

Long-term Follow-up Care for Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer Survivors

Melissa M. Hudson, MD,^a Smita Bhatia, MD, MPH,^bJacqueline Casillas, MD, MSHS,^c and Wendy Landier, PhD, RN, CPNP,^b SECTION ON HEMATOLOGY/ONCOLOGY, CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF PEDIATRIC HEMATOLOGY/ONCOLOGY

Progress in therapy has made survival into adulthood a reality for most children, adolescents, and young adults with a cancer diagnosis today. Notably, this growing population remains vulnerable to a variety of long-term therapy-related sequelae. Systematic ongoing follow-up of these patients is, therefore, important to provide for early detection of and intervention for potentially serious late-onset complications. In addition, health counseling and promotion of healthy lifestyles are important aspects of long-term follow-up care to promote risk reduction for physical and emotional health problems that commonly present during adulthood. Both general and subspecialty health care providers are playing an increasingly important role in the ongoing care of childhood cancer survivors, beyond the routine preventive care, health supervision, and anticipatory guidance provided to all patients. This report is based on the guidelines that have been developed by the Children's Oncology Group to facilitate comprehensive long-term follow-up of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors (www.survivorshipguidelines.org).

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Cancer is diagnosed in approximately 20 000 children and 80 000 adolescents and young adults annually in the United States. Before 1970, almost all children, adolescents, and young adults with cancer died of their primary disease. However, rapid improvements in multimodal treatment regimens (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, surgery, and immunotherapy), coupled with aggressive supportive-care regimens, have resulted in survival rates that continue to increase. The current estimated 5-year overall survival rate for childhood, adolescent, and young adult malignancies exceeds 80%, which translates into increasing numbers of long-term survivors, now estimated to approach 500 000 in the United States, who may seek ongoing care from

abstract



^aDepartment of Oncology, St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee; ^bDivision of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; and ^aDepartment of Pediatrics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California

All authors contributed to the concept and design, interpretation of data, and drafting of the manuscript; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.

This document is copyrighted and is property of the American Academy of Pediatrics and its Board of Directors. All authors have filed conflict of interest statements with the American Academy of Pediatrics. Any conflicts have been resolved through a process approved by the Board of Directors. The American Academy of Pediatrics has neither solicited nor accepted any commercial involvement in the development of the content of this publication.

Clinical reports from the American Academy of Pediatrics benefit from expertise and resources of liaisons and internal (AAP) and external reviewers. However, clinical reports from the American Academy of Pediatrics may not reflect the views of the liaisons or the organizations or government agencies that they represent.

The guidance in this report does not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

All clinical reports from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-053127

Address correspondence to Melissa M. Hudson, MD. E-mail: melissa. hudson@stjude.org

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

To cite: Hudson M M, Bhatia S, Casillas J, et al. Long-term Follow-up Care for Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer Survivors. *Pediatrics*. 2021;148(3):e2021053127

community primary and subspecialty providers.3 The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study, the largest and most extensively characterized cohort of 5-year survivors of childhood cancer in North America, reported that survivors receive most of their care from primary care providers.4 Furthermore, the proportion of survivors reporting survivor-focused care that includes regular risk-based surveillance and prevention strategies related to their previous cancer and its treatment decreases with increasing time from cancer diagnosis. Thus, primary care providers (pediatricians, family practitioners, internists, practitioners trained in internal medicine and pediatrics, and advanced practice providers) are likely to have an increasingly vital role in caring for this rapidly growing population.

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Cancer and its treatment may result in a variety of physical and psychosocial effects that predispose long-term survivors to excess morbidity and early mortality when compared with the general population.⁵⁻¹⁰ Virtually every organ system can be affected by the chemotherapy, radiation, surgery, and/or immunotherapy required to achieve a cure. Late complications of treatment may include problems with organ function, growth and development, neurocognitive function and academic achievement, and the potential for additional cancers. Cancer and its treatment also have psychosocial consequences that may adversely affect family and/or peer relationships, educational attainment (both formal and practical knowledge gained from real-world experience), vocational and employment opportunities, and insurance and health care access. In addition, survivors may experience troubling

body image changes or suffer from chronic symptoms (eg, fatigue, dyssomnia, pain) that adversely affect emotional health and quality of life. A young person's and a family's lives are forever changed when touched by the cancer experience, and it is critical to provide rehabilitation services to survivors who highly value good health and unrestricted performance status. Equally important is reaching out to young adult survivors who may be separated from their families and face more challenges in adhering to healthy lifestyles and accessing health care services.

Late effects after childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer are common. Two of every 3 childhood cancer survivors will develop at least 1 late-onset therapy-related complication; in 1 of every 4 cases, the complication will be severe or life-threatening.^{6,11} Among clinically ascertained cohorts, the prevalence of late effects is higher because of the subclinical and undiagnosed conditions detected by screening and surveillance measures.8 Childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors, therefore, require ongoing comprehensive long-term follow-up care to optimize long-term outcomes by successfully monitoring for and treating the late effects that may occur as a result of previous cancer therapies, as well as anticipatory guidance and health promotion efforts addressing primary and secondary prevention of chronic disease. Access to care and services that address health risks predisposed by cancer and its treatment can optimize achievement of independent living, employment, and insurance access, which is particularly important for a population at risk for multimorbidity.

Because health risks associated with cancer are unique to the age at

treatment and specific therapeutic modality, it is important that followup evaluations and health screening be individualized on the basis of treatment history. To facilitate comprehensive and systematic follow-up of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors, the Children's Oncology Group (COG) organized exposure-based health screening guidelines. This clinical report presents pediatricians and other health care professionals with guidance for providing highquality long-term follow-up care and health supervision for survivors of pediatric, adolescent, and young adult malignancies by incorporating long-term follow-up guidelines developed by the COG into their practice¹² and by maintaining ongoing interaction with oncology subspecialists to facilitate communication regarding any changes in follow-up recommendations specific to the cancer survivors under their care.

METHODS: DEVELOPMENT OF LONG-TERM FOLLOW-UP GUIDELINES

The COG is a cooperative clinical trials group supported by the National Cancer Institute with more than 200 member institutions. In January 2002, at the request of the Institute of Medicine (now the National Academy of Medicine), a multidisciplinary panel within the COG initiated the process of developing comprehensive riskbased, exposure-related recommendations for screening and management of late treatmentrelated complications potentially resulting from therapy for childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. The resulting comprehensive resource, the Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers (COG LTFU Guidelines), 12 is designed to raise awareness of the risk of late

treatment-related sequelae to facilitate early identification and intervention for these complications, standardize follow-up care, improve quality of life, and provide guidance to health care professionals, including pediatricians, who supervise the ongoing care of young cancer survivors.

The COG LTFU Guidelines are designed for use in asymptomatic childhood, adolescent, and young adult survivors presenting for routine health maintenance at least 2 years after completion of cancerdirected therapy (eg, surgery, chemotherapy, radiation, immunotherapy), whether the survivor is receiving care in a pediatric cancer center, a specialized adolescent-young adult program, an adult-focused oncology program, a long-term follow-up program, or community primary care practice. The guidelines are not designed for primary cancer-related surveillance, which is an important component of survivorship care that generally continues under the guidance of the treating oncologist throughout the period when the patient remains at highest risk of relapse but may ultimately be transferred to community primary care providers (pediatricians, family physicians, internists, practitioners trained in internal medicine and pediatrics, and advanced practice providers). This period of risk varies depending on diagnosis and is generally highest in the first few years, with the risk decreasing significantly as time from diagnosis lengthens.

COG LTFU Guidelines Methodology

The methodology used in developing these guidelines has been described elsewhere. ¹² Briefly, evidence for development of the *COG LTFU Guidelines* was collected by conducting a complete search of the medical literature for the previous 20 years via Medline. A panel of

experts in the late effects of childhood and adolescent cancer treatment then reviewed and scored the guidelines using a modified version of the National **Comprehensive Cancer Network** Categories of Consensus system. Task forces within the COG monitor the literature on an ongoing basis and provide recommendations for guideline revision as new information becomes available. These task forces include general pediatricians and other primary care providers to incorporate a primary care perspective and facilitate effective dissemination of these guidelines into the real-world setting.

The COG LTFU Guidelines are updated on an every-5-year cycle to ensure that recommendations reflect currently available evidence published in peer-reviewed journals. Multidisciplinary system-based task forces (>160 COG members) are responsible for monitoring the late effects literature, performing systematic searches, summarizing and evaluating the evidence, and presenting recommendations for guideline revisions to a multidisciplinary panel of late effects experts. Task force activities involve senior leaders who mentor early career physicians and other health care professionals in acquiring the leadership and methodologic skills to sustain guideline activities as a task force chair or member. A formal training program has been developed that includes a series of webinars (available live and recorded and archived on COG Web site) and oneon-one mentorship activities with COG LTFU Guideline task force chairs and leadership.

