University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Publications in Food Science and Technology

Food Science and Technology Department

4-1-2019

Long-term use of antibiotics and risk of colorectal adenoma

Yin Cao

Kana Wu

Raaj Mehta

David A. Drew

Mingyang Song

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/foodsciefacpub



Part of the Food Science Commons

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Food Science and Technology Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications in Food Science and Technology by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska -Lincoln.

Authors Yin Cao, Kana Wu, Raaj Mehta, David A. Drew, Mingyang Song, Paul Lochhead, Long H. Nguyen, Jacques Izard, Charles S. Fuchs, Wendy S. Garrett, Curtis Huttenhower, Shuji Ogino, Edward L. Giovannucci, and Andrew T. Chan

Published in final edited form as:

Gut. 2018 April; 67(4): 672–678. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313413.

Long-term use of antibiotics and risk of colorectal adenoma

Yin Cao^{1,2,3}, Kana Wu³, Raaj Mehta^{1,2}, David A. Drew^{1,2}, Mingyang Song^{1,2,3}, Paul Lochhead^{1,2}, Long H. Nguyen^{1,2}, Jacques Izard⁴, Charles S. Fuchs^{5,6,7}, Wendy S. Garrett^{9,10,11}, Curtis Huttenhower^{10,12}, Shuji Ogino^{9,13,14}, Edward L. Giovannucci^{3,8,13}, and Andrew T. Chan^{1,2,8,10}

¹Clinical and Translational Epidemiology Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

²Division of Gastroenterology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

³Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA

⁴Food Science and Technology Department, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE

⁵Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT

⁶Department of Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT

⁷Smilow Cancer Hospital, New Haven, CT

⁸Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

⁹Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

¹⁰Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA

¹¹Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA

¹²Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA

Correspondence: Dr. Andrew T. Chan, Clinical and Translational Epidemiology Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA 02114; achan@mgh.harvard.edu; Tel: 617-726-7802; Fax: 617-726-3673. S.O., E.L.G., and A.T.C contributed equally.

Role of sponsor: The study sponsors have no role in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.

Competing interests: A.T.C. previously served as a consultant for Bayer Healthcare, Pozen and Pfizer for work unrelated to the topic of this manuscript. This study was not funded by Bayer Healthcare, Pozen or Pfizer.

Author Contributions: Drs Cao and Chan had full access to all of the data in the study, and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study concept and design: Y.C., E. L.G., A.T.C.

Acquisition of data: Y.C., K.W., C.S.F., E.L.G., A.T.C.

Analysis and interpretation of data: all coauthors

Drafting of the manuscript: Y.C., A.T.C.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all coauthors

Statistical analysis: Y.C.

Obtained funding: Y.C., K.W., C.S.F., J.I., W.S.G., C.H., S.O., E.L.G., A.T.C.

Administrative, technical, or material support: A.T.C.

Study supervision: A.T.C.

¹³Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA
 ¹⁴Division of MPE Molecular Pathological Epidemiology, Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Abstract

Objective—Recent evidence suggests that antibiotic use, which alters the gut microbiome, is associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer. However, the association between antibiotic use and risk of colorectal adenoma, the precursor for the majority of colorectal cancers, has not been investigated.

Design—We prospectively evaluated the association between antibiotic use at age 20–39 and 40–59 (assessed in 2004) and recent antibiotic use (assessed in 2008) with risk of subsequent colorectal adenoma among 16,642 women aged 60 enrolled in the Nurses' Health Study who underwent at least one colonoscopy through 2010. We used multivariate logistic regression to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results—We documented 1,195 cases of adenoma. Increasing duration of antibiotic use at age 20–39 (P_{trend}=0.002) and 40–59 (P_{trend}=0.001) was significantly associated with an increased risk of colorectal adenoma. Compared to non-users, women who used antibiotics for 2 months between age 20–39 had a multivariable OR of 1.36 (95% CI: 1.03–1.79). Women who used 2 months of antibiotics between age 40–59 had a multivariable OR of 1.69 (95% CI: 1.24–2.31). The associations were similar for low-risk vs. high-risk adenomas (size 1 cm, or with tubulovillous/villous histology, or 3 detected lesions), but appeared modestly stronger for proximal compared with distal adenomas. In contrast, recent antibiotic use within the past 4 years was not associated with risk of adenoma (P_{trend}=0.44).

Conclusions—Long-term antibiotic use in early to middle adulthood was associated with increased risk of colorectal adenoma.

Keywords

antibiotics; colorectal adenomas; colonic microflora

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, antibiotic use has increased dramatically in the U.S.¹ Accumulating evidence suggests that exposure to antibiotics may be associated with risk for chronic illnesses such as inflammatory bowel disease, ²³ celiac disease, ⁴ and obesity. ⁵⁶ It is hypothesized that the link between antibiotics and disease pathogenesis may be mediated by their effect on the taxonomic, genomic, and functional capacity of the gut microbiota. ^{7–9} Similarly, increasing data have supported a role for the gut microbiota in colorectal carcinogenesis. ^{10–12} Thus, antibiotics and their effects on the gut microbiome may lead to the promotion of biological pathways that initiate or promote colorectal neoplasia. ^{13–15}

Limited studies from cancer registries and healthcare claims in Europe with short-term follow-up suggest an association between antibiotic exposure and colorectal cancer. ^{16–18} Although these data are intriguing, limitations of these studies influence their interpretation.

