nucleariid in the combined 18S-28S tree, respectively;

497 or one deep branching eukaryote in the 18S tree in fact corresponded to a long branch within

498 Ascomycota in the combined tree.

499 To investigate the benefits of long reads for phylogeny-based resolution of

500 environmental diversity in more detail, we constructed three additional datasets with varying

501 sequence lengths focusing on the Apicomplexa (Table 2). The sequence lengths corresponded

502 to i) the combined 18S-28S alignment ii) the full-length 18S-only alignment, and iii) an

503 alignment of full-length 18S reference sequences but with query sequences shortened to the

V4 region. The taxon-sampling across the three datasets was identical to facilitate comparison, containing 67 queries and 40 reference sequences. The inspection of the combined and the 18S trees (Supp. Figs 6-7) revealed no major discrepancies and placed all 56 queries among gregarines. As with the full eukaryotic tree, the bootstrap values were globally higher in the combined tree but many relationships remained unsupported. However, we observed several exceptions where the increased resolution of the combined tree allowed for better interpretation. Most importantly, the monophyly of Apicomplexa was statistically supported in the combined tree (83%) whereas it was unsupported in the 18S tree (23%). The same was observed for the monophyly of other established groupings, such as the haematozoa (79% vs. 55% in the combined and 18S trees, respectively) and haematozoa + coccidians (92% vs. 47% in the combined and 18S tree respectively). Furthermore, the combined tree (Supp. Fig 6) resolved the eugregarine superfamily, Actinocephaloidea, into two separate clades with moderate to strong support (99% and 75%, respectively), while they did not form separate clades in the 18S tree as previously noted based on this gene only. The comparison with the phylogenetic placement of the V4 query sequences revealed that EPA-ng successfully placed all apicomplexan queries among gregarines with the exception of one query, which was placed close to *Plasmodium* instead. However, the reference-only 18S tree had a different topology than the full 18S tree, presumably because the short queries were not used for inferring the latter tree (Supp. Fig 7-8). Furthermore, a close inspection showed that three queries were probably misplaced by EPA-ng on the branch leading to the cephaloidophorids (parasites of marine invertebrates; Supp. Fig 8) when instead they formed a robust monophyletic clade putatively representing novel lineages on both the 18S and combined trees (Supp. Fig 6 and 7).

528

529 **Discussion**

530 In this study, we broadly sequenced the near-complete eukaryotic rDNA operon from
531 environmental soil samples, using PacBio sequencing. To our knowledge this is the first long
532 amplicon environmental sequencing study that uses a full phylogenetic approach to assess the
533 diversity of all eukaryotes. To reduce the inherently high error rate of PacBio, we combined
534 the Circular Consensus Sequencing (CCS) approach with a series of stringent filtering steps
535 and clustering. The final error rate of the CCS reads has a mean of 0.17%, which is
536 comparable with the error rate of Illumina (0.21%; Schirmer, D'Amore, Ijaz, Hall, & Quince,
537 2016), or in other PacBio-based studies (Schloss et al., 2016; Tedersoo et al., 2018; Wagner et
538 al., 2016). Even though the curating pipeline discarded the majority of CCS reads, many of
539 which might still be of high quality, the 650 OTUs that passed all filtering steps comprised a
540 large and broad diversity of eukaryotes. Almost all major microbial lineages were sampled,
541 from known abundant taxa in soils such as Ciliophora, Cercozoa, Apicomplexa, and fungi, to
542 rarer lineages in soil such as the mainly aquatic Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) and Chlorophyta
543 (green algae) (Bahram et al., 2018; Foissner & W., 1987; Stefan Geisen et al., 2018, 2015;
544 Stephen Geisen, Cornelia, Jörg, & Michael, 2014; Mahé et al., 2017). A few main protist
545 groups lacked new OTUs altogether, including Cryptista, Retaria, Rhodophyceae, and
546 Glaucophyta, but these are almost exclusively aquatic and thus less likely to be recovered
547 among soil sequences even if present in the environment at very low abundance (de Vargas et
548 al., 2015; Stefan Geisen et al., 2018; Lallias et al., 2015). However, not all major groups
549 typically widespread in soils were recovered with a correspondingly high sequence diversity.
550 This was for example the case for Amoebozoa, Excavata, or Centrohelida, whose low

diversity might be at least partially explained by primer bias (see materials and methods), and/or by the ecological conditions represented by the samples.