COG LTFU Guidelines Version 5.0

The COG LTFU Guidelines is an online resource (available at www. survivorshipguidelines.org). The COG

LTFU Guidelines Version 5.0 features 165 sections of risk-based exposure-related clinical practice guidelines for screening and management of late effects resulting from treatment of pediatric malignancies related to any cancer experience, blood product transfusion, specific chemotherapeutic agents, radiation exposures to targeted tissues and/or organs, hematopoietic cell transplant (as well as transplant with chronic graft-versus-host disease), specific surgical procedures, and adult-onset cancer screening for standard and high-risk groups. Version 5.0 features key changes, including guideline recommendations and content based on new research related to thresholds and risk factors for cardiovascular toxicity after treatment with anthracycline chemotherapy and chest radiation, prevalence data regarding pregnancy-associated cardiomyopathy, prevalence data related to occurrence of multiple hormonal deficiencies among survivors treated with cranial irradiation, and improved risk estimates about the contribution of radiation dose and treatment volume to risk of developing subsequent breast and colorectal carcinomas. In addition, previous radiation threshold doses linked to specific screening recommendations have been removed for all but 5 sections because organ dosimetry is often not available to guide implementation of screening. This approach provides uniform screening recommendations for survivors with target organs receiving radiation exposure at any dose, which the expert panel agreed was reasonable considering that the screening recommendations focus primarily on history and physical examination, with only limited recommendation for laboratory or other diagnostic evaluations. Finally, the guideline format has been substantially simplified to provide clinical users with concise presentation of specific therapeutic exposures,

potential late effects, screening recommendations, and relevant counseling and educational resources for the provider and survivors. Each guideline section features a brief summary of patient characteristics (eg, age, sex, preexisting or comorbid conditions, behavioral, etc) that have been reported to modify the risk of specific late effects and cancer- and treatment-related factors important for consideration in the delivery of personalized survivor-focused care, 13 clarifying information about the potential late effect or surveillance recommendations and representative references. The simplified guideline content is also featured in the Passport for Care, a Web-based resource that facilitates the generation of a personalized surveillance plan based on the COG LTFU Guidelines available at https://cancersurvivor. passportforcare.org/.14

This revised clinical report, "Longterm Follow-up Care for Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer Survivors," has been updated to enhance awareness among health care providers about the content and scope of this comprehensive resource and to offer time-efficient methods of using the large amount of valuable information in the COG LTFU Guidelines to streamline the provision of care for these survivors. Table 1 provides a summary of selected treatment exposures and associated late effects by organ system as outlined in the COG LTFU Guidelines. Figure 1 provides an example of an exposure-based recommendation from the COG LTFU Guidelines. Full details about 155 cancer treatment-related potential biomedical and psychosocial late effects, surveillance recommendations, patient educational materials, and other resources and Web sites pertinent to the specific health risks are

available at www. survivorshipguidelines.org.

CLINICAL APPLICATION OF COG LTFU GUIDELINES

Malignancies presenting in childhood, adolescence, and young adulthood encompass a spectrum of diverse histologic subtypes that have been managed with heterogeneous and evolving treatment approaches. Over the last 20 years, treatment protocols for localized and biologically favorable presentations of cancers have been modified substantially to reduce the risk of therapy-related complications. Conversely, therapy has been intensified for many advanced and biologically unfavorable cancers to optimize disease control and long-term survival. Thus, not all childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors have similar risks of late treatment effects, including those with the same diagnosis. Importantly, cancer treatment strategies continue to evolve as a result of discoveries in cancer biology and therapeutics as well as improved understanding about late effects.

Evaluating a Survivor's Risk of Late Effects

In general, the risk of late effects is directly proportional to the intensity of therapy required to achieve and maintain disease control. Longer treatment with higher cumulative doses of chemotherapy and radiation, multimodal therapy, and relapse therapy increases the risk of late treatment effects. Specifically, the risk of late effects is related to the type and intensity of cancer therapy (eg, surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and hematopoietic stem cell transplant) and the patient's age at the time of treatment. Chemotherapy most often results in acute effects, some of which may persist and cause

problems as the survivor ages. Many radiation-related effects on growth and development, organ function, and carcinogenesis may not manifest until many years after cancer treatment. The young child is especially at risk for delayed treatment toxicity, affecting linear growth, skeletal maturation, intellectual function, sexual development, and organ function. It is important that health care professionals who provide care across a continuum of developmental periods also recognize that childhood cancer survivors face unique vulnerabilities related to their age at diagnosis and treatment. Table 2 provides examples of clinical and treatment factors that influence the risk of specific late effects after treatment of a common childhood (acute lymphoblastic leukemia) and adolescent-young adult (osteosarcoma) cancer. The diversity and potential interplay of factors contributing to cancer-related morbidity are further illustrated in the case presentations summarized in Table 3.

Using the *COG LTFU Guidelines* to Plan Survivorship Care

Risk-based care involving a systematic plan for lifelong screening, surveillance, and prevention that incorporates risks on the basis of previous cancer, cancer therapy, genetic predispositions, lifestyle behaviors, and comorbid health conditions is recommended for all survivors. 13 Information critical to the coordination of risk-based care includes the date of cancer diagnosis, cancer histology, organs and/or tissues affected by cancer, and specific treatment modalities (such as surgical procedures, chemotherapeutic agents, and radiation treatment fields and doses) and history of bone marrow or stem cell transplant and blood

TABLE 1 Potential Late Effects of Selected Therapeutic Interventions for Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer by Organ

