

# LONGEST INCREASING SUBSEQUENCES OF RANDOM COLORED PERMUTATIONS

ALEXEI BORODIN

Department of Mathematics, The University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, U.S.A.  
borodine@math.upenn.edu

Submitted: February 7, 1999; Accepted: February 15, 1999.

ABSTRACT. We compute the limit distribution for the (centered and scaled) length of the longest increasing subsequence of random colored permutations. The limit distribution function is a power of that for usual random permutations computed recently by Baik, Deift, and Johansson (math.CO/9810105). In the two-colored case our method provides a different proof of a similar result by Tracy and Widom about the longest increasing subsequences of signed permutations (math.CO/9811154).

Our main idea is to reduce the ‘colored’ problem to the case of usual random permutations using certain combinatorial results and elementary probabilistic arguments.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Baik, Deift, and Johansson recently solved a problem about the asymptotic behavior of the length  $l_n$  of the longest increasing subsequence for random permutations of order  $n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (with the uniform distribution on the symmetric group  $S_n$ ). They proved, see [BDJ], that the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{l_n - 2\sqrt{n}}{n^{1/6}} \right\}$$

converges in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a certain random variable whose distribution function we shall denote by  $F(x)$ . This distribution function can be expressed via a solution of the Painlevé II equation, see [BDJ] for details. It was first obtained by Tracy and Widom [TW1] in the framework of Random Matrix Theory where it gives the limit distribution for the (centered and scaled) largest eigenvalue in the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble of Hermitian matrices.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A05, Secondary 60F99.

The problem of the asymptotics of  $l_n$  was first raised by Ulam [U]. Substantial contributions to the solution of the problem have been made by Hammersley [H], Logan and Shepp [LS], Vershik and Kerov [VK1, VK2].

A survey of the interesting history of this problem, further references, and a discussion of its intriguing connection with Random Matrix Theory can be found in [BDJ].

Soon after the appearance of [BDJ] Tracy and Widom computed the asymptotic behavior of the length  $l'_n$  of the longest increasing for the random ‘signed permutations’, see definitions in the next section. In [TW2] they showed that

$$\left\{ \frac{l'_n - 2\sqrt{2n}}{2^{2/3}(2n)^{1/6}} \right\}$$

converges in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a random variable with the distribution function  $F^2(x)$ .

The present paper provides another proof of the result by Tracy and Widom. In our approach the distribution function  $F^2(x)$  arises as the distribution function of the maximum of two asymptotically independent variables each of which behaves as  $(l_n - 2\sqrt{n})/n^{1/6}$  (hence, by [BDJ], converges to the distribution given by  $F(x)$ ).

The combinatorial techniques we use relies on recent works by Rains [R] and Fomin & Stanton [FS]. It also allows to handle a more general case of ‘colored permutations’ (the problem for ‘two-colored case’, essentially, coincides with that for signed permutations). We show that for the length  $l''_n$  of the longest increasing subsequence of the random  $m$ -colored permutations of order  $n$  the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{l''_n - 2\sqrt{mn}}{m^{2/3}(mn)^{1/6}} \right\}$$

converges in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a random variable with distribution function  $F^m(x)$ . The function  $F^m(x)$  naturally appears as the distribution function of the maximum of  $m$  asymptotically independent variables, each having  $F(x)$  as the limit distribution function.

Combinatorial quantities which we consider can be also interpreted as expectations of certain central functions on unitary groups, see Section 4.

I am very grateful to G. I. Olshanski for a number of valuable discussions.

## 2. COLORED PERMUTATIONS AND SIGNED PERMUTATIONS

A *colored permutation* is a map from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that its composition with the projection on the first component of the target set is a permutation (of order  $n$ ). One can view such a map as a permutation with one of  $m$  colors attributed to each of  $n$  points which this permutation permutes. The set of all colored permutations of order  $n$  with  $m$  colors will be denoted by  $S_n^{(m)}$ .

An *increasing subsequence* of  $\pi \in S_n^{(m)}$  is a sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$  such that the first coordinates of  $\pi(i_j)$  increase in  $j$  and the second coordinates of  $\pi(i_j)$  are equal. Thus, elements of an increasing subsequence are of the same color, say,  $p$ . The *length* of such increasing subsequence is defined to be  $m(k-1) + p$ .