The availability of longer environmental sequences opens up the possibility to phylogenetically resolve environmental diversity with improved accuracy. Previous studies employing both the 18S and 28S genes recovered many relationships within and between major eukaryotic groups with greater resolution than that afforded by the 18S alone (Moreira et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2012). The use of both genes was proposed to more robustly derive the origin of environmental sequences, particularly in the case of fast-evolving taxa, but this was based on Sanger sequencing of clone libraries (Marande, López-García, & Moreira, 2009). Near full-length 18S amplicons and even longer fragments including parts of the 28S have also recently been sequenced with PacBio for group-specific investigations, demonstrating that long-read high-throughput sequencing is a promising complement to Illumina for investigating the environmental diversity of eukaryotes (Heeger et al., 2018; Orr et al., 2018). Here, we extended the approach to ~4500bp of the rDNA operon across the whole phylogenetic diversity of eukaryotes. We built a combined 18S-28S tree of eukaryotes that is globally well-resolved and can serve as a robust phylogenetic framework to describe the environmental diversity in samples (Fig 4). Comparisons to the 18S region alone of the queries (~1200 bp) provided a similar overall topology to the combined tree, but with lower overall resolution (Supp. Fig 2). Furthermore, some key groups in the apicomplexan phylogeny were either missing or not supported by the 18S-only tree, a pattern that was also recovered by previous analyses (Simdyanov et al., 2017, 2018). Altogether, our phylogenetic comparisons revealed that the 18S and 28S together provide increased resolution compared to the 18S alone, but the differences between single and two-gene trees vary across groups.

In order to assign taxonomy to the long environmental reads, we applied a novel phylogeny-aware approach that enables deriving robust annotation even in the absence of closely related references. Most commonly, taxonomic annotation is conducted by similarity comparison to reference databases (e.g. in de Vargas *et al.*, 2015; Mahé *et al.*, 2017). Similarity works well when closely related references *are* available, however it requires the use of arbitrary similarity cutoffs without biological grounding below which sequences are considered of unknown origins (Bahram et al., 2018; Stoeck et al., 2010). To enable the use of phylogenetics with short environmental reads, methods such as the Evolutionary Placement Algorithm (EPA) have been recently developed and successfully applied to microbial diversity (Bass et al., 2018; Mahé et al., 2017). Whilst the need for similarity cutoffs is alleviated, the EPA still requires longer reference sequences to build a stable evolutionary framework and thus does not fully overcome the limitations of short read sequencing when references are lacking. Whilst our method relies partly on the EPA, it makes explicit use of environmental sequences to build a reference tree and computes a confidence score for each taxonomic rank. We show that it provides accurate taxonomic annotation with ranks corresponding to the phylogenetic position of queries in the reference tree—higher ranks correspond to deeper branches in the phylogeny—and that it performs better than similarity-based methods for divergent sequences (≤90% similarity). Comparison with the classical use of EPA with V4 reads revealed that whilst the overall annotations were similar , our approach utilizing long queries led to higher confidence scores. It was also more informative than placing short reads on a reference phylogeny, because the long queries directly contributed to the phylogenetic inference by filling gaps between references. Thus, the relationships between the queries themselves can be determined to reveal whether they cluster around known sequences or form entirely new clades.