Age of the color of			Therapeutic Exposures		
All fields Corricosteroids, All fields Corricosteroids, All fields All fields Amputation, limb sparing Abdomen, pelvis, spine Surgery Addomen, pelvis, spine Amputation, limb sparing Amputat	Organ	Chemotherapy	Radiotherapy Field(s)	Surgery	Potential Late Effect
Corricosteroids, methorizate and/or soft tissues and/or soft tissues — Abdomen, pelvis, spine — Abdomen, pelvis, spine — Abdomen, pelvis, spine (Jumbs, sacral, whole) Institution Methorizate of curry, pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) surgery administration or IV body Coparation Methorizate, cytaratine feed and/or brain finesthamic — Head and/or brain, cotal Meurosurgery Meurosurgery Meurosurgery Merosurgery Merosurgery Merosurgery Merosurgery Merosurgery Merosurgery Merosurgery Methorization or IV doses = 1000 mg/m², Ambody Methorization or IV doses = 1000 mg/m², Methorization or IV doses = 100 mg/m², Methorization or IV doses = 10	Any organ or tissue		All fields		Subsequent neoplasms
and/or soft tissues more tissues ——————————————————————————————————					(skin, breast, thyroid,
Corticosteroids, ————————————————————————————————————					brain, colon, bone, soft
and/or soft tissues retrievate All fields — Amputation, limb sparing — Addomen, paivis, spine (umbar, satrel, whole) spinal surgery cystectomy (fosfamide function) Methotrevate (intrathecal andior brain, total Meurosurgery cystectomy desirables (Vocass 2 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Meurosurgery (Meurosurgery administration or IV deministration or IV desirables (Meurosurgery Ampthothalmic dess 2 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Meurosurgery (Meurosurgery dess 2 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Meurosurgery (dess 2 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Meurosurgery body					tissues, etc)
and/or soft tissues methodreade All fields — Amputation, limb sparing — Addomen, paivis, spine — Laparotomy pelvic or spinal (lumbar, sacral, whole) — Surgery cystectomy finishmide — Head and/or brain, total — Spinal surgery, cystectomy doses = 1000 mg/m²) — body — body — Methodreade, cytarabine (tytoses — 2000 mg/m²) — Head and/or brain (total — Meurosurgery — Amonastration or IV — doses = 1000 mg/m²) — Head and/or brain (total — Meurosurgery — Amonastration or IV — doses = 1000 mg/m²) — Head and/or brain, total — Meurosurgery — body — body — head and/or brain, total — Meurosurgery — body — bo	Bones	Corticosteroids,	1	l	Osteopenia or osteoporosis,
and/or soft tissues and/or soft tissues — Angutation, limb spaning and/or soft tissues — Abdomen, polvis, spine (umbar, secral, whole) r. Cyclophosphamide, ficefamide fortrathical administration or IV doses > 1000 mg/m²), cycarabine (IV deses > 2 1000 mg/m²) Methorreade, cytanbine Head and/or brain, total		methotrexate			osteonecrosis
and/or soft tissues — Abdomen, palvis, spine Lagardomy, palvie or spinal (umbar, sacral, whole) surgery Tr. Cyclophosphamide, Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery organization or Ny doesn 1000 mg/m²). Methotreade (intrathesal Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery doesn 2 1000 mg/m²). Importhalamic Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery administration or Ny doesn 2 1000 mg/m²). Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery doministration or Ny doesn 2 1000 mg/m²). Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery doesn 2 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body	Bones and/or soft tissues		All fields	l	Reduced or uneven growth;
and/or soft tissues — — — — — Abdomen, pelvis, spine Lapardomy, limb spering (lumbar, sacral, whole) (spinal surgery, cystectromy instance (intratheacla titratheacla tit					reduced function or
and/or soft tissues — — Abdomen, pelvis, spine Lapardomy, limb sparing - Abdomen, pelvis, spine Lapardomy, pelvic or spinal (lumbar, searel, whole) surgery, cystectomy ifosfamide administration or IV body body body body body cytavabine (VI doese = 1000 mg/m²), body body body brain, total head and/or brain, total head and/or brain, total hear sarior or IV doese = 2000 mg/m²). Head and/or brain, total hear sarior or IV doese = 2000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total hear sarior or IV doese = 2000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total hear sarior or IV doese = 2000 mg/m²) hear sarior or IV doese = 2000 mg/m² hear sarior or IV doese = 2000 mg/m²) hear sarior or IV doese = 2000 mg/m² hear sarior or IV doe					mobility; hypoplasia,
and/or soft tissues — Abdomen, pelvis, spine (umbar, sacral, whole) surgery r Cyclophosphamide, Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery cognitive function Methotrexite (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Cognitive function Methotrexite (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Cognitive function Methotrexite (intrathecal and/or brain, total Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexite or VV doses > 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexite or VV doses > 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexite or VV doses > 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery Monotialamic. Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body					fibrosis; radiation-
and/or soft tissues — — Abdomen, pelvis, spine (umbar, sacrat, whole) aurgary pelvic or spinal (umbar, sacrat, whole) (umbar, cognitive function) (umbar, sacrat, whole) (umbar, sacrat, whole) (umbar, cognitive function) (umbar, sacrat, whole) (umbar, cognitive function) (umba					induced fracture;
and/or soft tissues — Abdomen, pelvis, spine Laparotomy, pelvic or spinal (lumbar, sacral, whole) Spinal surgery, cystectomy instance (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²). Cognitive function) Methoriexate (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²). Cognitive function) Methoriexate (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²). Consistency Methoriexate (vytarabine administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²). Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²).					scoliosis or kyphosis
and/or soft tissues - Abdomen, pelvis, spine Lapardtom, imb sparing					(trunk fields only)
Abdomen, pelvis, spine Laparotomy, pelvic or spinal (umbar; sacral, whole) surgery ifosfemide (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery, cystectomy ifosfemide (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery, cystectomy administration or IV body doses = 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses = 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses = 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain (IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Administration or IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body	Bones and/or soft tissues	1	I	Amputation, limb sparing	Reduced or uneven
The secret of t					growth, reduced
Abdomen, pelvis, spine Laparotomy, pelvic or spinal (lumbar, sacral, whole) Surgery					function or mobility,
Abdomen, pelvis, spine Laparctomy, pelvic or spinal (lumbar; sacral, whole) surgery ifosfamide looking function) Methotrexate (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Itimotor and sensory Methotrexate, cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Itimotor and sensory Methotrexate, cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Itimotor and sensory Methotrexate, cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Itimotor and sensory (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery hodys itary axis)					chronic pain
Cyclophosphamide, Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) surgery, cystectomy fostamide Methotrexate (intratheal Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery administration or IV doses > 1000 mg/m²), oytarabine (iV doses > 1000 mg/m²). Methotrexate, cytarabine (iV doses > 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery (intratheal administration or IV doses > 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body	Bowel	1	Abdomen, pelvis, spine	Laparotomy, pelvic or spinal	Chronic enterocolitis, Gl
Cyclophosphamide, Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery, cystectomy ifosfamide lognitive function) Methotrexate (intrathecal administration or IV body doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (V doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) lotor and sensory Methotrexate, cytarabine (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) lotor) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body			(lumbar, sacral, whole)	surgery	tract strictures,
Cyclophosphamide, ifoslamide Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery, cystectomy itoslamide Ognitive function) Methotrexate (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²). Head and/or brain total Neurosurgery notor and sensory Methotrexate, cytarabine (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²). Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery					adhesions or
Cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide Cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery, cystectomy strongery body ognitive function) Methotrexate (intrathecal administration or IV doses = 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain Neurosurgery notor and sensory Methotrexate, cytarabine (intrathecal administration or IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery					obstruction, fecal
Gyclophosphamide, Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole) Spinal surgery, cystectomy ifosfamide ognitive function) Methotrexate (intrathecal administration or IV body doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²). Methotrexate cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) head and/or brain (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexate cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body Neurosurgery Neurosur					incontinence
Methotrexate (intrathecal Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery administration or IV body doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body	Bladder	Cyclophosphamide,	Pelvic, spine (sacral, whole)	Spinal surgery, cystectomy	Hemorrhagic cystitis,
Methotrexate (intrathecal and/or brain, total administration or IV body doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain total administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Neurosurgery Methotrexate, cytarabine (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery		ifosfamide			bladder fibrosis,
Methotrexate (intrathecal administration or IV doses a = 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain administration or IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Neurosurgery Methotrexate, cytarabine (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery					dysfunctional voiding,
Methotrexate (intrathecal administration or IV body administration or IV body Head and/or brain, total Neurosungery cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain Neurosungery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosungery					neurogenic bladder
administration or IV doses = 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses = 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body	Brain (cognitive function)	Methotrexate (intrathecal	Head and/or brain, total	Neurosurgery	Neurocognitive deficits
doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²), cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body		administration or IV	body		(executive function,
cytarabine (IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥ 1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body		doses $\geq 1000 \text{ mg/m}^2$),			attention, memory,
=1000 mg/m²) Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses =1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body		cytarabine (IV doses			processing speed,
Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body		\geq 1000 mg/m ²)			visual motor
Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body					integration), learning
Methotrexate, cytarabine Head and/or brain Neurosurgery (intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body					deficits, diminished 10
(intrathecal administration or IV doses ≥1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery body	Brain (motor and sensory	Methotrexate, cytarabine	Head and/or brain	Neurosurgery	Granial nerve dysfunction;
administration or IV doses ≥1000 mg/m²} Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery Gr body	function)	(intrathecal			motor and sensory
doses ≥1000 mg/m²) Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery Gn body		administration or IV			deficits, including
— Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery Gn body		doses $\geq 1000 \text{ mg/m}^2$)			paralysis; cerebellar
— Head and/or brain, total Neurosurgery Gn body					dysfunction; seizures
body	Brain (hypothalamic-	I	Head and/or brain, total	Neurosurgery	Growth hormone
puberty (altered gonadotropin secretion),	pituitary axis)		body		deficiency, precocious
gonadotropin secretion),					puberty (altered
secretion),					gonadotropin
					secretion),

TABLE 1 Continued		Therapeutic Exposures		
Organ	Chemotherapy	Radiotherapy Field(s)	Surgery	Potential Late Effect
				gonadotropin insufficiency, central adrenal insufficiency (XRT ≥30 Gy)
Brain (vascular)	I	Head and/or brain	Neurosurgery	Gerebrovascular complications (stroke, Moyamoya, occlusive
Breast	I	Chest, axilla, total body	I	cerebral vasculopathy) Breast tissue hypoplasia, hreast cancer
Ear	Cisplatin, carboplatin (in myeloablative doses only)	Head and/or brain	I	Sensorineural hearing loss (XRT doses ≥ 30 Gy), conductive hearing loss (XRT only), eustachian tube dysfunction (XRT
Esophagus	I	Neck, chest, abdomen, spine	I	only) Esophageal stricture
Eye	Busulfan, corticosteroids	(cervical, thoracic, whole) Head and/or brain, total body	Neurosurgery	Cataracts, retinopathy (XRT only), ocular nerve palsy
Heart	Anthracycline agents (eg, doxorubicin, daunorubicin)	Chest, abdomen, spine (thoracic, whole), total body		Cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure, arrhythmia, subclinical left ventricular dysfunction, XRT only: valvular disease, atherosclerotic heart disease, myocardial infarction, pericarditis,
Kidney	Cisplatin, carboplatin, ifosfamide, methotrexate	Abdomen, total body	Nephrectomy	percardial infrosis Glomerular toxicity, tubular dysfunction, rend
Liver and biliary tract	Antimetabolites (mercaptopurine, thioguanine, mathofrexate)	Abdomen	1	insunidenty, riypa tension Hepatic dysfunction; veno- ocolusive disease; hepatic fibrosis,
Lungs	Bleomycin, busulfan, carmustine, lomustine	Chest, axilla, total body	Pulmonary resection, Iobectomy	Pulmonary fibrosis, interstitial pneumonitis, restrictive or obstructive lung disease, pulmonary dysfunction