First, the associations of antibiotics with colorectal cancer, particularly with shorter term follow-up may be due to residual confounding or reverse causality. For example, antibiotics may be more likely to be prescribed for symptoms associated with colorectal cancer or conditions that predispose to cancer prior to a formal diagnosis. Second, the association of recent antibiotic exposure with a higher likelihood of colorectal cancer diagnosis may also be indicative of closer or more frequent medical surveillance among these antibiotic users. Third, prior studies were based on cohorts that had limited information on potential lifestyle factors influencing the risk of colorectal cancer or the use of antibiotics. Fourth, given that colorectal cancer is believed to typically develop over at least a decade, the short-term follow-up data compiled by these studies are unlikely to capture the role of antibiotics in the initiation of colorectal neoplasia. Finally, these studies are derived from prescription records, which may not reflect actual use of these agents.

To address these limitations, we examined the association of both past and recent antibiotic use with risk of colorectal adenoma, the precursor of the majority of colorectal cancers, among women enrolled in the Nurses' Health Study (NHS), which has collected detailed information on antibiotic use and lifestyle risk factors for colorectal cancer and endoscopic screening practices since 2004 and prospectively documented cases of adenoma through 2010. Because colorectal adenomas are largely asymptomatic and detected only during a colonoscopy, an association between antibiotic use and adenoma among a cohort of individuals uniformly undergoing colonoscopy would be less likely to be confounded by symptoms associated with colorectal cancer or differential exposure to medical care.

METHODS

Study population

The NHS is an ongoing prospective cohort study of 121,700 U.S. female nurses aged 30–55 at enrollment in 1976. Participants have been mailed questionnaires every 2 years since baseline to collect data on demographics, lifestyle factors, medical history, and disease outcomes, and every 4 years to collect dietary data. In this analysis, we excluded participants with a diagnosis of cancer (except non-melanoma skin cancer), ulcerative colitis, or colorectal polyp before 2004. To reduce the potential for detection bias, we restricted the analysis to 16,642 women aged 60 and above in 2004 who reported their history of antibiotic use through age 59 via the 2004 questionnaire and subsequently reported having undergone at least one colonoscopy between 2004 and 2010 on biennial follow-up questionnaires. This study was approved by the institutional review board at the Brigham and Women's Hospital.

Ascertainment of colorectal adenoma cases and controls

On each biennial questionnaire, we asked whether participants had undergone a colonoscopy; what the indications for these procedures were; whether colon or rectal polyps had been diagnosed in the past two years; and if they had, the date of diagnosis. When a diagnosis was reported, we obtained informed consent to acquire medical records and pathology reports. Investigators blinded to any exposure information reviewed all records and extracted data on histological type, anatomic location, size and number of the polyps. If more than one adenoma was diagnosed, the subject was classified according to the largest

and most advanced histologic adenoma. Adenomas in the cecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, transverse colon or splenic flexure were classified as proximal; adenomas in the descending or sigmoid colon were classified as distal; and adenomas in the rectum or rectosigmoid junction were classified as rectal. We also grouped adenoma cases according to their features and subsequent likelihood of developing future advanced neoplasia: high-risk, defined as at least one adenoma 1 cm in diameter, or with advanced histology (tubulovillous/villous histologic features or high-grade or severe dysplasia), or 3 adenomas regardless of histology or size vs. low-risk, which included all other adenomas, ¹⁹ size (large: 1cm vs. small: <1 cm), histology (tubulovillous/villous vs. tubular), and multiplicity (3 vs. <3). Cases and controls were separately defined in each two-year period: all newly diagnosed adenomas were considered as cases and all the participants who reported colonoscopy but without a diagnosis of adenoma were defined as controls.

Assessment of antibiotic use

In 2004, participants reported their total time using antibiotics (excluding skin creams, mouthwash or Isoniazid) for the time periods between age 20–39 and 40–59. The responses were recorded in 8 categories (ranging from none to 5+ years). In 2008, participants recalled their total amount of time of antibiotic use (excluding skin creams, mouthwash or Isoniazid) during the past 4 years in 7 categories (ranging from none to 3+ years). They also reported the most common reason that an antibiotic was used, including respiratory infection, urinary tract infection (UTI), acne/rosacea, chronic bronchitis, dental, and other reason.

Statistical analysis

We evaluated the association between antibiotic use at age 20–39 and 40–59 (assessed in 2004) with risk of colorectal adenoma among women who reported a colonoscopy between 2004 and 2010 as the main analyses. The minimum detectable OR was 1.45 when comparing individuals who used antibiotics for 2 mo+ at age 20–39 to non-users, and 1.52 for individuals who used antibiotics for 2 mo+ compared to non-users at age 40–59. We also examined exposure to antibiotics over the preceding 4 years (assessed in 2008) and risk of colorectal adenoma among women who had a colonoscopy between 2008–2010. In secondary analyses, we investigated the association between antibiotic exposure during each time period and risk of high vs. low-risk adenoma, as well as according to anatomic location, size, histological type, and number of adenomas. As an exploratory analysis, we examined the association between the most common reason for antibiotic use (assessed in 2008) and risk of colorectal adenoma among women who had a colonoscopy between 2008–2010.

To account for the possibility that a single individual may have undergone multiple endoscopies between 2004 and 2010 and to handle time-varying exposure and covariates efficiently, we used an Andersen-Gill data structure with a new record for each 2-year follow-up period during which a participant underwent a colonoscopy. Exposure and covariates were set to their values at the time that the questionnaire was returned. Once a participant was diagnosed with adenoma, she was censored in all later follow-up cycles. Age and multivariable-adjusted logistic regressions for clustered data (PROC GENMOD) were used to account for repeated observations (i.e. multiple endoscopies) and to calculate odds

ratios (ORs) approximating relative risks. Tests for trend were conducted using the median of the duration of antibiotic therapy as a continuous variable.