One of the main benefits of our approach is that it provides both the 18S and 28S genes for the *same amplicon*. The 18S gene has long been the reference molecular marker for environmental studies of protist diversity (de Vargas et al., 2015; Diez et al., 2001; López-García et al., 2001; Massana, Balagué, et al., 2004; Massana et al., 2015; Moon-Van Der Staay et al., 2001). With this approach, each 18S sequence should be paired with its 28S counterpart (or ITS). As a result, we rapidly generated a massive increase of 28S sequence diversity for which the attached 18S provides a direct link to the much larger availability of 18S sequences contained in databases such as SILVA, PR2, or GenBank. As a point of comparison, the new sequences produced in this study alone represented the majority (58%) of all broad eukaryote diversity for which we could gather reference sequences for both genes. At lower taxonomic ranks, the increase in sequence diversity can be even more significant. For example, we found a total of only nine species of gregarines (Apicomplexa) that have both 18S and 28S genes in public databases. Here, we obtained 56 new gregarine OTUs, corresponding to a 6-fold increase in diversity for this group. Thus, we suggest that the newly generated long environmental sequences can be used in future studies as taxonomically-annotated "anchor" sequences to fill phylogenetic gaps in addition to the more traditional Sanger reference sequences.

In conclusion, we demonstrate several advantages of using high-throughput long sequence metabarcoding for environmental studies of microbial eukaryote diversity. With longer reads comes improved phylogenetic signal, and we show that it is possible to employ a full phylogenetic approach to taxonomically classify sequences and obtain a robust evolutionary framework of environmental diversity. This approach can be adapted for use with other emerging long-read technologies, e.g. Nanopore sequencing, and may prove particularly powerful in combination with even higher-throughput sequencing technologies

such as Illumina. Indeed, it will then be possible to map shorter but more abundant reads on a much more comprehensive reference phylogeny obtained from the same environments. The importance of eDNA studies continually grows in fields as varied as conservation biology, evolutionary biology and ecology. Long metabarcoding of the eukaryotic rDNA operon will undoubtedly play an increasingly important role in the close future.

Acknowledgements. We thank Stefan Geisen and Junling Zhang for providing soil samples from Tibet. We further thank Thijs J.G. Ettema and Joran Martijn for their suggestions while developing the read curation pipeline, and Vasily Zlatogursky for providing isolates for the mock community. This work was supported by a grant from Science for Life Laboratory available to FB, which covered salary of MJ, and experimental expenses. The work of DB and RF was supported by the Standard Research Grant (NE/H009426/1), UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) under contract FC1214. The work of PB, LC and AK were financially supported by the Klaus Tschira Foundation. The authors would like to acknowledge support of the Uppsala Genome Center for providing assistance in massive parallel sequencing. Work performed at Uppsala Genome Center has been funded by VR and Science for Life Laboratory, Sweden.

References

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *215*(3), 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

Amaral-Zettler, L. A., McCliment, E. A., Ducklow, H. W., & Huse, S. M. (2009). A method for studying protistan diversity using massively parallel sequencing of V9 hypervariable

regions of small-subunit ribosomal RNA Genes. *PLoS ONE*, *4*(7), e6372. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006372

Amaral Zettler, L. A., Gómez, F., Zettler, E., Keenan, B. G., Amils, R., & Sogin, M. L. (2002). Eukaryotic diversity in Spain's River of Fire. *Nature*, *417*(6885), 137–137. doi: 10.1038/417137a

Bahram, M., Hildebrand, F., Forslund, S. K., Anderson, J. L., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Bodegom, P. M., … Bork, P. (2018). Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. *Nature*, *560*(7717), 233–237. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0386-6

Baldauf, S. L., Roger, A. J., Wenk-Siefert, I., & Doolittle, W. F. (2000). A kingdom-level phylogeny of eukaryotes based on combined protein data. *Science*, *290*(5493), 972–977. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5423.2124

Barbera, P., Kozlov, A. M., Czech, L., Morel, B., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., & Stamatakis, A. (2019). EPA-ng: massively parallel evolutionary placement of genetic sequences. *Systematic Biology*, *68*(2), 365–369. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syy054

Bass, D., & Cavalier-Smith, T. (2004). Phylum-specific environmental DNA analysis reveals remarkably high global biodiversity of Cercozoa (Protozoa). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *54*(6), 2393–2404. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63229-0