ō	
æ	
≘	
Continued	
3	
_	
_	
=	
AB	

Organ Chemotherapy Nerves (peripheral) Plant alkaloids (vincristine, vinblastine), cisplatin, carboplatin Ovary Alkylating agents (eg, busulfan, carmustine, lomustine, cyclophosphamide, mechlorethamine, manhalan process	cherapy (vincristine,	Dadiothonany Eigld(e)		
Pla Alk	(vincristine,	nadiotife apy Field(s)	Surgery	Potential Late Effect
AIK	cisplatin,	I	Spinal surgery	Peripheral sensory or motor neuropathy
	varbopların ylating agents (eg, busulfan, carmustine, lomustine, cyclophosphamide, mechlorethamine, melphalan, procarbazine)	Pelvis, spine (sacral, whole), total body	Oophorectomy	Ovarian hormone insufficiency, delayed or arrested puberty, premature menopause, diminished ovarian reserve, infertility, uterine vascular
Skin		All fields	I	insufficiency (KRT only), vaginal fibrosis or stenosis (KRT only) Permanent alopecia, altered skin pigmentation,
Spieen		Abdomen (doses ≥40 Gy)	Splenectomy	telanglectasias, norosis, dysplastic nevi Life-threatening infection related to functional or
Teeth Any chemotherapy before development of secondary dentition	apy before of entition	Head and/or brain, neck, spine (cervical, whole), total body		anatomic asplenia* Dental maldevelopment (tooth and/or root agenesis, microdontia, enamel dysplasia), periodontal disease, dental caries, osteoradionecrosis (XRT
Testes Alkylating agents (eg, busulfan, carmustine, lomustine, cyclophosphamide, mechlorethamine, melhalan proparazine)	is (eg, mustine, amide, mine,	Testes, total body	Pelvic or spinal surgery, orchiectomy	Testosterone insufficiency, delayed or arrested puberty, impaired spermatogenesis, infertility, erectile or eiaculatrory dysfunction
Thyroid		Head and/or brain, neck, spine (cervical, whole), total body	Thyroidectomy	Hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, thyroid nodules (XRT only)

This table briefly summarizes potential late effects for selected therapeutic exposures only; the complete set of long-term follow-up guidelines from the COG, including screening recommendations, is available at www.survivorshipguidelines.org. In addition to organ- or tissue-specific late effects, any cancer experience may increase the risk of adverse psychosocial and quality-of-life outcomes summarized at www.survivorshipguidelines.org (sections 1–6). Children's Oncology Group, Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent and Young Adult Cancers, Version 5.0. Monrovia, CA: Children's Oncology Group, October 2018. Available at: www.survivorshipguidelines.org. Accessed April 21, 2021. GI, gastrointestinal, IV, intravenous, XRT, radiotherapy. —, not applicable.

^a Functional asplenia can also occur as a consequence of active chronic graft-versus-host disease after hematopoietic stem cell transplant.

RA	DIATION			POTENTIAL IMPACT TO LUNGS
Sec#	Therapeutic Exposure	Potential Late Effects	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling/ Further Considerations
74	Chest Axilla TBI	Pulmonary toxicity Pulmonary fibrosis Interstitial pneumonitis Restrictive lung disease Obstructive lung disease	HISTORY Cough Wheezing Shortness of breath Dyspnea on exertion Yearly PHYSICAL Pulmonary exam Yearly SCREENING PFTs (including DLCO and spirometry) Baseline at entry into long-term follow-up, repeat as clinically indicated in patients with abnormal results or progressive pulmonary dysfunction	HEALTH LINKS Pulmonary Health RESOURCES www.smokefree.gov COUNSELING Tobacco avoidance/smoking cessation/environmental tobacco smoke. POTENTIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR FURTHER TESTING AND INTERVENTION Repeat PFTs prior to general anesthesia. Influenza and Pneumococcal vaccinations. Pulmonary consultation for patients with symptomatic pulmonary dysfunction. Pulmonary consultation for survivors who desire to SCUBA dive (due to potential undiagnosed pulmonary toxicities, and limited data to guide safe diving recommendations for individuals treated with pulmonary toxic therapy). SYSTEM = Pulmonary SCORE = 1

Additional Information

Consider patient and cancer/treatment factors, pre-morbid/co-morbid health conditions, and health behaviors, as appropriate, that may increase risk.

- Patient factors: Younger age at irradiation
- Cancer/Treatment factors: Radiation dose >10 Gy, especially radiation dose ≥15 Gy, TBI ≥6 Gy in single fraction, TBI ≥12 Gy fractionated, chest radiation combined with TBI, radiation combined with bleomycin, busulfan, carmustine (BCNU), or lomustine (CCNU), radiomimetic chemotherapy (e.g., doxorubicin, dactinomycin)
- Pre-morbid/Co-morbid medical conditions: Atopic history
- Health behaviors: Smoking, inhaled illicit drug use

References

Armenian SH, Landier W, Francisco L, et al: Long-term pulmonary function in survivors of childhood cancer. J Clin Oncol 33:1592-600, 2015
Dietz AC, Chen Y, Yasui Y, et al: Risk and Impact of pulmonary complications in survivors of childhood cancer a report from the Childhood Cancer Cancer Survivor Study, Cancer 122:3687-3696, 2016
Green DM, Zhu L, Wang M, et al: Pulmonary function after treatment for childhood cancer. A report from the St. Deduct Fitting Control Study (SUJEF). Ann Am Thorac Soc 13:1575-85, 2016
Huang TT, Hudson MM, Stokes DC, et al: Pulmonary function in survivors of childhood cancer. a systematic review. Chest 140:881-901, 2011
Hudson MM, Ness KK, Gurney JG, et al: Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. JAMA 309:2371-2381, 2013
Mulder RI, Thonssen MM, and et Pal HJ, et al: Pulmonary function impairment measured by pulmonary function tests in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. Thorax 66:1065-71, 2011
Tetrault JM, Crothers K, Moore BA, et al: Effects of marijuana smoking on pulmonary function and respiratory complications: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med 167:221-8, 2007
van Hulst RA, Rietbroek RC, Gaastra MT, et al: To dive or not to dive with bleomycin: a practical algorithm. Aviat Space Environ Med 82:814-8, 2011
Venkatamani R, Kamath S, Wong K, et al: Correlation of clinical and dosimetric factors with adverse pulmonary outcomes in children after lung irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 86:942-8, 2013
Wolff AJ, O'Donnell AE: Pulmonary effects of illieit drug use. Clin Chest Med 25:203-16, 2004

COG LTFU Guidelines – Page 92 Version 5.0 - October 2018

FIGURE 1 Example of an exposure-based recommendation from the COG LTFU Guidelines. (Reprinted with permission from Children's Oncology Group. Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent and Young Adult Cancers. Version 5.0. Monrovia, CA: Children's Oncology Group; 2018. Available at: www.survivorshipguidelines.org. Accessed April 21, 2021) BCNU, carmustine; CCNU, lomustine; DLCO, diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide; PFT, pulmonary function testing; SCUBA, self-contained underwater breathing apparatus; TBI, total body irradiation.

product transfusion. Knowledge of cumulative chemotherapy dosages (eg, for anthracycline agents), or dose intensity of administration (eg, for methotrexate), also is important in estimating risk and screening frequency. This pertinent clinical information can be organized into a treatment summary that interfaces with the COG LTFU Guidelines to facilitate identification of potential late complications and recommended follow-up care (Fig 2). Because of the diversity and complexity of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer therapies, the treating oncology center represents the optimal resource for this treatment information.

Furthermore, the need for ongoing, open lines of communication between the cancer center and the primary care provider is critical.