In age-adjusted models, we controlled for age in 5-year intervals, time period of colonoscopy (in 2-year intervals); number of endoscopies (continuous); time in years since the most recent endoscopy (continuous); and reason for the current colonoscopy (screening/ symptoms/missing). In the multivariable models, we additionally adjusted for the following potential confounders (cumulatively updated when applicable): history of colorectal cancer in a first degree relative (yes/no); personal history of diabetes (yes/no); use of menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) (never/past/current); body mass index (kg/m² in quintiles); height (continuous); regular aspirin use (yes/no); current use of multivitamin (yes/no); physical activity (metabolic equivalent task [MET]-hrs/wk in quintiles); smoking (pack-years in categories: never smoker, 1–4.9, 5–19.9, 20–39.9, 40+); alcohol intake (g/d in categories: <5, 5-9.9, 10-14.9, 15-29.9, 30+); total calories (kcal/d in quintiles); folate intake (µg/d in quintiles); calcium intake (mg/d in quintiles); red and processed meat intake (servings/d in quintiles). To control for potential confounding by multiple dietary factors, we adjusted for Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI)-2010 (in quintiles), ²⁰ which features greater consumption of vegetables (excluding potatoes), fruits (excluding juices); whole grains; nuts, legumes and vegetable protein, long chain omega-3 fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids; and a lower consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, red/processed meat, sodium, trans fat, and moderate alcohol consumption. Adherence to the AHEI-2010 has been associated with reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, diabetes and cancer in our cohorts.²¹ Because alcohol was included as a separate term in our model, we used a modified AHEI-2010 without alcohol consumption. All the analyses were performed using SAS v 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All the statistical tests were two-sided and P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

We documented 1,195 newly diagnosed adenomas among 16,642 women aged 60 who had at least one colonoscopy between 2004 and 2010 and reported information on antibiotic use. We calculated the distribution of potential risk factors for adenomas according to duration of antibiotic use during age 20–39 (Table 1). Women who used antibiotics for longer duration were generally similar to women who did not have any antibiotic treatment in terms of family history of colorectal cancer, personal disease/screening history and lifestyle factors, but were more likely to regularly use menopausal hormone therapy and aspirin and undergo colonoscopy for symptoms (e.g. abdominal pain, diarrhea, constipation) rather than routine screening.

An increasing total exposure to antibiotics at age 20–39 was significantly associated with a higher risk of colorectal adenoma. Compared to non-users, women who used antibiotics for 2 months during age 20–39 had a multivariable OR for adenoma of 1.36 (95% CI, 1.03–1.79) (P_{trend}=0.002) (Table 2). The associations were similar for high-risk (size 1cm, or with tubulovillous/villous histology, or 3) compared with low-risk adenomas (Table 2 and Supplemental Table 1). In contrast, a somewhat stronger association was observed for adenomas located in the proximal compared to distal colon (Table 2).

Similarly, antibiotic use during age 40–59 was associated with an increased risk of colorectal adenoma. Women who used 2 months of antibiotics during age 40–59 had a multivariable OR for adenoma of 1.69 (95% CI, 1.24–2.31) (Table 3 and Supplemental Table 2). The associations were similar for low-risk vs. high-risk adenomas (Table 3). Longer duration of antibiotic treatment appeared to be more strongly associated with proximal adenomas (Table 3). Compared to non-users of antibiotics between age 20–39 and 40–59, women who used antibiotics for more than 15 days between both age 20–39 and more than 15 days between age 40–59 had a multivariable OR for adenoma of 1.73 (95% CI,1.19–2.51) (Supplemental Table 3).

In contrast, recent antibiotic use did not appear associated with risk of colorectal adenoma. Among women who had a colonoscopy between 2008 and 2010, antibiotic use in the past 4 years was not associated with risk of adenoma (P_{trend} =0.44) (Table 4). In addition, none of the indications for antibiotic use appeared to be significantly associated with risk of adenoma (Supplemental Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this prospective analysis nested in a large cohort of women with well-characterized risk factors for colorectal neoplasia, exposure to antibiotics earlier in life (age 20–39 and 40–59) was significantly associated with an increased risk for colorectal adenoma after age 60. In contrast, more recent antibiotic use (within 4 years) was not associated with risk. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to link duration of antibiotic use, in a dosedependent fashion, to colorectal adenoma, the primary precursor of colorectal cancer.

Our results are supported by prior studies of antibiotics and risk of colorectal cancer. A cohort study in Finland found that compared to people with 1 prescription for antibiotics, people who had 6 prescriptions had a 15% increased risk of developing colon cancer during up to 9 years of follow-up. 16 With a median follow-up of 6.2 years, a nested casecontrol study in The Health Improvement Network (THIN) of the UK suggested that the first antibiotic exposure to penicillins, cephalosporins, TMP-SMX (Trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole), and nitroimidazoles was associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer within 1-5 years. Although no association was noted for exposure to most antibiotics >5 years before diagnosis, initial use of penicillin >10 years prior to diagnosis was associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer, suggesting a possible role in the earliest stage of initiation of colorectal neoplasia. ¹⁷ A nested case-control study from the Netherlands also observed an increased risk of colorectal cancer associated with antibiotic use within 1-6 years before diagnosis. 18 Finally, in a nested case-control study among diabetic patients in Taiwan, prescriptions for antibiotics with anaerobic coverage, but not anti-aerobic coverage, were linked to an elevated risk of colorectal cancer.²² Our study significantly extends the findings of these prior studies by demonstrating an association of antibiotics with colorectal adenoma and its location, providing additional support that the association of antibiotics with colorectal cancer may be causal.