These definitions are due to Rains [R]. A slightly more general notion of *hook permutation* was introduced and intensively used earlier by Stanton and White [SW].

We shall consider  $S_n^{(m)}$  as a probability space with uniform distribution: probability of each colored permutation is  $|S_n^{(m)}|^{-1} = (m^n n!)^{-1}$ . Then the length of the longest increasing subsequence becomes a random variable on this space, it will be denoted as  $L_n^{col(m)}$ .

Let  $H_n$  be the hyperoctahedral group of order  $n$  defined as the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \times S_n$  ( $S_n$  is the symmetric group of order  $n$ ). The elements of  $H_n$  are called *signed permutations*. This group can be naturally embedded in  $S_{2n}$  as the group of permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{-n, -n+1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n-1, n\}$  subject to the condition  $\sigma(-x) = -\sigma(x)$ . Indeed, each such permutation is parametrized by the permutation  $|\sigma| \in S_n$  and the set of signs of  $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)$ . Using the natural ordering on the set  $\{-n, -n+1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n-1, n\}$  we can define the length of the longest increasing subsequence for each signed permutation. Assuming that every signed permutation has probability  $|H_n|^{-1} = (2^n n!)^{-1}$ , we get a random variable on  $H_n$  which will be denoted as  $L_n^{even}$ .

The group  $H_n$  can also be embedded into the symmetric group of order  $2n+1$ : we add 0 to the set  $\{-n, -n+1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n-1, n\}$  and assume that the elements  $\sigma \in H_n$  satisfy the same condition  $\sigma(-x) = -\sigma(x)$ . Clearly, this implies  $\sigma(0) = 0$ . The random variable on  $H_n$  equal to the length of the longest increasing subsequence with respect to this realization will be denoted by  $L_n^{odd}$ .

Note that for any element  $\sigma \in H_n$ ,

$$L_n^{odd}(\sigma) - L_n^{even}(\sigma) = 0 \text{ or } 1. \quad (2.1)$$

### 3. RIM HOOK TABLEAUX

We refer to the work [SW] for the definitions concerning rim hook tableaux.

The next claim is a direct consequence of the Schensted algorithm, see [S].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Permutations of order  $n$  with the length of longest increasing subsequence equal to  $l$  are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape with  $n$  boxes and width  $l$ .*

Here is a generalization of this claim for colored permutations.

**Proposition 3.2** [R], [SW]. *Colored permutations with  $m$  colors of order  $n$  with the length of longest increasing subsequence equal to  $l$  are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs of  $m$ -rim hook tableaux of the same shape with  $mn$  boxes and width  $l$ .*

In [SW] it was proved that  $\lceil \frac{l}{m} \rceil = \lceil \frac{w}{m} \rceil$  where  $w$  is the width of the rim hook tableau corresponding to a permutation with the length of longest increasing subsequence equal to  $l$  ( $\lceil a \rceil$  stands for the smallest integer  $\geq a$ ). The refinement of this statement given above was published in [R].

**Proposition 3.3 [R].** *Signed permutations of order  $n$  embedded in  $S_{2n}$  with the length of longest increasing subsequence equal to  $l$  are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs of 2-rim hook tableaux of the same shape with  $2n$  boxes and width  $l$ .*

The length of the longest increasing subsequence for signed permutations embedded into the symmetric group of odd order can also be interpreted in terms of rim hook tableaux, see [R, proof of Theorem 2.3].

Note that Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 imply that the distributions of random variables  $L_n^{col(2)}$  and  $L_n^{even}$  coincide.

#### 4. EXPECTATIONS OVER UNITARY GROUPS

Everywhere below the symbol  $\mathbb{E}_{U \in U(k)} f(U)$  stands for the integral of  $f$  over  $U \in U(k)$  with respect to the Haar measure on the unitary group  $U(k)$  normalized so that  $\mathbb{E}_{U \in U(k)} 1 = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes the expectation of  $f$  with respect to the uniform distribution on the unitary group).