Coordination of risk-based care for childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors requires a working knowledge about cancerrelated health risks and appropriate screening evaluations or access to a resource that contains this information. Often, late effects present as a distinct clinical entity (eg, growth failure, heart failure, academic underachievement, etc) remote from cancer diagnosis and treatment. The primary care physician should consistently

consider the contribution of cancer and its treatment to physical and emotional health conditions presenting in survivors, use the COG LTFU Guidelines to identify linkages of late effects and therapeutic exposures, and consult with local pediatric oncologists and/or late effects specialists to develop a strategy for further investigation. The COG LTFU Guidelines represent a comprehensive resource that can be used to plan cancer survivorship care, as outlined in Fig 3. Individualized recommendations for long-term follow-up care of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors can be customized from the COG LTFU

TABLE 2 Clinical and Treatment Factors Influencing Risk of Late Effects After Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer

Factor	Reason	Example(s)
Age at diagnosis of cancer	Age at diagnosis influences vulnerability to specific cancer treatment–related complications.	Young children experience a higher risk of neurocognitive deficits after cranial irradiation compared with adolescents. ³⁷ Young girls, compared with older adolescents, are less vulnerable to alkylating agent—induced ovarian insufficiency because of their larger primordial follicular pool. ³⁸
Sex	The risk of some cancer treatment—related toxicities varies by sex.	Boys are more sensitive to gonadal injury after alkylating agents compared with girls. ³⁹ Breast cancer risk in women treated with chest radiation is comparable to <i>BRCA</i> mutation carriers and warrants early initiation of breast cancer surveillance. ⁴⁰
Tissues and organs involved by cancer	Malignant infiltration of normal tissues may result in permanent deficits.	Survivors of central nervous system tumors may have long-term neurologic, neurosensory, or neuroendocrine late effects related to tumor location. 41 Survivors of retroperitoneal tumors (eg, Wilms tumor, neuroblastoma) experience increase risk of scoliosis. 42
Surgery	Specific surgical procedures may be associated with increased risks for chronic symptoms or health conditions.	Sarcoma survivors treated with limb-sparing surgeries may have chronic pain or performance restrictions. ⁴⁵ Survivors of Wilms tumor have an increased risk of hypertension after nephrectomy. ⁴⁴
Chemotherapeutic agents	Chemotherapeutic agents have unique organ and/or tissue toxicity profiles, many of which are dose related. Knowledge of specific chemotherapy agents received is needed to determine type and magnitude of late effects risk.	Anthracyclines are associated with increased risk of cardiomyopathy. ⁴⁵ Cisplatin increases the risk of hearing loss and renal dysfunction. ⁴⁶ Alkylating agents increase the risk of gonadal injury and infertility. ³⁸
Radiotherapy	The potential for radiation injury to normal tissues is directly related to the organs and tissues in the radiation treatment field and dose delivered.	HPA dysfunction is common after cranial radiation. HPA systems affected show relationships to dose, with growth hormone deficiency presenting at much lower dose exposure compared with gonadotropin deficiency. ⁴⁷
Hematopoietic cell transplant	In addition to risks associated with chemotherapy and radiation, survivors may experience health risks associated with immune system alterations after hematopoietic cell transplant.	Survivors who are transplant recipients have higher risks of subsequent malignancies involving epithelial and mucosal tissues. ⁴⁸
Preexisting and comorbid conditions	Common comorbid conditions can exacerbate cancer treatment—related toxicity. Management of ongoing comorbidities should be addressed during follow-up visits.	Hypertension potentiates anthracycline-associated risk for heart failure. 49 Diabetes and hypertension potentiate radiation-associated risk for stroke. 50
Health behaviors and lifestyle	Health behaviors can mitigate or magnify risk of cancer treatment—related toxicities.	Adherence to recommended levels of moderate to vigorous physical activity reduces risk of major cardiac events and mortality in childhood cancer survivors. 51,52 Smoking increases the risk of pulmonary function deficits and subsequent malignancies. 53
Psychosocial	Sociodemographic factors may affect survivors' access to health care and resources to prevent or remediate late effects. Premorbid and comorbid emotional health conditions are associated with adverse outcomes.	Survivors with (of those from households with) lower income and educational levels are more vulnerable to impaired health status and financial toxicity. 9,54 Survivors experiencing psychological distress are more likely to participate in health-risking behaviors (eg, tobacco, alcohol, and substance use). 55,56
Genetics	Cancer predisposition genes as well as common genetic variants (single-nucleotide polymorphisms) are associated with increased risk of subsequent neoplasms and other treatment-related organ dysfunction.	Survivors of retinoblastoma with <i>RB1</i> mutation (all bilateral and familial cases) have an increased risk of subsequent malignant neoplasms, especially osteosarcoma. ⁵⁷ Several genetic variations that may modify risk for cardiomyopathy in anthracycline-exposed survivors (eg, <i>SLC28A3</i> , <i>UGT1A6</i> , <i>RARG</i> , <i>CELF4</i> , <i>HAS3</i>) have been identified. ⁵⁸

HPA, hypothalamic-pituitary axis.

TABLE 3 Examples of 2 Survivors: Factors Contributing to Cancer-Related Morbidity After Childhood and Adolescent-Young Adult Cancer

Factor	Example 1: Leukemia	Example 2: Solid Tumor
Patient	3-y-old boy	16-y-old girl
Tumor	Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, B lineage, average risk, without CNS involvement	Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma of the chest wall, stage II
Treatment	Antimetabolites (by mouth, IV, intrathecal), asparaginase, corticosteroids, cyclophosphamide (moderate dose), doxorubicin (low dose), vincristine	Dactinomycin, vincristine, chest radiation (36 Gy)
Potential late effects	Peripheral neuropathy; osteopenia or osteoporosis; osteonecrosis (rare for this age); cataracts (rare); hepatic dysfunction (very rare); renal insufficiency (very rare); neurocognitive deficits; leukoencephalopathy; hemorrhagic cystitis, bladder malignancy (very rare); secondary myelodysplasia or myeloid leukemia (very rare); gonadal dysfunction (rare); cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure, arrhythmia (very rare); dental maldevelopment, periodontal disease, excessive dental caries	Peripheral neuropathy, subclavian artery disease, cardiac complications (cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure, arrhythmia, subclinical left ventricular dysfunction, valvular disease, atherosclerotic heart disease, myocardial infarction, pericarditis, pericardial fibrosis), pulmonary complications (fibrosis, interstitial pneumonitis, restrictive or obstructive lung disease), esophageal stricture, breast tissue hypoplasia, breast cancer, scoliosis or kyphosis, shortened trunk height, secondary benign or malignant neoplasms in radiation field
Genetics and familial	Diabetes mellitus, type 2	Hypertension, early coronary artery disease
Comorbid conditions	Obesity, anxiety	Hypertension, depression
Health behaviors	Sedentary lifestyle	Smoker
Aging	Reduced bone mineral density	Cardiomyopathy

Recognition of dose-related toxicities has resulted in modification of therapies and has substantially reduced risk of some late effects. CNS, central nervous system; IV, intravenous

Guidelines on the basis of each patient's treatment history, age, and sex into a survivorship care plan that is ideally developed by, or in coordination with, the oncology subspecialist. The survivorship care plan is a living document that is meant to be reviewed by survivors and their health care providers at least yearly and updated as new health conditions emerge and health behaviors change over time. In addition, the COG LTFU Guidelines provide information to assist with risk stratification, allowing the health care provider to address specific treatment-related health risks that may be magnified in individual patients because of familial or genetic predisposition, sociodemographic factors, or maladaptive health behaviors. The patient education materials, known as "health links," that accompany the COG LTFU Guidelines, are specifically tailored to enhance health supervision and promotion in this population by providing simplified explanations of guideline-specific topics in lay language. 15 The COG LTFU Guidelines, associated patient education materials, and

supplemental resources to enhance guideline application, including clinical summary templates, can be downloaded from www. survivorshipguidelines.org. A Webbased platform that generates online therapeutic summaries with simultaneous output of patient-specific guidelines on the basis of exposure history, age, and sex is now accessible to institutions providing pediatric oncology follow-up care (https://cancersurvivor.passportforcare.org/).¹⁴

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Pediatricians and other primary care health care professionals are uniquely qualified to deliver ongoing health care to childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors, because they are already familiar with health maintenance and supervision for healthy populations and provide care for patients with complex chronic medical conditions. The concept of the medical home has been endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics as an effective model for coordinating the complex health care requirements of children with

special needs, such as childhood cancer survivors, to provide care and preventive services that are accessible, continuous, comprehensive, family centered, coordinated, compassionate, and culturally effective. 16 Within this framework, the pediatrician is able to view the cancer survivor in the context of the family and to assist not only the survivor but also the parents and siblings in adapting to the new normal of cancer survivorship. The focus of care for the childhood cancer survivor seen in a primary care practice is not the cancer from which the patient has now recovered but, rather, the actual and potential physical and psychosocial sequelae of cancer and its therapy and its impact on family functioning. Childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors are at a substantially increased risk of morbidity and mortality when compared with the general population.^{5–10} This updated clinical report delineates recommendations that are aimed at facilitating this vulnerable population's access to high-quality survivorship.