The proposed link between exposure to antibiotics and development of colorectal neoplasia is biologically plausible. Antibiotics shift the gut microbiota to temporally quasi-stable or

alternative stable states.²³²⁴ Although it is unknown what factors influence either the recovery of gut microbiota to its native state or the development of alternative states after antibiotic exposure,²⁵ this dysbiosis is generally marked by a loss of diversity, alternations in the abundance of specific taxa, shifts in metabolic capacity, and reduced resistance to colonization by invading pathogens.^{1426–28} Studies have observed depletion of the phyla Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes (Clostridia), and Proteobacteria (Enterobacteriaceae), but enrichment in Fusobacteria in patients with colorectal cancer.²⁹³⁰ The interactions of these dysbiotic microbiota with mucosal immune and epithelial cells may be critical in the initiation and/or promotion of colorectal carcinogenesis.¹⁰¹²¹⁴³¹ The higher bacterial concentration and fermentation in the proximal colon³² may also explain the observed stronger link between antibiotics and proximal adenomas. Finally, it is worth noting that pathogens that necessitate the use of antibiotics may induce inflammation, a known risk for colorectal cancer.³³ Thus, it is possible that the observed link between antibiotics and adenoma may be mediated by inflammation.

Strengths of our study include detailed assessment of antibiotic use in early and middle adulthood, as well as recent antibiotic exposure and prospective follow-up to examine the influence of long-term and short-term impact of antibiotics on colorectal carcinogenesis with minimal recall bias. We were also able to control for a range of important potential confounders, (e.g. dietary factors and physical activity), which were not included in previous analyses. In addition, although we have limited power to evaluate the association with adenomas defined by their anatomic location, it is worth noting that earlier life antibiotic exposure was more strongly associated with proximal adenomas, the subtype of adenoma that is less likely to be detected by screening colonoscopy. ³⁴ Finally, our data, collected from health professionals, are more likely to reflect actual use of these agents in contrast to prior studies that relied on prescription information.

Our study also had several limitations. First, we did not access information on spectrum and route of administration of antibiotics. As a result, we are not able to investigate the differential effects of distinct antimicrobial classes. Nonetheless, if the effect of antibiotics on cancer is specific to only specific subtypes of antibiotics, our findings would be expected to be diluted. Moreover, it has been hypothesized that the influence of antibiotics on carcinogenesis is not dependent on the antimicrobial spectrum of the agent since the gut microbial ecosystem is co-dependent; thus perturbations specific to certain strains would be expected to have a broader impact on overall gut microbial composition and function. 1428 Nonetheless, the long-term impact of recently more commonly used antibiotics (e.g. rifaximin) should be examined in future studies. Second, measurement errors associated with recall of exposure to antibiotics during early life periods may be present. However, they would be expected to be non-differential to adenoma diagnosis. Third, as an observational study, the potential for residual confounding cannot be ruled out. Fourth, because age of exposure is closely correlated with duration of exposure and time since last exposure, we were unable to disentangle these factors to examine the independent association of these variables on risk. The specific timing of antibiotic exposure relative to development of adenoma is unclear since the diagnosis of adenoma was dependent on undergoing colonoscopy. Thus, some adenomas may have been prevalent at the time of antibiotic exposure but not yet detected. However, we observed an association of antibiotic use several

decades before colonoscopy, minimizing this likelihood. Finally, the generalizability of our data to other populations, particularly men and other racial or ethnic groups, is not known. Thus, further research is needed to confirm these findings.

In conclusion, early to middle adulthood antibiotic use was associated with increased risk of colorectal adenoma, especially in the proximal colon. These data provide additional support for the association of antibiotics with colorectal cancer and the potential mediating role of the gut microbiome in carcinogenesis. Additional studies investigating the impact of antibiotic exposure with gut microbial composition and function, particularly in relation to the mechanisms underlying colorectal carcinogenesis, are warranted.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the participants and staff of the Nurses' Health Study for their valuable contributions as well as the following state cancer registries for their help: AL, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT, DE, FL, GA, ID, IL, IN, IA, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, NE, NH, NJ, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VA, WA, WY. The authors assume full responsibility for analyses and interpretation of these data.

Grant support: This work was supported by U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants [P01 CA87969 to M.J. Stampfer; UM1 CA186107 to M.J. Stampfer; P50 CA127003 to C.S.F.; R01 CA202704 to J.I., W.S.G., C.H., and A.T.C.; R01 CA137178 to A.T.C.; K24 DK098311 to A.T.C.; R01 CA151993 to S.O.; R35 CA197735 to S.O.].; and by grants from The Raymond P. Lavietes Foundation (to Y.C.), The Project P Fund, The Friends of the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, The Bennett Family Fund, and The Entertainment Industry Foundation through National Colorectal Cancer Research Alliance.

Abbreviations

AHEI Alternate Healthy Eating Index

CI confidence interval

MET metabolic equivalent task

NHS Nurses' Health Study

OR odds ratio

References

- Lee GC, Reveles KR, Attridge RT, Lawson KA, Mansi IA, Lewis JS 2nd, et al. Outpatient antibiotic prescribing in the United States: 2000 to 2010. BMC medicine. 2014; 12:96. [PubMed: 24916809]
- 2. Scribano ML, Prantera C. Antibiotics and inflammatory bowel diseases. Dig Dis. 2013; 31:379–84. [PubMed: 24246992]
- Shaw SY, Blanchard JF, Bernstein CN. Association between the use of antibiotics and new diagnoses of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2011; 106:2133–42. [PubMed: 21912437]
- 4. Canova C, Zabeo V, Pitter G, Romor P, Baldovin T, Zanotti R, et al. Association of maternal education, early infections, and antibiotic use with celiac disease: a population-based birth cohort study in northeastern Italy. Am J Epidemiol. 2014; 180:76–85. [PubMed: 24853109]

 Cox LM, Yamanishi S, Sohn J, Alekseyenko AV, Leung JM, Cho I, et al. Altering the intestinal microbiota during a critical developmental window has lasting metabolic consequences. Cell. 2014; 158:705–21. [PubMed: 25126780]