**Proposition 4.1 [R].**

$$\text{Prob}\{L_n^{col(m)} \leq k\} = (m^n n!)^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{U \in U(k)} (|\text{Tr}(U^m)^n|^2). \quad (4.1)$$

**Proposition 4.2 [R].**

$$\text{Prob}\{L_n^{even} \leq k\} = (2^n n!)^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{U \in U(k)} (|\text{Tr}(U^2)^n|^2). \quad (4.2)$$

$$\text{Prob}\{L_n^{odd} \leq k\} = (2^n n!)^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{U \in U(k)} (|\text{Tr}(U^2)^n \text{Tr}(U)|^2). \quad (4.3)$$

[DS] gives (4.1) for  $k \geq mn$ , (4.2) for  $k \geq 2n$ , and (4.3) for  $k \geq 2n + 1$ . For such values of  $k$  the left-hand sides of (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) are all equal to 1.

#### 5. RIM HOOK LATTICES

Our main reference for this section is the work [FS] by Fomin and Stanton.

For this section we fix an integer number  $m$ , all our rim hooks here will contain exactly  $m$  boxes.

Let  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  be shapes (Young diagrams) such that  $\mu \subset \lambda$  and  $\lambda - \mu$  is a ( $m$ -)rim hook. Then we shall write  $\mu \nearrow \lambda$ .

We introduce a partial order on the set of Young diagrams as follows:  $\lambda \succeq \mu$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_k$  of Young diagrams such that

$\mu \nearrow \nu_1 \nearrow \nu_2 \nearrow \cdots \nearrow \nu_k \nearrow \lambda$ . The empty Young diagram is denoted by  $\emptyset$ . We shall say that a Young diagram  $\lambda$  is  $m$ -decomposable if  $\lambda \succeq \emptyset$ .

The poset of all  $m$ -decomposable shapes with  $\succeq$  as the order is called *rim hook lattice* and is denoted by  $RH_m$ . (It can be shown that this poset is indeed a lattice).

For  $m = 1$  we get the *Young lattice*: the poset of all Young diagrams ordered by inclusion. The Young lattice will be denoted by  $\mathbb{Y}$ .

**Proposition 5.1 [FS].** *The rim hook lattice  $RH_m$  is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of  $m$  copies of the Young lattice:  $RH_m \cong \mathbb{Y}^m$ .*

In other words,  $RH_m$  is isomorphic to the poset of  $m$ -tuples of Young diagrams with the following coordinate-wise ordering: one tuple is greater than or equal to another tuple if the  $k$ th coordinate of the first tuple includes (i.e., greater than or equal to) the  $k$ th coordinate of the second tuple for all  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

Clearly, the number of  $m$ -rim hook tableaux of a given shape  $\lambda$  is equal to the number of paths  $\emptyset \nearrow \nu_1 \nearrow \nu_2 \nearrow \cdots \nearrow \nu_k \nearrow \lambda$ ,  $k = |\lambda|/m - 1$ , from  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda$  (and is equal to 0 if  $\lambda$  is not  $m$ -decomposable),  $|\lambda|$  stands for the number of boxes in  $\lambda$ . We shall denote this number by  $\dim_m \lambda$  and call it the  $m$ -dimension of the shape  $\lambda$ .

Take any  $\lambda \in RH_m$  and the corresponding  $m$ -tuple  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Y}^m$ . Note that  $|\lambda| = m(|\lambda_1| + \cdots + |\lambda_m|)$ . We have

$$\dim_m \lambda = \frac{(|\lambda_1| + \cdots + |\lambda_m|)!}{|\lambda_1|! \cdots |\lambda_m|!} \cdot \dim_1 \lambda_1 \cdots \dim_1 \lambda_m. \tag{5.1}$$

Indeed, to specify the path from  $\emptyset$  to  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  we need to specify  $m$  paths from  $\emptyset$  to  $\lambda_k$  in the  $k$ th copy of  $\mathbb{Y}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  together with the order in which we make steps along those paths. The number of different orders is the combinatorial coefficient in the right-hand side of (5.1) while the number of different possibilities for the  $m$  paths in  $\mathbb{Y}$  is the product of 1-dimensions  $\dim_1 \lambda_1 \cdots \dim_1 \lambda_m$ .