Summary of Cancer Treatment (Abbreviated)

Demographics							
Name	Sex □ M □ F Date of Birth						
Cancer Diagnosis							
Diagnosis	Date of Diagnosis Date Therapy Completed						
Chemotherapy							
Drug Name	Additional Information†						
†Anthracyclines: Include cumulative dose in mg/m² (see section 33 of Guidelines for isotoxic dose conversion):							
Carboplatin: Indicate if dose was myeloablative Methotrexate and Cytarabine: Indicate rote of administration (i.e., IV, IM, SO, PO, IT, IO); IV Methotrexate and Cytarabine: Indicate rote of administration (i.e., IV, IM, SO, PO, IT, IO); IV Methotrexate and Cytarabine: Indicate if "high dose" (any single dose ≥ 1000 mg/m²) or "standard dose" (all single doses < 1000 mg/m²) Note: Cumulative doses, if known, should be recorded for all agents, particularly for alkylators and bleomycin.							
Radiation							
Site/Field	Total Dose* (including boost) (Gy)**						
*For head/brain, neck, chest, abdomen, spine (whole, cervical, thoracic) radiation and TBI, include total doses (including boost dose, if given) **To convert cGy or rads to Gy, divide dose by 100 (example: 2400 cGy = 2400 rads = 24 Gy)							
Hematopoietic Cell Transplant							
Transplant Type Autologous □ \							
Chronic Graft-Versus-Host Disease (cGVHD) Ever diagnosed?	☐ Yes ☐ No Currently active? ☐ Yes ☐ No						
Surgery □ Yes □ No If yes, provide information below							
Procedure Site (if applicable	Laterality (if applicable)						
Other Therapeutic Modalities \square Yes \square No If yes, p	vide information below						
Did the patient receive radioiodine therapy (I-131 thyroid ablation)	□ Yes □ No						
Did the patient receive systemic MIBG (in therapeutic doses)? ☐ Yes ☐ No							
Summary prepared by: Date prepared:							

COG Summary of Cancer Treatment (Abbreviated Version)

Version 5.0 - October 2018

FIGURE 2 Sample template for cancer treatment summary containing essential data elements necessary for generating long-term follow-up guidelines. (Reprinted with permission from Children's Oncology Group. Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent and Young Adult Cancers. Version 5.0. Monrovia, CA: Children's Oncology Group; 2018. Available at: www.survivorshipguidelines.org. Accessed April 21, 2021) TBI, total body irradiation.

Recommendation 1: Primary Health Care Professionals Should Work Collaboratively With the Oncology Subspecialist to Develop and Implement the Survivorship Care Plan and Coordinate Survivorship Care

Ideally, the survivorship care plan is developed through a shared partnership that includes the primary care and oncology subspecialty providers, the survivor, and the family. Community providers can request a cancer treatment summary and survivorship care plan from the oncology center if this is not provided at the time that the survivor transitions back to the primary care setting. If a survivorship care plan is not provided by the primary oncology team, COG-affiliated subspecialty survivorship clinics can be consulted

for assistance in coordinating survivorship care. ¹⁷ In addition to the *COG LTFU Guideline* recommendations for late effects screening, the ideal survivorship care plan delineates provider(s) who will be coordinating the indicated screening evaluations and identifies provider(s) responsible for communicating and explaining the results to the patient and/or caregivers.

- Request a cancer treatment summary and survivorship care plan from the survivors' oncology team if possible.
- Request medical records to organize a cancer treatment summary and survivorship care
 plan if a care plan is not provided by the oncology team.
- Use the summary of cancer treatment template at <u>www.survivorshipguidelines.org/</u> or https://cancersurvivor.passportforcare.org/ to develop the survivorship care plan.
- Identify patient (eg, age at diagnosis, sex), cancer (eg, histology, involved organs and/or tissues), and treatment (surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, hematopoietic cell transplant) details that may influence the risk of late effects.
- Consult the COG LTFU Guidelines at www.survivorshipguidelines.org to determine health risks associated with specific exposures and recommended health screening. Use the bookmark feature to identify the guideline sections pertinent to your survivor.
- Consider patient- and cancer treatment-related factors, preexisting and/or comorbid health conditions, and health behaviors, as appropriate, that may increase risk listed under "Additional Information" of each guideline section.
- Use the COG LTFU Guidelines health links and other educational resources listed under "Further Considerations."
- Address psychosocial factors that can affect access to health care and resources to prevent
 or remediate late effects.
- Contact an established long-term follow-up childhood cancer survivor program for assistance in managing complex survivorship-related needs and identifying survivorship resources. COG-affiliated subspecialty survivorship clinics are available at https://cogmembers.org/public/lateeffects/default.aspx.

FIGURE 3 How to use the COG LTFU Guidelines to plan cancer survivorship care.

Recommendation 2: The COG LTFU Guidelines Should Be Used to Guide the Development of an Individualized Follow-up Plan (Survivorship Care Plan) Based on the Childhood, Adolescent, or Young Adult Survivor's Specific Cancer Treatment and Risk of Late Complications

Although late treatment effects can be anticipated in many cases on the basis of therapeutic exposures, the risk to an individual patient is modified by multiple factors. The patient with cancer may present with premorbid health conditions that influence tolerance to therapy and increase the risk of treatment-related toxicity. Cancer-related factors, including histology, tumor site, and tumor biology and/or response, often dictate treatment modality and intensity. Patient-related factors, such as age at diagnosis and sex, may affect the risk of several treatment-related complications. Sociodemographic

factors, such as household income, educational attainment, and socioeconomic status, often influence access to health insurance, remedial services, and appropriate risk-based health care. Organ senescence in aging survivors may accelerate presentation of age-related health conditions in survivors with subclinical organ injury or dysfunction resulting from cancer treatment. Genetic or familial characteristics may also enhance susceptibility to treatment-related complications. Problems experienced during and after treatment may further increase morbidity. Health behaviors, including tobacco and alcohol use, sun exposure, and dietary and exercise habits, may increase the risk of specific therapy-related complications. The COG LTFU Guidelines can assist the physician in maintaining a balance between overscreening (which could potentially cause undue fear of unlikely but

remotely plausible complications as well as higher medical costs resulting from unnecessary screening) and underscreening (which could miss potentially life-threatening complications, thus resulting in lost opportunities for early intervention that could minimize morbidity).

Recommendation 3: The Survivorship Care Plan Should Include Screening for Potential Adverse Medical and Psychosocial Effects of the Cancer Experience

The follow-up evaluations of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors should be individualized on the basis of their treatment history and may include screening for such potential complications as thyroid or cardiac dysfunction, second malignant neoplasms, neurocognitive difficulties, and many others.¹³ In addition, providers should be mindful of the psychosocial late

effects experienced by youth treated for cancer, particularly those that may affect educational and vocational progress, because provider advocacy and intervention can facilitate survivor access to remedial resources and programs in 504 and individual education plans and vocational training.¹⁸ Likewise, because emotional health and family functioning may be affected by the cancer experience, proactive assessment of and referral to mental health services are important to optimize the quality of survivorship. Finally, personalized risk assessment would not be complete without consideration of socioeconomic and community factors that may affect access to survivorship resources and health care.

Recommendation 4: The
Survivorship Care Plan Should
Address the Contribution of
Comorbid Health Conditions,
Familial and Genetic Factors, and
Health Behaviors That Affect the
Risk of Chronic Disease and Provide
Interventions and Resources to
Remediate and Prevent Late Effects
of Cancer and Promote Healthy
Lifestyle Behaviors

In addition to screening for late effects predisposed by previous therapeutic exposures, promotion of physical and mental health and wellbeing as part of a healthy lifestyle is an important aspect of long-term follow-up care in this population. Numerous investigations have shown that survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer have a high rate of chronic health conditions when followed longterm,6,8 yet many lack awareness of their treatment-related health risks. 19-21 For this reason, it is recommended that health care professionals provide anticipatory guidance regarding health promotion and disease prevention aimed at minimizing the risk of future morbidity and mortality

attributable to chronic physical and mental health conditions. For example, counseling survivors who are at risk for obesity, cardiovascular disease, and osteoporosis about the importance of adhering to healthful dietary guidelines, limiting sedentary lifestyle with or without screen time, and having regular physical activity is important. Education about cancer- and disease-prevention benefits offered through vaccination can also reduce health risks.