- Bailey LC, Forrest CB, Zhang P, Richards TM, Livshits A, DeRusso PA. Association of antibiotics in infancy with early childhood obesity. JAMA pediatrics. 2014; 168:1063–9. [PubMed: 25265089]
- 7. Jakobsson HE, Jernberg C, Andersson AF, Sjolund-Karlsson M, Jansson JK, Engstrand L. Short-term antibiotic treatment has differing long-term impacts on the human throat and gut microbiome. PloS one. 2010; 5:e9836. [PubMed: 20352091]
- 8. Sommer MO, Dantas G, Church GM. Functional characterization of the antibiotic resistance reservoir in the human microflora. Science. 2009; 325:1128–31. [PubMed: 19713526]
- Ianiro G, Tilg H, Gasbarrini A. Antibiotics as deep modulators of gut microbiota: between good and evil. Gut. 2016
- Louis P, Hold GL, Flint HJ. The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal cancer. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014; 12:661–72. [PubMed: 25198138]
- Flemer B, Lynch DB, Brown JM, Jeffery IB, Ryan FJ, Claesson MJ, et al. Tumour-associated and non-tumour-associated microbiota in colorectal cancer. Gut. 2016
- 12. Nakatsu G, Li X, Zhou H, Sheng J, Wong SH, Wu WK, et al. Gut mucosal microbiome across stages of colorectal carcinogenesis. Nature communications. 2015; 6:8727.
- 13. Jernberg C, Lofmark S, Edlund C, Jansson JK. Long-term impacts of antibiotic exposure on the human intestinal microbiota. Microbiology. 2010; 156:3216–23. [PubMed: 20705661]
- 14. Lange K, Buerger M, Stallmach A, Bruns T. Effects of antibiotics on gut microbiota. Dig Dis. 2016; 34:260–8. [PubMed: 27028893]
- Blaser MJ. Antibiotic use and its consequences for the normal microbiome. Science. 2016;
 352:544–5. [PubMed: 27126037]
- 16. Kilkkinen A, Rissanen H, Klaukka T, Pukkala E, Heliovaara M, Huovinen P, et al. Antibiotic use predicts an increased risk of cancer. Int J Cancer. 2008; 123:2152–55. [PubMed: 18704945]
- 17. Boursi B, Haynes K, Mamtani R, Yang YX. Impact of antibiotic exposure on the risk of colorectal cancer. Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety. 2015; 24:534–42. [PubMed: 25808540]
- 18. Dik VK, van Oijen MG, Smeets HM, Siersema PD. Frequent use of antibiotics is associated with colorectal cancer risk: results of a nested case-control study. Dig Dis Sci. 2016; 61:255–64. [PubMed: 26289256]
- Lieberman DA, Rex DK, Winawer SJ, Giardiello FM, Johnson DA, Levin TR. Guidelines for colonoscopy surveillance after screening and polypectomy: a consensus update by the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology. 2012; 143:844–57. [PubMed: 22763141]
- McCullough ML, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci EL, Rimm EB, Hu FB, et al. Diet quality and major chronic disease risk in men and women: moving toward improved dietary guidance. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002; 76:1261–71. [PubMed: 12450892]
- Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Wang M, et al. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. J Nutr. 2012; 142:1009–18. [PubMed: 22513989]
- 22. Wang JL, Chang CH, Lin JW, Wu LC, Chuang LM, Lai MS. Infection, antibiotic therapy and risk of colorectal cancer: a nationwide nested case-control study in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Int J Cancer. 2014; 135:956–67. [PubMed: 24470385]
- Dethlefsen L, Huse S, Sogin ML, Relman DA. The pervasive effects of an antibiotic on the human gut microbiota, as revealed by deep 16S rRNA sequencing. PLoS biology. 2008; 6:e280. [PubMed: 19018661]
- 24. Jernberg C, Lofmark S, Edlund C, Jansson JK. Long-term ecological impacts of antibiotic administration on the human intestinal microbiota. Isme J. 2007; 1:56–66. [PubMed: 18043614]
- Dethlefsen L, Relman DA. Incomplete recovery and individualized responses of the human distal gut microbiota to repeated antibiotic perturbation. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011; 108(Suppl 1): 4554–61.
- 26. Abreu MT, Peek RM Jr. Gastrointestinal malignancy and the microbiome. Gastroenterology. 2014; 146:1534–46.e3. [PubMed: 24406471]

27. Wang TT, Cai GX, Qiu YP, Fei N, Zhang MH, Pang XY, et al. Structural segregation of gut microbiota between colorectal cancer patients and healthy volunteers. Isme J. 2012; 6:320–29. [PubMed: 21850056]

- 28. Modi SR, Collins JJ, Relman DA. Antibiotics and the gut microbiota. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2014; 124:4212–8. [PubMed: 25271726]
- Castellarin M, Warren RL, Freeman JD, Dreolini L, Krzywinski M, Strauss J, et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum infection is prevalent in human colorectal carcinoma. Genome research. 2012; 22:299– 306. [PubMed: 22009989]
- 30. Ahn J, Sinha R, Pei Z, Dominianni C, Wu J, Shi J, et al. Human gut microbiome and risk for colorectal cancer. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2013; 105:1907–11. [PubMed: 24316595]
- 31. Kostic AD, Gevers D, Pedamallu CS, Michaud M, Duke F, Earl AM, et al. Genomic analysis identifies association of Fusobacterium with colorectal carcinoma. Genome research. 2012; 22:292–8. [PubMed: 22009990]
- 32. den Besten G, van Eunen K, Groen AK, Venema K, Reijngoud DJ, Bakker BM. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. J Lipid Res. 2013; 54:2325–40. [PubMed: 23821742]
- 33. Terzic J, Grivennikov S, Karin E, Karin M. Inflammation and colon cancer. Gastroenterology. 2010; 138:2101–14.e5. [PubMed: 20420949]
- 34. Laiyemo AO, Doubeni C, Sanderson AK 2nd, Pinsky PF, Badurdeen DS, Doria-Rose VP, et al. Likelihood of missed and recurrent adenomas in the proximal versus the distal colon. Gastrointestinal endoscopy. 2011; 74:253–61. [PubMed: 21549375]

Summary Box

1. What is already known about this subject: 3-4 bullet points

• Increasing data have supported a role for the gut microbiota in colorectal carcinogenesis.