Note that the number of pairs of  $m$ -rim hook tableaux of the same shape  $\lambda$  is exactly

$$\dim_m^2 \lambda = \left( \frac{(|\lambda_1| + \cdots + |\lambda_m|)!}{|\lambda_1|! \cdots |\lambda_m|!} \right)^2 \cdot \dim_1^2 \lambda_1 \cdots \dim_1^2 \lambda_m. \tag{5.2}$$

Let us denote by  $w(\lambda)$  the width of a Young diagram  $\lambda$ . We shall need the following

**Observation 5.2.** *For any  $\lambda \in RH_m$  and corresponding  $m$ -tuple  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Y}^m$  we have*

$$m \cdot \max\{w(\lambda_1), \dots, w(\lambda_m)\} - w(\lambda) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}. \tag{5.3}$$

This follows immediately from the explicit construction of the isomorphism from Proposition 5.1, see [FS, §2].

Observation 5.2 will be crucial for our further considerations.

## 6. PLANCHEREL DISTRIBUTIONS

Using the correspondence from Proposition 3.2 (which is exactly the rim hook generalization of the Schensted algorithm, see [SW]), we can associate to each  $m$ -colored permutation of order  $n$  a Young diagram with  $mn$  boxes — the common shape of the corresponding pair of  $m$ -rim hook tableaux. The image of the uniform distribution on  $S_n^{(m)}$  under this map gives a probability distribution on  $m$ -decomposable Young diagrams with  $mn$  boxes; the weight of a Young diagram  $\lambda$  is, clearly, equal to  $(m^n n!)^{-1} \cdot \dim_m^2 \lambda$ . As a consequence, we get (cf. [FS, Corollary 1.6])

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=mn} \dim_m^2 \lambda = m^n n!. \quad (6.1)$$

Using the isomorphism of Proposition 5.1 we transfer our probability distribution to the set of  $m$ -tuples of Young diagrams with total number of boxes equal to  $n$ . Then by (5.2) we see that the probability of an  $m$ -tuple  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Y}^m$  with  $|\lambda_1| + \dots + |\lambda_m| = n$  equals

$$\text{Prob}\{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)\} = \frac{1}{m^n n!} \left( \frac{n!}{|\lambda_1|! \cdots |\lambda_m|!} \right)^2 \cdot \dim_1^2 \lambda_1 \cdots \dim_1^2 \lambda_m. \quad (6.2)$$

This distribution will be called the *Plancherel distribution*.

**Proposition 6.1.** *For any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}\{|\lambda_1| = n_1, \dots, |\lambda_m| = n_m; n_1 + \dots + n_m = n\} \\ = \frac{1}{m^n} \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_m!}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

*Proof.* Direct computation. Using (6.1) for  $m = 1$  and (6.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Prob}\{|\lambda_1| = n_1, \dots, |\lambda_m| = n_m; n_1 + \dots + n_m = n\} \\ &= \frac{1}{m^n n!} \sum_{\substack{|\lambda_k|=n_k \\ k=1, \dots, m}} \left( \frac{n!}{|\lambda_1|! \cdots |\lambda_m|!} \right)^2 \cdot \dim_1^2 \lambda_1 \cdots \dim_1^2 \lambda_m \\ &= \frac{1}{m^n n!} \left( \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_m!} \right)^2 \cdot n_1! \cdots n_m! = \frac{1}{m^n} \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_m!}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## 7. TWO LEMMAS FROM PROBABILITY THEORY

We shall denote by  $\xrightarrow{p}$  convergence of random variables in probability, and by  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$  convergence in distribution.

**Lemma 7.1 [B, Theorem 4.1].** Let random variables  $\xi, \{\xi_n\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{\eta_n\}_{i=1}^\infty$  satisfy

$$\xi_n - \eta_n \xrightarrow{p} 0, \quad \xi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi.$$

Then

$$\eta_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi.$$

For  $m$  real random variables  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  we shall denote by  $\xi_1 \times \xi_2 \times \dots \times \xi_m$  a  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued random variable with distribution function

$$F_{\xi_1 \times \dots \times \xi_m}(x) = F_{\xi_1}(x_1) \cdots F_{\xi_m}(x_m).$$