Bass, D., Czech, L., Williams, B. A. P., Berney, C., Dunthorn, M., Mahé, F., … Williams, T. A. (2018). Clarifying the Relationships between Microsporidia and Cryptomycota. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, *65*(6), 773–782. doi: 10.1111/jeu.12519

Bates, S. T., Clemente, J. C., Flores, G. E., Walters, W. A., Parfrey, L. W., Knight, R., & Fierer, N. (2013). Global biogeography of highly diverse protistan communities in soil. *The ISME Journal*, *7*(3), 652–659. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.147

Berger, S. A., Krompass, D., & Stamatakis, A. (2011). Performance, Accuracy, and Web Server for Evolutionary Placement of Short Sequence Reads under Maximum Likelihood. *Systematic Biology*, *60*(3), 291–302. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syr010

Burki, F., Shalchian-Tabrizi, K., Minge, M., Skjæveland, Å., Nikolaev, S. I., Jakobsen, K. S., & Pawlowski, J. (2007). Phylogenomics reshuffles the eukaryotic supergroups. *PLoS ONE*, *2*(8), e790. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000790

Czech, L., Barbera, P., & Stamatakis, A. (2019). Genesis and Gappa: Processing, Analyzing and Visualizing Phylogenetic (Placement) Data. *BioRxiv*, 647958. doi: 10.1101/647958

Dawson, S. C., & Pace, N. R. (2002). Novel kingdom-level eukaryotic diversity in anoxic environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *99*(12), 8324–8329. doi: 10.1073/pnas.062169599

de Vargas, C., Audic, S., Henry, N., Decelle, J., Mahé, F., Logares, R., … Romac, S. (2015). Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. *Science*, *348*(6237), 1261605. doi: 10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2

Diez, B., Pedros-Alio, C., Massana, R., Díez, B., Pedrós-Alió, C., & Massana, R. (2001). Study of genetic diversity of eukaryotic picoplankton in different oceanic regions by small-subunit rRNA gene cloning and sequencing. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *67*(7), 2932–2941. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.7.2932-2941.2001

Dunthorn, M., Otto, J., Berger, S. A., Stamatakis, A., Mahé, F., Romac, S., … Stoeck, T. (2014). Placing Environmental Next-Generation Sequencing Amplicons from Microbial Eukaryotes into a Phylogenetic Context. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(4), 993–1009. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msu055

Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., & Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(16), 2194–2200. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381

Edgcomb, V. P., Kysela, D. T., Teske, A., de Vera Gomez, A., & Sogin, M. L. (2002). Benthic eukaryotic diversity in the Guaymas Basin hydrothermal vent environment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *99*(11), 7658–7662. doi: 10.1073/pnas.062186399

Foissner, & W. (1987). Soil Protozoa : fundamental problems, ecological significance. adaptations in ciliates and tetaceans, bioindicators. and guide to the literature. *Prog. Protistol.*, *2*, 69–212. Retrieved from https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10019334967/

Geisen, Stefan. (2016). Thorough high-throughput sequencing analyses unravels huge diversities of soil parasitic protists. *Environmental Microbiology*, *18*(6), 1669–1672. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13309

Geisen, Stefan, Mitchell, E. A. D., Adl, S., Bonkowski, M., Dunthorn, M., Ekelund, F., … Lara, E. (2018). Soil protists: A fertile frontier in soil biology research. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuy006

Geisen, Stefan, Tveit, A. T., Clark, I. M., Richter, A., Svenning, M. M., Bonkowski, M., & Urich, T. (2015). Metatranscriptomic census of active protists in soils. *The ISME Journal*, *9*(10), 2178–2190. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.30

Geisen, Stephen, Cornelia, B., Jörg, R., & Michael, B. (2014). Soil water availability strongly alters the community composition of soil protists. *Pedobiologia*, *57*(4–6), 205–213. doi: 10.1016/j.pedobi.2014.10.001