- Limited studies from cancer registries and healthcare claims with short-term follow-up suggest an association between antibiotic exposure and colorectal cancer.
- The association between antibiotic use and risk of colorectal adenoma, the precursor for the majority of colorectal cancers, has not been investigated.

2. What are the new findings: 3-4 bullet points

- Exposure to antibiotics earlier in life (age 20–39 and 40–59) was significantly associated with an increased risk for colorectal adenoma after age 60.
- More recent antibiotic use (within 4 years) was not associated with risk of colorectal adenoma.
- These data provide additional support for the association of antibiotics with colorectal cancer and the potential mediating role of the gut microbiome in carcinogenesis.

3. How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

• The findings, if confirmed by other studies, suggest the potential need to limit the use of antibiotics and sources of inflammation that may drive tumor formation.

Cao et al.

Table 1

Characteristics of participants according to antibiotic use at age 20-39, NHS 2004

	None	<15 d	15 d-2 mo	2 mo+
Age, y*	71.9(6.2)	(8.9(5.8)	68.0(5.5)	67.2(5.1)
Family history of cancer, %	21	19	18	20
History of diabetes, %	8.1	8.7	9.5	8.8
Height, cm	164(6)	164(6)	164(6)	164(6)
$BMI, kg/m^2$	25.4(4.2)	25.6(4.4)	25.7(4.4)	25.4(4.4)
Current hormone therapy use, %	16	19	21	25
Current endoscopy due to symptoms, %	15	19	21	25
Number of previous endoscopies	2.8(1.7)	3.0(1.8)	3.0(1.8)	3.1(1.8)
Regular use of aspirin, %	39	42	45	47
Current use of multivitamin, %	74	77	79	79
Physical activity, MET-hrs/wk	19.9(16.3)	18.9(16.4)	18.0(15.8)	19.4(16.8)
Pack-years among ever smokers	18.8(18.7)	21.1(19.3)	19.0(17.5)	18.5(17.7)
Alcohol intake, g/d	2.4(1.0)	2.3(0.9)	2.3(0.9)	2.3(0.9)
Total calorie intake, kcal/d	1674(380)	1708(400)	1774(404)	1809(410)
Folate intake, µg/d	492(160)	499(170)	500(166)	530(175)
Calcium intake, mg/d	1103(337)	1095(345)	1110(327)	1159(358)
Red meat intake, servings/wk	5.4(2.5)	5.6(2.7)	5.9(2.7)	5.8(2.7)
Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) 2010^{7}	48.8(8.6)	48.6(8.6)	47.8(8.9)	48.8(9.3)

^{*}All values other than age have been directly standardized to age distribution (in 5-year age group) of all the participants. Mean (SD) was presented for continuous variables.

Page 12

^{7.} Without alcohol intake.

Cao et al.

Table 2

Antibiotic use at age 20-39 and risk of colorectal adenoma, NHS 2004-2010

		Antibiotic	Antibiotic use at age 20–39		6
	None	1–14 d	15 d-2 mo	2 m0+	Ftrend
Total adenoma					
No. of cases (n=1195)	141	653	296	105	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.13(0.93-1.37)	1.40(1.13–1.74)	1.36(1.04–1.79)	0.001
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.12(0.92–1.36)	1.41(1.13–1.75)	1.36(1.03–1.79)	0.002
High risk [‡]					
No. of cases (n=436)	51	251	100	34	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.25(0.92–1.71)	1.40(0.99–2.00)	1.35(0.86–2.11)	0.22
Multivariable [†] OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.23(0.90-1.68)	1.43(1.00–2.05)	1.37(0.86–2.16)	0.14
Low risk					
No. of cases (n=630)	73	331	167	59	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.08(0.83-1.40)	1.47(1.10–1.96)	1.40(0.97–2.00)	0.002
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.08(0.82–1.41)	1.47(1.09–1.97)	1.42(0.98–2.05)	0.002
Proximal					
No. of cases (n=709)	82	391	176	09	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.18(0.92–1.51)	1.46(1.11–1.92)	1.36(0.96–1.93)	0.02
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.17(0.91–1.51)	1.46(1.10–1.93)	1.43(1.00–2.04)	0.01
Distal					
No. of cases (n=509)	29	271	128	43	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	0.99(0.75–1.30)	1.29(0.94–1.76)	1.20(0.81–1.79)	0.04
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	0.98(0.74–1.30)	1.31(0.96–1.81)	1.18(0.78–1.78)	0.04
Rectal					
No. of cases (n=163)	13	96	40	14	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.88(1.03–3.43)	2.21(1.15–4.24)	2.16(0.99–4.71)	0.12
Multivariable [†] OR (95% CI)	1 (referent)	1.84(1.00–3.38)	2.23(1.16-4.30)	1.95(0.87-4.37)	0.17

Page 13

Adjusted for age, time period of colonoscopy, number of reported endoscopies, time since most recent endoscopy and reason for current colonoscopy.

[†]Additionally adjusted for family history of colorectal cancer, history of diabetes, menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use, body mass index, height, regular use of aspirin, current use of multivitamin, alcohol intake, smoking, total calorie, folate, calcium intake, red and processed meat intake, and Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) 2010.