**Lemma 7.2.** Let  $\{\xi_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^\infty, k = 1, \dots, m$ , be  $m > 1$  sequences of real random variables convergent in distribution to random variables  $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}$ , respectively. Denote by  $B_n^{(m)}$  the  $n$ th order  $m$ -dimensional fair Bernoulli distribution:

$$\text{Prob}\{B_n^{(m)} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m^n} \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_m!}, & k_1 + \dots + k_m = n, k_i \in \{0, \dots, n\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence

$$\zeta_n = \xi_{B_{n,1}^{(m)}}^{(1)} \times \xi_{B_{n,2}^{(m)}}^{(2)} \times \dots \times \xi_{B_{n,m}^{(m)}}^{(m)}$$

converges in distribution to  $\xi^{(1)} \times \xi^{(2)} \times \dots \times \xi^{(m)}$ . In particular,  $\xi_{B_{n,1}^{(m)}}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi^{(1)}$ .

*Proof.* The conditions  $\xi_n^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi^{(k)}, k = 1, \dots, m$ , are equivalent to the pointwise convergence of distribution functions

$$F_{\xi_n^{(k)}}(x) \rightarrow F_{\xi^{(k)}}(x).$$

We have

$$F_{\zeta_n}(x) = \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_m = n \\ k_i = 1, \dots, n}} \frac{1}{m^n} \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_m!} \cdot F_{\xi_{k_1}^{(1)}}(x_1) \cdots F_{\xi_{k_m}^{(m)}}(x_m).$$

For  $m$  convergent number sequences  $\{a_n^{(k)}\}$  with limits  $a^{(k)}, k = 1, \dots, m$ , the sequence

$$c_n = \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_m = n \\ k_i = 1, \dots, n}} \frac{1}{m^n} \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_m!} a_{k_1}^{(1)} \cdots a_{k_m}^{(m)}$$

converges to the product  $a^{(1)} \cdots a^{(m)}$ , so we conclude, that  $\{F_{\zeta_n}(x)\}$  converges to  $F_{\xi^{(1)}}(x_1) \cdots F_{\xi^{(m)}}(x_m)$  pointwise.  $\square$

Lemma 7.2 implies that random variables  $\xi_{B_{n,1}^{(m)}}^{(1)}, \xi_{B_{n,2}^{(m)}}^{(2)}, \dots, \xi_{B_{n,m}^{(m)}}^{(m)}$  are asymptotically independent as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 8. ASYMPTOTICS

Baik, Deift, and Johansson recently proved, see [BDJ], that the sequence

$$\frac{L_n^{col(1)} - 2\sqrt{n}}{n^{1/6}}$$

converges in distribution to a certain random variable, whose distribution function will be denoted by  $F(x)$ . This function can be expressed through a particular solution of the Painlevé II equation, see [BDJ] for details.

In this section we shall study the asymptotic behavior of  $L_n^{col(m)}$  for  $m \geq 2$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Our main result is the following statement.

**Theorem 8.1.** *For any  $m = 2, 3, \dots$  the sequence*

$$\frac{L_n^{col(m)} - 2\sqrt{mn}}{(mn)^{1/6}}$$

*converges in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a random variable with distribution function  $F^m(m^{-\frac{2}{3}}x)$ .*

This result for  $m = 2$  was proved by Tracy and Widom in [TW2]. Since the distributions of  $L_n^{col(2)}$  and  $L_n^{even}$  coincide (see Section 3), we immediately get two other asymptotic formulas.

**Corollary 8.2 [TW2].** *The sequence*

$$\frac{L_n^{even} - 2\sqrt{2n}}{(2n)^{1/6}}$$

*converges in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a random variable with distribution function  $F^2(2^{-\frac{2}{3}}x)$ .*

**Corollary 8.3 [TW2].** *The sequence*

$$\frac{L_n^{odd} - 2\sqrt{2n}}{(2n)^{1/6}}$$

*converges in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a random variable with distribution function  $F^2(2^{-\frac{2}{3}}x)$ .*

*Proof of Corollary 8.3.* Relation (2.1) implies that with probability 1,

$$(L_n^{odd} - L_n^{even})(2n)^{-1/6} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the convergence with probability 1 implies the convergence in probability, the claim follows from Lemma 7.1 and Corollary 8.2.  $\square$



*Proof of Theorem 8.1.* The proof will consist of 4 steps.