Gosling, P., van der Gast, C., & Bending, G. D. (2017). Converting highly productive arable cropland in Europe to grassland: –a poor candidate for carbon sequestration. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 10493. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-11083-6

Heeger, F., Bourne, E. C., Baschien, C., Yurkov, A., Bunk, B., Spröer, C., … Monaghan, M. T. (2018). Long-read DNA metabarcoding of ribosomal RNA in the analysis of fungi from aquatic environments. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *18*(6), 1500–1514. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12937

Heger, T. J., Giesbrecht, I. J. W., Gustavsen, J., del Campo, J., Kellogg, C. T. E., Hoffman, K. M., … Keeling, P. J. (2018). High-throughput environmental sequencing reveals high diversity of litter and moss associated protist communities along a gradient of drainage and tree productivity. *Environmental Microbiology*, *20*(3), 1185–1203. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14061

Heiss, A. A., Kolisko, M., Ekelund, F., Brown, M. W., Roger, A. J., & Simpson, A. G. B. B. (2018). Combined morphological and phylogenomic re-examination of malawimonads, a critical taxon for inferring the evolutionary history of eukaryotes. *Royal Society Open Science*, *5*(4), 171707. doi: 10.1098/rsos.171707

Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *30*(4), 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010

Kozlov, A. M., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., Morel, B., & Stamatakis, A. (2018). RAxML-NG : A fast , scalable , and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. *BioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/447110

Lallias, D., Hiddink, J. G., Fonseca, V. G., Gaspar, J. M., Sung, W., Neill, S. P., … Creer, S. (2015). Environmental metabarcoding reveals heterogeneous drivers of microbial eukaryote diversity in contrasting estuarine ecosystems. *The ISME Journal*, *9*(5), 1208–1221. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.213

Lax, G., Eglit, Y., Eme, L., Bertrand, E. M., Roger, A. J., & Simpson, A. G. B. (2018). Hemimastigophora is a novel supra-kingdom-level lineage of eukaryotes. *Nature*, *564*(7736), 410–414. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0708-8

Logares, R., Audic, S., Bass, D., Bittner, L., Boutte, C., Christen, R., … Massana, R. (2014). Patterns of rare and abundant marine microbial eukaryotes. *Current Biology*, *24*(8), 813–821. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.02.050

Lopez-Garcia, P., Philippe, H., Gail, F., & Moreira, D. (2003). Autochthonous eukaryotic diversity in hydrothermal sediment and experimental microcolonizers at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *100*(2), 697–702. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0235779100

López-García, P., Rodríguez-Valera, F., Pedrós-Alió, C., & Moreira, D. (2001). Unexpected diversity of small eukaryotes in deep-sea Antarctic plankton. *Nature*, *409*(6820), 603–