 $^{\sharp}$ High-risk adenomas include adenoma 1cm, or with tubulovillous/villous histology, or 3 adenomas.

Cao et al.

Table 3

Antibiotic use at age 40-59 and risk of colorectal adenoma, NHS 2004-2010

		Antibiotic 1	Antibiotic use at age 40–59		٠.
	None	1–14 d	15 d-2 mo	2 mo+	I trend
Total adenoma					
No. of cases (n=1195)	99	637	357	135	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.35(1.04–1.75)	1.53(1.17–2.01)	1.74(1.28–2.37)	<0.001
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.32(1.01–1.72)	1.51(1.14–1.99)	1.69(1.24–2.31)	0.001
High risk <i>‡</i>					
No. of cases (n=436)	26	241	123	46	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.34(0.89–2.02)	1.42(0.92–2.19)	1.64(1.00–2.67)	0.11
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.32(0.87–2.02)	1.43(0.92–2.22)	1.60(0.97–2.65)	0.12
Low risk					
No. of cases (n=630)	32	332	194	72	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.41(0.98–2.05)	1.66(1.13–2.43)	1.82(1.19–2.80)	0.01
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.37(0.95–1.99)	1.61(1.10–2.37)	1.74(1.13–2.70)	0.01
Proximal					
No. of cases (n=709)	29	382	228	70	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.84(1.25–2.71)	2.24(1.51–3.34)	2.07(1.32–3.22)	0.01
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.89(1.28–2.79)	2.29(1.53–3.42)	2.13(1.35–3.35)	0.01
Distal					
No. of cases (n=509)	34	269	142	64	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.11(0.78–1.60)	1.20(0.82–1.76)	1.67(1.09–2.55)	0.01
Multivariable † OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.04(0.72–1.50)	1.15(0.78–1.68)	1.49(0.96–2.29)	0.02
Rectal					
No. of cases (n=163)	11	92	44	16	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.20(0.64–2.28)	1.20(0.61–2.36)	1.34(0.61–2.95)	0.64
Multivariable [†] OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.16(0.61–2.21)	1.14(0.57–2.29)	1.37(0.62–3.04)	0.56

Page 15

Adjusted for age, time period of colonoscopy, number of reported endoscopies, time since most recent endoscopy and reason for current colonoscopy.

[†]Additionally adjusted for family history of colorectal cancer, history of diabetes, menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use, body mass index, height, regular use of aspirin, current use of multivitamin, alcohol intake, smoking, total calorie, folate, calcium intake, red and processed meat intake, and Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) 2010.

 $^{\sharp}$ High-risk adenomas include adenoma 1cm, or with tubulovillous/villous histology, or 3 adenomas.

Cao et al.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$

		Antibiotic use	Antibiotic use in the past 4 years	s	٥
	None	1–14 d	15 d-2 mo	2 m0+	I trend
Total adenoma					
No. of cases (n=180)	45	89	51	16	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	0.84(0.57-1.23)	0.98(0.65–1.47)	1.06(0.59–1.91)	0.54
Multivariable $^{\not o}$ OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	0.84(0.56–1.26)	1.02(0.66–1.56)	1.10(0.60–2.02)	0.44
High risk [‡]					
No. of cases (n=67)	16	29	14	∞	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.00(0.54–1.86)	0.74(0.36–1.52)	1.43(0.60–3.40)	0.76
Multivariable [†] OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	0.96(0.52-1.80)	0.72(0.36–1.47)	1.59(0.66–3.81)	0.62
Low risk					
No. of cases (n=94)	26	29	31	&	
Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	0.62(0.36–1.06)	1.04(0.61–1.78)	0.95(0.43–2.13)	0.35
Multivariable $^{\not au}$ OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	0.63(0.36–1.11)	1.14(0.64–2.01)	0.99(0.42–2.31)	0.27
Proximal					
No. of cases (n=107)	21	42	36	~	
Age-adjusted*OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.12(0.66–1.91)	1.53(0.89–2.63)	1.17(0.51–2.68)	0.29
Multivariable [†] OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.11(0.64–1.92)	1.64(0.93–2.87)	1.25(0.54–2.89)	0.18
Distal					
No. of cases $(n=77)$	25	27	20	5	

Page 17

0.59

0.63(0.22-1.77)

0.69(0.37-1.31)

0.60(0.34-1.06)

0.47

0.60(0.35-1.04) 0.69(0.38-1.26) 0.59(0.22-1.56)

1(referent) 1(referent)

Age-adjusted *OR (95% CI)
Multivariable [†]OR (95% CI)

0.87

1.77(0.43-7.39)

0.86(0.25-2.91)

1.43(0.50-4.10)

0.93

0.81(0.23–2.85) 1.61(0.38–6.74)

1.32(0.46-3.82)

1(referent) 1(referent)

Age-adjusted * OR (95% CI) Multivariable $^{\uparrow}$ OR (95% CI)

No. of cases (n=25)

Rectal

12

Adjusted for age, time period of colonoscopy, number of reported endoscopies, time since most recent endoscopy and reason for current colonoscopy.

[†]Additionally adjusted for family history of colorectal cancer, history of diabetes, menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use, body mass index, height, regular use of aspirin, current use of multivitamin, alcohol intake, smoking, total calorie, folate, calcium intake, red and processed meat intake, and Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) 2010.

 $^{\sharp}$ High-risk adenomas include adenoma 1cm, or with tubulovillous/villous histology, or $\, 3 \,$ adenomas.