**Step 1.** Following Sections 3, 5, 6, we shall interpret  $L_n^{col(m)}$  as a random variable on the space of all  $m$ -tuples  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  of Young diagram with total number of boxes equal to  $n$  supplied with the Plancherel distribution. Let us denote by  $l_1^{(n)}, \dots, l_m^{(n)}$  the widths of the Young diagrams  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ :

$$l_k^{(n)} = w(\lambda_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Observation 5.2 implies that with probability 1

$$\frac{L_n^{col(m)} - m \cdot \max\{l_1^{(n)}, \dots, l_m^{(n)}\}}{n^{1/6}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 7.1, it is enough to prove that

$$\text{Prob} \left\{ \frac{m \cdot \max\{l_1^{(n)}, \dots, l_m^{(n)}\} - 2\sqrt{mn}}{(mn)^{1/6}} \leq x \right\} \rightarrow F^m(m^{-\frac{2}{3}}x)$$

Our strategy is to prove that  $l_1^{(n)}, \dots, l_m^{(n)}$  are asymptotically independent, and that each of them asymptotically behaves as  $L_{[n/m]}^{col(1)}$ . Then Theorem 8.1 will follow from the result of [BDJ] stated in the beginning of this section.

**Step 2.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Prob} \left\{ \frac{m \cdot \max\{l_1^{(n)}, \dots, l_m^{(n)}\} - 2\sqrt{mn}}{(mn)^{1/6}} \leq x \right\} \\ &= \text{Prob} \left\{ \frac{m \cdot l_1^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{mn}}{(mn)^{1/6}} \leq x; \dots; \frac{m \cdot l_m^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{mn}}{(mn)^{1/6}} \leq x \right\} \\ &= \text{Prob} \left\{ \frac{l_1^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}} \leq m^{\frac{2}{3}}x; \dots; \frac{l_m^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}} \leq m^{\frac{2}{3}}x \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$\text{Prob} \left\{ \frac{l_1^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}} \leq x_1; \dots; \frac{l_m^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}} \leq x_m \right\} \rightarrow F(x_1) \cdots F(x_m). \quad (8.1)$$

**Step 3.** Denote by  $n_i^{(n)}$  the number of boxes in  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then  $n_1^{(n)} + \dots + n_m^{(n)} = n$ . We claim that for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\frac{l_i^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}} - \frac{l_i^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n_i^{(n)}}}{(n_i^{(n)})^{1/6}} \xrightarrow{p} 0. \quad (8.2)$$

Proposition 6.1 implies that

$$\text{Prob}\{n_i^{(n)} = k\} = \frac{(m-1)^{n-k}}{m^n} \binom{n}{k}.$$

This means that  $n_i^{(n)}$  can be interpreted as the sum  $\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$  of  $n$  independent identically distributed Bernoulli variables with

$$\text{Prob}\{\xi_j = 0\} = \frac{m-1}{m}, \quad \text{Prob}\{\xi_j = 1\} = \frac{1}{m}.$$

The central limit theorem implies that with probability converging to 1,

$$\frac{n}{m} - \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < n_i^{(n)} < \frac{n}{m} + \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - (n_i^{(n)})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right| < \left| \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \left(\frac{n}{m} \pm \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right| \\ & = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left| 1 - \left(1 \pm \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right| < \text{const} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} - (n_i^{(n)})^{-\frac{1}{6}} \right| < \left| \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} - \left(\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \pm \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \right| \\ & = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left| 1 - \left(1 \pm \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \right| < \text{const} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon} < \text{const} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

if we choose  $\varepsilon < 1/12$ .

The result of [BDJ] implies that  $L_n^{col(1)} n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0$  for any  $\delta > 0$ .

From Proposition 6.2 it follows that in the notation of Lemma 7.2

$$\left\{ l_i^{(n)} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ L_{(B_{n,1}^{(m)})}^{col(1)} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}.$$

Applying Lemma 7.2 we see that

$$\frac{l_i^{(n)}}{\left(n_i^{(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 0,$$

which means that  $l_i^{(n)} < \left(n_i^{(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$  with probability converging to 1.