607. doi: 10.1038/35054537
Mahé, F., de Vargas, C., Bass, D., Czech, L., Stamatakis, A., Lara, E., … Dunthorn, M. (2017). Parasites dominate hyperdiverse soil protist communities in Neotropical rainforests. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *1*(4), 0091. doi: 10.1038/s41559-017-0091
Mahé, F., Mayor, J., Bunge, J., Chi, J., Siemensmeyer, T., Stoeck, T., … Dunthorn, M. (2015). Comparing high-throughput platforms for sequencing the V4 region of SSU-rDNA in environmental microbial eukaryotic diversity surveys. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, *62*(3), 338–345. doi: 10.1111/jeu.12187
Marande, W., López-García, P., & Moreira, D. (2009). Eukaryotic diversity and phylogeny using small- and large-subunit ribosomal RNA genes from environmental samples. *Environmental Microbiology*, *11*(12), 3179–3188. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02023.x
Martijn, J., Lind, A. E., Schön, M. E., Spiertz, I., Juzokaite, L., Bunikis, I., … Ettema, T. J. G. (2019). Confident phylogenetic identification of uncultured prokaryotes through long read amplicon sequencing of the 16S-ITS-23S rRNA operon. *Environmental Microbiology*, 1462-2920.14636. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14636
Martijn, J., Lind, A. E., Spiers, I., Juzokaite, L., Bunikis, I., Pettersson, O. V., & Ettema, T. J. . (2017). Amplicon sequencing of the 16S-ITS-23S rRNA operon with long-read technology for improved phylogenetic classification of uncultured prokaryotes. *BioRxiv*, 234690. doi: 10.1101/234690
Massana, R., Balagué, V., Guillou, L., & Pedrós-Alió, C. (2004). Picoeukaryotic diversity in an oligotrophic coastal site studied by molecular and culturing approaches. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *50*(3), 231–243. doi: 10.1016/j.femsec.2004.07.001
Massana, R., Castresana, J., Balague, V., Guillou, L., Romari, K., Groisillier, A., … Pedrós-Alió, C. (2004). Phylogenetic and ecological analysis of novel marine stramenopiles. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *70*(6), 3528–3534. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.6.3528-3534.2004
Massana, R., Gobet, A., Audic, S., Bass, D., Bittner, L., Boutte, C., … de Vargas, C. (2015). Marine protist diversity in European coastal waters and sediments as revealed by high-throughput sequencing. *Environmental Microbiology*, *17*(10), 4035–4049. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12955
Matsen, F. A., Kodner, R. B., & Armbrust, E. V. (2010). pplacer: linear time maximum-likelihood and Bayesian phylogenetic placement of sequences onto a fixed reference tree. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *11*(1), 538. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-538
Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W., & Schwartz, T. (2010). Creating the CIPRES Science gateway. *Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE)*, 1–7. Retrieved from http://www.phylo.org/sub_sections/portal/sc2010_paper.pdf
Moon-Van Der Staay, S. Y., De Wachter, R., & Vaulot, D. (2001). Oceanic 18S rDNA sequences from picoplankton reveal unsuspected eukaryotic diversity. *Nature*. doi: 10.1038/35054541
Moreira, D., von der Heyden, S., Bass, D., López-García, P., Chao, E., & Cavalier-Smith, T. (2007). Global eukaryote phylogeny: Combined small- and large-subunit ribosomal DNA trees support monophyly of Rhizaria, Retaria and Excavata. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *44*(1), 255–266. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.11.001
Mosher, J. J., Bowman, B., Bernberg, E. L., Shevchenko, O., Kan, J., Korlach, J., … Kaplan, L. A. (2014). Improved performance of the PacBio SMRT technology for 16S rDNA sequencing. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, *104*, 59–60. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2014.06.012
Orr, R. J. S., Zhao, S., Klaveness, D., Yabuki, A., Ikeda, K., Watanabe, M. M., & Shalchian-Tabrizi, K. (2018). Enigmatic Diphyllatea eukaryotes: culturing and targeted PacBio RS amplicon sequencing reveals a higher order taxonomic diversity and global distribution. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *18*(1), 115. doi: 10.1186/s12862-018-1224-z
Pawlowski, J., Audic, S., Adl, S., Bass, D., Belbahri, L., Berney, C., … de Vargas, C. (2012).

CBOL Protist Working Group: barcoding eukaryotic richness beyond the animal, plant, and fungal kingdoms. *PLoS Biology*, *10*(11), e1001419. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001419

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., … Glöckner, F. O. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(D1), D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219

Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2584. doi: 10.7717/peerj.2584

Schirmer, M., D'Amore, R., Ijaz, U. Z., Hall, N., & Quince, C. (2016). Illumina error profiles: resolving fine-scale variation in metagenomic sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *17*(1), 125. doi: 10.1186/s12859-016-0976-y

Schloss, P. D., Jenior, M. L., Koumpouras, C. C., Westcott, S. L., & Highlander, S. K. (2016). Sequencing 16S rRNA gene fragments using the PacBio SMRT DNA sequencing system. *PeerJ*, *4*, e1869. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1869

Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., … Weber, C. F. (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *75*(23), 7537–7541. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01541-09