Supplemental Table 1. Antibiotic use at age 20-39 and risk of colorectal adenoma by size, histology and multiplicity, NHS 2004-2010

		Antibiotic	use at age 20-39		- P _{trend}
	None	1-14 d	15 d-2 mo	2 mo+	- Ptrend
Large					
No. of cases (n=305)	32	176	72	25	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.34(0.91-1.97)	1.52(0.98-2.33)	1.46(0.85-2.51)	0.22
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.32(0.89-1.95)	1.57(1.02-2.43)	1.56(0.90-2.71)	0.10
Small					
No. of cases (n=884)	104	477	223	80	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.12(0.90-1.40)	1.43(1.12-1.83)	1.40(1.03-1.91)	0.001
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.12(0.89-1.40)	1.43(1.11-1.83)	1.37(1.00-1.88)	0.003
Villous					
No. of cases (n=177)	22	105	34	16	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.27(0.78-2.05)	1.17(0.66-2.09)	1.57(0.80-3.10)	0.43
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.23(0.76-1.99)	1.15(0.65-2.03)	1.51(0.75-3.05)	0.48
Tubular					
No. of cases (n=836)	101	440	222	73	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.05(0.84-1.32)	1.45(1.13-1.86)	1.30(0.95-1.78)	0.001
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.05(0.83-1.32)	1.44(1.12-1.86)	1.31(0.95-1.80)	0.002
≥3 Adenomas					
No. of cases (n=144)	15	85	33	11	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.51(0.87-2.63)	1.69(0.90-3.18)	1.64(0.75-3.61)	0.33
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.57(0.88-2.80)	1.82(0.95-3.49)	1.82(0.81-4.11)	0.22
1-2 Adenoma(s)					
No. of cases (n=1051)	126	568	263	94	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.08(0.88-1.33)	1.37(1.09-1.72)	1.33(1.00-1.77)	0.002
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.07(0.87-1.32)	1.37(1.09-1.72)	1.31(0.98-1.75)	0.003

^{*}Adjusted for age, time period of colonoscopy, number of reported endoscopies, time since most recent endoscopy and reason for current colonoscopy.

[†]Additionally adjusted for family history of colorectal cancer, history of diabetes, menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use, body mass index, height, regular use of aspirin, current use of multivitamin, alcohol intake, smoking, total calorie, folate, calcium intake, red and processed meat intake, and Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) 2010.

Supplemental Table 2. Antibiotic use at age 40-59 and risk of colorectal adenoma by size, histology and multiplicity, NHS 2004-2010

		Antibiotic	use at age 40-59		
	None	1-14 d	15 d-2 mo	2 mo+	- P _{trend}
Large					
No. of cases (n=305)	18	168	89	30	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.32(0.81-2.15)	1.42(0.85-2.38)	1.46(0.81-2.64)	0.34
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.32(0.79-2.18)	1.46(0.86-2.47)	1.48(0.80-2.72)	0.29
Small					
No. of cases (n=884)	47	469	263	105	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.39(1.02-1.88)	1.58(1.15-2.18)	1.89(1.32-2.70)	< 0.001
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.35(0.99-1.83)	1.53(1.11-2.10)	1.81(1.26-2.60)	0.001
Villous					
No. of cases (n=177)	12	97	47	21	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.20(0.65-2.20)	1.22(0.64-2.34)	1.70(0.82-3.50)	0.17
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.15(0.62-2.12)	1.15(0.60-2.21)	1.59(0.76-3.33)	0.24
Tubular					
No. of cases (n=836)	45	442	257	92	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.36(0.99-1.86)	1.60(1.15-2.21)	1.71(1.18-2.47)	0.003
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.36(0.99-1.87)	1.60(1.15-2.23)	1.68(1.16-2.45)	0.01
≥3 Adenomas					
No. of cases (n=144)	7	85	38	14	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.79(0.82-3.92)	1.71(0.75-3.90)	1.96(0.77-4.98)	0.57
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.67(0.76-3.66)	1.66(0.73-3.77)	1.76(0.69-4.55)	0.64
1-2 Adenoma(s)					
No. of cases (n=1051)	59	552	319	121	
Age-adjusted* OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.29(0.98-1.71)	1.51(1.13-2.01)	1.71(1.24-2.37)	< 0.001
Multivariable† OR (95% CI)	1(referent)	1.28(0.97-1.69)	1.49(1.11-1.99)	1.69(1.21-2.34)	< 0.001

^{*}Adjusted for age, time period of colonoscopy, number of reported endoscopies, time since most recent endoscopy and reason for current colonoscopy.

[†]Additionally adjusted for family history of colorectal cancer, history of diabetes, menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use, body mass index, height, regular use of aspirin, current use of multivitamin, alcohol intake, smoking, total calorie, folate, calcium intake, red and processed meat intake, and Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) 2010.

Supplemental Table 3. Joint analysis of antibiotic use at age 20-39 and 40-59 and risk of colorectal adenoma*, NHS 2004-2010

Age 20-39		Age 40-59	
_	None	1-14 d	15 d+
None	34	88	20
	1(referent)	1.29(0.86-1.95)	1.26(0.70-2.28)
1-14 d	28	489	151
	1.06(0.63-1.78)	1.37(0.95-1.97)	1.47(1.00-2.18)
15 d+	4	72	327
	1.01(0.34-2.94)	1.56(1.02-2.40)	1.73(1.19-2.51)

Supplemental Table 4. Reason for antibiotic use and risk of colorectal adenoma, NHS 2008-2010

	No. of cases/non-cases	OR (95% CI)
Respiratory infection	52/1724	1(referent)
UTI	30/629	1.50(0.94-2.39)
Acne/Rosacea	1/55	0.50(0.07-3.80)
Chronic Bronchitis	2/96	0.71(0.17-3.04)
Dental	27/842	1.10(0.67-1.81)
Other Reason	22/795	0.87(0.51-1.48)

^{*}Adjusted for the same set of covariates as in Table 2.