Since  $n_i^{(n)} < n/m + (n/m)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}$  with probability converging to 1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} l_i^{(n)} &< \left(\frac{n}{m} + \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \left(1 + \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{-1+\varepsilon}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \\ &< \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} + \text{const} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\delta+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} < \text{const} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

with probability converging to 1.

Making use of the relations (8.3), (8.4), (8.5), we now see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{l_i^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}} - \frac{l_i^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n_i^{(n)}}}{(n_i^{(n)})^{1/6}} \right| \leq l_i^{(n)} \left| \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} - (n_i^{(n)})^{-\frac{1}{6}} \right| \\ &+ 2 \left| \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - (n_i^{(n)})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right| < \text{const} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} + \text{const} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

with probability converging to 1. Since the last expression converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\delta < \varepsilon < 1/6$ , the proof of (8.2) is complete.

The relation (8.2) implies that we have asymptotic equivalence of two  $m$ -dimensional vectors

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{l_1^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}}; \dots; \frac{l_m^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n/m}}{(n/m)^{1/6}} \right) \\ &- \left( \frac{l_1^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n_1^{(n)}}}{(n_1^{(n)})^{1/6}}; \dots; \frac{l_m^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n_m^{(n)}}}{(n_m^{(n)})^{1/6}} \right) \xrightarrow{p} 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

**Step 4.** The random  $m$ -dimensional vector

$$\zeta_n = \left( \frac{l_1^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n_1^{(n)}}}{(n_1^{(n)})^{1/6}}; \dots; \frac{l_m^{(n)} - 2\sqrt{n_m^{(n)}}}{(n_m^{(n)})^{1/6}} \right) \tag{8.7}$$

is obtained from  $m$  identical 1-dimensional random variables

$$\xi_n^{(i)} = \frac{L_n^{col(1)} - 2\sqrt{n}}{n^{1/6}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

by the procedure described in Lemma 7.2:

$$\zeta_n = \xi_{B_{n,1}}^{(1)} \times \xi_{B_{n,2}}^{(2)} \times \dots \times \xi_{B_{n,m}}^{(m)}$$

(this follows from Proposition 6.1). Since sequences  $\{\xi_n^{(i)}\}$  converge in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a random variable with distribution function  $F(x)$  (this is the result of [BDJ]), the sequence (8.7) also converges in distribution to a random variable with the distribution function  $F(x_1) \cdots F(x_m)$ . Then Lemma 7.1 and (8.6) conclude the proof of (8.1).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BDJ] J. Baik, P. Deift, and K. Johansson, *On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations*, Preprint, math.CO/9810105.
- [B] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley & Sons, 1968.
- [DS] P. Diaconis, M. Shahshahani, *On the eigenvalues of random matrices*, J. Appl. Prob. **31** (1994), 49–61.
- [FS] S. Fomin, D. Stanton, *Rim hook lattices*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (1998), no. 5, 1007–1016.
- [H] J. M. Hammersley, *A few seedlings of research*, Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. and Probability, Vol. 1 (1972), 345–394.
- [LS] B. F. Logan and L. A. Shepp, *A variational problem for random Young tableaux*, Advances in Math. **26** (1977), 206–222.
- [R] E. M. Rains, *Increasing subsequences and the classical groups*, Elect. J. of Combinatorics **5** #R12 (1998).
- [S] C. Schensted, *Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences*, Canad. J. Math. **13** (1961), 179–191.
- [SW] D. W. Stanton, D. E. White, *A Schensted Algorithm for rim hook tableaux*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **40** (1985), 1985.
- [TW1] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), 151–174.
- [TW2] ———, *Random Unitary Matrices, Permutations, and Painlevé*, Preprint, math.CO/9811154.
- [U] S. M. Ulam, *Monte Carlo calculations in problems of mathematical physics*, in *Modern Mathematics for the Engineers*, E. F. Beckenbach, ed., McGraw–Hill (1961), 261–281.
- [VK1] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov, *Asymptotic behavior of the Plancherel measure of the symmetric group and the limit form of Young tableaux*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **233** (1977), 527–531.
- [VK2] ———, *Asymptotic behavior of the maximum and generic dimensions of irreducible representations of the symmetric group*, Functional Anal. Appl. **19** (1985), no. 1, 21–31.