Schwelm, A., Berney, C., Dixelius, C., Bass, D., & Neuhauser, S. (2016). The large subunit rDNA sequence of Plasmodiophora brassicae does not contain intra-species polymorphism. *Protist*, *167*(6), 544–554. doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2016.08.008

Simdyanov, T. G., Guillou, L., Diakin, A. Y., Mikhailov, K. V., Schrével, J., & Aleoshin, V. V. (2017). A new view on the morphology and phylogeny of eugregarines suggested by the evidence from the gregarine *Ancora sagittata* (Leuckart, 1860) Labbé, 1899 (Apicomplexa: Eugregarinida). *PeerJ*, *5*, e3354. doi: 10.7717/peerj.3354

Simdyanov, T. G., Paskerova, G. G., Valigurová, A., Diakin, A., Kováčiková, M., Schrével, J., … Aleoshin, V. V. (2018). First Ultrastructural and Molecular Phylogenetic Evidence from the Blastogregarines, an Early Branching Lineage of Plesiomorphic Apicomplexa. *Protist*, *169*(5), 697–726. doi: 10.1016/J.PROTIS.2018.04.006

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(9), 1312–1313. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Stoeck, T., Bass, D., Nebel, M., Christen, R., Jones, M. D. M., Breiner, H. W., & Richards, T. A. (2010). Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing reveals a highly complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(s1), 21–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04480.x

Stoeck, T., & Epstein, S. (2003). Novel Eukaryotic Lineages Inferred from Small-Subunit rRNA Analyses of Oxygen-Depleted Marine Environments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *69*(5), 2657–2663. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.5.2657-2663.2003

Stoeck, T., Taylor, G. T., & Epstein, S. S. (2003). Novel eukaryotes from the permanently anoxic Cariaco Basin (Caribbean Sea). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *69*(9), 5656–5663. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.9.5656-5663.2003

Tedersoo, L., & Anslan, S. (2019). Towards PacBio-based pan-eukaryote metabarcoding using full-length ITS sequences. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12776

Tedersoo, L., Tooming-Klunderud, A., & Anslan, S. (2018). PacBio metabarcoding of Fungi and other eukaryotes: errors, biases and perspectives. *New Phytologist*, *217*(3), 1370–1385. doi: 10.1111/nph.14776

Vandenkoornhuyse, P., Baldauf, S. L., Leyval, C., Straczek, J., & Young, J. P. W. (2002). Extensive fungal diversity in plant roots. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *295*(5562), 2051. doi: 10.1126/science.295.5562.2051

Wagner, J., Coupland, P., Browne, H. P., Lawley, T. D., Francis, S. C., & Parkhill, J. (2016).

Evaluation of PacBio sequencing for full- length bacterial 16S rRNA gene classification. *BMC Microbiology*, *16*(1), 274. doi: 10.1186/s12866-016-0891-4

Yang, Z. (1994). Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: Approximate methods. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, *39*(3), 306–314. doi: 10.1007/BF00160154

Zerbino, D. R., Achuthan, P., Akanni, W., Amode, M. R., Barrell, D., Bhai, J., … Flicek, P. (2018). Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *46*(D1), D754–D761. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1098

Zhao, S., Burki, F., Brate, J., Keeling, P. J., Klaveness, D., & Shalchian-Tabrizi, K. (2012). Collodictyon--an ancient lineage in the tree of eukaryotes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *29*(6), 1557–1568. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mss001

Data accessibility.

Raw PacBio Sequel reads have been submitted to the ENA database under accession number PRJEB25197. Detailed software commands and custom scripts used in the read curation pipeline are available on GitHub (https://github.com/Pbdas/long-reads).

Author Contributions.

FB and DB conceived the study. GB and SH provided soil samples from the UK and performed DNA extraction. RF and DB performed the wet lab experiments. MJ performed and/or coordinated most of the bioinformatic analyses, in close connection with PB, LC, AK, and AS. FB, DB, and MJ wrote the first complete draft of the manuscript, and all authors subsequently contributed to the final version.