Recommendation 5: Primary Health Care Professionals Should Work Collaboratively With the Oncology Subspecialist to Educate Survivors and Their Families About Cancer Treatment–Related Health Risks, Recommended Health Screening, and Methods for Risk Reduction

Adolescent and young adult survivors need appropriate knowledge, skills, and opportunities to learn and make decisions about their own health maintenance needs, their potential physical and mental health risks, recommended health screening related to these risks, the impact of health behaviors on physical and mental health risks, and strategies to reduce health risks. Collaboration between the oncology and primary care teams can help to ensure that survivors' educational needs are addressed. Innovative electronic and mobile health platforms represent evolving technologies that can be leveraged to educate and empower survivors preparing for health care transitions by promoting self-management of chronic health conditions and connecting them with survivorship communities and resources.²² The COG LTFU Guidelines can be used as a resource to facilitate targeted education regarding cancer and treatment-related health risks and health promotion. The COG LTFU Guideline health links (available at www.survivorshipguidelines.org)

can be printed for distribution in the primary care office setting and are available for viewing by patients and their caregivers on the Internet. In this process, it is important for health care professionals to be aware that some survivors, given their young age at diagnosis, may not remember their cancer diagnosis or the treatment that they received or may not have been told about their cancer history. 23-25

Recommendation 6: Primary Health Care Professionals Should Work Collaboratively With the Oncology Subspecialist to Prepare Survivors and Their Families for Health Care Transitions

Ensuring a smooth transition from pediatric to adult-oriented health care services poses additional challenges in the care of childhood cancer survivors as they age out of the pediatric health care system. Because adults treated for childhood cancer represent a rare population in primary care practices, practical and educational efforts of clinicians may be focused on more prevalent primary care issues. Consequently, family physicians, internists, practitioners trained in internal medicine and pediatrics, and advanced practice providers, who ultimately assume care of most adults treated for childhood cancer, endorse low comfort levels and a desire for resources and guidance in managing survivors. 26,27 These data underscore the importance of communication between oncology and primary care providers in health care transition planning. Pretransition planning is a critical element in the successful transition from pediatric to adult-oriented health care for all adolescents and young adults with special health care needs, including cancer survivors. The medical home model provides a strong foundation for this planning.²⁸ The updated American Academy of Pediatrics clinical report "Supporting the Health Care

Transition From Adolescence to Adulthood in the Medical Home" emphasizes the critical role of adult care clinicians in accepting and partnering with young adults to optimize health care transitions.²⁹ In addition, the report provides practical guidance on the key elements of transition planning and implementation for medically vulnerable populations. For childhood cancer survivors, a pretransition plan ideally outlines the roles of the patient, family, subspecialty, and community health care providers in the ongoing care of the survivor to ensure a successful transition. Importantly, in this process, providers should respect the evolving autonomy and privacy concerns of adolescents and young adults in health care discussions and decision-making, particularly related to sexual and reproductive health, which may be adversely affected by the cancer experience in some survivors. 30,31

Recommendation 7: Primary Health Care Professionals Should Work Collaboratively With the Oncology Subspecialist to Educate Survivors and Their Families About Resources to Facilitate Their Access to Survivorship Care

Laws that extend medical coverage into young adulthood can facilitate survivors' access to timely, highquality, and affordable survivorship care.³² This is particularly relevant because survivors experience increased risk for multimorbidity as they age, and health conditions often present at a younger age at onset compared with individuals who have not had cancer. For example, primary care providers may not be aware of the need for early initiation of breast cancer surveillance among young adult women treated with chest radiation for childhood cancer. Delineating this risk in the survivorship care plan and providing appropriate letters of medical necessity can

facilitate awareness by providers and insurance coverage of recommended surveillance imaging.33 Finally, considering research demonstrating that a substantial proportion of young adult survivors are uninsured or underinsured, transition planning should identify community resources to address medical needs, including emotional health and rehabilitation services. Payers should facilitate communication among providers in the design of their provider networks and by adequate payment for care coordination.34

SUMMARY

Given the high incidence of late effects experienced by cancer survivors, individuals treated for cancer during childhood, adolescence, or young adulthood require long-term follow-up care from knowledgeable providers so that their care is appropriately tailored to their specific treatmentrelated risk factors. Models of survivorship care vary substantially across clinical settings on the basis of resource availability. Because multidisciplinary late effects clinics are not consistently accessible to or used by cancer survivors, pediatricians and other primary care providers represent critical participants in delivery of survivorship care. 35,36 The COG LTFU Guidelines provide a readily accessible resource to address knowledge deficits related to health risks associated with treatment of childhood, adolescent, or young adult cancer. Availability of this resource is particularly important as the population of long-term survivors continues to increase as a result of the effectiveness of contemporary treatment approaches.

Ultimately, the goal of this clinical report from the American Academy

of Pediatrics is to increase the awareness of general pediatricians and other primary health care professionals regarding the readily available resource of the COG LTFU Guidelines and the ability to consult with multidisciplinary long-term follow-up clinics for childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancer survivors. These guidelines can, in turn, be used to develop a comprehensive yet individualized survivorship care plan for each cancer survivor who can be supported to work toward a planned transition to adult health care providers in primary and specialty care.

The survivorship care plan is a road map for primary health care professionals for providing risk-based long-term follow-up care in the community setting. Ongoing communication between the cancer center and the primary care provider is the cornerstone for providing high-quality care to this vulnerable patient population.

LEAD AUTHORS

Smita Bhatia, MD, MPH Jacqueline Casillas, MD, MSHS Melissa M. Hudson, MD, FAAP Wendy Landier, PhD, RN, CPNP

CHILDREN'S ONCOLOGY GROUP

Smita Bhatia, MD, MPH Jacqueline Casillas, MD, MSHS Melissa M. Hudson, MD Wendy Landier, PhD, RN, CPNP

SECTION ON HEMATOLOGY/ONCOLOGY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, 2019–2020

Zora R. Rogers, MD, FAAP, Chairperson Carl Allen, MD, PhD, FAAP James Harper, MD, FAAP Jeffrey Hord, MD, FAAP Juhi Jain, MD, FAAP Anne Warwick, MD, MPH, FAAP Cynthia Wetmore, MD, PhD, FAAP Amber Yates, MD, FAAP

PAST EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Jeffrey Lipton, MD, PhD, FAAP Hope Wilson, MD, FAAP

LIAISON

David Dickens, MD, FAAP – Alliance for Childhood Cancer

STAFF

Suzanne Kirkwood, MS

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF PEDIATRIC HEMATOLOGY/ONCOLOGY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, 2019–2020

Patrick Leavey, MD, FAAP (President) Amy Billett, MD, FAAP Jorge DiPaola, MD Doug Graham, MD, PhD Caroline Hastings, MD Dana Matthews, MD Betty Pace, MD Linda Stork, MD Maria C. Velez, MD, FAAP Dan Wechsler, MD, PhD

STAFF

Ryan Hooker, MPT

CONSULTANT

Eneida A. Mendonca, MD, PhD, FAAP, FACMI

ABBREVIATIONS

COG: Children's Oncology Group
COG LTFU Guidelines: Children's
Oncology
Group
Long-Term
Follow-Up
Guidelines
for
Survivors of
Childhood,
Adolescent,
and Young

Adult

Cancers

Copyright © 2021 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Supported by the National Clinical Trials Network Operations Center grant (U10CA180886; principal investigator: Adamson) and by the St Baldrick's Foundation. Dr Hudson is also supported by the Cancer Center Support grant CA 21765 from the National Cancer Institute and by the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities. Funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Dr Landier has a relationship with Merck Sharp & Dohme as a principal investigator; and Drs Hudson, Bhatia, and Casillas have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER cancer statistics review, 1975-2014. Available at: https://seer.cancer. gov/csr/1975_2014/. Accessed April 21, 2021
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018:68(1):7–30
- Robison LL, Hudson MM. Survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: lifelong risks and responsibilities. *Nat Rev Cancer*: 2014;14(1):61–70
- 4. Casillas J, Oeffinger KC, Hudson MM, et al. Identifying predictors of longitudinal decline in the level of medical care received by adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Health Serv Res. 2015;50(4): 1021–1042
- Armstrong GT, Chen Y, Yasui Y, et al. Reduction in late mortality among 5year survivors of childhood cancer. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(9):833–842

- Armstrong GT, Kawashima T, Leisenring W, et al. Aging and risk of severe, disabling, life-threatening, and fatal events in the childhood cancer survivor study. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(12):1218–1227
- Fidler MM, Reulen RC, Winter DL, et al; British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study Steering Group. Long term cause specific mortality among 34 489 five year survivors of childhood cancer in Great Britain: population based cohort study. BMJ. 2016;354;i4351
- Hudson MM, Ness KK, Gurney JG, et al. Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. *JAMA*. 2013;309(22):2371–2381
- Hudson MM, Oeffinger KC, Jones K, et al. Age-dependent changes in health status in the Childhood Cancer Survivor cohort. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(5):479–491
- Phillips SM, Padgett LS, Leisenring WM, et al. Survivors of childhood cancer in the United States: prevalence and burden of morbidity. *Cancer Epidemiol Bio*markers Prev. 2015;24(4):653–663

- 11. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, et al; Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355(15):1572—1582
- 12. Landier W, Bhatia S, Eshelman DA, et al. Development of risk-based guidelines for pediatric cancer survivors: the Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines from the Children's Oncology Group Late Effects Committee and Nursing Discipline. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(24):4979—4990
- Dixon SB, Bjornard KL, Alberts NM, et al. Factors influencing risk-based care of the childhood cancer survivor in the 21st century. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(2):133—152
- Poplack DG, Fordis M, Landier W, Bhatia S, Hudson MM, Horowitz ME. Childhood cancer survivor care: development of the Passport for Care. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2014;11(12):740–750
- 15. Eshelman D, Landier W, Sweeney T, et al. Facilitating care for childhood cancer survivors: integrating children's

- oncology group long-term follow-up guidelines and health links in clinical practice. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs*. 2004;21(5):271–280
- Hudson SV, Miller SM, Hemler J, et al. Cancer survivors and the patient-centered medical home. *Transl Behav Med*. 2012;2(3):322–331
- Children's Oncology Group. Late effects directory services. Available at: https:// cogmembers.org/public/lateeffects/ default.aspx. Accessed April 21, 2021
- Bitsko MJ, Cohen D, Dillon R, Harvey J, Krull K, Klosky JL. Psychosocial late effects in pediatric cancer survivors: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*: 2016;63(2): 337–343
- Cherven B, Mertens A, Meacham LR, Williamson R, Boring C, Wasilewski-Masker K. Knowledge and risk perception of late effects among childhood cancer survivors and parents before and after visiting a childhood cancer survivor clinic. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs*. 2014;31(6):339–349
- Ford JS, Chou JF, Sklar CA. Attendance at a survivorship clinic: impact on knowledge and psychosocial adjustment. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2013;7(4): 535–543
- 21. Landier W, Chen Y, Namdar G, et al. Impact of tailored education on awareness of personal risk for therapyrelated complications among childhood cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(33):3887–3893
- 22. Casillas JN, Schwartz LF, Crespi CM, et al. The use of mobile technology and peer navigation to promote adolescent and young adult (AYA) cancer survivorship care: results of a randomized controlled trial. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2019;13(4):580–592
- 23. Kadan-Lottick NS, Robison LL, Gurney JG, et al. Childhood cancer survivors' knowledge about their past diagnosis and treatment: Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. JAMA. 2002;287(14): 1832–1839
- 24. Lindell RB, Koh SJ, Alvarez JM, et al. Knowledge of diagnosis, treatment history, and risk of late effects among childhood cancer survivors and parents: the impact of a survivorship

- clinic. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2015;62(8):1444–1451
- Syed IA, Klassen AF, Barr R, et al. Factors associated with childhood cancer survivors' knowledge about their diagnosis, treatment, and risk for late effects. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2016;10(2): 363–374
- 26. Henderson TO, Hlubocky FJ, Wroblewski KE, Diller L, Daugherty CK. Physician preferences and knowledge gaps regarding the care of childhood cancer survivors: a mailed survey of pediatric oncologists. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(5):878–883
- 27. Suh E, Daugherty CK, Wroblewski K, et al. General internists' preferences and knowledge about the care of adult survivors of childhood cancer: a cross-sectional survey. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(1):11–17
- 28. Cooley WC, Sagerman PJ; American Academy of Pediatrics; American Academy of Family Physicians; American College of Physicians; Transitions Clinical Report Authoring Group. Supporting the health care transition from adolescence to adulthood in the medical home. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(1):182–200
- 29. White PH, Cooley WC; Transitions Clinical Report Authoring Group; American Academy of Pediatrics; American Academy of Family Physicians; American College of Physicians. Supporting the health care transition from adolescence to adulthood in the medical home [published correction appears in *Pediatrics*. 2019;143(2):e20183610]. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(5):e20182587
- 30. Klipstein S, Fallat ME, Savelli S; Committee on Bioethics; Section on Hematology/Oncology; Section on Surgery. Fertility preservation for pediatric and adolescent patients with cancer: medical and ethical considerations. *Pediatrics*. 2020;145(3):e20193994
- Marcell AV, Burstein GR; Committee on Adolescence. Sexual and reproductive health care services in the pediatric setting. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(5):e20172858
- 32. Park ER, Kirchhoff AC, Perez GK, et al. Childhood Cancer Survivor Study participants' perceptions and understanding of the Affordable Care Act. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(7):764–772

- 33. Oeffinger KC, Ford JS, Moskowitz CS, et al. Promoting breast cancer surveillance: the EMPOWER study, a randomized clinical trial in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(24):2131–2140
- 34. Committee on Child Health Financing. Guiding principles for managed care arrangements for the health care of newborns, infants, children, adolescents, and young adults. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132(5). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/132/5/e1452
- 35. Eshelman-Kent D, Kinahan KE, Hobbie W, et al. Cancer survivorship practices, services, and delivery: a report from the Children's Oncology Group (COG) nursing discipline, adolescent/young adult, and late effects committees. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2011;5(4):345–357
- 36. Nathan PC, Agha M, Pole JD, et al. Predictors of attendance at specialized survivor clinics in a population-based cohort of adult survivors of childhood cancer. *J Cancer Surviv.* 2016;10(4):611–618
- 37. Merchant TE, Conklin HM, Wu S, Lustig RH, Xiong X. Late effects of conformal radiation therapy for pediatric patients with low-grade glioma: prospective evaluation of cognitive, endocrine, and hearing deficits. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(22):3691–3697
- 38. van Santen HM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, van de Wetering MD, Wallace WH. Hypogonadism in children with a previous history of cancer: endocrine management and follow-up. *Horm Res Paediatr*: 2019;91(2):93–103
- Hudson MM. Reproductive outcomes for survivors of childhood cancer. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;116(5):1171–1183
- Armstrong GT, Sklar CA, Hudson MM, Robison LL. Long-term health status among survivors of childhood cancer: does sex matter? *J Clin Oncol*. 2007; 25(28):4477–4489
- 41. King AA, Seidel K, Di C, et al. Long-term neurologic health and psychosocial function of adult survivors of childhood medulloblastoma/PNET: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Neuro Oncol.* 2017;19(5):689–698
- 42. Gawade PL, Hudson MM, Kaste SC, et al. A systematic review of selected

- musculoskeletal late effects in survivors of childhood cancer. *Curr Pediatr Rev.* 2014;10(4):249–262
- 43. Fernandez-Pineda I, Hudson MM, Pappo AS, et al. Long-term functional outcomes and quality of life in adult survivors of childhood extremity sarcomas: a report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. J Cancer Surviv. 2017;11(1):1–12
- 44. Gibson TM, Li Z, Green DM, et al. Blood pressure status in adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2017;26(12):1705–1713
- 45. Mulrooney DA, Armstrong GT, Huang S, et al. Cardiac outcomes in adult survivors of childhood cancer exposed to cardiotoxic therapy: a cross-sectional study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164(2): 93–101
- 46. Clemens E, de Vries AC, Pluijm SF, et al; DCOG-LATER, The Netherlands. Determinants of ototoxicity in 451 platinum-treated Dutch survivors of childhood cancer: a DCOG late-effects study. Eur J Cancer. 2016;69:77–85
- 47. Chemaitilly W, Armstrong GT, Gajjar A, Hudson MM. Hypothalamic-pituitary axis dysfunction in survivors of childhood CNS tumors: importance of systematic

- follow-up and early endocrine consultation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(36):4315–4319
- 48. Leisenring W, Friedman DL, Flowers ME, Schwartz JL, Deeg HJ. Nonmelanoma skin and mucosal cancers after hematopoietic cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(7):1119–1126
- 49. Armstrong GT, Oeffinger KC, Chen Y, et al. Modifiable risk factors and major cardiac events among adult survivors of childhood cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(29):3673–3680
- 50. Mueller S, Fullerton HJ, Stratton K, et al. Radiation, atherosclerotic risk factors, and stroke risk in survivors of pediatric cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2013;86(4):649–655
- 51. Jones LW, Liu Q, Armstrong GT, et al. Exercise and risk of major cardiovascular events in adult survivors of childhood Hodgkin lymphoma: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(32):3643–3650
- 52. Scott JM, Li N, Liu Q, et al. Association of exercise with mortality in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA Oncol*. 2018;4(10):1352–1358
- 53. Oancea SC, Gurney JG, Ness KK, et al. Cigarette smoking and pulmonary function in adult survivors of childhood

- cancer exposed to pulmonary-toxic therapy: results from the St. Jude lifetime cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2014;23(9):1938–1943
- 54. Huang IC, Bhakta N, Brinkman TM, et al. Determinants and consequences of financial hardship among adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2019;111(2):189–200
- Klosky JL, Howell CR, Li Z, et al. Risky health behavior among adolescents in the childhood cancer survivor study cohort. J Pediatr Psychol. 2012;37(6): 634–646
- 56. Lown EA, Hijiya N, Zhang N, et al. Patterns and predictors of clustered risky health behaviors among adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer. 2016;122(17):2747–2756
- 57. Wong JR, Morton LM, Tucker MA, et al. Risk of subsequent malignant neoplasms in long-term hereditary retinoblastoma survivors after chemotherapy and radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32 (29):3284–3290
- Armenian S, Bhatia S. Predicting and preventing anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2018;38:3–12